SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Population Structure Analysis of Bull Genomes of European and Western Ancestry

Neo Christopher Chung, Joanna Szyda, Magdalena Frąszczak & the 1000 Bull Genomes Project[†]

Received: 17 June 2016

Accepted: 08 December 2016

Published: 13 January 2017

Since domestication, population bottlenecks, breed formation, and selective breeding have radically shaped the genealogy and genetics of *Bos taurus*. In turn, characterization of population structure among diverse bull (males of *Bos taurus*) genomes enables detailed assessment of genetic resources and origins. By analyzing 432 unrelated bull genomes from 13 breeds and 16 countries, we demonstrate genetic diversity and structural complexity among the European/Western cattle population. Importantly, we relaxed a strong assumption of discrete or admixed population, by adapting latent variable models for individual-specific allele frequencies that directly capture a wide range of complex structure from genome-wide genotypes. As measured by magnitude of differentiation, selection pressure on SNPs within genes is substantially greater than that on intergenic regions. Additionally, broad regions of chromosome 6 harboring largest genetic differentiation suggest positive selection underlying population structure. We carried out gene set analysis using SNP annotations to identify enriched functional categories such as energy-related processes and multiple development stages. Our population structure analysis of bull genomes can support genetic management strategies that capture structural complexity and promote sustainable genetic breadth.

Bos taurus (cattle) has long experienced selection for high quality milk and meat production. To maintain and encourage genetic diversity, it is important to characterize the population structure of cattle. Inferring population structure and genetic differentiation play an increasingly important role in conservation efforts, genealogy, and selection programs. In this study, we have analyzed a large number of whole genome sequences of *Bos taurus* males (bulls) from 13 breeds, representing 16 countries, to characterize population structure and genetic diversity.

Recognizing the importance of cattle genome diversity in genome-wide association studies, genomic predictions, and optimal breeding, there have been substantial efforts to obtain genome-wide genotypes of multiple breeds in diverse geographical locations^{1–3}. The 1000 Bull Genomes Consortium has successfully collaborated with institutions from more than 20 countries to collect 1577 whole genome sequences (as of version 5). Although the structural complexity of cattle has previously been studied based on array-based genome profiles or selected genetic markers, focusing on regions and breeds^{4–9}, a population genomic study involving whole genome sequences related to European and Western ancestry has not been performed.

Moreover, most studies assumed discrete structure among representatives of a studied population, as defined by self-identified breeds. Recent studies using unsupervised classification, admixture models, and other techniques demonstrate greater structural complexity^{1,2,8}, but direct estimation and utilization of population structure with relaxed assumptions have been challenging. Logistic factor analysis (LFA) uses recently developed probabilistic models of individual allele frequencies underlying genotypes that are appropriate for a wide range of population structures (e.g., discrete, continuous, or admixture)¹⁰. Building on principal component analysis (PCA), LFA provides a non-parametric estimation method tailored to large-scale genotype data. By modeling each single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) by the population structure estimated by logistic factors (LFs), genetic differentiation can be directly tested and inferred.

Applying latent variable probabilistic models, we analyzed 432 unrelated *Bos taurus* genomes from 13 breeds and 16 countries, as part of the 1000 Bull Genomes Project². This study provides detailed assessment of population structure among a diverse panel of whole genome sequences (~4.0 million SNPs per bull). We identified

Biostatistics Group, Department of Genetics, Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Wrocław, 51631, Poland. [†]Membership of the 1000 Bull Genomes Project is provided at the end of this article. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.C.C. (email: nchchung@gmail.com)

Figure 1. Average sequencing coverage of 432 bull samples. Samples with average sequencing coverage >5 are removed in a preprocessing step.

Figure 2. Bar plot of cattle breeds, with a number of samples colored by countries of origin.

pervasive genetic differentiation as suggested by domestication and selection. Through incorporating gene set analyses with genomic features, evolutionary pressure on genetic variation is investigated. Additionally, we present an interactive visualization, which enables exploration of underlying population structure by LFs.

Results

In the 1000 Bull Genomes Project dataset, there were $n = 432$ unrelated *Bos taurus* samples with average sequencing coverage >5 (Fig. 1). These bulls represent 13 different European and Western breeds; namely, Angus, Brown Swiss, Charolais, Gelbvieh, Holstein, Jersey, Limousin, Montbeliard, Normandy, Piedmont, European Red Dairy, Holstein, Red & White, and Simmental/Fleckvieh. Defined by the official animal identification, our samples came from Australia, Austria, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, and United States (Fig. 2). Among these genomes, there are $m = 3,967,995$ single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with no missing values and minor allele frequencies >0.05 (Supplementary Fig. 1).

To explore structural complexity, whole genome sequences of 432 selected samples were hierarchically clustered using Manhattan distances (Fig. 3, colored by 13 different breeds). Samples from the same breed do not necessarily appear together, although that does not imply whether breeds capture substantial and useful characteristics of bulls. Similarly, mutual k -nearest neighbour graphs (mkNNGs) were created by applying NetView^{11,12} for $k = 6$ and 12, where samples from different breeds are clustered together (Supplementary Fig. 2). Based on

Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of 432 bull genomes. Genome-wide SNPs are clustered using Manhattan distances and samples are colored by breeds.

Figure 4. Scatterplots of the top two logistic factors (LFs). Data points corresponding to 432 bull genomes are colored by 13 breeds. Other scatterplots and interactive visualization are available at <https://nnnn.shinyapps.io/bullstructure/>.

hierarchical clustering dendrogram and mkNNG clusters, it is evident that genetic structure may be more complex than breed codes.

The dimension of the population structure in logistic factor analysis (LFA) was set at $d=7$, as estimated by the VSS algorithm and the scree plot of decreasing eigenvalues (Supplementary Fig. 3). The estimated logistic factors demonstrate the genetic continuum, reflecting shared origins of genetics and goals of breeding programs since domestication (Fig. 4). At the same time, the logistic factor 4 displays a clear distinction of Brown Swiss (from Switzerland, Germany, France, and Italy) and projection of logistic factors (LFs) allows straightforward visual identification of clusters (Supplementary Fig. 4). We enable interactive exploration of this population structure by creating an online app visualizing LFs according to user-specified parameters (<https://nnnn.shinyapps.io/bullstructure/>).

We discovered diverse and pervasive genetic differentiation with respect to the population structure of bulls. We found that the median and mean values of McFadden's pseudo R^2 (hereafter referred to as R^2) are 0.070 and 0.087, respectively (Fig. 5). Chromosome 6 contained substantially more SNPs with high R^2 than other chromosomes; it harbors 166 (39.0%) out of 426 SNPs with $R^2 > 0.6$, as well as all 29 (100%) SNPs with $R^2 > 0.7$. On the other hand, the X chromosome shows the least variation with respect to logistic factors, containing zero

Figure 5. Genome-wide pseudo R^2 measures with respect to logistic factors (LFs). The distribution is highly skewed towards 0, which leads to overplotting in a low range (see an insert for a genome-wide histogram). Overall, the median and mean are 0.070 and 0.087, respectively.

SNP with $R^2 > 0.5$. The top 1000 genomic features that are associated with differentiated SNPs are shown in Supplementary Data 1.

Additionally, independent analyses were conducted to confirm robustness of our results. Particularly, we applied PCAdapt methodology on the same ~4.0 million SNPs, to identify SNPs under selection. In particular, after population structure is estimated by $k = 6$ PCs, communality statistics¹³ or Mahalanobis distances¹⁴ between each genomic variable and the top k PCs are used to detect local adaptation. Absolute correlation statistics between the top 6 LFs and the top 6 PCs were very high: 0.999, 0.894, 0.890, 0.994, and 0.992 for each comparison between i^{th} LF and i^{th} PC for $i = 1, \dots, 6$. High concordance between the two methods can also be seen in a scatterplot of the top two PCs, compared to that of LFs (Supplementary Fig. 5). The Spearman correlation between R^2 measures w.r.t. LFs and communality statistic w.r.t. PCs is 0.86, whereas that between R^2 and Mahalanobis distances is 0.68. It may suggest that our method using McFadden's pseudo R^2 is more similar to communality statistic than Mahalanobis distances. Overall, the results from PCAdapt robustly support cattle population structure and genetic differentiation identified using LFA and R^2 .

Among SNPs with the highest $R^2 > 0.7$, there exist two regions on chromosome 6; specifically 14 SNPs (13 within 50 kbp of known genomic features) positioned between 71101370 and 71600122 and 15 SNPs (11 within 50 kbp of known genomic features) positioned between 38482423 and 39140537. 83% of those most differentiated SNPs (20 out of 24 SNPs with known genomic features) are within or close to genes related to the selection sweep according to ref. 15. Among the first region, five SNPs fall within CHIC2 (ENSBTAG00000032660), while the closest features within 50 kbp also include GSX2 (ENSBTAG00000045812), U6 spliceosomal RNA (ENSBTAG00000042948), and novel pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000004082). U6 spliceosomal RNA (ENSBTAG00000042948) and novel pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000004082) are known to be associated with milk protein percentage¹⁶. In the second region, the exact overlaps occur in FAM184B (ENSBTAG0000005932), LCORL (ENSBTAG00000046561), and NCAPG (ENSBTAG00000021582). LCORL encodes a transcription factor whose human ortholog is involved in spermatogenesis, whereas NCAPG is crucial in mitosis and meiosis. Expecting much granular investigation of such genomic features, the list of 396,800 SNPs at the top 90 percentile ($R^2 > 0.174$) is available as Supplementary Data 2.

To better understand evolutionary and biological processes, we conducted gene set analyses using genomic annotations of SNPs. Firstly, we found that SNPs located within known genomic features have about 1.8% higher R^2 measures than intergenic SNPs without annotations (MWW p-value 9.85×10^{-106} ; Bonferroni corrected p-value 2.46×10^{-106}). On the other hand, among intergenic SNPs, we found no significant correlation (p-value of 0.44) between SNP-feature distances and R^2 measures (Supplementary Fig. 6). Secondly, among genic SNPs, R^2 measures corresponding to SNPs within exons are slightly higher than those within introns by 0.27% with a MWW p-value 3.89×10^{-29} (Bonferroni corrected p-value 9.73×10^{-28}). Start/stop codons and 3'/5' UTR do not exhibit statistically significant difference from other genic SNPs. Lastly, we used 338 genes that are closest to SNPs with $R^2 > 0.5$ in the DAVID functional annotation tools. We found a total of 34 enriched annotation clusters, of which 11 clusters with enrichment scores > 0.5 are shown in Table 1. Biological processes and functions related to calcium-binding domain (cluster 1 and 9) and iron containing hemeproteins related to ATP (cluster 3 and 6) exhibit strong enrichment, potentially reflecting causes of population structure. Notably, we observed functional clusters for sexual, respiratory, and embryonic development (cluster 5, 7, and 10, respectively).

Category	Term	Count	%	P Value
Cluster 1	Enrichment Score: 1.405	Calcium-binding domain		
INTERPRO	IPR018247:EF-HAND 1	6	2.098	0.035
INTERPRO	IPR018249:EF-HAND 2	6	2.098	0.038
INTERPRO	IPR011992:EF-Hand type	6	2.098	0.045
Cluster 2	Enrichment Score: 1.372	Cysteine-type activity		
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004198 ~ calcium-dependent cysteine-type endopeptidase activity	3	1.049	0.011
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0008234 ~ cysteine-type peptidase activity	4	1.399	0.066
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004197 ~ cysteine-type endopeptidase activity	3	1.049	0.106
Cluster 3	Enrichment Score: 0.897	Cytochrome		
PIR_SUPERFAMILY	PIRSF000045:cytochrome P450 CYP2D6	3	1.049	0.013
INTERPRO	IPR002401:Cytochrome P450, E-class, group I	3	1.049	0.068
INTERPRO	IPR017973:Cytochrome P450, C-terminal region	3	1.049	0.080
INTERPRO	IPR017972:Cytochrome P450, conserved site	3	1.049	0.084
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	heme	4	1.399	0.091
INTERPRO	IPR001128:Cytochrome P450	3	1.049	0.107
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	Monooxygenase	3	1.049	0.124
COG_ONTOLOGY	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism	3	1.049	0.148
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0020037 ~ heme binding	4	1.399	0.159
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0046906 ~ tetrapyrrole binding	4	1.399	0.176
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0009055 ~ electron carrier activity	4	1.399	0.301
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	iron	4	1.399	0.399
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0005506 ~ iron ion binding	4	1.399	0.614
Cluster 4	Enrichment Score: 0.860	Signaling		
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	signal peptide	19	6.643	0.048
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	signal	19	6.643	0.111
SP_PIR_KEYWORDS	glycoprotein	16	5.594	0.492
Cluster 5	Enrichment Score: 0.833	Sexual development		
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0045137 ~ development of primary sexual characteristics	3	1.049	0.117
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0003006 ~ reproductive developmental process	4	1.399	0.151
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0007548 ~ sex differentiation	3	1.049	0.180
Cluster 6	Enrichment Score: 0.760	Ion binding		
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0043167 ~ ion binding	40	13.986	0.130
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0046872 ~ metal ion binding	38	13.287	0.190
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0043169 ~ cation binding	38	13.287	0.213
Cluster 7	Enrichment Score: 0.725	Respiratory development		
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0030324 ~ lung development	3	1.049	0.145
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0030323 ~ respiratory tube development	3	1.049	0.145
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0060541 ~ respiratory system development	3	1.049	0.150
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0035295 ~ tube development	3	1.049	0.400
Cluster 8	Enrichment Score: 0.723	Protease activity		
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004175 ~ endopeptidase activity	8	2.797	0.129
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0070011 ~ peptidase activity, acting on L-amino acid peptides	9	3.147	0.190
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0008233 ~ peptidase activity	9	3.147	0.215
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0006508 ~ proteolysis	12	4.196	0.242
Cluster 9	Enrichment Score: 0.703	Calcium-binding domain		
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	calcium-binding region:2	3	1.049	0.126
INTERPRO	IPR002048:Calcium-binding EF-hand	4	1.399	0.148
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	calcium-binding region:1	3	1.049	0.157
SMART	SM00054:EFh	4	1.399	0.187
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	domain:EF-hand 1	3	1.049	0.258
UP_SEQ_FEATURE	domain:EF-hand 2	3	1.049	0.258
INTERPRO	IPR018248:EF hand	3	1.049	0.333
Cluster 10	Enrichment Score: 0.668	Embryonic development		
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0001824 ~ blastocyst development	3	1.049	0.082
Continued				

Category	Term	Count	%	P Value
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0001701 ~ in utero embryonic development	4	1.399	0.165
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0043009 ~ chordate embryonic development	4	1.399	0.397
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0009792 ~ embryonic development ending in birth or egg hatching	4	1.399	0.400
Cluster 11	Enrichment Score: 0.565	Cardiomyopathy		
KEGG_PATHWAY	bta05412:Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC)	3	1.049	0.240
KEGG_PATHWAY	bta05410:Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM)	3	1.049	0.277
KEGG_PATHWAY	bta05414:Dilated cardiomyopathy	3	1.049	0.304
Cluster 12	Enrichment Score: 0.519	Phosphorylation		
GOTERM_MF_FAT	GO:0004672 ~ protein kinase activity	9	3.147	0.213
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0006468 ~ protein amino acid phosphorylation	9	3.147	0.291
GOTERM_BP_FAT	GO:0016310 ~ phosphorylation	9	3.147	0.447

Table 1. Enriched functional clusters, for genes associated with $R^2 > 0.5$.

Discussion

Bos taurus has played a crucial role in ancient and modern societies alike by providing agricultural support and essential nutrients. Accurate characterization of its population structure helps conservation of genetic resources and optimal selection programs, ensuring a healthy and sustainable cattle population. In this process, we can better infer the genetic and functional variation that underlies the population structure. Using 432 samples from the 1000 Bull Genome Project, we provide a comprehensive sequencing-based assessment of population structure among cattle of European and Western ancestry.

Assumptions underlying population structure and its estimation methods have evolved to address growing genomics data in terms of complexity and scales^{10,17–19}. Previous studies on genetic structure of cattle often model their samples as admixture of k ancestral populations. This critical choice of k depends on analytical solutions, such as log probability of data¹⁸, its rate of change²⁰, or validation on independent test datasets (i.e., cross-validation)²¹. However, these methods may be sensitive to early divergence events or unable to capture hierarchical relationships⁷. Analysis of regional breeds often needs to include other published cattle genomes in order to estimate introgression or admixture^{5,8,9}. This poses a significant challenge in population genomics.

We circumvent this challenge by using complementary methods that do not need to select k ancestral populations. Particularly, we utilize latent variable probabilistic models that can estimate a broad range of arbitrarily complex structure including admixture, continuous, and discrete population¹⁰. Some breeds are clearly distinguished by logistic factors (LFs), such as Brown Swiss by the fourth LF. However, LFs do not directly correspond to breeds or ancestral populations. To aid in comprehensively describing and exploring population structure from our analysis, we developed an interactive visualization app.

When modeling SNPs with logistic factors in generalized linear models, we found widespread genetic differentiation due to population structure. Despite making no assumption about structure, the majority of the most differentiated SNPs in our study have been identified as under selection sweep by previous studies. Chromosome 6, which harbors a large proportion of the highly differentiated SNPs, has been previously suggested to have been subjected to one or more selective sweeps¹ and has also been associated with a number of milk and beef production traits^{22,23}. Interestingly, given that the novel pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000004082), which has been known to be associated with calving performance²⁴ and protein percentage¹⁶ is strongly associated with population structure, we suspect that it plays a crucial functional role in cattle genomes.

Our genome-wide study of differentiation suggests stronger evolutionary pressure on genic regions. Prolonged changes in environment, driven by domestication and development of cattle breeds, have likely caused genetic differentiation that focuses on functional regions of genomes²⁵. Furthermore, enrichment analysis of genome annotations provides strong indications that functional groups related to energy production and development stages underlie the genes that are highly differentiated with respect to population structure.

This study paves a way to further our understanding of population structure among modern European and Western cattle breeds. Identification of genetic differentiation with respect to population structure may inform conservation efforts to preserve heritage breeds and maintain genetic diversity. Methodologically, our sequencing-based analysis of population structure represents non-parametric approaches that can identify genetic differentiation and complexity without strong assumption on structure in population genomics.

Methods

Bull Genomes. The 1000 Bull Genomes Project has collaborated to gather whole-genome sequences of breeds from Australia, Austria, Canada, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and United Kingdom. Its initial efforts have vastly expanded known single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and copy number variations (CNVs) in *Bos taurus*². Currently, it covers 1577 bull samples as of version 5 released in 2015, among which 1507 and 70 bull genomes were sequenced with Illumina/Solexa and ABI SOLiD technology, respectively. For analysis of population structure, we selected unrelated bulls with average sequencing coverage greater than 5. Among sibs only one representative was selected randomly. SNP genotypes were identified prior to our study based on whole genome sequence data of bulls, using a multi-sample variant calling procedure. Polymorphisms with minor allele frequencies below 0.05 were removed

from analyses. For processing whole-genome sequences, we used `vcftools` v0.1.14²⁶, `BEDOPS` v2.4.15²⁷, and `R` v3.2.2²⁸.

Statistical Analysis. To initially explore the genome-wide SNP data, we employ hierarchical clustering which enables straightforward visualization of relationships among samples. In particular, similarities/dissimilarities among 10% of 4.0 million SNPs are represented by Manhattan distances,

$$d(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) = \sum_{x=1}^n |y_{i,x} - y_{j,x}|. \quad (1)$$

To hierarchically cluster samples, UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean) is applied to Manhattan distances²⁹. When visualizing a resulting dendrogram, nodes are colored by breed codes. Alternatively, we applied `netview` to create mutual k -nearest neighbour graphs (mKNNs) based on the same set of SNPs^{11,12}. Unlike hierarchical clustering, mKNNs assign discrete memberships, which are visualized in a force-directed graph (as implemented in `netview`).

To infer population structure directly from a genome-wide genotype matrix, we consider a probabilistic model of individual allele frequencies. In particular, by using logistic factor analysis¹⁰ that captures systematic variation of individual-specific allele frequencies arising from discrete or continuous sub-population, spatial variation, admixture, and other structures, we relax statistical assumptions imposed on bulls by its official breed and country code defined in the animal registration ID. While the statistical models and algorithms are extensively described elsewhere¹⁰, we provide a brief overview of this approach here.

Consider a genotype matrix $\mathbf{Y}$ with m SNPs and n bulls. For each y_{ij} , an individual-specific allele frequency for i^{th} SNP and j^{th} bull is $y_{ij} \in [0, 1]$. This collection of parameters (a $m \times n$ $\mathbf{F}$ matrix) is transformed into real numbers via the `logit` function, which allows computation of the underlying latent structure. Overall, the statistical model considered is

$$\text{logit}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}. \quad (2)$$

Then, the population structure is captured by d logistic factors (LFs) $\mathbf{H}$ which can be estimated by applying principal component analysis (PCA) to $\text{logit}(\mathbf{F})$. Note that $\mathbf{A}$ is a matrix of coefficients in a logistic regression. The dimensions of logistic factors are estimated by comparing the observed correlation matrix to a series of hypothesized structures derived from selected variables of large loadings³⁰. In the Very Simple Structure (VSS) algorithm, we considered $d = 1, \dots, 100$, while applying principal component analysis on the mean-centered genotypes (R package `psych`). Eigenvalues of $m^{-1}\mathbf{Y}^T\mathbf{Y}$ and percent variance explained by each component are visually inspected for the inflection point (e.g., elbow). For robustness analysis to confirm genetic differentiation, we alternatively used cross-validation approximations to choose d ³¹.

To approximate how much of the variation in genotypes is explained by the population structure, we calculate McFadden's pseudo R^2 that is appropriate for a logistic regression³². For i^{th} SNP,

$$R_i^2 = 1 - \frac{\log(L_i^{\text{full}})}{\log(L_i^{\text{null}})}, \quad (3)$$

where $\log(L_i^{\text{full}})$ and $\log(L_i^{\text{null}})$ are maximum log-likelihoods of the full and null models, respectively. As this study only considers McFadden's pseudo R^2 in logistic regressions, we will henceforth refer to it as R^2 when clear in context. Significance analysis with respect to logistic factors (or principal components) are done with a resampling-based jackstraw method³³.

Additionally, we performed genome-wide scan for selection in the panel of SNP data using `PCAdapt`^{13,14,34}. Generally, `PCAdapt` uses Mahalanobis distances and communality statistics between SNPs and the first k principal components (PCs), with appropriate normalization specific to each measure. Selection is detected when SNPs (or other genetic markers) are substantially explained by the first k PCs^{13,34}. To evaluate concordance of results from `PCAdapt` and LFA, we compute Spearman correlation between Mahalanobis/communality statistics using PCs and McFadden's pseudo R^2 measures using LFs.

Annotation and Enrichment. For genome annotation, we used the latest *Bos taurus* reference genome from the Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Maryland (downloaded from the NCBI server <ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>, version UMD3.1.83).

When testing whether the distribution of McFadden's pseudo R^2 measures are significantly different according to feature types, we used the Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon (MWW) test³⁵. With a large sample size, a Normal approximation is used to compute MWW p-values. In particular, we investigated whether SNPs falling within genes may have a higher McFadden's pseudo R^2 than those in intergenic regions. Among SNPs with known feature assignments, MWW tests were used to infer if a particular feature type is associated with significantly higher R^2 measures. Bonferroni correction is applied on a set of four MWW tests to adjust for multiple hypotheses testing^{36,37}.

Lastly, because some of SNPs are in intergenic regions with no known annotations, we utilized the closest features function from `BEDOPS` v2.4.15²⁷. Among the top genes with McFadden's pseudo $R^2 > 0.5$, we apply `DAVID` v6.7 considering GO, KEGG pathways, InterPro, SwissProt Protein Information Resource, and other databases to identify enrichment of biological processes and functional pathways³⁸. For intergenic SNPs, we

searched the reference genome for the closest genes, which were used in DAVID v6.7. When clustering functional annotations, we set “Classification Stringency” to high.

References

- Gibbs, R. A. *et al.* Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. *Science* **324**, 528–532, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1167936> (2009).
- Daetwyler, H. D. *et al.* Whole-genome sequencing of 234 bulls facilitates mapping of monogenic and complex traits in cattle. *Nature Genetics* **46**, 858–865, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.3034> (2014).
- Stothard, P. *et al.* A large and diverse collection of bovine genome sequences from the canadian cattle genome project. *Giga Science* **4**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0090-5> (2015).
- Troy, C. S. *et al.* Genetic evidence for near-eastern origins of european cattle. *Nature* **410**, 1088–1091, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/35074088> (2001).
- Zenger, K. R., Khatkar, M. S., Cavanagh, J. A. L., Hawken, R. J. & Raadsma, H. W. Genome-wide genetic diversity of holstein friesian cattle reveals new insights into Australian and global population variability, including impact of selection. *Animal Genetics* **38**, 7–14, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2006.01543.x> (2007).
- McKay, S. D. *et al.* An assessment of population structure in eight breeds of cattle using a whole genome SNP panel. *BMC Genet* **9**, 37, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-9-37> (2008).
- Decker, J. E. *et al.* Worldwide patterns of ancestry, divergence, and admixture in domesticated cattle. *PLoS Genetics* **10**, e1004254, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004254> (2014).
- Jemaa, S. B., Boussaha, M., Mehdi, M. B., Lee, J. H. & Lee, S.-H. Genome-wide insights into population structure and genetic history of tunisian local cattle using the illumina bovinesnp50 beadchip. *BMC Genomics* **16**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1638-6> (2015).
- Karimi, K. *et al.* Local and global patterns of admixture and population structure in Iranian native cattle. *BMC Genet* **17**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12863-016-0416-z> (2016).
- Hao, W., Song, M. & Storey, J. D. Probabilistic models of genetic variation in structured populations applied to global human studies. *Bioinformatics* **btv641**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv641> (2015).
- Neuditschko, M., Khatkar, M. S. & Raadsma, H. W. Net View: A high-definition network-visualization approach to detect fine-scale population structures from genome-wide patterns of variation. *PLoS One* **7**, e48375, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048375> (2012).
- Steinig, E. J., Neuditschko, M., Khatkar, M. S., Raadsma, H. W. & Zenger, K. R. Netview p: a network visualization tool to unravel complex population structure using genome-wide SNPs. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **16**, 216–227, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12442> (2015).
- Duforet-Frebourg, N., Luu, K., Laval, G., Bazin, E. & Blum, M. G. Detecting genomic signatures of natural selection with principal component analysis: Application to the 1000 genomes data. *Mol Biol Evol* **33**, 1082–1093, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv334> (2015).
- Luu, K., Bazin, E. & Blum, M. G. pcadapt: an R package to perform genome scans for selection based on principal component analysis. *bioRxiv*, <http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2016/07/25/056135> (2016).
- Gutierrez-Gil, B., Arranz, J. J. & Wiener, P. An interpretive review of selective sweep studies in bos taurus cattle populations: identification of unique and shared selection signals across breeds. *Front. Genet.* **6**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00167> (2015).
- Meredith, B. K. *et al.* Genome-wide associations for milk production and somatic cell score in holstein-friesian cattle in Ireland. *BMC Genet* **13**, 21, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-13-21> (2012).
- Balding, D. J. & Nichols, R. A. A method for quantifying differentiation between populations at multi-allelic loci and its implications for investigating identity and paternity. *Genetica* **96**, 3–12 (1995).
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959 (2000).
- Novembre, J. & Stephens, M. Interpreting principal component analyses of spatial population genetic variation. *Nat Genet* **40**, 646–649, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.139> (2008).
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* **14**, 2611–2620, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x> (2005).
- Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research* **19**, 1655–1664, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.094052.109> (2009).
- Bongiorni, S., Mancini, G., Chillemi, G., Pariset, L. & Valentini, A. Identification of a short region on chromosome 6 Affecting direct calving ease in piedmontese cattle breed. *PLoS One* **7**, e50137, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050137> (2012).
- Setoguchi, K. *et al.* Cross-breed comparisons identified a critical 591-kb region for bovine carcass weight QTL (CW-2) on chromosome 6 and the ile-442-met substitution in NCAPG as a positional candidate. *BMC Genet* **10**, 43, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-10-43> (2009).
- Purfield, D. C., Bradley, D. G., Evans, R. D., Kearney, F. J. & Berry, D. P. Genome-wide association study for calving performance using high-density genotypes in dairy and beef cattle. *Genetics Selection Evolution* **47**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12711-015-0126-4> (2015).
- Barreiro, L. B., Laval, G., Quach, H., Patin, E. & Quintana-Murci, L. Natural selection has driven population differentiation in modern humans. *Nature Genetics* **40**, 340–345, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.78> (2008).
- Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–2158, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330> (2011).
- Neph, S. *et al.* BEDOPS: high-performance genomic feature operations. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1919–1920, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts277> (2012).
- R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <https://www.R-project.org/> (2015).
- Sokal, R. & Michener, C. A statistical method for evaluating systematic relationships. *University of Kansas Science Bulletin* **38**, 1409–1438 (1958).
- Revelle, W. & Rocklin, T. Very simple structure: An alternative procedure for estimating the optimal number of interpretable factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* **14**, 403–414 (1979).
- Josse, J. & Husson, F. Selecting the number of components in principal component analysis using cross-validation approximations. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **56**, 1869–1879, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2011.11.012> (2012).
- McFadden, D. Conditional logit analysis of qualitative choice behavior. In Zarembka, P. (ed.) *Frontiers In Econometrics*, 105–142 (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- Chung, N. C. & Storey, J. D. Statistical significance of variables driving systematic variation in high-dimensional data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 545–554 (2015).
- Duforet-Frebourg, N., Bazin, E. & Blum, M. G. B. Genome scans for detecting footprints of local adaptation using a Bayesian factor model. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**, 2483–2495, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu182> (2014).

35. Mann, H. B. & Whitney, D. R. On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **18**, 50–60, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177730491> (1947).
36. Bonferroni, C. E. Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilità. *Pubblicazioni del R Istituto Superiore di Scienze Economiche e Commerciali di Firenze* **8**, 3–62 (1936).
37. Miller, J. & Rupert, G. *Simultaneous Statistical Inference*, 2 edn (Springer, 1981).
38. Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 44–57, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211> (2008).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grant Polish National Science Centre (NCN) grant 2014/13/B/NZ9/02016. Part of data storage and computation were carried out at the Poznan Supercomputing and Networking Centre. N.C.C. was supported by the Leading National Research Center Programme 04/KNOW2/2014.

Author Contributions

N.C.C. conceived the study, analyzed data, wrote the manuscript. M.F. contributed to editing the data. N.C.C. and J.S. revised the manuscript and contribute to the discussion. The 1000 Bull Genomes Project collected and provided the whole genome sequences.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Chung, N. C. *et al.* Population Structure Analysis of Bull Genomes of European and Western Ancestry. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40688; doi: 10.1038/srep40688 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017

The membership of the 1000 Bull Genomes Project are:

Hans Rudolf Fries², Mogens SandøLund³, Bernt Guldbrandtsen³, Didier Boichard⁴, Paul Stothard⁵, Roel Veerkamp⁶, Michael Goddard⁷, Curtis P. Van Tassell⁸ and Ben Hayes⁹

²Animal Breeding Department, Technical University Munich, Germany. ³Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, Denmark. ⁴French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA), France. ⁵Department of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, University of Alberta, Canada. ⁶Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Netherlands. ⁷Department of Animal Genetics, the University of Melbourne, Australia. ⁸United States Department of Agriculture, U.S. ⁹Centre for Animal Science, University of Queensland, Australia.