SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Transparent EuTiO₃ films: a possible two-dimensional magneto-optical device

Annette Bussmann-Holder¹, Krystian Roleder², Benjamin Stuhlhofer¹, Gennady Logvenov¹, Iwona Lazar², Andrzej Soszyński², Janusz Koperski², Arndt Simon¹ & Jürgen Köhler¹

Received: 20 July 2016

Accepted: 08 December 2016

Published: 13 January 2017

The magneto-optical activity of high quality transparent thin films of insulating EuTiO₃ (ETO) deposited on a thin SrTiO₃ (STO) substrate, both being *non-magnetic* materials, are demonstrated to be a versatile tool for light modulation. The operating temperature is close to room temperature and allows for multiple device engineering. By using small magnetic fields birefringence of the samples can be switched off and on. Similarly, rotation of the sample in the field can modify its birefringence Δn . In addition, Δn can be increased by a factor of 4 in very modest fields with simultaneously enhancing the operating temperature by almost 100 K.

ETO has the cubic perovskite structure at room temperature¹ and undergoes a structural phase transition to tetragonal at $T_s = 282$ K². Below $T_N = 5.7$ K the Eu 4f⁷ spins order G-type antiferromagnetic³, and large magneto-electric coupling takes place as evidenced by the magnetic field dependence of the dielectric constant⁴. Very unusual magnetic field dependent properties are observed in the paramagnetic phase at high temperature as demonstrated by the field dependence of T_s ⁵ and anomalies in the magnetic susceptibility at T_s ⁶. These results indicate some kind of *hidden magnetism* in the paramagnetic phase which is supported by muon spin rotation (μ SR) data where a strong field dependence of the μ SR relaxation rate is observed⁷. Similarly, resonant ultrasound spectroscopy (RUS) experiments⁸ reveal a pronounced influence of a magnetic field on the acoustic properties of ETO.

Results

Bulk samples of ETO are available only as tiny single crystal or in ceramic form and exhibit large leakage currents which make them unsuited for optical measurements. Thin films of ETO have been fabricated by various groups^{9–13}, however, all being unable to overcome the leakage problem. Here we report on results from films of ETO deposited on a thin STO substrate which are highly transparent, single crystalline, cubic at room temperature, and strain/stress free.

These films allowed to observe for the first time their birefringence properties in the tetragonal phase and enabled to detect a further structural phase transition at $T^* \approx 190$ K from tetragonal to monoclinic¹⁴. While T^* has already been identified by μ SR⁷ as a crossover temperature below which some kind of magnetic order appears, it is evident from the present data that a real phase transition takes place at T^* with novel unexpected properties.

Details of the sample preparation and their characterisation are given in the supplementary material section A. The samples have been confirmed to be antiferromagnetic below $T_N = 5.1$ K and to undergo the cubic – tetragonal transition at $T_s = 282$ K (Figure SM 2). The investigated films thus display all properties as ceramic bulk and single crystal samples, however, being superior to those due to the avoidance of the leakage problem.

As is obvious from Figure SM2a, b, besides of $T_s = 282$ K a second phase transition occurs around $T^* \approx 190$ K as indicated by a change of the slope of the temperature dependence of Δn . This is shown more clearly in the inset to Figure SM2 where the Landau type behavior (straight line below T_s of the main figure) has been subtracted from the data. In addition a further change in slope is evident around $T'' \approx 150$ K which we originally assigned to precursor effects stemming from the STO substrate. However, their effect (as can be seen from Figure SM2c) is more than one order of magnitude smaller than the birefringence of ETO and should thus be tiny and not visible as a slope change. As discussed below we attribute this slope change to different domain stackings along the c-direction. In order to explore the properties of ETO around and below T^* and derive the symmetry of the

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstr. 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany. ²Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, ul. Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.B.-H. (email: a.bussmann-holder@fkf.mpg.de)

Figure 1. (a) Birefringence of the ETO film as a function of temperature in a magnetic field of 0.02 T. The magnetic field orientation with respect to the crystallographic axis of the sample is shown in the inset to the figure with the colour code corresponding to the respective data. The vertical lines indicate T_S , T^* and the onset of precursors of STO (see supplementary material section B). (b) The same as in the left hand picture, however, with the data being normalized with respect to their maximum around 190 K for the blue and pink data and around 100 K for the orange and green data. The color code for the field directions is the same as the one in (a). The vertical lines mark T_S and T^* .

structure, the birefringence data were taken in a magnetic field with the field direction being rotated such that H was parallel to $[100]$, $[010]$, $[110]$, $[1-10]$, respectively. For this purpose the Metripol Birefringence Imaging System (Oxford Cryosystems)¹⁵ has been modified to admit the application of a magnetic field. Further details about the birefringence measurements and the Metripol system are given in the supplementary material SM B. The sample's orientation was carefully checked with the tetragonal c -axis being well oriented in $[001]$ direction of the STO substrate. Three magnetic field strengths have been used, namely $H = 0.02$ T, 0.063 T and 0.1 T. An overview over all orientations mentioned above in the smallest field of 0.02 T is given in Fig. 1a in the temperature range between 100 and 340 K. At a first glance the complete loss of birefringence with the field along the $[110]$ direction for $T < T_S$ and its abrupt onset at T^* is very striking. This is in stark contrast to the data taken in the opposite direction, namely along $[1-10]$, where Δn smoothly increases below ~ 240 K to steeply increase around 180 K. Along the $[100]$ and $[010]$ directions the behavior of Δn is very different since the birefringence becomes finite at T_S and increases linearly, as expected from Landau theory, and exhibits a maximum around T^* followed by an almost temperature independent regime for $T < T^*$. Below $T^* \approx 160$ K the two directions exhibit again differences since Δn along $[010]$ increases whereas along $[100]$ it is almost temperature independent for which we do not have an exact explanation yet. We suggest that this is caused by different domain structures that develop under the magnetic field action, i.e. even in the thin film of 1 micron we cannot exclude a stack of differently oriented domains perpendicular to the film. Such a stack of domains may produce an average value of the birefringence. Since tiny misalignments of the sample with respect to the external field cannot be completely ruled out, the data in Fig. 1a have been normalized to their maxima values in the displayed temperature region and are shown in Fig. 1b.

The distinct differences between all field directions are now less pronounced and especially the data taken along $[100]$ and $[010]$ are almost identical, at least in the range between T^* and T_S where the tetragonal symmetry is realized. However, $[110]$ and $[1-10]$ differ substantially from those and between each other already well above T^* . The zero birefringence in both directions below T_S is well understandable since in these directions the tetragonal domain structure renders them isotropic. The differences between both appearing below 250 K evidence, however, that the structure has changed with the most dramatic change setting in at T^* . A visualization of these alterations is best achieved by looking at the orientation images, i.e., the angle Φ changes $\Delta\Phi$ of the inclination of the optical indicatrix, as obtained for all directions and selected temperatures (Fig. 2).

In Fig. 2 the magnetic field is oriented along $[1-10]$, $[110]$, $[100]$ and $[010]$, from a) to d), respectively. Blatant differences are well apparent in the images with the most striking features appearing along the diagonal field orientation $[1-10]$. At $T = 280$ K the image corresponds to the tetragonal phase where $\Delta n = 0$, analogous to what is observed in the opposite direction. Below $T^* = 190$ K sharp domain structures have developed resembling a checkerboard pattern which increase in intensity upon lowering the temperature. This is in contrast to what is observed in the opposite direction where domains become visible in terms of stripes again gaining intensity with decreasing temperature. The directions along the main axes $[100]$ and $[010]$ are different since here a finite phase difference is obvious at $T = 240$ K as expected in the tetragonal phase. The complicated domain pattern as seen along $[1-10]$, is, however, absent and more stripe like features appear with decreasing temperature. The above discussed domain formation appears repeatedly under heating and cooling in a similar way.

Since the data presented above are visible only in an external magnetic field, we have increased the field strength from 0.02 T to 0.1 T, still being moderately small for possible device designs. The results are shown in Fig. 3.

Before discussing the data it is important to note that the scale of the birefringence between Fig. 3a and the remaining figures has been changed by a factor of ~ 3 . Now very pronounced differences appear along all

Figure 2. (a) to (d) Temperature dependence of the birefringence (upper parts to the figures) in a magnetic field of $H = 0.02$ T oriented along (a) $[1\bar{1}0]$, (b) $[110]$, (c) $[010]$, and (d) $[100]$. The vertical lines indicate the temperatures at which the orientation images of the birefringence (lower parts to the figures) have been taken. It is important to note that birefringence reveals also quite regular but different stresses at each edge of the sample.

directions, where only Fig. 3a and d reveal distinct signatures of the phase transition from cubic to tetragonal at $T_s = 282$ K, quite opposite to the low field data. The transition at T^* is clearly visible in Fig. 3b,c signalled by a marked sudden onset of Δn . However, also along $[010]$ this transition appears in the form of a maximum followed by a further increase in Δn below 160 K while only a small anomaly distinguishes this transition along $[1\bar{1}0]$. From these results it must be concluded that a magnetic field of only 0.1 T dramatically influences Δn and the transition at T^* and induces another phase transition at $T_s = 282$ K with the symmetry being different from tetragonal since Δn differs substantially along the directions $[100]$ and $[010]$ incompatible with tetragonal symmetry. On the other hand $[010]$ and $[110]$ are rather similar supporting this conclusion. The most striking feature in Fig. 3 is, however, the huge increase in Δn along $[1\bar{1}0]$, where it is more than three times larger than without field or with the field being $H = 0.02$ T. This opens avenues for device designs by tuning the transparency of the films by a magnetic field. Since the data for H along $[1\bar{1}0]$ are the most striking ones and exhibit the most dramatic effects, their $\Delta\Phi$ images are shown in Fig. 4a–c where also the transition at $T_s = 282$ K is distinctly recognizable since at $T = 240$ K $< T_s$ clear signs of a finite Δn are obvious.

While for $T_s > T > T^*$ stripe like domains appear, these change to a checkerboard pattern below T^* which stays down to temperatures as low as 85 K with its brightness increasing. The change from stripes to checkerboards goes hand in hand with the transition at T^* . Since the two orthogonal directions $[110]$ and $[1\bar{1}0]$, show the most

Figure 3. Birefringence of the ETO film in a magnetic field of $H = 0.1$ T with the field being directed along (a) $[1-10]$, (b) $[110]$, (c) $[100]$, and (d) $[010]$.

amazing behavior in the magnetic field, the data for both are compared to each other in Fig. 4(top) and 4(middle) for the two field strengths of $H = 0.02$ T and 0.1 T. Note again that the scale of the y-axis has been changed between both figures, with the one in 4(bottom) being more than three times larger than in 4(top). In both cases it is, however, seen that the magnetic field shifts the onset of Δn to higher temperatures and simultaneously increases Δn . This effect can be quantified for the $[1-10]$ direction where more complete data on the magnetic field effects are available. In the insets to Fig. 4(middle) the data for $H = 0, 0.02, 0.063$ and 0.1 T are summarized. Both, the transition to the non-birefringent state as well as Δn increase nonlinearly with the field strength which demonstrates the enormous sensitivity of the Δn with respect to an external magnetic field. The very pronounced differences in the data along the nominally orthogonal directions $[1-10]$ and $[110]$ highlight the inequivalence between them which – as long as the system is in the tetragonal phase – should not be the case. In order to observe such a discrepancy in these two directions, the angles between $[100]/[110]$ and $[100]/[1-10]$, must be unequal as is realized in the monoclinic structure, not in the orthorhombic symmetry which would be the typical symmetry lowering sequence in perovskites. Note, that the transition to the monoclinic phase takes place also in the absence of a magnetic field (see Figure SM2a). Assuming that this assignment is correct it renders the Eu ions within the $[100]$ basal plane inequivalent being incompatible with the cubic and the tetragonal phase whereas possible in the orthorhombic symmetry. The latter, however, does not permit the diagonals to be inequivalent. In the monoclinic structure the additional deviation of the planar angles from 90° has the effect that two Eu ions approach each other along the plane diagonal whereas the other two move away from each other (For details see SMC). Since we have recently shown that the transition to antiferromagnetic order at low temperature is accompanied by tiny but significant contractions of the lattice¹⁵, demonstrating that the Eu-Eu distance is decisive for the spin alignment, similar effects must be the origin of the enormous sensitivity of ETO to small magnetic fields. Increasing the field introduces an additional symmetry lowering already in the tetragonal phase since $[100]$ and $[010]$ differ considerably from each other. The additional field effect on the onset temperatures for the birefringence suggests that the Eu spins assume a certain magnetic order which triggers the symmetry lowering. The complex interplay between spin dynamics, structural phase transitions and magnetic field thus offers a great potential for novel applications.

Figure 4. (top) Birefringence of the ETO film in a field of $H = 0.02$ T and $H = 0.1$ T with the field direction along $[110]$. (middle) Birefringence measured with the field along $[1-10]$, and field strengths $H = 0.02$ T (the lowest curve), 0.063 T (middle curve) and $H = 0.1$ T (the highest curve). The straight lines refer to the extrapolated onset temperatures of Δn as shown in the inset (right) to this figure. The insets show the onset temperature of Δn versus magnetic field (right) and $\Delta n(T = 100$ K) versus H (left). (bottom) $\Delta\Phi$ images in a magnetic field of $H = 0.1$ T taken along $[1-10]$ for temperatures $T = 85, 170, 240$ K (from left to right).

Discussion

With respect to possible device designs of ETO, we suggest to implement it in a two-dimensional magneto-optical light modulator for signal processing. For this purpose the ETO film should be structured into isolated mesas, placed in a magnetic field and cooled to temperatures below T_S , i.e., close to room temperature. Depending on the orientation direction of the mesas, bright or dark spots appear which – in turn – can be reoriented to work purpose adapted. The operating temperatures are well accessible and the switching speed is fast with high stability. Another option for light modulation functions is the use of a rotating magnetic field or conversely to place the film on a rotating disk. With varying rotation angle, light is either transmitted or not. Another more sophisticated application is the detection of a magnetic field by the change of the birefringence, especially if the magnetic field is directed along the $[1-10]$ direction. In this case moderately small magnetic fields transform the ETO films into highly anisotropic optical materials. Many more applications of these films can be thought of and further work is in progress.

Conclusions

Thin films of ETO deposited on an STO substrate have been fabricated and investigated by birefringence which was only possible due to the superior quality of the films evident from their high transparency. Besides of the well known structural phase transition at $T_S = 282$ K and the low temperature transition to antiferromagnetic order at $T_N = 5.1$ K a novel phase transition has been discovered at $T^* = 190$ K. This transition to monoclinic symmetry can be influenced by small magnetic fields directed along the main symmetry directions of the sample, namely $[100]$, $[010]$, $[110]$, and $[1-10]$, respectively. The birefringence along these directions changes dramatically with the field and can be tuned over 100 K. A pronounced domain pattern formation is accompanied with it which can be checker board or stripe like. The possibility to tune the birefringence offers new device applications in terms of two-dimensional magneto-optical light or more complex spatial light modulators. The important issue is, however, that the observed effects take place in a compound which is nominally not magnetic including the substrate and sufficiently thick to exclude interfacial phenomena to be responsible for them.

References

1. Brous, J., Fankuchen, I. & Banks, E. Rare Earth Titanates with a Perovskite Structure. *Acta Crystallogr.* **6**, 67–69 (1953).
2. Bussmann-Holder, A., Köhler, J., Kremer, R. K. & Law, J. M. Lattice dynamical analogies and differences between SrTiO₃ and EuTiO₃ revealed by phonon-dispersion relations and double-well potentials. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 212102, 1–4 (2011).
3. McGuire, M. T. R., Shafer, M. W., Joenk, R. J., Halperin, H. A. & Pickart, S. J. Magnetic Structure of EuTiO₃. *J. Appl. Phys.* **37**, 981–985 (1966).
4. Katsufuji, T. & Takagi, H. Coupling between magnetism and dielectric properties in quantum paraelectric EuTiO₃. *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 054415 (2001).
5. Guguchia, Z., Keller, H., Köhler, J. & Bussmann-Holder, A. Magnetic field enhanced structural instability in EuTiO₃. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **24**, 492201, 1–4, doi: 10.1088/0953-8984/24/49/492201 (2012).
6. Caslin, K., Kremer, R. K., Guguchia, Z., Keller, H., Köhler, J. & Bussmann-Holder, A. Lattice and polarizability mediated spin activity in EuTiO₃. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **26**, 022202 (2014).
7. Guguchia, Z. *et al.* A. Spin-lattice coupling induced weak dynamical magnetism in EuTiO₃ at high temperatures. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 064413, 1–5 (2014).
8. Schiemer, Jason *et al.* Magnetic field and *in situ* stress dependence of elastic behavior in EuTiO₃ from resonant ultrasound spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 054108, 1–18 (2016).
9. Lee, J. H. *et al.* A strong ferroelectric ferromagnet created by means of spin-lattice coupling. *Nature* **466**, 954–958 (2010).
10. Zong, Y. *et al.* Preparation and magnetic properties of amorphous EuTiO₃ thin films. *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **356**, 2389–2392 (2010).
11. Lv, F. *et al.* Hydrothermal epitaxy and resultant properties of EuTiO₃ films on SrTiO₃(001) substrate. *Nanoscale Research Lett.* **9**, 266–271 (2014).
12. Shimamoto, K. *et al.* Full compensation of oxygen vacancies in EuTiO₃ (001) epitaxial thin film stabilized by a SrTiO₃ surface protection layer. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 042902 (2013).
13. Fujita, K., Wakasugi, N., Murai, S., Zong, Y. & Tanaka, K. High-quality antiferromagnetic EuTiO₃ epitaxial thin films on SrTiO₃ prepared by pulsed laser deposition and postannealing. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 062512 (2009).
14. Stuhlhofer, B. *et al.* A. New features from transparent thin films of EuTiO₃. *Phase Transitions*, doi: 10.1080/01411594.2016.1199805, published online 05.07.2016.
15. Reuvekamp, P., Kremer, R., Köhler, J. & Bussmann-Holder, A. Spin-lattice coupling induced crossover from negative to positive magnetostriction in EuTiO₃. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 104105 (2014).

Author Contributions

A.B.-H. has suggested the experiment and elaborated the theory, K.R., I.L., A.S., and J.K. have conducted the birefringence measurements and modified the equipment for the magnetic field measurements, B.S., G.L. have fabricated the thin films, A.S., J.K. have prepared the target material.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Bussmann-Holder, A. *et al.* Transparent EuTiO₃ films: a possible two-dimensional magneto-optical device. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40621; doi: 10.1038/srep40621 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2017