SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 18 December 2015 Accepted: 28 November 2016 Published: 04 January 2017

OPEN Arabidopsis CBF3 and DELLAs positively regulate each other in response to low temperature

Minggi Zhou, Hu Chen, Donghui Wei, Hong Ma & Juan Lin

The C-repeat binding factor (CBF) is crucial for regulation of cold response in higher plants. In Arabidopsis, the mechanism of CBF3-caused growth retardation is still unclear. Our present work shows that CBF3 shares the similar repression of bioactive gibberellin (GA) as well as upregulation of DELLA proteins with CBF1 and -2. Genetic analysis reveals that DELLAs play an essential role in growth reduction mediated by CBF1, -2, -3 genes. The in vivo and in vitro evidences demonstrate that GA2oxidase 7 gene is a novel CBF3 regulon. Meanwhile, DELLAs contribute to cold induction of CBF1, -2, -3 genes through interaction with jasmonate (JA) signaling. We conclude that CBF3 promotes DELLAs accumulation through repressing GA biosynthesis and DELLAs positively regulate CBF3 involving JA signaling. CBFs and DELLAs collaborate to retard plant growth in response to low temperature.

Temperature is one of the major environmental factors limiting plant growth. In particular, cold stress is a serious threat to the sustainability of crop yields. While cold extremes during the winter may affect survival, reduced growth at low temperature during the growing season is a key factor limiting plant distribution globally. The changes of ambient temperature affect plant development at multiple points during the lifecycle - from seed germination, plant architecture to flowering and reproductive development. It is crucial that we learn to understand how plants regulate growth in low temperature; this may lead to strategies of manipulating the threshold levels to switch from growth arrest to maintenance of growth. Flowering plants possess a large regulatory network for low temperature responses¹. In this network, a group of AP2 domain-containing proteins, known as C-repeat (CRT)/ Dehydration Responsive Element (DRE) Binding factors (CBF/DREB), plays a crucial role in cold acclimation, an adaptive response that many plant species use to enhance their freezing resistance after an initial exposure to a nonfreezing low temperature².

In Arabidopsis thaliana, there are three linearly clustered CBF1, -2, -3 genes^{3,4}, also known as DREB1b, DREB1c and DREB1a, respectively, which are identified as key regulators of cold response⁵. Besides, there are three other highly similar genes, CBF4, DWARF AND DELAYED FLOWERING (DDF)1 and DDF26. CBF4 is a shared component in both temperature and drought responses⁷ and DDF1 and DDF2 are involved in response to high salinity⁸. In addition to freezing response, CBF1, -2 or -3 can be rapidly induced by nonfreezing cold stress such as 4 °C or 10 °C and their protein products activate downstream genes known as the CBF regulons, leading to protection of plant cells from low temperature injury⁹. Constitutive expression of CBF1, -2 or -3 in A. thaliana results in similar effects of increased cold tolerance as well as altered biochemical composition such as proline, glucose, fructose, sucrose and raffinose¹⁰⁻¹³. At the same time, transgenic plants constitutively overexpressing either CBF1, -2 or -3 exhibit similar morphological and developmental phenotypes including stunted growth and delayed flowering, even under non-stressful growth conditions^{4,14}. The phenomenon of growth retardation caused by the overexpression of CBF genes or their homologs has been reported in multiple plant species including those with agricultural importance, such as tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)¹⁵, rice (*Oryza sativa*)¹⁶, tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*)¹⁷, poplar (*Populus balsamifera*)¹⁸, potato (*S. tuberosum*)¹⁹ and peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*n)²⁰. Although it is clear that the CBF pathway has a role in affecting plant growth and development, the regulatory mechanism of CBF-caused growth reduction involving downstream genes is uncertain. The fact that both homologous and heterologous expression of CBF genes can elicit plant growth repression prevents the effective use of CBF genes in molecular breeding. Therefore, the requirement of uncovering how CBF genes modulate plant growth under cold stress has been raised.

State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Institute of Plant Biology, School of Life Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.L. (email: linjuan@fudan.edu.cn)

Previous studies have shown that plant growth regulation during environmental changes is related to phytohormones^{14,21}. It has been reported that the dwarfism in *CBF1* overexpressing plants including *S. lycopersicum*, *N. tabacum* and *A. thaliana* can be rescued by exogenous Gibberellin (GA) treatment but not by application of other phytohormones^{15,17,22}. Our previous work also showed that bioactive GA levels were reduced in young leaves of *CbCBF-ox* tobacco and the growth inhibition of *CbCBF-ox* plants was partially due to GA deficiency²³. These results provide indirect evidence that GA metabolism and signal transduction has a role in CBF-induced plant growth reduction. However, it was also reported that GA treatment could not reverse the growth repression in *CBF3-ox* tobacco (*N. tabacum*)¹⁷, and effects of GA on *CBF2-ox* plants are still not known. Thus, it is unclear that whether GA has similar interactions with CBF1, -2, -3 transcription factors. In particular, regulatory nodes in this network have yet to be identified, indicating that detailed regulation of GA and *CBF* genes still need to be deeply investigated.

Bioactive GAs promote plant cell elongation^{24,25} and are synthesized with the activities of GA20-oxidases²⁶ and GA3-oxidases^{27,28}, but reduced by GA2-oxidases²⁹. Bioactive GAs can bind to the Gibberellin Insensitive Dwarf1 (GID1) receptor, and the GA-GID1 complex together with the SCF^{SLY1} E3 ligase facilitate ubiquitination of DELLA proteins and their subsequent degradation by the 26S proteasome^{30,31}. DELLAs are the master negative regulators of the GA signaling and their abundance will lead to severe growth restriction^{32–36}. There are five DELLAs [REPRESSOR of gal-3 (RGA), GA INSENSITIVE (GAI), RGA-LIKE1 (RGL1), RGL2, and RGL3] in *A. thaliana*, which display overlapping but non-identical functions in repressing GA responses³⁷. Although it is known that both the cold-induced CBFs and GA signaling pathways regulate plant growth and stress tolerance, it is unclear whether and how these pathways directly interact with each other.

The goals of this study were to better understand the crosstalk between GA signaling and CBF3. We have tested the bioactive GA levels and DELLA accumulation in *cbf3* knock-out mutant and *CBF3-ox* plants, uncovering the positive role of *CBF3* in DELLA modulation. Meanwhile, we have also shown the contribution of DELLAs in cold induction of *CBF3* through interaction with jasmonate (JA) signaling. Our results clarify the role of CBF3 in the interplay with GA signaling and identify *GA2ox7* as a novel CBF3 regulon.

Results

CBF3 mediates cold induced reduction of gibberellin level and plant growth retardation. In A. thaliana, dwarfism of GA-deficient mutant gal-3 can be reversed by the treatment of GA_3^{38} , while GA-insensitive mutant gai cannot³⁹. To determine whether the growth retardation phenotypes caused by increased CBF3 resemble GA-deficient or GA-insensitive mutants, we investigated the GA₃ response of CBF1ox, CBF2-ox and CBF3-ox plants. We treated CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox and CBF3-ox seedlings with GA3 both in MS plates and in soil. Interestingly, CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox and CBF3-ox plants exhibited similar phenotypes under low concentration of GA₃ treatments (Fig. 1a; Fig. S1). Growth retardation caused by CBF3 in plant height and flowering time were restored to WT (wild type control) level and leaf area was also partially restored (Fig. 1b-d), which was similar to the effects of CBF1, -2 here as well as previously reported instances of CBF1 and DDF1^{22,40}. Next, we tested the endogenous bioactive gibberellin level in 4-week-old CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox and CBF3-ox plants. Consistently, GA₁₊₃ levels of these plants were all significantly decreased (Fig. 1e). These suggested that CBF1, -2 or -3 genes similarly downregulate GA level in cold response. In particular, cbf3 mutant showed weaker growth reduction in leaf size and flowering time under low temperature, and these two indices of *cbf3* were close to that of GA₃ rescued Col plants at 12 °C (Fig. 1f,h). For plant height, no obvious difference between Col and cbf3 was observed, indicating that height can be affected by CBF3-independent pathways (Fig. 1g). Further, cbf3 showed less reduction of GA₁₊₃ levels compared with Col in response to chilling temperature (Fig. 1i). Together, CBF3 participates in the control of GA repression and restrained growth in the face of cold stress.

CBF3 represses plant growth through DELLA accumulation under low temperature. DELLA proteins are key growth inhibitors that can be accumulated in GA-deficient plants³⁶. Since CBF3 reduced gibberellin levels, we assumed that it was also involved in DELLA regulation. According to the report that late flowering of A. thaliana at a low temperature of 12 °C could be obviously restored in della-global mutants⁴¹, we tested GFP:RGA fusion protein levels in plants with or without GA3 application at 22 °C or 12 °C. The GFP:RGA level was obviously enhanced at 12 °C as well as CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox and CBF3-ox background in 8-day-old roots (Fig. 2a). In 4-week-old leaves, similar elevation of GFP:RGA level was observed (Fig. 2b). The CBF3-ox plants showed a lower level of GFP:RGA compared with CBF1-ox and CBF2-ox in normal temperature, suggesting that in late growth stage CBF1 and CBF2 may have stronger effects in RGA level than CBF3. Moreover, GFP:RGA level was lower in *cbf3* mutant than *Col* under low temperature, indicating the positive role in modulating RGA level of CBF3 (Fig. 2c). On the other hand, GA₃ leaded to degradation of GFP:RGA both under cold condition and in CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox, CBF3-ox plants, suggesting that CBF1, -2 and -3 may not affect GID1 and SLY1 function. Next, to confirm the contribution of DELLAs to growth repression caused by CBF1, -2 or -3, we created transgenic plants that constitutively express CBF1, -2 or -3 in della-global (gai-t6; rga-t2; rgl1-1; rgl2-1; rgl3-1) background. Two lines with high transgenic expression level for each were used for further analysis (Fig. S2). Consistent with the study of Kumar et al.⁴¹, della-global mutation significantly weakened the growth retardation at 12°C (Fig. 3a-c). Similar restoration was observed in CBF1-ox della-global, CBF2-ox della-global or CBF3-ox della-global plants (Fig. 3d-f and Fig. S3). The differences in leaf area, plant height and leaf number at flowering were strongly reduced by della-global mutation. These demonstrated that CBF3 inhibits plant growth through accumulating DELLAs under low temperature.

CBF3 upregulates the DELLA and GA2ox genes expression. The GAs level in plants is homeostatically modulated through GA biosynthesis and deactivation pathways, two processes catalyzed by three categories of dioxygenases, which are respectively encoded by a small gene family⁴². GA 20-oxidases (GA20ox) and GA



Figure 1. CBF3 suppresses plant growth through negative regulation of bioactive GA level and GA reduction in low temperature is mediated by CBF3. (a) Representative phenotypes of 4-week-old *CBF1-ox*, *CBF2-ox* and *CBF3-ox* plants with or without GA₃ application. Dwarfism caused by *CBF1*, -2, -3 overexpression can be partially rescued by 10^{-5} M GA₃ application. Phenotypes including (b) the areas of fifth rosette leaves, (c) the final heights, (d) the rosette leaf numbers and (e) GA₁₊₃ contents are shown. In *cbf3* mutant cold induced growth repression and GA reduction are weakened according to (f-h) growth phenotypes and (i) GA₁₊₃ contents. (SE, n = 20, **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01).









Figure 3. The *della-global* mutation weakens growth retardation caused by low temperature and *CBF1*, -2, -3 overexpression. (**a**-**c**) Comparison of *Ler* and *della-global* plants. Up or down arrows represent increase or decrease relative to wild type, respectively. (**d**-**f**) Comparison between *Ws* and *CBF1-ox*, *CBF2-ox* and *CBF3-ox* plants as well as comparison between *della-global* and *CBF1-ox della-global* plants, *CBF2-ox della-global* plants, *CBF3-ox della-global* plants. (SE, n = 20, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01).

3-oxidases (GA3ox) catalyze successive steps in the synthesis of bioactive GAs²⁷, while GA 2-oxidases (GA2ox) deactivate bioactive GAs²⁹. To further figure out the mechanism involved in GA decrease and DELLA increase, we tested the expression pattern of GA signaling and metabolic genes in *CBF1-ox*, *CBF2-ox* and *CBF3-ox* plants. Similar to *CBF1-ox* and *CBF2-ox* plants, *CBF3-ox* lines showed significantly higher transcript levels of *RGL3*, *GA2ox3* and *GA2ox7* than *Ws* plants (Fig. 4a). Meanwhile, *RGL3* and *GA2ox7* could also be induced by cold treatment, while *GA2ox3* was slightly affected in *Ws* plants (Fig. 4b), suggesting that *GA2ox3* might be affected by other regulators under low temperature. In addition, no *GA20ox* or *GA3ox* genes were repressed and *RGA*,





GA20ox1, GA3ox1 and GA2ox6 expression were slightly enhanced between two and four folds in CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox, CBF3-ox lines (Fig. 4a). Previous work reported that GA20ox and GA3ox transcripts could be increased by DELLA accumulation due to a feedback mechanism^{26,29}. In *Col* background, cold induction pattern of *RGL3*, GA2ox3 and GA2ox7 were similar to *Ws* and *cbf3* mutation blocked elevation of *RGL3* and GA2ox7 transcript levels in cold treatment (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, GA2ox3 had even a higher transcript level at 22 °C in *cbf3* plants and showed a similar expression level in cold condition compared with WT, implying that GA2ox3 may be down-regulated by CBF3 in the normal condition and CBF3 is not required for expression of GA2ox3 at low temperature. The enhancement of GA2ox3 expression in *CBF3-ox* plants can be due to indirect feedback mechanisms. In a word, CBF3 confers a transcriptional increase of *RGL3* and *GA2ox7* gene, which is consistent with the altered GA and DELLA levels.

GA2ox7 is a CBF3 regulon. Since *GA2ox7* and *RGL3* were significantly induced by *CBF3* overexpression, we decided to test whether they were the targets of CBF3. The binding sequence of CBF transcription factors in promoter regions is defined as CRT/DRE element with a core sequence of A/GCCGAC^{43–45}. In the presumed promoter region of -0.2 kb to -0.35 kb from the initiation codon of *RD29a*, a well-known CBF regulated gene, there are three ACCGAC and one GCCGAC motifs. Thus this area can be a good positive control in ChIP-qPCR for detection of *in vivo* binding of transcription factor to the promoter. Meanwhile, one region without CCGAC in the *GAI* gene not induced by CBF was used as a negative control. There are three putative CRT-like elements (designated L1, L2 and L3) in -0.9 kb to -2.9 kb regions of *GA2ox7* and among them only L2 has the exact CRT/DRE core sequence (GCCGAC) (Fig. 5a). Consistently, we observed the enrichment of CBF3 near L2 but not L1 or L3 according to ChIP-qPCR (Fig. 5b). Besides, there is no exact CRT/DRE core sequence in *RGL3* promoter regions. We identified two similar elements with sequence of GTCGAC in -0.74 kb to -0.76 kb region of *RGL3* instead. However, no recruitment of CBF3 was detected in these areas (data not shown).

Subsequently we also used EMSA to confirm the binding of CBF3 to L2. The DNA fragments containing L2 or mutated version L2-m were used as probes (Fig. 5c). The 5' biotin-labeled L2 was incubated with CBF3-His protein and several complexes were observed (Fig. 5d). Without competitors, all probes were bound to CBF3-His





protein. Addition of increasing amounts of cold competitors with the same sequence weakened the complexes and released free probes, while competitor with mutated sequence did not abolish probe-bound complex bands, suggesting the specificity of the binding in the CBF3-L2 complex (Fig. 5d). However, the concentration of cold competitors needed for eliminating probe-bound complex bands was high (x300) and the binding affinity of CBF3 to L2 appeared to be somewhat low, which could be due to the *in vitro* reaction condition. For further validating the activation of *GA20x7* regulated by CBF3, we performed the *in vivo* dual-LUC assay using transient expression of CBF3 driven by 35S promoter (used as the effector) and LUC driven by truncated *GA20x7* promoter fragments (used as reporters) (Fig. 5e). The *GA20x7* promoter reporter containing L2 + L3 + L1 that was co-transformed with CBF3 showed highest relative LUC/REN activity. The fragments of L3 + L1 or L1 lacking L2 moderately upregulated LUC, which was nearly in a half level of L2 + L3 + L1 induction, and the truncation excluding all three elements showed lowest LUC intensity. Interestingly, the promoter harboring mutated L2 (L2m + L3 + L1) exhibited the LUC/REN ratio that was similar to L3 + L1 or L1, demonstrating the contribution of L2 in the activation of *GA20x7* by CBF3. The control groups without CBF3 all showed extremely low activity of LUC, indicating the weak basal transcription of *GA20x7*. These verified the *in vivo* activity of CBF3 in the induction of *GA20x7*, which is consistent with the qPCR results. Together, ChIP and EMSA analyses suggested the interaction of CBF3 and *GA20x7* promoter, and LUC assay indicated the function of CBF3 in transcriptional regulation of *GA20x7*. We propose that *GA20x7* is a newly identified CBF3 regulon that can be upregulated by CBF3 through the CRT/DRE element in plants.

DELLAS contribute to cold induction of CBF3. Interplay between GA and cold responsive signaling raises the question of how DELLAs regulate CBFs. The fact that CBF genes are transiently induced to a peak after around 3 h of cold application and DELLAs accumulation stays in a high level decreases the possibility that DELLAs directly target CBF genes. Indeed, no DELLA binding activity was detected in CBF gene regions. It has been reported that MeJA modulates CBF signaling through degradation of JASMONATE ZIM-domain (JAZ)s, a repressor of INDUCER OF CBF EXPRESSION 1 (ICE1)⁴⁶. ICE1 plays a central role in CBF3 cold induction. At the same time, DELLAs can regulate JA signaling via interaction with JAZs to release MYC2, a key transcription activator in JA signaling^{47,48}. Since the direct bindings between DELLAs and JAZs as well as JAZs and ICE1 have been revealed, ICE1 can also be released from JAZs binding by DELLAs and strongly induce CBF3 expression when cold temperature comes down. As the next step, to investigate the potential regulation of CBFs by DELLAs we measured cold induction of CBF1, -2, -3 genes when GA₃ and MeJA were applied. Compared with WT, the cold induction of three CBF genes were all significantly weaker in della-global mutants. Same changes happened when MS medium contained 10⁻⁵ M GA₃ (Fig. 6a-c). Nevertheless, when MeJA was present, influence by GA₃ treatment or DELLA mutation were eliminated and the cold induced transcript levels of CBF1, -2, -3 were even higher than control. Likewise, after a transient induction at 12 °C CBF3 showed a higher peak under 4°C treatment and MeJA application enhanced this induction while *della-global* mutation partially blocked it (Fig. 6d). Coordinately, JA positively regulates DELLAs accumulation according to increased GFP:RGA (Fig. S4) and RGL3-GFP levels after MeJA treatment⁴⁸. Interestingly, without cold treatment at 22 °C, CBF1, -2, -3 did not have obvious difference of expression level in comparison between Ler and della-global seedlings with these kinds of GA₃ or MeJA application (Fig. 6e), suggesting that GA₃ or MeJA might not affect CBF1, -2, -3 in normal temperature. These demonstrated that DELLAs played a positive role in cold induction of CBF1, -2, -3 involving JA signaling, which was consistent with our hypothesis.

Discussion

The CBF signaling pathway is conserved in higher plant species¹⁴. For modulation of freezing tolerance and cold acclimation, overexpression of three CBF genes in A. thaliana results in enhanced freezing tolerance⁴⁹, whereas *cbf1* or *cbf3* loss-of-function single mutant increases plant sensitivity to freezing stress after cold acclimation⁵⁰, the *cbf2* mutant shows a freezing tolerance phenotype with or without cold acclimation⁵¹. These results indicate CBF1 and CBF3 play a different role than CBF2^{50,51}. For growth restriction and late flowering, phenotype caused by CBF1 overexpression is mainly mediated by GA/DELLA signaling²². The dwarfism conferred by CBF3 and CBF2 would appear to involve either different mechanism(s) or same from that reported for CBF1. Here we determine the matching function of CBF1, -2, -3 involved in the crosstalk with GA/DELLA signaling and cause of growth reduction, in agreement with the analysis in CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox, CBF3-ox lines^{4,13}. Increased CBF3 expression level has the same effects compared with CBF1 and CBF2 according to decreased GA levels and abundant DELLA proteins. Meanwhile, DELLAs play a positive role in cold induced expression of CBF1, CBF2 and CBF3 through interacting with JA signaling (Fig. 7). Recent reports also confirmed that CBF1, CBF2 and CBF3 transcription factors regulate very similar gene sets⁵². Contrary to our results, Kasuga et al.¹⁷ showed that 10⁴ M GA₃ caused no reversal of growth reduction in CBF3-ox tobacco plants and only enlarged leaf area under GA₃ application was observed in CBF3-ox Arabidopsis. Moreover, Cong et al.⁵³ also reported that CBF3-ox tobacco leaves were enlarged and petioles were lengthened by 10^{-4} M GA₃⁵³. In our case, lower concentration of GA₃ (10^{-5} M and 10^{-6} M) promotes growth in both WT and transgenic plants; nevertheless, the percentages of changes in transgenic plants are significantly higher (Fig. 1b-d). We also show that three kinds of overexpression Arabidopsis plants have a similar response to continuous application of GA₃ on plates. These indicate that different treatment conditions including concentration of GA₃, treatment timing or time duration can lead to diverse phenotypes in different plant materials.

Consistent with some previous reports, we also show that RGL3 can be significantly induced by CBF1, -2 and -3 overexpression^{22,54}. Surprisingly, no binding activity of CBF3 protein is detected in the putative CRT/DRE-like elements of RGL3 promoter in our assay. It has been acknowledged that CBF proteins do not bind equally to all CRT/DRE-like elements^{51,52}. In any case, the induction of RGL3 by CBFs could be indirect. In other DELLA genes or GA metabolic genes, no CRT/DRE-like elements are observed⁸ and the only identified regulatory node in the crosstalk between CBFs and DELLAs is GA2ox7. It has been reported that DDF1, a homolog of CBFs in *A. thaliana* that regulates high-salinity response, also binds to promoter region of GA2ox7 and therefore reduces GA level⁴⁵. Thus GA2ox7 can be a key component of CBF/DREB1 signaling pathway modulating GA and DELLAs in response to multiple abiotic stresses, which is a good candidate of modification target in the genetic engineering and molecular breeding of stress tolerant crops without yield penalty.

There is an evidence supporting that the activation of CBF regulons by CBF1 is in a DELLA-independent fashion - when CBF1 was overexpressed in normal temperature, transcript levels of CBF regulons are similar in WT and DELLA mutants²². The present work reveals that although DELLAs do not affect CBFs regulation in CBF regulons transcription, they contribute to the cold induced expression of *CBF1*, -2, -3 instead. The *CBF* genes expression in warm temperature and cold induction are in different regulatory routes. ICE1, the key activator of *CBF* genes in cold induction, is constitutively expressed and can only be modified to gain function for activation of *CBF* genes under low temperature^{2,46}. Previous analysis of DELLA direct targets did not detect binding of



Figure 6. DELLAs contribute to cold induction of *CBF1*, -2, -3 through interaction with JA signaling. (a–c) Altered cold induction levels of *CBF1*, -2, -3 in *Ler* and *della-global* plants under GA₃, MeJA, GA₃ together with MeJA or 0.1% ethanol treatments. (d) Expression level of *CBF1*, -2, -3 in *Ler* and *della-global* plants under GA₃ or MeJA treatments at 22 °C. (e) *CBF3* expression level under 12 °C and 4 °C in seedlings indicated. Data are means ± SE.

DELLAs to *CBF1*, -2, -3 promoter regions⁵⁵, thus DELLAs can regulate cold induction of *CBF1*, -2, -3 through ICE1. Recent studies on JA signaling provide a clue connecting ICE1, JAZs and DELLAs. JAZs, a major repressor in JA signaling, directly targets ICE1 to inhibit the activation of CBFs, while DELLAs competitively bind to JAZs to release MYC2 to activate JA response^{46–48}. Meanwhile, MYC2 also interacts with ICE1 to enhance *CBF* genes transcription in cold condition⁵⁶. Indeed, our work shows that MeJA treatment, which degrades JAZs and activates MYC2, eliminates the effects from GA and *della-global* mutation and increases *CBF1*, -2, -3 cold induced expression levels (Fig. 6a–d). Notably, due to the transient induction of *CBF1*, -2, -3 under low temperature, the abundance of DELLAs caused by CBFs unlikely have a direct feedback to induce CBFs. Consistently, neither GA nor JA change *CBF1*, -2, -3 expression in warm temperature, suggesting that DELLAs strengthen "priming" of induction of *CBF* genes before cold application through JA-dependent pathway. When DELLAs are abundant, the subsequent induction of *CBF* genes can be enhanced (Fig. 7).

In addition, the present work shows that GA application or *della-global* mutation does not completely recover the growth repression of *CBF1-ox*, *CBF2-ox* or *CBF3-ox* plants, especially for *CBF3-ox* seedlings. Hence there are still some DELLA-independent pathways involved in CBF-caused growth retardation. Analysis in a *CBF* gene from *Capsella bursa-pastoris* revealed that *CbCBF* also affected cell cycle signaling besides antagonizing with GA²³. In *A. thaliana*, although microarray has been used in some work about CBF signaling, more powerful tools such as deep RNA-seq will be needed to uncover more details. In summary, the present knowledge of positive



Figure 7. Model for positive regulation between CBF3 and DELLAs in response to low temperature. In warm temperature, DELLAs interact with JAZs to prevent JAZs binding to ICE1. Meanwhile, DELLAs are degraded through GA mediated signaling. In cold temperature, ICE1 is modified to gain the function for activation of *CBF3* transcription. CBF3 activates *GA20x7* to decrease the bioactive GA level and subsequently promotes the accumulation of DELLAs. Increased DELLAs release more ICE1 to enhance next round of *CBF3* cold induction.

regulation between DELLAs and CBF transcription factors as well as the investigation in additional unknown mechanism of how CBFs restrain growth can contribute to the accurate genetic control in molecular breeding of tolerant crops.

Methods and Materials

Plant materials and treatments for phenotyping. The *A. thaliana* seeds were grown in pots at 22 °C under 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle. The *cbf3* knock-out line $(SAIL_244_D02)^{57}$, *della-global* mutant (*gai-t6*; *rga-t2*; *rgl1-1*; *rgl2-1*; *rgl3-1*)⁵⁸ and *pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* line (*Col* and *Ler*)⁵⁹ were obtained from Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. To generate *CBF1-ox della-global*, *CBF2-ox della-global*, *CBF3-ox della-global*, *CBF1-ox pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA*, *CBF2-ox pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* and *CBF3-ox pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* plants, the full length of the *CBF1*, *CBF2* or *CBF3* coding sequence was cloned into pCAMBIA1304 vector using primers listed in Table S1 and transformed into *della-global* and *pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* plants. The *cbf3* knock-out line (SAIL_244_D02) was crossed with *pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* line (*Col*) and *cbf3 pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* line was isolated from F3 progeny. *CBF1-ox*, *CBF2-ox* and *CBF3-ox* plants in *Ws* background were previously described⁴. For phenotyping in low temperature, 14-d-old plants growing at 22 °C were transferred to 12 °C and applied to the measurements when they were 4-week-old. Gibberellin spray treatments were performed as previously described²³. For phenotyping on plates, GA₃ with concentration of 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶ M was added to Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar medium and seedlings were grown at 22 °C for 3 weeks. For leaf area analysis, the fifth rosette leaves of 4-week-old plants were collected and determined for size with IMAGEJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/).

Measurements of endogenous gibberellin contents in *Arabidopsis.* The endogenous gibberellin level in *A. thaliana* was measured using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as described elsewhere²³. Briefly, samples were extracted in cold 80% (v/v) methanol with 1 mM butylated hydroxytoluene overnight at 4 °C. After centrifugation at 10,000 g for 20 min, the extracts were passed through a C_{18} Sep-Pak cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and residues were dissolved in 10 mM PBS buffer (pH 7.4). Meanwhile, the 96-well microtitration plates (Nunc, Denmark) was coated with synthetic GA₁-ovalbumin conjugates in 50 mM NaHCO₃ buffer (pH 9.6) overnight at 37 °C. Samples were incubated with HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins for 1 h at 37 °C and ovalbumin solution (10 mg/mL) was used to block nonspecific binding. The enzyme-substrate reaction was carried out in the dark and data were calculated according to absorbance of 490 nm. The cross-reactivity of antibodies raised against GA₁-ovalbumin to GA₃-ovalbumin was 32% based on previous report⁶⁰.

Cold and phytohormone treatments for transcript and protein level tests. For gene expression tests in *Ws* and *Col* plants, 14-d-old seedlings growing in soil at 22 °C were transferred to 12 °C and rosette leaves were collected. For *Ler* and *della-global* plants, 4-d-old seedlings grown in MS medium containing 10μ M GA₃, 5μ M MeJA⁴⁶, GA₃ together with MeJA or 0.1% ethanol at 22 °C were transferred to 12 °C. For *pRGA::GFP:RGA* lines, 8-d-old seedlings were incubated in 50 mM MeJA⁴⁸ for time designed. The plant materials were collected immediately in liquid nitrogen at each time point of treatments as indicated and stored at -80 °C until use.

Quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted using Plant RNA Mini Kit (Watson Biotechnologies, Inc, China). RNA concentration was estimated by spectrophotometer (WFZUV-2100, UnicoTM Instruments Inc.) and genomic DNA was removed using DNAase I (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Approximately 1µg RNA was reverse transcribed using PrimeScript[®] RT Master Mix (Takara, China) at 37 °C for 20 min. The PCR amplification reactions were carried out using SYBR[®] Premix Ex Taq[™] II (Perfect Real Time) (Takara, China) with three

replicates for each sample and the *Actin2* gene (AY096381) was used as internal control. The $2^{-\triangle \triangle Ct}$ method was used to determine the relative mRNA abundance and primers used in this work are all listed in Table S1.

Confocal Microscopy analysis. The 8-d-old seedlings of *pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA*, *CBF1-ox pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA*, *CBF2-ox pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* and *CBF3-ox pRGA*::*GFP*:*RGA* grown on MS plates were sprayed with 10^{-5} M GA₃ or 0.1% ethanol at 22 °C or 12 °C, respectively. After 4 h the root tip and elongating zone were excised with razor blade. GFP:RGA fusion protein level was detected by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica TCS NT, Wetzlar, Germany) as mentioned previously⁶¹.

Protein extraction and western blot. Proteins were extracted using Plant Protein Extraction Reagent kit (CWBIO, China). Approximate 1 g plant tissues were used for each sample. Protein samples were separated by SDS-PAGE on 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto PVDF membranes according to standard protocols. Membranes were probed with anti-GFP antibody (Beyotime Biotechnology, China) at a 1:2000 dilution and signals were visualized on a Typhoon system (GE Healthcare, http://www.gelifesciences.com/).

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay. *CBF3* cDNA was cloned into pET-28a vector using primers CBF3-HisF and CBF3-HisR (Table S1). Soluble CBF3-His protein was expressed in *Escherich coli* strain BL21 and purified using HisPurTM Cobalt Resin (Thermo, USA). The 5' biotin-labeled double-stranded oligonucleotides GA20x7-L2 was used as a probe while non-labeled GA20x7-L2 and GA20x7-L2-m (mutated) were used as competitors, respectively. The labeled probes (3 pM) with or without unlabeled competitors were incubated for 20 min at room temperature with 4µg of purified CBF3-His fusion protein in binding buffer (25 mM HEPES-KOH buffer at pH 7.9, containing 50 mM KCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT and 10% glycerol) supplemented with 20 pM poly (dI-dC). The resulting DNA-protein complexes were resolved by electrophoresis on a 6% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 × TBE buffer and transferred by electroblotting to PVDF membranes. After crosslinked under UV (120 mJ/cm², 254 nm), the signal was visualized according to manufacturer instruction of LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Thermo, USA).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described⁵⁵. Briefly, 30 µL protein A-agarose beads (Epigentek) were incubated with 5 µg anti-CBF3 antibody at 4 °C for overnight. Soluble CBF3-His protein described above was used to immunize two rabbits to obtain antiserum. The immunoglobulin G (polyclonal antibodies) fraction was purified by affinity chromatography using protein G-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Preserum was used as a background control. Around 3 g of washed 4-week-old plants were submerged in 50 mL of crosslinking buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH8, 0.4M Suc, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA and 1% formaldehyde) and vacuum infiltrated for 5 min at room temperature. The cross-linking was stopped by transferring plant materials to 250 mM Gly and vacuum infiltration for 5 min. Plant tissues were ground in liquid nitrogen and resuspended in 25 mL cold nuclei isolation buffer (15 mM PIPES, pH 6.8, 0.25 M Suc, 5 mM MgCl₂, 60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM sodium butyrate, 1 mM PMSF, 2µg/mL pepstatin A and 2µg/mL aprotinin). The homogenized slurry was filtered and centrifuged at 3800 g for 20 min to get the pellet (nuclei). The nuclei were resuspended in 1.5 mL of lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM sodium butyrate, $1 \mu g/mL$ pepstatin A and $1 \mu g/mL$ aprotinin). DNA was sheared into 200 bp to 1000 bp fragments by 6-10 min of 5 sec pause sonication at 40% amplitude using a TekMar TM-100 sonic disruptor (TekMar). After centrifugation at 13,800 g for 10 min, the supernatant was diluted for five folds with nuclei lysis buffer. The prepared mixture of protein A-agarose beads and antibody was added and the sample was incubated at 4 °C for overnight with gentle rotation. After 3800 g centrifugation for 2 min, the agarose beads were sequentially washed with low salt wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.2% SDS, 0.5% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA), high salt wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 500 mM NaCl, 0.2% SDS, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 2 mM EDTA), LiCl wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 0.25 M LiCl, 1% sodium deoxy-cholate, 1% Nonidet P-40, and 1 mM EDTA), and TE buffer (twice; 1 mM EDTA and 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8). The immunocomplexes were eluted with freshly made elution buffer (0.1 M NaHCO3 and 0.5% SDS) and incubated at 65 °C for 15 min. The crosslink was reversed by incubation at 65 °C for overnight in the presence of 250 mM NaCl. Proteins were digested by adding 20 mL of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.5, 10 mL of 0.5 M EDTA, and 2 mL proteinase K (10 mg/mL) and incubated at 45 °C for 2 h. Immunoprecipitated DNA was purified using a mixture of phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (25:24:1) and subsequently used for qRT-PCR with the primers listed in Table S1.

Dual-Luciferase Assays. Coding regions of CBF3 was cloned into the pCAMBIA1304 binary vector as the effector plasmid, in which CBF3 was driven by 35S promoter. Four fragments of GA20x7 promoter truncations were inserted into the pGreenII-0800-LUC as the reporter plasmids. All constructs were introduced into Agrobacteria strain GV3101, respectively. The pSoup-P19 helper plasmid was co-transformed with pGreenII-0800-LUC vectors. The reporter and effector Agrobacteria were mixed with a ratio of 2:8 and infiltrated into 3-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. Three days after infiltration, leaf discs were collected and the luciferase activity of extracts was analyzed using a Dual-Luciferase Assay Kit (Promega) as previously described⁶². Three replicates for each co-transformation were carried out.

References

- Knight, M. R. & Knight, H. Low-temperature perception leading to gene expression and cold tolerance in higher plants. *New Phytol* 195, 737–751, doi: 10.1111/j. 1469-8137.2012. 04239.x (2012).
- Zhou, M. Q., Shen, C., Wu, L. H., Tang, K. X. & Lin, J. CBF-dependent signaling pathway: A key low temperature responder in plants. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 31, 186–192, doi: 10.3109/07388551.2010.505910 (2011).
- 3. Gilmour, S. J. et al. Low temperature regulation of the Arabidopsis CBF family of AP2 transcriptional activators as an early step in cold-induced COR gene expression. Plant J 16, 433–442, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998. 00310.x (1998).

- Gilmour, S. J., Fowler, S. G. & Thomashow, M. F. Arabidopsis transcriptional activators CBF1, CBF2, and CBF3 have matching functional activities. *Plant Mol Biol* 54, 767–781 (2004).
- Liu, K. R., Gilmour, S. J., Zarka, D. G., Schabenberger, O. & Thomashow, M. F. Arabidopsis CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. Science 280, 104–106, doi: 10.1126/science.280.5360.104 (1998).
- 6. Wingler, A. Comparison of signaling interactions determining annual and perennial plant growth in response to low temperature. *Front Plant Sci* **5**, 794, doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00794 (2015).
- Wang, Y. & Hua, J. A moderate decrease in temperature induces COR15a expression through the CBF signaling cascade and enhances freezing tolerance. *Plant J* 60, 340–349, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03959.x (2009).
- Maruyama, K. et al. Identification of cold-inducible downstream genes of the Arabidopsis DREB1A/CBF3 transcriptional factor using two microarray systems. Plant J 38, 982–993, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02100.x (2004).
- Rasmussen, S. et al. Transcriptome responses to combinations of stresses in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 161, 1783–1794, doi: 10.1104/ pp.112.210773 (2013).
- Stockinger, E. J., Gilmour, S. J. & Thomashow, M. F. Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1 encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94, 1035–1040 (1997).
- Jaglo-Ottosen, K. R., Gilmour, S. J., Zarka, D. G., Schabenberger, O. & Thomashow, M. F. Arabidopsis CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. *Science*, 280, 104–106, doi: 10.1126/science.280.5360.104 (1998).
- Kasuga, M., Liu, Q., Miura, S., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. & Shinozaki, K. Improving plant drought, salt and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-inducible transcription factor. *Nat Biotechnol* 17, 287–291, doi: 10.1038/7036 (1999).
- Gilmour, S. J., Sebolt, A. M., Salazar, M. P., Everard, J. D. & Thomashow, M. F. Overexpression of the Arabidopsis CBF3 transcriptional activator mimics multiple biochemical changes associated with cold acclimation. *Plant Physiol* 124, 1854–1865, doi: 10.1104/pp.124.4.1854 (2000).
- 14. Kurepin, L. V. et al. Role of CBFs as integrators of chloroplast redox, phytochrome and plant hormone signaling during cold acclimation. Int J Mol Sci 14, 12729–12763, doi: 10.3390/ijms140612729 (2013).
- Hsieh, T. H. et al. Heterology expression of the Arabidopsis C-repeat/dehydration response element binding factor 1 gene confers elevated tolerance to chilling and oxidative stresses in transgenic tomato. *Plant Physiol* 129, 1086–1094, doi: 10.1104/pp.003442 (2002).
- Lee, S. C., Huh, K. W., An, K., An, G. & Kim, S. R. Ectopic expression of a cold-inducible transcription factor, CBF1/DREB1b, in transgenic rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *Mol Cells* 18, 107–114 (2004).
- Kasuga, M., Miura, S., Shinozaki, K. & Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. A combination of the Arabidopsis DREB1a gene and stressinducible *RD29a* promoter improved drought- and low-temperature stress tolerance in tobacco by gene transfer. *Plant Cell Physiol* 45, 346–350, doi: 10.1093/pcp/pch037 (2004).
- Benedict, C. et al. The CBF1-dependent low temperature signaling pathway, regulon and increase in freeze tolerance are conserved in Populus spp. Plant Cell Environ 29, 1259–1272, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01505.x (2006).
- Pino, M. T. *et al.* Ectopic AtCBF1 over-expression enhances freezing tolerance and induces cold acclimation-associated physiological modifications in potato. *Plant Cell Environ* 31, 393–406, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01776.x (2008).
- Bhatnagar-Mathur, P. et al. Transgenic peanut overexpressing the DREB1A transcription factor has higher yields under drought stress, Mol Breeding 33, 327–340, doi: 10.1007/s11032-013-9952-7 (2014).
- Achard, P. et al. Integration of plant responses to environmentally activated phytohormonal signals. Science 331, 91–94, doi: 10.1126/science.1118642 (2006).
- Achard, P. et al. The cold-inducible CBF1 factor-dependent signaling pathway modulates the accumulation of the growth-repressing DELLA proteins via its effect on gibberellin metabolism. Plant Cell 20, 2117–2129, doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.058941 (2008).
- Zhou, M. Q. et al. CbCBF from Capsella bursa-pastoris enhances cold tolerance and restrains growth in Nicotiana tabacum by antagonizing with gibberellin and affecting cell cycle signaling. Plant Mol Biol 85, 259–275, doi: 10.1007/s11103-014-0181-1 (2014).
- 24. Feng, S. H. *et al.* Coordinated regulation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* development by light and gibberellins. *Nature* **451**, 475–479, doi: 10.1038/nature06448 (2008).
- Lucas, M. et al. A molecular framework for light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. Nature 451, 480–484, doi: 10.1038/ nature06520 (2008).
- 26. Xu, Y. L., Li, L., Gage, D. A. & Zeevaart, J. A. Feedback regulation of GA₅ expression and metabolic engineering of gibberellin levels in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 11, 927–936, doi: 10.1105/tpc.11.5.927 (1999).
- Chiang, H. H., Hwang, I. & Goodman, H. M. Isolation of the Arabidopsis GA₄ locus. *Plant Cell* 7, 195–201, doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.2.195 (1995).
 Cowling, R. J., Kamiya, Y., Seto, H. & Harberd, N. P. Gibberellin dose-response regulation of GA₄ gene transcript levels in
- Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 117, 1195–1203, doi: 10.1104/pp.117.4.1195 (1998).
 29. Thomas, S. G., Phillips, A. L. & Hedden, P. Molecular cloning and functional expression of gibberellin 2-oxidases, multifunctional https://doi.org/10.1014/pp.117.4.1195 (1998).
- enzymes involved in gibberellin deactivation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96, 4698–4703, doi: 10.1073/ pnas.96.8.4698 (1999).
 30. Dill, A., Thomas, S. G., Hu, J. H., Steber, C. M. & Sun, T. P. The Arabidopsis F-box protein SLEEPY1 targets gibberellin signaling repressors for gibberellin-induced degradation. Plant Cell 16, 1392–1405, doi: 10.1105/tpc.020958 (2004)
- Fu, X. *et al.* The Arabidopsis mutant sleepy1gar2-1 protein promotes plant growth by increasing the affinity of the SCFSLY1 E3 ubiquitin ligase for DELLA protein substrates. *Plant Cell* 16, 1406–1418, doi: 10.1105/tpc.021386 (2004).
- Peng, J. *et al.* The Arabidopsis GAI gene defines a signaling pathway that negatively regulates gibberellin responses. *Genes Dev* 11, 3194–3205, doi: 10.1101/gad.11.23.3194 (1997).
- Silverstone, A. L., Ciampaglio, C. N. & Sun, T. P. The Arabidopsis RGA gene encodes a transcriptional regulator repressing the gibberellin signal transduction pathway. *Plant Cell* 10, 155–169, doi: 10.1105/tpc.10.2.155 (1998).
- Wen, C. K. & Chang, C. Arabidopsis RGL1 encodes a negative regulator of gibberellin responses. *Plant Cell* 14, 87–100, doi: 10.1105/ tpc.010325 (2002).
- 35. Lee, S. et al. Gibberellin regulates Arabidopsis seed germination via RGL2, a GAI/RGA-like gene whose expression is up-regulated following imbibitions. Genes Dev 16, 646–658, doi: 10.1101/gad.969002 (2002).
- Zhang, Z. L. et al. Scarecrow-like 3 promotes gibberellin signaling by antagonizing master growth repressor DELLA in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 2160–2165, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1012232108. (2011).
- Silverstone, A. L. *et al.* Repressing a repressor: gibberellin-induced rapid reduction of the RGA protein in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 13, 1555–1566, doi: 10.1105/TPC.010047 (2001).
- Wilson, R. N., Heckman, J. W. & Somerville, C. R. Gibberellin is required for flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana under short days. Plant Physiol 100, 403–408, doi: 10.1104/pp.100.1.403 (1992).
- Wilson, R. N. & Sommerville, C. R. Phenotypic suppression of the gibberellins insensitive mutant (gai) of Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 108, 495–502 doi: 10.1104/pp.108.2.495 (1995).
- Magome, H., Yamaguchi, S., Hanada, A., Kamiya, Y. & Oda, K. Dwarf and delayed-flowering 1, a novel Arabidopsis mutant deficient in gibberellin biosynthesis because of overexpression of a putative AP2 transcription factor. *Plant J* 37, 720-729, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2003.01998.x (2004).
- Kumar, S. V. et al. Transcription factor PIF4 controls the thermosensory activation of flowering. Nature 484, 242–245, doi: 10.1038/ nature10928 (2012).
- 42. Hedden, P. & Phillips, A. L. Gibberellin metabolism: new insights revealed by the genes. Trends Plant Sci 5, 523-530 (2000).

- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. & Shinozaki, K. A novel *cis*-acting element in an Arabidopsis gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low temperature, or high-salt stress. *Plant Cell* 6, 251–264, doi: 10.1105/tpc.6.2.251 (1994).
- Sakuma, Y. et al. DNA-binding specificity of the ERF/AP2 domain of Arabidopsis DREBs, transcription factors involved in dehydrationand cold- inducible gene expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 290, 998–1009, doi: 10.1006/bbrc. 2001.6299 (2002).
- Magome, H., Yamaguchi, S., Hanada, A., Kamiya, Y. & Oda, K. The DDF1 transcriptional activator upregulates expression of a gibberellin-deactivating gene, GA20x7, under high-salinity stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant J* 56, 613-626, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03627. x (2008).
- Hu, Y., Jiang, L., Wang, F. & Yu, D. Jasmonate regulates the Inducer of Cbf Expression–C-Repeat Binding Factor/Dre Binding Factor1 cascade and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 25, 2907–2924, doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.112631 (2013).
- Hou, X. L., Lee, L. Y. C., Xia, K., Yan, Y. Y. & Yu, H. DELLAs modulate jasmonate signaling via competitive binding to JAZs. *Dev Cell* 19, 884–894, doi: 10.1016/j. devcel.2010.10.024 (2010).
- Wild, M. et al. The Arabidopsis DELLA RGA-LIKE3 is a direct target of MYC2 and modulates jasmonate signaling responses. Plant Cell, 24, 3307–3319, doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.101428 (2012).
- Thomashow, M. F. So what's new in the field of plant cold acclimation? Lots! *Plant Physiol* 125, 89–93, doi: 10.1104/pp.125.1.89 (2001).
 Novillo, F., Medina, J. & Salinas, J. Arabidopsis CBF1 and CBF3 have a different function than CBF2 in cold acclimation and define
- different gene classes in the CBF regulon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104, 21002–21007, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0705639105 (2007).
 51. Novillo, F., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R. & Salinas, J. CBF2/DREB1C is a negative regulator of CBF1/DREB1B and CBF3/DREB1A expression and plays a central role in stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101, 3985–3990, doi: 10.1073/
- pnas.0303029101 (2004).
 52. Park, S. *et al.* Regulation of the Arabidopsis CBF regulon by a complex low- temperature regulatory network. *Plant J* 82, 193–207, doi: 10.1111/tpj.12796 (2015).
- Cong, L., Zheng, H. C., Zhang, Y. X. & Chai, T. Y. Arabidopsis DREB1A confers high salinity tolerance and regulates the expression of GA dioxygenases in tobacco. *Plant Sci* 174, 156–164, doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2007.11.002 (2008).
- Siddiqua, M. & Nassuth, A. Vitis CBF1 and Vitis CBF4 differ in their effect on Arabidopsis abiotic stress tolerance, development and gene expression. *Plant Cell Environ* 34, 1345–1359, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011. 02334.x (2011).
- Zentella, R. *et al.* Global analysis of DELLA direct targets in early gibberellin signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 19, 3037–3057, doi: 10.1105/tpc.107.054999 (2007).
- 56. Zhao, M. L. et al. Induction of jasmonate signaling regulators MaMYC2s and their physical interactions with MaICE1 in methyl jasmonate-induced chilling tolerance in banana fruit. Plant Cell Environ 36, 30–51, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2012.02551.x (2012).
- Su, Z. et al. Flower development under drought stress: morphological and transcriptomic analyses reveal acute responses and longterm acclimation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25, 3785–3807, doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.115428 (2013).
- Hong, G. J., Xue, X. Y., Mao, Y. B., Wang, L. J. & Chen, X. Y. Arabidopsis MYC2 interacts with DELLA proteins in regulating sesquiterpene synthase gene expression. *Plant Cell* 24, 2635–2648, doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.098749 (2012).
- Dill, A., Jung, H. S. & Sun, T. P. The DELLA motif is essential for gibberellin-induced degradation of RGA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98, 14162–14167, doi: 10.1073/pnas.251534098 (2001).
- Shan, D. P. et al. Cotton GhDREB1 increases plant tolerance to low temperature and is negatively regulated by gibberellic acid. New Phytol 176, 70–81, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02160.x (2007).
- Zhou, M. Q., Wu, L. H., Liang, J., Shen, C. & Lin, J. Cold-induced modulation of CbICE53 gene activates endogenous genes to enhance acclimation in transgenic tobacco. *Mol Breeding* 30, 1611–1620, doi: 10.1007/s11032-012-9744-5 (2012).
- Cui, J. et al. Feedback regulation of DYT1 by interactions with downstream bHLH factors promotes DYT1 nuclear localization and anther development. Plant Cell 28, 1078–1093, doi: 10.1105/tpc.15.00986 (2016).

Acknowledgements

The seeds of CBF1-ox, CBF2-ox and CBF3-ox lines are kind gifts from Prof. Ilha Lee (Seoul National University). We are also grateful for the financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (31370346) and the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (2008AA10Z105).

Author Contributions

J.L. conceived the study and designed the experiments. M.Z., H.C., and H.W. performed the experiments. H.M. gave very helpful suggestions to the manuscript. M.Z. and J.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Additional Information

Accession codes: Sequence data used in this work can be found in the GenBank libraries with the following accession numbers: *CBF1* (AT4G25490), *CBF2* (AT4G25470), *CBF3* (AT4G25480), *GID1a* (AT3G05120), *GID1b* (AT3G63010), *GID1c* (AT5G27320), *GA1* (AT1G14920), *RGA* (AT2G01570), *RGL1* (AT1G66350), *RGL2* (AT3G03450), *RGL3* (AT5G17490), *SLY1* (AT4G24210), *GA200x1* (AT4G25420), *GA200x2* (AT 5G51810), *GA200x3* (AT5G07200), *GA30x1* (AT1G15550), *GA30x2* (AT1G80340), *GA20x1* (AT1G78440), *GA20x2* (AT1G30040), *GA20x3* (AT2G34555), *GA20x4* (AT 1G47990), *GA20x6* (AT1G02400), *GA20x7* (AT1G50960).

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhou, M. *et al. Arabidopsis* CBF3 and DELLAs positively regulate each other in response to low temperature. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 39819; doi: 10.1038/srep39819 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017