

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Phylogeography of the smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata*): distinct evolutionary lineages and hybridization with the Asian small-clawed otter (*Aonyx cinereus*)

Received: 09 August 2016
Accepted: 21 December 2016
Published: 27 January 2017

Beatrice Moretti¹, Omar F. Al-Sheikhly², Monica Guerrini¹, Meryl Theng³, Brij K. Gupta⁴, Mukhtar K. Haba², Waseem A. Khan⁵, Aleem A. Khan⁶ & Filippo Barbanera¹

We investigated the phylogeography of the smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata*) to determine its spatial genetic structure for aiding an adaptive conservation management of the species. Fifty-eight modern and 11 archival (dated 1882–1970) otters sampled from Iraq to Malaysian Borneo were genotyped (mtDNA Cytochrome-*b*, 10 microsatellite DNA loci). Moreover, 16 *Aonyx cinereus* (Asian small-clawed otter) and seven *Lutra lutra* (Eurasian otter) were sequenced to increase information available for phylogenetic reconstructions. As reported in previous studies, we found that *L. perspicillata*, *A. cinereus* and *A. capensis* (African clawless otter) grouped in a clade sister to the genus *Lutra*, with *L. perspicillata* and *A. cinereus* being reciprocally monophyletic. Within *L. perspicillata*, we uncovered three Evolutionarily Significant Units and proved that *L. p. maxwelli* is not only endemic to Iraq but also the most recent subspecies. We suggest a revision of the distribution range limits of easternmost *L. perspicillata* subspecies. We show that smooth-coated otters in Singapore are *L. perspicillata* × *A. cinereus* hybrids with *A. cinereus* mtDNA, the first reported case of hybridization in the wild among otters. This result also provides evidence supporting the inclusion of *L. perspicillata* and *A. cinereus* in the genus *Amblonyx*, thus avoiding the paraphyly of the genus *Aonyx*.

The Lutrinae subfamily (Carnivora, Mustelidae) comprises 13 species of otters living on all continents except Antarctica and Australasia¹. Recently, a molecular study carried out by Koepfli *et al.*² provided valuable insight into the phylogeny of otters, confirming an earlier suggestion that Lutrinae was a monophyletic taxon^{3–5}. According to Koepfli *et al.*², adaptive radiation of Lutrinae first appeared c. 7.5 Ma in Eurasia and involved three main evolutionary lineages. One included the sea otter (*Enhydra lutris*) and river otters from Eurasia (*Lutra lutra*, Eurasian otter; *Aonyx cinereus*, Asian small-clawed otter; *Lutra sumatrana*, hairy-nosed otter; *Lutrogale perspicillata*, smooth-coated otter) and Africa (*Aonyx capensis*, African clawless otter). Another lineage contained New World river otters (genus *Lontra*: four species) while the third lineage, sister to the previous ones and basal within the Lutrinae, comprised the giant otter (*Pteronura brasiliensis*). Furthermore, *L. lutra*–*L. sumatrana* and *A. cinereus*–*L. perspicillata* turned out to be pairs of sister taxa. On the one hand, the placement of *L. perspicillata* as sister to *A. cinereus* was in agreement with results from earlier studies on karyotype, brain structure and fossils of these species^{6–11}; on the other hand, such monophyly made *Aonyx* a paraphyletic genus.

The wide distribution range of the smooth-coated otter encompasses socio-politically unstable and remote areas in Asia. Three subspecies are known: *L. p. maxwelli* (Hayman 1956)¹² in Iraq, *L. p. sindica* (Pocock 1940)¹³ in Pakistan (mostly in the Sindh), and *L. p. perspicillata* (Geoffroy St. Hilaire 1826)¹⁴ in India, Nepal, and from the

¹Department of Biology, Zoology-Anthropology Unit, Via A. Volta 4, 56126 Pisa, Italy. ²Department of Biology, University of Baghdad, Al-Jadriya, 10071 Baghdad, Iraq. ³TRAFFIC Southeast Asia, Unit 3-2, 1st Floor Jalan SS23/11, Taman SEA, 47400 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia. ⁴Central Zoo Authority, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi 110003, India. ⁵Department of Wildlife & Ecology, University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan. ⁶Zoology Department, Ghazi University, Dera Ghazi Khan, Pakistan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.B. (email: filippo.barbanera@unipi.it)




Figure 1. *Lutrogale perspicillata* distribution map including modern (white circles) and archival (black squares) sampling localities (see insets for Iraq, Pakistan and Singapore). In Iraq, the white star indicates the site (TaqTaq, Kurdistan) where the sample of Omer *et al.*⁴⁷ was collected. The positions of the Rann of Kachchh and of the Isthmus of Kra Seaways are reported. Legend:?, unknown locality; PU, Pulau Ubin; PT, Pulau Tekong. See Supplementary Table S1. Geographic ranges were adapted from IUCN (*Lutrogale perspicillata*). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-1)⁹⁶. The Figure was modified using CORELDRAW! v. 12 (2003) software. Digital images (insets) were obtained from GOOGLE EARTH v. 7.1.5.1557 (2015 Google Inc.) and modified with CORELDRAW! Google Earth map data: [Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO - Image Landsat] for Iraq and Pakistan digital images, and [Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO - Image © 2016 Digital Globe - Image © 2016 CNES/Astrium] for Singapore digital image.

Bay of Bengal across Indochina to southwestern Yunnan, the Malaysian Peninsula, Sumatra, Java and Borneo¹⁵ (Fig. 1). According to the literature, the colour of the coat is the main morphological feature differentiating these subspecies. *Lutrogale p. maxwelli*, which is referred to as the “black otter” by Marsh Arabs, is the darkest taxon, with dark brown to almost black pelage, iron-grey to whitish throat, and light brown to almost grey lower part of the neck and undersides. *Lutrogale p. sindica* holds the palest fur, likely an adaptation to the arid nature of its habitat, with the general hue of the upper side being tawny or sandy brown instead of darker brown with a rusty tinge. In *L. p. perspicillata*, the fur is dark to blackish brown along the back and on the head, while the underside is light brown to almost grey^{16–18}.

Listed as Vulnerable by the IUCN and included in Appendix II of CITES, *L. perspicillata* has globally declined by 30% over the past 30 years¹⁹, meaning that in some place otters are exceedingly rare (e.g., in Iraq) or locally extinct. Major threats include habitat fragmentation and loss, water pollution, overfishing, illegal trapping, trade and hunting^{1,20–25}.

We investigated the molecular phylogeography of *L. perspicillata* relying on a large sample size collected across the entire species’ range to determine both spatial genetic structure and diversification of the taxon for its management within an adaptive conservation framework²⁶. We employed both mitochondrial and microsatellite (Short Tandem Repeats, STR) DNA markers due to their complementary nature, as analyses based on mtDNA alone could reveal only a small part of the evolutionary history of the species²⁷. We used the Cytochrome-*b* gene (Cyt-*b*) marker, as the only complete *L. perspicillata* mtDNA sequence available in GenBank concerned this gene²⁸. In order to increase geographical coverage, we combined data from modern DNA with those obtained from smooth-coated otter specimens resident in natural history museum collections (archival DNA).

Results

Mitochondrial DNA. Two alignments were created, the first comprising 1,131 bp-long Cyt-*b* sequences, the second 305 bp-long fragments of the same gene with all sequences retrieved from museum specimens. We found 32 (H) and 25 (h) haplotypes for the 1,131 and 305 bp-long sequence alignment, respectively, that conformed to a model of neutral evolution (Tajima’s test, $P > 0.05$: $D = -0.081$ and $D = -0.767$, respectively). Sequences showed G-biased nucleotide composition, high transitions/transversions (Ti/Tv) ratio (9.66 and 8.78, respectively), and did not contain any internal stop codon and/or indels. Overall, we did not find any evidence for the occurrence of Numts (mitochondrial sequences of nuclear origin²⁹). All samples of *L. perspicillata*, except those from Singapore (*A. cinereus* mtDNA), shared maternal ancestry (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table S1).




Figure 2. Bayesian (BI) tree computed using modern/GenBank (Supplementary Tables S1 and S4) haplotypes (H, 1,131 bp-long sequence alignment) and *H. maculicollis* as outgroup. Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Neighbour Joining (NJ) methods produced perfectly overlapping reconstructions. Hence, the statistical support was reported at each node as follows: above, posterior probability value computed in the BI analysis; below, bootstrap percentage values computed in the ML (left) and NJ (right) trees. **A. cinereus* haplotypes (H13 to H15) from *L. perspicillata* otters sampled in Singapore.

Mitochondrial DNA: 1,131 bp-long sequence alignment. We did not find any saturation in the phylogenetic signal, as the Index of substitution saturation (Iss) value (0.315) was smaller ($P < 0.001$) than that of the critical Iss (Iss.c = 0.753 and Iss.c = 0.470, in symmetrical and asymmetrical trees, respectively). Bayesian (BI), Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Neighbour-Joining (NJ) reconstructions produced identical topologies (Fig. 2). All *L. perspicillata* haplotypes were included in a clade sister to *A. cinereus* (with all Singapore haplotypes). *Lutrogale perspicillata*, *A. cinereus* and *A. capensis* grouped in a clade sister to the genus *Lutra*, and the estimated divergence time between *A. cinereus* and *L. perspicillata* was 1.33 ± 0.78 Myr (uncorrected p-distance, 0.61 ± 0.36)³. Within *L. perspicillata*, we found three distinct, reciprocally monophyletic and statistically well-supported lineages. The first included *L. p. maxwelli* from Iraq, while the second and third comprised otters from South and Southeast Asia respectively belonging to *L. p. sindica* (Pakistan) and *L. p. perspicillata* (India, Bangladesh) and to *L. p. perspicillata* (Thailand, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaysia) morphological subspecies. Divergence times (as above) were 63 ± 60 Kyr between South and Southeast Asia, 326 ± 152 Kyr between South Asia and Middle East, and 370 ± 174 Kyr between Southeast Asia and Middle East smooth-coated otters.

The most likely reconstruction obtained with SdRVA (SdRVA value = 2,057.7) included (*L. p. sindica*, (*L. p. maxwelli*, *L. p. perspicillata*)) as the prevailing topology for the smooth-coated otter clade (consensus tree created by SdRVA: Supplementary Figure S1). It was suggested that South East Asia represented the ancestral area (node 44 = 100% D) for the diversification of *L. perspicillata* as well as for the other otters (*L. lutra*, *L. sumatrana* and *A. cinereus*) occurring in East Asia. The same result was obtained using the command “estimate a node” (node 44: 100% D). The analysis performed with MESQUITE and the Bayesian trees with constrained topology within the *L. perspicillata* clade was not successful. However, we found that (*L. p. sindica*, (*L. p. maxwelli*, *L. p. perspicillata*)) was the topology for which the difference between two states was the closest to 2 (Supplementary Table S5). If that were the case, then South Asia, the state with the lower negative log-likelihood, would have been referred to as the ancestral range for *L. perspicillata*.




Figure 3. *Lutrogale perspicillata* network computed using haplotypes (h) from the 305 bp-long sequence alignment (modern + archival DNA and GenBank entries). A scale to infer the number of haplotypes for each pie was provided together with a length bar to compute the number of mutational changes. The colour of each country, the number of each haplotype as well as the connection (dashed line) with *A. cinereus* (cf., Fig. 2) are indicated. See Supplementary Tables S1 and S4 for details.

	Middle East	South Asia	Southeast Asia
Middle East	—	0.86	0.83
South Asia	0.50	—	0.78
Southeast Asia	0.45	0.23	—

Table 1. ϕ_{ST} (mtDNA, above diagonal) and F_{ST} (STR, below diagonal) pairwise distance values among *L. perspicillata* haplogroups (Fig. 3). Middle East: Iraq; South Asia: Pakistan, India, Nepal and Bangladesh; Southeast Asia: from Thailand to Malaysian Borneo. All values, $P < 0.001$.

Mitochondrial DNA: 305 bp-long sequence alignment. Three haplogroups were disclosed in the network (Fig. 3). The first (haplotype diversity, h : 0.29 ± 0.20) included Middle East otters only, while the second (h : 0.60 ± 0.10) and third (h : 0.81 ± 0.04) contained otters from South Asia and Southeast Asia, respectively. In the latter, the positive R_2 value (see Methods) was statistically significant ($R_2 = 0.134$, $P = 0.03$), a population demographic expansion could not be rejected (Mismatch Distribution, MD test: $r = 0.048$; PSSD = 0.23), and the McDonald-Kreitman did not detect any sign of purifying selection ($P = 0.59$ and 0.34 with *A. capensis* and *H. maculicollis* as outgroup, respectively). Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) showed that haplogroups significantly diverged from each other ($\phi_{ST} = 0.83$, $P < 0.001$: Table 1), the very large majority of diversity being partitioned among (83.3%) instead of within (16.7%) haplogroups.

Microsatellite DNA. The STR panel was powerful in discriminating otters³⁰ (Probability of identity considering unrelated or sibling individuals: $P_{ID} = 6.9 \times 10^{-12}$ and $P_{ID,sib} = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively; Table 2). No evidence for allele dropout and scoring errors was found, and only 2.5% of the microsatellite locus-population combinations turned out to be null alleles. There was no evidence for Linkage Disequilibrium (LE) after Bonferroni correction ($P > 0.05$, all comparisons: Supplementary Table S2). Within *L. perspicillata*, Iraqi otters held the highest number of unique alleles ($A_u = 9$) and monomorphic loci ($L_m = 5$) as well as the lowest value of both allelic richness ($A_r = 2.00$) and Index of Nei ($I_N = 0.32$). Overall, A_u/L_m and A_r/I_N followed an increasing trend from westwards and eastwards, respectively (Table 3). Significant departure from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) due to heterozygote deficiency was observed in South Asia, Southeast Asia and *A. cinereus* groups (Table 3), which possibly indicated the occurrence of a Wahlund effect³¹. We found that 64.6% of the STR variability was partitioned within *L. perspicillata* haplogroups and 35.4% among them ($F_{ST} = 0.35$, $P < 0.001$), with F_{ST} pairwise distance values among haplogroups being all highly significant (Table 1).

Bayesian clustering analysis performed with STRUCTURE using *L. perspicillata* otters only (Singapore excluded) identified $K = 3$ as the most likely number of genetic clusters (Fig. 4a). Cluster I and II included otters from Iraq and Pakistan/India (Q, average membership probability: Q_I and $Q_{II} = 1.00$, all individuals), respectively, while cluster III contained those from Southeast Asia (Q_{III} range: 0.96–1.00). One Bangladeshi otter showed admixed ancestry ($Q_{II} = 0.64$; $Q_{III} = 0.36$) (Fig. 4a).

Locus	Label	T_a (°C)	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Size-range (bp)	A	P_{ID}	P_{IDsib}	Repeated motif
Lut435	HEX	48	F: TGAAGCCCAGCTTGGTACTTC R: ACAGACAGTATCCAAGGGACCTG	113–133	11	2.7×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-1}	(CA) ₁₅
Lut615	HEX	TD 52–48	F: TGCAAAATAGGCATTTCATTCC R: ATTCTCTTTTGCCTTTGCCTTC	223–249	10	9.9×10^{-4}	1.1×10^{-1}	(GT) ₁₂
Lut818	FAM	TD 52–48	F: AAGGATGTGAAACAGCATTG R: CCATTTTATACACATAAATCGGAT	142–184	8	4.5×10^{-5}	3.9×10^{-2}	(GATA) ₃
Lut457	TET	TD 52–48	F: CAGGTTTATGGCTTTATGGCTTTC R: CAGGGTTGATTCTGGTGAGG	153–175	8	2.1×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-2}	(CA) ₉
Lut701	TET	TD 55–52	F: GGAAACTGTTAAAGGAGCTCACC R: CAGTGTTTACATAAGGATGCTCCTAC	152–180	10	1.1×10^{-7}	5.0×10^{-3}	(CCTT) ₂ ...(CTAT) ₉
Lut453	FAM	TD 52–48	F: AGTGCTTGTACTTGGTAATGG R: AGACTGAAAGCTCTGTGAGGTC	97–131	9	9.8×10^{-9}	2.0×10^{-3}	(CA) ₉
OT19	FAM	TD 55–52	F: ATAGTCTCTCAGCACGGTGTCT R: TTAATCCACATCTGTGACTCTGCA	203–223	6	1.2×10^{-9}	8.7×10^{-4}	(GGAA) ₆ ...(GAAA) ₇
Lut832	TET	TD 52–48	F: TGATACTTCTACCCAGGTGTC R: TCCTTAGCATTATCTATTACCAC	176–192	5	1.6×10^{-10}	3.8×10^{-4}	(GATA) ₈
Lut604	FAM	TD 55–52	F: TATGATCCTGGTAGATTAACCTTGTG R: TTTCAACAATTCATGCTGGAAC	97–109	6	2.8×10^{-11}	1.9×10^{-4}	(GT) ₇
OT17	HEX	TD 55–52	F: ATCAGGTATGAGGATACATTACCT R: TGCAACCTACTTCTATATGAATTT	144–162	4	6.9×10^{-12}	1.0×10^{-4}	(CTTT) ₆

Table 2. Characteristics of investigated STR loci: T_a (°C), annealing temperature; TD, touch-down PCR; F, forward; R, reverse; size range (bp); A, number of alleles; P_{ID} , probability that two individuals drawn at random share identical genotypes; P_{IDsib} , probability of identity among siblings; repeated motif. Loci are sorted according to the increasing order of their P_{ID} and P_{IDsib} single-locus values (i.e., the locus at the top is the most informative one), and a sequentially multi-loci P_{ID} (P_{IDsib}) is reported for each locus. A, P_{ID} and P_{IDsib} values were calculated using the entire *L. perspicillata* modern dataset (Supplementary Table S1). All loci were from Dallas & Piertney⁹⁷ with the exception of OT17 and OT19⁹⁸.

Haplogroup	n	A	A_r	A_u	L_M	I_N	H_O	H_E	P_{HWE}	χ^2 (df)
Middle East	6	20	2.00	9	5	0.32	0.67	0.63	0.17	14.1 (10)
South Asia	16	41	3.32	5	1	0.51	0.41	0.59	<0.001	∞ (18)
Southeast Asia	16	51	3.98	2	0	0.63	0.56	0.64	<0.001	70.1 (20)
Singapore	18	44	3.29	1	0	0.51	0.47	0.53	0.032	33.1 (20)
<i>A. cinereus</i>	16	60	4.62	20	0	0.67	0.59	0.73	<0.001	49.2 (20)

Table 3. Genetic variability of STR loci for *L. perspicillata* haplogroups (Fig. 3), Singapore population and *A. cinereus* parental control. Legend: n, sample size; A, number of alleles; A_r , allelic richness; A_u , number of unique alleles; L_M , number of monomorphic loci; I_N , Index of Nei; H_O , observed heterozygosity; H_E , expected heterozygosity; P_{HWE} , probability value for the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium test; χ^2 test with relative degrees of freedom (df) (Fischer global test, all loci). Departure from HWE was significant for South Asia, Southeast Asia and *A. cinereus* also after Bonferroni correction ($\alpha = 0.05$, $\alpha' = 0.05/10 * 5 = 0.001$). Middle East: Iraq; South Asia: Pakistan, India, Nepal and Bangladesh; Southeast Asia: from Thailand to Malaysian Borneo.

A second round of clustering analyses revealed a high degree of genetic admixture in the Singapore otter population (Fig. 4b). One individual was assigned to *L. perspicillata* and two to *A. cinereus*, the remaining 15 otters showing admixed genotypes (Q_1 range: 0.11–0.88) between the parental species (Supplementary Table S3). Average membership probability of the Singapore population to *L. perspicillata* and *A. cinereus* was $Q_1 = 0.42$ and $Q_{II} = 0.58$, respectively.

Discussion

***Lutrogale perspicillata* diversification across Asia.** The evolutionary relationships of *L. perspicillata* within the Lutrinae perfectly reflected the corresponding part of the phylogeny obtained by Koepfli *et al.*²⁸: *L. perspicillata* was placed with *Aonyx* in one clade and *L. lutra* grouped with *L. sumatrana* in another sister to the previous one (Fig. 2). We confirmed the systematic placement of *L. perspicillata* as sister to *A. cinereus* (estimated divergence time: this study, 1.3 Myr; Koepfli *et al.*², 1.5 Myr), and the well-established phylogenetic relationships between these species were further emphasised by the disclosure of *L. perspicillata* x *A. cinereus* hybrids (see below). This result provided additional evolutionary evidence supporting the proposal of Koepfli *et al.*²⁸ to include *L. perspicillata* and *A. cinereus* in the genus *Amblyonyx* (Rafinesque 1832)³². As discussed by these authors,




Figure 4. Bayesian analysis of STR multilocus genotypes as computed with Structure. Each individual was represented as a vertical bar partitioned in K segments, whose length is proportional to the estimated membership to the K clusters. **(A)** *Lutrogale perspicillata* (Singapore excluded), with $K = 3$. Middle East: Iraq; South Asia: Pakistan, India, Nepal and Bangladesh; Southeast Asia: from Thailand to Malaysian Borneo. **(B)** Singapore smooth coated otters compared to either *L. perspicillata* or *A. cinereus* parental control, with $K = 2$ (see text for details). Legend: *individual from southern Bangladesh (Khulna Division); next column to the right refers to the second otter from northern Bangladesh (see Supplementary Table S1); **dead otter found near Kranji Dam, Singapore.

such choice would avoid *Aonyx* to be paraphyletic, thus reflecting monophyly of smooth-coated and Asian small-clawed otters as well as their divergence from the African *A. capensis*.

We found three distinct, reciprocally monophyletic and statistically well-supported *L. perspicillata* evolutionary lineages. The first included *L. p. maxwelli* from Iraq, while the second and third comprised South (*L. p. sindica* + western *L. p. perspicillata*) and Southeast (eastern *L. p. perspicillata*) Asia populations, respectively. These lineages were perfectly concordant with the haplogroups shown in the network (Fig. 3) and with pairwise ϕ_{ST} distance values computed among them (Table 1). The large majority (83.3%) of the mtDNA diversity was partitioned among haplogroups instead of within them. The microsatellite DNA confirmed such remarkable spatial genetic structure. Indeed, both pairwise F_{ST} distance values (Table 1) and Bayesian clustering of individual multilocus genotypes (Fig. 4a) assessed net separation among Middle East, South and Southeast Asia populations. The partition of genetic variation at the nuclear DNA was highly significant ($F_{ST} = 0.35$, $P < 0.001$), although most (64.6%) of the diversity was found within haplogroups instead of (35.4%) among them. When we compared mitochondrial versus nuclear DNA, we found that the ratio of ϕ_{ST} to F_{ST} (0.83/0.35) was 2.4. The most obvious reason for such discrepancy is that mtDNA has a four-time shorter coalescence time than microsatellites, and a decrease in mtDNA diversity should be faster in bottlenecked/declining populations, as it might be the case in *L. perspicillata*³³.

Ryder³⁴ introduced the concept of Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) for prioritising conservation of units below recognised taxonomic levels. Moritz³⁵ stressed reciprocal monophyly and divergence of allele frequency at mitochondrial and nuclear DNA loci, respectively, as the most distinctive attributes of an ESU. In this study, we uncovered three ESUs within *L. perspicillata*: Middle East, South Asia and Southeast Asia (Figs 2 and 3). These operational units should allow conservationists to preserve the evolutionary potential of intraspecific genealogies (“keep options alive”)³⁶. ESUs can guide *ex situ* collection curators to pursue separate management of *L. perspicillata* conspecifics belonging to distinct lineages, hence, to identify the most appropriate source populations for reintroduction programs. Regrettably, distinctions among other populations are sometimes forgotten during reintroductions, although it is known that spatial genealogical structuring may occur because of limited gene flow²¹.

Conventional wisdom suggests that genetic survey results will be more accurate and precise as more samples are employed. We are aware that the biogeographic scenario provided in this study should be considered with caution. SDIVA reconstruction indicated that the most recent ancestor to *L. perspicillata* inhabited Southeast Asia (Supplementary Fig. S1: node 44). This result was in agreement with (i) the East to West decreasing gradient of both mitochondrial (h) and nuclear (Table 3) DNA diversity, (ii) the population expansion in Southeast Asia (R_2 , MD), and (iii) the comparatively shorter branch length for the Southeast Asia lineage (Fig. 2). Glacial refuges would typically harbour organisms with higher genetic variability than that of derived populations formed by a subset of the original gene pool, and intraspecific diversity should decline away from refuges as consequence of successive founder events during post-glacial colonization^{37,38}. As already known for many taxonomic groups in Southeast Asia³⁹, we found that the haplotypes sampled in the Sundaland (Thai-Malay Peninsula, Sumatra, and Malaysian Borneo: h8 to h10) were private to this region and, as such, distinct from those we found in Indochina (h5 to h7, h11 and h12: all private) (Fig. 3, Table S1). Repeated glacial expansions and retractions might have generated this genetic pattern in *L. perspicillata*, as, for instance, sea level depression was 120m at the Last Glacial Maximum (20,000 years ago), with a savanna bridge connecting the Thai-Malay Peninsula with Sumatra, Borneo, and Java³⁹. Whereas the involvement of the Isthmus of Kra (Fig. 1) can be excluded, as it dissected the Peninsula not later than the 5.5–4.5 Mya⁴⁰, we hypothesised that Southeast Asia might have acted as Pleistocene glacial refuge as well as the source of a westward diversification of *L. perspicillata*. With reference to the latter, however, we found that *A. cinereus*, sister taxon of *L. perspicillata*, was connected to the South instead of Southeast Asia haplogroup (overall star-like structure in the network of Fig. 3). This clearly suggested South Asia as the source for both an eastward and a westward diversification, with *L. p. perspicillata* (to the East) and *L. p. maxwelli* (to the

West) as departing subspecies from *L. p. indica*. Although the analysis carried out with MESQUITE did not provide an unequivocal result, we found that (*L.p.indica*, (*L.p.maxwelli*, *L.p.perspicillata*)) was the topology for which the difference between two states was the closest to 2 (Supplementary Table S5). If that were the case, then South Asia would have been estimated as the ancestral range for *L. perspicillata*. Despite no definitive proofs are available, we are inclined to consider this scenario (South Asia + eastward and westward diversification) as more reliable than that suggested by SORVA and the other evidences (South East Asia + westward diversification). Whatever the matter would be, Iraq hosts the most recent subspecies, the divergence time between *L. p. maxwelli* and *L. p. indica* (c. 330 Kyr) being much longer than that estimated between the latter and *L. p. perspicillata* (c. 60 Kyr).

The boundary between *L. perspicillata* easternmost haplogroups and the relationships between Pakistani and Indian populations deserve a comment as well (Figs 3 and 4a). In the first case, Myanmar mountain range and/or rivers (e.g., Brahmaputra) might have restricted the gene flow between Indian sub-continent and Southeast Asia otters, as occurred in many other taxonomic groups^{41,42}. In the second one, the Rann of Kachchh, a huge seasonally marshy region located between Pakistan (Sindh) and India (Gujarat) (Fig. 1), has likely played a major role. While the Rann connected the fauna of these countries for a long time, the regression of wetlands in the Indian sub-continent caused a marked discontinuity in the distribution of many wet-zone species since the mid-Miocene^{43,44}. We suggest that the Pakistani smooth-coated otters kept up relic genetic traits of the Indian conspecifics since the gene flow between them was ongoing across the Rann, as occurred with the black francolin (*Francolinus francolinus*, Galliformes)⁴⁵. Therefore, although a more extended sampling coverage as well as ecological data are needed, the distribution range limits of easternmost *L. perspicillata* subspecies might be revised as follows: otters occurring from Pakistan across India North to Nepal and East to Bangladesh should be assigned to *L. p. indica*, while those inhabiting Indochina and Southeast Asia to *L. p. perspicillata*.

Hayman¹² described Iraqi smooth-coated otter as a distinct taxon (*L. p. maxwelli*) based on a skin from a dead individual and a young male brought to G.Y. Maxwell by Marsh Arabs. Since then, limited information and no picture of live otters were available. In the 1990 s, the Mesopotamian marshes were drained for political reasons and a catastrophic decline of the local biota has occurred. Despite re-inundation in 2003, otters became exceedingly rare due to hunting, trapping, and habitat loss^{24,25,46}. In this study, we provided consistent DNA evidence for both occurrence and endemism to Iraq of *L. p. maxwelli*. All genotyped smooth-coated otters were from Mesopotamia; hence, we could not confirm the presence of the species in Kurdistan⁴⁷ (see below). In Iraq, all mtDNA haplotypes and 45% of STR alleles were private and, compared to South and Southeast Asia populations, otters showed the lowest value of haplotype diversity, number of alleles, allelic richness, Nei Index and the highest number of unique alleles (Figs 2, 3 and 4a; Table 3; Supplementary Table S1). On the one hand, this outcome could be due to the small sample size; on the other hand, geographic isolation and related genetic bottlenecks/founder events could have played a major role. Unlike other mammals with uninterrupted distribution across most of southern Asia (e.g., the Indian grey mongoose, *Urva edwardsii*: from Turkey and the Arabian Peninsula East to Bangladesh), *L. perspicillata* is absent between Pakistan and Iraq (no records in central Asia and extinct in Iran⁴⁸). It is likely that such a gap in the species' distribution range has led to the divergence among *Lutrogale* subspecies (Figs 2, 3 and 4a; Table 1; compare *versus* Fig. 1 in Veron *et al.*⁴¹).

Omer *et al.*⁴⁷ showed evidence for a smooth-coated otter range extension (c. 500 km) towards Kurdistan relying on a single sample (JQ437613: Supplementary Table S4). The latter diverged from the Mesopotamian samples of this study by 8 and 15 nucleotide changes over 305 bp and 1,131 bp, respectively (Figs 2 and 3), a value up to ten times higher than that we disclosed for *L. lutra* from the same areas (zero and < 2 over 305 and 1,131 nucleotide positions, respectively). Moreover, we found only *L. lutra* genetic evidence at the same site surveyed by Omer *et al.*⁴⁷ in Kurdistan (Supplementary Table S1). To conclude, distinct northern and southern *L. p. maxwelli* populations would seem a matter of fact. On the one hand, the incomplete JQ437613 entry (i.e., with nine unresolved nucleotide positions) might suggest some sequencing trouble for the sample in point. On the other hand, mitochondrial sequence diversity is known to be very low in *L. lutra*²⁸, hence, our results would be not so surprising. Although further investigations are needed to shed some light on *L. perspicillata* in North Iraq, we feel that *L. p. maxwelli*'s endemism will be pivotal to draw up a national action plan for the protection of the species²⁴.

Introgressive hybridization with the Asian small-clawed otter. Among animals, 10% of species are involved in hybridization and potential introgression⁴⁹, mustelids not being an exception^{50,51}. Although mtDNA is more prone to introgression than nuclear DNA²⁷, there are many examples of mtDNA capture with (e.g., Barbanera *et al.*⁵²) or without (e.g., Bernatchez *et al.*⁵³) nuclear introgression. Our study falls in the first case, as wild phenotypic smooth-coated otters sampled in Singapore (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S1) turned out to be *L. perspicillata* x *A. cinereus* hybrids with *A. cinereus* maternal ancestry (Figs 2 and 4b, Supplementary Table S3). This result represents the first record of introgressive hybridization in a wild otter population worldwide. Nevertheless, the occurrence of tight evolutionary relationships between *L. perspicillata* and *A. cinereus* was known based on molecular phylogenetic, genetic and morphological data (see Introduction). Moreover, to date, the only known hybrid otters were those born in captivity as a result of a crossing between an *L. perspicillata* male with an *A. cinereus* female⁵⁴.

Integration of genetic material from one species (*A. cinereus*) into another (*L. perspicillata*) and morphological resemblance to one parental species only (*L. perspicillata*) suggest repeated backcrossing to the latter. Nonetheless, hybrid otters contained the mtDNA of only one of the parental species, *A. cinereus*. Since the 1960 s, the latter has become gradually rarer than *L. perspicillata* in Singapore and appeared to be more a visitor than a resident species. In this area, at the present time, *A. cinereus* inhabits only off shore islands (Pulau Ubin, Pulau Tekong: Fig. 1)^{55,56}. We suggest the occurrence of unidirectional hybridization between *A. cinereus* females and *L. perspicillata* males, with either prezygotic or postzygotic mechanisms being potentially responsible for the lack of the *L. perspicillata* maternal line⁵⁷. In the first case, difference in size between smooth-coated (c. 11 kg) and Asian small-clawed (c. 5 kg) otter males might have worked as sovrnormal stimulus for *A. cinereus* females. Indeed, it is most likely

to be the female of the smaller species that accepts the male of the larger species than the opposite⁵⁸. According to the “sexual selection hypothesis”, *A. cinereus* females might have initially rejected *L. perspicillata*, but the longer they failed in searching for males of their own species the less discriminating they likely became and, eventually, mated with the male of the common species. In the event of postzygotic mechanisms, *L. perspicillata* (female) x *A. cinereus* (male) crossing could have been unviable or had lower fitness. More likely, even though both parental mtDNAs might have been present initially, one lineage could have gone extinct⁵⁷. *Aonyx cinereus* mtDNA capture could have been due to selective pressure (adaptation) and/or chance (drift), an event that can occur quickly in small and fragmented populations, as it was found, for instance, in the asp viper (*Vipera aspis*⁵²). To conclude, further research on sympatric smooth-coated and Asian small-clawed otter populations is needed to establish if hybridization is more widespread than what we know today. The genetic admixture of the Singapore otter population might have implications for its adaptation to the present-day fast changing environment; hence, a genetic survey relying on functional markers (e.g., Major Histocompatibility Complex loci) could be helpful for supporting its long-term conservation.

Methods

Biological sampling. We collected 58 *L. perspicillata* samples from Iraq to Malaysian Borneo (Fig. 1). We sampled otters in the wild in Iraq, Pakistan, India, Thailand and Singapore. Although *L. perspicillata* is kept in low numbers in captivity, we also sampled *ex situ* individuals never housed with other otter species and whose origin in the wild was known to collection curators. *Aonyx cinereus* samples ($n = 16$) were obtained mostly from European and Australian zoos, while we collected *L. lutra* samples in Iraq (Kurdistan, $n = 4$) and in Italy ($n = 3$) (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S1). However, faeces (“spraints” in otters) and samples collected by veterinary staff members of zoos were also used. Only in Pakistan, samples (blood/hairs) were taken from otters trapped in the wild. Methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of the Animal Health and Welfare Regulations (AHWR) of the Bahauddin Zakariya University, and were approved by the Institutional Research Ethical Committee of the same University (permit #D-1/2016). In the light of the type of work done, we did not require approval from the Animal Welfare Body (in Italian, “Organismo preposto al Benessere Animale”) of the University of Pisa.

We borrowed samples from 11 *L. perspicillata* specimens resident in the Field Museum of Natural History of Chicago, in the Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History of Washington D.C., in the Natural History Museum of Denmark (Copenhagen), in the National Museum of Natural History of Paris, and in the Natural History Museum of Vienna. Specimens were collected over a period from 1882 to 1970 (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S1). Curators provided a tiny amount (<5 mg) of either dry skin or bone fragments mostly from the skull cavity (e.g., turbinates) or slivers of toe pad.

DNA extraction. We extracted DNA from modern samples in the Zoology building of the Department of Biology, Pisa. We used DNEASY BLOOD AND TISSUE KIT (hair/blood/skin samples) and QIAAMP DNA STOOL MINI KIT (spraints) following instructions provided by the manufacturer (Qiagen). Reliability of each extraction was checked through negative controls, while DNA concentration and purity were assessed (spraints excluded) with an Eppendorf BioPhotometer (AG Eppendorf). Finally, we extracted DNA from archival samples in a dedicated room free of any mammal DNA in the Anthropology building of the Department of Biology (Pisa) following Forcina *et al.*⁵⁹.

Mitochondrial DNA. We designed PCR and sequencing Cyt-*b* primers for *L. perspicillata*, *A. cinereus* and *L. lutra* (modern and archival DNA: Table 4). For the modern samples, we performed PCR reactions as in Guerrini *et al.*⁶⁰ adding 1 μ l of 75 μ M Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (Sigma Aldrich) to all reactions, setting the annealing time to 1 min and including two blank controls. When the amplification was not successful, we obtained the entire Cyt-*b* gene (1,140 bp) by amplifying the purified products of the first PCR via semi-nested PCRs (snPCRs) as reported in Guerrini & Barbanera⁶¹. In the second PCR, two overlapping fragments (1st: 754 bp; 2nd: 612 bp) were amplified for each sample in two reaction tubes applying the same thermal profile as in the first PCR. We purified and sequenced all PCR products as in Guerrini *et al.*⁶⁰.

For the archival samples, we amplified two overlapping gene fragments (1st: 211 bp, 2nd: 199 bp) in two distinct reaction tubes. Each final 307 bp-long sequence corresponded to the Cyt-*b* portion comprised between nucleotide position n. 602 and n. 908 (codon reading frame, 2). We carried out PCR reactions as in Barbanera *et al.*⁶² and we purified/sequenced PCR products as above.

We sequenced the entire Cyt-*b* gene for 56 out of 58 modern *L. perspicillata*, all *A. cinereus* ($n = 16$) and *L. lutra* ($n = 7$); for two Indian *L. perspicillata* we obtained the 307 bp-long fragment (Supplementary Table S1). The latter fragment was sequenced for all ($n = 11$) museum samples. Two Cyt-*b* alignments were created using CLUSTALX 1.81⁶³. First (entire gene: 1,140 bp) included 96 sequences (56 + 16 + 7 plus 16 GenBank and one unpublished sequence: Supplementary Table S4). Iraqi JQ437613⁴⁷ contained nine unresolved positions; hence, we used 1,131 nucleotides in the analyses. Then, we created a 307 bp-long sequence alignment including two unpublished, two Indian, all museum and previous sequences (2 + 2 + 11 + 96 = 111: Supplementary Tables S1 and S4). However, we used 305 nucleotides because of the incomplete JQ437613 entry (see above).

We employed MEGA 5⁶⁴ to calculate nucleotide composition, to check for internal stop codons/indels, and to compute Ti/Tv ratio. We used DNAsp 5.00⁶⁵ to infer haplotypes (H and h for 1,131 bp-long and 305 bp-long sequence alignment, respectively) and to check for neutral evolution of the sequences⁶⁶. GenBank accession codes are reported in Supplementary Tables S1 and S4.

Mitochondrial DNA: 1,131 bp-long sequence alignment. We evaluated the phylogenetic signal by calculating the Iss (Xia test with 1,000 bootstrap replicates⁶⁷) and plotting the number of Ti and Tv against a TN93

Type	Name	Nucleotide sequence (5'-3')
Modern DNA: <i>Lutrogale/Aonyx/Lutra</i>		
Entire gene PCR	Lutra_L14724*	TGACTAGTAACATGAAAAATCACGTTG
	Lutra_H15915*	GGGATTCTGCATTTTGGTTTACAAGAC
Semi-nested PCR and/or sequencing	LutroCb_fw583	GTTCACCTCCTGTTTCTCC
	LutroCb_rev706	AGAAGTAGGGCGCCAGG
	LutroCb_rev706_Aonyx	AGGAGTAGGGCGCCTAGG
	LutroCb_fw298	CGCGCCTATACTATGGATC
	LutroCb_rev417	GATTACGTTGCGCCTCAAAG
	LutroCb_fw775	GCCAACCCGCTCAGTACACC
	LutroCb_rev906	GTGTGTAGCAGTGGGACGATG
Archival DNA: <i>Lutrogale</i>		
PCR and/or sequencing	LutroCb_fw583	GTTCACCTCCTGTTTCTCC
	LutroCb_fw610	GGTCCAACAACCCCTCCGG
	LutroCb_fw727	GTACTATTCTCCCAGACCT
	LutroCb_rev746	AGGTCTGGGGAGAATAGTAC
	LutroCb_fw775	GCCAACCCGCTCAGTACACC
	LutroCb_rev794	GGTGTACTGAGCGGTTGGC
	LutroCb_rev890	GAYAAGATTAGGCCAATAC
	LutroCb_rev926	GAGGTGTGTAGCAGTGGGACG

Table 4. Type, name and nucleotide sequence of mtDNA Cyt-*b* primers used in this study; *modified from Irwin *et al.*⁹⁹.

corrected distance⁶⁸ with DAMBE 4.2.13⁶⁹. We used SMART MODEL SELECTION as implemented in PHYML 3.0⁷⁰ and found that the General Time Reversible (GTR) + G ($\alpha = 0.223$) was the best evolutionary model fitting to our dataset according to both the Akaike (8,364.0) and Bayesian (8,701.1) Information Criterion. In a Bayesian analysis, however, Metropolis-coupled Monte Carlo Markov Chains (MCMC) integrates over the uncertainty in parameters values. Hence, only the general form of the model was included in the BI performed with MRBAYES 3.1.2⁷¹. Two independent runs of analysis were conducted for 4,000,000 generations with a sample frequency of 100 (four chains, heating = 0.2, random starting tree). Convergence between runs was monitored through the Average Standard Deviation of Split Frequencies (ASDSF) until this value dropped well below 0.01. Stationarity was reached after 400,000 generations (ASDSF = 0.003774) as inferred using TRACER 1.5.0⁷². Hence, 4,000 trees were discarded as burn-in, and the remaining 72,002 trees were used to produce a 50% majority-rule consensus tree. Then, we carried out both ML (GTR + G model, Nearest Neighbour Interchange, automatically generated starting tree) and NJ (parameters estimated with SMART MODEL SELECTION) tree reconstructions using MEGA and PAUP* 4.0b10⁷³, respectively. Trees were rooted using AF057125 *H. maculicollis* (spotted-necked otter: Supplementary Table S4) of Koepfli & Wayne³, and the statistical support at each node was evaluated by calculating the Posterior Probability value (PP, for BI) and the Bootstrapping Percentage (BP, for ML and NJ, with 10,000 replicates⁷⁴). In the present study, many *L. perspicillata* Cyt-*b* sequences are available for the first time. Hence, we employed the 0.46%/Myr rate (Cyt-*b*: Tv, 3rd position) of Koepfli & Wayne³ to date separation between *A. cinereus* and *L. perspicillata* as well as among *L. perspicillata* subspecies, although we are aware that such estimates should be considered as tentative.

We reconstructed historical biogeography of *L. perspicillata* using SDIVA (STATISTICAL DISPERSAL-VICARIANCE)⁷⁵ as implemented in RASP 3.2 (RECONSTRUCT ANCESTRAL STATE IN PHYLOGENIES)⁷⁶. Six regions were set-up (code: A to F): (A) Europe; (B) Middle East; (C) South Asia; (D) Southeast Asia; (E) Africa; (F) northern Pacific coast. When the distribution of each taxon encompassed more than one region, the character state was polymorphic and the maximum number of areas set for the SDIVA output was three. We used the posterior family of topologies obtained from the Bayesian reconstruction with 72,002 trees. Taking into account that the program does not admit polytomy, we used either the majority rule consensus tree created by SDIVA with compatible groups with less than 50% support allowed or the command “estimate a node” to evaluate a given node individually. We also carried out three additional Bayesian tree reconstructions as that of Fig. 2 (all parameters) but with constrained topology within the *L. perspicillata* clade: (1) (*L.p.sindica*, (*L.p.maxwelli*, *L.p.perspicillata*)), (2) (*L.p.maxwelli*, (*L.p.sindica*, *L.p.perspicillata*)) and (3) (*L.p.perspicillata*, (*L.p.maxwelli*, *L.p.sindica*)) (each tree: node 41, PP = 1.00). As in Koepfli *et al.*³, we investigated these alternative arrangements using the Likelihood Reconstruction method (Markov *k*-state one parameter model) as implemented in MESQUITE 3.10⁷⁷. In particular, we employed the likelihood-ratio test to determine the best estimate of the reconstructed character state at node 44 (*L. perspicillata* clade). The regions were set-up with code 0–5 and haplotypes assigned as follows: 0, Europe (H4–H7); 1, Middle East (H1–H3, H17, H18); 2, South Asia (H27–H29); 3, South East Asia (H19–H26, H11–H16, H8–H10); 4, Africa (H30, H32); 5, northern Pacific coast (H31). The likelihood threshold was set at 2.0, namely the ancestral state reconstruction was considered equivocal at the investigated node if log-likelihoods differed by less than 2.0.

Mitochondrial DNA: 305 bp-long sequence alignment. We constructed a *L. perspicillata* haplotype network using the Median Joining method⁷⁸ with NETWORK 4.6.1.3 (2014–2015 Fluxus Technology, UK). We employed ARLEQUIN 3.5.1⁷⁹ to investigate the partition of diversity among and within haplogroups by AMOVA using ϕ_{ST} , analogous to Wright's⁸⁰ F -statistics (10,000 permutations), and to calculate haplotype diversity (h) for each haplogroup.

Within *L. perspicillata*, demographic inferences were obtained only for the Southeast Asia haplogroup (see Results), as the others did not include a reliable number of haplotypes for the analyses at issue. Ramirez-Soriano *et al.*⁸¹ found that the most powerful tests to detect a population demographic change analysing DNA polymorphisms were those based on haplotype frequencies. Among these, R_2 statistics has the greatest power to detect population expansion when the sample size is quite small (<10)⁸². Hence, we estimated the significance of the R_2 statistics through the null distribution of 5,000 coalescence simulations with DNASP, and we determined the Mismatch Distributions (MD) of mtDNA pairwise distances with ARLEQUIN. As to this latter, the more ragged the shape of the distribution, the closer the population to a stationary model of constant size over a long period (Harpending's raggedness index, r)⁸³. The MD test uses the observed parameters of the expansion to perform coalescent simulations and to create new estimates of the same parameters. Departure from a model of sudden expansion was tested by summing the squared differences (SSD) between observed and estimated MD⁸⁴. In the same haplogroup, the McDonald-Kreitman test⁸⁵ was run with DNASP to investigate the deviation from an equal ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous fixed substitutions using either *A. capensis* or *H. maculicollis* as out-group (Supplementary Table S4).

Microsatellite DNA. We genotyped 56 *L. perspicillata* and 16 *A. cinereus* (see below) samples (Supplementary Table S1) at 10 loci originally isolated from the Eurasian otter genome (Table 2). We performed PCRs (12.5 μ l) as in Barbanera *et al.*⁸⁶ according to a touch-down thermal profile (Table 2). We added 0.3 μ l of 75 μ M BSA to all reactions and included two blank controls. We sequenced on both DNA strands at least two alleles per locus to validate each repeated motif (Table 2). We genotyped each locus from two to five times according to the comparative multiple-tubes approach of Frantz *et al.*⁸⁷. We used GIMLET 1.3.3⁸⁸ to confirm each consensus genotype and to evaluate the discriminatory power of the whole set of loci (P_{ID} and $P_{ID,sib}$)³⁰. We used MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3⁸⁹ to check for null alleles, allele dropout and to score errors due to stuttering. We used FSTAT 2.9.3⁹⁰ to determine the number of alleles (A), the number of unique alleles (A_u) and the allelic richness (A_r). We used GENEPOP 4.2⁹¹ and ARLEQUIN (i) to calculate the Index of Nei (I_N), expected (H_e) and observed (H_o) heterozygosity, (ii) to infer deviations from HWE and LE, and (iii) to investigate the partition of STR diversity among and within *L. perspicillata* haplogroups (see Results) by AMOVA using pairwise F_{ST} distances (10,000 permutations)⁸⁰. We adopted the Bonferroni correction to adjust the significance level of each test⁹².

We used STRUCTURE 2.3.4⁹³ to estimate the posterior probability of membership of each individual to K assumed genetic clusters. First, we investigated the genetic structure of *L. perspicillata* relying on pre-defined haplogroups (see Results) without prior information on the origin of samples and admixture model, with 10^6 MCMC iterations, a burn-in of 10^5 iterations, and 10 replicates per each K -value (1 to 12). The number of clusters that best fitted to the data was chosen as in Evanno *et al.*⁹⁴, and an identification threshold (Q_i) to each cluster was set to 0.90⁹⁵.

In a second round of analyses, we inferred genetic identity of phenotypic *L. perspicillata* otters from Singapore ($n = 18$). In the light of their *A. cinereus* mtDNA lineage (see Results), the involvement of the latter was considered the most likely as the counterpart of hypothetical introgressive events. We used *L. perspicillata* ($n = 16$: Middle East, 2; South Asia, 3; Southeast Asia, 11) and *A. cinereus* ($n = 16$) individuals as parental controls (Supplementary Table S1). We employed STRUCTURE to estimate the posterior probability of each Singapore otter to belong to one parental species or to have fractions (Q_i) of its genome originating from the two parental species. We enabled the "popflag" option ($K = 2$) targeting *L. perspicillata* and *A. cinereus* as controls and Singapore as the unknown population, namely we requested STRUCTURE to only update allele frequencies with the genotypes of known individuals⁹³.

References

1. Yoxon, P. & Yoxon, G. M. *Otters of the world* (Whittles Publishing Ltd., Scotland, 2014).
2. Koepfli, K.-P. *et al.* Multigene phylogeny of the Mustelidae: resolving relationships, tempo and biogeographic history of a mammalian adaptive radiation. *BMC Biol.* **6**, 10 (2008a).
3. Koepfli, K.-P. & Wayne, R. K. Phylogenetic relationships of otters (Carnivora: Mustelidae) based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* sequences. *J. Zool.* **246**, 401–416 (1998).
4. Koepfli, K.-P. & Wayne, R. K. Type-1 STS markers are more informative than cytochrome *b* in phylogenetic estimation of the Mustelidae (Mammalia: Carnivora). *Syst. Biol.* **52**, 571–593 (2003).
5. Marmi, J., López-Giráldez, J. F. & Domingo-Roura, X. Phylogeny, evolutionary history and taxonomy of the Mustelidae based on sequences of the cytochrome *b* gene and a complex repetitive flanking region. *Zool. Scr.* **33**, 481–499 (2004).
6. Radinsky, L. B. Evolution of somatic sensory specialization in otter brains. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **134**, 495–506 (1968).
7. Wurster, D. H. & Benirschke, K. Comparative cytogenetic studies in the order Carnivora. *Chromosoma* **24**, 336–382 (1968).
8. Willemsen, G. F. Comparative study of the functional morphology of some Lutrinae especially *Lutra lutra*, *Lutrogale perspicillata* and the Pleistocene *Isolalutra cretensis*. *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. B* **83**, 289–326 (1980).
9. Willemsen, G. F. *Lutrogale palaeoleptonyx* (Dubois 1908) a fossil otter from Java in the Dubois collection. *Proc. K. Ned. Akad. Wet. B* **89**, 195–200 (1986).
10. Willemsen, G. F. A revision of the Pliocene and Quaternary Lutrinae from Europe. *Scripta Geologica* **101**, 1–115 (1992).
11. Couturier, J. & Dutrillaux, B. Evolution chromosomique chez les Carnivores. *Mammalia* **50**, 124–162 (1986).
12. Hayman, R. W. A new race of the Indian smooth-coated otter from Iraq. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* **9**, 710–712 (1956).
13. Pocock, R. I. Notes on some British India otters, with description of two new subspecies. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* **41**, 514–517 (1940).
14. Geoffroy St. Hilaire, I. Loutre. *Dictionnaire classique d'Histoire Naturelle* **9**, 515–520 (1826).

15. Hwang, Y. T. & Larivière, S. *Lutrogale perspicillata*. *Mammalian Species* **786**, 1–4 (2005).
16. Pocock, R. I. *The Fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma - Mammalia Vol 2, Carnivora: Aeluroidea, Arctoidea* (Taylor and Francis, Ltd., London, 1941).
17. Corbett, G. B. *The mammals of the Palaearctic region: a taxonomic review* (British Museum Natural History, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1978).
18. Harrison, D. L. & Bates, P. J. *The mammals of Arabia* (Harrison Zoological Museum, Sevenoaks, Kent, UK, 1991).
19. Pacifici, M. *et al.* Generation length for mammals. *Nature Conservation* **5**, 87–94 (2013).
20. Mason, C. & Macdonald, S. *Otters, conservation and ecology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986).
21. Kruuk, H. *Otters: ecology, behaviour and conservation* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006).
22. Hussain, S. A., de Silva, P. K. & Feeroz, M. *Lutrogale perspicillata*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. Downloaded on 14 March 2015, available from www.iucnredlist.org (2008).
23. Khan, W. A. *et al.* Historical and Current Distribution of Smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata sindica*) in Sindh, Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Wildl.* **1**, 5–15 (2010).
24. Al-Sheikhly, O. F., Mukhtar, K. H. & Barbanera, F. Otter hunting and trapping, a traditional practice of Marsh Arabs of Iraq. *IUCN Otter Specialist Group Bulletin* **31**, 80–88 (2014).
25. Al-Sheikhly, O. F., Mukhtar, K. H. & Barbanera, F. Recent sighting of smooth-coated otter *Lutrogale perspicillata maxwelli* in Hawizeh Marsh (Southern Iraq). *IUCN Otter Specialist Group Bulletin* **32**, 31–32 (2015).
26. Fraser, D. J. & Bernatchez, L. Adaptive evolutionary conservation: towards a unified concept for defining conservation units. *Mol. Ecol.* **10**, 2741–2752 (2001).
27. Ballard, J. W. O. & Whitlock, M. C. The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 729–744 (2004).
28. Koepfli, K.-P. *et al.* Establishing the foundation for an applied molecular taxonomy of otters in Southeast Asia. *Conserv. Genet.* **9**, 1589–1604 (2008b).
29. Lopez, J. V., Yuhki, N., Masuda, R., Modi, W. & O'Brien, S. J. Numt, a recent transfer and tandem amplification of mitochondrial DNA to the nuclear genome of the domestic cat. *J. Mol. Evol.* **39**, 174–190 (1994).
30. Waits, L. P., Luikart, G. & Taberlet, P. Estimating the probability of identity among genotypes in natural populations: cautions and guidelines. *Mol. Ecol.* **10**, 249–256 (2001).
31. Wahlund, S. Zusammensetzung von Population und Korrelationserscheinung vom Standpunkt der Vererbungslehre aus betrachtet. *Hereditas* **11**, 65–106 (1928).
32. Rafinesque, C. S. Description of a new otter, *Lutra concolor*, from Assam in Asia. *Atlantic Journal* **1**, 62 (1832).
33. Birky, C. W., Jr, Maruyama, T. & Fuerst, P. An approach to population and evolutionary genetic theory for genes in mitochondria and chloroplasts, and some results. *Genetics* **103**, 513–527 (1983).
34. Ryder, O. A. Species conservation and systematics: the dilemma of subspecies. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 9–10 (1986).
35. Moritz, C. Defining 'Evolutionarily Significant Units' for conservation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 373–375 (1994).
36. Reid, W. V. & Miller, K. R. *Keeping options alive: the scientific basis for conserving biodiversity* (World Resources Institute, Washington, 1989).
37. Hewitt, G. M. The genetic legacy of Quaternary ice ages. *Nature* **405**, 907–913 (2000).
38. Comps, B., Gömöry, D., Letouzey, J., Thiébaud, B. & Petit, R. J. Diverging Trends Between Heterozygosity and Allelic Richness During Postglacial Colonization in the European Beech. *Genetics* **157**, 389–397 (2001).
39. Bird, M. I., Taylor, D. & Hunt, C. Palaeoenvironments of insular Southeast Asia during the Last Glacial period: a savanna corridor in Sundaland? *Quaternary Science Reviews* **24**, 2228–2242 (2005).
40. de Bruyn, M., Nugroho, E., Hossain, Md. M., Wilson, J. C. & Mather, P. B. Phylogeographic evidence for the existence of an ancient biogeographic barrier: the Isthmus of Kra Seaway. *Heredity* **94**, 370–378 (2005).
41. Veron, G., Patou, M.-L., Pothet, G., Simberloff, D. & Jennings, A. P. Systematic status and biogeography of the Javan and small Indian mongooses (Herpestidae, Carnivora). *Zool. Scr.* **36**, 1–10 (2007).
42. Patou, M.-L. *et al.* Evolutionary history of the *Paradoxurus civets* - a new model for Asian biogeography. *J. Biogeogr.* **37**, 2077–2097 (2010).
43. Mani, M. S. *Ecology and Biogeography of India* (ed Mani, M. S.) 698–724 (Dr. W. Junk b.v. Publishers, The Hague, 1974).
44. Karanth, K. P. Evolution of disjunct distributions among wet-zone species of the Indian subcontinent: testing various hypothesis using a phylogenetic approach. *Curr. Sci.* **85**, 1276–1283 (2003).
45. Forcina, G. *et al.* Molecular evolution of the Asian francolins (*Francolinus*, Galliformes): a modern reappraisal of a classic study in speciation. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **65**, 523–534 (2012).
46. Al-Sheikhly, O. F. & Nader, A. I. The status of Iraq Smooth-Coated Otter *Lutrogale perspicillata maxwelli* Hayman 1956 and Eurasian otter *Lutra lutra* Linnaeus 1758 in Iraq. *IUCN Otter Specialist Group Bulletin* **30**, 18–30 (2013).
47. Omer, S. A. *et al.* Evidence for persistence and a major range extension of the smooth-coated otter, *Lutrogale perspicillata maxwelli* (Mustelidae, Carnivora) in Iraq. *Folia Zool.* **61**, 172–176 (2012).
48. Mirzaei, R., Conroy, J. & Yoxon, P. Otters in the Hawr-al-Azim wetland, Iran. *Hystrix* **21**, 83–88 (2010).
49. Mallet, J. Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **20**, 229–237 (2005).
50. Kyle, C. J., Davison, A. & Strobeck, C. Genetic structure of European pine martens (*Martes martes*), and evidence for introgression with *M. americana* in England. *Conserv. Genet.* **4**, 179–188 (2003).
51. Cabria, M. T. *et al.* Bayesian analysis of hybridization and introgression between the endangered European mink (*Mustela lutreola*) and the polecat (*Mustela putorius*). *Mol. Ecol.* **20**, 1176–1190 (2011).
52. Barbanera, F. *et al.* Molecular phylogeography of the asp viper *Vipera aspis* (Linnaeus, 1758) in Italy: evidence for introgressive hybridization and mitochondrial DNA capture. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **52**, 103–114 (2009).
53. Bernatchez, L., Glémet, H., Wilson, C. C. & Danzmann, R. G. Introgression and fixation of arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*) mitochondrial genome in an allopatric population of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **52**, 179–185 (1995).
54. Melisch, R. & Foster-Turley, P. First record of in otters (Lutrinae: Mammalia), between smooth-coated otter, *Lutrogale perspicillata* (Geoffroy, 1826) and Asian small-clawed otter, *Aonyx cinerea* (Illiger, 1815). *Zool. Gart.* **66**, 284–288 (1996).
55. Theng, M. & Sivasothi, N. The Smooth-Coated Otter *Lutrogale perspicillata* (Mammalia: Mustelidae) in Singapore: Establishment and Expansion in Natural and Semi-Urban Environments. *IUCN Otter Spec. Group Bull.* **33**, 37–49 (2016).
56. Baker, N. & Lim, K. K. P. *Wild animals of Singapore: a photographic guide to mammals, reptiles, amphibians and freshwater fishes* (Draco Publishing and Distribution Pte. Ltd. and Nature Society Singapore, 2008).
57. Wirtz, P. Mother species-father species: unidirectional hybridization in animals with female choice. *Anim. Behav.* **58**, 1–12 (1999).
58. Grant, P. R. & Grant, B. R. Mating patterns of Darwin's finch hybrids determined by song and morphology. *Biol. J. Linnean Soc.* **60**, 317–343 (1997).
59. Forcina, G. *et al.* Impacts of biological globalization in the Mediterranean: Unveiling the deep history of human mediated gamebird dispersal. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 3296–3301 (2015).
60. Guerrini, M. *et al.* Molecular DNA identity of the mouflon of Cyprus (*Ovis orientalis ophion*, Bovidae): near Eastern origin and divergence from Western Mediterranean conspecific populations. *Syst. Biodivers.* **13**, 472–483 (2015).
61. Guerrini, M. & Barbanera, F. Noninvasive genotyping of the red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*, Phasianidae): semi-nested PCR of mitochondrial DNA from feces. *Biochem. Genet.* **47**, 873–883 (2009).

62. Barbanera, F., Moretti, B., Guerrini, M., Al-Sheikhly, O. F. & Forcina, G. Investigation of ancient DNA to enhance natural history museum collections: misidentification of smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata*) specimens across multiple museums. *Belg. J. Zool.* **146**, 101–112 (2016).
63. Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D. G. The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **24**, 4876–4882 (1997).
64. Tamura, K. *et al.* MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony Methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 2731–2739 (2011).
65. Librado, P. & Rozas, J. DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1451–1452 (2009).
66. Tajima, F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**, 585–595 (1989).
67. Xia, X., Xie, Z., Salemi, M., Chen, L. & Wang, Y. An index of substitution saturation and its application. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **26**, 1–7 (2003).
68. Tamura, K. & Nei, M. Estimation of the number of the nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **10**, 512–526 (1993).
69. Xia, X. & Xie, Z. Dambe: data analysis in molecular biology and evolution. *J. Hered.* **92**, 371–373 (2001).
70. Guindon, S. *et al.* New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 307–321 (2010).
71. Huelsenbeck, J. P. & Ronquist, F. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* **17**, 754–755 (2001).
72. Rambaud, A. & Drummond, A. J. Tracer 1.5, Available from <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer> (2007).
73. Swofford, D. L. Paup*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10 (Sinauer Associates Sunderland, Massachusetts, 2002).
74. Felsenstein, J. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**, 783–791 (1985).
75. Ronquist, F. Dispersal-variance analysis: a new approach to the quantification of historical biogeography. *Syst. Biol.* **46**, 195–203 (1997).
76. Yu, Y., Harris, A. J., Blair, C. & He, X. J. RASP (Reconstruct Ancestral State in Phylogenies): A tool for historical biogeography. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **87**, 46–49 (2015).
77. Maddison, W. P. & Maddison, D. R. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.10 <http://mesquiteproject.org> (2016).
78. Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P. & Röhl, A. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**, 37–48 (1999).
79. Excoffier, L. & Lischer, H. E. L. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **10**, 564–567 (2010).
80. Wright, S. The interpretation of population structure by *F*-statistics with special regard to systems of mating. *Evolution* **19**, 395–420 (1965).
81. Ramirez-Soriano, A., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., Rozas, J., Calafell, F. & Navarro, A. Statistical power analysis of neutrality tests under demographic expansions, contractions and bottlenecks with recombination. *Genetics* **179**, 555–567 (2008).
82. Ramos-Onsins, S. E. & Rozas, J. Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against population growth. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **19**, 2092–2100 (2002).
83. Harpending, H. C., Sherry, S. T., Rogers, A. R. & Stoneking, M. Genetic structure of ancient human populations. *Curr. Anthropol.* **34**, 483–496 (1993).
84. Excoffier, L. Patterns of DNA sequence diversity and genetic structure after a range expansion: lessons from the infinite-island model. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 853–864 (2004).
85. McDonald, J. H. & Kreitman, M. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **351**, 652–654 (1991).
86. Barbanera, F. *et al.* Genetic consequences of intensive management in game birds. *Biol. Conserv.* **143**, 1259–1268 (2010).
87. Frantz, A. C. *et al.* Reliable microsatellite genotyping of the Eurasian badger (*Meles meles*) using faecal DNA. *Mol. Ecol.* **12**, 1649–1661 (2003).
88. Valière, N. Gimlet: a computer program for analyzing genetic individual identification data. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **2**, 377–379 (2002).
89. Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W. E., Wills, D. P. M. & Shipley, P. Micro-checker: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **4**, 535–538 (2004).
90. Goudet, J. Fstat, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices. Version 2.9.3 Available from <http://www.unil.ch/izea/software/fstat.html> (2001).
91. Rousset, F. Genepop'007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **8**, 103–106 (2008).
92. Hochberg, Y. A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. *Biometrika* **75**, 800–802 (1988).
93. Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959 (2000).
94. Evanno, G., Regan, S. & Goudet, J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 2611–2620 (2005).
95. Vaha, J. P. & Primmer, C. R. Efficiency of model-based Bayesian methods for detecting hybrid individuals under different hybridization scenarios and with different numbers of loci. *Mol. Ecol.* **15**, 63–72 (2006).
96. IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature). *Lutrogale perspicillata*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-1. <www.iucnredlist.org> Downloaded on 01 June 2016 (2015).
97. Dallas, J. F. & Pieltney, S. B. Microsatellite primers for Eurasian otter. *Mol. Ecol.* **7**, 1247–1251 (1998).
98. Huang, C. C., Hsu, Y. C., Lee, L. L. & Li, S. H. Isolation and characterization of tetra microsatellite DNA markers in the Eurasian otter (*Lutra lutra*). *Mol. Ecol.* **5**, 314–316 (2005).
99. Irwin, D. M., Kocher, T. D. & Wilson, A. C. Evolution of the cytochrome *b* gene in mammals. *J. Mol. Evol.* **32**, 128–144 (1991).

Acknowledgements

For modern samples, we are grateful to: T.M. Ansari (Institutional Research Ethical Committee of Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan); D. Bennett and D. Brown (The Royal Zoological Society of Scotland Edinburgh Zoo, Edinburgh, UK); C. Cooper, C. Lawrence and P. Smith (Perth Zoo, South Perth, Western Australia); R. Fusillo (Lutria Wildlife Research and Consulting, Rome) with (i) Ente Parco Nazionale del Cilento, Vallo di Diano e Alburni, (ii) CRAS Provincia di Matera, and (iii) Riserva Naturale di San Giuliano (Italy); S. Hoby, F. von Houwald and C. Wenker (Basel Zoo, Switzerland); Lao Zoo/Wildlife Sanctuary and Acres NGO (Laos); N. Marx (Wildlife Alliance NGO) and R. Nhek (Phnom Tamao Zoological Park and Wildlife Rescue Center, Phnom Penh) in Cambodia; R. Perry (Colchester Zoo, Colchester, UK); J. Pluhacek and A. Obracajova (Ostrava Zoo, Ostrava, Czech Republic); S. Rahman (Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh); T. Ruby (Department of Life Sciences, Islamic University,

Bahawalpur, Pakistan); M. Wilder (Wingham Wildlife Park, Wingham, UK). For archival samples, we thank: R. Banasiak, L. Heaney, J. Phelps and W. Stanley (Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago); A. Bibl and F. Zachos (Natural History Museum, Vienna); K.M. Helgen, E.M. Langan and D. Lunde (Smithsonian Institution National Museum of Natural History, Washington D.C.); D.K. Johansson (Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Denmark); G. Veron and A. Jennings (National Museum of Natural History, Paris). We thank K.-P. Koepfli (Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, National Zoological Park, Washington D.C., USA) for sharing three mtDNA sequences. The careful revisions done by three anonymous referees greatly aided us to improve an early version of this paper. This research was funded by the National Geographic Society Conservation Trust (USA) with grant #C261-13 to F.B., and by the University of Pisa (Italy) with “Progetto di Ricerca di Ateneo” grant #PRA_2016_1.

Author Contributions

F.B. and O.F.A.-S. conceived the study; O.F.A.-S., M.T., B.K.G., M.K.H., W.A.K., A.A.K and F.B. collected the samples; B.M., M.G. and F.B. performed genetic analyses; F.B. led the writing with the main support of M.G. and M.T.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Moretti, B. *et al.* Phylogeography of the smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata*): distinct evolutionary lineages and hybridization with the Asian small-clawed otter (*Aonyx cinereus*). *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 41611; doi: 10.1038/srep41611 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017