SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 13 April 2016 Accepted: 08 July 2016 Published: 13 January 2017

OPEN A Nitric Oxide-Donating Statin **Decreases Portal Pressure with** a Better Toxicity Profile than **Conventional Statins in Cirrhotic** Rats

Sarai Rodríguez¹, Imma Raurell^{1,2}, Manuel Torres-Arauz¹, Teresa García-Lezana^{1,2}, Joan Genescà^{1,2} & María Martell^{1,2}

Statins present many beneficial effects in chronic liver disease, but concerns about safety exist. We evaluated the hepatic effects of a nitric oxide-releasing atorvastatin (NCX 6560) compared to conventional statins. Simvastatin, atorvastatin and NCX 6560 were evaluated in four-week bile ductligated rats (BDL) simulating decompensated cirrhosis and in thirteen-week carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) intoxicated rats, a model of early cirrhosis. In the BDL model, simvastatin treated rats showed high mortality and the remaining animals presented muscular and hepatic toxicity. At equivalent doses, NCX 6560 eliminated hepatic toxicity and reduced muscular toxicity (60–74%) caused by atorvastatin in the more advanced BDL model; toxicity was minimal in the CCI₄ model. Atorvastatin and NCX 6560 similarly reduced portal pressure without changing systemic hemodynamics in both models. Atorvastatin and NCX 6560 caused a mild decrease in liver fibrosis and inflammation and a significant increase in intrahepatic cyclic quanosine monophosphate. NCX 6560 induced a higher intrahepatic vasoprotective profile (activated endothelial nitric oxide synthase and decreased platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1), especially in the CCl₄ model, suggesting a higher benefit in early cirrhosis. In conclusion, NCX 6560 improves the liver profile and portal hypertension of cirrhotic rats similarly to conventional statins, but with a much better safety profile.

Portal hypertension of cirrhosis is initiated by an increased hepatic resistance to portal blood flow and maintained and aggravated by an augmented portal inflow due to splanchnic arterial vasodilation and hyperdynamic syndrome. The increase in intrahepatic vascular resistance (IHVR) is mainly due to architectural distortion (fibrosis, nodules) and imbalance between vasoconstrictors and vasodilators leading to endothelial dysfunction and an increment in intrahepatic vascular tone¹⁻³.

Many therapeutic strategies aimed at decreasing IHVR (e.g.: antioxidants, nitric oxide (NO) donors, statins) have been developed³⁻⁵. NO donors such as isosorbide-5-mononitrate have been shown to decrease portal pressure (PP)⁶, but their use has been limited due to systemic vasodilatory effects^{7,8}. Statins have also been shown to ameliorate portal hypertension and liver function⁹⁻¹². Although statin therapy is commonly regarded as well tolerated in patients with mild liver disease¹³⁻¹⁵, serious adverse effects have also been reported¹⁶⁻¹⁸

Statins exert beneficial lipid-independent pleiotropic effects¹⁹ through 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibition, reducing mevalonate production and therefore, the synthesis of isoprenoids, farnesyl pyrophosphate and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate. These compounds, in turn, serve as lipophilic attachments for various signaling proteins, such as the small Ras, Rac, and Rho GTPases, which are involved in cell proliferation, cytoskeletal organization, and activation of transcription factors¹⁹⁻²¹.

¹Liver Diseases Laboratory, Liver Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Institut de Recerca (VHIR), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, 08035, Spain.²Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, 28029, Spain. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.G. (email: jgenesca@vhebron.net)

	Dose (mg/kg/day)	n	Mortality rate (%)	Hepatic toxicity rate (%)	Muscular toxicity rate (%)
Vehicle		12	16.7	0	0
Simvastatin	25	10	80	100	100
	10	11	18.2	66.7	77.8
Atorvastatin	15	14	0	14.3	35.7
	10	15	6.7	6.7	33.3
NCX 6560	35.1	15	6.7	20	33.3
	17.5	11	0	0	9.1
	11.7	15	0	0	13.3

Table 1. Adverse events after one week treatment in 4-week bile duct-ligated rats. n, number of rats that initiated the treatment protocol; Mortality rate, % of animals that died during the experimental protocol (through treatment or anesthesia); Hepatic toxicity rate, % of animals with serum ALT levels >200 IU/L; Muscular toxicity rate, % of animals with serum CK levels >1000 IU/L. Equivalent doses: NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day equals to atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day and NCX 6560 11.7 mg/kg/day equals to atorvastatin 10 mg/kg/day.

Mechanisms involved in the beneficial intrahepatic effects of statins include improvement of endothelial dysfunction through increasing endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) activity (by an increment in eNOS phosphorylation at serine 1176 [1177 in the human sequence])¹⁰ and inhibition of RhoA/Rho-kinase¹¹. Statins also cause attenuation of both liver angiotensin II-induced inflammatory actions²² and hepatic fibrosis via decreased turnover of hepatic stellate cells and downregulation of profibrotic cytokine expression^{23,24}. Recently, an overexpression of the transcription factor Krüppel-like factor 2 (KLF2) that orchestrates a variety of vasoprotective pathways induced by statins has also shown to confer hepatic endothelial vasoprotection and stellate cells deactivation^{25,26}.

Among statins, simvastatin has been tested in clinical trials and has shown to lower portal pressure and hepatic resistance^{9,12} and even improved survival after variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis²⁷. In cirrhotic rats though, atorvastatin showed a greater PP lowering effect together with an attenuation of fibrosis and hepatic stellate cell activation^{11,23} and a reduction in neo-angiogenesis in the splanchnic circulation²⁸. NCX 6560, a NO-releasing derivative of atorvastatin, exerts greater lipid-lowering, antithrombotic and anti-inflammatory effects than atorvastatin and prevents statin-induced myopathy²⁹⁻³².

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate whether NCX 6560 is superior to conventional statins (simvastatin, atorvastatin) in reducing portal hypertension and intrahepatic vascular resistance, while decreasing the potential side effects of statins.

Results

In the bile duct-ligation (BDL) model, cirrhosis, defined by macroscopic observations and histological findings, was present in all rats, 30% of the animals presented ascites and some animals died before completing statin treatment (see mortality rates in Table 1), whereas in the carbon tetrachloride (CCl_4) cirrhotic model, all rats that followed the 13-week CCl_4 inhalation protocol exhibited extensive fibrosis, but none presented ascites.

Adverse events associated with statin treatment in cirrhotic rats. As seen in Table 1, statin treatment in BDL-cirrhotic rats was associated with hepatic and muscular toxicity. Furthermore, statin treated BDL rats experienced a significant weight loss (from 25-42 g weight loss in treated rats vs 2 g in vehicle) compared with vehicles during treatment (Suppl. Table 1). Simvastatin treated BDL rats showed a higher mortality rate (80% at simvastatin 25 mg/kg/day, SIM-25) compared with the other statin treatment groups (from 0 to 6.7%) and the remaining animals presented both muscular and hepatic toxicity (creatine kinase (CK) >1000 IU/L and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) >200 IU/L, respectively). Reduction in simvastatin dose to 10 mg/kg/day decreased mortality rate (18.2%), but high hepatic (66.7%) and muscular (77.8%) toxicity rates were maintained. Although atorvastatin and NCX 6560 treatment did not produce an increment in mortality rates, BDL rats treated with atorvastatin (atorvastatin 10 mg/kg/day, ATO-10; atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, ATO-15) and with the highest dose of NCX 6560 (NCX 6560 35.1 mg/kg/day, NCX-35.1) showed some hepatic (14.3%, 6.7% and 20%, respectively) and muscular toxicity (35.7%, 33.3% and 33.3% respectively). However, at equivalent doses of NCX 6560 (NCX 6560 11.7 mg/kg/day, NCX-11.7; NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, NCX-17.5), hepatic toxicity was eliminated and muscular toxicity reduced. Considering the two equivalent doses (ATO-15, NCX-17.5 and ATO-10, NCX-11.7) the accumulated toxicity (hepatic and/or muscular toxicity) was significantly lower with NCX 6560 treatment, compared with atorvastatin treatment (ATO 37.9% vs NCX 11.5%; p = 0.02) (Table 1).

Regarding the CCl₄ model that mimics a compensated cirrhosis, no mortality events were reported with the different treatments and only animals treated with atorvastatin showed a higher weight loss (10 g vs 3 g in vehicle) and a 7.69% hepatic or muscular toxicity (ALT > 500 IU/L and CK > 6000 IU/L, respectively) (Table 2, Suppl. Table 2). The higher cut-offs for hepatic and muscular toxicity in CCl₄ rats were due to higher levels of ALT and CK in vehicle treated rats, caused by CCl₄ and phenobarbital toxicity^{33,34}.

Hemodynamic and biochemical changes due to statin treatment in cirrhotic rats. Due to the high mortality and hepatic toxicity rates related to simvastatin treatment in the BDL model, the remaining simvastatin treated rats were not considered in the study and the analysis of their samples and data was not performed.

	Dose (mg/kg/day)	n	Mortality rate (%)	Hepatic toxicity rate (%)	Muscular toxicity rate (%)
CCl ₄ -vehicle		8	0	0	0
$\mathrm{CCl}_4 ext{-}atorvastatin$	15	13	0	7.69	7.69
CCl ₄ -NCX 6560	17.5	13	0	0	0
Control		8	0	0	0

Table 2. Adverse events in control rats and in 13-week CCl₄-induced cirrhotic rats after a 10-day treatment. CCl_4 , cirrhotic rats induced by carbon tetrachloride; n, number of rats that initiated the treatment protocol; Mortality rate, % of animals that died during the experimental protocol (through treatment or anesthesia); Hepatic toxicity rate, % of animals with serum ALT levels >500 IU/L; Muscular toxicity rate, % of animals with serum CK levels >6000 IU/L. Equivalent doses: NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day equals to atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day.

	Dose (mg/kg/day)	n	MAP (mmHg)	PP (mmHg)	SMABF (mL/[min·100g])	SMAR (mmHg/mL·min·100g)	Heart rate (bpm)
Vehicle		8	96.39 ± 6.84	18.53 ± 0.56	4.42 ± 0.31	17.99 ± 1.73	318.10 ± 12.88
Atorvastatin	15	9	82.46 ± 4.44	$16.27 \pm 0.67^{*}$	4.98 ± 0.41	13.95 ± 1.34	314.82 ± 9.96
	10	11	84.11±4.22	$15.77 \pm 0.59^{**}$	3.63 ± 0.26	19.60 ± 1.59	314.65 ± 12.75
NCX 6560	35.1	9	105.83 ± 5.11	17.75 ± 0.64	4.30 ± 0.41	22.10 ± 2.43	327.77 ± 15.92
	17.5	9	85.35 ± 5.95	$16.25 \pm 0.86^{*}$	3.88 ± 0.37	19.24 ± 2.22	310.84 ± 13.40
	11.7	0	95.67 ± 6.42	$16.43 \pm 0.63^{*}$	3.91 ± 0.41	22.17 ± 3.18	330.51 ± 9.05

Table 3. Hemodynamic measurements in 4-week bile duct-ligated rats after one-week treatment (values taken 2 h 30 min after the last dose of treatment). Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM. n, number of rats; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PP, portal pressure; SMABF, superior mesenteric artery blood flow; SMAR, superior mesenteric artery resistance. Equivalent doses: NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day equals to atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day. *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01 compared with vehicle.

In this animal model, atorvastatin and NCX 6560 treatment at equivalent doses significantly reduced PP levels (12.2% reduction, p = 0.02, and 12.3% reduction, p = 0.005, for BDL-ATO-15 and BDL-ATO-10, respectively; 14.7% reduction, p = 0.049, and 11.3% reduction, p = 0.026, for BDL-NCX-17.5 and BDL-NCX-11.7, respectively) without changing systemic hemodynamics, compared with BDL vehicles; no significant differences in the PP lowering effect among the different groups were seen. Doubling NCX 6560 dose up to 35.1 mg/kg/day caused an increase in toxicity, without achieving a significant reduction in PP (Table 3).

A similar scenario was observed in CCl_4 -early cirrhotic rats treated with equivalent doses of atorvastatin and NCX-6560 (a non-significant 6.6% reduction in PP for CCl_4 -ATO-15 and a 9.6% reduction for CCl_4 -NCX-17.5) (Table 4).

Suppl. Tables 1 and 2 show the biochemical parameters of blood samples from each group in the two models. Regardless of the treatment group, statin treated cirrhotic rats showed no changes in cholesterol levels. In the BDL model, alkaline phosphatase levels were lower in the treated groups than in BDL vehicle (especially in BDL-ATO-10 and BDL-NCX-35.1), ATO-15 caused a significant increment in total bilirubin levels and treatment with both doses of atorvastatin produced a significant increase in serum creatinine levels with a decrease in urinary volume that was prevented with NCX 6560 treatment. Concerning the CCl₄ model, rats treated with NCX-17.5 showed significantly increased albumin levels compared to CCl₄-ATO-15.

Changes in vasoactive mediators in liver samples from statin treated cirrhotic rats. ATO-15 and the equivalent dose of NCX 6560 caused no changes in Rho-associated protein kinase 2 (Rock-2) protein expression in BDL cirrhotic rats compared with BDL vehicle, but Rho-kinase activity, assessed as the phosphorylation of the endogenous Rho-kinase substrate, moesin, at Thr-558, was slightly lower, although not significantly. In CCl_4 -cirrhotic rats both the expression of Rock-2 and its activity decreased in CCl_4 -ATO-15 and CCl_4 -NCX-17.5 treatment groups (Fig. 1). Both treatments with atorvastatin and NCX 6560 in the BDL model not only caused a significant increment in p-eNOS, but also in total eNOS. This increase in eNOS protein levels was much higher in the BDL-NCX 6560 group, being significantly different from BDL-atorvastatin total eNOS levels. By contrast, in the CCl_4 model an increase in the p-eNOS/eNOS ratio was observed with both treatments, being much higher in the CCl_4 -NCX 6560 group (Fig. 2). A mild non-significant decrease in the expression of the platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 (CD31/PECAM-1) with NCX-17.5 treatment was also observed in the BDL model, while in the CCl_4 model this reduction was significant. *De novo* expression of CD31 has been used to reflect endothelial dysfunction^{35,36} and the decrease observed here suggests an improvement in the endothelial phenotype. Regarding the expression of KLF2, we also observed a significant increment in its expression in BDL rats treated with NCX-17.5 compared with BDL-VEH and BDL-ATO-15 treated groups (Fig. 3a).

Liver fibrosis, inflammation and liver cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) levels in statin treated cirrhotic rats. A reduction in alpha-smooth muscle actin (α -SMA) protein expression with both

	CCl ₄ -vehicle	CCl ₄ -atorvastatin (15 mg/kg/day)	CCl ₄ -NCX 6560(17.5 mg/kg/day)	Control
n	8	11	13	7
MAP (mmHg)	81,56±6,62	85,52±2,69	81,73 ± 3,65	86,46±7,74
PP (mmHg)	9,39±0,62	$8,77\pm0,42$	8,49±0,31	7,59±0,44*
SMABF (mL/[min·100g])	2,85±0,56	$2,\!23\pm\!0,\!34$	2,32±0,31	1,63±0,23
SMAR (mmHg/mL·min·100g)	28,51±4,42	39,86±4,26	36,28±3,89	51,90±6,61*
Heart rate (bpm)	318,28±18,21	360,31±17,86	307,27±12,50	318,16±17,31
PBF (mL/[min·100g])	1,95±0,26	$2,08\pm0,35$	2,10±0,21	$1,66 \pm 0,27$
IHVR (mmHg/mL·min·100g)	5,61±0,96	5,02±0,66	4,32±0,42	5,02±0,74

Table 4. Hemodynamic measurements in control rats and in 13-week CCl₄-induced cirrhotic rats after a 10-day treatment. Values are expressed as mean \pm SEM. CCl₄, cirrhotic rats induced by carbon tetrachloride; n, number of rats; MAP, mean arterial pressure; PP, portal pressure; SMABF, superior mesenteric artery blood flow; SMAR, superior mesenteric artery resistance; PBF, portal blood flow; IHVR, intrahepatic vascular resistance. *p \leq 0.05 compared with CCl₄-vehicle.

....

atorvastatin and NCX 6560 treatments was observed in both models, showing a more prominent and significant decrease in the CCl₄ model (Fig. 1). Sirius Red stained liver sections from 4-week BDL rats and 13-week CCl₄ and control rats treated with VEH, ATO-15 and NCX-17.5 are shown in Fig. 4a. Although not significant, both atorvastatin and NCX 6560 treatments caused a reduction in the red-stained area per total area, suggesting a trend towards a decrease in fibrillar collagen content in both models (Fig. 4b). In addition, both atorvastatin and NCX 6560 treatments also increased liver tissue cGMP content, a marker of NO bioavailability in the BDL cirrhotic liver. Hepatic cGMP levels in BDL animals treated with ATO-15 ($1.91 \pm 0.33 \text{ pmol}/(\text{mL.100 mg})$) and with NCX-17.5 ($1.42 \pm 0.25 \text{ pmol}/(\text{mL.100 mg})$) were significantly higher than in BDL-VEH ($0.74 \pm 0.05 \text{ pmol}/(\text{mL.100 mg})$; p = 0.002 and p = 0.016, respectively) and no significant differences between BDL-ATO-15 and BDL-NCX-17.5 were seen (p = 0.259). Moreover, there was a non-significant decrease in the number of leukosialin (CD43) immunostained cells in liver sections from animals treated with ATO-15 and NCX-17.5 in both models, suggesting a lower hepatic inflammatory state (Fig. 4c).

Discussion

Statins are progressively becoming a focus of attention as a potential new therapy for chronic liver disease³⁷. Indirect evidences from epidemiological studies indicate that statin use is associated with a decreased risk of fibrosis progression, cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation, hepatocellular carcinoma and death in patients with chronic liver disease, especially with hepatitis C virus infection³⁸⁻⁴². More direct evidences from clinical trials point to a decreased mortality in decompensated cirrhotic patients receiving statins²⁷. However, the mechanisms involved in these effects are not well known, differences among statins and doses have not been assessed and more importantly, concerns about the safety of statins in decompensated cirrhotic patients exist.

Our results in cirrhotic rats confirm previous findings: a trend (although not significant) towards a reduction in PP after statin treatment in CCl₄-intoxicated rats^{10,28} and a significant decrease in PP in BDL rats^{11,43}, without changing systemic hemodynamics. Unfortunately, we were not able to evaluate systemic and portal hemodynamics with simvastatin treatment, not even after reducing the statin dose to 10 mg/kg/day, due to its high mortality and hepatic toxicity in the BDL model. Almost two decades ago, the first experiments with simvastatin were performed by Oberti and colleagues⁴⁴. BDL rats treated with simvastatin 2.5 mg/kg/day over a 4-week period from the beginning showed neither hepatic toxicity nor therapeutic benefit on hemodynamics and liver fibrosis. Subsequently, two more groups described effects in PP with 3-day simvastatin 25 mg/kg/day treatment in CCl₄-cirrhotic rats and a decrease in portal-systemic collateral vascular resistance and PP in partially portal vein-ligated rats receiving simvastatin 20 mg/kg/day for 9 days, but no data on liver function tests were given^{10,45}. Similar doses (25 mg/kg/day) have been also administered in non cirrhotic rats showing data of a hepatoprotective activity of simvastatin in endotoxemia⁴⁶. Differences among the results in these studies might be attributed to different treatments and animal models. However, it is clear that at high doses (10–25 mg/kg/day) and for 7 or more days, the rat BDL model is not suitable to study simvastatin effects on liver cirrhosis, probably due to the accumulation of active metabolites in the liver unable to be cleared through biliary excretion⁴⁷.

Although not in the same magnitude, atorvastatin treatment is also associated with some muscular and hepatic toxicity in the BDL model and with lower toxicity rates in the CCl_4 model. Specifically in our study, we ascribe the differences in toxicity to the contrast between the two models: while the effect of statins in an early cirrhotic state is tested with the CCl_4 model, the BDL model causes a more aggressive, cholestatic injury that together with the fact that the model itself impairs drug clearance mimics a severely deteriorated liver function. Thus, the higher toxicity rates observed with statin treatment in the BDL model reinforce the idea that despite their beneficial effects in liver cirrhosis, caution when prescribing statins is required, in patients with deteriorated liver function.

Both simvastatin and atorvastatin induce a similar adaptive response in cells, their actions are qualitatively and mechanistically identical and the main difference between them is their pharmacokinetics⁴⁸. Considering that the equipotent dose of simvastatin and atorvastatin in humans is about 2:1, since plasma is cleared of atorvastatin more slowly than it is of simvastatin^{49,50}, and that both drugs are mainly eliminated through biliary excretion⁴⁷, apparently there is no clear explanation for the high differences in toxicity that we observed in BDL



Figure 1. Western blot analysis of intrahepatic markers involved in vasoconstriction and hepatic stellate cell activation. Bar diagrams showing protein quantification of Rho-associated protein kinase 2 (Rock-2), p-moesin and α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA). Protein levels are expressed as mean \pm SEM and normalized to vehicles. Representative Western blots are shown below. GAPDH was used as loading control. (a) 4-week bile duct-ligated (BDL) rats after one-week treatment. VEH:vehicle, n = 8; ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, n = 9; NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, n = 9. (b) Control and 13-week carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) rats after a 10-day treatment. CTL:control, n = 7; VEH:vehicle, n = 7; ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, n = 7; NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, n = 7. *p \leq 0.01,***p \leq 0.001 compared with vehicle.



Figure 2. Western blot analysis of intrahepatic eNOS expression. Bar diagrams showing protein quantification of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and p-eNOS. Protein levels are expressed as mean \pm SEM and normalized to vehicles. Representative Western blots are shown below. GAPDH was used as loading control. (a) 4-week bile duct-ligated (BDL) rats after one-week treatment. VEH:vehicle, n = 8; ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, n = 9; NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, n = 9. (b) Control and 13-week carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) rats after a 10-day treatment. CTL:control, n = 7; VEH:vehicle, n = 7; ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, n = 7; NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, n = 7. *p \leq 0.05, ***p \leq 0.001 compared with vehicle. *p \leq 0.05, ***p \leq 0.001 compared with the equivalent dose of atorvastatin.

cirrhotic rats. However, a study of the mechanisms involved in statins cytotoxicity, mainly through oxidative stress, in freshly isolated rat hepatocytes showed that simvastatin was the most cytotoxic statin⁵¹. Besides, statin drug-induced liver injury in humans is rare, but can be associated with severe outcomes^{16–18}, and safety data from statin clinical trials should be interpreted with caution given that they normally exclude patients with advanced liver failure, although current evidences indicate that tolerability is good even in patients with liver cirrhosis²⁷.

For all these reasons, NCX 6560, a NO-donating atorvastatin, could be a safer alternative to treating cirrhotic patients with portal hypertension. Our results in BDL and CCl₄ rats prove that this drug achieves an equivalent atorvastatin PP lowering effect without affecting systemic hemodynamics. NCX 6560 not only improves statin-induced myopathy³¹, but also prevents hepatic toxicity caused by equivalent doses of atorvastatin, probably due to its greater anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties^{29,32}. However, higher doses of NCX 6560 (35.1 mg/kg/day) were not associated with any significant additional PP lowering effect, while toxicity increased moderately. Similarly, in atorvastatin treated animals there was no direct correlation between higher doses and more hemodynamic effects. Indeed, dose-dependency of the pleiotropic effects of atorvastatin treatment remains unclear and differs among individual biological effects⁵². In addition, we speculate that the cytotoxicity toward hepatocytes caused by statins⁵¹, such as cell death, reactive oxygen species formation or lipid peroxidation, among others, could mask, in a dose dependent manner, its beneficial effects on IHVR and ultimately on portal pressure.



Figure 3. Western blot analysis of intrahepatic markers involved in endothelial dysfunction. Bar diagrams showing protein quantification of CD31 and Krüppel-like factor 2 (KLF2). Protein levels are expressed as mean \pm SEM and normalized to vehicles. Representative Western blots are shown below. GAPDH was used as loading control. (a) 4-week bile duct-ligated (BDL) rats after one-week treatment. VEH:vehicle, n = 8; ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, n = 9; NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, n = 9. (b) Control and 13-week carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) rats after a 10-day treatment. CTL:control, n = 7; VEH:vehicle, n = 7; ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day, n = 7; NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day, n = 7. *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01 compared with vehicle. *p \leq 0.05, compared with the equivalent dose of atorvastatin.

By measuring SMABF, a surrogate of portal blood inflow, we show that NCX 6560 does not enhance splanchnic vasodilation of cirrhosis, which together with the lack of changes in mean arterial pressure (MAP), suggests that NCX 6560 effects are liver-selective. Moreover, impairment of systemic hemodynamics and the risk of renal failure, the main drawback of NO donors⁷, seems to be prevented, since diuresis from NCX 6560 treated cirrhotic rats was not decreased and serum creatinine levels were maintained compared with vehicles, whereas atorvastatin treatment reduced urinary volume in both models and even significantly increased serum creatinine levels in the BDL model, compared with vehicles. Given that serum creatinine levels in atorvastatin treated animals with muscular toxicity were significantly higher than in animals without it (data not shown), the higher incidence of muscular toxicity due to atorvastatin treatment could be related to kidney failure. In addition, NCX 6560 also improved albumin levels in the CCl₄ model, compared with the atorvastatin group.

No changes in serum cholesterol levels were seen among the different groups in the two models. Therefore, the advantages of atorvastatin and NCX 6560 in liver hemodynamics must be caused by the so-called pleiotropic effects of statins^{19–21}. According to hemodynamic results, both atorvastatin and NCX 6560 seemed to have similar beneficial intrahepatic effects in the two models: they slightly reduced the liver fibrotic area, lowered the phosphorylated moesin (p-moesin) expression and significantly increased p-eNOS, compared with vehicles, confirming previous results with atorvastatin¹¹. This was associated with an increase in hepatic cGMP, the second messenger of NO, indicating an improvement in NO availability, probably due to a decrease in oxidative stress related to statin therapy¹⁹. Although we expected higher cGMP levels in rats treated with NCX 6560, no significant differences between BDL-ATO-15 and BDL-NCX-17.5 were observed, which is in line with the fact that NCX 6560 neither exerted a greater PP lowering effect nor a higher reduction in Rho-kinase activity than atorvastatin. This suggests that the improvement in toxicity seen in our model with the NO-donating drug might be independent from the cGMP signaling pathway.

Additionally, NCX 6560 tended to have a slightly better anti-inflammatory effect in the two models, which could partially explain its lower hepatic toxicity. Moreover, NCX 6560 seemed to have a more pronounced beneficial intrahepatic effect because it significantly increased KLF2 and eNOS protein expression compared with the atorvastatin group in the BDL model, and the p-eNOS/eNOS ratio was much higher than in the atorvastatin group in the CCl₄ model. These effects could also be contributing to its lower hepatic toxicity, improving the



Figure 4. Histologic assessment of liver fibrosis and inflammation. (a) Representative images of liver sections stained by Sirius Red from 4-week bile duct-ligated (BDL) rats or 13-week carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) rats treated with statins or vehicle and from control rats (original magnification: 4x [white scale bar = $200 \mu m$]). (b) Bar diagram showing Sirius Red quantification expressed as fibrotic rate (%). (c) Bar diagrams showing CD43 immunohistochemistry quantification in livers from 4-week BDL rats and 13-week CCl₄ rats treated with statins or vehicle and from control rats. VEH:vehicle (BDL: n = 8, CCl₄: n = 7); ATO:atorvastatin 15 mg/kg/day (BDL: n = 9, CCl₄: n = 7); NCX:NCX 6560 17.5 mg/kg/day (BDL: n = 9, CCl₄: n = 7); CTL:controls (n = 7).**p ≤ 0.01 compared with vehicle.

endothelial phenotype, as seen by the decrease of CD31, especially in the CCl₄ model, and therefore, to hepatocytes viability.

Another important factor contributing to increase IHVR in cirrhosis is hepatic stellate cell activation. The transdifferentiation process of hepatic stellate cells into myofibroblasts with contractile, pro-inflammatory and fibrogenic properties, involves expression of α -SMA and cytoskeleton reorganization with loss of lipid droplets⁵³. We also observed a decrease in α -SMA protein expression, more prominent in the CCl₄ model, in livers from statin treated rats compared with vehicles. Apart from conferring hepatic vasoprotection, KLF2 also promotes stellate cells deactivation through a KLF2-NO-guanylate cyclase paracrine mechanism^{26,54}. The high KLF2 expression

seen in livers from NCX 6560 treated BDL rats could contribute to the significant increment in eNOS in this treatment group, without a significant decrease in the vasoconstrictive pathway (RhoA, Rho-kinase) responsible for the inhibition of $eNOS^{25,55}$. Neither differences in KLF2 expression in livers from atorvastatin treated BDL rats compared with vehicles, nor significant increments with statin treatment in the CCl_4 model were observed. Marrone and colleagues²⁶ showed that atorvastatin was the less effective, among the statins tested, in inducing KLF2 mRNA expression in sinusoidal endothelial cells. Moreover, Gracia-Sancho and colleagues²⁵ showed that in a more aggressive model of CCl_4 inhalation three times a week in 50–75 g animals, KLF2 expression was starting to be induced at 6 weeks and continue increasing during the progression of cirrhosis. The 6-week model of Gracia-Sancho is probably equivalent to our 13-week model, since at least PP values (around 9 mmHg) are the same. The disparity of our results between BDL and CCl_4 models could be attributed to differences in the induction of cirrhosis and mainly to the stage of liver disease; while in the BDL model KLF2 expression is highly induced, in our CCl_4 model it is not initiated yet.

In summary, conventional statins ameliorate portal hypertension, but their adverse events are magnified in a model that mimics a deteriorated liver function. By contrast, NCX 6560 has similar effects in the two cirrhotic models with a safer toxicity profile compared with conventional statins. Additionally, due to its liver NO release, it induces a higher intrahepatic vasoprotective profile that might have a more long-term beneficial effect in the intrahepatic vascular alterations of portal hypertension than conventional statins. Also, in general terms, the intrahepatic improvements of NCX 6560 treatment were greater in the CCl_4 model, reinforcing a major benefit of statins when given earlier in the development of cirrhosis and for longer periods. These results suggest that NCX 6560 could be a safer option for long-term statin treatment of portal hypertension in cirrhotic patients.

Material and Methods

Experimental design. Two different approaches were designed in which statins were evaluated:

- 1. Four-week BDL rats: a cirrhotic model that simulates a decompensated chronic liver disease in order to compare the efficacy and toxicity among statins (7 days) and establish the appropriate dose.
- 2. Thirteen-week CCl₄-treated rats: a model of early cirrhosis in order to evaluate their beneficial intrahepatic effects after a longer treatment period (10 days).

Experimental models of cirrhosis. In the decompensated chronic liver disease model, cirrhosis was induced by BDL. Male Sprague-Dawley OFA rats (Charles River Laboratories, L'Arbresle, France) weighing 200–220 g were anaesthetized with inhaled isoflurane and the common bile duct was occluded by double ligature with a 4–0 silk thread. The bile duct was then resected between the two ligatures. Animals received weekly intramuscular vitamin k1 to decrease mortality from bleeding⁵⁶.

To obtain an early cirrhosis model, male Wistar rats (Charles River Laboratories, L'Arbresle, France) weighing 100-120 g followed a CCl₄ inhalation protocol⁴³ for 13 weeks. Phenobarbital (0.3 g/L) was added to drinking water one week before the inhalation protocol.

All rats were kept under constant temperature and humidity in a 12 h controlled dark/light cycle and fed *ad libitum* with a grain-based chow (Teklad 2014, Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN, USA) containing a fixed formula of ingredients with 0.1% Na⁺.

Drug administration. Four-week BDL rats received daily (q.d.) oral doses of statins or vehicle for 7 days (treatment started on the beginning of the fourth week). Two doses of each statin were evaluated: simvastatin (Ratiopharm, Madrid, Spain) 25 mg/kg/day (SIM-25, n = 10) and 10 mg/kg/day (SIM-10, n = 11), atorvastatin (Almirall, Barcelona, Spain) 15 mg/kg/day (ATO-15, n = 14) and 10 mg/kg/day (ATO-10, n = 15) and NCX 6560 ((β R, δ R)-2(4-fluorophenyl)- β , δ -dihydroxy-5-(1-methylethyl)-3-phenyl-4-[(phenylamino) carbon-yl]-1H-pyrrole-1heptanoic acid 4-(nitrooxy)butyl ester) (NicOx S.A., Sophia Antipolis, France) 17.5 mg/kg/day (NCX-17.5, n = 11) and 11.7 mg/kg/day (NCX-11.7, n = 15). An additional dose of NCX 6560 at 35.1 mg/kg/day (NCX-35.1, n = 15) was also tested and polyethylene glycol (PEG) 70% was used as a vehicle (VEH, n = 12). Starting dosage was chosen according to previous literature^{10,11,23,43} and the equivalent doses of NCX 6560 at atorvastatin: ratio 1.17) and contained the same amount of atorvastatin: ATO-15 equals to NCX-17.5 and ATO-10 to NCX-11.7.

In the CCl₄-cirrhotic model, the treatments (ATO-15, n = 13, NCX-17.5, n = 13 and VEH, n = 8) were given orally (q.d.) for 10 days and began at the beginning of the thirteenth week of CCl₄ inhalation. CCl₄ inhalation was kept during treatment to mimic a continuous and chronic liver injury, but last inhalation session was at least 3 days before ending treatment. Control rats (CTL, n = 8) received phenobarbital in drinking water, but neither followed the CCl₄ inhalation protocol nor received any treatment.

All treatments in the different studies were administered by gastric gavage. During treatment rats were weighed daily and experiments were performed ninety minutes after the last dose of statin or vehicle.

Hemodynamic measurements. Rats were anaesthetized for continuous measurement of MAP (mmHg), PP (mmHg), superior mesenteric artery (SMA) blood flow (SMABF, mL/[min.100g]) and portal blood flow (PBF, mL/[min.100g]). SMA resistance (SMAR, mmHg/mL.min.100g) was calculated as ([MAP-PP]/SMABF) and IHVR as (PP/PBF) (see details in Supplementary material).

Sample collection. For the determination of the diuresis volume, urine was collected from the bladder at the end of the 1-hour period of hemodynamic registration. Venous blood samples were obtained from the cava vein after the hemodynamic measurements. Liver from cirrhotic rats was perfused with saline for exsanguination and cut into fragments. Liver samples were either snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C or fixed in 4% formaldehyde solution for 24 h and changed to 50% ethanol solution before paraffin embedding.

Biochemical parameters. Serum levels of sodium, potassium, osmolality, creatinine, total bilirubin, ALT and aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), CK, albumin and cholesterol were determined using an automatic analyzer (Olympus AV5400, Olympus Europe GmbH, Hamburg, Germany).

Hepatic and muscular toxicity due to statin treatment was defined based on ALT and CK levels in vehicle rats from both models. Thus, we defined hepatic toxicity as an increment in ALT levels superior to 200 IU/L (BDL model) and to 500 IU/L (CCl₄ model), whereas muscular toxicity was considered when CK levels were above 1000 IU/L (BDL model) and 6000 IU/L (CCl₄ model). Animals with hepatic toxicity due to statin treatment were discarded from the study and were not used for sample and data analysis.

Western blot analysis. Protein extraction from liver samples and immunoblotting was performed as previously described¹⁰ (see details in Supplementary material). The following primary antibodies were used: α -SMA (dil. 1/200) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, dil. 1/5000) (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA), eNOS (dil. 1/500) (BD Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY, USA), phosphorylated eNOS (p-eNOS, Ser1177, dil. 1/250), KLF2 (N-13, dil. 1/200), CD31/PECAM-1 (M-20, dil. 1/200), p-moesin (Thr 558, dil. 1/200) and Rock-2 (H-85, dil. 1/200) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA).

Statistical analysis. All values are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) and compared with vehicles using Student's *t* test (SigmaStat 3.0) or ANOVA test, when indicated. For the toxicity analysis, Fisher Exact test for contingency tables were used. Statistical significance was established at $p \le 0.05$.

Ethic statement. All animals received humane care in accordance with institutional guidelines from the European Commission on the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63/EU). All experiments were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca (VHIR, Barcelona, Spain) (DAAM Permission No.: 7834) and conducted in the animal facilities of VHIR.

See Supplementary material for details on Sirius Red staining, immunohistochemistry and cGMP determination.

References

- 1. Garcia-Tsao, G. *et al.* Now there are many (stages) where before there was one: in search of a pathophysiological classification of cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **51**, 1445–1449 (2010).
- 2. Martell, M. et al. Physiopathology of splanchnic vasodilation in portal hypertension. World. J. Hepatol. 2, 208-220 (2010).
- 3. Garcia-Pagan, J. C. et al. Functional aspects on the pathophysiology of portal hypertension in cirrhosis. J. Hepatol. 57, 458–461 (2012).
- 4. Rodriguez-Vilarrupla, A. et al. Potential role of antioxidants in the treatment of portal hypertension. J. Hepatol. 46, 193–197 (2007).
- 5. Bosch, J. *et al.* The management of portal hypertension: Rational basis, available treatments and future options. *J. Hepatol.* **48**, S68–S92 (2008).
- 6. Boyer, T. D. Pharmacologic treatment of portal hypertension: past, present, and future. *Hepatology* 34, 834–839 (2001).
- Salmeron, J. M. *et al.* Renal effects of acute isosorbide-5-mononitrate administration in cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 17, 800–806 (1993).
 Hernandez-Guerra, M. *et al.* Increased hepatic resistance A new target in the pharmacologic therapy of portal hypertension. *J. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 39, S131–S137 (2005).
- 9. Zafra, C. et al. Simvastatin enhances hepatic nitric oxide production and decreases the hepatic vascular tone in patients with cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 126, 749-755 (2004).
- Abraldes, J. G. *et al.* Simvastatin treatment improves liver sinusoidal endothelial dysfunction in CCl4 cirrhotic rats. *J. Hepatol.* 46, 1040–1046 (2007).
- Trebicka, J. et al. Atorvastatin lowers portal pressure in cirrhotic rats by inhibition of RhoA/Rho-kinase and activation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase. Hepatology 46, 242–253 (2007).
- 12. Abraldes, J. G. *et al.* Simvastatin lowers portal pressure in patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. *Gastroenterology* **136**, 1651–1658 (2009).
- Chalasani, N. et al. Patients with elevated liver enzymes are not at higher risk for statin hepatotoxicity. Gastroenterology 126, 1287–1292 (2004).
- 14. Vuppalanchi, R. et al. Patients with elevated liver enzymes are not at higher risk for hepatotoxicity from lovastatin than those with normal liver enzymes. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 99, S69–S70 (2004).
- 15. Bader, T. Yes! Statins can be given to liver patients. J. Hepatol. 56, 305-307 (2012).
- 16. Clarke, A. T. & Mills, P. R. Atorvastatin associated liver disease. Dig. Liver Dis. 38, 772-777 (2006).
- 17. Russo, M. W. et al. Drug-induced liver injury associated with statins. Sem. Liver Dis. 29, 412-422 (2009).
- 18. Bjornsson, E. *et al.* Hepatotoxicity associated with statins: reports of idiosyncratic liver injury post-marketing. *J. Hepatol.* 56, 374–380 (2012).
- 19. Margaritis, M. *et al.* Statins as regulators of redox state in the vascular endothelium: beyond lipid lowering. *Antioxid. Redox Signal* **20**, 1198–1215 (2014).
- 20. Stancu, C. & Sima, A. Statins: mechanism of action and effects. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 5, 378-387 (2001).
- Sawada, N. & Liao, J. K. Rho/Rho-Associated coiled-coil forming kinase pathway as therapeutic targets for statins in atherosclerosis. Antioxid. Redox Signal 20, 1251–1267 (2014).
- 22. Moreno, M. et al. Atorvastatin attenuates angiotensin II-induced inflammatory actions in the liver. A. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 296, G147–G156 (2009).
- 23. Trebicka, J. *et al.* Atorvastatin attenuates hepatic fibrosis in rats after bile duct ligation via decreased turnover of hepatic stellate cells. *J. Hepatol.* **53**, 702–712 (2010).

- 24. Klein, S. *et al.* Atorvastatin inhibits proliferation and apoptosis, but induces senescence in hepatic myofibroblasts and thereby attenuates hepatic fibrosis in rats. *Lab. Invest.* **92**, 1440–1450 (2012).
- Gracia-Sancho, J. et al. Endothelial expression of transcription factor Kruppel-like factor 2 and its vasoprotective target genes in the normal and cirrhotic rat liver. Gut 60, 517–524 (2011).
- 26. Marrone, G. *et al.* The transcription factor KLF2 mediates hepatic endothelial protection and paracrine endothelial-stellate cell deactivation induced by statins. *J. Hepatol.* 58, 98–103 (2013).
- Abraldes, J. G. *et al.* Addition of simvastatin to standard therapy for the prevention of variceal rebleeding does not reduce rebleeding but increases survival in patients with cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 150, 1160-1170.e3, doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.01.004 (2016).
- 28. Uschner, F. E. *et al.* Statins activate the canonical hedgehog-signaling and aggravate non-cirrhotic portal hypertension, but inhibit the non-canonical hedgehog signaling and cirrhotic portal hypertension. *Sci Rep.* **5**, 14573, doi: 10.1038/srep14573 (2015).
- 29. Momi, S. *et al.* NCX 6560, a nitric oxide-releasing derivative of atorvastatin, inhibits cholesterol biosynthesis and shows antiinflammatory and anti-thrombotic properties. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **570**, 115–124 (2007).
- Momi, S. et al. Nitric oxide enhances the anti-inflammatory and anti-atherogenic activity of atorvastatin in a mouse model of accelerated atherosclerosis. Cardiovasc. Res. 94, 428–438 (2012).
- D'Antona, G. et al. Nitric oxide prevents atorvastatin-induced skeletal muscle dysfunction and alterations in mice. Muscle Nerve 47, 72–80 (2013).
- Baetta, R. et al. Nitric oxide-donating atorvastatin attenuates neutrophil recruitment during vascular inflammation independent of changes in plasma cholesterol. Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther. 27, 211–219 (2013).
- 33. Coco, T. J. & Klasner, A. E. Drug-induced rhabdomyolysis. Curr. Opin. Pediatr. 16, 206-210 (2004).
- 34. Manor, D. & Sadeh, M. Muscle fibre necrosis induced by intramuscular injection of drugs. Br. J. Exp. Pathol. 70, 457-462 (1989).
- 35. Pasarin, M. *et al.* Sinusoidal endothelial dysfunction precedes inflammation and fibrosis in a model of NAFLD. *PLoS One* **7**, e32785 (2012).
- 36. Couvelard, A. *et al.* Expression of cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion proteins by sinusoidal endothelial cells in the normal and cirrhotic human liver. *Am. J. Pathol.* **143**, 738–752 (1993).
- Bosch, J. & Forns, X. Statins and liver disease: from concern to 'wonder' drugs? *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 12, 320–321 (2015).
 Hsiang, J. C. *et al.* Statin and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and death in a hospital-based hepatitis B-infected population: a propensity score landmark analysis. *J. Hepatol.* 63, 1190–1197 (2015).
- 39. Yang, Y. H. *et al.* Statin use and the risk of cirrhosis development in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *J. Hepatol.* 63, 1111–1117 (2015).
- 40. Dongiovanni, P. et al. Statin use and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in at risk individuals. J. Hepatol. 63, 705-712 (2015).
- Mohanty, A. et al. Statins are associated with a decreased risk of decompensation and death in veterans with hepatitis C-related compensated cirrhosis. Gastroenterology 150, 430–440 (2015).
- 42. Butt, A. A. *et al.* Effect of addition of statins to antiviral therapy in hepatitis C virus–infected persons: results from ERCHIVES. *Hepatology* **62**, 365–374 (2015).
- 43. Rodríguez, S. et al. The renal effects of droxidopa are maintained in propranolol treated cirrhotic rats. Liver Int. 35, 326–334 (2015).
- Oberti, F. et al. Effects of simvastatin, pentoxifylline and spironolactone on hepatic fibrosis and portal hypertension in rats with bile duct ligation. J. Hepatol. 26, 1363–1371 (1997).
- Huang, H. C. et al. Simvastatin effects on portal-systemic collaterals of portal hypertensive rats. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 25, 1401–1409 (2010).
- La Mura, V. et al. Effects of simvastatin administration on rodents with lipopolysaccharide-induced liver microvascular dysfunction. Hepatology 57, 1172–1181 (2013).
- 47. Gazzerro, P. et al. Pharmacological actions of statins: a critical appraisal in the management of cancer. Pharmacol. Rev. 64, 102–146 (2012).
- Bergstrom, J. D. et al. Hepatic responses to inhibition of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA-reductase: a comparison of atorvastatin and simvastatin. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1389, 213–221 (1998).
- 49. Pentikainen, P. J. et al. Comparative pharmacokinetics of lovastatin, simvastatin and pravastatin in humans. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 32, 136–140 (1992).
- 50. Garcia, M. J. et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of statins. Methods Find. Exp. Clin. Pharmacol. 25, 457-481 (2003).
- Abdoli, N. et al. Mechanisms of the statins cytotoxicity in freshly isolated rat hepatocytes. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 27, 287–294 (2013).
- 52. Fujita, M. et al. Dose-dependency in pleiotropic effects of atorvastatin. Int. J. Angiol. 16, 89-91 (2007).
- 53. Bataller, R. & Brenner, D. A. Liver fibrosis. J. Clin. Invest. 115, 209–218 (2005).
- Xie, G. H. *et al.* Role of differentiation of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in progression and regression of hepatic fibrosis in rats. *Gastroenterology* 142, 918–U392 (2012).
- Laufs, U. & Liao, J. K. Post-transcriptional regulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase mRNA stability by rho GTPase. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 24266–24271 (1998).
- 56. Beck, P. L. & Lee, S. S. Vitamin-K-1 improves survival in bile-duct-ligated rats with cirrhosis. J. Hepatol. 23, 235 (1995).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank NicOx S.A. for providing NCX 6560, and Diana Hide, Dr. Marcos Poncelas and Dr. Nahia Ezkurdia for their help with experimental protocols. S.R. and M.T. are recipients of a pre-doctoral fellowship grant from the Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca (AGAUR) and are enrolled in the postgraduate program of the Department of Medicine at Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. I.R. was a recipient of a fellowship grant from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. CIBERehd is supported by Instituto de Salud Carlos III. The study was partially funded by grants PI12/01759 and PI13/01289 from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII-FIS) Spain and cofinanced by the European Regional Development Fund (FEDER). NicOx S.A. has not financially contributed to this work.

Author Contributions

S.R: Study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, and drafting of the manuscript; I.R., M.T.-A. and T.G-L.: Acquisition and analysis and interpretation of data, and administrative, technical, or material support; J.G. and M.M.: Study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, draft and final revision of the manuscript, obtained funding, and study supervision; All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Rodríguez, S. *et al*. A Nitric Oxide-Donating Statin Decreases Portal Pressure with a Better Toxicity Profile than Conventional Statins in Cirrhotic Rats. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 40461; doi: 10.1038/srep40461 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017