

NPG Asia Materials

Guide for Authors

About the Journal	1
Article Type Specifications	2
Preparation of Articles	3
How to Submit	7
Post-Acceptance	7
Editorial Policies	8
Further information	13

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

Aims and Scope

NPG Asia Materials is an open-access, international journal publishing peer-reviewed reviews and primary research articles that cover all aspects of materials sciences. The journal is global in outlook and reach, and its base in the Asia-Pacific reflects the substantial – and increasing – output of materials research from the region. Research summaries and graphical abstracts are provided for all articles and demonstrate the commitment of the journal to reach as broad and wide an audience as possible.

NPG Asia Materials is aimed at an audience of scientists and researchers across the full spectrum of materials research, and publishes articles from physical and chemical sciences, biotechnology and nanotechnology. The journal welcomes high-quality review and research articles from the most rapidly advancing fields that lie at the borders between materials science and engineering and the classical disciplines of physics, chemistry and biology.

The journal features both theoretical and experimental aspects of research in the following areas related to materials science:

- Organic, carbon-based and soft materials
- Biomaterials, bio-inspired materials and biosensors
- Inorganic, ceramic, composite and porous materials
- Metallic materials and alloys
- Optical, photonic and optoelectronic materials
- Electronic, magnetic and superconducting materials
- Energy conversion, catalytic and separation materials
- Nanoscale materials, properties, processes and functions
- Polymer engineering
- Materials theory, computation and modelling

Emphasis is placed on the fundamental novelty of the research, in the sense of novel phenomena, materials or synthetic methods, or novel properties that lead to advanced functionality and applications of previously known materials. Both experimental and theoretical studies will be considered for publication. Studies on synthetic methods should demonstrate the generality of the approach or the advance represented in applying the material to practical devices. Equally, research on advances in methodology, processes or applied device engineering should demonstrate more than an incremental improvement in the existing system and so be of the highest possible interest to our broad readership.

Editorial

NPG Asia Materials is led by Editor-in-Chief, Professor Martin Vacha of the Department of Materials Science and Engineering at the Tokyo Institute of Technology. An international Editorial Committee of Associate Editors recommend decisions to the Editor-in-Chief and a broad subject- and geographically-based Advisory Editorial Board, composed of leading materials science researchers from around the world, supports the management of the journal.

Journal Details

Editor-in-Chief:

Martin Vacha

Professor, Department of Materials Science and Engineering

Tokyo Institute of Technology

Editorial office:

NPG Asia Materials Editorial Office

Springer Nature Tokyo office
Shiroyama Trust Tower 5F
4-3-1 Toranomom, Minato-ku,
Tokyo 105-6005 Japan
Email: am@nature.com

Impact factor:

10.761 (2021 Journal Citation Reports, Thomson Reuters, 2022)

Abstracted in:

Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition Web of Knowledge
Google Scholar
Chemical Abstract Services
Scopus
Ulrichsweb (ProQuest)
Scirus

ARTICLE TYPE SPECIFICATIONS

NPG Asia Materials publishes:

Article

An Article is a novel research study whose conclusions demonstrate a substantial advance in understanding of an important problem and have broad implications across all fields of materials science. Novelty of the research is represented by fundamentally new findings in terms of physics or chemistry of materials, such as novel materials or synthetic methods, or novel phenomena and properties that lead to advanced functionality. Reports on progress in methodology, processes or applied device engineering should demonstrate a substantial and qualitative progress.

Review

A Review is intended to be an authoritative, timely, concise and focused survey of recent developments in an important and growing research field. The approach to possible controversial issues must be balanced, and the scope of a Review should be broad enough so that its content is not dominated by the work of a single laboratory, including the authors' own work. Reviews are usually solicited by the Editor. Authors interested in writing a Review article are encouraged to contact the Editor-in-Chief beforehand with a proposed topic and a brief summary of the paper.

Perspective

A Perspective is a brief personal review and vision of a materials science field or area. A Perspective is expected to assess the current status of the field, identify key advances being made and progress that is needed, and point towards directing future research efforts. Perspectives are solicited by the Editors from leading scientist in their area of research.

Manuscript layout guideline for each article type

Article Type	Abstract	Word Count	Main text components	Display Items	References (maximum)
Article	Max 200 words	6,000	Introduction/ Materials and methods/ Experimental procedures/ Results/ Discussion/ Acknowledgements/ Conflict of interest (if applicable) References	Up to 12 display items (e.g. figures, tables) to be prepared in separate files.	40
Review Article	Max 200 words	8,000	Introduction (if applicable)/Main text/ Acknowledgements/Conflict of interest (if applicable) References	Up to 20 display items (e.g. figures, tables) to be prepared in separate files	80
Perspective	Max 100 words	1,500-4,000	There should be a short introduction, followed by relevant section headings	Up to 5 display items (e.g. figures, tables) to be	20

			and a conclusions' section at the end. The standard footer headings (Acknowledgements, Contributions, Competing Interests, Funding) are required.	prepared in separate files	
Editorial (solicited)	N/A	1,500	No sections	1	20

PREPARATION OF ARTICLES

Please see below for details of the manuscript components.

Cover Letter: Cover letters are NOT mandatory for the initial submission but are helpful to the Editors in assessing the significance and suitability of the manuscript for publication in this journal. The cover letter should state that the material is original, has not been previously published and has not been submitted for publication elsewhere while under consideration. If the manuscript has been previously considered for publication in another journal, the authors are encouraged to include the previous reviewer comments and original manuscript number, to help expedite the reviewing process. Please also include the following information;

- The name, institution and e-mail address of all contributing authors
- Referees to exclude (optional)
- Conflict of interest statement for all authors

Title Page: The title page should bear the title of the paper, the full names of all the authors and their affiliations, together with the name, full postal address, telephone and e-mail address of the author to whom correspondence are to be sent (this information is also asked for on the electronic submission form).

- The title should be brief, informative, of 150 characters or less and should not make a statement or conclusion.
- The running title should consist of no more than 50 letters and spaces. It should be as brief as possible, convey the essential message of the paper and contain no abbreviations.
- Authors should disclose the sources of any support for the work, received in the form of grants and/or equipment and drugs.
- If authors regard it as essential to indicate that two or more co-authors are equal in status, they may be identified by an asterisk symbol with the caption 'These authors contributed equally to this work' immediately under the address list.

Abstract: An abstract of not more than 150–200 words. The abstract should be comprehensible to readers before they have read the paper, and abbreviations and reference citations within the abstract should be avoided.

Introduction: This should give a short, clear account of the background and reasons for undertaking the study. It should not be a review of the literature. The Introduction should assume that the reader is knowledgeable in the field and should therefore be as brief as possible.

Materials and Methods: This section should contain sufficient detail so that all experimental procedures can be repeated by others, in conjunction with cited references. This section may be divided into subheadings to assist the reader. Names of products and manufacturers should be included only if alternative sources are deemed unsatisfactory. Instruments used, as well as standard techniques and procedures applied throughout the work, should appear in a paragraph at the beginning of the Materials and Methods section. Novel experimental procedures should be described in detail, but published procedures should be referred to by literature citation of the original article and published modifications.

Results: The description of results should not simply reiterate data that appear in tables and figures and, likewise, the same data should not be displayed in both tables and figures. The results section should be concise and follow a logical sequence. If the paper describes a complex series of experiments, it is permissible to explain the protocol/experimental design before presenting the results. Do not discuss the results or draw any conclusions in this section. This section may be divided into subheadings to assist the reader. Large datasets or other cumbersome data pertinent to the manuscript may be submitted as supplementary information.

Discussion: Do not recapitulate the results, but discuss their significance against the background of existing knowledge, and identify clearly those aspects that are novel. The final paragraph should highlight the main conclusion(s), and provide some indication of the direction future research should take. This section may be divided into subheadings to assist the reader. Results and Discussion may be combined.

Acknowledgements: These should be brief, and should include sources of financial support, material (e.g. novel compounds, strains, etc.) not available commercially, personal assistance, advice from colleagues and gifts.

Conflict of Interest: Authors must declare whether or not there are any conflicts of financial interests in relation to the work described. This information must be included at this stage and will be published as part of the paper. Conflict of Interest should be noted in the cover letter and in the paper. Please see the Conflict of Interest documentation in the Editorial Policy section for detailed information.

References: Authors are responsible for the accuracy of the references. Only papers directly related to the article should be cited; exhaustive lists of related reading should be avoided. All authors should be included in reference lists unless there are six or more, in which case only the first author should be given, followed by 'et al'. In the text of the manuscript, references to the literature should be numbered consecutively and indicated by a superscript. Each reference should be numbered individually and listed at the end of the manuscript.

The reference list should be double-spaced, and there should be only one reference per number. Only articles that have been published or accepted and waiting for publication (listed as 'in press' following digital object identifier number) should be in the reference list. Reference to 'unpublished data' and 'personal communications' should not appear in the list but should be cited in the text parenthetically only (e.g. Smith A, 2007, unpublished data). Written proof for 'personal communication' and preprint for 'in press' may be requested for review. Journal names are italicized and abbreviated (with full stops) according to the Web of Science Journal Title Abbreviations or Chemical Abstracts Service CAlplus Core Journal Coverage List.

Examples of references:

Journal articles

Kim, S.-H., Lee, S. Y., Yang, S.-M. & Yi, G.-R. Self-assembled colloidal structures for photonics. *NPG Asia Mater.* 3, 25–33 (2011).

Journal article - online only

Wang, L., Zhang, Z. & Han, X. In situ experimental mechanics of nanomaterials at the atomic scale. *NPG Asia Mater.* 5, e40. doi:10.1038/am.2012.70 (2013).

Leigh, S. J., et al. A Simple, Low-Cost Conductive Composite Material for 3D Printing of Electronic Sensors. *PLoS ONE.* 7, e49365. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049365 (2012).

Journal article - in press

Tanaka, T. U. Chromosome bi-orientation on the mitotic spindle. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B.* doi:10.1098/rstb.2004.1612 (in press).

Journal article - e-pub ahead of print

Szeto, Y., Lee, A., Benzie, I. & Obied, H. Optimized noninvasive procedures to measure DNA damage in comet assay. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* (e-pub ahead of print 31 May 2012; doi: 10.1177/0960327112446816).

Book

Pizzi, A. *Handbook of Adhesive Technology* 2nd edn (eds Pizzi, A & Mittal, K. L.) (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 2003).

Chapter in a book

Hyde, S. T. in *Handbook of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry* (ed. Holmberg, K.) Ch. 16, 299-332 (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2001).

Electronic material

National Institutes of Health. *Genome-Wide Association Studies* (GWAS) (2006). <http://grants.nih.gov/grants/gwas/index.htm>. Accessed 4 January 2007.

Meeting:

Brentjens, R, Riviere, I, Frattini, M, Wang, X, Taylor, C, Olszewska, M *et al.* Marked regression of adenopathy following infusion of autologous T cells. Presented at the 13th annual meeting of the American Society of Gene and Cell Therapy, Washington, DC, 17–22 May 2010.

Patent:

Wilson ST, Oak S, Flanigen EM. US patent 4567029 (1986).

Kuznicki SM, Thrush AK. European patent 0405978A1 (1990).

Figure Legends: These should be brief, specific and appear on a separate manuscript page after the References section.

Figures: For the initial submission, Figures may be embedded within the text of the manuscript or uploaded as separate files. Figures and images should be labeled sequentially and cited in the text. For figures uploaded separately, Figure legends should be submitted on a separate sheet with list of text captions to all figures. Detailed guidelines for submitting artwork can be found by downloading our [Artwork Guidelines](#). The use of three-dimensional histograms is strongly discouraged when the addition of the third dimension gives no extra information. If a table or figure has been published before, the authors must obtain written permission to reproduce the material in both print and electronic formats from the copyright owner and submit it with the manuscript. This follows for quotes, illustrations and other materials taken from previously published works not in the public domain. The original source should be cited in the figure caption or table footnote.

Key Notes for Figure preparation

- Recommended minimum resolution: 300 dpi for colour, 600 dpi for grey scale, 1000 dpi for line art
- Lines should be no thinner than 0.5 point in the actual size.
- Use sans serif typefaces such as Arial or Helvetica in your artwork and when labelling panels.
- Lettering should be no smaller than 6 points in the actual size; size of lettering should be uniform throughout the figure.
- Please refer to the Artwork Guidelines for details of artwork preparation.

File Format for artworks

For Figures, we prefer to use TIFF and EPS files in PC format, preferably from Photoshop or Illustrator software. We can also accept Adobe, native PowerPoint, Word and Excel files, provided that each figure element is editable.

For Tables, please submit tables in (editable) Word or Excel* format. Please avoid setting Table rows in picture format and then saving the document in Word.

*Please ensure any MS Office 2007 files are set to 'compatibility mode' (97 - 2003).

Chemical Structures

The authors should draw chemical structures with ChemDraw™ software. Figures containing chemical structures should be submitted in a size appropriate for direct incorporation into the printed journal. Chemical structures should be drawn using the ChemDraw program with the below setting:

Draw Setting

Font	8pt Arial
Chain angle	120 o
Bond spacing	18% width
Fixed length	14.4pt (0.508cm)
Bold width	2.0 pt (0.071cm)
Line width	0.6 pt (0.0212cm)
Tolerance	3 pt (0.106 cm)
Margin width	1.6 pt (0.056 cm)

Tables: These should be labelled sequentially and cited within the text. Each table should be presented on its own page, numbered and titled. Reference to table footnotes should be made by means of Arabic numerals. Tables should not duplicate the content of the text. They should consist of at least two columns; columns should always have headings. Authors should

ensure that the data in the tables are consistent with those cited in the relevant places in the text, totals add up correctly, and percentages have been calculated correctly.

Tables may be embedded into the word processing software if necessary, or supplied as separate electronic files.

Graphical Abstract:

A graphical abstract, which summarizes the manuscript in a visual way, is designed to attract the attention of readers to the table of contents of the journal. Graphical abstracts are published with Original Articles and Reviews. The graphical abstract may contain chemical structures or images. The graphic should be submitted as a single file using a standard file format (see below). All graphical abstracts should be submitted with a white background and imagery should fill the available width, whenever possible. Please see artwork guidelines for the resolution requirements of the graphic. Graphical abstracts should be accompanied by a textual caption summarizing the main findings of the work in less than 100 words.

Supplementary Information: Supplementary information (SI) is peer reviewed material directly relevant to the conclusion of an article that cannot be included in the article owing to format or length constraints. The article must be complete and self-explanatory without the SI, which is posted on the journal's website and linked to the article. SI may consist of data files, graphics, movies or extensive tables. Please see our [Artwork Guidelines](#) for information on accepted file types.

Authors should submit SI files in the FINAL format as they are not edited, typeset or changed, and will appear online exactly as submitted. When submitting SI, authors are required to:

- Include a text summary (no more than 50 words) to describe the contents of each file.
- Identify the types of files (file formats) submitted.
- Include the text "Supplementary information is available at (journal name)'s website" at the end of the article and before the references.

Accepted file formats

- Quick Time files (.mov)
- Graphical image files (.gif)
- MPEG movie files (.mpg)
- JPEG image files (.jpg)
- Image tiff files (.tiff)
- Video avi (.avi)
- Sound files (.wav)
- Plain ASCII text (.txt)
- Acrobat files (.pdf)
- MS Word documents (.doc)
- Postscript files (.ps)
- MS Excel spreadsheet documents (.xls)
- PowerPoint (.ppt)
- ChemDraw (.cdx)
- Tex, Latex (.tex)

File sizes must be as small as possible, so that they can be downloaded quickly. Images should not exceed 640 x 480 pixels (9 x 6.8 inches at 72 pixels per inch), but we would recommend 480 x 360 pixels as the maximum frame size for movies. We would also recommend a frame rate of 15 frames per second. If applicable to the presentation of the supplementary information, use a 256-color palette. Please consider the use of lower specification for all of these points if the supplementary information can still be represented clearly. Our recommended maximum data rate is 150 KB/s.

The number of files should be limited to eight, and the total file size should not exceed 8 MB. Individual files should not exceed 1 MB. Please seek advice from the editorial office before sending files larger than the allowed maximum size to avoid delays in publication.

Further questions about the submission or preparation of supplementary information should be directed to the editorial office.

Additional Notes: House Style for Nature Research Articles

- All pages and lines are to be numbered. To add page numbers in MS Word, go to Insert then Page Numbers. To add line numbers go to File, Page Setup, then click the Layout tab. In the Apply to box, select Whole document, click Line Numbers then select the Add line numbering check box, followed by Continuous.
- Use a coarse hatching pattern rather than shading for tints in graphs.
- Colour should be distinct when being used as an identifying tool.
- At first mention of a manufacturer, the town (and state if USA) and country should be provided.

- Statistical methods: For normally distributed data, mean (SD) is the preferred summary statistic. Relative risks should be expressed as odds ratios with 95% confidence interval. To compare two methods for measuring a variable the method of Bland & Altman (1986, *Lancet* 1, 307–310) should be used; for this, calculation of P only is not appropriate.
- Units: Use metric units (SI units) as fully as possible. Preferably give measurements of energy in kiloJoules or MegaJoules with kilocalories in parentheses (1 kcal = 4.186kJ). Use % throughout.
- Abbreviations: On first using an abbreviation place it in parentheses after the full item. Very common abbreviations such as **FFA**, **RNA**, need not be defined. Note these abbreviations: gram **g**; litre **l**; milligram **mg**; kilogram **kg**; kilojoule **kJ**; megajoule **MJ**; weight **wt**; seconds **s**; minutes **min**; hours **h**. Do not add s for plural units.

Language Checking and/or Editing

Authors who are not native speakers of English sometimes receive negative comments from referees or editors about the language and grammar usage in their manuscripts, which can contribute to a paper being rejected. To reduce the possibility of such problems, we strongly encourage such authors to take at least one of the following steps:

- Have your manuscript reviewed for clarity by a colleague whose native language is English.
- Review the tips for technical writing here: http://www.nature.com/authors/author_resources/how_write.html.
- Use an English language editing service such as [Nature Research Editing Service](#). An editor will improve the English to ensure that your meaning is clear and to identify problems that require your review.

Please note that the use of a language editing service is at the author's own expense and does not guarantee that the article will be selected for peer review or accepted.

File Formats

Use a common word-processing package (such as Microsoft Word) for the text. Equations in Word must be created using Equation Editor 3.0. Equations created using the new equation editor in Word 2007 and saved as a "Word 97-2003 Document" (.doc) are converted to graphics and can no longer be edited.

To insert or change an equation with the previous equation editor:

- Select "Object" on the "Text" section of the "Insert" tab
- In the drop-down menu, select "Equation Editor 3.0"

Do not use the "Equation" button in the "Symbols" section of the "Insert" tab.

HOW TO SUBMIT

Pre-submission Enquiries

Please submit via our online manuscript submission system or via e-mail to [AM editorial office](#).

Online Submission

We only accept manuscript submission via our online manuscript submission system. Before submitting a manuscript, authors are encouraged to consult both our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Submission Instructions](#) for our online manuscript submission system. If you have not already done so, please [register for an account](#) with our online manuscript system. You will be able to monitor the status of your manuscript online throughout the Editorial process.

Submission of Revisions

Authors submitting a revised manuscript after review are asked to include the following:

- (1) A rebuttal letter, indicating point-by-point how you have addressed the comments raised by the reviewers. If you disagree with any of the points raised, please provide adequate justification in your letter.
- (2) A marked-up version of the manuscript that highlights changes made in response to the reviewers' comments in order to aid the Editors and reviewers. Do not use track changes or comments.
- (3) A 'clean' (non-highlighted) version of the revised manuscript.

POST-ACCEPTANCE

NPG Asia Materials is an open access journal: authors pay an article processing charge (APC) for their accepted articles to be open access online and freely accessible, immediately upon publication, under a Creative Commons (CC-BY) license.

Article Processing Charge

Authors whose papers are accepted for publication in *NPG Asia Materials* are required to pay an Article Processing Charge (APC). Upon acceptance of their manuscript it is mandatory for authors of articles and reports to complete the [Article Processing Charge payment form](#) and [licence to publish form](#) via our online portals; processing of an accepted manuscript can only proceed once these forms have been completed.

Article Processing Charge Waiver policy

NPG Asia Materials offers APC waivers to authors from HINARI countries. Discretionary APC waivers will be considered on a case-by-case basis, and may be granted in cases of financial need. All applications for APC waivers should be made prior to, or at the point of, manuscript submission. To request a waiver please contact us at apcwaivers@springernature.com.

All decisions to publish are based entirely on editorial criteria and the editors and reviewers will not have access to the information on the author's ability to pay the Article Processing Charge. Springer Nature is a partner of AGORA, HINARI, INASP - you can find more information here: <http://www.nature.com/info/partners.html>

Open access funding

Visit [Nature Research's open access funding page](#) for information about research funders and institutions that provide funding for open access.

Nature Research also offers an APC support service to make it easier for Nature Research authors to discover and apply for open access funding. For advice on what funding is available to you and help in approaching funders and institutions, please contact us at openaccess@nature.com.

Visit our open research site for further information about licenses, APCs, and our free OA funding support service:

[About Creative Commons licensing](#)

[Article processing charges \(APCs\) for *NPG Asia Materials*](#)

[APC payment FAQs](#)

[Help in identifying funding for APCs](#)

[APC waiver policy](#)

[Compliance with funding body requirements](#)

Manuscript deposition and self-archiving

To facilitate self-archiving we deposit open access articles in PubMed Central and Europe PubMed Central on publication. Authors are also permitted to post the final, published PDF of their article on a website, institutional repository or other free public server, immediately on publication. Learn more about self-archiving and deposition of papers published OA.

E-Proofs

The Springer Nature e-proofing system is a unique solution that will enable authors to remotely edit /correct your article proofs.

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail containing a URL linking to the e-proofing site. Proof corrections must be returned within 48 hours of receipt. Failure to do so may result in delayed publication. Extensive corrections cannot be made at this stage.

For more information and instructions on how to use the e-proofing tool please see [here](#).

EDITORIAL POLICIES

Researchers should conduct their research – from research proposal to publication – in line with best practices and codes of conduct of relevant professional bodies and/or national and international regulatory bodies.

Springer Nature is committed to upholding the integrity of the scientific record. As a member of the [Committee on Publication Ethics \(COPE\)](#), *NPG Asia Materials* abides by COPE's principles on how to deal with potential acts of misconduct, which includes formal investigation of all perceived transgressions.

General publishing policies

Please see the authors & referees page for detailed information about author and referee services and publication policies of the Nature Research family of journals. These journals, including *NPG Asia Materials*, share a number of common policies including the following:

[Author responsibilities](#)
[Licence agreement and author copyright](#)
[Embargo policy and press releases](#)
[Use of experimental animals and human subjects](#)
[Competing financial interests](#)
[Availability of materials and data](#)
[Digital image integrity and standards](#)
[Biosecurity concerns](#)
[Refutations, complaints and corrections](#)
[Duplicate publication](#)
[Confidentiality and pre-publicity](#)
[Plagiarism and fabrication](#)

Changes to authorship

It is the corresponding author's responsibility to ensure that the author list is correct at the point of first submission. Requests to change the authorship (such as to include or exclude an author, change an author's name or contribution) must be accompanied by a letter signed by all authors to show they concur with the change. New authors must also confirm that they fully comply with the journal's authorship requirements. Requests for addition or removal of authors as a result of authorship disputes (after acceptance) are honoured after formal notification by the institute or independent body and/or when there is agreement between all authors. Changes to the authorship will not be allowed once the manuscript has been accepted for publication.

Correspondence with the Journal

One author is designated the contact author for matters arising from the manuscript (materials requests, technical comments and so on). It is this author's responsibility to inform all co-authors of matters arising and to ensure such matters are dealt with promptly. Before submission, the corresponding author ensures that all authors are included in the author list, its order agreed upon by all authors, and are aware that the manuscript was submitted. After acceptance for publication, proofs are e-mailed to this corresponding author who should circulate the proof to all co-authors and coordinate corrections among them.

Duplicate & Redundant Publication

Papers must be original and not published or submitted for publication elsewhere. This rule also applies to non-English language publications.

Redundant publication (also described as "salami publishing") is when one study is split into several parts and submitted to two or more journals. It also includes findings that have previously been published elsewhere without proper cross-referencing, permission or justification. "Self-plagiarism" is considered a form of redundant publication as it concerns recycling or borrowing content from previous work without citation.

Springer Nature allows and encourages prior publication on recognized community preprint servers for review by other scientists before formal submission to a journal. The details of the preprint server concerned and any accession numbers should be included in the cover letter accompanying manuscript submission. This policy does not extend to preprints available to the media or that are otherwise publicized outside the scientific community before or during the submission and consideration process.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is when an author attempts to pass off someone else's work as his or her own. Duplicate publication, sometimes called self-plagiarism, occurs when an author reuses substantial parts of his or her own published work without providing the appropriate references. Minor plagiarism without dishonest intent is relatively frequent, for example, when an author reuses parts of an introduction from an earlier paper.

Springer Nature is a member of Similarity Check (formerly CrossCheck), a multi-publisher initiative used to screen published and submitted content for originality. *NPG Asia Materials* uses Similarity Check to detect instances of overlapping and similar text in submitted manuscripts. To find out more about CrossCheck visit <https://www.crossref.org/services/similarity-check/>

If a case of plagiarism comes to light after a paper is published, the Journal will conduct a preliminary investigation, utilising the guidelines of the [Committee on Publication Ethics](#). If plagiarism is proven, the Journal will contact the author's institute and funding agencies as appropriate. The paper containing the plagiarism may also be formally retracted or subject to correction.

Toolkits

Nature Research endorses the toolkits and guidelines produced by the following bodies:

Committee on Publication Ethics

Good Publication Practice

Medical Publishing Insights and Practices Initiative

Conflicts of Interest

In the interests of transparency and to help readers form their own judgments of potential bias, authors must declare whether or not there are any conflicts of interest in relation to the work described. This information must be included in their cover letter and after the acknowledgements of their manuscript. In cases where the authors declare a conflict of interest, a statement to that effect is published as part of the article. If no such conflict exists, the statement will simply read that the authors have nothing to disclose.

For the purposes of this statement, conflicts of interests are defined as those of a financial nature that, through their potential influence on behaviour or content, or from perception of such potential influences, could undermine the objectivity, integrity or perceived value of a publication. They can include any of the following:

- Funding: Research support (including salaries, equipment, supplies, reimbursement for attending symposia, and other expenses) by organizations that may gain or lose financially through this publication. The role of the funding body in the design of the study, collection and analysis of data and decision to publish should be stated.
- Employment: Recent (while engaged in the research project), present or anticipated employment by any organization that may gain or lose financially through this publication.
- Personal financial interests: Stocks or shares in companies that may gain or lose financially through publication; consultation fees or other forms of remuneration from organizations that may gain or lose financially; patents or patent applications whose value may be affected by publication.

It is difficult to specify a threshold at which a financial interest becomes significant, but note that many US universities require faculty members to disclose interests exceeding \$10,000 or 5% equity in a company. Any such figure is arbitrary, so we offer as one possible practical alternative guideline: "Declare all interests that could embarrass you were they to become publicly known after your work was published." We do not consider diversified mutual funds or investment trusts to constitute a conflict of interest.

The statement must contain an explicit and unambiguous statement describing any potential conflict of interest, or lack thereof, for any of the authors as it relates to the subject of the report. Examples include "Dr. Smith receives compensation as a consultant for XYZ Company," "Dr. Jones and Dr. Smith have financial holdings in ABC Company," or "Dr. Jones owns a patent on the diagnostic device described in this report." These statements acknowledging or denying conflicts of interest must be included in the manuscript under the heading Conflict of Interest. The Conflict of Interest disclosure appears in the cover letter, in the manuscript submission process and before the References section in the manuscript.

Following the Conflict of Interest heading, there must be a listing for each author, detailing the professional services relevant to the submission. Neither the precise amount received from each entity nor the aggregate income from these sources needs to be provided. Professional services include any activities for which the individual is, has been, or will be compensated with cash, royalties, fees, stock or stock options in exchange for work performed, advice or counsel provided, or for other services related to the author's professional knowledge and skills. This would include, but not necessarily be limited to, the identification of organizations from which the author received contracts or in which he or she holds an equity stake if professional services were provided in conjunction with the transaction.

Examples of declarations are:

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Conflict of Interest

Dr Caron's work has been funded by the XX. He has received compensation as a member of the scientific advisory board of YY Corporation and owns stock in the company. He also has consulted for ZZ Co. Ltd. and received compensation. Dr Rothman and Dr Jensen declare no potential conflict of interest.

Permissions

If a table or figure has been published before, the authors must obtain written permission to reproduce the material in electronic format from the copyright owner and submit it with the manuscript. This follows for illustrations and other materials taken from previously published works not in the public domain. The original source should be cited in the figure caption or table footnote. Permission to reproduce material can usually be obtained through the [Copyright Clearance Centre](#).

Data Fabrication & Falsification

Falsification is the practice of altering research data with the intention of giving a false impression. This includes, but is not limited to, manipulating images, removing outliers or “inconvenient” results, or changing, adding or omitting data points. Fabrication is the practice of inventing data or results and recording and/or reporting them in the research record. Data falsification and fabrication call into question the integrity and credibility of data and the data record, and as such, they are among the most serious issues in scientific ethics.

Some manipulation of images is allowed to improve them for readability. Proper technical manipulation includes adjusting the contrast and/or brightness or colour balance if it is applied to the complete digital image (not parts of the image). The author should notify the Editor in the cover letter of any technical manipulation. Improper technical manipulation refers to obscuring, enhancing, deleting and/or introducing new elements into an image. See Image Integrity & Standards below for more details.

Misconduct

Springer Nature takes seriously all allegations of potential misconduct. As a member of the [Committee on Publication Ethics](#) (COPE), *NPG Asia Materials* will follow the COPE guidelines outlining how to deal with cases of suspected misconduct. As part of the investigation, the journal may opt to do one or more of the following:

- suspend review or publication of a paper until the issue has been investigated and resolved;
- request additional information from the author, including original data or images or ethics committee or IRB approval;
- make inquiries of other titles believed to be affected;
- forward concerns to the author’s employer or person responsible for research governance at the author’s institution;
- refer the matter to other authorities or regulatory bodies (for example, the Office of Research Integrity in the US or the General Medical Council in the UK); or
- submit the case to COPE in an anonymized form for additional guidance on resolution.

Please note that, in keeping with the journal’s policy of the confidentiality of peer review, if sharing of information with third parties is necessary, disclosure will be made to only those Editors who the Editor believes may have information that is pertinent to the case, and the amount of information will be limited to the minimum required.

Anonymity and Confidentiality

Editors, authors and reviewers are required to keep confidential all details of the editorial and peer review process on submitted manuscripts. Unless otherwise declared as a part of open peer review, the peer review process is confidential and conducted anonymously. All details about submitted manuscripts are kept confidential and no comments are issued to outside parties or organizations about manuscripts under consideration or if they are rejected. Editors are restricted to making public comments on a published article’s content and their evaluation.

Upon accepting an invitation to evaluate a manuscript, reviewers must keep the manuscript and associated data confidential, and not redistribute them without the journal’s permission. If a reviewer asks a colleague to assist in assessing a manuscript, confidentiality must be ensured and their names must be provided to the journal with the final report.

We ask reviewers not to identify themselves to authors without the editor's knowledge. If they wish to reveal their identities while the manuscript is under consideration, this should be done via the editor; if this is not practicable, we ask authors to inform the editor as soon as possible after the reviewer has revealed their identity. Our own policy is to neither confirm nor deny any speculation about reviewers' identities, and we encourage reviewers to adopt a similar policy.

We deplore any attempt by authors to confront reviewers or try to determine their identities. Reviewers should be aware that it is our policy to keep their names confidential and that we do our utmost to ensure this confidentiality. We cannot, however, guarantee to maintain this confidentiality in the face of a successful legal action to disclose identity.

Regardless of whether a submitted manuscript is eventually published, correspondence with the journal, referees’ reports, and other confidential material must not be published, disclosed, or otherwise publicised without prior written consent.

Communication with the Media

Material submitted must not be discussed with the media. We reserve the right to halt the consideration or publication of a paper if this condition is broken. If a paper is particularly newsworthy, the press release will be sent to our list of journalists in advance of publication with an embargo that forbids any coverage of the manuscript, or the findings of the manuscript, until the time and date clearly stated. Authors whose papers are scheduled for publication may also arrange their own publicity (for instance through their institution's press offices), but they must strictly adhere to our press embargo and are advised to coordinate their own publicity with our press office.

Communication Between Scientists

We do not wish to hinder communication between scientists. We ask you to communicate with other researchers as much as you wish, whether on a recognized community preprint server, by discussion at scientific meetings or by online collaborative sites such as wikis, but we do not encourage premature publication by discussion with the press (beyond a formal presentation, if at a conference).

Research Data Policy

We strongly encourage that all datasets on which the conclusions of the paper rely should be available to readers. We encourage authors to ensure that their datasets are either deposited in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate) or presented in the main manuscript or additional supporting files whenever possible. Where one does not exist, the information must be made available to referees at submission and to readers promptly upon request. Any restrictions on material availability or other relevant information must be disclosed in the manuscript's Methods section and should include details of how materials and information may be obtained. Please see the journal's guidelines on Research Data policy [here](#).

Pre- and Post-Submissions

Authors are welcome to post pre-submission versions or the original submitted version of the manuscript on a personal blog, a collaborative wiki or an institution-hosted repository at any time (but not subsequent pre-accept versions that evolve due to the Editorial process).

The published version – copyedited and in the publisher's individual journal format – may not be posted on any website or preprint server.

For content published under a creative commons license, authors can replace the submitted version with the final published version at publication as long as a publication reference and URL to the published version on the journal website are provided.

The editors also reserve the right to reject a paper even after it has been accepted if it becomes apparent that there are serious problems with the scientific content or with violations of our publishing policy.

Peer Review

Manuscripts sent out for peer review are evaluated by at least one but usually two or more independent reviewers. Authors are strongly encouraged to suggest independent reviewers to evaluate their manuscript. All recommendations are considered, but the choice of reviewers is at the Editor's discretion. To expedite the review process, only papers that seem most likely to meet Editorial criteria are sent for external review. Papers judged by the editors to be of insufficient general interest or otherwise inappropriate are rejected promptly without external review.

The Editors make one of the following decisions based on the reviewers' or on their own evaluations:

- **Accept**, with or without Editorial revisions.
- **Revise**, with the author addressing concerns raised by the reviewers before a final decision is reached.
- **Reject**, but indicate to the authors that further work might justify a resubmission.
- **Reject outright**, typically on grounds of interest to limited audience of specialists, lack of fundamental novelty in physics or chemistry of materials, insufficient conceptual advance in devices or processes, or major technical and/or interpretational problems.

Selecting Peer Reviewers

Reviewer selection is critical to the publication process, and we base our choice on many factors, based on expertise, reputation, and specific recommendations. A reviewer may decline the invitation to evaluate a manuscript where there is a perceived conflict of interest (financial or otherwise).

Appeals

Even in cases where editors did not invite resubmission, authors ask the editors to reconsider a rejection decision. These are considered appeals, which, by policy, must take second place to the normal workload. In practice, this means that decisions on

appeals often take several weeks. Only one appeal is permitted for each manuscript, and appeals can only take place after peer review.

Decisions are reversed on appeal only if the editors are convinced that the original decision was a serious mistake, not merely a borderline call that could have gone either way. Further consideration may be merited if a referee made substantial errors of fact or showed evidence of bias, but only if a reversal of that referee's opinion would have changed the original decision. Similarly, disputes on factual issues need not be resolved unless they were critical to the outcome. Thus, after careful consideration of the authors' points, most appeals are rejected by the editors.

If an appeal merits further consideration, the editors may send the authors' response or the revised paper to one or more referees, or they may ask one referee to comment on the concerns raised by another referee. On occasion, particularly if the editors feel that additional technical expertise is needed to make a decision, they may obtain advice from an additional referee.

Correction and Retraction Process

Once the paper is published online it is considered final and cannot be amended. The online version is part of the published record hence the original version must be preserved and changes to the paper should be made as a formal correction.

Please note the following categories of corrections to print and online versions of peer reviewed content:

- **Publisher Correction.** Notification of **an important error made by the journal** that affects the publication record or the scientific integrity of the paper, or the reputation of the authors, or of the journal.
- **Author Correction.** Notification of **an important error made by the author(s)** that affects the publication record or the scientific integrity of the paper, or the reputation of the authors or the journal.
- **Retraction.** Notification of **invalid results**. All co-authors must sign a retraction specifying the error and stating briefly how the conclusions are affected.

Decisions about corrections are made by the Editor (sometimes with peer reviewers' advice) and this sometimes involves author consultation. Requests to make corrections that do not affect the paper in a significant way or impair the reader's understanding of the contribution (a spelling mistake or grammatical error, for example) are not considered.

In cases where co-authors disagree about a correction, the editors will take advice from independent peer reviewers and impose the appropriate correction, noting the dissenting author(s) in the text of the published version. Please see [authors & referees page](#) for detailed information about author and referee services and publication policies of the Nature Research journals.

FURTHER INFORMATION

For inquiries related to submission requirements, please contact the [Editorial office](#). For inquiries related to advertising, permissions, papers in production or publishing a supplement, please contact the [publisher's office](#).