SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 21 October 2016 Accepted: 10 January 2017 Published: 13 February 2017

OPEN Structural and functional characterization of deep-sea thermophilic bacteriophage GVE2 **HNH endonuclease**

Likui Zhang^{1,4,5,*}, Dandan Xu^{2,*}, Yanchao Huang^{1,*}, Xinyuan Zhu¹, Mianwen Rui¹, Ting Wan¹, Xin Zheng³, Yulong Shen⁴, Xiangdong Chen⁵, Kesen Ma⁶ & Yong Gong³

HNH endonucleases in bacteriophages play a variety of roles in the phage lifecycle as key components of phage DNA packaging machines. The deep-sea thermophilic bacteriophage Geobacillus virus E2 (GVE2) encodes an HNH endonuclease (GVE2 HNHE). Here, the crystal structure of GVE2 HNHE is reported. This is the first structural study of a thermostable HNH endonuclease from a thermophilic bacteriophage. Structural comparison reveals that GVE2 HNHE possesses a typical $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold and Zn-finger motif similar to those of HNH endonucleases from other bacteriophages, apart from containing an extra α -helix, suggesting conservation of these enzymes among bacteriophages. Biochemical analysis suggests that the alanine substitutions of the conserved residues (H93, N109 and H118) in the HNH motif of GVE2 HNHE abolished 94%, 60% and 83% of nicking activity, respectively. Compared to the wild type enzyme, the H93A mutant displayed almost the same conformation while the N108A and H118A mutants had different conformations. In addition, the wild type enzyme was more thermostable than the mutants. In the presence of Mn²⁺ or Zn²⁺, the wild type enzyme displayed distinct DNA nicking patterns. However, high Mn²⁺ concentrations were needed for the N109A and H118A mutants to nick DNA while Zn²⁺ inactivated their nicking activity.

Most HNH endonucleases that can nick double-stranded DNA sites ranging from 3 to 5 bp in the presence of a divalent metal ion contain a conserved catalytic HNH motif and a zinc-binding site $[CxxC]_2^1$. HNH endonucleases are present in many bacteriophages and prophages. The location of an HNH endonuclease gene in phage genomes is next to a terminase gene and is highly conserved, suggesting a possible biological role in the stimulation of homologous recombination by nicking DNA, which further enhances gene conversion. Thus, HNH endonucleases in phages play important roles in the phage lifecycle as key components of phage DNA packaging machines^{2,3}.

HNH endonucleases have large group members from various organisms, including HEases (homing endonuclease), REases (restriction endonuclease), structure-specific endonucleases, non-specific nucleases, CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat)-associated protein Cas9 and DNA repair enzymes⁴. Biochemical and structural studies have provided a wealth of molecular details on HNH endonucleases of bacteria and bacteriophages. Structures are now available for ColE7, ColE9, I-HmuI, PacI, Hpy99I, and Geobacter metallireducens GS-15 HNH endonuclease (Gme HNHE)⁵⁻¹¹. Most HNH endonucleases adopt a similar structure, comprising two antiparallel β -strands, an α -helix and a divalent metal ion bound in the active center. Thus, the HNH motif in HNH endonucleases is referred to as a $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold. The active site of HNH endonucleases consists of two highly conserved His and Asn, and a variable His (Asn in second superfamily HNH endonucleases). The first conserved His in the HNH motif is located at the end of the first β -strand (Fig. 1A), and serves

¹Marine Science & Technology Institute Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Yangzhou University, China. ²Institute of Health Sciences and School of Life Science, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui 230601, China. ³Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China. ⁴State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology, Shandong University, China. ⁵State Key Laboratory of Virology, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China. ⁶Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, Canada. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.Z. (email: lkzhang@yzu.edu.cn) orY.G. (email: yonggong@ihep.ac.cn)





as the general base to activate the water molecule, which attacks the DNA backbone¹². The second conserved Asn in the HNH motif plays an important role in positioning of the two β -strands correctly¹². Furthermore, the third His, Lys or Asn in the HNH motif is located at the conserved α -helix, and is thought to participate in metal binding¹³. Several studies show that the active site in HNH endonucleases has been found in site-specific homing endonucleases¹⁴, colicins¹⁵, soluble pyocins¹⁶, restriction enzymes¹⁷ and bacterial factors involved in developmentally controlled DNA rearrangements¹⁸, suggesting that these enzymes are evolutionarily related and employ a similar catalytic mechanism.

However, much less is known about HNH endonucleases in thermophilic bacteriophages. No structures are currently available for any thermostable HNH endonuclease. An HNH endonuclease from the thermophilic bacteriophage GVE2, a thermophilic and lytic bacteriophage that infects *Geobacillus* sp. E263 isolated from a deep-sea hydrothermal field in the east Pacific^{19,20}, was the first thermostable member of HNH endonucleases from thermophilic bacteriophages to be biochemically characterized²¹. Xu *et al.* reported the nicking sites of GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mg²⁺⁴. Sequence analysis suggests that GVE2 HNHE possesses an active site similar to those in other HNH endonucleases²¹. Our previous studies suggest that the residue H93 in GVE2 HNHE is a critical residue in the active site for nicking DNA⁴.

	GVE2 HNHE-Zn ²⁺		GVE2 HNHE-Mn ²⁺	Hg-SAD
Data collection				
Wavelength (Å)	0.98		1.1	0.98
Space group	P4 ₃ 2 ₁ 2		P43212	P43212
Cell dimensions				
a, b, c (Å)	66.3, 66.3, 51.5	66.9	9, 66.9,51.5	65.2, 65.2, 50.4
$lpha,eta,\gamma$ (°)	90.0, 90.0, 90.0	90.0, 90.0, 90.0		90.0, 90.0, 90.0
Resolution (Å)	50-1.52 (1.55-1.52) ^a	50–1.53 (1.55–1.53) ^a		50-1.80 (1.84-1.80) ^a
R _{merge}	0.066 (0.541)	0.065 (0.993)		0.070 (0.628)
Ι/σΙ	65.2 (6.7)	21.6 (2.1)		28.5 (3.0)
Completeness (%)	99.7 (100)	99.8 (100)		99.4 (98.8)
Redundancy	13.7	13.4		15.9
Refinement				
Resolution (Å)	50-1.52	50-1.52 50-1.53		
No. reflections	17,215	18,142		
$R_{\rm work/}R_{\rm free}$	0.144/0.168 0.141/0.174			
No. atoms				
Protein	780	780		
Metal ion	$1 Zn^{2+}$	1 Mn ²⁺		
Water	146	190		
B-factors	22.2	21.7		
R.m.s deviations				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.007		0.008	
Bond angles (°)	1.13		0.96	
Ramachandran Plot analysis Favoured (%)	100		98.9	
Outliners (%)	0		0	
PDB code	5H0M		5H0O	

 Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics. ^aThe values in parenthesis mean those of the highest resolution shell.

Here, we reported the crystal structures of GVE2 HNHE and investigated the function of the key residues in the HNH motif of the enzyme for the first time. We show that GVE2 HNHE possesses a conserved $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold with those of HNH endonucleases from other bacteriophages, demonstrating that there is a common evolutionary origin of these enzymes in bacteriophages. Importantly, GVE2 HNHE displays different conformational structure from other HNH endonucleases, which may be the basis for the enzyme to nick DNA at high temperature. In addition, GVE2 HNHE nicks DNA with distinct patterns in the presence of Mn²⁺ or Zn²⁺.

Results

Overall structure of GVE2 HNHE. The crystal structure of GVE2 HNHE was determined at 1.52 Å resolution using the SAD (single-wavelength anomalous diffraction) method to calculate the initial phases (data collection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 1, and the protein sequence is shown in Fig. 1A). Note that we solved the crystal structure of the truncated GVE2 HNHE from N39 to G130. The initial 38 residues (M1-R38) could not be solved because of the disordered electron density, indicating the N-terminal region of the protein is very flexible. The overall structure of GVE2 HNHE contains two antiparallel β -sheets and four α -helices, and shares a conserved $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold with those of other HNH endonucleases (Fig. 1B). Like other endonucleases, a zinc ion exists in the center of the structure of GVE2 HNHE and is coordinated by Cys76 (in the short loop linking helix α 2 and α 3), Cys79 (in the helix α 3), Cys114 (in the short loop linking the helix α 4 and β 2), and Cys117 (in the helix α 4 at the end of the C-terminal domain). It resembles the Zn²⁺-bound structure of Gme HNHE¹¹, suggesting that the Zn-finger domain in HNH endonuclease is conserved.

Comparison of GVE2 HNHE and its homologous proteins. Based on the DALI search (http://ekhidna.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali_server), structural homologs of GVE2 HNHE included the following HNH endonucleases: Gme HNHE from *Geobacter metallireducens* GS-15 (PDB: 4H9D)¹¹, periplasmic nuclease Vvn from *Vibrio vulnificus* (PDB: 10UO)²², the Type II Cas9 endonuclease from *Actinomyces naeslundii* (PDB: 4OGC)²³, and endonuclease I from *Vibriosalmonicida* (PDB: 2PU3)²⁴, which share 15–24% amino acid sequence identity with GVE2 HNHE.

Matched structure superimposition was found between GVE2 HNHE and Gme HNHE (PDB: 4H9D) with $C\alpha$ atom r.m.s.d of 3.2 Å and Z scores of 2.7. Sequence alignment of GVE2 HNHE and Gme HNHE and their structural comparison are shown in Fig. 1A,C. Although both HNH endonucleases contain the zinc ion and the conserved catalytic residues in the HNH motif (Figs 1C and 2B), the structural discrepancies occur between



Figure 2. The Zn-finger domain of GVE2 HNHE. (A) The Zn²⁺-binding sites of GVE2 HNHE (blue). Residues C76, C79, C114 and C117, which coordinate with Zn²⁺, are shown as sticks. Zinc ion is shown as a grey sphere. It is superimposed with Mn²⁺-bound structure (pink). (B) Superimpositions of the Zn²⁺-binding sites of GVE2 HNHE (blue) and Gme HNHE (Red). Zinc ion and residues involved in zinc ions coordination of Gme HNHE are labeled. (C) The anomalous difference Fourier map (blue, contour at 4σ) shown for Mn²⁺ ionbound in GVE2 HNHE.

GVE2 HNHE and Gme HNHE. Firstly, GVE2 HNHE possesses an extra α -helix (α 3: residues 85–92) at the C-terminus, which is absent in Gme HNHE. At the same time, GVE2 HNHE lacks the last α -helix, which is present in the C-terminus of Gme HNHE. Furthermore, α 1-, α 2-, and α 4-helixes in GVE2 HNHE display differences in position and angle with corresponding α 1-, α 2- and α 3-helixes in Gme HNHE (Fig. 1C). These structural differences may enable GVE2 HNHE and Gme HNHE to nick DNA in different environments.

The crystal structure of GVE2 HNHE with Mn²⁺. Our previous studies suggest that Mn²⁺ is the optimal divalent metal ion for GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA²¹. To reveal how the enzyme associates with Mn²⁺, we solved the structure of GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mn²⁺ at 1.53 Å resolution (Fig. 1D). The structure of GVE2 HNHE with Mn²⁺ shows that a manganese ion associates with the conserved [CxxC]₂ motif (Fig. 2A), which resembles that of the enzyme with Zn²⁺. Based on the anomalous difference Fourier map calculated from the data collected at the absorption edge of Mn²⁺ ion ($\lambda = 1.8$ Å) (Fig. 2C), we deduced that the Mn²⁺ ion was bound at the same site with the Zn²⁺ ion in our Mn²⁺-bound GVE2 HNHE structure, but we still cannot elude the possibility that the binding site is simultaneously occupied by both Mn²⁺ and Zn²⁺.

There is no significant structural change for the two structures of GVE2 HNHE with Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , however, the C-terminus of GVE2 HNHE shifts by a distance of approximately 1.3 Å upon binding of Mn^{2+} (Fig. 1D). The coordination bond lengths formed between the manganese ion and the conserved residues in the [CxxC]₂ motif in GVE2 HNHE is slightly different with these of the zinc ion (Fig. 2A), which further induces the position of α 4-helix in the structure of the enzyme to swing around by approximately 5°. In addition, the conformations of residue H118 on α 4-helix are different in two structures (Fig. 1E). The overall structure change of GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mn^{2+} may enable the enzyme to have the optimal DNA nicking activity. The structural difference of GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mn^{2+} or Zn^{2+} would provide the basis for nicking DNA in distinct patterns (see below).

Structural studies suggest that HNH endonucleases with a Zn-finger motif may coordinate an additional divalent metal ion in their HNH motifs except for Zn ion²⁵. For example, an additional Mg^{2+} is coordinated by associating with the conserved residues Asp581 and Asn606 in the HNH motif of AnaCas9 HNHE (Fig. 3). Although it remains unknown, an additional metal ion is also coordinated by the conserved residues Asp53 and Asn77 in the HNH motif of Gme HNHE (Fig. 3). However, no additional metal ion was observed in the HNH motif of GVE2 HNHE (Fig. 3). Comparison of these three structures of AnaCas9 HNHE, Gme HNHE and GVE2 HNHE suggests that Asn is conserved in this position in both the AnCas9 HNHE and Gme HNHE, but His is found in GVE2 HNHE (Fig. 3); thus, a His at this position may lead to no binding of an additional metal ion in GVE2 HNHE. Although no binding of additional metal ion was observed in GVE2 HNHE, we cannot rule out the possibility that an additional metal ion may be bound into the active site of GVE2 HNHE after the enzyme binds to DNA substrate.

The active sites of GVE2 HNHE. HNH endonucleases possess a highly conserved $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold motif, which is thought to play an important role in nicking DNA. Sequence analysis shows that residues H93, N109 and H118 in GVE2 HNHE are the key residues in the conserved $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold motif, and could be components of the active site of the enzyme (Fig. 1B). Residue H93 in GVE2 HNHE is at the end of the strand $\beta1$ of the $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold region on the surface of the structure, which is equivalent to the first 'H' of the HNH motif. Residue N109 in GVE2 HNHE is located at initiation site of strand $\beta2$, which is the second 'N' of the HNH motif. Residue H118 in GVE2 HNHE lies in the center of the $\alpha4$ -helix at the end of the C-terminal, which is equivalent to the third 'H' of the HNH motif. Our previous study revealed that the GVE2 HNHE H93A mutant abolishes DNA nicking activity²¹, supporting the idea that the residue H93 is one of key residues in the active site center of the enzyme.



Gme HNHE (4H9D)

Figure 3. Comparison of the crystal structure of HNH motif of GVE2 HNHE, AnaCas9 HNHE and Gme HNHE. The crystal structure of AnaCas9 HNHE and Gme HNHE was modified by Pymol software. AnaCas9 HNHE and Gme HNHE contain a conserved Asn (Asn606 in AnaCas9 HNHE and Asn77 in Gme HNHE) that associates with an additional metal ion, whereas GVE2 HNHE harbors a His at this position.

Conformational change caused by GVE2 HNHE mutants. As discussed above, residues H93, N109 and H118 in GVE2 HNHE are key amino acid residues for DNA nicking. To discern the biochemical function of the conserved HNH motif in GVE2 HNHE, we constructed another two mutants of the enzyme: N109A and H118A. Note that the H93A mutant was constructed in our previous work²¹. The purified wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs are shown in Fig. 4A.

To determine whether the H93A, N109A or H118A mutants cause the overall structure change of GVE2 HNHE, we performed the CD (circular dichroism) analysis of the wild type and mutant enzymes. The H93A mutant displayed almost the same structure as the wild type enzyme (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the H93A substitution did not change the overall structure of the enzyme. However, the N109A and the H118A mutants displayed different conformational change from the wild type enzyme, indicating that the N109A and the H118A substitutions disrupted the overall structure of the enzyme.

Reduced thermostability of GVE2 HNHE mutants. To examine whether the substitutions of H93A, N109A, and H118A affect thermostability of GVE2 HNHE, we performed thermal unfolding assays by CD analysis using the wild type and mutant enzymes. The thermal unfolding curves revealed that the wild type GVE2 HNHE is more thermostable than the H118A, H93A, N109A mutants (Fig. 4C), suggesting that the H118A, H93A, N109A substitutions decreased the thermostability of the enzyme in varying degrees.

Reduced DNA nicking of GVE2 HNHE mutants. To determine whether the H93A, N109A and H118A GVE2 HNHE mutants nick DNA, we used the plasmid DNA as substrate and Mn^{2+} as a cofactor because the enzyme is a DNA nicking enzyme and Mn²⁺ is the optimal divalent metal ion for DNA nicking²¹. Compared to the wild type enzyme, the H93A mutant displayed undetectable DNA nicking activity even in the presence of 500 nM of enzyme concentration (Fig. 5A), which is consistent with our previous study²¹. However, the N109A and H118A mutants were capable of nicking DNA when the mutants with high concentrations ranging from 125 to 500 nM were employed in the DNA nicking reactions. When a relatively lower concentration (50 nM) of the wile type or mutant enzyme was used in the DNA nicking reaction, the N109A and H118A mutants had slightly detectable nicking activity while the wild type enzyme displayed clear DNA nicking activity (Fig. 5B). By quantifying the ocDNA product created by the H93A, N109A and H118A GVE2 HNHE mutants, we found that the H93A, N109A and H118A substitutions in GVE2 HNHE caused 94%, 60% and 83% loss of activity of the enzyme (Fig. 5C), respectively. These observations suggest that residues of H93, N109 and H118 in GVE2 HNHE are critical for the DNA nicking of the enzyme.

The effect of Mn²⁺ on DNA nicking of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs. In our previous work, we demonstrated that Mn^{2+} is the optimal divalent ion for DNA nicking by GVE2 HNHE only when the reactions are performed in the presence of $0.2-2 \text{ mM Mn}^{2+21}$. To investigate the effect of Mn²⁺ on the wild type and mutant $\overline{\text{GVE2}}$ HNHEs, we performed DNA nicking reactions in the presence of a broad range of Mn^{2+} concentrations from 2 nM to 10 mM. We found that the wild type GVE2 HNHE cleaved the cccDNA (covalently closed circular DNA) substrate to form the ocDNA (open circular DNA) product even in the presence of very low Mn^{2+} (2 nM) (Fig. 6A). When Mn^{2+} concentrations were increased to $10 \mu M$, only ocDNA product was created by the wild type GVE2 HNHE, suggesting that 2 nM to $10 \mu M \text{ Mn}^{2+}$ in the DNA nicking reactions enabled the enzyme to transform the cccDNA substrate to the ocDNA product. In addition, when Mn²⁺ concentrations were increased to more than 50 µM, smear DNA product and small linear DNA fragments were formed in DNA nicking reaction catalyzed by the wild type GVE2 HNHE. These observations suggest various concentrations of Mn²⁺ can stimulate GVE2 HNHE to nick the cccDNA substrate into various DNA products.

Compared to the wild type enzyme, the H93A mutant was not able to nick the cccDNA substrate to form any DNA product in the presence of Mn²⁺ ranging from 2 nM to 10 mM (Fig. 6B), which is similar with our previous study²¹. In addition, the low concentration of Mn^{2+} (less than 10µM) did not enable the N109A and H118A mutants to nick DNA. However, the N109A and H118A mutants nicked the cccDNA substrate into the ocDNA product when Mn^{2+} concentrations ranging from 50 μ M to 10 mM were used in the DNA nicking reactions





.....

(Fig. 6C,D). Therefore, these observations suggest that residue H93 in GVE2 HNH endonuclease is a key residue for DNA nicking, and N108 and H118A residues may play two important roles in maintaining structural conformation and nicking DNA.

The effect of Zn^{2+} on DNA nicking of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs. Biochemical and structural analyses suggest that a Zn-finger motif is another important motif in most HNH endonucleases. Our previous work revealed that Zn^{2+} can stimulate GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA²¹. To investigate the effect of Zn^{2+} on DNA nicking activity of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs, we performed DNA nicking assays in the presence of 2 nM to 10 mM Zn²⁺. We found that Zn²⁺ enabled the wild type GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA only in the presence of 10 μ M to 1 mM. Both high Zn²⁺ concentration (more than 10 mM) and low Zn²⁺ concentration (less than 10 μ M) inhibited the wild type GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA (Fig. 7A). Thus, GVE2 HNHE displayed different DNA nicking patterns when using Zn²⁺ or Mn²⁺ as a cofactor. Interestingly, we found that the H93A, N109A and H118A mutants abolished the DNA nicking activity regardless of what Zn²⁺ concentrations were employed in the DNA nicking reactions (Fig. 7B–D), which contrasts sharply to the DNA nicking in the presence of Mn²⁺ where high concentrations of Mn²⁺ enable the mutants to nick DNA. These observations suggest that Zn²⁺ plays important roles in both maintaining the structural conformation of GVE2 HNHE and nicking DNA.

Mn²⁺- and Zn²⁺-binding of GVE2 HNHE. To reveal the mechanistic process of DNA nicking by GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mn^{2+} or Zn^{2+} , we performed the Mn^{2+} - and Zn^{2+} -binding of the enzyme by CD analysis, respectively. Based on the plotting curves, we found that the K_d values for GVE2 HNNE to bind to Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} are 0.36 ± 0.08 mM and 1.29 ± 0.29 mM (Fig. 8), respectively. This observation suggests that GVE2 HNHE has a higher affinity for binding to Mn^{2+} than to Zn^{2+} , which is consistent with the above result that Mn^{2+} is better than Zn^{2+} for enabling the enzyme to nick DNA.



Figure 5. DNA nicking assays of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs. (A) DNA nicking by the wild type and H93A mutant enzymes. DNA nicking assays of various concentrations (2.5, 10, 50, 125, 250 and 500 nM) of GVE2 HNHE and its H93A mutant were performed in the presence of 2 mM Mn²⁺ by using pET-30a DNA as substrates at 60 °C for 15 min. (B) DNA nicking by the N109A and H118A mutants. DNA nicking assays were performed by the N109A and H118A mutants as the same in (A). (C) Comparison of nicking efficiency of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs. DNA nicking reactions were carried out by the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs (2.5, 10 and 50 nM). The ocDNA product was quantified. CK: the reaction without the enzyme; OC: open circular DNA; L: Linear DNA; CCC: covalently closed circular DNA.

.....

Discussion

The present study provided structural and biochemical mechanistic insight into the function of HNH motif of the thermostable GVE2 HNHE for the first time. The crystal structure shows that GVE2 HNHE possesses a conserved HNH motif and a Zn-finger motif, which are widespread in HNH endonucleases. Furthermore, a conserved $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold in HNH endonucleases is also observed in the crystal structure of GVE2 HNHE, suggesting that the enzyme shares a similar conformation with other HNH endonucleases. However, GVE2 HNHE has several unique structural characteristics, compared with other HNH endonucleases. Firstly, GVE2 HNHE





possesses an extra α -helix that is located at the initial part of the first β -sheet strand. We proposed that the extra α -helix in GVE2 HNHE would be helpful for maintaining structural conformation, which may further enable the enzyme to nick DNA at high temperature. Our proposal is being investigated in our laboratory by engineering of the GVE2 HNHE mutant that lacks the extra α -helix. Secondly, GVE2 HNHE has different lengths of α -helix, especially for the conserved α -helix in the $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold, forming the specifically structural conformation of the enzyme. In addition, the $\beta\beta\alpha$ -metal fold in GVE2 HNHE possesses several amino acid residues, which are distinct from those of other HNH endonucleases. Overall, these structural differences in GVE2 HNHE may provide a basis for its nicking DNA at high temperature.

Previous studies suggest that three conserved residues in the HNH motif play an important role in DNA nicking in the other HNH endonucleases²⁶⁻²⁹. Herein, we found that the residue H93 in the HNH motif in GVE2 HNHE is a key residue for DNA nicking because the substitution from H to A enabled the enzyme to abolish the ability to nick DNA (Fig. 5A). Similarly, the DNA hydrolysis activities of the H545A N-ColE7 mutant and the H116A EheA mutant were completely abolished^{26,27}, where EheA is a thermostable HNH endonuclease from the bacterium *Exiguobacterium* sp. yc3. However, CD analysis results showed that the H93A substitution did not cause overall structural change of GVE2 HNHE (Fig. 4B) while the substitution affected the fold of GVE2 HNHE (Fig. 4C), which may lead to a reduction in nicking DNA. Thus, residue H93 in GVE2 HNHE is important for DNA nicking.

Residues N109 and H118 in GVE2 HNHE are another two conserved residues in the HNH motif of HNH endonucleases. The N109A and H118A mutants retained about 50~80% DNA nicking activity of the wild type GVE2 enzyme, which is in sharp contrast to the same observations in EheA N141 and N156 mutants²⁶ while resembles the N560 and H573 mutants that partially abolished 6.9% to 83.2% of the wild type enzyme activity²⁸. The substitutions in the residues H116, N141 and N156 mutants resulted in the complete loss of DNA nicking activity of the wild type EheA²⁶. These differences in the active sites between GVE2 HNHE and EheA may be due to various structures of the two enzymes and various origins. In addition, these substitutions of N109A and



Figure 7. Effect of various Zn^{2+} concentrations on the DNA nicking of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs. (A) DNA nicking of the wild type GVE2 HNHE. (B) DNA nicking of the H93A mutant. (C) DNA nicking of the N109A mutant. (D) DNA nicking of the H119A mutant.DNA nicking reactions were performed at various reaction Zn^{2+} concentrations ranging from 0.002 to 10 mM by using pET-30a DNA as the substrate at 60 °C for 15 min. CK1: the reaction without the enzyme. CK2: the reaction without Zn^{2+} . Mn²⁺: the reaction with 2 mM Mn²⁺. OC: open circular DNA; L: Linear DNA; CCC: covalently closed circular DNA.



Figure 8. Mn^{2+} - and $Zn2^+$ -binding of GVE2 HNHE. The relative changes in the mean residue ellipticity were monitored at 222 nm as described under "Methods". The hyperbolic curves were plotted by Origin software. The K_d values for Mn^{2+} - and Zn^{2+} -binding of GVE2 HNHE were calculated to be 0.36 ± 0.08 mM and 1.29 ± 0.29 mM, respectively.

H118A disrupted the overall conformational change of the wild type enzymes (Fig. 4B), and also affected the folds of the wild type enzymes, suggesting that residues N109 and H118 are essential for both maintaining their structural roles and nicking DNA.

Our previous work suggested that GVE2 HNHE is dependent on a divalent metal ion and Mn^{2+} is optimal for its nicking DNA²¹. A few HNH endonucleases from bacteriophages, including *Lactobacillus* phage Lrm1 gp54 (N. ϕ Lrm1), *S. aureus* prophage ORF (open reading frame) Sap040a_009 HNHE, N.BceSVIII, phage N. ϕ Gamma, and Gp54 of *Lactobacillus* phage Lrm1 (N.fLrm1) are active in nicking DNA in the presence of Mn²⁺ ranging from 1–10 mM⁴. In addition, Vasu *et al.* suggested that the D148G mutant of *KpnI* restriction endonuclease is a Mn²⁺-dependent sequence specific endonuclease, defective in DNA cleavage with Mg²⁺ and other divalent metal ions³⁰. However, GVE2 HNHE prefers a low concentration of Mn²⁺ (from 2 nM to 10 μ M) in nicking DNA (Fig. 6A) and its mutants need higher concentrations of Mn²⁺ to nick DNA (Fig. 6B–D). Therefore, the necessity for the optimal Mn²⁺ concentration supporting maximum nicking DNA activity varies with individual HNH endonuclease.

Most HNH endonucleases contain a Zn-finger motif, and the Zn ion is regarded as a cofactor for maintaining their overall structures. Our previous study suggests that Zn^{2+} enables GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA at high temperature²¹. Here, we found that GVE2 HNHE and the Zn ion were co-crystalized, supporting the importance of the Zn ion for DNA nicking. Ku *et al.* proposed that the zinc ion not required for DNA binding but is essential for DNA hydrolysis³¹, however, Saravanan *et al.* suggested the dual role of the zinc ion in maintaining structural integrity and inducing DNA sequence specificity of *KpnI* restriction endonuclease³². Interestingly, GVE2 HNHE is able to nick DNA while the H93A, N108A and H118A mutants abolish the ability to nick DNA in the presence of Zn^{2+} (Fig. 7), suggesting that the substitutions may completely disrupt the interactions between the enzyme and Zn^{2+} . However, how the Zn-finger motif in GVE2 HNHE associates with DNA remains unclear. The function of the conserved residues C76, C79, C114 and C117 in the Zn-finger domain in GVE2 HNHE needs to be clarified. Functional analysis of the Zn-finger domain in GVE2 HNHE by engineering the C76A, C79A, C114A and C117A mutants is under investigation in our laboratory. Overall, these observations suggest that Zn²⁺ is important for GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA.

 Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions in *E. coli* are estimated at much lower concentration with 0.2–0.4 mM for Mn^{2+} and 0.1 mM for Zn^{2+} (cells grown in LB broth) (Bionumbers database at the web site: http://bionumbers.hms.harvard.edu)⁴. Here, we found that 0.2–0.4 mM Mn^{2+} or 0.1 mM Zn^{2+} stimulated GVE2 HNHE to completely nick DNA (Figs 6A and 7A). However, these two nicking patterns of GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mn^{2+} or Zn^{2+} vary sharply: a wide range of Mn^{2+} concentration is favorable for nicking while only a narrow range of Zn^{2+} concentration can stimulate the enzyme to nick DNA. Comparison of the two crystal structures of GVE2 HNHE, with Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , provides a rational explanation for the observation. In the presence of Mn^{2+} , the bond length formed between the residues C76, C79, C114 and C117 in GVE2 HNHE and Mn^{2+} became shorter than that formed in the presence of Zn^{2+} . The short bond length may enable GVE2 HNHE to bind to Mn^{2+} with an enhanced affinity, which was confirmed by the Mn^{2+} and Zn^{2+} enzyme binding assays (Fig. 8). Therefore, we first provided structural and biochemical mechanistic analysis of DNA nicking by GVE2 HNHE in the presence of Mn^{2+} as the optimal cofactor. Although Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} ion concentrations are not known in the natural host *Geobacillus*, the nicking reaction of GVE2 HNHE could be dependent on Mg^{2+} in the host since Mg^{2+} is most likely cognate cofactor for the nicking reaction, which is supported by our previous data that Mg^{2+} can stimulate GVE2 HNHE to nick DNA²¹.

The crystal structures of several HNH endonucleases with DNA substrate have been solved^{27,33,34}, which provide a wealth of information regarding mechanisms for DNA nicking. However, our attempt to solve the crystal structure of the GVE2 HNHE-DNA complex was unsuccessful. In addition, we were unable to detect binding of GVE2 HNHE to DNA through either an electrophoretic mobility shift assay or a gel filtration assay (data not shown). Thus, we proposed that the high catalytic efficiency of GVE2 HNHE may cause the interaction between the enzyme and the DNA to be undetectable because the H93A mutant cannot bind to DNA in our binding assays (data not shown).

In conclusion, our work is the first to reveal structural and biochemical mechanistic insight into DNA nicking of the thermophilic bacteriophage GVE2 HNH endonuclease. It has shown that residues H93, N109 and H118 in the conserved HNH motif in the enzyme play important roles in maintaining structural conformation and in DNA nicking. In addition, we are the first to have co-crystalized GVE2 HNHE with Mn^{2+} , providing structural evidence for DNA nicking catalyzed by the enzyme in the presence of Mn^{2+} as the optimal cofactor.

Methods

Construction of the GVE2 HNHE N109A and H118A mutants. The GVE2 HNHE H93A mutant was constructed as described previously²¹. Similarly, the GVE2 HNHE N109A and H118A mutants were engineered using a single primer site-directed mutagenesis method, following the experimental procedure of Zhang *et al.*³⁵. The mutagenic primer sequences for engineering the GVE2 HNHE N109A and H118A mutants were 5'-*CGATTAGATATGGACGCCCTGCAATCGTTATGC-3', and 5'-*CGTTATGCCAAGCCTGC<u>GC</u>TAACAGAAAGACGGCGG (* indicates that C is phosphorylated and the underscored bases are mutation bases), respectively. The mutations were verified by sequencing.

Protein expression and purification of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs. The wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs were over-expressed, purified, and quantified as described previously²¹. For biochemical analysis, the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHE proteins were dialyzed in a storage buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid), 1 mM DTT (dithiothreitol) and 50% glycerol). For crystallization assay, the wild type GVE2 HNHE protein was dialyzed against a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT, then concentrated to 25 mg/ml.

Crystallization of GVE2 HNHE. Crystallization was performed by sitting-drop vapor diffusion at 293 K. The crystal of GVE2 HNHE with 10 mM Zn^{2+} (final concentration) was grown in a reservoir solution containing 0.1 M HEPES, 0.2 M sodium chloride, pH 7.5 and 25% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 4000. To obtain the crystal structure of GVE2 HNHE with Mn^{2+} , 10 mM Mn^{2+} (final concentration) was added into the protein solution instead

of Zn^{2+} . The heavy-atom derivative crystals were obtained by soaking in 10 mM HgCl₂ for 3 sec. All the crystals obtained were subjected to a post-crystallization procedure by dehydrating by evaporation against air for 5 min at 297 K, and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Data collection and structure determination. Diffraction data of GVE2 HNHE with Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Hg-SAD were collected at the BL17U beamline of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, the beamline BL1A and BL5A at the Photon Factory in Japan and the beamline 1W2B at Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility, respectively. Data were integrated and scaled with the HKL2000 package³⁶. The positions of heavy atoms and the initial phases of GVE2 HNHE were calculated by SAD using HKL2MAP³⁷ and Phenix³⁸ with a mercury derivative data set. The structure of GVE2 HNHE with Mn²⁺ was determined by molecular replacement with the Phaser programmer in the CCP4 programed suite^{39,40}. In addition, according to the anomalous difference Fourier maps calculated with a data set collected at a wavelength of 1.8 Å, the location of Mn²⁺ was confirmed. The structure refinements were carried out with Refmac and Phenix^{38,41}. Model building was carried out using Coot⁴². MolProbity was used to validate the two structures⁴³. A summary of data collection and final refinement statistics are listed in Table 1. The program Pymol (http://www.pymol.org) was used to prepare the crystal structure figures of GVE2 HNHE. The sequence alignment figure (Fig. 1A) was prepared using ESPript⁴⁴.

Accession numbers. The atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB database under accession codes 5H0M and 5H0O.

Circular dichroism measurements. The wild type GVE2 HNHE and its mutants harboring the H93A, N109A, and H118A substitutions were dialyzed into 50 mM PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) pH7.5 for CD analysis. The CD spectra were recorded at 20 °C from 200 to 250 nm using a J-810 spectropolarimeter and a cuvette of path length 0.2 cm. The proteins were at a concentration of 1.5 mg/ml. The spectra were collected at a scanning rate of 50 nm/min, and triplicate spectrum readings were collected per sample. The CD spectral data were reported as mean residue ellipticity [θ], and the CD wavelength spectra were smoothed as described by Savitsky and Golay⁴⁵.

Thermal stability of the wild type and mutant GVE2 HNHEs was examined by the following changes in the spectrum in CD analysis with increasing temperature (30-90 °C). The proteins were at a concentration of 1.5 mg/ml. A single wavelength (222 nm) was selected to monitor the GVE2 HNHE protein structure, and the signal at that wavelength was recorded continuously as the temperature was increased. The CD wavelength spectra were smoothed using Origin software.

CD spectra for monitoring Mn^{2+} - or Zn^{2+} -dependent structural changes in GVE2 HNHE were recorded after incubation of the enzyme with different concentrations (2 nM, 10 nM, 50 nM, 200 nM, 1 mM and 5 mM) of Mn^{2+} or Zn^{2+} on ice for 5 min. Binding constants were determined from the plot of ellipticity changes at a wavelength of 222 nm with an increasing metal ion concentration. Apparent dissociation constants (K_d) were determined by fitting the curves to Hill analysis using Origin software.

DNA nicking assays. DNA nicking assays were carried out in the reactions ($20 \mu l$) containing 200 ng the plasmid pET-30a DNA, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, GVE2 HNHE or its mutant (H93A, N108A or H119A) at various concentrations (5, 20, 50, 100, 200 or 400 nM), 2 mM MnCl₂, 0.1 mg/ml BSA (bovine serum albumin) and 10% glycerol. The reactions were performed at 60 °C for 15 min. The reactions were terminated with the addition of EDTA at a final concentration of 100 mM and 1 × DNA loading buffer (New England Biolabs). The nicking product was analyzed by electrophoresis through 1% agarose gels.

References

- 1. Xu, S. Y. Sequence-specific DNA nicking endonucleases. Biomol Concepts 6, 253–267 (2015).
- 2. Kala, S. et al. HNH proteins are a widespread component of phage DNA packaging machines. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 111, 6022-6027 (2014).
- Quiles-Puchalt, N. et al. Staphylococcal pathogenicity island DNA packaging system involving cos-site packaging and phageencoded HNH endonucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 111, 6016–6021 (2014).
- 4. Xu, S. Y. & Gupta, Y. K. Natural zinc ribbon HNH endonucleases and engineered zinc finger nicking endonuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, 378–390 (2013).
- 5. Ko, T. P. *et al.* The crystal structure of the DNase domain of colicin E7 in complex with its inhibitor Im7 protein. *Structure* **7**, 91–102 (1999).
- 6. Shen, B. W. et al. DNA binding and cleavage by the HNH homing endonuclease I-HmuI. J Mol Biol 342, 43-56 (2004).
- 7. Cheng, Y. S. *et al.* The crystal structure of the nuclease domain of colicin E7 suggests a mechanism for binding to double-stranded DNA by the H-N-H endonucleases. *J Mol Biol* **324**, 227–236 (2002).
- 8. Pommer, A. J. et al. Mechanism and cleavage specificity of the H-N-H endonuclease colicin E9. J Mol Biol 314, 735–749 (2001).
- 9. Shen, B. W. *et al.* Unusual target site disruption by the rare-cutting HNH restriction endonuclease *PacI. Structure* **18**, 734–743 (2010).
- Sokolowska, M., Czapinska, H. & Bochtler, M. Crystal structure of the ββα-Me type II restriction endonuclease Hpy99I with target DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 37, 3799–3810 (2009).
- 11. Xu, S. Y. *et al.* Structure determination and biochemical characterization of a putative HNH endonuclease from *Geobacter metallireducens* GS-15. *PLoS One* **8**, e72114 (2013).
- 12. Yang, W., Nucleases: diversity of structure, function and mechanism. Q Rev Biophys 44, 1–93 (2011).
- Pediaditakis, M., Kaufenstein, M. & Graumann, P. L. Bacillus subtilis hlpB encodes a conserved stand-alone HNH nuclease-like protein that is essential for viability unless the hlpB deletion is accompanied by the deletion of genes encoding the AddAB. DNA repair complex. J Bacteriol 194, 6184–6194 (2012).
- 14. Stoddard, B. L. Homing endonuclease structure and function. Q Rev Biophys 38, 49-95 (2005).
- Pommer, A. J. et al. Enzymological characterization of the nuclease domain from the bacterial toxin colicin E9 from Escherichia coli. Biochem J 334, 387–392 (1998).

- 16. Michel-Briand, Y. & Baysse, C. The pyocins of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Biochimie 84, 499-510 (2002).
- 17. Saravanan, M. et al. Type II restriction endonuclease R.KpnI is a member of the HNH nuclease superfamily. Nucleic Acids Res 32, 6129–6135 (2004).
 - 18. Chak, K. F., Kuo, W. S. & James, R. Cloning and characterization of the ColE7 plasmid. J Gen Microbiol 137, 91-100 (1991).
 - 19. Liu, B. *et al.* Two novel bacteriophages of thermophilic bacteria isolated from deep-sea hydrothermal fields. *Curr Microbiol* 53, 163–166 (2006).
 - Liu, B. & Zhang, X. Deep-sea thermophilic Geobacillus bacteriophage GVE2 transcriptional profile and proteomic characterization of virions. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 80, 697–707 (2008).
 - 21. Zhang, L. *et al.* Biochemical characterization of a thermostable HNH endonuclease from deep-sea thermophilic bacteriophage GVE2. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **100**, 8003–8012 (2016).
 - Li, C. L. *et al.* DNA binding and cleavage by the periplasmic nuclease Vvn: a novel structure with a known active site. *EMBO J* 22, 4014–4025 (2003).
- 23. Jinek, M. et al. Structures of Cas9 endonucleases reveal RNA-mediated conformational activation. Science 343, 1247997 (2014).
- 24. Altermark, B. *et al.* Structural adaptation of endonuclease I from the cold-adapted and halophilic bacterium *Vibrio salmonicida*. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **64**, 368–376 (2008).
- Han, T. et al. Recognition and cleavage of 5-methylcytosine DNA by bacterial SRA-HNH proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 43, 1147–1159 (2015).
- Zhou, H. et al. EheA from Exiguobacterium sp. yc3 is a novel thermostable DNase belonging to HNH endonuclease superfamily. FEMS Microbiol Lett 362, fnv204 (2015).
- Doudeva, L. G. et al. Crystal structural analysis and metal-dependent stability and activity studies of the ColE7 endonuclease domain in complex with DNA/Zn²⁺ or inhibitor/Ni²⁺. Protein Sci 15, 269–280 (2006).
- Huang, H. & Yuan, H. S. The conserved asparagine in the HNH motif serves an important structural role in metal finger endonucleases. J Mol Biol 368, 812–821 (2007).
- 29. Gutjahr A. & Xu S. Y. Engineering nicking enzymes that preferentially nick 5-methylcytosine-modified DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42, e77 (2014).
- 30. Vasu, K. et al. Generation of a manganese specific restriction endonuclease with nicking activity. Biochemistry 49, 8425-8433 (2010).
- Ku, W. Y. et al. The zinc ion in the HNH motif of the endonuclease domain of colicin E7 is not required for DNA binding but is essential for DNA hydrolysis. Nucleic Acids Res 30, 1670–1678 (2002).
- Saravanan, M. *et al.* Dual role for Zn²⁺ in maintaining structural integrity and inducing DNA sequence specificity in a promiscuous endonuclease. J Biol Chem 282, 32320–32326 (2007).
- 33. Shen, B. W. et al. DNA binding and cleavage by the HNH homing endonuclease I-HmuI. J Mol Biol 342, 43-56 (2004).
- 34. Hsia, K. C., et al. DNA binding and degradation by the HNH protein ColE7. Structure 12, 205-214 (2004).
- 35. Zhang, L. et al. Archaeal DNA polymerases in biotechnology. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99, 6585–6597 (2015).
- 36. Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. In: Methods in Enzymology eds Carter, C. W. Jr., Sweet, R. M. Academic Press (1997).
- 37. Thomas, P. & Thomas R. Schneider HKL2MAP: a graphical user interface for phasing with SHELX programs. *J Appl Cryst* 37, 843–844 (2004).
- Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 213–221 (2010).
- 39. McCoy, A. J. et al. Phaser crystallographic software. J Appl Cryst 40, 658-674 (2007).
- 40. Winn, M. D. et al. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 67, 235–242 (2011).
- 41. Murshudov, G. N. *et al.* REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 67, 355–367 (2011).
- 42. Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 60, 2126–2132 (2004).
- Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 12–21 (2010).
- 44. Gouet, P. et al. ESPript: analysis of multiple sequence alignments in PostScript. Bioinformatics 15, 305–308 (1999).
- 45. Savitsky, A. & Golay, M. J. É. Smoothing and differentiation of data by simplified least squares procedures. Anal Chem 36, 1627 (1964).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Maozhi Hu (Test Center of Yangzhou University) for their assistance in CD measurement and data analysis. We also thank Dr. Shengquan Yang (Department of Food Science and Engineer, Yangzhou University) for providing his assistance in protein purification. We also thank Tabitha Gillman (University of Alberta) for comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. We are grateful to Mei Li and Zengqiang Gao and the staff of beamline BL17U at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, the staff of beamline 1W2B at Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility and the staff of beamline BL1A and BL5A at the Photon Factory, KEK (Tsukuba, Japan) for technical support. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant (No. 13KJB180029), the Provincial Natural Science Foundation Grant of Jiangsu Province, China (No. BK20130440), the State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology Open Projects Fund (No. M2016-09) and Open Research Fund Program of the State Key Laboratory of Virology of China (No. 2016KF006) to L.Z., the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant (No. 31270791 and No. U1432241) to Y.G., the Postgraduate Research Innovation Grant of Jiangsu Province, China (No. KYLX16_1400) to Y.H., and the Yangzhou University College Student Science and Technology Innovation Grant to X.Z.

Author Contributions

L.Z. and Y.G. contributed to the initial design of this project. D.X. and X. Zheng. solved the crystal structures of GVE2 HNHE. Y.C., X. Zhu., M.R. and T.W. conducted biochemical experiments. L.Z., Y.G., Y.S., X.C. and K.M. prepared and revised the manuscript of this publication.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhang, L. *et al.* Structural and functional characterization of deep-sea thermophilic bacteriophage GVE2 HNH endonuclease. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42542; doi: 10.1038/srep42542 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017