SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Cervical Pessary for Prevention of Preterm Birth: A Meta-Analysis

Xin-Hang Jin¹, Dan Li² & Li-Li Huang¹

Received: 18 November 2016

Accepted: 10 January 2017

Published: 17 February 2017

To investigate the efficacy of cervical pessary placement in preventing preterm birth and perinatal morbidity and mortality in asymptomatic women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix, we searched literature in relevant databases. The meta-analysis of the 3 included trials (1412 women) showed cervical pessary placement did not reduce the risk of spontaneous preterm birth <34 weeks in these women (risk ratio (RR), 0.71; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.21–2.43, $P = 0.59$; $I^2 = 90\%$). The sensitivity analyses by excluding one trial at one time showed the same results. This meta-analysis also showed that cervical pessary did not prevent preterm birth <34, 30, 28 weeks and was not associated with respiratory distress syndrome, necrotising enterocolitis, intraventricular haemorrhage, neonatal sepsis, retinopathy of prematurity, fetal death, neonatal death, perinatal death, birth weight <1500 g, birth weight <2500 g, premature preterm rupture of membranes, corticosteroid treatment for fetal maturation, and admission to neonatal intensive care unit. Although this meta-analysis showed cervical pessary placement did not reduce the risk of preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix, we could not confirm or refute this conclusion, and large-scale randomised controlled trials are urgently needed.

Preterm birth is defined as any birth before 37 completed weeks of gestation, or fewer than 259 days of gestation¹. Preterm birth is a major determinant of neonatal morbidity and mortality and has lifelong adverse consequences on health². It is estimated that preterm birth accounts for more than one third of the 3.072 million neonatal deaths in the world in one year³. Preterm birth is also the leading cause of child death in nearly all middle and high-income countries³. Moreover, the morbidity associated with preterm birth such as cerebral palsy, learning disability, and chronic disease in adulthood results in enormous economic and social cost^{1,4}.

Spontaneous preterm birth accounts for two thirds of preterm birth, and is also the most common cause of extremely preterm birth, which is any birth before 28 weeks, whereas the rest is medically indicated due to maternal or fetal complications such as preeclampsia, or intrauterine growth restriction⁵. Spontaneous preterm birth is a syndrome caused by multiple pathological processes such as inflammation, vascular disease, and disruption of maternal-fetal tolerance⁶. However, the precise cause of spontaneous preterm birth is unexplained in more than 50% of all the cases¹. A short cervix, defined as a cervical length ≤ 25 mm on transvaginal ultrasound in the mid-trimester of pregnancy, which is one of the most important risk factor for preterm birth, has emerged as one of the strongest predictors of preterm birth in asymptomatic women with a singleton pregnancy^{7–9}. Despite great efforts in research and treatment over the last few decades, preterm birth remains a formidable challenge to obstetricians.

Cervical pessary made of silicone or plastic, which is available in different shapes and sizes, has been used to prevent preterm birth in the past 50 years¹⁰. The precise mechanism of action by which cervical pessary may prevent preterm birth is largely unknown. One potential mechanism is that it functions in a mechanical manner by bending the cervix posteriorly. Thus, the pressure on the internal cervical ostium would be directed on the anterior lower uterine segment¹¹. Another suggested mechanism is that by encompassing the cervix and compressing the cervical canal, the cervical pessary might protect cervical mucus plug, which plays an important role in pregnancy maintenance¹¹.

A previous systematic review showed a need for more randomised trials to confirm the beneficial effects of cervical pessary in reducing preterm birth¹². However, the latest trial indicated that cervical pessary did not result in a lower rate of spontaneous preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix compared with expectant treatment¹³. Therefore, we found it necessary to conduct a meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy of cervical pessary placement in preventing preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix.

¹Women's Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China. ²Wenzhou People's Hospital, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.-L.H. (email: fbhuanglili@163.com)

Methods

Search strategy. We conducted a systematic search for the relevant published literature without language restrictions until November 1st 2016 using the following databases: PubMed, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and Embase. The following medical subject heading (MeSH) terms, keywords, and their combinations were used: pessary; premature birth('preterm', 'premature'); premature labor('preterm', 'premature'). Appropriate suffixes were used for each database. We also manually searched the reference lists of the initially identified articles, previously published meta-analyses and reviews for additional relevant publications.

Study selection and data extraction. We included randomised controlled trials comparing pessary therapy with expectant treatment for prevention of preterm birth in asymptomatic women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervical length ≤ 25 mm as determined by ultrasonography in the mid-trimester. We excluded trials assessing cervical pessary placement in women with premature rupture of membranes, regular uterine contractions, or mid-trimester bleeding. Two independent reviewers screened the titles and abstracts to identify potentially eligible trials and then retrieved and assessed the full texts of the relevant citations for inclusion. The data extracted included the first author, year of publication, population characteristics, intervention details, reported outcomes, and study design. Data extraction was performed independently by two of the reviewers. Any disagreement between the two reviewers was solved unanimously through discussion. The Cochrane risk of bias tool has been used for risk assessment in included studies¹⁴. This tool assesses seven domains of risk of bias (random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias), and categorises randomised trials by 'low, unclear or high risk of bias' in each domain.

Outcomes. The primary outcome was spontaneous preterm birth < 34 weeks of gestation and the secondary outcomes included preterm birth < 34 , < 30 and < 28 weeks of gestation; respiratory distress syndrome; necrotising enterocolitis; intraventricular haemorrhage; neonatal sepsis; retinopathy of prematurity; fetal death; neonatal death; perinatal death; birth weight < 1500 g and < 2500 g; premature preterm rupture of membranes; corticosteroid treatment for fetal maturation; and admission to neonatal intensive care unit.

Data Synthesis. We reported the dichotomous data results after pooling estimates across trials with a random effects meta-analysis as RR with 95% CIs. Heterogeneity was assessed with the I^2 statistic. We performed sensitivity analyses to evaluate the effect of risk of bias on the overall results by excluding one trial at one time. All analyses were done using Revman statistical software version 5.

Results

Of the 204 citations identified, 29 were duplicates, and 172 were excluded based on title and abstract. After assessing full texts of the remaining 3 citations, we included 3 randomised controlled trials evaluating efficacy and safety of cervical pessary placement for prevention of preterm birth in this meta-analysis^{13,15,16}. The PRISMA flow diagram illustrating the selection procedure is shown in Fig. 1.

Baseline characteristics of the 3 included trials is shown in Table 1.

All the three trials were designed to evaluate the effect of cervical pessary placement on preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix^{13,15,16}. Women with a sonographic cervical length < 25 mm or ≤ 25 mm at 18–24 or 20–24 weeks of gestation were included. Women with abnormal conditions such as cervical cerclage, major fetal abnormalities were excluded. Maternal age in the three trials was similar. The women in one trial were Chinese¹⁶, and the women in the other two trials were mainly white^{13,15}. All trials used the same type of cervical pessary. Cervical pessary placement was started at 18–22 weeks of gestation in one trial¹⁵, at 20–24 weeks of gestation in two trials^{13,16}. Cervical pessary was removed at 37 weeks of gestation, or earlier under certain circumstances such as active vaginal bleeding, painful uterine contractions, and rupture of membranes. Although the primary outcome of the trial by Hui *et al.*¹⁶ differed from that of the other two trials^{13,15}, they were actually the same, as all of the preterm births < 34 weeks of gestation were spontaneous preterm births < 34 weeks of gestation in this trial¹⁶. Control interventions were expectant treatment in these trials^{13,15,16}. Assessment of risk of bias is shown in Fig. 2. Five domains (random sequence generation, allocation concealment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other bias) were assessed as 'low risk of bias' in all trials, whereas two domains (blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment) were assessed as 'high risk of bias' due to the open-label nature of these trials.

Primary outcome. *Spontaneous preterm birth < 34 weeks of gestation.* The meta-analysis of the 3 trials (1412 women) showed cervical pessary placement had no significant effect on spontaneous preterm birth < 34 weeks of gestation in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervical length ≤ 25 mm compared with expectant treatment (RR 0.71; 95% CI, 0.21–2.43, $P = 0.59$; $I^2 = 90\%$) (Fig. 3).

Due to the high heterogeneity, we performed sensitivity analyses to explore the cause of heterogeneity. When we performed the sensitivity analyses by excluding one trial at one time, the results remained the same. However, when the trial by Goya *et al.*¹⁵ was excluded, the high heterogeneity was eliminated (RR 1.14, 95% CI 0.81–1.62, $P = 0.46$; $I^2 = 0\%$).

Secondary outcome. The meta-analysis showed no statistically significant difference between the cervical pessary placement and control groups in preterm birth < 34 weeks of gestation (RR 0.75; 95% CI 0.23–2.39, $P = 0.62$; $I^2 = 90\%$), < 30 weeks of gestation (RR 1.24; 95% CI 0.78–1.96, $P = 0.36$; $I^2 = 0\%$), < 28 weeks of gestation (RR 1.52; 95% CI 0.84–2.74, $P = 0.16$; $I^2 = 0\%$), respiratory distress syndrome (RR 0.79; 95% CI 0.22–2.90, $P = 0.72$; $I^2 = 82\%$), necrotising enterocolitis (RR 0.95; 95% CI 0.11–8.13, $P = 0.96$; $I^2 = 47\%$), intraventricular haemorrhage (RR 1.04; 95% CI 0.63–1.74, $P = 0.87$; $I^2 = 0\%$), neonatal sepsis (RR 0.67; 95% CI 0.23–1.96,

Figure 1. Study selection flow diagram.

$P = 0.46$; $I^2 = 69\%$), retinopathy of prematurity (RR 1.21; 95% CI 0.05–28.10, $P = 0.91$; $I^2 = 66\%$), fetal death (RR 1.61; 95% CI 0.53–4.90, $P = 0.40$), neonatal death (RR 1.32; 95% CI 0.48–3.66, $P = 0.59$; $I^2 = 0\%$), perinatal death (RR 1.33; 95% CI 0.65–2.76, $P = 0.44$; $I^2 = 0\%$), birth weight <1500 g (RR 0.72; 95% CI 0.18–2.84, $P = 0.64$; $I^2 = 90\%$), birth weight <2500 g (RR 0.60; 95% CI 0.16–2.17, $P = 0.43$; $I^2 = 95\%$), premature preterm rupture of membranes (RR 0.39; 95% CI 0.09–1.71, $P = 0.21$; $I^2 = 72\%$), corticosteroid treatment for fetal maturation (RR 0.75; 95% CI 0.47–1.18, $P = 0.22$; $I^2 = 37\%$), and admission to neonatal intensive care unit (RR 1.23; 95% CI 0.88–1.71, $P = 0.23$; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Table 2).

Discussion

As far as we know, this is the first meta-analysis which combined all the available randomised trials to evaluate the efficacy of cervical pessary in preventing preterm birth and perinatal morbidity and mortality in asymptomatic women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix. However, this meta-analysis showed that cervical pessary did not reduce the risk of spontaneous preterm birth <34 weeks of gestation in these women compared with expectant treatment. The sensitivity analyses by excluding one trial at one time showed the same results. This meta-analysis also showed that cervical pessary did not prevent preterm birth <34, 30, 28 weeks of gestation and had no significant adverse effects on perinatal morbidity and mortality.

Cervical pessary is a device which is easily placed and removed without anesthesia, and is inexpensive compared with progesterone and cerclage. Unfortunately, this meta-analysis of the 3 trials showed cervical pessary had no beneficial efficacy in preventing preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix, but the heterogeneity is high. Therefore we analysed these trials in detail. First, we noticed that the preterm birth rates in the pessary group were similar in the three trials^{13,15,16}, and the preterm birth rates in the control group were similar in two trials^{13,16}. However, the preterm birth rate in the control group was much higher in the trial by Goya *et al.*¹⁵. In addition, according to the worldwide survey of preterm birth rates in 2010, the preterm birth rate in Spain was less than 10%¹, and if we applied this data in this meta-analysis, the result would be the same

Trial(year)	Region	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Age(pessary vs control)	Ethnicity	Intervention	Timing of treatment	Duration of treatment	Control	Primary outcome
Goya ¹⁵	Spain	Women with singleton pregnancies and with a sonographic cervical length ≤ 25 mm	Major fetal abnormalities, painful regular uterine contractions, active vaginal bleeding, ruptured membranes, placenta previa, and a history of cone biopsy or cervical cerclage <i>in situ</i>	30.3 ± 5.1 vs 29.6 ± 5.4	White(56–58%) Latin American(29–31%) Other(13%)	Cervical pessary placement	18–22wk	Until 37 wk unless active vaginal bleeding, persistent contractions, or severe discomfort	Expectant management	Spontaneous preterm birth < 34 wk
Hui ¹⁶	China	Women with singleton pregnancies and with a sonographic cervical length < 25 mm	A history of cervical incompetence, surgical cerclage, major fetal abnormalities, cervical dilation, painful uterine contractions, rupture membranes	31.6 ± 4.7 vs 31.8 ± 5.3	Chinese	Cervical pessary placement	20–24wk	Until 37 wk unless active vaginal bleeding, painful contractions, or rupture of membranes	Expectant management	Preterm birth < 34 wk, the number of which equals that of spontaneous preterm birth < 34 wk
Nicolaides ¹³	England, Slovenia, Portugal, Chile, Australia, Italy, Albania, Germany, and Belgium	Women with singleton pregnancies and with a sonographic cervical length ≤ 25 mm	Maternal age < 16 years, fetal death, major fetal defect, cervical cerclage <i>in situ</i> , painful uterine contractions, ruptured membranes	Median (30.1 vs 29.5) IQR(26.0–34.2 vs 25.4–34.1)	White(63.9–67.9%) Black(26.3–28.8%) Asian(4.2–4.7%) Mixed(1.5–2.6%)	Cervical pessary placement	20–24wk	Until 37 wk unless medical termination of pregnancy, active vaginal bleeding, rupture of membranes, patient's request, or preterm labor	Expectant management	Spontaneous preterm birth < 34 wk

Table 1. Characteristics of included trials. Interquartile range (IQR). **Figure 2. (a) Risk of bias graph, (b) Risk of bias summary ('+' low risk; '?' unclear risk; '-' high risk).**

but the high heterogeneity would be significantly reduced. Therefore, the results of the trial by Goya *et al.*¹⁵ could be a chance finding. Second, in the trial by Nicolaides *et al.*¹³, progesterone was used in 45% participants, and as progesterone has proven to be able to prevent preterm birth^{17–20}, this might compromise the efficacy of cervical

Figure 3. Efficacy of cervical pessary placement in preventing spontaneous preterm birth <34 weeks in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix compared with control.

Secondary outcome	Trials	Pessary (Events/Total)	Control (Events/Total)	RR(95% CI)	P value	I ²
preterm birth <34 wk	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	79/703	109/709	0.75 (0.23 to 2.39)	0.62	90%
preterm birth <30 wk	Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	38/513	31/519	1.24 (0.78 to 1.96)	0.36	0%
preterm birth <28 wk	Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	27/513	18/519	1.52 (0.84 to 2.74)	0.16	0%
respiratory distress syndrome	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	38/703	50/709	0.79 (0.22 to 2.90)	0.72	82%
necrotizing enterocolitis	Goya ¹⁵ , Nicolaides ¹³	6/650	5/654	0.95 (0.11 to 8.13)	0.96	47%
intraventricular hemorrhage	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	28/703	28/709	1.04 (0.63 to 1.74)	0.87	0%
neonatal sepsis	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	33/703	37/709	0.67 (0.23 to 1.96)	0.46	69%
retinopathy of prematurity	Goya ¹⁵ , Nicolaides ¹³	5/650	3/654	1.21 (0.05 to 28.10)	0.91	66%
fetal death	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	8/703	5/709	1.61 (0.53 to 4.90)	0.4	NA
neonatal death	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	8/703	6/709	1.32 (0.48 to 3.66)	0.59	0%
perinatal death	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	16/703	12/709	1.33 (0.65 to 2.76)	0.44	0%
birth weight <1500 g	Goya ¹⁵ , Nicolaides ¹³	48/650	54/654	0.72 (0.18 to 2.84)	0.64	90%
birth weight <2500 g	Goya ¹⁵ , Nicolaides ¹³	113/650	142/654	0.60 (0.16 to 2.17)	0.43	95%
premature preterm rupture of membranes	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶	9/243	25/245	0.39 (0.09 to 1.71)	0.21	72%
corticosteroid treatment for fetal maturation	Goya ¹⁵ , Hui ¹⁶	89/243	129/245	0.75 (0.47 to 1.18)	0.22	37%
admission to neonatal intensive care unit	Hui ¹⁶ , Nicolaides ¹³	61/513	51/519	1.23 (0.88 to 1.71)	0.23	0%

Table 2. Efficacy of cervical pessary placement in preventing perinatal morbidity and mortality in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix compared with control.

pessary. Hence, future large-scale multiple-center randomised trials are urgently needed, before cervical pessary can be generalised in clinical practice.

This meta-analysis showed that cervical pessary had no significant adverse effects on respiratory distress syndrome, necrotising enterocolitis, intraventricular haemorrhage, neonatal sepsis, and perinatal death, among others. No serious side effects were reported in these trials^{13,15,16}, which is consistent with all the published studies²¹. The most common side effects of cervical pessary placement are an increase in vaginal discharge and pain during the pessary insertion and removal²¹. Moreover, in the trial by Goya *et al.*¹⁵, 95% of women in the pessary group recommended cervical pessary to others.

This meta-analysis has its limitations. The open-label nature of the trials could result in risk of bias for blinding of participants and personnel and outcome assessment, which hampers the validity of research of cervical pessary. Because of the inconsistency and scarcity of trials, we were unable to explore the effects of maternal age, ethnicity, obstetric history, body mass index, and cervical length on the results. In addition, none of the trials had the power to assess the treatment effect of cervical pessary.

To confirm the efficacy of cervical pessary in preventing preterm birth, future researches may focus on the following respects. First, as progesterone has been suggested to be effective in preventing preterm birth^{17–20}, combination therapy such as cervical pessary and progesterone compared with progesterone may be considered. Second, although a short cervix is a high-risk factor in preterm birth, the cause of preterm birth is multiple factorial, basic research exploring the mechanisms behind preterm birth is still needed.

In conclusion, although this meta-analysis showed cervical pessary did not reduce the risk of preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix, we could not confirm or refute this conclusion, and large-scale randomised controlled trials are urgently needed.

References

1. Blencowe, H. *et al.* National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications. *Lancet (London, England)* **379**, 2162–2172 (2012).
2. Beck, S. *et al.* The worldwide incidence of preterm birth: a systematic review of maternal mortality and morbidity. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* **88**, 31–38, doi: 10.2471/BLT.08.062554 (2010).
3. Liu, L. *et al.* Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. *Lancet (London, England)* **379**, 2151–2161, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60560-1 (2012).
4. Mwaniki, M. K., Atieno, M., Lawn, J. E. & Newton, C. R. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes after intrauterine and neonatal insults: a systematic review. *Lancet (London, England)* **379**, 445–452, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61577-8 (2012).

5. Goldenberg, R. L., Culhane, J. F., Iams, J. D. & Romero, R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet (London, England)* **371**, 75–84, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4 (2008).
6. Romero, R., Dey, S. K. & Fisher, S. J. Preterm labor: one syndrome, many causes. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **345**, 760–765, doi: 10.1126/science.1251816 (2014).
7. Romero, R. *et al.* Vaginal progesterone decreases preterm birth ≤ 34 weeks of gestation in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix: an updated meta-analysis including data from the OPPTIMUM study. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* **48**, 308–317, doi: 10.1002/uog.15953 (2016).
8. Iams, J. D. *et al.* The length of the cervix and the risk of spontaneous premature delivery. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal Fetal Medicine Unit Network. *The New England journal of medicine* **334**, 567–572, doi: 10.1056/NEJM199602293340904 (1996).
9. Owen, J. *et al.* Multicenter randomized trial of cerclage for preterm birth prevention in high-risk women with shortened midtrimester cervical length. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology* **201**, 375 e371–378, doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2009.08.015 (2009).
10. Cross, R. G. Treatment of Habitual Abortion Due to Cervical Incompetence. *Lancet (London, England)* **2**, 127–127 (1959).
11. Arabin, B. & Alfirevic, Z. Cervical pessaries for prevention of spontaneous preterm birth: past, present and future. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* **42**, 390–399, doi: 10.1002/uog.12540 (2013).
12. Abdel-Aleem, H., Shaaban, O. M. & Abdel-Aleem, M. A. Cervical pessary for preventing preterm birth. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, CD007873, doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007873.pub3 (2013).
13. Nicolaides, K. *et al.* A Randomized Trial of a Cervical Pessary to Prevent Preterm Singleton Birth. *The New England journal of medicine* **374**, 1044–1052 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/909/CN-01160909/frame.html> (2016).
14. Higgins, J. P. *et al.* The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)* **343**, d5928, doi: 10.1136/bmj.d5928 (2011).
15. Goya, M. *et al.* Cervical pessary in pregnant women with a short cervix (PECEP): an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet (London, England)* **379**, 1800–1806 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/235/CN-00832235/frame.html> (2012).
16. Hui, S., Chor, C., Lau, T., Lao, T. & Leung, T. Cerclage pessary for preventing preterm birth in women with a singleton pregnancy and a short cervix at 20 to 24 weeks: a randomized controlled trial. *American journal of perinatology* **30**, 283–288 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/clcentral/articles/261/CN-00968261/frame.html> (2013).
17. Fonseca, E. B. *et al.* Progesterone and the risk of preterm birth among women with a short cervix. *New England Journal of Medicine* **357**, 462–469, doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa067815 (2007).
18. Hassan, S. S. *et al.* Vaginal progesterone reduces the rate of preterm birth in women with a sonographic short cervix: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ultrasound Obst Gyn* **38**, 18–31, doi: 10.1002/uog.9017 (2011).
19. Norman, J. E. *et al.* Progesterone for the prevention of preterm birth in twin pregnancy (STOPPIT): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study and meta-analysis. *Lancet (London, England)* **373**, 2034–2040, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60947-8 (2009).
20. Rode, L. *et al.* Prevention of preterm delivery in twin gestations (PREDICT): a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled trial on the effect of vaginal micronized progesterone. *Ultrasound in obstetrics & gynecology: the official journal of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology* **38**, 272–280, doi: 10.1002/uog.9093 (2011).
21. Wetta, L. A. & Biggio, J. R. Cervical Pessary Use and Preterm Birth. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology* **57**, 607–615 (2014).

Author Contributions

X.J. and L.H. conceived the idea. X.J. and D.L. screened studies, extracted and analyzed the data. X.J., D.L. and L.H. solved disagreements unanimously. X.J. and D.L. performed statistical analysis. X.J. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Jin, X.-H. *et al.* Cervical Pessary for Prevention of Preterm Birth: A Meta-Analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 42560; doi: 10.1038/srep42560 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017