

#### Ihh interacts preferentially with its upstream neighboring gene Nhej1.

Genes are indicated by gray lines, and *Ihh* and *Nhej1* are highlighted in blue. 4C–seq performed in E14.5 limbs using the *Ihh* promoter as the viewpoint is shown below. Note the increased interactions with intron 3 of the adjacent *Nhej1* gene. The gray line indicates the zoomed region displayed in **Figure 1**. Black bars indicate the size and position of human duplications converted to mouse genome coordinates that overlap with the regulatory landscape of *Ihh*. Below, Capture-C data from Andrey *et al.* (2017) at different developmental time points. Chromatin organization is maintained during limb development.



#### Conservation of the IHH locus between mouse and human.

The upper panel shows a representation of the mouse locus with positions indicated for the genes and regulatory elements investigated (blue and gray ovals). Below, ChIP–seq tracks for CTCF with corresponding motif orientation as well as ChIP–seq tracks for active enhancer elements (H3K4me1 and H3K27ac); all experiments were performed in developing limbs at E14.5 (ENCODE). The equivalent positions of human pathogenic duplications are shown at the bottom. The lower panel shows a representation of the human locus with the positions of genes and equivalent positions of the regulatory elements investigated in mouse (blue and gray ovals). Below, ChIP–seq tracks for CTCF with corresponding motif orientation as well as ChIP–seq tracks for active enhancer elements (H3K4me1 and H3K27ac); all are ENCODE data sets for osteoblasts. Note that the convergent orientation of CTCF at the locus is conserved between mouse and human, as well as the presence of active enhancers. The equivalent positions of human pathogenic duplications are shown at the bottom.



#### Transgenic reporter assay (LacZ) of elements positive at E17.5.

Each element displays a lateral view of the embryo at E14.5 (scale bar, 2,000  $\mu$ m), a dorsal view of the forelimbs (scale bar, 1,000  $\mu$ m) and a top view of the skull at 17.5 (scale bar, 2,000  $\mu$ m) together with tissue specificity scoring (bottom). All tested elements appear positive at E17.5 but not at E14.5 and are marked in **Figure 1** in gray. An arrowhead indicates positive staining in the skull. The regulatory activity of the region as indicated by the inserted *lacZ* reporter (SB; black outline) is also displayed.



#### Nhej1-knockout mice have normal skulls.

 $\mu$ CT analysis of adult skulls. The red square indicates enlargement of the metopic suture region, shown on the right. An enlargement of the corresponding cross-section (red arrow) of the metopic sutures is shown below. Note the normal development of sutures in *Nhej1*-knockout mice as compared to wild-type controls.



#### Quantitative expression analysis (qPCR) of mutants at different tissues and stages.

(a) Expression analysis of *Nhej1*. Note that manipulations of the intronic region of the *Nhej1* gene do not cause alterations in expression levels overall. (b) Expression analysis of *Cnppd1* and *Fam134a*. Note that the increased contacts observed in 4C–seq experiments for Dup(syn) mutants (**Fig. 4b**, asterisk) do not cause any alteration in the expression levels of the genes. Bars represent the mean of n = 3 different individuals (circles). Two-sided Student's *t* test, \**P* < 0.05; ns, not significant.



## Enhancer deletions result in delayed skull ossification and reduced bone length.

Left,  $\mu$ CT scan of wild-type mouse forelimb and skull displaying the different regions used for measurement. Right, bone measurements for Del(4–6) and Del(7–9) mutants and wild-type age-matched controls (P70). Note the reduction in ulna and nasal suture length for Del(4–6). Del(4–6) shows a more severe effect on digit length than that observed in Del(7–9) mutants. Bars represent the mean of n = 3 different individuals (circles). Two-sided Student's *t* test, \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; ns, not significant.



#### Expression analysis of genes involved in syndactyly/interdigital cell death.

*In situ* hybridization analysis were performed in E14.5 forelimbs from Dup(syn)/+ mutants and corresponding wt controls. Note increased expression for *Bmp4* and *Nog* as well as expansion of *Bmp4* expression in the interdigital space (arrows). Bars represent 200µm.



## Pathogenic structural variants associated to the Ihh locus.

Schematic of the mouse locus with coordinates of structural variants indicated by colored bars and associated phenotypes. Positions of human duplications were transformed to the mouse genome. Enhancer elements are displayed with ovals. Duplications are depicted in green and deletions in red. All human variants are heterozygous, all mouse variants are homozygous.



## Limb abnormalities of Dup(syn) mice do not result from increased copies of Ihh gene.

(A) Forelimb morphology of duplications. Dup(syn)/+ mice (3 copies of *Ihh* gene) display 2/5 syndactyly. Skeletal stainings (right) show short and broad terminal phalanges. Dup(syn) mice were crossed to Del(2-9) or *Ihh* ko in order to have only 2 functional copies of *Ihh*, both in the duplicated allele. In both cases compound heterozygous displayed the same phenotypical effects. Bars represent 1000µm for P7 and 500µm for E17.5 autopods. (B) µCT analysis of wt mouse forelimb at P70 displaying the different regions used for measurement. None of the mutant mice displayed alterations in bone length. Bars represent mean of  $n \ge 3$  different individuals (circles). Two-sided Student's *t* test, \**P*< 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; ns, not significant.

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

	E14.5			E17.5		
Construct	Embryos			Embryos		
	analysed	Fingertips	Digits	analysed	Skulls	Growth Plates
i1	9	0	1	8	3	7
i2	9	0	0	10	1	3
i3	7	0	0	5	2	4
i4	6	0	0	11	2	10
i5	5	3	3	7	3	5
i6	12	0	9	7	1	7
i7	7	2	3	6	1	6
i8	7	1	4	10	5	10
i9	5	0	0	6	1	5

# Supplementary Table 1: Tissue-specific activity of enhancer elements.

Each stage shows total number of embryos analyzed for each construct as well as those displaying positive staining for the corresponding tissue. Positive scoring is indicated in bold.

Construct	Genomic Position	Size	Guide	Sequence
Del(2-9), Dup(int)	Chr1:75,015,710-75,091,187	75kb	N2-L1	gagacacgtggagaattcgc-agg
			N2-R1	gttacccacactactacgtt-agg
Del(4-6)	Chr1:75,050,992-75,063,567	13kb	N4-L2	ggacacgactttcataacac-tgg
			N4-R2	aatttcgggtagggcgttgg-agg
Del(7-9)	Chr1:75,063,567-75,091,187	24kb	N4-R2	aatttcgggtagggcgttgg-agg
			N2-R1	gttacccacactactacgtt-agg
Del(4-9)	Chr1:75,050,992-75,091,187	37kb	N4-L2	ggacacgactttcataacac-tgg
			N2-R1	gttacccacactactacgtt-agg
Dup(syn)	Chr1:74,989,792-75,055,634	65kb	N9-L9	agcgtggggcttttaaccgt-ggg
			N9-R6	ttagacacaccagtatacgg-agg
Dup(csp)	Chr1:75,005,921-75,060,430	54kb	N10-L4	ggggcaatctgatatagtgg-ggg
			N10-R5	tggcccctgacccgtaggat-tgg

# Supplementary Table 2: Genomic rearrangements generated using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing.

Two sgRNAs flanking the target region were used to generate the genomic rearrangement.

Construct	Genomic Position	Size	Primer	Sequence	
centromeric homologous arm					
SB-HR-L1	Chr1:75,055,877-75,058,875	3kb	HR3a1-f-Sall	tatagtcgaccaaagtccttgtaaggaacagcagt	
			HR3a1-r-Clal	tataatcgatgacatgcctctgctgtacatagttt	
SB-HR-L2	Chr1:75,058,877-75,060,875	2kb	HR3a2-f-F3-Clal	tataatcgattacaagctttacgaagttcctattcttcaaatagtat	
				aggaacttcagcaactcaggaagaattcctaacac	
			HR3a2-r-F3-SacII	tataccgcgggtagaagttcctatactatttgaagaataggaactt	
				cttgcagccctcctatagaaaatgga	
telomeric homologous arm					
SB-HR-R	Chr1:75,060,877-75,063,875	3kb	HR3b-f-Xhol	tatactcgag tctataagaacacacaacaatgtgccag	
			HR3b-r-Notl	tatagcggccgcactgttctgggtgaaccagaaatctt	

# Supplementary Table 3: Homologous arms cloned for the insertion of the SB cassette.

Cloning of the centromeric arm (total size 5kb) was performed in two steps (constructs SB-HR-L1 and SB-HR-L2). Restrictions sites are shown in italic/bold.

Element	Vista ID	Primer forward, reverse	Genomic Position (mm9)	Size (bp)
i1	mm1142	ctcagtgtctcaaccacttgaa,	chr1:75,008,008-75,012,847	4840
		ctctgccatgacttcttgtgta		
i2	mm1143	ggtgggattaatctctcgactg,	chr1:75,023,290-75,026,536	3247
		ggtgatgaacagcagtatggaa		
i3	mm1148	tctcccagaccaaaatgcttat,	chr1:75,046,263-75,049,025	2763
		aaccttgccctcatgaagttta		
i4	mm1144	cagactggagttcacagagtgc,	chr1:75,051,762-75,053,663	1902
		actcaggcacaagtctagcaca		
i5		cctctgtgctcttgagttagactac,	chr1:75,053,880-75,055,928	
		cctccttgctagttcttacctaaaga		2045
i6	mm1145	tccttgagagactccagaaagg,	chr1:75,059,085-75,064,020	4936
		tcccccatatcagatgtttacc		
i7	mm1146	gtactgggaaaaatggcaagag,	chr1:75,068,299-75,072,430	4132
		ctgaaagggggttagaaggact		
i8		ttgaggcagaaggattgtcata,	chr1:75,075,786-75,080,268	4483
		agccagaggtcaacatttgagt		
i9	mm1439	gctgagatgaatgacagtgagg,	chr1:75,085,302-75,089,234	3933
		gtcacacctgatgatctgcatt		

Supplementary Table 4: Genomic regions tested for enhancer activity.

Primer	Sequence			
Gapdh-F	GGGAAGCCCATCACCATCTT			
Gapdh-R	CGGCCTCACCCCATTTG			
lhh-F	GCCGACCGCCTCATGAC			
lhh-R	CATGACAGAGATGGCCAGTGA			
Nhej1-F (exon3+4)	TGAAGACAGAGCCATTTGAAGA			
Nhej1-R (exon3+4)	GCTTTCCATCACCAACAGCA			
Nhej1-F (exon2+3)	CATTGCTTCGGATGAAGGACC			
Nhej1-R (exon2+3)	TCAATCGACTTCGGCTCAG			
Cnppd1-F	CCTATTCGCCGACTCCAGAAA			
Cnppd1-R	CATTCGTCATTGAAGACCTCCTC			
Fam134a-F	CACAAACATGACAAGAGAAAGCG			
Fam134a-R	AGCTCAGAGTCTGTAATAGCCA			

Supplementary Table 5: qPCR primer sequences.

Viewpoint	1 <sup>st</sup> primer (5′ – 3′)	Genomic Position	2 <sup>nd</sup> primer (5' – 3')	Genomic Position
Ihh promoter	ACAGCTGGGGACCCTATAC	chr1:74,998,765-74,998,783	CCCGTCAGGAGGACAATC	chr1:75,059,837-75,059,854

# Supplementary Table 6: 4C-seq primer sequences.

For reference, the mm9 mouse genome was used.