SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Arabidopsis myrosinases link the glucosinolate-myrosinase system and the cuticle

Ishita Ahuja^{1,2}, Ric C. H. de Vos^{2,3,*}, Jens Rohloff^{1,*}, Geert M. Stoop^{2,4}, Kari K. Halle⁵, Samina Jam Nazeer Ahmad⁶, Linh Hoang⁷, Robert D. Hall^{2,3,8,*} & Atle M. Bones^{1,*}

Received: 21 July 2016

Accepted: 16 November 2016

Published: 15 December 2016

Both physical barriers and reactive phytochemicals represent two important components of a plant's defence system against environmental stress. However, these two defence systems have generally been studied independently. Here, we have taken an exclusive opportunity to investigate the connection between a chemical-based plant defence system, represented by the glucosinolate-myrosinase system, and a physical barrier, represented by the cuticle, using *Arabidopsis* myrosinase (thioglucosidase; TGG) mutants. The *tgg1*, single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants showed morphological changes compared to wild-type plants visible as changes in pavement cells, stomatal cells and the ultrastructure of the cuticle. Extensive metabolite analyses of leaves from *tgg* mutants and wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants showed altered levels of cuticular fatty acids, fatty acid phytol esters, glucosinolates, and indole compounds in *tgg* single and double mutants as compared to wild-type plants. These results point to a close and novel association between chemical defence systems and physical defence barriers.

Plants exist in a dynamic environment where they face multiple biotic and abiotic stresses. Their survival depends on how well they can adapt and defend themselves against these challenges^{1,2}. To cope with environmental stress, plants have adopted systems to protect cellular activities and maintain whole plant integrity. Several plant defence systems, such as the 'plant cuticular defence' and the 'glucosinolate-myrosinase defence' are well known^{3–8}. However, both of these defence systems have been described in terms of their independent modes of action, with just a few exceptions. This is in terms of their interactive effect relating to insect herbivores by extracting glucosinolates from the leaf surface^{9–11}, or glucosinolates possibly originating from deeper leaf layers, herbivores penetrating the wax layer and perceiving compounds like isothiocyanates in deeper layers or through stomata¹². Glucosinolates are structurally diverse phytochemicals produced throughout the Brassicaceae, including *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica* crop species^{8,13,14}. They comprise one component of the dual glucosinolate-myrosinase system, in which myrosinase thioglucosidase (TGG) (EC 3.2.3.147) catalyses glucosinolate breakdown into various biologically active molecules upon tissue disruption or insect attack^{14–16}.

The cuticle acts as a barrier for water and solutes and regulates gas exchange when stomata are closed. Its ecological importance is clear in preventing plant desiccation under water deficit conditions and by providing the first physical barrier to herbivorous insects and pathogens^{17–21}. The cuticle is considered to play an important role in plant drought tolerance by delaying the onset of cellular dehydration stress under water deficit conditions^{7,22}.

Under changing climatic conditions, discovering novel links between interactions of plants with local climatic and environmental stress factors is currently a major and challenging research goal. Since both the glucosinolate-myrosinase system and the plant cuticle are well known for playing a role in plant abiotic/biotic defence, we have initiated research to find potential links between these two defence systems. In the aboveground plant parts of *Arabidopsis*, two functional myrosinase-encoding genes, *TGG1* and *TGG2*, have been reported^{23,24}.

¹Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Realfagbygget, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway. ²Plant Research International, Wageningen UR, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands. ³Netherlands Metabolomics Centre, Einsteinweg 55, 2333 CC Leiden, The Netherlands. ⁴RIKILT, Wageningen UR, Akkermaalsbos 2, 6708 WB Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁵Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway. ⁶Department of Botany, University of Agriculture Faisalabad (UAF), Pakistan. ⁷Cellular and Molecular Imaging Core Facility (CMIC), Laboratory for Electron Microscopy, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway. ⁸Laboratory of Plant Physiology, Wageningen University, P.O. Box 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.M.B. (email: atle.m.bones@ntnu.no)

TGG1 is expressed in guard cells and phloem cells^{15,16,25} and the *TGG1* protein is highly abundant in guard cells⁴. In contrast, *TGG2* is only expressed in phloem-associated cells¹⁶.

The glucosinolate-myrosinase system, mostly known as a defence agent against insects and pathogens, has been shown to be important for key abscisic acid (ABA) responses of guard cells⁴. The *tgg1* mutant showed a hyposensitive response to ABA inhibition of guard cell localized inward K^+ channels and delayed stomatal opening. Additionally, methyl jasmonate, which induces stomatal closure^{5,26,27}, down-regulated *GUS* expression in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants carrying a β -glucuronidase (*GUS*) fused to 2.5 kb *TGG1* promoter (pBIT-*TGG1*-*GUS*)^{15,28}. These findings were the stimulus for us to seek insights into the potentially deeper role of the glucosinolate-myrosinase system in plant cuticular defence. Moreover, the cuticle being a barrier between the plant and environment provides physical defence, and the well-established role of glucosinolate-myrosinase system against insect herbivores and pathogens a chemical defence, raises enormous interest to find link between these two kind of defence systems. This is due to their concerted effect on insect herbivores through extraction of glucosinolates from the leaf surface, or glucosinolates probably originating from deeper leaf layers, herbivores penetrating the wax layer, and perceiving compounds like isothiocyanates in deeper layers or through stomata^{10–12,18}. In this work, we show that the *tgg* single and double mutants show altered leaf epidermal surface and cuticle ultrastructure. We therefore proceeded to investigate if these physical changes were related to clear biochemical differences in the leaves. Through metabolic platforms, we observed differential levels of fatty acids, indole compounds, glucosinolates and flavonoids in *tgg* single and double mutants, thereby linking chemical and physical defence.

Results

***tgg* mutants show altered leaf epidermal surface and cuticle ultrastructure.** No macroscopic growth/morphological differences were observed between the wild-type (WT) and *tgg* single and double mutants during the four weeks of plant cultivation (Supplementary Fig. 1, and as reported earlier)¹⁶. To determine if the WT and *tgg* mutants differ in their leaf epidermal surface, we analyzed the abaxial leaf surfaces of WT and *tgg* single and double mutants using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Since the abaxial surface of leaf has higher stomatal density and abaxial guard cells are typically larger, we considered to analyse the abaxial surface for SEM analysis^{29,30}. The SEM images of the abaxial side showed clear differences between the WT and *tgg* mutants for pavement cells, stomata and the presence of wax crystals (Fig. 1). In WT, the pavement cells showed the characteristic jigsaw puzzle shape (Fig. 1a and b). In the *tgg1* single mutant, the pavement cells were bigger in size, but still showing a regular jigsaw puzzle shape as in the WT (Fig. 1c and d). The stomata in the *tgg1* single mutant also appeared bigger (Fig. 2e–h and Fig. 3). In the *tgg2* single mutant, the pavement cells appeared bigger, flattened and showed an irregular jigsaw puzzle shape (Fig. 1e and f). Stomata in the *tgg2* single mutant were also relatively bigger than the WT, and the stomatal aperture was mostly fully open (Figs 2i–l and 3). The pavement cells in the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant appeared deformed, overlapping each other, collapsed in some places, and hence showed an irregular jigsaw puzzle shape (Fig. 1g and h). Additionally, in the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant, smaller, tightly closed and sunken stomata were observed (Fig. 1g and h) (Figs 2m–p and 3). WT, *tgg1*, *tgg2* single mutants, and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant differ significantly for guard cell length. However, for guard cell width, only WT and *tgg1* single mutant showed significant differences (Fig. 3). In WT, hardly any wax crystals were observed. However, a relatively higher amount of wax crystals was observed on the leaf surfaces of the *tgg* mutants, in particular for the *tgg2* single mutant (Fig. 1e and f), and the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Figs 1g and h and 2).

The ultrastructure of the cuticle layer of leaves from WT and *tgg* mutants was analyzed using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In WT plants, the electron dense layer, representing the cuticle was observed as a regular structure of a condensed and continuous structure outside the cell wall (Fig. 4a and b). However, in both *tgg* single and double mutants the cuticle appeared as disrupted with reduced electron density and appeared to be discontinuous (Fig. 4c–h).

Compounds detected in WT and *tgg* mutants from fatty acid methyl ester (FAME), cutin and untargeted metabolic profiling analyses. The compounds in both GCMS datasets, fatty acid (FA) and leaf cutin analyses were quantified by comparing their peak intensities to that of the internal standards (Supplementary Figs S1 and S2). Detected compounds, which were annotated on the basis of their retention times, calculated retention indices (RIs), and mass fragments are detailed in (Supplementary Tables S1, S2 and S4).

The untargeted analysis of the GCMS-profiles of the leaf FAME extracts resulted in the detection of 22 FAs, one fatty alcohol (lauryl alcohol), 11 unknown FA phytol esters (numbered 1–11), and one unknown indole (named indole1) in WT and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants (Supplementary Table 1). The quantification of FAs showed 9c12c15c-18:3, 16:0, 7c10c13c-16:3 and 9c12c-18:2 as the most predominant among the 22 FAs detected (Supplementary Fig. 2).

The analysis of the leaf cutin extracts, using GCMS of isolated cuticular waxes, resulted in the detection of 58 compounds, of which 32 represented FAs, nine indoles, nine hydroxycinnamic acids/phenolic compounds, two monoglycerides, one-fatty alcohol, aldehyde, polyol, fatty acid ester, carbohydrate, and diterpene alcohol. (Supplementary Table S2). Among the FAs and indoles, seven FAs and three indoles could not be characterised more accurately from the spectra, which we got, and these have thus been designated as ‘unknown FA’ 1–7 and ‘unknown indole’ 1–3 (mass spectra are provided in Supplementary Table 2). The quantification of FAs acquired from cuticle analysis showed the FAs 16:0, 18:2-diacid, 9c-18:1 and 9c12c-18:2 as being the most predominant FAs among the 32 that were detected (Supplementary Fig. 3).

From LCMS profiling of semi-polar extracts, we identified 18 secondary metabolites in WT and *tgg* mutants, including glucosinolates, flavonoids and phenylpropanoids (Supplementary Table 3).

Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of abaxial leaf surface of WT, and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants of *Arabidopsis*. (a,b) WT: the non-stomatal pavement cells showing the characteristic jigsaw puzzle shape. (c,d) *tgg1* single mutant: the pavement cells appeared relatively bigger, but showed jigsaw puzzle shape, and the stomatal guard cells appeared bigger (marked by white rectangles). (e,f) *tgg2* single mutant: the pavement cells appeared bigger and flattened, showing irregular jigsaw puzzle shape, and the stomatal guard cells also appeared bigger (marked by white rectangles). (g,h) *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant: the pavement cells appeared overlapping each other, collapsed at some places, and showed irregular jigsaw puzzle shape, and the stomatal guard cells appeared smaller, closed and sunken (marked by white rectangles). (a–h) (Scale bars, 50 μm).

Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of stomatal guard cells of WT and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant. (a–d) WT. (e–h) *tgg1* single mutant: the stomatal guard cells appear bigger. (i–l), *tgg2* single mutant: the stomatal guard cells appear bigger. (m–p) *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant: stomatal guard cells appear smaller, tightly closed and sunken showing variations as compared to the normal and open stomatal guard cells in the WT, and *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants. (a,b,e,h–j,l) (Scale bars, 5 μ m). (c,d,f,g,k,m–p) (Scale bars, 2 μ m).

Figure 3. Measures of stomatal size in WT, *tgg1*, *tgg2* single mutants, and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant. Average guard cell length and average guard cell width (stomatal aperture) were used as measures of stomatal size. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences between WT, *tgg1*, *tgg2* single mutants and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Kruskal-Wallis test, $P < 0.05$), followed by pairwise Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney tests and Bonferroni correction, $P < 0.00417$). Error bars represent the means $\pm$ SE ($n = 100$).

Metabolite dependent separation between the wild-type and *tgg* mutants. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out on 111 structurally-annotated/identified metabolites (FAME extracts, leaf cutin monomers and LCMS metabolites including glucosinolates (Supplementary Table S4), which were detected in WT plants, single and/or double mutants. PC1 explained 34.7% of the total variation and coincided with

Figure 4. Ultrastructure differences in cuticle of rosette leaves of WT, and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants of *Arabidopsis* as examined through transmission electron microscopy (TEM). (a,b) WT: The cuticle is visible as a continuous, condensed and dark electron dense apposition on the cell wall. (c,d) *tgg1* single mutant, (e,f) *tgg2* single mutant, (g,h) *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant. The cuticle in *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants is visible as a disrupted and irregular electron-dense apposition on the cell wall. Bars = 2 μ m (X 18,500 magnification in a,c,e and g), =500 nm (X 68,000 magnification in b,d,f and h). Cu, cuticle; and CW, cell wall.

the segregation of WT and the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant, both of which were separated from the single mutants (Fig. 5a). PC2, explaining 18.5% of the total variation, corresponded to the separation of the double mutant from the WT. The loading plot (Fig. 5b) indicates those metabolites that were mainly responsible for the genotypic separation as shown in Fig. 5a. Segregation of the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant was mainly due to higher levels of indole compounds (Figs 5b and 6), as observed in the leaf cutin analysis. The clustering of *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants

Figure 5. 2D principal component analysis (PCA) of 111 compounds (obtained from FAME (F), leaf cutin (C) and LCMS profiling (L) of WT and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants of *Arabidopsis* ($n=5$), based on $\log_2$ ratio amended concentration levels (median) for single metabolites (Supplementary Table S4). A total of 53.2% of variation is explained by PC1 and PC2. (a) PCA plot showing differences among wild-type and *tgg1* single and double mutants for metabolic profiles. (b) Loading plot of metabolites explaining the observed variation in PCA plot, indicated by coloured lines for the different compound groups following the colour scheme used in Fig. 6. — glucosinolates; — sinapoyl esters; — fatty alcohol; — fatty acid ester; — FAs; — monoglycerides; — phenolics; — flavonol glycosides; — hydroxycinnamic acids; — indole compounds; — diterpene alcohol; — fatty acid phytol esters; — polyols; — aldehydes; — carbohydrate.

(Fig. 5a) was determined by higher levels of metabolite groups comprising glucosinolates, flavonol glycosides and sinapoyl esters in particular, in addition to certain FAs (Figs 5b and 6).

Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) revealed similar cluster patterns for the *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants (Fig. 6), which again, were separated from the WT and the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant. HCA also indicated that both single mutants contained higher levels of aliphatic and indolic glucosinolates, flavonoids and sinapoyl derivatives. In contrast, the levels of these compounds were clearly lower in the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant, while the levels of indole compounds were enhanced (Fig. 6). The WT plants were characterized by a distinct cluster of various metabolites showing higher levels compared to the mutant plants, including lipid-related compounds (erucic and palmitoleic acid, lauryl alcohol (1-dodecanol), and FA phytol esters 4 and 6), and secondary metabolites (glucoerucin, sinapoylmalate, neoglucobrassicin and 2,3,4 trimethoxycinnamic acid) (Figs 5b and 6).

***tgg* mutations alter levels of glucosinolates.** Among the nine glucosinolates detected, eight showed reduced levels in the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Fig. 7). Glucoerucin, was the only glucosinolate that showed higher levels in *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant compared to the *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants. However, *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants showed moderate to high levels for glucosinolates glucoiberin, glucoaraphanin, glucoalyssin, glucoiberin, glucohirsutin, hexyl glucosinolate, and glucobrassicin as compared to the WT (Fig. 7).

Figure 6. Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) (Pearson correlation) of 111 compounds (obtained from FAME (F), leaf cutin (C) and LCMS profiling (L)) of WT and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Heat map visualization is based on $\log_2(n)$ ratio amended concentration levels (median) for single metabolites. Bluish colours indicate lower concentration levels, and reddish colours enhanced metabolite levels (see colour scale). Compound group colour code: yellow glucosinolates; orange sinapoyl esters; red fatty alcohol; black fatty acid ester; green FAs; pink monoglycerides; purple phenolics; blue flavonol glycosides; dark blue hydroxycinnamic acids; dark blue indole compounds; light green diterpene alcohol; dark green fatty acid phytol esters; light blue polyols; grey aldehydes; white carbohydrate.

Figure 7. Metabolic levels (log₂ ratio) of glucosinolates obtained from LC-TOF-MS analyses of WT and *tgg* single and double mutants.

***tgg* mutations affect FAs double bond indices (DBIs).** The DBIs were calculated for FAs acquired from fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) and leaf cutin analyses based on the intensity data. The univariate analysis of DBIs for FAs from both analyses showed single mutant *tgg1* to have significantly lower DBIs than the WT (Fig. 8a). The DBIs for FAs, which were acquired from FA-acetyl esters (FAAEs) were slightly lower for the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant as compared to the WT but did not differ significantly. However, the DBIs for FAs attained from FAME analysis was nearly similar for WT and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Fig. 8a).

Discussion

The WT and *tgg* single and double mutants did not show any growth/morphological differences during the four weeks of plant cultivation (Supplementary Fig. 1). A similar observation was also made by Barth and Jander¹⁶. The *tgg1*, *tgg2* single mutants and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant plants appeared healthy, viable, grew normally and survived similarly to WT plants. Since we did not observe a clear macroscopic phenotype in *tgg* single or double mutants (Supplementary Fig. 1), we first applied electron microscope techniques to zoom into possible structural alterations in the leaf surface phenotype. The epidermis rosette leaves of both *tgg* single and double mutants showed a lack of the typical jigsaw puzzle shape and a smooth surface, as seen on the abaxial side of WT leaves. This was especially the case for the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Figs 1 and 2). Likewise, the leaf surfaces of *fatty acid desaturase glabra1* (*fad7-1 gl1*) mutants have been shown to exhibit an uneven surface³¹, and curly flag leaf1 (*AtCFL1*) overexpressing plants showed crinkled and deformed epidermal cells³², indicating effects of fatty acid metabolism on the leaf surface phenotype. *Arabidopsis* wild-type leaves either lack wax crystals^{33,34} or have very little very little wax deposition³⁵. In agreement with previous observations, we observed only very few and small wax crystals on the abaxial side of leaves of WT plants (Fig. 1). However, small granule-shape wax-like crystals were present on the surface of those of *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants (Fig. 1). Similar kind of observations of wax deposition on either the abaxial or adaxial sides of surfaces of leaf have been reported for *shine* (*shns*), *knobhead* (*knb*), *bicentifolia* (*bcb*), *bodyguard* (*bdg*), *lacerata* (*lcr*) and *fiddlehead* (*fdh*) mutants of *Arabidopsis*^{35–37}.

Stomata in the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant were relatively small, closed and shrunken, as compared to WT plants (Figs 1 and 2). A similar observation has been made in *hat1* (*harmattan tolerant*) mutant under mild xerothermic stress³⁸: under dry and hot growth conditions the *hat1* stomata crumpled and sank more than the WT ones, resulting in a smaller stomatal aperture and sunken stomata, particularly in combination with soil drought. The *hat1* plants are also ABA-hypersensitive. Our results therefore suggest that *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant plants show characteristics of a plant under drought stress, possibly involving ABA triggering stomatal closure and thereby reducing water loss^{38–40}. The stomata with closed aperture in *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Figs 1 and 2) fits well with the knowledge that the *TGG1* gene is expressed in stomata guard cells and its expressed protein is strikingly abundant in these cells^{4,15}. Having observed these structural differences, it was then important to investigate if these were also linked to metabolic perturbations in the leaf itself.

Metabolite alterations in *tgg* mutants have relevance to stomatal cells, guard cells, and (a) biotic stress responses.

TGG1 is an abundant protein in the guard cell proteome⁴ and appears to play an essential role in guard cell ABA signalling. The mutant *tgg1* plants used in the present study lack this enzyme and were unresponsive to ABA-dependent inhibition of stomatal opening and in K⁺-channel regulation⁴. Accordingly, the enhancement in the guard cell proteome of proteins involved in FA biosynthesis may show not only the importance of lipids to cuticle formation in the leaf, but also the potential significance of lipids and lipid metabolites as signalling entities in guard cells^{4,7}. Our metabolite analyses indicate differential accumulation of several compounds frequently associated with stomata opening and abiotic stress resistance mechanisms. For example, in epidermal peels of *Commelina communis*, α-linolenic acid (9c12c15c-18:3), the levels of which are significantly different among WT and *tgg* mutants (Fig. 8b) enhanced stomatal opening at sub-saturating levels of white light, while it inhibited darkness-induced stomatal closure⁴¹. High-temperature acclimatization caused

Figure 8. Double bond index (DBI) of fatty acids obtained from FAME and leaf cutin analysis; and metabolic levels ($\log_2$ ratio) of selected compounds obtained from FAME, leaf cutin and LC-TOF-MS analyses of WT and *tgg* single and double mutants. (a) DBI of fatty acids. (b) Metabolic levels of some of the differentially accumulated fatty acids between the WT and *tgg* mutants ($P < 0.05$). (c) Metabolic levels of differentially accumulated fatty alcohol, 1-dodecanol between the WT and *tgg* mutants ($P < 0.05$). (d) Metabolic levels of some of the differentially accumulated indole compounds between the WT and *tgg* mutants ($P < 0.05$), (e) Metabolic levels of differentially accumulated compound sinapoylmalate between the WT and *tgg* mutants ($P < 0.05$).

considerable decreases in trienoic FAs roughanic acid (levels significantly different among WT and *tgg* mutants) (Fig. 8b), and 9c12c15c-18:3, with parallel increases in levels of the less unsaturated FAs palmitic acid and linoleic acid⁴². Trienoic FAs are required for low-temperature recovery from photoinhibition and for long-term thermotolerance^{43,44}. Water deficiency increased the total cutin monomer levels by 65%, while both water shortage and NaCl changed proportionally the levels of cutin monomers⁴⁵. Levels of VLFA cerotic acid (significantly different among WT and *tgg* mutants) (Fig. 8b), was observed to be present in elevated amount in *cer9* wax mutant^{46,47}. Additionally, the *CER9* gene has been shown to play a key role as a regulator of plant water use efficiency and in overall plant stress responses. This indicated that *CER9* may encode an important new cuticle-associated drought-tolerance determinant protein⁴⁷. Dodecanol (lauryl alcohol), which was relatively low in all *tgg* mutants (Fig. 8c), was increased in *Arabidopsis* leaves infested with *Pieris rapae*⁴⁸, may be involved in the initiation of defence.

Differential levels of secondary metabolites in *tgg* mutants may have implication in plant defence occurring at the leaf surface and (a)biotic responses. In our previous studies with *Brassica napus* transgenic *MINELESS* plants, which are deficient in myrosinase activity, we showed that *MINELESS* seedlings accumulated higher levels of glucosinolates¹⁴. Likewise, in the present study, the levels of certain glucosinolates were observed to be different in leaves of WT and *tgg* single and double mutants (Figs 6 and 7). The increased levels of glucosinolates in leaves of *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants, compared to the WT plants as

reported here, might be explained by a lower degradation resulting from reduced thioglucosidase (myrosinase) gene expression. In contrast, the lower levels of glucosinolates except glucoerucin, in the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant as compared to the WT plants (Figs 6 and 7), can be possibly due to total lack of myrosinase, leading to negligible production of glucosinolate-myrosinase hydrolysis products, and thus no glucosinolate biosynthesis. Interestingly, we observed relatively high levels of several indole compounds in the cutin fraction from the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant, as compared to both the single mutants and WT plants, where indole-3-acetic acid, 3-indoleacetonitrile, and 3-indolecarboxylic acid represent some of the important examples (Fig. 8d). The role of indole-derived compounds has also been linked to the establishment and maintenance of plant systemic immunity⁴⁹. Moreover, it has been reported that cell walls of *Arabidopsis* may contain indole compounds and that these cell wall-bound indoles perhaps play a role in pathogen resistance⁵⁰.

Flavonoids, detected mainly as kaempferol and quercetin glycosides, showed higher levels in *tgg1* and *tgg2* single mutants and reduced levels in *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant (Fig. 6). The compound sinapoylmalate, here showing higher abundance in the WT than in *tgg* single and double mutants (Fig. 6 and 8e), is a UV fluorescent secondary metabolite that accumulates in the adaxial leaf epidermis⁵¹. Kim and coworkers further showed that the *reduced epidermal fluorescence5 (ref5-1)* mutant with a missense mutation in *CYP83B1* (involved in the production of indole glucosinolates), has reduced sinapoylmalate and indole glucosinolate content⁵¹. The *cyp83a1* mutants were found to be strongly affected in the accumulation of sinapoylmalate and leaf cuticular wax deposition⁴⁹. Recently, it has been proposed that volatiles must first cross membrane(s), the aqueous environment of the cell wall, and usually also the cuticle, before being emitted into external gas phase¹⁶. From this opinion paper, we can speculate that the altered levels of cuticle compounds in *tgg* single and double mutants, which have fewer or lower levels of isothiocyanate volatiles¹⁶, may also impact the biological mechanisms involved in trafficking of other hydrophobic compounds. Since the plant cuticle imposes a significant resistance to volatile organic compound (VOC) emission, another important area of research which needs further attention, is the impact of cuticle composition on VOC emission⁵², especially in the context of biotic resistance.

In conclusion, the changes observed in the different thioglucosidase (*tgg*) mutants investigated here highlight a group of compounds, which are known for their implication in plant defence mechanisms against a/biotic stress. Additionally, these distinct results on differences between WT and *tgg* mutants regarding important bioactive compounds, link the plant chemotype with cuticle structural phenotype. These results therefore provide a foundation for a novel link between chemical, cellular and physical defence systems.

Methods

Plant material and growth conditions. Seeds of *Arabidopsis* WT (Col-0), and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single mutants and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant lines¹⁶ were stratified for 2 days at 4°C and then transferred onto a soil mixture (1:1:1 peat moss-enriched soil/vermiculite/perlite) in 30 mm pots. The plants were grown in a randomized order in growth-room at 22°C/18°C, 40/70% relative humidity, a 16/8 h light/dark period, and at 80 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity. The leaves from 12 plants at four weeks after planting were pooled in a randomized order to make one biological sample for each of the WT and *tgg* mutants, by snap-freezing rosette leaves in liquid nitrogen. This way, five biological replicates were made for each of the WT and *tgg1*, *tgg2* single and *tgg1 tgg2* double mutants. The frozen material was ground into a fine powder in liquid nitrogen and the samples were used for the metabolite analyses.

SEM and TEM analyses. For SEM analysis, leaf tissues from about 3–4 weeks old plants were cut in small pieces (about 2 × 2 mm), and fixed with glutaraldehyde (2%) in Sørensen's phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2) overnight at room temperature. After washing in buffer, the samples were dehydrated through a graded ethanol series at room temperature following the method⁵³. After drying in a critical point dryer (Polaron) with liquid CO₂, the samples were mounted on aluminium stubs for SEM, and then coated with a thin film (~30 nm) of gold-palladium for 3 min by using (2, 5 kV, 20 mA) in a sputter coater (Polaron coating unit E5100). The images were taken with scanning electron microscope JSM-6480 (JEOL). The guard cell length and guard cell width have been measured from several images of WT, *tgg1*, *tgg2* single mutants and the *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant.

For TEM analysis, following the method⁵³, small pieces of leaf tissues were fixed with glutaraldehyde (2.5%) and paraformaldehyde (2%) in Sørensen's phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.2) overnight at room temperature. After washing in buffer, the samples were post fixed in 2% osmium tetroxide in Sørensen's phosphate buffer for 1 h at room temperature. The samples were washed in buffer and dehydrated through a graded ethanol series at room temperature. After infiltration with propylene oxide and epoxy resin LX-112, the samples were embedded in Epoxy resin LX-112, which was polymerised at 57°C for 3 days. Semi-thin sections (1 μm) were cut with an ultra-microtome (Leica EM UC6) using glass knives, and stained with toluidine blue for orientation and trimming of the blocks. From the areas selected, ultrathin sections (thickness = 70 nm) were cut using a diamond knife, and collected on formvar-coated copper slot grids. The grids were stained with (4% uranyl acetate in 50% ethanol) for 25 min, and alkaline lead citrate (1% in 0.2 M NaOH) for 5 min and then transferred to a grid box. The grids were viewed with a transmission electron microscope JEM 1011 (JEOL), equipped with a digital camera Morada, operating at 80 kV.

Extraction of FAs, leaf cutin and GCMS analysis. FAs were extracted and analyzed as FAMES as described by⁵⁴ with minor modifications. In short, 250 mg of leaf material was weighed and extracted with 4 ml of CHCl₃:MeOH (2:2.5 v/v) with heptadecanoic acid (C17:0, Sigma) as an internal standard. Two extra tubes were made without samples just to have standard heptadecanoic acid (in duplicate). These were started at the step when the internal standards were added to tubes containing samples. Subsequently, these tubes were subjected to the rest of the procedure together with the sample tubes and were used as negative controls. The FAs present

in the lipids of the dried chloroform extracts were converted into FAMES using a 3 ml solution of 5% (v/v) H_2SO_4 in methanol. After extraction with hexane, FAMES were analysed using GCMS, as described previously⁵⁵. The solvent (hexane), standards and sample tubes were run on the GCMS in a single series.

Leaf cutin analysis was performed based on the methods described previously⁵⁶. In short, per sample 750 mg of frozen leaf powder was immersed and homogenized with hot isopropanol (25 ml per g tissue). The insoluble pellet was cleaned by washing twice with chloroform-methanol mixtures to remove free lipid soluble compounds, and the residue dried and subsequently subjected to methanolysis with sodium methoxide and acetylation with acetic anhydride in pyridine. The residue, dried to constant weight, was heated at 60 °C with periodic vortexing in MeOH containing 15% (v/v) methyl acetate and 6% (w/v) sodium methoxide. Heptadecanoic acid (17:0), Sigma, and pentadecanolactone (Aldrich) were added as internal standards at 1 mg g⁻¹ to dried residue. Four extra tubes were made without samples just to have standard heptadecanoic acid (in duplicate) and standard pentadecanolactone (in duplicate). This was started at the same step when the internal standard were added to sample containing tubes, and then rest of the procedure was followed similarly for samples and without samples (with standards only). These tubes were used as negative controls. The monoesters (FA-acetyl esters; FAEs) were finally dissolved in heptane: toluene (1:1; v/v) before analysis by GCMS. The solvent heptane: toluene (1:1; v/v), standards and sample tubes were run on the GCMS in a single series.

Both FAME extracts (organic solvent-soluble methylated compounds) and FAEs (organic solvent-insoluble acetylated compounds) were analysed on an Agilent model 7890 gas chromatograph using a DB-225 column (Agilent technologies; 30 m × 250 µm internal diameter and 0.25 µm film thickness) and ChemStation software (Agilent Technologies) to acquire data. The data was imported into Excel, and processed as described under data processing, identification and annotation of compounds.

LC-TOF-MS analysis for untargeted profiling of semi-polar metabolites. Semi-polar leaf compounds were extracted with aqueous-methanol and analysed by liquid chromatography coupled to a photodiode array detector and a quadrupole time of flight high-resolution mass spectrometry (LC-PDA-QTOF-MS) system, using C18-reversed phase chromatography and negative electrospray ionization, as described previously⁵⁷. For metabolite extraction, 100 mg of fine-powdered leaf material was mixed with 750 µl of ice-cold 86% methanol acidified with 0.114% (v/v) formic acid. After vortexing for 5 s, sonication for 15 min and centrifugation (12,000 rpm) for 10 min, the extracts were filtered through syringe filters (Minisart SRP 4, 0.45 µm, Sartorius Stedim Biotech), and collected in glass vials.

Data processing, identification and annotation of compounds. Both GCMS and LCMS data sets were processed using the MetAlign software package (www.metaln.nl) for baseline correction, noise estimation, and ion-wise mass alignment⁵⁸. The in-house script, MetAlign Output Transformer⁵⁹ was used for filtering out low and irreproducible signals and noise value imputation. The resulting mass peak lists were subjected to MSclust⁶⁰ to group the mass signals originating from the same compound based on their similar retention time and intensity patterns across samples.

The putative identification of GCMS compounds was performed through the matching of their mass spectra extracted by MSclust to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) mass spectral library entries, using the NIST MS Search v2.0 software tool (<http://chemdata.nist.gov/mass-spc/ms-search/>), and to an in-house mass spectral database for GCMS. Both mass spectra and retention indices of the reconstructed metabolites were used to search for putative candidate metabolites already reported for *Arabidopsis*. The annotation of the predominant FAs was verified using authentic standards. RIs were calculated using a standard mixture of *n*-alkanes and FAMES, in combination with published RIs of FAMES (e.g., [NIST Standard Reference Database](#)). The identification of metabolites acquired from LCMS analysis was performed by means of their UV spectra, the exact molecular weight, by using in-house metabolite databases and as earlier described^{61,62}.

Double bond index. The double bond index (DBI) was calculated for FAs attained from FAME extracts and FAEs based on the intensity data (detector response) as follows:

$$\text{DBI} = [(\%18:1) + 2 * (\%18:2) + 3 * (\%18:3) + 4 * (\%18:4)] / 100$$

% = % portion of total fatty acid pool (= 100).

Data analysis. Multivariate data analyses were based on 111 identified or structurally-annotated metabolites (Supplementary Tables S1–S4), which were obtained from GCMS-based FAME and cuticle, and LCMS-based untargeted metabolic profiling. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out using Minitab[®] software (v.17.1.0; Minitab, Ltd., Coventry, UK) (Fig. 5). Hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) based on Pearson correlation was achieved with MultiExperiment Viewer software v. 4.9.0 (<http://www.tm4.org/mev.html>), with distinct compound groups being highlighted by a colour code (Fig. 6) (Supplementary Table S4).

The statistical analysis on 111 identified or structurally-annotated metabolites quantified FAs (Supplementary Tables S1–S4) (Supplementary Figs S1 and S2) was performed using the software package R⁶³. Normality assumption was checked using quantile-quantile-plots and the Anderson-Darling test. The results showed that the normality assumption in general was not satisfied, and therefore non-parametric tests were used for analysis. The datasets were analysed using the Kruskal Wallis test, which is the non-parametric alternative to one-way ANOVA. For the Kruskal Wallis test, $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. The compounds showing significant results using Kruskal-Wallis test were further analysed by pairwise comparisons of subgroups using Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test. For the pairwise comparisons, we used the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to correct for multiple testing, by calculating adjusted P values. An adjusted P value ($P < 0.05$) is considered significant. Five observations were available in each group and the multcompView R-package (version 0.1–7) was used to

categorize the four groups based on significance, as presented in (Figs S2 and S3), (<http://cran.r-project.org/> and choose package multcompView). The statistical analysis on DBI of FAs attained from FAME extracts and FAAEs was performed using two-way ANOVA coupled with Tukey's test (P value < 0.05).

The data for both guard cell length and guard cell width (stomatal aperture) were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA. Multiple testing correction was done using the Bonferroni correction, which means (P value < 0.025) were considered significant. Twelve pairwise comparisons (six for guard cell length, and six for guard cell width) among subgroups were done using Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test. Multiple testing correction for the Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney test was done using the Bonferroni correction, which means P values < 0.00417 were considered significant.

References

- Ahuja, I., de Vos, R. C. H., Bones, A. M. & Hall, R. D. Plant molecular stress responses face climate change. *Trends in Plant Science* **15**, 664–674 (2010).
- Ahuja, I., Kissen, R. & Bones, A. M. Phytoalexins in defense against pathogens. *Trends in Plant Science* **17**, 73–90 (2012).
- Bones, A. M. & Rossiter, J. T. The myrosinase-glucosinolate system, its organisation and biochemistry. *Physiologia Plantarum* **97**, 194–208 (1996).
- Zhao, Z., Zhang, W., Stanley, B. A. & Assmann, S. M. Functional proteomics of *Arabidopsis thaliana* guard cells uncovers new stomatal signaling pathways. *Plant Cell* **20**, 3210–3226 (2008).
- Islam, M. M. *et al.* Myrosinases, TGG1 and TGG2, redundantly function in ABA and MeJA signaling in *Arabidopsis* guard cells. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **50**, 1171–1175 (2009).
- Riederer, M. & Schreiber, L. Protecting against water loss: analysis of the barrier properties of plant cuticles. *J. Exp. Bot.* **52**, 2023–2032 (2001).
- Samuels, L., Kunst, L. & Jetter, R. Sealing plant surfaces: cuticular wax formation by epidermal Cells. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **59**, 683–707 (2008).
- Kissen, R., Rossiter, J. & Bones, A. The 'mustard oil bomb': not so easy to assemble? ! Localization, expression and distribution of the components of the myrosinase enzyme system. *Phytochemistry Reviews* **8**, 69–86 (2009).
- Marazzi, C., Patrician, B. & Städler, E. Secondary metabolites of the leaf surface affected by sulphur fertilisation and perceived by the diamondback moth. *Chemoecology* **14**, 81–86 (2004).
- Hopkins, R. J. *et al.* Leaf surface compounds and oviposition preference of turnip root fly *Delia floralis*: The role of glucosinolate and nonglucosinolate compounds. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **23**, 629–643 (1997).
- Griffiths, D. W. *et al.* Identification of glucosinolates on the leaf surface of plants from the cruciferae and other closely related species. *Phytochemistry* **57**, 693–700 (2001).
- Städler, E. & Reifernath, K. Glucosinolates on the leaf surface perceived by insect herbivores: review of ambiguous results and new investigations. *Phytochemistry Reviews* **8**, 207–225 (2009).
- Bones, A. M. & Rossiter, J. T. The enzymic and chemically induced decomposition of glucosinolates. *Phytochemistry* **67**, 1053–1067 (2006).
- Ahuja, I. *et al.* Plant defence responses in oilseed rape *MINELESS* plants after attack by the cabbage moth *Mamestra brassicae*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **66**, 579–592 (2015).
- Husebye, H., Chadchawan, S., Winge, P., Thangstad, O. P. & Bones, A. M. Guard cell- and phloem idioblast-specific expression of thioglucoside glucosylhydrolase 1 (myrosinase) in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* **128**, 1180–1188 (2002).
- Barth, C. & Jander, G. *Arabidopsis* myrosinases TGG1 and TGG2 have redundant function in glucosinolate breakdown and insect defense. *The Plant Journal* **46**, 549–562 (2006).
- Wen, M. & Jetter, R. Composition of secondary alcohols, ketones, alkanediols, and ketols in *Arabidopsis thaliana* cuticular waxes. *J. Exp. Bot.* **60**, 1811–1821 (2009).
- Müller, C. Biology of plant cuticle. Annual Plant Reviews Vol. 23 (eds Riederer, M. & Müller, C.) 398–417 (Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, 2006).
- Nawrath, C. The *Arabidopsis* Book Vol null 1–14 (The American Society of Plant Biologists, 2002).
- Pollard, M., Beisson, F., Li, Y. & Ohlrogge, J. B. Building lipid barriers: biosynthesis of cutin and suberin. *Trends in Plant Science* **13**, 236–246 (2008).
- Wallis, J. G. & Browse, J. Lipid biochemists salute the genome. *The Plant Journal* **61**, 1092–1106 (2010).
- Jenks, M. A., Andersen, L., Teusink, R. S. & Williams, M. H. Leaf cuticular waxes of potted rose cultivars as affected by plant development, drought and paclobutrazol treatments. *Physiologia Plantarum* **112**, 62–70 (2001).
- Chadchawan, S. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* cDNA sequence encoding myrosinase. *Plant Physiol.* **103**, 671–672 (1993).
- Xue, J., Jørgensen, M., Pihlgren, U. & Rask, L. The myrosinase gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: gene organization, expression and evolution. *Plant Molecular Biology* **27**, 911–922 (1995).
- Thangstad, O. P. *et al.* Cell specific, cross-species expression of myrosinases in *Brassica napus*, *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Molecular Biology* **54**, 597–611 (2004).
- Gehring, C. A., Irving, H. R., McConchie, R. & Parish, R. W. Jasmonates induce intracellular alkalization and closure of *Paphiopedilum* guard cells. *Ann Bot* **80**, 485–489 (1997).
- Suhita, D., Raghavendra, A. S., Kwak, J. M. & Vavasseur, A. Cytoplasmic alkalization precedes reactive oxygen species production during methyl jasmonate- and abscisic acid-induced stomatal closure. *Plant Physiol.* **134**, 1536–1545 (2004).
- Ahuja, I., Winge, P., Kusnierczyk, A., Jørstad, T. & Bones, A. Analysing and elucidating the role of *Arabidopsis* thioglucosidase glucosylhydrolase 1 (myrosinase) towards dehydration and methyl jasmonate XVI Congress of the Federation of European Societies of Plant Biology (FESPB) (2008).
- Wang, X.-Q., Wu, W.-H. & Assmann, S. M. Differential Responses of abaxial and adaxial guard cells of broad bean to abscisic acid and calcium. *Plant Physiology* **118**, 1421–1429 (1998).
- Willmer, C. & Fricker, M. *Stomata* 12–35 (Springer Netherlands, 1996).
- Xia, Y. *et al.* The glabra1 mutation affects cuticle formation and plant responses to microbes. *Plant Physiol.* **154**, 833–846 (2010).
- Wu, R. *et al.* CFL1, a WW domain protein, regulates cuticle development by modulating the function of HDG1, a class IV homeodomain transcription factor, in rice and *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* **23**, 3392–3411 (2011).
- Broun, P., Poindexter, P., Osborne, E., Jiang, C.-Z. & Riechmann, J. L. WIN1, a transcriptional activator of epidermal wax accumulation in *Arabidopsis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**, 4706–4711 (2004).
- Jenks Matthew, A., Eigenbrode Sanford, D. & Lemieux, B. The *Arabidopsis* Book Vol. null 1–22 (The American Society of Plant Biologists, 2002).
- Aharoni, A. *et al.* The SHINE code of AP2 domain transcription factors activates wax biosynthesis, alters cuticle properties, and confers drought tolerance when overexpressed in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **16**, 2463–2480 (2004).
- Jenks, M. A., Rashotte, A. M., Tuttle, H. A. & Feldmann, K. A. Mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana* altered in epicuticular wax and leaf morphology. *Plant Physiol.* **110**, 377–385 (1996).
- Voisin, D. *et al.* Dissection of the complex phenotype in cuticular mutants of *Arabidopsis* reveals a role of SERRATE as a mediator. *PLoS Genet* **5**, e1000703 (2009).

38. Yan, C., Shen, H., Li, Q. & He, Z. A novel ABA-hypersensitive mutant in *Arabidopsis* defines a genetic locus that confers tolerance to xerothermic stress. *Planta* **224**, 889–899 (2006).
39. Melhorn, V. *et al.* Transient expression of AtNCED3 and AAO3 genes in guard cells causes stomatal closure in *Vicia faba*. *Journal of Plant Research* **121**, 125–131 (2008).
40. Schroeder, J. I., Kwak, J. M. & Allen, G. J. Guard cell abscisic acid signalling and engineering drought hardness in plants. *Nature* **410**, 327–330 (2001).
41. Youngsook, L., Ho Joung, L., Crain, R. C., Lee, A. & Korn, S. J. Polyunsaturated fatty acids modulates stomatal aperture and two distinct K⁺ channel currents in guard cells. *Cellular Signalling* **6**, 181–186 (1994).
42. Herde, O., Peñá-Cortés, H., Willmitzer, L. & Fisahn, J. Stomatal responses to jasmonic acid, linolenic acid and abscisic acid in wild-type and ABA-deficient tomato plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **20**, 136–141 (1997).
43. Routaboul, J.-M., Skidmore, C., Wallis, J. G. & Browse, J. *Arabidopsis* mutants reveal that short- and long-term thermotolerance have different requirements for trienoic fatty acids. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **63**, 1435–1443 (2012).
44. Vijayan, P. & Browse, J. Photoinhibition in mutants of *Arabidopsis* deficient in thylakoid unsaturation. *Plant Physiology* **129**, 876–885 (2002).
45. Kosma, D. K. *et al.* The impact of water deficiency on leaf cuticle lipids of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* **151**, 1918–1929 (2009).
46. Goodwin, S. M., Rashotte, A. M., Rahman, M., Feldmann, K. A. & Jenks, M. A. Wax constituents on the inflorescence stems of double eceriferum mutants in *Arabidopsis* reveal complex gene interactions. *Phytochemistry* **66**, 771–780 (2005).
47. Lü, S. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* ECRIFERUM9 involvement in cuticle formation and maintenance of plant water status. *Plant Physiology* **159**, 930–944 (2012).
48. Van Poecke, R. M. P., Posthumus, M. A. & Dicke, M. Herbivore-induced volatile production by *Arabidopsis thaliana* leads to attraction of the parasitoid *Cotesia rubecula*: Chemical, behavioral, and gene-expression analysis. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **27**, 1911–1928 (2001).
49. Weis, C. *et al.* CYP83A1 is required for metabolic compatibility of *Arabidopsis* with the adapted powdery mildew fungus *Erysiphe cruciferarum*. *New Phytologist* **202**, 1310–1319 (2014).
50. Forcat, S., Bennett, M., Grant, M. & Mansfield, J. W. Rapid linkage of indole carboxylic acid to the plant cell wall identified as a component of basal defence in *Arabidopsis* against hrp mutant bacteria. *Phytochemistry* **71**, 870–876 (2010).
51. Kim, J. I., Dolan, W. L., Anderson, N. A. & Chapple, C. Indole glucosinolate biosynthesis limits phenylpropanoid accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Cell* (2015).
52. Widhalm, J. R., Jaini, R., Morgan, J. A. & Dudareva, N. Rethinking how volatiles are released from plant cells. *Trends in Plant Science* **20**, 545–550 (2015).
53. Li, Y. *et al.* Identification of acyltransferases required for cutin biosynthesis and production of cutin with suberin-like monomers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 18339–18344 (2007).
54. Lamers, P. P. *et al.* Carotenoid and fatty acid metabolism in light-stressed *Dunaliella salina*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* **106**, 638–648 (2010).
55. Bouwmeester, H. J., Verstappen, F. W. A., Posthumus, M. A. & Dicke, M. Spider mite-induced (3S)-(E)-nerolidol synthase activity in cucumber and lima bean. The first dedicated step in acyclic C11-homoterpene biosynthesis. *Plant Physiol.* **121**, 173–180 (1999).
56. Molina, I., Bonaventure, G., Ohlrogge, J. & Pollard, M. The lipid polyester composition of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Brassica napus* seeds. *Phytochemistry* **67**, 2597–2610 (2006).
57. De Vos, R. C. H. *et al.* Untargeted large-scale plant metabolomics using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protocols* **2**, 778–791 (2007).
58. Lommen, A. MetAlign: Interface-Driven, Versatile Metabolomics Tool for Hyphenated Full-Scan Mass Spectrometry Data Preprocessing. *Analytical Chemistry* **81**, 3079–3086 (2009).
59. Houshyani, B. *et al.* Characterization of the natural variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* metabolome by the analysis of metabolic distance. *Metabolomics* **8**, 131–145 (2012).
60. Tikunov, Y., Laptinok, S., Hall, R., Bovy, A. & de Vos, R. MSCLust: a tool for unsupervised mass spectra extraction of chromatography-mass spectrometry ion-wise aligned data. *Metabolomics* **8**, 714–718 (2012).
61. Beekwilder, J. *et al.* The impact of the absence of aliphatic glucosinolates on insect herbivory in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e2068 (2008).
62. Matsuda, F. *et al.* AtMetExpress Development: A phytochemical atlas of *Arabidopsis* development. *Plant Physiology* **152**, 566–578 (2010).
63. R Development Core Team. (the R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2011).

Acknowledgements

The Norwegian authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from FUGE Mid-Norway, RCN grants (185173, 175691, 184146, and 214329), PAK 3004 Framework for Pak-Norway Institutional Co-operation Programme, and Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority (KKH). RDH and RdV acknowledge the financial support from The Netherlands Metabolomics Centre (NMC) and the Centre for Biosystems Genomics (CBGS), both of which are part of The Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. We greatly acknowledge Mike Pollard and Packo P. Lamers for providing protocols for leaf cutin and FAME analyses, and helpful suggestions. The authors thank Victoria Gomez-Roldan, Bert Schipper, Francel Verstappen, Yury Tikunov, Anna Undas and Ludmila Mlynarova for their help with different metabolic analyses, data processing and helpful discussions. Georg Jander, Anna Kusnierczyk, and Diem Hong Tran are acknowledged for providing seeds of *tgg1 tgg2* double mutant. We thank Ralph Kissen for critical reading, discussions and helpful comments on manuscript and Mette Langaas, Prof. with Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway for guiding KKH for performing the statistical analysis on data included in the manuscript. We especially acknowledge Jam Nazeer Ahmad, Integrated Genomics Cellular Developmental and Biotechnology lab, UAF, Pakistan, as our partner on PAK-NOR project. We acknowledge CMIC (NTNU) for providing excellent service for SEM and TEM work. CMIC is funded by the Faculty of Medicine at NTNU and Central Norway Regional Health Authority. The short structure names/shorthand notations of FAs have been taken from the AOCS lipid library homepage <http://lipidlibrary.aocs.org/History/content.cfm?ItemNumber=40993>.

Author Contributions

I.A., R.C.H.d.V., G.S., S.J.N.A., L.H., K.K.H., R.D.H. and A.M.B. conceived and designed the experiments; I.A. J.R., R.C.H.d.V., G.S., L.H. and K.K.H. conducted experiments and analysed data. I.A., R.C.H.d.V., J.R., L.H. K.K.H., R.D.H. and A.M.B. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Ahuja, I. *et al.* *Arabidopsis* myrosinases link the glucosinolate-myrosinase system and the cuticle. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 38990; doi: 10.1038/srep38990 (2016).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016