# SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 14 November 2016 Accepted: 13 December 2016 Published: 18 January 2017

## **OPEN** Controllable Synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub>@ Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Core-Shell Nanotube Arrays with Double-Wall Coating as **Superb Lithium-Ion Battery Anodes**

Yan Zhong<sup>1</sup>, Yifan Ma<sup>1,2</sup>, Qiubo Guo<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiaqi Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Yadong Wang<sup>3</sup>, Mei Yang<sup>1,2</sup> & Hui Xia<sup>1,2</sup>

Highlighted by the safe operation and stable performances, titanium oxides (TiO<sub>2</sub>) are deemed as promising candidates for next generation lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). However, the pervasively low capacity is casting shadow on desirable electrochemical behaviors and obscuring their practical applications. In this work, we reported a unique template-assisted and two-step atomic layer deposition (ALD) method to achieve TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> core-shell nanotube arrays with hollow interior and double-wall coating. The as-prepared architecture combines both merits of the high specific capacity of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and structural stability of TiO<sub>2</sub> backbone. Owing to the nanotubular structural advantages integrating facile strain relaxation as well as rapid ion and electron transport, the TiO<sub>2</sub>( $\Im$  Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanotube arrays with a high mass loading of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> attained desirable capacity of ~520 mA h g<sup>-1</sup>, exhibiting both good rate capability under uprated current density of  $10 \text{ Ag}^{-1}$  and especially enhanced cycle stability (~450 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> after 600 cycles), outclassing most reported TiO<sub>2</sub>@metal oxide composites. The results not only provide a new avenue for hybrid core-shell nanotube formation, but also offer an insight for rational design of advanced electrode materials for LIBs.

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) have been regarding as predominant power sources due to their excellent power-energy reliability, long cycle life and environmental benignity<sup>1-3</sup>. However, to date, their performance still lags behind the development of emerging applications, such as electric vehicles and multifunctional portable electronics, which still remains as the major hurdle for its large scale implementation in the future. As a vital part of LIBs, anode materials cast great influence on overall performance and need to be equipped with higher capacity, better rate capability and outstanding cycle ability<sup>4,5</sup>. In this sense, conventional graphite electrodes are trapped in low specific capacity (372 mA h  $g^{-1}$ , as well as other issues, such as inferior power delivery and potential safety hazards resulted from low operating voltage (below 0.2 V versus Li/Li<sup>+</sup>)<sup>6.7</sup>. Nano-scaled metal oxides (SnO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, etc.) hold great promise as available alternative anode materials<sup>8,9</sup>, exhibiting relatively high specific capacity, nontoxicity, high corrosion resistance, low-cost processing and simplicity of syntheses<sup>10,11</sup>.

Amongst all, TiO2-based materials attracted a lot of attention and stimulated extensive researches based on their excellent merits serving as LIBs anodes. TiO<sub>2</sub> possesses safe and stable working plateau potential (about 1.5–1.75 V versus Li/Li<sup>+</sup>) without intense decomposition of electrolyte. Furthermore, taking advantage of favorable crystallographic characteristics and surface activity with negligible volume change during charging/discharging processes, TiO<sub>2</sub> material shows good structural stability, stable voltage output and long lifespan<sup>12-14</sup>. Nevertheless, it is regretted that TiO<sub>2</sub> only delivers a low theoretical capacity (only 335 mA h  $g^{-1}$ ), which is even inferior to graphite<sup>15,16</sup>. On the other hand, as an another family member of transition metal oxides, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is endowed a theoretical capacity as high as  $1005 \text{ mA} \text{ h g}^{-1}$ , showing great prospect towards high energy anodes<sup>11</sup>. Frustratingly, accompanying the multi-electron reaction, concomitant repeating formation of metal and Li<sub>2</sub>O matrix will lead to dramatic volume variation and finally result in electrode pulverization and drastic capacity fading<sup>17,18</sup>. Apparently, the complementary features of these two oxides allow artful design of corresponding hybrid structures and lead to large numbers of delicate fabrication focusing TiO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> smart nanostructures<sup>15,19</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China. <sup>2</sup>Herbert Gleiter Institute of Nanoscience, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, China. <sup>3</sup>School of Engineering, Nanyang Polytechnic, 569830, Singapore. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.Y. (email: bayberry616@njust.edu.cn) or H.X. (email: xiahui@njust.edu.cn)



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the formation process for the  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$  core-shell nanotube arrays with double-side coating.

It is acknowledged that hybrid TiO<sub>2</sub> with high-capacity metal oxides or carbon matrix is an efficient route to improve the electrochemical performance<sup>20-22</sup>. Till now, various nanostructured TiO<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites are taken up into anode materials<sup>23-25</sup>, showing improvement on capacity as well as upgrading on cycling stability. However, in most cases, the hybrid structures usually deliver unsatisfying performance than expected, especially for deficient rate ability and low capacity, which are mainly ascribed to insufficient contact at interfaces, large share of carbon constituent as conductive and stuffed component with long transport distance. As summarized, most literatures on TiO2@metal oxides as anodes for LIBs, e.g. TiO2@MnO2 nanowire array, TiO2@Fe2O3 nanorod array, and TiO2@Co3O4 nanobelt array, were normally tested at low current densities under 2.0 A/g, and exhibited depressing capacities below  $300 \text{ mA} \text{ h g}^{-1}$  once the current density reaches over  $1.6 \text{ A/g}^{25}$ . Moreover, uncontrollable synthesis makes it hard to achieve optimal balance in the synergistic effect. Herein, we present a binder-additive-free TiO<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> core-shell nanotubular arrays as high performance electrode through a unique method combining hydrothermal and stepwise atomic layer deposition (ALD). First of all, this 3D nanotubular architecture leads to a much larger surface area with adequate electrolyte penetration and direct 1D pathway for electron transport with also neighboring space to accommodate volumetric change of electrode materials<sup>3,26,27</sup>. Compared with traditional array structure, this core-shell nanotube design is further vested with friendly interface between components along with continuously intimate contact, more efficient ion and electron transport within hollow arrays as well as double-side area for high loading of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>28,29</sup>. Particularly, ADL facilitates facile construction of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> interface and controllable loading to investigate synergistic effects<sup>30,31</sup>. With the optimal effect of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating, hybrid electrode exhibits outstanding electrochemical performance with especially outstanding cycle stability ( $\sim$ 450 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> after 600 cycles) and superb rate capability (up to 10 A g<sup>-1</sup> charging), demonstrating great potential as excellent anode alternative for high performance LIBs.

#### Results

The fabrication process of hollow  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$  core/shell nanotube arrays on Ti foil substrates is illustrated in Fig. 1. The sacrificial template of  $Co_2(OH)_2CO_3$  nanowire arrays were firstly synthesized on Ti foils through a hydrothermal reaction.  $TiO_2$  was uniformly deposited on the surface of the nanowire arrays, followed by



**Figure 2.** (a) XRD patterns of  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$  nanotube arrays with different coating loops. (b,c) SEM images of representative  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$ -600 sample and (d) the cross-section. Hollow interior construction can be detected in (c).

etching of  $Co_2(OH)_2CO_3$  templates. Finally, an outmost layer of  $Fe_2O_3$  was uniformly grown intimately onto the double-side of  $TiO_2$  nanotube architectures with thickness (mass) controllable synthesis precisely regulated by ALD cycles.

The overall crystal structure and phase purity of three samples were characterized by XRD. Shown in Fig. 2a, it is clear that one set of diffraction peaks located at 25.2°,  $38.5^\circ$ ,  $48.0^\circ$ ,  $55.0^\circ$  and  $82.6^\circ$  correspond to (101), (112), (200), (211) and (224) planes of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> (JCPDS no. 21-1272). Additionally, two diffraction peaks at  $35.6^\circ$  and  $77.7^\circ$  with weaker intensity are indexed to (110) and (306) planes of hexagonal  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (JCPDS no. 33-0664). Accordingly, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> proportions are calculated as 25.1 wt%, 33.6 wt% and 44.6 wt% for TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples by increasing ALD cycles to 200, 600 and 1000 cycles, which is in good accordance with the evolution of diffraction intensity in XRD and Raman spectra (Figure S1). Except for patterns of Ti foil substrate, no impurity peaks were observed in the XRD patterns, affirming the existence of bi-phase oxide crystal structures for the active materials without any by-products.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was utilized to investigate morphological evolution of bare TiO<sub>2</sub> to TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples (Figure S2a–d). The pure TiO<sub>2</sub> material exhibits dense and uniform nanotube arrays without agglomeration of large particles, laying good foundation for high mass loading of active materials. After coating of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> onto the surface, these randomly oriented nanotube arrays of TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–600 across each other to form a highly interconnected network (Fig. 2b), which is favorable for the electron conduction across the whole electrode<sup>32,33</sup>. Under higher magnification in Fig. 2c, hollow interiors are discerned for these nanotubes. Even after coating of ALD cycles, the tubular architecture could still be maintained, which is clearly shown from open-ended nanotubes. These open structures with inside perforative channels are conductive to the electrolyte infiltration and will largely benefit ion migration during electrochemical process<sup>34</sup>. The overall cross-section image of TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-600 manifests array length of micron order with perfect attachment and connection to Ti substrate (Fig. 2d).

More detailed texture and structure are revealed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and schematic illustration of one single TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanotube (Fig. 3a), identified with characteristic hollow of the nanotube and with diameter of around 100 nm (Fig. 3b). Further enlarged at the edge in Fig. 3c, high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images focusing on the hetero-junction region display two distinct sets of lattice fringe spacing as 0.27 and 0.35 nm, matching well with the (104) plane of the hexagonal  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and the (101) plane of the anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. Corresponding selected area electronic diffraction (SAED, Fig. 3d) pattern further confirms the coherent existence of well-crystallized TiO<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> structures, suggesting the success building of intimate interaction at the interface. The obviously spotted diffraction rings are in well correspondence with (110) and (211) planes of  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, together with the (211) and (200) planes of anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>, which is consistent with the XRD analysis. Moreover, element mapping of Fig. 3f-i derived from dark-field SEM (Fig. 3e) confirms that all the elements are homogeneously dispersed within the overall nanotube. It is noted that distributions of Fe and O confirm a wrapped picture around the element of Ti, providing another evidence for the Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-coated core-shell structure.



**Figure 3.** (a) Schematic diagram of an individual  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3-600$  nanotube. (b) TEM image and (c) high resolution image taken from the fringe of a typical nanotube, and (d) corresponding SAED patterns. (e) Dark-filed TEM image of a single  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$  nanotube and the element mapping (**f**-**i**).

To determine the chemical compositions and surface bond states of the obtained materials, XPS test was conducted on the representative  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3-600$  sample. From a wide survey scan in Fig. 4a, peaks of C 1 s, O 1 s, Ti 2p and Fe 2p were detected, which is accordance with the EDS measurement (Figure S3). The C1s peak originates from adventitious carbon<sup>25</sup>. High resolution spectrum of Fe 2p is comprised of two distinct peaks around



**Figure 4.** (a) XPS spectrum of a wide survey scan for the  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3-600$  nanotube arrays, and high-resolution peaks of (b) Fe 2p, (c) Ti 2p, (d) O 1 s region.

711 eV and 724.5 eV (Fig. 4b), which correspond well to the Fe  $2p^{3/2}$  and  $2p^{1/2}$  with satellite lines. The spectrum is consistent with the characteristic of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>24,25,35</sup>, indicating no reductive component consisting of Fe<sup>2+</sup> were generated within ALD process. As shown in Fig. 4c, the binding energy of Ti  $2P^{1/2}$  and Ti  $2P^{3/2}$  core levels are observed at approximately 458.9 and 464.6 eV with ~6.3 eV peak splitting, confirming Ti<sup>IV</sup> state in the anatase TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>23,25</sup>. The spectrum of O1s core level is shown in Fig. 4d, where binding energy peaks at 531.4 and 533.1 eV possibly originate from bonded hydroxyl groups and surface absorbent, respectively<sup>36,37</sup>. As for the broad peak centered at 529.9 eV, it is attributed to metal-bonding in both oxides.

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out firstly to explore the electrochemical behavior of the binary oxides structure, while the representative curve is shown in Fig. 5a. During the cathodic scan process, each of the TiO2@Fe2O3 arrays exhibits three reduction peaks around 1.75 V, 1.4 V and 0.8 V. The first peak is ascribed to the phase transition from tetragonal TiO<sub>2</sub> to orthorhombic  $\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2^{23}$ , which is consistent with the behavior of bare TiO<sub>2</sub>. The second peak is associated with the formation of cubic  $\text{Li}_2\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3^{38}$ . The third peak reflects the complete reduction from Fe<sup>2+</sup> to Fe<sup>0</sup> as well as generation of amorphous Li<sub>2</sub>O<sup>39</sup>. For the anodic scan, oxidized peaks centered around 1.5-2.5 V correspond to the successively reversible process, including oxidation of Fe<sup>0</sup> to Fe<sup>3+</sup>, delithiation of  $Li_x TiO_2$  as well as conversion of  $Li_2O$ . To investigate the influence of mass balance,  $TiO_2$ with different amount of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating were synthesized and systematically studied. Figure S4 describes the discharge-charge curves for the first cycle at a current density of  $0.1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  (0.005–3 V vs. Li/Li<sup>+</sup>), wherein the similar voltage plateaus consistent with CV scans are identified (Figure S5). For the bare  $TiO_2$  nanotubes, the initial discharge and charge capacities are limited to 307 and 173 mA h  $g^{-1}$ , respectively. By contrast, TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites exhibited noticeable improvement. As for TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> samples, the charge capacity raises along with the amount of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating. When it comes to  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$ -1000, the initial discharge and charge capacities can reach up to 878 and 590 mA h g<sup>-1</sup>, with the irreversible capacity loss cut down from 43.7% to 32.8%, which is ascribed to good electrochemical reversibility of in situ generated metal nanoparticles. Considering the benign and intimate connection, it is reasonable that the  $Fe^0$  nanoparticles produced at the interface between  $TiO_2$ and  $Fe_2O_3$  can advance the reversibility of reactions and further result in a high reversible capacity. The  $TiO_2@$ Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> hybrid electrodes all show higher capacity than bare TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube electrode owing to the introduction of high-capacity Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Particularly shown in Fig. 5c, the TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-600 electrode maintains a high capacity delivery of 436 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> even after 600 cycles with above 87% capacity retention, which are clearly distinguished from previous reported hybrid TiO<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> materials operated less than 200 cycles<sup>23–25,40</sup>. Furthermore, the coulombic efficiency rapidly increases and stays at a high level of around 100% in the subsequent cycles. As revealed in Figure S6, the morphology and texture of the nanotube architecture maintains well after 20 discharging/charging cycles.



**Figure 5.** (a) CV curves of pristine  $TiO_2$  and  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3-600$  samples at a scan rate of 0.5 mV/s (0.005-3 V). (b) Rate performance at multiple current densities from 0.1 A/g to 10 A/g then back to 0.1 A/g. (c) Capacity vs. cycle number plots and corresponding coulombic efficiency of the  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3-600$  at a current density of  $0.1 \text{ Ag}^{-1}$ .

For comprehensive understanding of electrochemical performance, rate capability tests were conducted with different current densities from  $0.1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  to  $10 \text{ A g}^{-1}$  (Fig. 5b). It is encouraging that  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3-600$  sample exhibits outstanding rate performances, with high capacity of ~390 and ~330 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> even at uprated 5 and  $10 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ , which is more than three-fold of bare TiO<sub>2</sub> electrodes. Energy storage devices could be served at large currents is highly required for realistic circumstance, while those reported TiO<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites are always operated under current densities of  $1.6 \text{ A g}^{-123-25}$ . Such outstanding rate capability of the TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> core- shell nanotube arrays benefits from the unique 1D tubular structure with fast kinetics established from hollow interior. By contrast, although TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-1000 sample demonstrates attracting capacity at a low rate of  $0.1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ , it drops sharply with escalating currents and is much inferior to TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-600 above the current density of  $0.3 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ .

#### Discussion

Overall, high capacity of  $Fe_2O_3$  component elevates the holistic lithium storage, while its instability is compensated by the robust scaffold of  $TiO_2$  nanotubes. These complementary properties may lead to trade-off wherein optimal content are critical yet still need to be precisely studied. Taking advantage of the precisely controllable ALD method, it is found herein that excessive loading of  $Fe_2O_3$  will lead to unfavorable attachment with  $TiO_2$ , thus impair the structural stability and electrical conductivity of entire composite along with cycling, though high capacity is obtained at a higher mass loading. With a proper mass loading of 33.6 wt%,  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3-600$ achieve favorable contact with  $TiO_2$  substrate while still maintains considerable lithium storage sites, beneficial for the superb fast-stable energy storage balance, which can be regarded as general guidance for materials design of  $TiO_2$ -based binary oxides. Furthermore, after returning to the initial current density of  $0.1 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ , all samples recover back to the original capacity, confirming that the robustness of  $TiO_2$  arrays matrix in hybrid electrode materials even under high rates circumstance.

To prove the 1D advantage and further understand the optimal  $Fe_2O_3$ -TiO<sub>2</sub> balance, the electrochemical impedance spectra are conductive to illuminate kinetics difference (Figure S7). The TiO<sub>2</sub>@  $Fe_2O_3$ -600 manifests the fastest ion diffusion than other hybrid materials judging from Warburg impedance values ( $Z_w$ ), demonstrating the efficient ion diffusion within this optimized thickness of coating-wall. It is mentioned that 1D nanotube structure is profitable for the fast kinetics by improving the electrolyte infiltration as well as shorten ion and electron immigration distance, also offering high loading of electrochemical-active sites through double sides coating and mitigation against the volume change of  $Fe_2O_3$ . Also, optimum mass loading endows TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-600 with the best complementary effect for superb and more stable electrochemical performances.

In this work, binder-additive-free  $TiO_2@Fe_2O_3$  nanotube arrays with double-wall coating are prepared through hydrothermal and controllable ALD methods. In addition to the traditional advantages of 1D structure such as fast transport and good electrolyte contact, the rational design of hollow  $TiO_2$  tube provides a robust backbone to efficiently hold structural stability and the introduction of double-wall  $Fe_2O_3$  coating largely enhance overall energy storage. Particularly, taking advantage of this precisely controllable ALD, an optimal proportion is found to desirably maximize the complementary effects within components, which offers deeper understanding on merits of this binary oxide design. As a result, the hybrid electrode delivers outstanding electrochemical performance in terms of high reversible capacity ( $520 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$  at  $100 \text{ mA g}^{-1}$ ) over three-fold of bare  $TiO_2$  electrodes and especially long term stability of >87% capacity retention after 600 cycles as well as superb rate capability that could be reversibly operated even at uprated  $10 \text{ A g}^{-1}$ . The presented synthetic techniques of thin-films arrayed electrode are readily extended to other alternative multi-components electrode and are promising for fabricating micro-scale energy storage devices in the future.

### Methods

**Synthesis of Co\_2(OH)\_2CO\_3 nanowire arrays on Ti substrates.**  $Co_2(OH)_2CO_3$  nanowire arrays were prepared through a simple hydrothermal method. Briefly,  $0.6 \text{ g Co}(NO_3) \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $0.15 \text{ g NH}_4F$  and  $0.6 \text{ g CO}(NH_2)_2$  were dissolved in 70 mL water under magnetic stirring to form homogeneous solution. After cleaning by successive sonication in ethanol, acetone and deionized water, Ti foil substrate was immerged in the above solution and placed into Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclaves. The autoclave was heated at 105 °C for 5 h and then allowed to cool down to room temperature naturally. Then obtained foil was softly rinsed with DI water several times and dried in air at 80 °C.

**Synthesis of hollow TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> core/shell nanotube arrays.** Firstly, TiO<sub>2</sub> was deposited on the as-prepared Co<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> nanowires using a hot wall ALD system with TiCl<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O as the Ti and O precursors. Deposition was conducted at 400 °C while flow rates of TiCl<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O were set as 0.6 cm<sup>3</sup>/pulse and 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>/pulse, respectively. The processing pressures were ~40 Pa in the deposition steps and 27 Pa in the pump-down steps. Then, the products were immersed into 0.6 M HCl solution for 12 h. Co<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was therein removed and hollow TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotube arrays were obtained. Coating Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to form final core shell structure was realized through further ALD process with water and homoleptic dinuclear irontert-butoxide complex (Fe<sub>2</sub>(O<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>6</sub>) as Fe and O precursors, respectively. Controllable syntheses were achieved via different coating loops wherein three samples with 200, 600 and 1000 cycles were conducted at 150 °C, respectively under the same conditions, which are denoted as TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-200, TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-600, TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-1000, respectively.

**Materials characterization.** The phase purity and crystal structure of products were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Bruker-AXS D8 Advance, Cu K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.15418$  nm). Morphologies and structures were examined using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Quant 250FEG) equipped with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) function, a transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Philips CM300 UT/FEG) and a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, JEOL JEM-2010) with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). The chemical bonds were analyzed through X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi).

**Electrochemical Measurements.** Electrochemical measurements were carried out on Swagelok cells, assembled in an Ar-filled glove box at room temperature. Pure lithium foils were used as both the counter/reference electrodes and the Ti substrate supported TiO<sub>2</sub> or TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (with Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coating deposition of different cycles) were firstly cut into small pieces with the size of  $0.5 \times 0.5$  cm and then directly used as the working electrodes without extra binders or conductive additives. 1 M solution of LiPF<sub>6</sub> in ethylene carbonate and diethyl carbonate (EC/DEC = 1:1 v/v) was used as the electrolyte. The galvanostatic charge-discharge measurements were performed on LANDCT2001A battery test system at different current densities from 0.1 A g<sup>-1</sup> to 10 A g<sup>-1</sup> with a cut-off voltage window of 0.005–3 V. Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were measured at a scan rate of 0.5 mV/s with the same voltage range. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were recorded in the frequency range of 100 kHz to 10 mHz, with an AC amplitude of 5 mV. Both CV and EIS were conducted on a CHI660D electrochemical workstation.

#### References

- 1. Armand, M. & Tarascon, J. M. Building better batteries. Nature 451, 652-657 (2008).
- Bruce, P. G., Scrosati, B. & Tarascon, J. M. Nanomaterials for rechargeable lithium batteries. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47, 2930–2946 (2008).
- 3. Zhong, Y., Yang, M., Zhou, X. & Zhou, Z. Structural design for anodes of lithium-ion batteries: emerging horizons from materials to electrodes. *Mater. Horiz.* **2**, 553–566 (2015).
- 4. Goodenough, J. B. & Kim, Y. Challenges for Rechargeable Li Batteries. Chem. Mater. 22, 587-603 (2010).
- 5. Wang, Y., Li, H., He, P., Hosono, E. & Zhou, H. Nano active materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Nanoscale* 2, 1294–1305 (2010).
- 6. Wu, H. & Cui, Y. Designing nanostructured Si anodes for high energy lithium ion batteries. Nano Today 7, 414-429 (2012).
- 7. Scrosati, B. & Garche, J. Lithium batteries: Status, prospects and future. J. Power Sources 195, 2419–2430 (2010).
- 8. Guo, X. L. *et al.* Engineering of three dimensional (3-D) diatom@TiO<sub>2</sub>@MnO<sub>2</sub> composites with enhanced supercapacitor performance. *Electrochim. Acta* **190**, 159–167 (2016).
- Li, F. et al. Low-cost high-performance asymmetric supercapacitors based on Co<sub>2</sub>AlO<sub>4</sub>@MnO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoflakes. J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 2096–2104 (2016).
- 10. Reddy, M. V., Subba Rao, G. V. & Chowdari, B. V. Metal oxides and oxysalts as anode materials for Li ion batteries. *Chem. Rev.* 113, 5364–5457 (2013).
- 11. Zhang, L., Wu, H. B. & Lou, X. W. D. Iron-Oxide-Based Advanced Anode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries. Adv. Energy Mater. 4, 1300958 (2014).

- Zhang, Y., Tang, Y., Li, W. & Chen, X. Nanostructured TiO<sub>2</sub>-based Anode Materials for High-performance Rechargeable Lithiumion Batteries. *ChemNanoMat* 2, 764–775 (2016).
- 13. Ren, H. *et al.* Multishelled TiO<sub>2</sub> hollow microspheres as anodes with superior reversible capacity for lithium ion batteries. *Nano. Lett.* **14**, 6679–6684 (2014).
- 14. Ren, Y. et al. Nanoparticulate TiO<sub>2</sub>(B): an anode for lithium-ion batteries. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51, 2164–2167 (2012).
- Gao, L., Hu, H., Li, G., Zhu, Q. & Yu, Y. Hierarchical 3D TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoframework arrays as high-performance anode materials. Nanoscale 6, 6463–6467 (2014).
- Wang, N., Yue, J., Chen, L., Qian, Y. & Yang, J. Hydrogenated TiO<sub>2</sub> Branches Coated Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanorods as an Advanced Anode Material for Lithium Ion Batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7, 10348–10355 (2015).
- 17. Gao, X.-P. & Yang, H.-X. Multi-electron reaction materials for high energy density batteries. Energy Environ. Sci. 3, 174–189 (2010).
- 18. Wu, Z.-S. et al. Graphene/metal oxide composite electrode materials for energy storage. Nano Energy 1, 107–131 (2012).
- Wang, B. et al. Porous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanowires derived from long Co(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>0.5</sub>(OH) 0.11H<sub>2</sub>O nanowires with improved supercapacitive properties. Nanoscale 4, 2145–2149 (2012).
- Yan, Y., Li, B., Guo, W., Pang, H. & Xue, H. Vanadium based materials as electrode materials for high performance supercapacitors. J. Power Sources 329, 148–169 (2016).
- 21. Zhou, L. *et al.* Morphology-controlled construction of hierarchical hollow hybrid SnO<sub>2</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanocapsules with outstanding lithium storage. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 15252 (2015).
- Zheng, P., Liu, T., Su, Y., Zhang, L. & Guo, S. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanotubes wrapped with reduced graphene oxide as a high-performance anode material for lithium-ion batteries. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 36580 (2016).
- Luo, Y. et al. Seed-assisted synthesis of highly ordered TiO<sub>2</sub>@α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> core/shell arrays on carbon textiles for lithium-ion battery applications. Energy Environ. Sci. 5, 6559 (2012).
- Luo, J. et al. Rationally Designed Hierarchical TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Hollow Nanostructures for Improved Lithium Ion Storage. Adv. Energy Mater 3, 737–743 (2013).
- Xia, H. et al. Hierarchical TiO<sub>2</sub>-B nanowire@α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanothorn core-branch arrays as superior electrodes for lithium-ion microbatteries. Nano Res 7, 1797–1808 (2014).
- Wang, J., Du, N., Zhang, H., Yu, J. & Yang, D. Large-Scale Synthesis of SnO<sub>2</sub> Nanotube Arrays as High-Performance Anode Materials of Li-Ion Batteries. J. Phys. Chem. C 115, 11302–11305 (2011).
- 27. Wang, Y., Xia, H., Lu, L. & Lin, J. Excellent performance in lithium-ion battery anodes: rational synthesis of Co(CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>0.5</sub>(OH)<sub>0.11</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O nanobelt array and its conversion into mesoporous and single-crystal Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. ACS Nano **4**, 1425–1432 (2010).
- Tang, Y. *et al.* Mechanical force-driven growth of elongated bending TiO<sub>2</sub>-based nanotubular materials for ultrafast rechargeable lithium ion batteries. *Adv. Mater.* 26, 6111–6118 (2014).
- 29. Su, L., Jing, Y. & Zhou, Z. Li ion battery materials with core-shell nanostructures. Nanoscale 3, 3967-3983 (2011).
- 30. George, S. M. Atomic layer deposition: an overview. Chem. Rev. 110, 111-131 (2010).
- Memarzadeh Lotfabad, E. et al. ALD TiO<sub>2</sub> coated silicon nanowires for lithium ion battery anodes with enhanced cycling stability and coulombic efficiency. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 13646–13657 (2013).
- Li, Y., Tan, B. & Wu, Y. Mesoporous Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanowire arrays for lithium ion batteries with high capacity and rate capability. *Nano.* Lett. 8, 265–270 (2008).
- Xia, X. et al. High-quality metal oxide core/shell nanowire arrays on conductive substrates for electrochemical energy storage. ACS Nano 6, 5531–5538 (2012).
- Liu, J., Song, K., van Aken, P. A., Maier, J. & Yu, Y. Self-supported Li<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>-C nanotube arrays as high-rate and long-life anode materials for flexible Li-ion batteries. *Nano. Lett.* 14, 2597–2603 (2014).
- 35. Yao, X. et al. Porous hematite (α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) nanorods as an anode material with enhanced rate capability in lithium-ion batteries. Electrochem. Commun. 13, 1439–1442 (2011).
- 36. Yang, Z. et al. Synthesis of uniform TiO<sub>2</sub>@carbon composite nanofibers as anode for lithium ion batteries with enhanced electrochemical performance. J. Mater. Chem. 22, 5848 (2012).
- Dupin, J.-C., Gonbeau, D., Vinatier, P. & Levasseur, A. Systematic XPS studies of metal oxides, hydroxides and peroxides. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2, 1319–1324 (2000).
- Jain, G., Balasubramanian, M. & Xu, J. J. Structural studies of lithium intercalation in a nanocrystalline α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> compound. *Chem. Mater.* 18, 423–434 (2006).
- Chen, S., Bao, P. & Wang, G. Synthesis of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CNT-graphene hybrid materials with an open three-dimensional nanostructure for high capacity lithium storage. *Nano Energy* 2, 425–434 (2013).
- Li, L., Zhang, J. & Zhu, Q. A novel fractional crystallization route to porous TiO<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> composites: large scale preparation and high performances as a photocatalyst and Li-ion battery anode. *Dalton Trans.* 45, 2888–2896 (2016).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51572129, U1407106), International S&T Cooperation Program of China (No. 2016YFE0111500), QingLan Project of Jiangsu Province, A Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 30915011204).

#### **Author Contributions**

H.X. and Y.Z. designed project and carried out data analyses. Y.M., Q.G., and J.L. performed the materials characterization. Y.W. participated in analyzing the results. M.Y. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and commented on the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article**: Zhong, Y. *et al.* Controllable Synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Core-Shell Nanotube Arrays with Double-Wall Coating as Superb Lithium-Ion Battery Anodes. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40927; doi: 10.1038/srep40927 (2017).

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017