

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

Structural and Functional insights into the catalytic mechanism of the Type II NADH:quinone oxidoreductase family

Received: 27 September 2016

Accepted: 05 January 2017

Published: 09 February 2017

Bruno C. Marreiros, Filipa V. Sena, Filipe M. Sousa, A. Sofia F. Oliveira, Cláudio M. Soares, Ana P. Batista[†] & Manuela M. Pereira

Type II NADH:quinone oxidoreductases (NDH-2s) are membrane proteins involved in respiratory chains. These proteins contribute indirectly to the establishment of the transmembrane difference of electrochemical potential by catalyzing the reduction of quinone by oxidation of NAD(P)H. NDH-2s are widespread enzymes being present in the three domains of life. In this work, we explored the catalytic mechanism of NDH-2 by investigating the common elements of all NDH-2s, based on the rationale that conservation of such elements reflects their structural/functional importance. We observed conserved sequence motifs and structural elements among 1762 NDH-2s. We identified two proton pathways possibly involved in the protonation of the quinone. Our results led us to propose the first catalytic mechanism for NDH-2 family, in which a conserved glutamate residue, E₁₇₂ (in NDH-2 from *Staphylococcus aureus*) plays a key role in proton transfer to the quinone pocket. This catalytic mechanism may also be extended to the other members of the two-Dinucleotide Binding Domains Flavoprotein (tDBDF) superfamily, such as sulfide:quinone oxidoreductases.

Type II NADH:quinone oxidoreductases (NDH-2s) are involved in respiratory chains of organisms belonging to the three domains of life, Eukarya, Bacteria and Archaea¹. These are membrane associated enzymes which, by reducing quinones, indirectly contribute to the establishment and maintenance of the transmembrane difference of electrochemical potential. This potential is responsible for solute/nutrient cell import, synthesis of ATP and motility, *i.e.* it is vital for life.

NDH-2s are members of the two-Dinucleotide Binding Domains Flavoprotein (tDBDF) superfamily, a large group of proteins involved in several metabolic processes². The tDBDF includes different families such as monooxygenases, glutathione reductases, dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenases, ferredoxin reductases and sulfide dehydrogenases. As its name implies, the members of this superfamily present two structural domains for the binding of dinucleotides. These domains are structurally similar to each other and each one adopts a Rossmann fold, known to stabilize the adenine rings of dinucleotides (Fig. 1A)³. The domain at the N-terminal binds the flavin prosthetic group, a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), and the second domain interacts with either nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) or nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH). FAD is generally not covalently bound and its isoalloxazine ring is buried inside the protein, with its *re*-side facing the NADH binding domain (Fig. 1B). Sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase (SQR) and sulfide:flavocytochrome *c* oxidoreductase (also called flavocytochrome *c* sulfide dehydrogenase, FCSD) are exceptions within the superfamily because, although the two enzymes contain the two dinucleotide binding domains, they do not interact with NADH due to the presence of a loop, which makes the NADH binding site structurally inaccessible. Many members of the tDBDF superfamily have additional redox centres, in most known cases a disulfide, justifying the superfamily being also named flavin-disulfide reductases.

Structures of NDH-2 from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, also called Ndi1 (PDB:4G6H and PDB:4G9K)^{4,5}, and those from bacteria, *Caldalkalibacillus thermarum* (PDB:4NWZ)⁶ and *Staphylococcus aureus* (PDB:4XDB)⁷,

Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica – António Xavier, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Av. da Republica EAN, 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal. [†]Present address: iBET, Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnológica, apartado 12, 2780-901 Oeiras, Portugal. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M.P. (email: mpereira@itqb.unl.pt)




Figure 1. NDH-2 and substrates. NDH-2 is composed of three structural domains: first dinucleotide binding domain, or FAD binding domain (green); second dinucleotide binding domain or NADH binding domain (orange); and membrane interacting domain, including two amphipathic helices at the C-terminal (purple). (A) Cartoon representation of the X-ray crystal structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷). The gray area represents the membrane and curved arrows schematize NADH:quinone oxidoreductase activity; (B) Cartoon representation of a zoomed view of the FAD region and co-crystallized ubiquinone and NADH of the NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae* (PDB:4G73⁴). The atoms of the FAD group are ordered and coloured in: blue – Nitrogen atom (N); red – Oxygen atom (O); orange – Phosphorus atom (P) and yellow – Carbon atom (C). The glycine residues composing the GxGxxG motif present each of dinucleotide binding domain are coloured in brown and indicated by “G”; (C) Sequence of NDH-2 from *S. aureus*⁷ indicating secondary structure elements. Secondary structure was predicted using STRIDE. β -sheets and α -helices are numbered from the N- to the C-terminal. Residues with at least 80% conservation (*) and with high covariance (#) are marked.

have been determined. In addition to the two dinucleotide binding domains, all structures show the presence of a C-terminal domain with two amphipathic helices which allow NDH-2 to interact with the membrane (Fig. 1A). Moreover, the first domain was proposed to contain the motif AQxAxQ in the quinone binding site⁶. The structures are in general similar, but different results were obtained in the case of the structures of Ndi1 incubated with the substrates, NADH and quinone. The structure determined by Iwata *et al* suggests NADH and quinone would superimpose at the *re*-side of FAD⁵, while the structure solved by Feng and co-workers showed distinct binding sites for NADH and quinone at the *re*- and *si*-side of FAD, respectively⁴. The structures of the bacterial enzymes were obtained in the absence of the substrates, but biochemical and biophysical data provided evidences for the presence of different binding sites for the two substrates^{6,7}. Sena *et al* detected, for NDH-2 from *S. aureus*, a charge-transfer complex formed between NAD⁺ and the reduced flavin, which is dissociated by the quinone⁷. Recently NDH-2 from *Escherichia coli* was also shown to have two distinct substrate binding sites and a bound

semi-protonated quinol was identified as a catalytic intermediate⁸. All these recent findings were major advances for the understanding of NDH-2, but its overall mechanism is still unclear.

In this work we performed thorough sequence and structural analyses in which we identified relevant amino acid residues, sequence motifs and structural elements. The integrated data allowed us to identify common denominators of 1762 NDH-2 sequences and establish the basis to discuss and propose a universal catalytic mechanism for NDH-2.

Results and Discussion

In this work we performed a thorough structural analysis of NDH-2s in order to identify structurally relevant elements and/or motifs, which helped to elucidate the poorly understood catalytic mechanism of these enzymes.

For analyses and discussion of the results, we used the amino acid sequence and tertiary structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* ([SA0802], PDB:4XDB⁷), unless otherwise mentioned.

Amino acid residue conservation. We performed a multiple sequence alignment using 1762 NDH-2s and looked for highly conserved amino acid residues. In general, NDH-2s have on average 430 amino acid residues, 30 of which we observed to have conservation equal to or higher than 80%, *i.e.* these 30 amino acid residues are present at the same position in at least 80% of the analyzed sequences.

Conservation in the first dinucleotide binding domain: FAD binding site. Eighteen conserved amino acid residues are present in the first dinucleotide binding domain, arranged in four motifs and seven isolated residues (Figs 1 and 2). The first conserved motif observed is GxGxxG (G₁₂xG₁₄xxG₁₇). In 9% of NDH-2s, the last glycine residue of this motif is substituted by an alanine residue, as in the case of the NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae* (Ndi1)^{4,5}. This glycine rich motif is placed in a loop located close to the pyrophosphate moiety of the FAD and should stabilize it (Figs 1B and 2)^{3,6,9}.

The second conserved motif, located at the surface of the protein, is composed of the residue pair YD (F₁₀₂D₁₀₃ in *S. aureus*), the Y and D residues being present in 92% and 96% of the NDH-2s, respectively (Fig. 2). We observed that the tyrosine residue is replaced by a phenylalanine residue in 7% of the NDH-2s, as in the case of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (F₁₀₂). The conservation of the YD pair is intriguing because it is located far from the active centre and binding sites of the two substrates. As this pair is present between two β -strands (Fig. 1C) (β 8 and β 9), the hydrophilic character of the side chain of D₁₀₃, that points towards the solvent, could constrain the position of β 9 (part of the Rossmann fold) and therefore the pair might have a structural role in the Rossmann fold. However another Rossmann fold is present in the second dinucleotide binding domain without such a conserved pair. Thus alternatively and more appealing, the conserved YD pair may constitute a site for regulation of the enzymatic activity.

A third strictly conserved pair, G₃₀₁D₃₀₂, forming the third conserved motif, is observed close to O3* of FAD (Figs 1B and 2). The backbone of G₃₀₁ establishes a hydrogen bond (2.7 Å) with the side chain of a residue located after the proposed quinone binding site motif (A₃₁₉Q₃₂₀xA₃₂₂xQ₃₂₄)⁶ in α -helix 7 (Fig. 1C). This residue is a glutamine in 57% of the NDH-2s, such as Q₃₂₅ in *S. aureus*, and a glutamate and a histidine residue in the cases of NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae* and *C. thermarum*, respectively^{4,6-7}. D₃₀₂ was previously suggested to make hydrogen bonds with FAD, both through its backbone to the PO₄ group and its side chain to O3* (Fig. 1B)^{5,6,10,11}. Studies performed with NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae*, in which the equivalent aspartate residue was mutated (to alanine, asparagine, glutamine, or glutamate) showed that the presence of a glutamate/aspartate residue is important for the activity of the enzyme¹². The high conservation of that aspartate residue (D₃₀₂) is extended to several families of the tDBDF superfamily, even to those whose members do not interact with quinones (our unpublished results), suggesting that it could be important in the oxidation/reduction processes of the FAD.

We also observed that three out of the four first residues from the quinone binding site motif, AQxAxQ^{1,6}, are more than 80% conserved (Fig. 2), and that the last glutamine residue is still present in 78% of NDH-2s. The backbone of A₃₁₉ and Q₃₂₀ was described before as making direct hydrogen bonds with the isoalloxazine ring of FAD^{5,6}, while the two glutamine residues (Q₃₂₀ and Q₃₂₄) were proposed to be at the entrance to the active site. We investigated alternative quinone binding site motifs and observed three main motifs: AQxAxQ (already mentioned above), AQxAxR (wherein the last glutamine residue is replaced by arginine) and APxAxQ (wherein the first glutamine residue is replaced by proline). These three motifs are conserved in 62%, 15% and 10% of the 1762 NDH-2s, respectively¹.

Conservation in the second dinucleotide binding domain: NADH binding site. The second dinucleotide binding domain harbours the NADH binding site (Fig. 1A). In this domain, we identified nine conserved residues forming two different motifs plus three isolated residues (Fig. 3). The first conserved motif, GxGxxGxE, is located at the beginning of α -helix 4 (G₁₆₅xG₁₆₇xxG₁₇₀xG₁₇₂) (Fig. 1C). As in the case of the similar motif observed for the first dinucleotide binding domain, the glycine residues were proposed to stabilize the pyrophosphate moiety of the dinucleotide, now NADH^{4,6,12}. Replacing the first glycine residue by serine hampered the growth of *S. cerevisiae*¹². The glutamate residue E₁₇₂ is at hydrogen bond distance from the co-crystallized NADH nicotinamide ring in the structure of NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae*⁴ (Fig. 3). This residue is conserved in 97% of the 1762 NDH-2s, while a glutamine residue is present in the remaining sequences (3%, mainly Archaea). Single mutation experiments showed yeast cells had growth defects when that glutamate residue (E₂₄₂ in NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae*) was replaced by alanine or aspartate residues⁴. This mutation also affected NADH and quinone kinetic parameters (K_M and V_{max})⁴, suggesting an important role of this residue in the catalytic mechanism of NDH-2.

The motif WxxG (W₂₆₁xxG₂₆₄) is also highly conserved, 99% and 100% for W₂₆₁ and G₂₆₄, respectively (Fig. 3). As W₂₆₁ is close to the adenine base of NADH we hypothesize that it is of importance in the orientation and/or stabilization of NAD(P)H.

A

	<i>S. aureus</i> NDH-2 sequence		Alignment of 1762 sequences from NDH-2 family		Proposed function
	Amino acid number	Amino acid type	Amino acid		
			Conservation (%)	Consensus	
1 st Rossmann domain	12	G	100	G	FAD stabilization
	14	G	100	G	FAD stabilization
	17	G	83	G	FAD stabilization
	48	T	87	P	Structural / H-bond with Y ₁₅
	50	L	91	L	-
	87	D	88	D	-
	102	F	92	Y	Structural / Regulation
	103	D	96	D	Structural / Regulation
	105	L	98	L	Structural
	110	G	100	G	FAD stabilization
	289	L	82	L	-
	301	G	100	G	H-bond with Q ₃₂₅
	302	D	100	D	H-bond with O3* (FAD)
	316	P	83	P	H-bond with N1 and O2 (FAD)
	319	A	96	A	Quinone binding site motif
	320	Q	84	Q	Quinone binding site motif
	322	A	98	A	Quinone binding site motif
	347	Y	82	Y	H-bond with Q ₃₂₀

B




Figure 2. Amino acid residue conservation in the first dinucleotide binding domain. (A) List of the 18 amino acid residues present in the 1st dinucleotide (FAD) binding domain that are conserved in at least 80% of the NDH-2s; (B) Cartoon representation of the X-ray crystal structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷) highlighting the location of the 18 conserved amino acid residues present in this domain. Membrane is represented in black.

Conservation in the C-terminal domain: Membrane interacting module. The C-terminal domain allows protein interaction with the membrane through two amphipathic α -helices (Fig. 1A and C)⁴⁻⁷. We found three conserved glycine residues (G₃₅₁, G₃₅₇ and G₃₇₂), with G₃₇₂ conserved in 99% of NDH-2s (Fig. 4) and we hypothesize that its presence is important to define the position of the first amphipathic α -helix in relation to the catalytic centre. By comparing the crystallographic structures of the members of the two families of quinone reducing proteins of the tDBDF superfamily (NDH-2⁴⁻⁷ and SQR^{13,14}) we observed, in both cases, that the first amphipathic α -helix occupies the same position in relation to the isoalloxazine ring of the FAD. The localization of this α -helix allows the side chains of its amino acid residues to interact with FAD and substrates (NAD(P)H or sulfide and quinone).

Amino acid residue covariance. Aiming to avoid excluding possibly relevant amino acid residues with lower conservation, we performed a covariance analysis using the MISTIC tool¹⁵. This tool gives insights into the relation between two residues by predicting positional correlations based on the structure and multiple sequence alignment. For example, during evolution, an amino acid residue at position “A”, important for the reaction, can be changed without loss of protein activity if a change in another amino acid residue at position “B” takes place, compensating for the change of the first amino acid at position “A”.




Figure 3. Amino acid residue conservation in the second dinucleotide binding domain. (A) List of the 9 amino acid residues present in the 2nd dinucleotide binding domain that are conserved in at least 80% of the NDH-2s; **(B)** Cartoon representation of the X-ray crystal structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷) highlighting the location of the 9 conserved amino acid residues in this domain. Membrane is represented in black.

Our analysis revealed the existence of residues with high cumulative covariance, *i.e.* sum of all relations between a residue at a certain position and others at different positions. We selected all residues with cumulative covariance above 70%, when normalized in relation to those positions with the highest cumulative covariance, which were X₁₅ and X₃₇₉ (100% of cumulative covariance). Therefore, we accepted for analysis three additional positions: X₄₆, X₅₁, X₅₂ (Fig. 5). Importantly, these five positions with the highest cumulative covariance establish covariance pairs between themselves, such as X₁₅ with X₅₁; X₅₂ and X₃₇₉; X₄₆ with X₃₇₉; X₅₁ and X₅₂ with X₁₅ and X₃₇₉. This observation further supports the structural/functional relevance of those amino acids, which are located in key positions, such as the NADH and quinone binding sites.

Covariance in the first dinucleotide binding domain: FAD binding site. The first dinucleotide binding domain contains two of the five positions with the highest cumulative covariance in NDH-2 family, X₁₅ and X₄₆ (Fig. 5). X₁₅ (Y₁₅ in NDH-2 from *S. aureus*) is part of the FAD binding motif, G₁₂XG₁₄Y₁₅XG₁₇. This position is occupied by an aromatic residue in 81% of NDH-2s, varying between a phenylalanine (35%), a tyrosine (18%, in NDH-2s from *S. aureus* and *C. thermarum*) or a tryptophan (28%, W₆₃ in NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae*) residue. In 16% of the cases, the conserved aromatic character is lost and replaced by an alanine residue (Fig. 5). X₁₅ was previously described as being part of the tunnel extending from the C-terminal domain to the *si*-side of the FAD, and was able to establish a direct hydrogen bond, through its backbone, with one of the PO₄ groups from FAD (Fig. 1B)⁵⁻⁶.

The second amino acid position with high cumulative covariance, X₄₆ (E₄₆ in *S. aureus*, see below), is also located at the *si*-side of FAD (Figs 1B and 5). This position is occupied by an aromatic residue (phenylalanine, tyrosine or tryptophan) in 87% of the NDH-2s (Fig. 5).

Covariance in the second dinucleotide binding domain: NADH binding site. The second dinucleotide binding domain contains two positions, corresponding to H₅₁ and E₅₂, localized at the *re*-side of FAD, among the five positions with the highest cumulative covariance (Figs 1B and 5). X₅₁ varies mainly between three residues: tyrosine (34%), histidine (28%, in *S. aureus* and *C. thermarum*) or proline (28%, P₉₅ in *S. cerevisiae*), while X₅₂ (E₅₂ in *S. aureus*) may contain a glutamate (33%), glutamine (26%, Q₅₀ in *C. thermarum*) or serine (24%, S₉₆ in *S. cerevisiae*) residues (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Figure 1). In the case of *C. thermarum*, we observed a glutamate residue also present in the vicinity (two residues before) of the histidine (H₄₉) (corresponding to E₄₇ in *C. thermarum*). These residue pairs (H₅₁ and E₅₂ in *S. aureus* and E₄₇ and H₄₉ in *C. thermarum*) seem to form a conserved motif




Figure 4. Amino acid residue conservation in the C-terminal domain. (A) List of the 3 amino acid residues present in the C-terminal domain that are conserved in at least 80% of the NDH-2s; (B) Cartoon representation of the X-ray crystal structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷) highlighting the location of the 3 conserved amino acid residues in this domain. Membrane is represented in black.

that may have a role in the proton transfer process (the two residues composing the pair are at ~ 3.3 Å and ~ 3.9 Å apart, respectively). In NDH-2s from *S. aureus* and *C. thermarum*, H₅₁ is also at hydrogen bond distance from the side chain of the highly conserved E₁₇₂ (~ 3.3 Å), from the side chain of K₃₇₉ (~ 3.3 Å) and near N5 from the FAD isoalloxazine ring (~ 6.8 Å in *S. aureus*) (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Figure 2). The analysis of protonation equilibrium simulations performed for NDH-2 from *S. aureus*, showed that H₅₁ is sensitive to the oxidation state of FAD (Supplementary Table 1).

Covariance in the C-terminal domain: membrane interacting module. X₃₇₉ (K₃₇₉ in *S. aureus*), also included in the five positions with the highest cumulative covariance, is located in the C-terminal domain (Fig. 5). X₃₇₉ is a tryptophan residue in 53% of NDH-2s (W₄₇₈ in *S. cerevisiae*), a positively charged residue (K, H or R) in 27% (K in *S. aureus* and *C. thermarum*), or a hydroxyl containing residue (16% tyrosine and 2% threonine) (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Figure 1).

Identification of two distinct proton pathways. The catalytic steps in NADH:quinone oxidoreduction, *i.e.* NADH oxidation, FAD reduction, FADH₂ oxidation and quinone reduction involve proton transfers. Therefore, we looked for possible proton pathways, examining the conservation of amino acid residues by type (*e.g.* protonatable and aromatic,) and analyzing the three available NDH-2 structures⁴⁻⁷. We were able to identify two distinct proton pathways.

A proton pathway in the second dinucleotide binding domain: NADH binding site. On the *re*-side of FAD, we observed that the conserved E₁₇₂ is at hydrogen bond distance from several residues and possibly from the -NH₂ group of the nicotinamide ring of NAD(P)H. The side chain of E₁₇₂ may establish three different hydrogen bonds with residues in its vicinity, namely with H₅₁, and the backbone of S₃₅₅ and K₃₇₉ (Supplementary Figure 2), among which X₅₁ and X₃₇₉ are the positions with the highest cumulative covariances. In the three available NDH-2 structures, we noticed the glutamate residue is located at the interior end of a wire composed mainly of carboxylate residues connected to the surface of the protein (Fig. 6). All these carboxylate residues have their side chains oriented to the same side of α -helix 4 (Fig. 1C). These residues are E₁₇₂, E₁₇₆, D₁₇₉ and E₁₈₃ in NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (Fig. 6A, respective distances are shown in (Supplementary Table 2)), E₁₆₉, E₁₇₃, D₁₇₆ and E₁₈₀ in NDH-2 from *C. thermarum* (Fig. 6B) and E₂₄₂, E₂₄₆, D₂₄₉ and D₂₅₄ in NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 6C), and have an overall conservation of 97%, 62%, 74% and 28%, considering the conservation of the carboxylate residues *i.e.* glutamate or aspartate.

We performed protonation equilibrium simulations for NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (Supplementary Table 1), which clearly showed that the protonation of E₁₇₂ (E₂₄₂ in *S. cerevisiae*, Supplementary Table 3) is highly dependent on the oxidation state of FAD. E₁₇₂ is the residue with the highest variation of its protonated fraction when




Figure 5. Amino acid residue covariance in NDH-2 family. (A) List of the 5 amino acid residue positions with the highest cumulative covariance (above 70%); (B) Cartoon representation of the X-ray crystal structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB7) highlighting the location of the 5 amino acid residues positions with the highest cumulative covariance. Membrane is represented in black; (C) Amino acid residue frequency at the 5 amino acid positions with the highest covariance.

comparing the reduced and oxidized states (Supplementary Table 1). These results support the idea that E₁₇₂ is likely to play a role in proton transfer during the catalytic cycle.

The proton wire just described connects the surface of the protein and the NADH and the quinone binding pocket. However, we hypothesize that this wire may be extended to the quinone binding pocket due to the presence of K₃₇₉, with which E₁₇₂ may interact (~3.3 Å) through a hydrogen bond (Fig. 5). X₃₇₉ is located close to the isoalloxazine ring of FAD (at ~3.3 Å from its O4) and at the interface between the NADH and quinone pockets. However, we noticed 53% of NDH-2s do not contain a proton conducting residue at X₃₇₉, but in 51% and 46% of these cases we observed a tyrosine or a histidine residue, respectively, at position X₃₈₃ (corresponding to Y₄₈₂ in *S. cerevisiae* at ~3.1 Å from E₂₄₂) (Supplementary Figure 1), whose side chain seems to occupy the same structural position as that of K₃₇₉ from *S. aureus* (structural alignment between NDH-2s from *S. aureus* and *S. cerevisiae* [RMSD = 1.2 Å]). Considering together the X₃₇₉ and X₃₇₉₊₄ (X₃₈₃) positions in the NDH-2 alignment, we observed 98% NDH-2s have a proton conducting residue at the interface of the NADH and quinone pockets (X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃, Supplementary Figure 1), directly interacting with E₁₇₂. Thus, in 98% of NDH-2s the proton wire present at the second dinucleotide binding domain may connect the protein surface and the quinone pocket.

We extended our analyses to SQRs, which are the only members of the tDBDF superfamily to have quinone as substrate, as in NDH-2s. SQR from *Aquifex aeolicus*¹³ presents hydrogen bonds between position X₁₇₂ and X₅₁ and X₃₇₉ (Supplementary Figure 3). This reinforces the proposal for the presence of a proton conducting residue at the interface of NADH/sulfide and quinone pockets for these two families. As both families share the same electron acceptor, we may hypothesize that the residues occupying positions X₅₁ and X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃ have a role in quinone protonation, possibly as proton conducting elements.

In summary, we propose the existence of a conserved proton conductive wire from the protein surface into the quinone pocket (Fig. 6), which certainly has an important role in proton transfer during the catalytic cycle. The wire is established by a sequence of conserved carboxylic residues E₁₇₂/E₁₇₆/D₁₇₉/E₁₈₃, intercalated by H₅₁, to K₃₇₉ or its structural equivalent (X₃₈₃), (Fig. 5). Other possibilities for proton conductive wires are shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

A proton pathway in the first dinucleotide binding domain: FAD binding site. In contrast to what was observed for the second dinucleotide binding domain, there is no clear proton conductive wire composed of highly conserved amino acid residues in the first dinucleotide binding domain. Therefore, we searched for protonatable residues close to the quinone pocket. In the case of NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae*, a histidine residue at the binding




Figure 6. Proton pathways in the second dinucleotide binding domain. Cartoon representations of the X-ray crystal structures highlighting the proton pathways proposed for NDH-2s from (A) *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷); (B) *C. thermarum* (PDB:4NWZ⁹); (C) *S. cerevisiae* (PDB:4G73⁴). Membrane is represented in black.

site motif, AQxAH₃₉₇Q, is observed at 5.4 Å from the quinone^{4,5}. Site directed mutations of this histidine residue led to hampered growth of yeast cells, suggesting its importance in protein function⁴. These observations led us to hypothesize H₃₉₇ could be a direct proton donor to the quinone. Consequently, we identified a putative proton wire involving E₄₀₁ at 3.5 Å from H₃₉₇, H₇₁ at 4.4 Å from E₄₀₁, and another three residues that could interact with H₇₁ upon rearrangement of the respective side chains (D₇₃, K₄₀₅ and D₄₀₈) (Fig. 7C). However that histidine residue is not present in NDH-2s from *S. aureus* (AQxAM₃₂₃Q) or from *C. thermarum* (AQxAI₃₂₀Q), and is only present in 17% of NDH-2s, mainly in proteobacteria and some eukaryotic species.

Based on the hypothesis that the quinone binding pocket is located in the same place in all NDH-2s, we searched for residues whose side-chains spatially occupy the position of that of H₃₉₇ in NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae* and we identified three positions (Supplementary Figure 5). In NDH-2 from *S. aureus* we identified K₃₈₉ as a candidate to replace H₃₉₇ (*S. cerevisiae*) and we noticed the presence of a possible wire involving E₃₂₇, K₂₃ and K₃₃₁ (Fig. 7A1). Three other residues may also form a proton wire to the quinone binding pocket, namely E₄₂, H₄₄ (present in 53% of the NDH-2s) and E₄₆ (present in 3% of the NDH-2s, Fig. 7A2). In fact, this alternative is also observed in the protein from *C. thermarum* (Fig. 7B). We observed a histidine (H₄₂, *C. thermarum*) in the place of H₄₄, as well as a tyrosine (Y₃₈₃, *C. thermarum*) which makes a hydrogen bond with H₄₂ (2.9 Å), suggesting that the tyrosine may play the same role as E₄₆ from *S. aureus* (Fig. 7A2 and B). Moreover, we note the presence of a glutamate or an aspartate residue (E₄₂/D₄₀) two positions before the histidine (H₄₄/H₄₂, *S. aureus* and *C. thermarum* respectively). This alternative proton pathway seems to be absent in *S. cerevisiae* since no histidine is present and a tryptophan present in the GxGxW₆₄G motif seems to block that path to the quinone binding pocket.

Overall the proton wire present in the first dinucleotide binding domain is less evident and alternative paths could be considered, some of which are indicated in Supplementary Figure 5. Since the quinone substrate may have different chemical structures, we may speculate that the different conductive proton pathways may reflect different structural arrangements related to the nature of quinones used.

Hypothesis for the catalytic mechanism. The catalytic mechanism of NDH-2 is still unclear, even considering the available structural and functional data^{4–8,12}. Nevertheless the gathered information showed that the two substrates bind to different sites, and that a charge-transfer complex is formed between NAD⁺ and the reduced flavin (FADH₂), which is dissociated by the quinone^{7,8,12}. Here, we discuss the possible roles of the conserved elements in the catalytic process (Fig. 8A), including in proton transfer and substrate interaction. We divide the discussion in two parts corresponding to the two half-reactions: FAD reduction (by NADH) and FADH₂ oxidation (by quinone).

FAD reduction: first half-reaction. The way in which FAD is reduced in NDH-2 is unknown, but, based on what is observed for several flavoproteins, we consider that FAD is reduced by hydride transfer from NADH at its *re*-side². Therefore, N5 of the FAD isoalloxazine can accept the hydride from C4 of the nicotinamide ring of NADH (which is at ~3.4 Å in the structure of NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae*) (Fig. 8B).

The origin of the second proton needed for the full protonation of FAD is uncertain, nevertheless it can be assumed to occur at the N1 atom of FAD (Fig. 1B). Inspecting the vicinity of N1 we noticed the presence of the conserved D₃₀₂, although not at proton binding distance to it (~7–8 Å, Fig. 1B). The fact that D₃₀₂ is totally conserved, even among other members of the tDBDF superfamily, and present in the vicinity of FAD suggests its involvement in the second protonation of the flavin. This hypothesis is corroborated by the protonation equilibrium simulations performed for the *S. cerevisiae* enzyme which showed that the protonation of D₃₈₃ (equivalent to D₃₀₂ in *S. aureus*) is greatly influenced by the presence/absence of NAD⁺ at the catalytic site




Figure 7. Proton pathways in the first dinucleotide binding domain. Cartoon representations of the X-ray crystal structures of NDH-2 highlighting the (A1) proton pathway through α -helices 1 and 7 of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷); (A2) alternative proton pathway in NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷); (B) the proton pathway found for NDH-2 from *C. thermarum* (PDB:4NWZ⁶); (C) Proton pathway through α -helices 1 and 7 of NDH-2 from *S. cerevisiae* (PDB:4G73⁴). Membrane is represented in black.

(Supplementary Table 3). The protonated fraction of D₃₈₃ increases 14% at pH 7 when the complex FADH₂-NAD⁺ is formed, as compared with the oxidized FAD.

Considering that the members of the tDBDF superfamily are structurally similar, they are likely to share the same protonation mechanism. In the cases of NADH:ferredoxin oxidoreductase and thioredoxin reductase, the isoalloxazine ring of the reduced FAD adopts a bent conformation (the so-called boat conformation) upon reduction, which contrasts with the planar conformation observed in the oxidized form^{10,11}. The bent conformation causes the rotation of C2* which indirectly allows O2* to reorient in between D₃₀₂ and N1 from FAD (Fig. 1B), establishing a new hydrogen bond network (Fig. 8C). This proton network may lead to protonation of N1 by D₃₀₂.

In summary, NADH binds to NDH-2 and reduces FAD through hydride transfer to N5. The fully protonated state of the flavin is achieved by rearrangement of the hydrogen bond network around N1 induced by the adoption of a bent conformation by the isoalloxazine ring. We propose that this proton network rearrangement may involve the strictly conserved D₃₀₂, which has direct access to the bulk (Fig. 8A,B and C).

FADH₂ oxidation: second half-reaction. The second half-reaction involves electron transfer from FADH₂ to the quinone, deprotonation of FADH₂ and quinone protonation. Two possibilities for the whole process may be considered: (1) The quinone can be reduced directly by hydride transfer from FADH₂, in this way needing only a second proton; (2) or the quinone reduction and protonation events occur separately.

The first hypothesis cannot be discarded in the light of the current experimental knowledge, but considering that what is conserved is important to the function of an enzyme family, including the presence of two




Figure 8. NDH-2 enzymatic mechanism. Illustrative representation of NDH-2's enzymatic mechanism. Cartoons are based on the X-ray crystal structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷) and are a zoomed view of the FAD and substrate binding sites, including the helices (in gray) which contain the amino acid residues suggested to compose the proposed proton pathways. Substrate positions were predicted by superimposing the substrate free *S. aureus* and NADH/quinone bound *S. cerevisiae* NDH-2s structures (RMSD 1.2 Å). Sticks represent: yellow, the FAD; orange, the NADH/NAD⁺; green the quinone/quinol; red, glutamate/aspartate residues; cyan, lysine residues and dark blue, histidine residues. Hydrogen bonding interactions are represented by dashed black lines, proton transfers are schematized by the filled black arrows and electron/hydride transfers are indicated by purple filled arrows. (A) In the absence of substrates FAD is kept oxidized. In this case, D₃₀₂ interacts with O3^{*}. 1st and 2nd dinucleotide binding domain proton pathways allow proton conduction between the bulk and K₃₈₉ or E₁₇₂, respectively. E₁₇₂ is at hydrogen bonding distance from K₃₇₉; (B) Upon binding, NADH reduces FAD by hydride transfer to N5 and establishes a hydrogen bond with E₁₇₂, which consequently loses the hydrogen bond to K₃₇₉ (now protonated); (C) Concomitantly with its reduction, FAD adopts a bent conformation, leading to the rotation of O2^{*}, O3^{*} and O4^{*}, changing the hydrogen bonding network between D₃₀₂ and N1, allowing their interaction and protonation of N1 by D₃₀₂. This conformation may also induce additional changes at K₃₈₉ to adopt a protonated form close to the quinone binding pocket; (D) Upon quinone binding, FADH₂ transfers two electrons to the quinone which also accepts two protons from the final proton conductors of the pathways (K₃₇₉ and K₃₈₉). After the two electrons transfer (FADH₂ oxidation), the flavin returns to its original conformation, leading to the release of the proton at N5 (NADH binding pocket) and the proton at N1 in a reverse process that restores the initial hydrogen bonding network around D₃₀₂. NAD⁺ and quinol are released and the initial positions of K₃₇₉ and K₃₈₉ restored. The protein returns to the state described in (A).

proton conductive channels leading to the quinone pocket, we propose the second half-reaction of NDH-2 is best described by the hypothesis involving the transfer of two protons to the quinone.

FADH₂ is oxidized by the quinone (interacting at the *si*-side) and the two protons are also released from the flavin. The deprotonation of N1 proceeds by rearrangement of the hydrogen bond network due to a conformational change of FAD from the bent back to the planar conformation upon reoxidation. The loss of the bent conformation and consequently of the hydrogen bond network involving D₃₀₂, O2* and N1 of FAD, results in deprotonation of N1, in a reverse process to that described for the protonation of FAD (Fig. 8D). The release of the second proton may occur concomitantly with the release of NAD⁺ which leaves FAD directly connected to the bulk (Fig. 8D).

Simultaneously with the quinone reduction, the protonation of both its oxygen atoms (O1_q and O2_q) occurs, involving the two proposed proton conducting pathways (Fig. 8D). O1_q is oriented to the proton conductive pathway present in the first dinucleotide binding domain (Fig. 1B), hence its protonation is likely performed by this pathway. This previously identified proton wire is able to conduct protons from the bulk to H₃₉₇, K₃₈₉ and Y₄₀₁ for *S. cerevisiae*, *S. aureus* and *C. thermarum* respectively (at 5.4 Å in the case of H₃₉₇), which will be the direct proton donors of O1_q (Figs 7 and 8D). O2_q is oriented to the proton conductive pathway at the second dinucleotide binding domain which is responsible for taking up protons from the bulk to E₁₇₂ and then to position X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃ (Fig. 6), which is occupied by the final proton donors for O2_q. In the oxidized state (Fig. 8A) X₃₈₃ (H₃₉₇ in *S. cerevisiae*) is at 6–7 Å from O2_q, a distance that does not allow a direct proton transfer and thus conformational rearrangements have to be considered. We propose that, concomitantly with the NADH binding and FAD reduction, H₃₉₇ (in *S. cerevisiae*) suffers an adjustment of its side chain. As described above for the first half-reaction, upon reduction, FAD adopts a bent conformation that may induce structural changes in α-helix 7 (which includes the quinone binding motif with H₃₉₇ in *S. cerevisiae*) (Supplementary Figure 6). This idea strongly suggests that FAD reduction may be a requirement for the protein to adopt the necessary conformational state for quinone protonation by the first dinucleotide binding domain proton pathway. In fact, a similar situation may also occur in the second dinucleotide binding domain, where the side chain of E₁₇₂ undergoes a conformational change upon formation of the FADH₂-NAD⁺ complex, allowing its hydrogen interaction with X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃ to be disrupted, leading to protonation of O2_q by the protonated X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃ (Fig. 8D).

In summary, we propose that the reactive quinone oxygens O1_q and O2_q are protonated by the two proton pathways identified and described in this study. The proton at N5 atom from FAD is released to the bulk (through the NADH binding pocket) while that from N1 returns to D₃₀₂ through a reverse process to that described for the protonation of FAD.

Conclusion

We performed an exhaustive bioinformatic analysis in order to identify the relevant amino acid residues and structural elements within the NDH-2 family. We carried out this analysis in NDH-2s with recognized quinone binding motifs, *i.e.* 70% of the 2567 proteins considered members of the NDH-2 family¹. We identified 30 amino acid residues conserved in at least 80% of the NDH-2 sequences (Figs 2, 3 and 4) and we recognized five positions with high cumulative covariance (X₁₅, X₄₆, X₅₁, X₅₂ and X₃₇₉) (Fig. 5). Combining the conservation/covariance analyses and the information of the available structures from three NDH-2s^{4–7}, we were able to identify relevant elements, such as one proton pathway in each dinucleotide binding domain. The proton pathway from the second dinucleotide binding domain (NADH binding) is more conserved among the NDH-2 family (Fig. 6) than that observed in the first dinucleotide binding domain (Fig. 7) and is composed of several glutamate or aspartate residues always leading to a proton conductive residue at X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃. Both pathways conduct protons from the surface of the protein to the quinone pocket. The localization of the two proton pathways suggests the quinone pocket may receive protons at both sides of its reactive oxygens. Moreover, the highly conserved E₁₇₂ (present in 97% of NDH-2 sequences) seems to be part of the proton pathway present at the second dinucleotide binding domain (NADH binding) and may have a role in the coordination of the proton transfer. We suggest that E₁₇₂, by interacting with the NH₂ group from the nicotinamide ring of NADH, may alter hydrogen bonds with amino acid residues present in the vicinity, namely at positions X₅₁ and X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃. The change in hydrogen bonds may trigger other conformational changes allowing proton transfer from X₃₇₉/X₃₈₃ to the quinone with consequent protonation (Fig. 8D).

As observed for other members from the tDBDF superfamily, we suggest that FADH₂ undergoes conformational changes upon reduction by NADH that affect conserved residues at the first dinucleotide binding domain (FAD binding), namely the conserved GD and the quinone binding site motifs (which includes H₃₉₇ in *S. cerevisiae*). The rearrangement of side chain residues for the stabilization of FADH₂ may induce changes in β3 and α-helices 1 and 7 and trigger quinone protonation (Fig. 8D).

Curiously, amino acid sequence insertions, including EF-hand or CxxC motifs¹, are observed in several NDH-2s between the conserved residues that form the GD motif and the next α-helix (α7)¹. The EF-hand motif, for example, was proposed to regulate the NDH-2 activity in a calcium dependent manner¹⁶. These motifs may constitute sites for regulation of enzyme activity by acting on the residues that stabilize/protonate FAD in different oxidation states. Also, the distribution of NDH-2s based on key residues such as X₅₁, X₃₇₉ and X₃₈₃ may be related with the type of quinone present in the catalytic reaction of NDH-2 and can give insights into the metabolic pathways in which NDH-2 is involved, since several species have more than one type of NDH-2 (Supplementary Figure 1).

The functional mechanism of NDH-2 here proposed constitutes a solid model to foster debate and inspire the design of future experimental approaches aimed at understanding the catalytic mechanism of NDH-2 as well as that of other members of the tDBDF superfamily.

Material and Methods

Sequence analysis. We have previously used the KEGG database to identify and select the members of the NDH-2 family (2567 NDH-2s). We performed the respective taxonomic analysis and observed that NDH-2 family is distributed in four main branches which we called groups A to D¹.

In this work we opted to analyse the enzymes with the typical quinone binding site (AQxAxQ), or its alternatives (AQxAxR and APxAxQ). We aligned the remaining 1779 NDH-2 sequences (~70% amino acid sequences from the NDH-2 family) using PROMALS3D¹⁷. We manually refined our data set using Jalview 2.8.1¹⁸ for which we took into account three criteria: (a) existence of two GxGxxG like motifs for interaction with FAD and NAD(P)H and included few variations, namely the GxGxxA motif; (b) presence of a C-terminal amino acid extension for membrane interaction (C-terminal domain), and (c) absence of possible other domains fused at the N- or C-terminal. Our final data set included, in this way, 1762 amino acid sequences (distributed in the three domains of life, Eukarya, Bacteria and Archaea). Covariance between amino acid residues in NDH-2 family was determined using MISTIC¹⁵.

Secondary and tertiary structure analyses. The crystallographic structures used as templates were those from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷), *C. thermarum* (PDB:4NWZ⁶) and *S. cerevisiae* (PDB:4G73⁴). Images of the structures were generated using PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.4, Schrödinger, LLC. Secondary structure of NDH-2 from *S. aureus* was predicted using Stride¹⁹. All distance measurements presented below were performed between the closest hydrogen atoms of both objects and should be considered as approximate values.

Simulation of the equilibrium protonation of amino acid residues. In order to locate the groups likely to be involved in proton transfer, we have calculated pH titration curves for all the protonatable residues in NDH-2 from *S. aureus* (PDB:4XDB⁷) and from *S. cerevisiae* (PDB:4G73⁴) using methodologies for studying the thermodynamics of proton binding described before in detail^{20,21}. These methodologies use a combination of Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) calculations, performed with the program MEAD (version 2.2.9)^{22–24}, and Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) simulations, using the program PETIT (version 1.5)²¹. For the *S. aureus* enzyme, the PB/MC calculations were done with the flavin adenine dinucleotide group in two fixed oxidation states: the fully oxidized (FAD) and the fully reduced (FADH₂) states. For the *S. cerevisiae* enzyme, three systems were simulated: the protein with FAD, the protein with FADH₂ and the protein with FADH₂-NAD⁺ charge transfer complex at the catalytic site.

In our calculations, only the crystallographic water molecules with a relative accessibility to the solvent lower than 50% were retained. The relative accessibility of water molecules was computed using the program ASC^{25,26}. The atomic partial charges and radii used in the PB calculations, for the protein and FAD, FADH₂ and NAD⁺, were derived from the GROMOS 54A7 force field²⁷ using the procedure described in ref. 28. The molecular surface was defined with a solvent probe of 1.4 Å radius and a Stern (ion-exclusion) layer of 2.0 Å. The dielectric constant was 10 for the protein/FAD and 80 for the solvent, the temperature was 300 K and the ionic strength 0.25 M. The finite-difference linear PB calculations used a three-step focusing²⁹ procedure employing consecutive grid spacing of 1.0, 0.5 and 0.25 Å.

The MC calculations were done with FAD in fixed oxidation states, and with steps of 0.2 pH units. Each MC simulation comprises 10⁵ MC steps and the acceptance/rejection of each step followed a Metropolis criterion³⁰ using the previously determined PB free energies. Each MC step consists of a first cycle of random changes of the protonation states (including tautomeric forms) of all individual sites, followed by a cycle of random double changes of the protonation states of all pairs of sites considered to be strongly coupled; a pair of sites is assumed to be strongly coupled when the electrostatic interaction of at least one of their state combinations is above 2.0 pK_a units^{21,31}.

References

- Marreiros, B. C., Sena, F. V., Sousa, F. M., Batista, A. P. & Pereira, M. M. Type II NADH:Quinone oxidoreductase family: Phylogenetic distribution, Structural diversity and Evolutionary divergences. *Environmental microbiology* **18**, 4697–4709 (2016).
- Ojha, S., Meng, E. C. & Babbitt, P. C. Evolution of function in the “two dinucleotide binding domains” flavoproteins. *PLoS computational biology* **3**, e121 (2007).
- Wierenga, R. K., Terpstra, P. & Hol, W. G. Prediction of the occurrence of the ADP-binding beta alpha beta-fold in proteins, using an amino acid sequence fingerprint. *Journal of molecular biology* **187**, 101–107 (1986).
- Feng, Y. *et al.* Structural insight into the type-II mitochondrial NADH dehydrogenases. *Nature* **491**, 478–482 (2012).
- Iwata, M. *et al.* The structure of the yeast NADH dehydrogenase (Ndi1) reveals overlapping binding sites for water- and lipid-soluble substrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **109**, 15247–15252 (2012).
- Heikal, A. *et al.* Structure of the bacterial type II NADH dehydrogenase: a monotopic membrane protein with an essential role in energy generation. *Molecular microbiology* **91**, 950–964 (2014).
- Sena, F. V. *et al.* Type-II NADH:quinone oxidoreductase from *Staphylococcus aureus* has two distinct binding sites and is rate limited by quinone reduction. *Molecular microbiology* **98**, 272–288 (2015).
- Salewski, J. *et al.* Substrate-Protein Interactions of Type II NADH:Quinone Oxidoreductase from *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry* **55**, 2722–2734 (2016).
- Wierenga, R. K., Drenth, J. & Schulz, G. E. Comparison of the three-dimensional protein and nucleotide structure of the FAD-binding domain of p-hydroxybenzoate hydroxylase with the FAD- as well as NADPH-binding domains of glutathione reductase. *Journal of molecular biology* **167**, 725–739 (1983).
- Lennon, B. W., Williams, C. H., Jr. & Ludwig, M. L. Crystal structure of reduced thioredoxin reductase from *Escherichia coli*: structural flexibility in the isoalloxazine ring of the flavin adenine dinucleotide cofactor. *Protein science: a publication of the Protein Society* **8**, 2366–2379 (1999).
- Senda, M. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of the redox-dependent interaction between NADH-dependent ferredoxin reductase and Rieske-type [2Fe-2S] ferredoxin. *Journal of molecular biology* **373**, 382–400 (2007).
- Yang, Y. *et al.* Reaction mechanism of single subunit NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase (Ndi1) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: evidence for a ternary complex mechanism. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **286**, 9287–9297 (2011).

13. Marcia, M., Ermler, U., Peng, G. & Michel, H. The structure of Aquifex aeolicus sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase, a basis to understand sulfide detoxification and respiration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106**, 9625–9630 (2009).
14. Cherney, M. M., Zhang, Y., Solomonson, M., Weiner, J. H. & James, M. N. Crystal structure of sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase from *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*: insights into sulfidotrophic respiration and detoxification. *Journal of molecular biology* **398**, 292–305 (2010).
15. Simonetti, F. L., Teppa, E., Chernomoretz, A., Nielsen, M. & Marino Buslje, C. MISTIC: Mutual information server to infer coevolution. *Nucleic acids research* **41**, W8–14 (2013).
16. Melo, A. M. *et al.* The external calcium-dependent NADPH dehydrogenase from *Neurospora crassa* mitochondria. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **276**, 3947–3951 (2001).
17. Pei, J., Kim, B. H. & Grishin, N. V. PROMALS3D: a tool for multiple protein sequence and structure alignments. *Nucleic acids research* **36**, 2295–2300 (2008).
18. Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G. J. Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1189–1191 (2009).
19. Heinig, M. & Frishman, D. STRIDE: a web server for secondary structure assignment from known atomic coordinates of proteins. *Nucleic acids research* **32**, W500–502 (2004).
20. Teixeira, V. H., Soares, C. M. & Baptista, A. M. Studies of the reduction and protonation behavior of tetraheme cytochromes using atomic detail. *Journal of biological inorganic chemistry: JBIC: a publication of the Society of Biological Inorganic Chemistry* **7**, 200–216 (2002).
21. Baptista, A. M. & Soares, C. M. Some theoretical and computational aspects of the inclusion of proton isomerism in the protonation equilibrium of proteins. *J Phys Chem B* **105**, 293–309 (2001).
22. Bashford, D. & Gerwert, K. Electrostatic calculations of the pKa values of ionizable groups in bacteriorhodopsin. *Journal of molecular biology* **224**, 473–486 (1992).
23. Bashford, D. & Karplus, M. pKa's of ionizable groups in proteins: atomic detail from a continuum electrostatic model. *Biochemistry* **29**, 10219–10225 (1990).
24. Bashford, D. *An Object-Oriented Programming Suite for Electrostatic Effects in Biological Molecules*, 233–240 (Springer, 1997).
25. Polticelli, F. *et al.* Modulation of the catalytic rate of Cu,Zn superoxide dismutase in single and double mutants of conserved positively and negatively charged residues. *Biochemistry* **34**, 6043–6049 (1995).
26. Eisenhaber, P. A. Improved strategy in analytic surface calculation for molecular-systems - handling of singularities and computational efficiency. *J Comput Chem* **14**, 1272–1280 (1993).
27. Schmid, N. *et al.* Definition and testing of the GROMOS force-field versions 54A7 and 54B7. *European biophysics journal: EBJ* **40**, 843–856 (2011).
28. Teixeira, V. H. *et al.* On the use of different dielectric constants for computing individual and pairwise terms in poisson-boltzmann studies of protein ionization equilibrium. *J Phys Chem B* **109**, 14691–14706 (2005).
29. M. K. Gilson, K. S., B. Honig, R. Fine, R. Hagstrom. Calculation of electrostatic energies in proteins by a finite-difference method. *Biophys J* **51**, A234–A234 (1987).
30. Metropolis, N., A. R., Rosenbluth, M. & Teller, A. Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines. *J Chem Phys* **21**, 1087–1092 (1953).
31. Baptista, A. M., Martel, P. J. & Soares, C. M. Simulation of electron-proton coupling with a Monte Carlo method: application to cytochrome c3 using continuum electrostatics. *Biophys J* **76**, 2978–2998 (1999).

Acknowledgements

We thank David Turner for the critical reading of the manuscript. FVS and ASFO are recipients of fellowships from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (PD/BD/113985/2015 and SFRH/BPD/76621/2011, respectively). The work was funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (PTDC/BBB-BQB/2294/2012 and IF/01507/2015 to MMP). The project was supported by LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-007660 co-funded by FEDER through COMPETE2020-POCI and by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia.

Author Contributions

B.C.M. participated in the design of the study, performed and analyzed the experiments and drafted the manuscript. F.V.S. and F.M.S. participated in the analyses of the data and helped to draft the manuscript. A.S.F.O. and C.M.S. performed and analyzed the calculations of the equilibrium protonation of amino acid residues. A.P.B. participated in the initial design of the study, critically discussed the data and helped to draft the manuscript. M.M.P. conceived, designed and coordinated the study and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Marreiros, B. C. *et al.* Structural and Functional insights into the catalytic mechanism of the Type II NADH:quinone oxidoreductase family. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 42303; doi: 10.1038/srep42303 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017