SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 17 August 2016 Accepted: 03 February 2017 Published: 08 March 2017

OPEN Size matters for *in vitro* gene delivery: investigating the relationships among complexation protocol, transfection medium, size and sedimentation

Daniele Pezzoli^{1,2}, Elisa Giupponi³, Diego Mantovani² & Gabriele Candiani^{1,3}

Although branched and linear polyethylenimines (bPEIs and IPEIs) are gold standard transfectants, a systematic analysis of the effects of the preparation protocol of polyplexes and the composition of the transfection medium on their physicochemical behaviour and effectiveness in vitro have been much neglected, undermining in some way the identification of precise structure-function relationships. This work aimed to address these issues. bPEI/DNA and IPEI/DNA, prepared using two different modes of addition of reagents, gave rise to polyplexes with exactly the same chemical composition but differing in dimensions. Upon dilution in serum-free medium, the size of any kind of polyplex promptly rose over time while remained invariably stable in complete DMEM. Of note, the bigger the dimension of polyplexes (in the nano- to micrometer range), the greater their efficiency in vitro. Besides, centrifugal sedimentation of polyplexes displaying different dimensions to speed up and enhance their settling onto cells boosted transfection efficiencies. Conversely, transgene expression was significantly blunted in cells held upside-down and transfected, definitively pointing out the impact of gravitational sedimentation of polyplexes on their transfection efficiency. Overall, much more attention must be paid to the actual polyplex size that relies on the complexation conditions and the transfection medium.

The development of non-viral vectors capable of efficiently delivering nucleic acids to cells and tissues (i.e. transfection) is an inherently interdisciplinary and a rapidly advancing area of research. Since their introduction in 1987¹, a number of cationic polymer-based vectors have been designed and developed^{2,3}. Among them, poly(ethylene imine) (PEI) is considered the gold standard gene carrier^{4,5} owing to its superior transfection efficiency. PEI comes in linear (IPEI) and branched (bPEI) configurations, ranging in molecular weight from 0.8 to 1,000 kDa⁶.

Despite extensive research in this area, some conflicting results about the performances of non-viral gene delivery vectors in general, and PEIs specifically, have been reported. Such a wide range of outcomes arises, at least in part, from the large variability in experimental conditions employed in transfection assays^{7,8}. Two major weaknesses can be identified in ordinary experimental practice that stand in the way of translating in vitro gene delivery results to in vivo efficacy studies: (i) polyplexes are nearly always characterized in unrealistic protein-free solutions disregarding the fact that, especially in vivo, they are meant to encounter protein-rich fluids; (ii) vectors are studied and optimized in classical two-dimensional (2D) cultures, *i.e.* with cells lying on the surface of polystyrene culture plates.

The formation of polyplexes is first driven by the electrostatic interaction between the positive charges of the cationic polymers and the negative charges of the DNA, and finally by entropy^{9,10}. Thus, subtle changes in the way of combining reagents may affect the physicochemical properties and the transfection efficiency of polyplexes.

¹Research Unit Milano Politecnico, National Interuniversity Consortium of Materials Science and Technology – INSTM, Via Mancinelli 7, Milan 20131, Italy. ²Laboratory for Biomaterials and Bioengineering, CRC-I, Department of Mining, Metallurgical and Materials Engineering & CHU de Quebec Research Centre, Laval University, 10 rue de l'Espinay, Quebec City (QC) G1L 3L5, Canada. ³Department of Chemistry, Materials and Chemical Engineering "Giulio Natta", Politecnico di Milano, Via Mancinelli 7, Milan 20131, Italy. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.C. (email: gabriele.candiani@polimi.it)

			$D_H(\mathbf{nm})$	St. Dev. D_H (nm)	PDI	St. Dev. PDI	$\zeta_P(\mathrm{mV})$	St. Dev. ζ_P (mV)
25 kDa bPEI	10 mM Hepes	MIXING	143.8	6.4	0.16	0.03	30.2	0.9
		DROPPING	386.5	38.7	0.41	0.08	32.2	1.1
	150 mM NaCl	MIXING	159.8	10.9	0.18	0.05	22.1	1.1
		DROPPING	352.0	34.0	0.50	0.17	28.7	3.1
25 kDa lPEI	10 mM Hepes	MIXING	161.4	12.9	0.19	0.07	24.5	1.9
		DROPPING	466.0	63.8	0.44	0.07	31.0	0.8
	150 mM NaCl	MIXING	2296.2	255.4	1.00	0.00	27.1	1.0
		DROPPING	3832.9	1209.9	0.96	0.11	22.5	1.3

Table 1. Hydrodynamic diameter (D_H), polydispersity index (PDI) and ζ -potential (ζ_P) of the polyplexes were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and laser Doppler micro-electrophoresis. 25 kDa bPEIand lPEI-based polyplexes were prepared at N/P 30 by adding pDNA to PEI solution, in 10 mM HEPES at pH 7 or 150 mM NaCl at pH 7. Reagents were added dropwise (DROPPING mode) or mixed by pipetting (MIXING mode). Measurements were performed 5 min after dilution in buffer (n = 4).

Unfortunately, far too little is known about the influence of the mode of adding reagents on the properties of the resulting complexes, and enough detail about their preparation is very seldom acknowledged. In this regard, a comprehensive study investigating, among other possible variables, the effects on transfection activity of the order of mixing between the plasmid DNA (pDNA) and the polycationic solutions and the mixing volume ratio has been published only recently⁸. Nevertheless, it is worthy of note that some variables other than those aforementioned may also influence to some extent the way polyplexes behave.

In this context, we herein show the comparison of different modes of addition of reagents (*i.e.* the mix of reagents by pipetting vs. the dropwise addition) for the preparation of bPEI and lPEI-based polyplexes. The physicochemical properties of the resulting complexes were evaluated in each respective complexation buffer and upon dilution in serum-free and serum-supplemented media. Transfection activity was thoroughly investigated in traditional 2D upright tests and in experimental setups designed *ad-hoc* to decipher the potential effect of particle sedimentation (*i.e.* the centrifugal settling of complexes onto cells and transfections carried out in the upside-down configuration). This study allowed to outline precise size-activity relationships and to shed light on the role played by the gravitational settling of polyplexes on *in vitro* transfection outcomes, as well as to provide practical guidelines for the preparation of more and more effective polyplexes *in vitro*.

Results and Discussion

In order to investigate the influence of differences in the complexation protocol on the physicochemical and biological properties of the resulting polyplexes, two distinct modes of addition of reagents in the preparation of PEI/pDNA polyplexes (*i.e.* the mix of reagents by pipetting, MIXING, *vs.* the dropwise addition, DROPPING) were compared in different experimental setups. Even though lPEI and bPEI have been found effective at different molecular sizes, PEIs with a $M_W \approx 25$ kDa are by far the most studied and employed in transfection^{11,12}, and were thus investigated in the present study.

Physicochemical characterization of polyplexes in different complexation buffers and culture

media. Commercially sourced 25 kDa bPEI and IPEI were used to complex pDNA, by adding the pDNA solution to the PEI solution, in two amongst the most popular complexation buffers such as 10 mM HEPES and 150 mM NaCl. For the sake of simplicity and comparison, polyplexes were invariably prepared at N/P 30, that was recently identified as the most effective for 25 kDa IPEI (from Polysciences)⁸. Each reagent was pre-warmed, added and incubated at 37 °C by means of a thermomixer in order to minimize temperature variations that might have some effect on complexation¹³.

The hydrodynamic diameter (D_H) and the ζ -potential (ζ_P) of each different kind of polyplex were first measured after dilution in its own complexation buffer. Cumulant analysis was performed for size evaluation. Z-Average and PDI, together with ζ_P values, are reported in Table 1. In all but one condition, that is lPEI prepared in 150 mM NaCl, the MIXING mode gave rise to the formation of cationic nanoparticles, with ζ_P between +22 mV and +30 mV and D_H between 143 and 161 nm. Of note, the PDI was invariably low (PDI < 0.2), indicating the formation of quite monodisperse particle populations. When following the DROPPING mode of addition, a significant rise in dimensions, and to a lesser extent in ζ_P , was observed for all the conditions tested, apart from IPEI in 150 mM NaCl. Indeed, D_H was >350 nm and at least twice the value obtained with the MIXING protocol, while ζ_P was only slightly more positive. PDI increased as well (PDI > 0.4), possibly indicating the formation of heterogeneous populations of aggregates. Instead, IPEI-polyplexes in 150 mM NaCl behaved differently. Indeed, as already reported^{8,12}, and irrespective of the mode of addition, this condition gave rise to a roughly heterogeneous population of big aggregates (PDI \approx 1; D_H > 1 µm). Nonetheless, the ζ_P was positive and similar to the other conditions studied, albeit lower when following the DROPPING mode.

Similar results were obtained when the opposite order of mixing was used (*i.e.* PEI was added to pDNA solution) (Supp. Inf. Table S1).

Although the physicochemical behaviour of polyplexes in the complexation buffer is known to influence the final activity of such complexes¹⁴, their actual efficacy ultimately depends on their real properties in the cell culture medium. Unfortunately, what happens to polyplexes once diluted in various media simulating body fluids, has been much neglected and relegated mostly to descriptive, phenomenological observations. In this regard,

very few studies pointed out that aggregation, stabilization or decomplexation of polyplexes may occur because of the presence of proteins that^{15,16}, as for nanoparticles in general^{17,18}, get adsorbed onto their surface as they get in contact with the physiological surroundings.

We therefore monitored the evolution of polyplex dimensions over 4 hrs at 37 °C in three different culture media. Given the broad distributions obtained for each of these conditions (PDI > 0.5), the size distribution analysis (CONTIN algorithm) was used to determine the peak positions. In the absence of serum, a general behaviour was observed, with D_H rising to values close to, or even higher than, 1 μ m within the first 3 hrs of incubation, as exemplified in Fig. 1a and b by bPEI polyplexes prepared in 10 mM HEPES and diluted in OptiMEM® I (hereinafter referred to as OptiMEM) and in plain Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (hereinafter referred to as serum-free DMEM, please see the Methods section for the formulation), respectively. Of note, one single peak corresponding to the population of polyplexes was detected in both media, thus allowing easy monitoring of temporal changes in D_{H} . According to the "Derjaguin, Landau, Verwey and Overbeek theory" (DLVO theory), such a rise in dimensions may be related to an increase in electrolyte concentration^{14,19}. Instead, the multimodal size distribution analysis of polyplexes diluted in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (hereinafter referred to as complete DMEM) displayed two additional and distinct peaks at 5-15 nm and 25-40 nm due to serum proteins, as confirmed by the analysis of pure complete DMEM (Supp. Inf. Fig. S1g) and in agreement with literature data²⁰. Such two peaks displayed substantially steady intensities and position, and were far enough from the single sharp peak corresponding to polyplexes, so that we were able to track specifically the population of complexes all over the time course of the experiment (Supp. Inf. Fig. S1e and f, S2e and f, and S3e and f). In contrast to what we observed in serum-free media, the presence of FBS blunted the aggregation of polyplexes, as shown by the modest increase of their D_H of \approx 50–100 nm within the first few minutes of incubation and the subsequent stabilization (Fig. 1c). We speculate that this behaviour may be due to the prompt adsorption of proteins onto polyplexes that elicited an initial increase in particle dimensions but that finally prevented long-term aggregation by reducing particle-particle interactions¹⁴.

Irrespective of the culture media used, no reliable results were obtained in the case of lPEI polyplexes prepared in 150 mM NaCl. It is reasonable to suppose that such aggregates became too big and polydispersed so that DLS technique is not suited to this purpose.

Altogether, these results showed that the presence of serum in the culture medium did prevent the aggregation of PEI-based polyplexes that was found to occur in serum-free media, thus allowing to keep their dimensions stable over time.

Transfection in different culture media. To ascertain the influence of the physicochemical properties of polyplexes on gene delivery behaviour, we evaluated the transfection efficiency in every aforementioned condition (Fig. 2), keeping constant the final concentration of each component, the N/P (30), and the pDNA dose. Besides, we kept fixed the seeding density to 2×10^4 cells/cm², in order to reach 60–70% confluency at the time of transfection (i.e. 24 hrs post-seeding). This condition was found to be optimal for a number of polymeric transfectants because gives rise to high effectiveness and reasonably low cytotoxicity in HeLa cell line²¹.

A common transfection behaviour was observed for all but one the different polyplexes tested in complete DMEM. Indeed, when polyplexes were prepared through the dropwise addition of reagents, their efficiency in transfections carried out in HeLa cells (Fig. 2c, p < 0.001 at least, MIXING vs. DROPPING) and in primary Human Umbilical Artery Smooth Muscle Cells (HUASMCs) (Supp. Inf. Fig. S4a, p < 0.01 at least, MIXING vs. DROPPING) doubled at least, whilst no significant variation was observed for IPEI/pDNA complexes prepared in 150 mM NaCl. Taking into account the physicochemical properties of the former complexes, a direct relationship between their dimensions and activity was unequivocally drawn: the DROPPING protocol allowed the production of bigger particles featuring higher transfection efficiency, as compared to the MIXING procedure that instead gave rise to a monodisperse population of small polyplexes ($D_H < 200 \text{ nm}$). Instead, IPEI-polyplexes in 150 mM NaCl were almost not affected by the preparation protocol and invariably yielded larger polydisperse aggregates, more effective than any other PEI-buffer combination used to prepare polyplexes according to the MIXING mode. Of note, even though the overall effectiveness of IPEI/pDNA complexes prepared in 150 mM NaCl was greater as compared to the other conditions and transfectants, primary HUASMCs were generally less permissive to transfection (Supp. Inf. Fig. S4a). This cell-specific effect of a given transfectant is in line with what shown by us and other authors^{7,22,23}. On the other hand, it is worth noting that the cytotoxicity in complete DMEM was invariably low in HeLa cells (between 10% and 20%, Supp. Inf. Fig. S5c), thus unaffecting the performance of the transfectants. Instead, transfection was generally slightly more detrimental to primary HUASMCs, especially in the case of highly effective lPEI/pDNA polyplexes prepared in 150 mM NaCl (Supp. Inf. Fig. S4b).

These results are particularly intriguing as they were obtained in the presence of serum that, as discussed herein above, prevented the growth in size of polyplexes and allowed to correlate the physicochemical properties measured in real conditions of use with their transfection behaviour. Indeed, it is noteworthy that serum-enriched medium is required for mid-to-long-term survival of cells in culture and is normally used to evaluate the serum-resistance of gene delivery vectors prior to animal studies^{7,22,23}. However, serum-free media are also often employed in transfection, such as for the production of recombinant proteins for biopharmaceutical applications^{24,25}. On these premises, and considering specific dimensional changes of polyplexes in serum-depleted media, we also evaluated the transfection activity of polyplexes in OptiMEM and serum-free DMEM on HeLa cells.

In contrast to what observed in complete DMEM, such stark differences in transfection efficiency observed between MIXING and DROPPING procedures decreased or even disappeared for all the conditions tested in the absence of serum (Fig. 2a and b, p > 0.05). These results suggested that the growth of polyplexes in OptiMEM and serum-free DMEM, as displayed in the previous section, may rapidly level out the initial differences in size leading to comparable dimensions and activity. Besides, HeLa cells transfected in both OptiMEM and serum-free



Figure 1. Typical temporal evolution of the D_H **of bPEI/pDNA complexes.** Polyplexes were prepared in 10 mM HEPES according to the MIXING and DROPPING modes, and diluted in (**a**) OptiMEM, (**b**) serum-free DMEM and (**c**) complete DMEM. D_H of polyplexes was measured by DLS, using the size distribution analysis (CONTIN algorithm) to identify the position of the main peak.

DMEM displayed reduced viability as compared to complete DMEM, reaching at most 30–40% cytotoxicity (Supp. Inf. Fig. S5a and b).

Of note, a very similar behaviour on HeLa cells was also observed when the opposite order of addition (i.e. PEI was added to pDNA solution) was used to prepare polyplexes (Supp. Inf. Fig. S6).

As a general observation, transfection levels displayed in OptiMEM were lower than those in serum-free DMEM, even though both media are serum-free and have similar electrolyte content. Such unexpected outcomes may rely on the reduced cell proliferation in the former along with the protocol used for cell culture and transfection. In fact, the viability of control HeLa cells in OptiMEM was \approx 23% lower than those cultivated in complete



Figure 2. Transfection efficiency of polyplexes prepared according to different complexation protocols and tested in various transfection media on HeLa cells. Polyplexes were prepared in 10 mM HEPES (bPEI-H and lPEI-H) and 150 mM NaCl (bPEI-N and lPEI-N) at N/P 30 according to the MIXING and DROPPING modes, invariably adding pDNA to PEI solution. After dilution in (a) OptiMEM, (b) serum-free DMEM or (c) complete DMEM, polyplexes were added to cells and transfection efficiency was evaluated at 24 hrs. Results are expressed as mean \pm SD, n \geq 8. ***p < 0.001, MIXING vs. DROPPING.

DMEM (p < 0.001), while no significant difference was observed between the latter condition and serum-free DMEM (Supp. Inf. Fig. S7); this issue, together with the increased cytotoxicity displayed by polyplexes (especially for bPEI) in OptiMEM, may account for impaired transfection²⁶.

Altogether, these results showed the existence of a strong relationship between the size of polyplexes and their transfection efficiency *in vitro* and highlighted the key role played by the actual dimensions of PEI-based polyplexes in transfection medium on their effectiveness. It is worthy of note that the investigation of MIXING-DROPPING pairs allowed a straightforward comparison of polyplexes differing solely for size, and thus to rule out the possible effect of N/P and polymer concentration.

Effect of centrifugation and culture in upside-down configuration on transfection effi-

ciency. When polyplexes enter a biological *milieu*, they undergo diffusion, aggregation, and/or gravitational sedimentation²⁷. As the gravitational settling rate increases with particle size and density, one possible explanation for the high efficiency of bigger complexes may rely on this. Indeed, small particles tend to stay suspended for longer periods, due to the dominant role of Brownian motion, than the larger ones²⁷. Instead, bigger sized particles sediment faster, increasing the likelihood that they come into contact with cells²⁸. To ascertain whether this hypothesis was true for polyplexes as well, the effectiveness of PEI/pDNA complexes was assessed in complete





DMEM in two different scenarios such as their centrifugal sedimentation onto HeLa and HUASMCs, and their delivery to cells held upside-down.

Centrifugation is a simple way to facilitate and speed up the settling of polyplexes onto the cell surface⁴. As expected, centrifugation increased the transfection efficiency of any kind of polyplex (Fig. 3a and c). Nonetheless, such an increase in transgene expression (Fig. 3b and d) was much greater for small polyplexes (*i.e.* $D_H < 200 \text{ nm}$) that, on HeLa cells, did eventually become as effective as the bigger counterparts (Supp. Inf. Fig. S8a; p > 0.05, MIXING vs. DROPPING). Instead, whether polyplexes were big, they travelled rapidly by gravitational settling to the bottom of the well and their concentration in the vicinity of the cells was still high even without centrifugation. Again, some differences in transfection between HeLa and HUASMCs may rely on their different responsiveness to polyplexes but also on the different transfection conditions employed for the two cell types. In fact, in order to limit the cytotoxicity of polyplexes, we had to halve the dose to dispense to primary HUASMCs. We can speculate that, the lesser the polyplexes and their concentration, the lower their density at the interface with cells, and thus their transfection efficiency upon centrifugal sedimentation (up to 24-fold).

Transfection experiments in the upside-down configuration were set up to shed light further on the distinct role of diffusion and gravitational settling of polyplexes on their *in vitro* activity. Of note, this setup has already been reported in other uptake studies^{28,29} and we showed it does not alter cellular uptake mechanisms (Supp. Inf. Fig. S9). Cells were thus seeded on glass coverslips that were turned upside-down so that the cells were facing downward, 1.5 mm-far from the bottom of the culture well (please refer to Methods).

Complete DMEM-containing polyplexes was next added and the transgene activity was evaluated and compared with that of cells cultured on similar glass coverslips that were instead kept upright. One would expect that the disparity in transfection efficiency between these two configurations should be negligible if diffusion prevails



Figure 4. Effect of transfecting cells held upside-down on transfection efficiency. Polyplexes were prepared in 10 mM HEPES (bPEI-H and IPEI-H) and 150 mM NaCl (bPEI-N and IPEI-N) at N/P 30, according to the MIXING and DROPPING modes, invariably adding pDNA to PEI solution. Polyplexes were added to complete DMEM and delivered to (a) HeLa cells and to (b) HUASMCs held upside-down and upward. Transfection efficiency was evaluated 24 hrs post-delivery and the ratios between upside-down and upright configurations were calculated. Results are expressed as mean \pm SD, n = 4. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, MIXING vs. DROPPING.

over sedimentation. As shown in Fig. 4, cells invariably displayed a significant reduction of the transfection efficiency when held upside-down. Nevertheless, two different behaviours were observed: for smaller polyplexes (*i.e.* $D_H < 200$ nm, all the MIXING samples except for lPEI-N) the reduction of transgene expression was between 63% and 72% on HeLa cells and between 60% and 75% on HUASMCs, while for polyplexes with $D_H > 300$ nm a greater decrease (\approx 90% on HeLa cells and up to 98% on HUASMCs) was observed (Fig. 4; p < 0.05 at least, MIXING vs. DROPPING). As a result, the transfection activities of smaller and bigger polyplexes were mostly levelled out (Supp. Inf. Fig. 10a and c) and the cytotoxicity was low (Supp. Inf. Fig. S10b and d). These results were supported by experiments in upside-down configuration performed on HeLa cells using plasmid encoding EGFP by means of bPEI-H (Supp. Inf. Fig. S11a–d) and IPEI-N (Supp. Inf. Fig. S11e–h) polyplexes.

Whatever the PEI/pDNA complexes tested, these findings show that diffusive motion alone accounts for at most 30% of the overall activity in the case of small polyplexes ($D_H < 200$ nm), and only for 10% or less in the case of the bigger ones. Likewise, such data provide compelling evidence that gravitational settling takes part in determining the *in vitro* effectiveness of a given polymeric gene delivery vector, or otherwise said, the actual size of polyplexes influences their sedimentation and thus their transfection efficiency.

The use of small, nanometric gene delivery complexes is considered essential for effective *in vivo* delivery, because of the physical constrains such as the dimensions of the capillary fenestrations, free diffusion through tissues and the elimination from the circulation by the reticuloendothelial system (RES), that limit the efficacy of large particles³⁰. However, much less attention has been paid to the effects of particle dimensions *in vitro*, where such barriers do not exist and complexes are delivered onto a cell monolayer. This fact may account for the lack of agreement between *in vitro* studies but also for some poor correlation between *in vitro* and *in vivo* outcomes.

The results reported hereinabove suggest adopting special prudence when dealing with non-viral gene delivery vectors *in vitro*, especially in the choice of the complexation protocol, the transfection medium and, more in general, the transfection setup. For instance, whereas transfections with targeted gene delivery vectors are often carried out in serum-free medium^{31–33}, the real dimensions of polyplexes in physiological surrounding are usually neglected. In light of our results, to ascertain the effectiveness of a targeting moiety, it would be reasonable to perform experiments in the presence of serum to minimize the aggregation of single polyplexes into larger complexes, and placing cells upside-down in order to rule out the effects of gravitational settling on transfection.

Conclusions

Herein we have demonstrated that subtle changes in the method of addition of reagents markedly influenced the physicochemical properties of PEI-polyplexes, and highlighted a strict relationship between the particle dimensions (*i.e.* their gravitational settling) and their transfection efficiency. Noteworthy, the results reported herein above suggest that, for a given gene delivery vector such as bPEI and IPEI, the bigger the dimension of polyplexes (in the nano- to micrometer range), the higher and faster their sedimentation and the greater their efficiency *in vitro*.

The dropwise addition of reagents at the complexation stage (DROPPING mode), as compared to the mix of reagents after single addition (MIXING mode), led to the formation of bigger particles, roughly stable over time, featuring higher transfection efficiency when diluted in serum-supplemented medium. Instead, in serum-free medium, polyplexes clustered over time to give micrometric aggregates. In such a condition, the differences in transfection efficiency observed in complete DMEM within every MIXING-DROPPING pair were completely blunted. Other experiments were designed *ad hoc* to ascertain the role of gravitational settling and diffusion of polyplexes on their transfection efficiency. Likewise, in experiments carried out with cells placed upside-down, the transfection efficiencies were dramatically diminished because of the gravitational sedimentation of polyplexes.

Altogether, this work highlights for the first time the need to take into account the real size of polyplexes, and the issue of sedimentation, for the *in vitro* development of transfectants. In this regard, special attention must be payed to the complexation conditions, the transfection medium and the experimental setup. We believe this will soon allow identifying reliable relationships that best explain some discrepancies between *in vitro* and *in vivo* outcomes. Because there is unfortunately no simple way to fine-tune the size of polyplexes, we propose a trick to increase their effectiveness *in vitro*. Indeed, the centrifugal sedimentation (5 min at 500 × g in complete DMEM) of polyplexes onto cells gives rise invariably to the highest transfection efficiency for every specific condition of complexation buffer and MIXING-DROPPING pair.

Methods

Materials. pDNA encoding the modified firefly luciferase (pGL3-Control Vector, 5.2 kbp) and Luciferase Assay System were obtained from Promega (Milan, Italy), pDNA encoding the Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (pEGFP-N1) was from Clontech Laboratories (Mountain View, CA, USA); pDNA purification kits were from Qiagen (Milan, Italy). Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) Protein Assay Kit, OptiMEM[®] I, AlamarBlue cell viability reagent, Fibroblast Growth Factor-basic (FGFb), and Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) were purchased from Life Technologies Italia (Monza, Italy) while human insulin was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA).

HeLa (human epithelial ovarian carcinoma cells, CCL-2.2) cell line and HUASMCs (primary Human Umbilical Artery Smooth Muscle Cells, CC-2579) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and from Lonza (Walkersville, MD, USA), respectively. 70 kDa fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran was from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy). 25 kDa lPEI was from Polysciences (Eppelheim, Germany) and 25 kDa bPEI was from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy), as all other chemicals if not differently specified.

Preparation of PEI solutions. 25 kDa lPEI and 25 kDa bPEI were diluted to a final concentration of 0.86 mg/mL in 10 mM HEPES buffer or 150 mM NaCl and the pH was adjusted to 7.0. The amine concentration ([N]) in such stock solutions was 20 mM, considering that there is one nitrogen per repeat unit of PEI, -NHCH₂CH₂-, corresponding to a molecular weight (M_w) of 43 Da³⁴.

Preparation of polyplexes. pDNA was amplified, isolated, purified and diluted in $0.1 \times$ TE buffer (1 mM Tris, pH 8.0; 0.1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid - EDTA) as described before⁸. Polyplexes were prepared at 37 °C by combining pre-warmed PEI and pDNA solutions to give a final DNA concentration of 20 ng/µL and N/P 30, where N/P is defined as the number of amines (N) of the cationic polymer per DNA phosphate group (P) in the complexation solution. 10 mM HEPES and 150 mM NaCl, both at pH 7.0, both, were used as complexation media. The resulting suspensions were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C prior to use.

Polyplexes were prepared by adding the aqueous solution of pDNA to the polymers in the appropriate complexation medium at a PEI:pDNA stoichiometry (v:v) of 10:1. To evaluate the influence of the mode of addition, reagents were added dropwise (referred to as DROPPING) or mixed by pipetting (referred to as MIXING). More into detail, DROPPING procedure was as follows: pDNA solution was dropped all at once (one single drop) into the diluted polymer solution and the resulting solution was left standing; instead, MIXING consisted in the direct addition of pDNA to the diluted polymer solution followed by prompt, vigorous mixing by pipetting up and down for 10 times and ca 5 sec in total.

The opposite order of addition (PEI added to DNA) was also investigated. In such a case, PEI:pDNA stoichiometry (v:v) was 1:10.

Measurement of size and ζ **-potential of polyplexes.** The D_H and the ζ_P of the polyplexes were measured by DLS and laser Doppler micro-electrophoresis using a Malvern Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern, UK), fitted with a 5 mW HeNe laser, 633 nm, at a fixed scattering angle of 173°. For each complexation condition, 50 µL of polyplexes containing 1 µg of DNA were prepared as described above, incubated for 30 min at 37 °C and next diluted 1:9 with the complexation buffer (10 mM HEPES buffer or 150 mM NaCl at pH 7.0, both). Measurements were performed 5 min after diluted 1:9 with phenol red-free culture medium on the dimensions of polyplexes, 50 µL of complexes were diluted 1:9 with phenol red-free culture medium and the variation in their diameter was monitored over 4 hrs at 37 °C. Three different culture media were tested: DMEM supplemented with 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 10 mM HEPES buffer, 100 U/mL penicillin, 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine (referred to as serum-free DMEM), serum-free DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (referred to as complete DMEM) and OptiMEM[®] I (referred to as OptiMEM).

Autocorrelation functions were analysed using the standard cumulant method²¹, described in ISO 13321:1996 and ISO22412:2008, producing a mean value for the D_H (Z-Average) and a width parameter of the monomodal curve known as polydispersity index (PDI). Size distribution analysis, using a continuous non-negative least squares (NNLS) fit of the autocorrelation function (CONTIN algorithm^{35,36}), was used to analyze polyplexes diluted in complete cell culture medium.

Cell culture and transfection. Transfection studies were performed on HeLa cells at passage between 10 and 18 and on HUASMCs at passage always between 5 and 10. HeLa cells and HUASMCs were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere and under constant supply of 5% (v/v) CO₂, respectively in complete DMEM and in complete DMEM supplemented with $5 \mu g/mL$ human insulin, 2 ng/mL FGFb, and 0.5 ng/mL EGF. For all the experiments, cells were passaged 24 hrs before final seeding (2×10^4 cells/cm² in T25 flasks) and then plated in 96-well plates at a density of 2×10^4 cells/cm² and maintained in complete DMEM. After 24 hrs, 320 ng of pDNA/cm² for HeLa cells and 160 ng of pDNA/cm² for HUASMCs were complexed (v/v) with 25 kDa bPEI or 25 kDa lPEI in 10 mM HEPES or 150 mM NaCl to give N/P 30, according to the different complexation protocols described herein above. Polyplexes were next incubated with cells for 24 hrs in 150 µL/cm² of complete DMEM



Figure 5. *Ad hoc*-prepared 18 mm-diameter glass coverslips featuring three polyethylene cylindrical spacers (1.5 mm high, 1 mm diameter) in (**a**) upright and (**b**) upside-down configurations. Schematic representation of the (**c**) upright and (**d**) upside-down experimental setups, showing cells facing the top and the bottom of the cell culture well, respectively.

or OptiMEM. For the experiments in serum-free DMEM, cells were incubated with polyplexes for 4 hrs, then the medium was replaced with complete DMEM and the cells cultured for further 20 hrs.

For transfection experiments under increased gravitational load, plates were centrifuged for $5 \min at 500 \times g$ in a multipurpose centrifuge with swing bucket rotor and microplate adaptor (Eppendorf 5810 R, Eppendorf, Milan, Italy), immediately after addition of polyplexes to cells. Experiments were performed at least in quadruplicate.

Cellular uptake experiments. Cell culture supports were prepared *ad hoc* for cellular uptake and subsequent upside-down transfection experiments. Briefly, three polyethylene cylindrical spacers (1.5 mm height, 1 mm diameter, Fig. 5) were glued on the surface of 18 mm diameter glass coverslips using Silastic[®] Medical Adhesive Silicone Type A (Dow Corning, Auburn, MI, USA). After overnight incubation under vacuum to allow the glue to polymerize and dry, the coverslips were sterilized in pure ethanol (30 min) under UV irradiation (15 W germicidal lamp, 30 min per side).

Coverslips were placed upward in 12-well plates (with the spacers facing upward) and cells were seeded in the conditions described above. Twenty-four hrs after seeding, coverslips were moved to empty wells by means of sterile tweezers, and turned upside-down with spacers leant on the well surface and cells facing the bottom of the well (upside-down configuration, Fig. 5d). Coverslips seeded with cells and placed upright in empty wells were used as controls (Fig. 5c). Cellular uptake experiments consisted in the delivery of 1 mg/mL of FITC-labelled dextran solubilized in OptiMEM. Four hrs post-delivery at 37 °C, supernatants were discarded, cells were washed once in PBS, detached by trypsin, and pelleted. Proteins were solubilized in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mM PMSF, 2 µg/mL leupeptin, 2µg/mL aprotinin, 1µg/mL pepstatin, 1% (v/v) Triton X100 in PBS and protein content determined by BCA protein assay kit. Finally, the fluorescence was read by means of a spectrofluorimeter ($\lambda_{ex} = 495$ nm; $\lambda_{em} = 521$ nm).

Upside-down transfection experiments. Coverslips were prepared and seeded as described herein above. Twenty-four hrs after seeding, coverslips were moved to empty wells, and partly turned upside-down, partly placed upright (controls) as described for cellular uptake experiments. Complete DMEM supplemented with pGL3/PEI or pEGFP/PEI polyplexes was next added to cells. For fluorescence microscopy analysis, the spacers were removed and cells fixed in 3.7% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in deionized water (dH₂O), permeabilized with 0.5% (v/v) Triton X100 in PBS and nuclei stained with 0.3 μ g/mL of DAPI. Coverslips were subsequently mounted on glass slides and digital images were obtained by means of an Olympus BX51 fluorescence microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Evaluation of cytotoxicity. Twenty-four hrs after transfection, cytotoxicity of polyplexes was assessed by AlamarBlue cell viability assay used in accordance to the manufacturer's guidelines. Briefly, medium was replaced with complete DMEM containing $1 \times$ resazurin dye and cells were incubated in standard culture conditions. After two hrs, the fluorescence of the cell culture medium was measured by means of a GENios Plus reader ($\lambda_{ex} = 560 \text{ nm}$; $\lambda_{em} = 590 \text{ nm}$). Viability of untreated controls was assigned to as 100% and cytotoxicity was determined according to the following equation:

Evaluation of transfection efficiency. Transgene activity was measured by Luciferase Assay System as previously described. Briefly, cells were washed with PBS, lysed with Cell Culture Lysis Reagent (Promega Italia, Milan, Italy) and freeze-thawed once to facilitate cell disruption. Twenty μ L of cell lysate were next mixed with 50 μ L of Luciferase Assay Reagent and luminescence was measured by means of a GENios Plus reader. The luminescence signal of each sample was normalized to its protein content, determined using BCA assay and data were finally expressed as relative light units per mg of proteins (RLU/mg of proteins).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was carried out by GraphPad version 5.04 (GraphPad software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Comparisons among groups were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple t-test with Sidak-Bonferroni adjustment for multiple testing. Significance was retained when p < 0.05.

References

- 1. Wu, G. Y. & Wu, C. H. Receptor-mediated *in vitro* gene transformation by a soluble DNA carrier system. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 4429-4432 (1987).
- 2. Mintzer, M. A. & Simanek, E. E. Nonviral vectors for gene delivery. Chem. Rev. 109, 259-302, doi: 10.1021/cr800409e (2009).
- Pezzoli, D. & Candiani, G. Non-viral gene delivery strategies for gene therapy: a "menage a trois" among nucleic acids, materials, and the biological environment Stimuli-responsive gene delivery vectors. J. Nanopart. Res. 15, 1523, doi: 10.1007/S11051-013-1523-7 (2013).
- Hsu, C. Y. M. & Uludag, H. A simple and rapid nonviral approach to efficiently transfect primary tissue-derived cells using polyethylenimine. Nat. Protoc. 7, 935–945, doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.038 (2012).
- 5. Pezzoli, D., Chiesa, R., De Nardo, L. & Candiani, G. We still have a long way to go to effectively deliver genes! J. Appl. Biomater. Funct. Mater. 10, e82–91, doi: 10.5301/JABFM.2012.9707 (2012).
- Godbey, W. T., Wu, K. K. & Mikos, A. G. Size matters: Molecular weight affects the efficiency of poly(ethylenimine) as a gene delivery vehicle. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 45, 268–275, doi: Doi 10.1002/(Sici)1097-4636(19990605)45:3268::Aid-Jbm153.0.Co;2-Q (1999).
- 7. Pezzoli, D. et al. Chitosan-Graft-Branched Polyethylenimine Copolymers: Influence of Degree of Grafting on Transfection Behavior. PLoS One 7, e34711, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034711 (2012).
- Malloggi, C. et al. Comparative evaluation and optimization of off-the-shelf cationic polymers for gene delivery purposes. Polym. Chem. 6, 6325–6339, doi: 10.1039/c5py00915d (2015).
- Lucotti, A., Tommasini, M., Pezzoli, D. & Candiani, G. Molecular interactions of DNA with transfectants: a study based on infrared spectroscopy and quantum chemistry as aids to fluorescence spectroscopy and dynamic light scattering analyses. RSC Adv. 4, 49620–49627, doi: 10.1039/c4ra08845j (2014).
- Pack, D. W., Hoffman, A. S., Pun, S. & Stayton, P. S. Design and development of polymers for gene delivery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* 4, 581–593, doi: 10.1038/Nrd1775 (2005).
- Merdan, T., Kopecek, J. & Kissel, T. Prospects for cationic polymers in gene and oligonucleotide therapy against cancer. Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 54, 715–758, doi: 10.1016/s0169-409x(02)00046-7 (2002).
- van Gaal, E. V. B. et al. How to screen non-viral gene delivery systems in vitro? J. Control. Release 154, 218–232, doi: 10.1016/j. jconrel.2011.05.001 (2011).
- Reisinger, H., Steinfellner, W., Katinger, H. & Kunert, R. Serum-free transfection of CHO cells with chemically defined transfection systems and investigation of their potential for transient and stable transfection. *Cytotechnology* 60, 115–123, doi: 10.1007/s10616-009-9224-x (2009).
- 14. Ogris, M. *et al.* The size of DNA/transferrin-PEI complexes is an important factor for gene expression in cultured cells. *Gene Ther.* **5**, 1425–1433, doi: 10.1038/sj.gt.3300745 (1998).
- Breunig, M. et al. Mechanistic insights into linear polyethylenimine-mediated gene transfer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj. 1770, 196–205, doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2006.10.005 (2007).
- Dash, P. R., Read, M. L., Barrett, L. B., Wolfert, M. & Seymour, L. W. Factors affecting blood clearance and *in vivo* distribution of polyelectrolyte complexes for gene delivery. *Gene Ther.* 6, 643–650, doi: 10.1038/sj.gt.3300843 (1999).
- 17. Lynch, I. & Dawson, K. A. Protein-nanoparticle interactions. Nano Today 3, 40-47, doi: 10.1016/s1748-0132(08)70014-8 (2008).
- Tenzer, S. *et al.* Rapid formation of plasma protein corona critically affects nanoparticle pathophysiology. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 8, 772–781, doi: 10.1038/nnano.2013.181 (2013).
- Bordi, F. & Cametti, C. Salt-induced aggregation in cationic liposome aqueous suspensions resulting in multi-step self-assembling complexes. *Colloids Surf.*, B 26, 341–350, doi: 10.1016/s0927-7765(02)00018-8 (2002).
- Nimesh, S., Thibault, M. M., Lavertu, M. & Buschmann, M. D. Enhanced Gene Delivery Mediated by Low Molecular Weight Chitosan/DNA Complexes: Effect of pH and Serum. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 46, 182–196, doi: 10.1007/s12033-010-9286-1 (2010).
- 21. Koppel, D. E. Analysis of macromolecular polydispersity in intensity correlation spectroscopy: The method of cumulants. J. Chem. Phys. 57, 4814–4820 (1972).
- 22. Goldman, C. K. *et al. In vitro* and *in vivo* gene delivery mediated by a synthetic polycationic amino polymer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **15**, 462–466 (1997).
- Ghilardi, A. et al. Synthesis of Multifunctional PAMAM-Aminoglycoside Conjugates with Enhanced Transfection Efficiency. Bioconjug. Chem. 24, 1928–1936, doi: 10.1021/Bc4003635 (2013).
- de Los Milagros Bassani Molinas, M., Beer, C., Hesse, F., Wirth, M. & Wagner, R. Optimizing the transient transfection process of HEK-293 suspension cells for protein production by nucleotide ratio monitoring. *Cytotechnology* 66, 493–514, doi: 10.1007/s10616-013-9601-3 (2014).
- Costa, A. R., Rodrigues, M. E., Henriques, M., Azeredo, J. & Oliveira, R. Guidelines to cell engineering for monoclonal antibody production. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 74, 127–138, doi: 10.1016/j.ejpb.2009.10.002 (2010).
- Riddle, K. W. et al. Modifying the proliferative state of target cells to control DNA expression and identifying cell types transfected in vivo. Mol. Ther. 15, 361–368, doi: 10.1038/sj.mt.6300017 (2007).
- Zhu, M. T., Perrett, S. & Nie, G. J. Understanding the Particokinetics of Engineered Nanomaterials for Safe and Effective Therapeutic Applications. Small 9, 1619–1634, doi: 10.1002/smll.201201630 (2013).
- Cho, E. C., Zhang, Q. & Xia, Y. The effect of sedimentation and diffusion on cellular uptake of gold nanoparticles. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 6, 385–391, doi: 10.1038/nnano.2011.58 (2011).
- Agarwal, R. et al. Mammalian cells preferentially internalize hydrogel nanodiscs over nanorods and use shape-specific uptake mechanisms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 17247–17252, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1305000110 (2013).
- Li, W. & Szoka, F. C. Jr. Lipid-based nanoparticles for nucleic acid delivery. *Pharm. Res.* 24, 438–449, doi: 10.1007/s11095-006-9180-5 (2007).

- Kim, S. H., Jeong, J. H., Cho, K. C., Kim, S. W. & Park, T. G. Target-specific gene silencing by siRNA plasmid DNA complexed with folate-modified poly(ethylenimine). J. Control. Release 104, 223–232, doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2005.02.006 (2005).
- 32. Clements, B. A. et al. RGD conjugation to polyethyleneimine does not improve DNA delivery to bone marrow stromal cells. Biomacromolecules 7, 1481–1488, doi: 10.1021/bm060073w (2006).
- Rao, G. A., Tsai, R., Roura, D. & Hughes, J. A. Evaluation of the transfection property of a peptide ligand for the fibroblast growth factor receptor as part of PEGylated polyethylenimine polyplex. J. Drug Target. 16, 79–89, doi: 10.1080/10611860701733328 (2008).
- Zhang, C., Yadava, P. & Hughes, J. Polyethylenimine strategies for plasmid delivery to brain-derived cells. Methods 33, 144–150, doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2003.11.004 (2004).
- Provencher, S. W. CONTIN: A general purpose constrained regularization program for inverting noisy linear algebraic and integral equations. *Computer Physics Communications* 27, 229–242, doi: 10.1016/0010-4655(82)90174-6 (1982).
- Scotti, A. et al. The CONTIN algorithm and its application to determine the size distribution of microgel suspensions. J. Chem. Phys. 142, doi: 10.1063/1.4921686 (2015).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Mr. Matteo Beretta and Ms. Ida Maroni for technical support, and gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research (FIRB Futuro in Ricerca 2008, grant number RBFR08XH0H).

Author Contributions

D.P., D.M. and G.C. conceived the experiments, D.P. and E.G. conducted the experiments, D.P. and G.C. analyzed the results, D.P. and G.C. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Pezzoli, D. *et al*. Size matters for *in vitro* gene delivery: investigating the relationships among complexation protocol, transfection medium, size and sedimentation. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44134; doi: 10.1038/ srep44134 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017