SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

DCE-MRI Perfusion and Permeability Parameters as predictors of tumor response to CCRT in Patients with locally advanced NSCLC

Received: 20 January 2016
Accepted: 21 September 2016
Published: 20 October 2016

Xiuli Tao^{1,5}, Lvhua Wang², Zhouguang Hui², Li Liu¹, Feng Ye¹, Ying Song¹, Yu Tang², Yu Men², Tryphon Lambrou³, Zihua Su⁴, Xiao Xu⁴, Han Ouyang¹ & Ning Wu^{1,5}

In this prospective study, 36 patients with stage III non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLC), who underwent dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) before concurrent chemo-radiotherapy (CCRT) were enrolled. Pharmacokinetic analysis was carried out after non-rigid motion registration. The perfusion parameters [including Blood Flow (BF), Blood Volume (BV), Mean Transit Time (MTT)] and permeability parameters [including endothelial transfer constant (K^{trans}), reflux rate (K_{ep}), fractional extravascular extracellular space volume (V_e), fractional plasma volume (V_p)] were calculated, and their relationship with tumor regression was evaluated. The value of these parameters on predicting responders were calculated by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to find the independent variables. Tumor regression rate is negatively correlated with V_e and its standard variation V_{e_SD} and positively correlated with K^{trans} and K_{ep} . Significant differences between responders and non-responders existed in K^{trans} , K_{ep} , V_e , V_{e_SD} , MTT, BV, SD and MTT_SD ($P < 0.05$). ROC indicated that $V_e < 0.24$ gave the largest area under curve of 0.865 to predict responders. Multivariate logistic regression analysis also showed V_e was a significant predictor. Baseline perfusion and permeability parameters calculated from DCE-MRI were seen to be a viable tool for predicting the early treatment response after CCRT of NSCLC.

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in men and women worldwide¹. More than 70% of non-small cell lung cancers (NSCLCs) are found as locally advanced unresectable disease or as advanced metastatic disease. Radiotherapy or concurrent chemo-radiotherapy (CCRT) has been an essential treatment modality². However, even with the same clinical stage and pathological subtype, the prognosis of locally advanced NSCLC is different, which indicates tumor heterogeneity or different individual radio-sensitivity.

Blood supply to tumor is either through direct blood supply or vessel leakage. These vascular characteristics are known to influence radio-sensitivity through their effect on oxygen free radical generation, which interferes with the repair of radiation-induced DNA damage. The vascular characteristics of the tumors influence the extent of exposure to chemotherapy drugs and the level of drug activity by determining the intra-tumor pH and the ratio of quiescent cells within the tumor^{3,4}. Various techniques can be applied to extract these hemodynamic information, such as ASL (Arterial Spin Labeling), DSC (Dynamic Susceptibility Contrast) and DCE (Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced) MRI. Unlike ASL and DSC, which are largely confined to neuroimaging, DCE-MRI can be applied to the whole body and provide both perfusion and permeability information⁵. As a non-invasive technique, DCE-MRI has been used as a predictor for tumor treatment response on many anatomies i.e. brain, breast etc^{5,6}. DCE-MRI in lung cancer is an under-studied area, although previous DCE-MRI research was performed in lung by Naish *et al.*⁷ and CT perfusion research was performed by van Elmpt *et al.*⁸ The major difficulty for

¹Department of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China. ²Department of Radiation Oncology, National Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China. ³University of Lincoln, Lincoln School of Computer Science, Lincoln, LN6 7TS, United Kingdom. ⁴GE Healthcare, 10 Ronghua Road, Beijing, 100176, China. ⁵PET-CT Center, National Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.Oy. (email: houbj@126.com) or N.W. (email: cjr.wuning@vip.163.com)

Characteristics		Number of patients, %
Age (y)	Median (range)	61 years (40–72)
Sex	Male	31 (86.1%)
	Female	5 (13.9%)
Histology	Squamous cell carcinoma	30 (83.3%)
	Adenocarcinoma	6 (16.7%)
Stage	IIIA	12 (33.3%)
	IIIB	24 (66.7%)

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients ($n = 36$).

dynamic scan to be performed in lung is breathing motion. Recent research on tackling this difficulty has been laid on using medical image registration⁹, which has been successfully applied to chest CT¹⁰. Research has been performed and concluded that it is quite necessary to have a motion control component i.e. image registration in DCE analysis steps to ensure high parameter accuracy^{11,12}.

We conducted a prospective study to investigate whether baseline DCE-MRI perfusion and permeability parameters can provide useful information to predict CCRT response in patients of NSCLC.

Patients and Methods

Patients. This single-center prospective study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Cancer Hospital of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, and informed consents were obtained from all patients. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. From January 2013 to April 2015, 40 consecutive NSCLC patients staged IIIA or IIIB, who planned to receive CCRT for lung cancer in our hospital were prospectively recruited to this study. To determine the clinical stage, all of the 40 patients underwent chest CT, brain MRI, skeletal scintigraphy, and abdominal ultrasonography before therapy. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) biopsy-proven NSCLC, (b) the largest diameter of the pulmonary mass was 2.0 cm or larger, (c) no history of prior chemotherapy or radiotherapy or other therapy, (d) no contraindications for MR examination, and (e) agreement to participate in the study. All participants underwent DCE-MRI before CCRT within 1 week. The follow-up MR or CT scan was obtained at 1 month after the end of radiation therapy (when the total dose reached 60 Gy) to assess the tumor response to the therapy. Four patients who changed treatment regimen or terminated CCRT were excluded due to metastases or other serious disease during CCRT. Thus, the final cohort included 36 patients. Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1.

MRI Protocol. All MR examinations were performed on a 3.0 T scanner (Discovery MR750 3.0 T, USA) by using an eight-tunnel body phased-array coil. Multi-flip angles (3° , 6° , 9° and 12°) were first performed in the axial plane encompassing the entire tumor volume before dynamic scanning to determine pre-contrast T1 mapping. Dynamic sequence (3-Dimensional T1W fast spoiled gradient echo with repetition time/echo time = 2.9 ms/1.3 ms, flip angle = 12° , section thickness = 4.2 mm, gap = 0 mm, section number = 24) was then performed with a 4 s tempo and continued for 168 s (42 phase). During dynamic acquisition, patient took a breath for every 12 s. After the first three dynamic scans were performed, contrast agent (Omniscan, GE Healthcare) was injected at a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg of body weight with the injecting rate of 2.0 ml/s by power injector. Then the delayed phase was performed in the axial and coronary plane, which covered the area from the thoracic inlet to the adrenal glands.

Prior to DCE-MRI, T1- and T2-weighted images were obtained in the transverse plane in each patient. Pulse-gated T1-weighted fast spin echo images (repetition time/echo time = 600–900 ms/5.8 ms, matrix = 288×192) and respiratory-gated T2-weighted fast spin echo images [repetition time/echo time = (6000 ms–8000 ms)/85 ms, matrix = 288×224] were obtained with FOV of 360 mm–400 mm, section thickness of 6 mm, and gap of 1 mm encompassing the thoracic inlet through the adrenal glands routinely.

Image Analysis. Firstly, motion within multi-flip angle data and dynamic data were pre-processed by using a mutual-information based nonlinear registration algorithm. Quality of image registration was assessed subjectively by two reviewers (S.Y. and L.L. with 10 and 12 years of experience in MR imaging, respectively) in consensus according to a three category scoring system: A score of 3 = good, a score of 2 = moderate, and a score of 1 = poor. The rating was determined on the basis of the registration quality, possible mis-registration artifact, and architectural distortion of the tumor and vessel branches¹³. Secondly, pharmacokinetic analysis was carried out on motion corrected data, using in-house developed software, Omni-kinetics (GE Healthcare, Life Science, China). Thirdly, arterial input function (AIF) was obtained by placing a ROI on thoracic aorta on transverse plane in the peak arterial enhancement phase^{14,15}. We used an accelerated version of Tofts model, which is the Extended Tofts Linear model¹⁶, to generate permeability parameters (K^{trans} : endothelial transfer rate, min^{-1} ; K_{ep} : reflux rate, min^{-1} ; V_e : the fractional extracellular extravascular space (EES) volume, $0 < V_e < 1$, dimensionless; V_p : fractional plasma volume, $0 < V_p < 1$) and de-convolution method with delay correction¹⁷ was used to generate perfusion parameters (BF: blood flow, ml/100 ml/min; BV: blood volume, ml/100 ml; MTT: mean transit time, s).

Tumor ROI was placed by two radiologists (S.Y. and L.L. with 10 and 12 years of experience in MR imaging, respectively) in consensus. They went through the scan data and selected the slice with maximum tumor area. A ROI was manually drawn around the whole tumor, where the unenhanced and enhanced images were both reviewed to determine tumor boundary and avoid the presence of large vessels, and/or necrotic area(s).

Permeability parameters	Tumor regression (n = 36)		Responders (n = 21)	Non-responders (n = 15)	Responders vs. Non-responders	
	r value	P value			P value	z value
K^{trans} (min^{-1})	0.474	0.004	0.40 ± 0.15	0.26 ± 0.15	0.002	-3.118
K_{ep} (min^{-1})	0.342	0.041	2.13 ± 0.93	1.31 ± 0.50	0.004	-2.857
V_e	-0.435	0.008	0.20 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.05	0.001	-3.252
V_p	-0.052	0.762	0.05 ± 0.03	0.05 ± 0.03	0.797	-0.258
K^{trans}_{SD}	0.060	0.766	0.18 ± 0.07	0.22 ± 0.20	0.863	-0.201
K_{ep_SD}	0.176	0.381	0.89 ± 0.54	0.69 ± 0.29	0.386	-0.904
V_{e_SD}	-0.408	0.035	0.06 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.04	0.005	-2.751
V_{p_SD}	0.074	0.713	0.03 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.01	0.675	-0.439

Table 2. Baseline permeability parameters and clinical response.

Clinical Treatment and Tumor Response Assessment. All 36 patients underwent CCRT used stereotactic body radiation therapy with total radiation dose of 60 Gy in 30 fractions of 2 Gy each and chemotherapy with a combination of carboplatin and etoposide. The follow-up MR or CT scan was obtained at 1 month after the end of radiation therapy (when the total dose reached 60 Gy) to assess the tumor response to the therapy. Tumor size was defined as the maximum diameter measured on the largest area of whole tumor. Final tumors regression rate (%) was calculated according to the following equation: $100 \times (\text{pre-treatment size} - \text{post-treatment size}) / \text{pretreatment size}$.

According to the RECIST1.1 criteria¹⁸, tumor response after therapy was classified as follows: complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) or progressive disease (PD). Patients with a CR or PR were further classified as responders; the patients with SD or PD were further classified as non-responders.

Statistical analysis. The parameters were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). The SD represents the heterogeneity of tumor parameters distribution (e.g., K^{trans}_{SD}). Analysis was performed after ruling out zero values of K^{trans} to exclude non-perfused/necrotic regions, for which the pharmacokinetic model is not valid.

SPSS for Windows software (SPSS, version 17.0) was used for all data analysis. Mann-Whitney U test (MW) was used to compare parameters between responders and non-responders. The relationship between quantitative parameters and tumor regression rate after CCRT was evaluated by Spearman's correlation analysis. The value of parameters on predicting responders were calculated by receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC). Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to find the independent variables. A P value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical tests were based on a two-sided significance level set at 0.05.

Results

General. A total of 36 patients were eventually enrolled. Clinical characteristics for these patients are shown in Table 1. Mean tumor size was (4.7 ± 1.5) cm (range 2.3 cm–7.4 cm). The median interval between MRI and initial therapy was 3 days (range 1 day–6 days). After CCRT, 21 patients were classified as responders and 15 patients were classified as non-responders. The mean tumor size after treatment was (2.6 ± 1.3) cm (range 0.5 cm–5.1 cm). The quality of all motion corrected images were graded as good (31/36) or moderate (5/36).

Baseline permeability and perfusion parameters in responders and non-responders. The correlation between baseline permeability parameters and clinical response of NSCLC to CCRT are summarized in Table 2. Responders had higher K^{trans} and K_{ep} than non-responders, whereas responders had lower V_e than non-responders. Tumor regression rate after treatment was positive correlated with pre-treatment K^{trans} ($P = 0.004$) and K_{ep} ($P = 0.041$), and was negative correlated with pre-treatment V_e ($P = 0.008$). The baseline enhanced images and color maps of permeability parameters are shown in Fig. 1.

The correlation between baseline perfusion parameters and clinical response of NSCLC to CCRT are summarized in Table 3. Responders had shorter MTT [(34.2 ± 8.1) s vs. (41.9 ± 8.3) s, $P = 0.011$] than non-responders. However, there was no correlation with tumor regression rate and pre-treatment perfusion parameters. Patients with lower pre-treatment BV_{SD} and MTT_{SD} tend to have a good response ($P < 0.05$). The baseline enhanced images and perfusion parameters color maps are shown in Fig. 2.

The receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) and multivariate logistic regression analysis of kinetic parameters. The permeability and perfusion parameters, which showed good prediction capabilities to distinguish between responders and non-responders, were further analyzed by using receiver operating characteristic curve as in Table 4 and Fig. 3. ROC indicated that V_e has the best differentiation ability. By setting threshold of V_e to 0.24, the specificity, sensitivity and accuracy were 85.7%, 80.0% and 83.3%, with area under curve (AUC) of 0.865 ($P < 0.001$).

The multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that V_e was a significant predictor for estimating the responders. Details are shown in Table 5.

Discussion

T1W DCE-MRI has been extensively used in monitoring tumor response to antiangiogenic and vascular disrupting agents, radiotherapy and chemotherapy^{6,19}. It appears to be a useful tool in the investigation of tumor

Figure 1. A 65-year-old man with lung squamous cell carcinoma, who partial responded to concurrent chemo-radiotherapy and classified as responders was shown (K^{trans} mean value, 0.65 min^{-1} ; K_{ep} mean value, 1.88 min^{-1} ; V_e mean value, 0.21 ; V_p mean value, 0.01).

Perfusion parameters	Responders ($n = 21$)	Non-responders ($n = 15$)	Responders vs. Non-responders	
			<i>P</i> value	<i>z</i> value
BF (ml/100 ml/min)	46.7 ± 13.1	39.0 ± 19.2	0.070	-1.813
BV (ml/100 ml)	24.3 ± 6.5	23.4 ± 7.7	0.585	-0.546
MTT (s)	34.2 ± 8.1	41.9 ± 8.3	0.011	-2.551
BF_SD	13.5 ± 5.2	14.5 ± 5.4	0.639	-0.479
BV_SD	5.8 ± 2.8	9.6 ± 2.2	0.002	-3.007
MTT_SD	8.2 ± 4.5	14.0 ± 3.9	0.001	-3.045

Table 3. Baseline perfusion parameters and clinical response.

microvascular structure and heterogeneity, which potentially improve sensitivity to subtle drug effects and provide additional understanding of tumor biology. However, this technique was not fully explored in lung cancer due to breathing motion. In our prospective study, we incorporate a medial image registration component to ensure better parameter accuracy and investigate if DCE-MRI can be a predictor of tumor response for patients with locally advanced lung NSCLC.

The pharmacokinetic parameters can be affected by the application of arterial input function (AIF)^{14,15}. To incorporate the AIF data, several approaches have been proposed, such as reference experimentally derived AIFs, manual selection of individual AIFs, population-averaged AIFs or automatically extracted personalized AIFs^{14,15,20,21}. Reference experimentally derived AIFs or population averaged AIFs mainly used for low temporal DCE-MRI protocol i.e. breast²². The application of automatically extracted personalized AIFs is confined to various factors, such as motion artifacts, the pulse of aorta, enhancing anatomical structures adjacent to the aorta and temporal resolution^{14,15}. Therefore, we used a manual selection of individual AIFs with an ROI placed on thoracic aorta on transverse plane in the peak arterial enhancement phase. Compared with other publications in DCE-MRI on lung cancer, similar order of magnitude in parameters was obtained in our results. For example, one clinical DCE-MRI paper on lung cancer²³, gave K^{trans} values in the range of 0.058 to 2.703 min^{-1} , with a median of about 0.5 min^{-1} and close to our results. Another study²⁴ achieved same order of magnitude as ours but smaller in

Figure 2. A 62-year-old man with lung adenocarcinoma, who was stable to concurrent chemo-radiotherapy and classified as non-responders was shown (BF mean value, 19.8 ml/100ml/min; BV mean value, 22.3 ml/100 ml/min; MTT mean value, 59.2 s).

Parameters	AUC	Threshold	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Accuracy (%)
K^{trans} (min^{-1})	0.808	0.28	76.2	80.0	77.8
K_{ep} (min^{-1})	0.767	1.60	66.7	73.3	69.4
V_e	0.865	0.24	85.7	80.0	83.3
MTT (s)	0.752	37.3	73.3	71.4	72.2

Table 4. Values of baseline parameters on predicting responders. AUC, area under curve.

numbers (mean $K^{trans} = 0.125 \text{ min}^{-1}$, mean $K_{ep} = 1.194 \text{ min}^{-1}$) in permeability parameters. Neither of the above two studies showed BV or MTT values.

Kelly *et al.*²⁵ evaluated KRAS mutations, angiogenic biomarkers, and DCE-MRI in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving sorafenib. In that study, K_{ep} demonstrated a significant predictive value for overall survival (OS, $P = 0.035$) and progression free survival (PFS, $P = 0.029$). The accuracy of pharmacokinetic parameters is very sensitive to patient motion. K_{ep} is considered more robust than the other parameters in the presence of patient motion²⁶. To reduce image motion in time domain, non-rigid registration was performed in our study, and subjective evaluations on image registration showed that all registered images had good or moderate image quality. From statistical results it can be seen that, many baseline perfusion and permeability parameters can predict early tumor response. Therefore, we find more statistical valuable parameters than previous Kelly *et al.*²⁵ research, although our experiment is in the same organ but different end point. It is highly recommended to have a motion control component in the experiment such as image registration method.

The pre-treatment permeability parameters K^{trans} and K_{ep} were significantly higher in responders than in non-responders. These results might support the hypothesis that high K^{trans} and K_{ep} values indicate high blood supply and therefore better oxygenation and showed efficient radiation sensitivity²⁷. Tumors with poor blood supply will lead to chronic hypoxia of tumor cells, which influence radio-sensitivity through their effect on oxygen free radical generation by interfering with the repair of radiation-induced DNA damage, and thereby promoting the transfer of tumor cells into subtypes with more resistance to chemotherapy and radiation regimens^{28–30}.

Figure 3. ROC curves for differentiation of responders from non-responders based on the pre-treatment K^{trans} , K_{ep} , V_e and MTT, the area under curve (AUC) was 0.808, 0.767, 0.865 and 0.752 respectively.

	P Value	OR	95% CI for OR	
			Lower	Upper
K^{trans} (min^{-1})	0.086	0.000	0.000	6.035
K_{ep} (min^{-1})	0.667	0.449	0.012	7.289
V_e	0.006	2.869	2.342	3.515
MTT (s)	0.977	1.002	0.850	1.183

Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression model for estimating the responders. OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Tumors with a higher level of permeability are suggested to be better oxygenated, resulting in better access to the chemotherapeutic drug and better radio-sensitivity.

To date, several studies have evaluated the correlation between quantitative DCE-MRI permeability parameters and tumor response to radiotherapy in malignant tumors, and conflicting results have been reported. Zahra *et al.*³¹ reported that pre-treatment K^{trans} and K_{ep} had a significant correlation with tumor response in cervix cancer. Ahn *et al.*³² found that the good response group tended to show lower pretreatment K_{ep} and higher pretreatment V_e than the poor response group in a human colorectal cancer xenograft. However, Gu *et al.*³³ reported in their rectal cancer study that DCE-MRI parameters at baseline were worthless to differentiate between responders and non-responders. These contrary results may due to small sample size ($n = 5-13$), different clinical staging of patients, different clinical treatment programs or other mixed factors. Our study selected locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (stage III) with no treatment history as the object of research, and all patients conducted MR examination using the same regimen at the same time point, largely excluded the confounders above.

It is worth mentioning the value of baseline V_e on predicting responders, which is different to previous studies. Although Ellingsen *et al.*³⁴ reported that there was no association between the values of pre-treatment V_e and hypoxia in cervical carcinoma xenografts, Kim *et al.*³⁵ shown that the early increase of V_e associated with tumor regression of cervical cancer to radiotherapy. Also Cheng *et al.*³⁶ had a similar result in Lewis lung carcinoma

(LLC) tumor that the early increase in V_e and ADC correlated with tumor control. O'Connor *et al.*³⁷ reported that, high median V_e was associated with greater CRC liver metastasis shrinkage following bevacizumab and FOLFOX-6, and argue that median V_e is an estimate of the extracellular extravascular space affected by factors including cell size, number, and packing density. The high median V_e may represent a direct estimate of the distribution space to which a contrast agent or drug can be delivered, which may indicate the potential for greater extravasations of chemotherapy and bevacizumab into the extracellular extravascular space. We assume that lower values of V_e indicate higher cell density and, hence, lower rates of necrosis and more viable tissue. We may explain this result using the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) derived from diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), which has been shown to correlate inversely with tissue cellularity³⁸. Studies of a few carcinomas have shown that cellular tumors with low baseline ADC values respond better to chemotherapy or radiation treatment than tumors with high pretreatment ADC values^{39–41}. Although we did not find any accurate reports interpreting the relationship between V_e and ADC values, further studies should be performed.

From perfusion aspect, the pre-treatment values of MTT are also promising, which can predict responder on less than 37.3 s, and have negative correlation with the NSCLC regression rate to CCRT. Cho *et al.*²⁷ demonstrated that the non-necrotic in the well-perfused region has a “rapid uptake and rapid washout” enhancement mode in the Dunning R3327-AT prostate tumor of rat, whereas the hypoxic regions, typically characterized by reduced vascularization, showed a delayed contrast enhancement corresponding to a delay in signal build-up and also to a delay in washout. In necrotic regions of the tumor, the time-dependent increase in the MR signal was slowest, and no washout could be observed for the duration of the MR experiment. Another study claimed the perfusion indices MTT was correlated with the micro-vascular density of malignant solitary pulmonary nodules⁴², also supports our results. BF has a *P* value 0.07, which is very close to 0.05. Hypothetically, perfusion and permeability can all be helpful in performing tumor response prediction by providing blood supply information. By using a larger data set, it is possible that BF can show statistical difference.

Heterogeneity analysis is realized by using Standard Deviation (SD). It was reported that describing heterogeneity within tumors can providing more understanding of tumor biology¹⁹. Aerts *et al.*⁴³ demonstrated that intratumour heterogeneity was strongly prognostic, and was associated with gene-expression profiles. de Langen *et al.*²³ indicated that patients with an increase of more than 15% in the SD of tumor K^{trans} values, which mean an increase of intra-tumor heterogeneity, predicted for treatment failure. In our study, it showed that lower values SD of V_e , MTT and BV, mean relatively homogeneous of these parameters and predict a better prognosis. It is interesting to mention that heterogeneity analysis of K^{trans} and K_{ep} did not show any value. However, previous non-valuable parameter BV became useful by using SD analysis, which demonstrated that heterogeneity analysis can reveal previously hidden useful information.

Compared between perfusion and permeability parameters in correlations with tumor regression rate, statistical results showed that permeability (K^{trans} , K_{ep} , V_e and V_{e_SD}) are excellent ($P < 0.05$) predictor, whereas perfusion parameters are not related ($P > 0.05$) at all. Although, the mechanism behind the difference is not quite clear, this definitely raise the importance of using permeability as a predictor in the future.

There are several limitations in our study. Firstly, the tumor analysis on a single slice is sub-optimal. However, the tumor response assessment was performed according to the RECIST1.1 criteria, which measure the largest diameter of the largest slice. Therefore, at the current stage we just analyzed the largest slice. Secondly, the follow-up period was short, and we did not evaluate clinical end points such as overall survival rate or progression-free survival. Thus, we did not evaluate the correlation between pre-therapy DCE-MRI parameters and these endpoints. Thirdly, a comparison between perfusion and permeability parameters between tumor and healthy lung tissue would be informative for a baseline study.

In conclusion, our preliminary results suggest that baseline perfusion and permeability parameters calculated from T1W DCE-MRI were seen to be a viable tool for predicting the early response after CCRT of advanced NSCLC. Pretreatment mean value of K^{trans} , K_{ep} , V_e and MTT is potentially useful for predicting treatment response, where V_e has the best differentiation ability. Heterogeneity analysis on perfusion and permeability parameters showed that standard deviation of V_e , BV and MTT also demonstrated good prediction ability. Permeability (K^{trans} , K_{ep} , V_e and V_{e_SD}) can be used for predict tumor regression rate.

References

- Ridge, C. A., McErlean, A. M. & Ginsberg, M. S. Epidemiology of Lung Cancer. *Semin Intervent Radiol* **30**, 93–82 (2013).
- McCloskey, P., Balduyck, B., Van Schil, P. E., Faivre-Finn, C. & O'Brien, M. Radical treatment of non-small cell lung cancer during the last 5 years. *Eur J Cancer* **49**, 1555–1564 (2013).
- Jensen, R. L. *et al.* Preoperative dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI correlates with molecular markers of hypoxia and vascularity in specific areas of intratumoral microenvironment and is predictive of patient outcome. *Neuro Oncol* **16**, 280–291 (2014).
- Thews, O., Riemann, A., Nowak, M. & Gekle, M. Impact of hypoxia related tumor acidosis on cytotoxicity of different chemotherapeutic drugs *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **812**, 51–58 (2014).
- Zahra, M. A., Hollingsworth, K. G., Sala, E., Lomas, D. J. & Tan, L. T. Dynamic contrast enhanced MRI as a predictor of tumour response to radiotherapy. *Lancet Oncol* **8**, 63–74 (2007).
- Li, S. P. & Padhani, A. R. Tumor response assessments with diffusion and perfusion MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **35**, 745–763 (2012).
- Naish, J. H. *et al.* Modeling of contrast agent kinetics in the lung using T1-weighted dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *Magn Reson Med* **61**, 1507–1514 (2009).
- van Elmpt, W. *et al.* Multiparametric imaging of patient and tumour heterogeneity in non-small-cell lung cancer: quantification of tumour hypoxia, metabolism and perfusion. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* **43**, 240–248 (2016).
- Rueckert, D. *et al.* Nonrigid registration using free-form deformations: application to breast MR images. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **18**, 712–721 (1999).
- Coselmon, M. M., Balter, J. M., McShan, D. L. & Kessler, M. L. Mutual information based CT registration of the lung at exhale and inhale breathing states using thin-plate splines. *Med Phys* **31**, 2942–2948 (2004).
- Uneri, A. *et al.* Deformable registration of the inflated and deflated lung in cone-beam CT-guided thoracic surgery: initial investigation of a combined model-and image-driven approach. *Med Phys* **40**, 017501 (2013).

12. Zöllner, F. G. *et al.* Assessment of 3D DCE-MRI of the kidneys using non-rigid image registration and segmentation of voxel time courses. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* **33**, 171–181 (2009).
13. Kudo, K. *et al.* Accuracy and Reliability Assessment of CT and MR Perfusion Analysis Software Using a Digital Phantom. *Radiology* **267**, 201–211 (2013).
14. Chen, J., Yao, J. & Thomasson, D. Automatic determination of arterial input function for dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in tumor assessment. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv* **11**, 594–601 (2008).
15. Huang, W. *et al.* The Impact of Arterial Input Function Determination Variations on Prostate Dynamic Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging Pharmacokinetic Modeling: A Multicenter Data Analysis Challenge. *Tomography* **2**, 56–66 (2016).
16. Tofts, P. S. Modeling tracer kinetics in dynamic Gd-DTPA MR imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **7**, 91–101 (1997).
17. Fieselmann, A., Kowarschik, M., Ganguly, A., Hornegger, J. & Fahrigr, R. Deconvolution-Based CT and MR Brain Perfusion Measurement: Theoretical Model Revisited and Practical Implementation Details. *Int J Biomed Imaging* **2011**, 467563 (2011).
18. Eisenhauer, E. A. *et al.* New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* **45**, 228–247 (2009).
19. O'Connor, J. P. *et al.* Imaging intratumor heterogeneity: role in therapy response, resistance, and clinical outcome. *Clin Cancer Res* **21**, 249–257 (2015).
20. Yang, C., Karczmar, G. S., Medved, M. & Stadler, W. M. Estimating the arterial input function using two reference tissues in dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI studies: fundamental concepts and simulations. *Magn Reson Med* **52**, 1110–1117 (2004).
21. Parker, G. J. *et al.* Experimentally-derived functional form for a population-averaged high-temporal-resolution arterial input function for dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *Magn Reson Med* **56**, 993–1000 (2006).
22. Li, X. *et al.* A novel AIF tracking method and comparison of DCE-MRI parameters using individual and population-based AIFs in human breast cancer. *Phys Med Biol* **56**, 5753–5769 (2011).
23. de Langen, A. J. *et al.* Monitoring response to antiangiogenic therapy in non-small cell lung cancer using imaging markers derived from PET and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *J Nucl Med* **52**, 48–55 (2011).
24. Chang, Y. C. *et al.* Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer patients treated with first-line bevacizumab, gemcitabine, and cisplatin. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **36**, 387–396 (2012).
25. Kelly, R. J. *et al.* Evaluation of KRAS mutations, angiogenic biomarkers, and DCE-MRI in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving sorafenib. *Clin Cancer Res* **17**, 1190–1199 (2011).
26. Choyke, P. L., Dwyer, A. J. & Knopp, M. V. Functional tumor imaging with dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **17**, 509–520 (2003).
27. Cho, H. *et al.* Noninvasive multimodality imaging of tumor microenvironment: Registered dynamic magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography studies of a preclinical tumor model of tumor hypoxia. *Neoplasia* **11**, 247–259, 242p following 259 (2009).
28. Justus, C. R., Dong, L. & Yang, L. V. Acidic tumor microenvironment and pH-sensing G protein-coupled receptors. *Front Physiol* **4**, 354 (2013).
29. Mayer, A. & Vaupel, P. Hypoxia, lactate accumulation, and acidosis: siblings or accomplices driving tumor progression and resistance to therapy? *Adv Exp Med Biol* **789**, 203–209 (2013).
30. Halle, C. *et al.* Hypoxia-induced gene expression in chemoradioresistant cervical cancer revealed by dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *Cancer Res* **72**, 5285–5295 (2012).
31. Zahra, M. A. *et al.* Semiquantitative and quantitative dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging measurements predict radiation response in cervix cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **74**, 766–773 (2009).
32. Ahn, S. J. *et al.* Quantitative assessment of tumor responses after radiation therapy in a DLD-1 colon cancer mouse model using serial dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Yonsei Med J* **53**, 1147–1153 (2012).
33. Gu, J. *et al.* Combined use of 18F-FDG PET/CT, DW-MRI, and DCE-MRI in treatment response for preoperative chemoradiation therapy in locally invasive rectal cancers. *Clin Nucl Med* **38**, e226–e229 (2013).
34. Ellingsen, C., Hompland, T., Galappathi, K., Mathiesen, B. & Rofstad, E. K. DCE-MRI of the hypoxic fraction, radioresponsiveness, and metastatic propensity of cervical carcinoma xenografts. *Radiother Oncol* **110**, 335–341 (2014).
35. Kim, J. H. *et al.* Dynamic contrast-enhanced 3-T MR imaging in cervical cancer before and after concurrent chemoradiotherapy. *Eur Radiol* **22**, 2533–2539 (2012).
36. Cheng, J. C. *et al.* Early detection of Lewis lung carcinoma tumor control by irradiation using diffusion-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI. *PLoS One* **8**, e62762 (2013).
37. O'Connor, J. P. *et al.* DCE-MRI biomarkers of tumour heterogeneity predict CRC liver metastasis shrinkage following bevacizumab and FOLFOX-6. *Br J Cancer* **105**, 139–145 (2011).
38. Anderson, A. W. *et al.* Effects of cell volume fraction changes on apparent diffusion in human cells. *Magn Reson Imaging* **18**, 689–695 (2000).
39. Ohno, Y. *et al.* Diffusion-weighted MRI versus 18F-FDG PET/CT: Performance as predictors of tumor treatment response and patient survival in patients with non-small cell lung cancer receiving chemoradiotherapy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* **198**, 75–82 (2012).
40. Padhani, A. R. & Koh, D. M. Diffusion MR imaging for monitoring of treatment response. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* **19**, 181–209 (2011).
41. Yabuuchi, H. *et al.* Non-small cell lung cancer: detection of early response to chemotherapy by using contrast-enhanced dynamic and diffusion-weighted MR imaging. *Radiology* **261**, 598–604 (2011).
42. Mamata, H. *et al.* Clinical application of pharmacokinetic analysis as a biomarker of solitary pulmonary nodules: dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med* **68**, 1614–1622 (2012).
43. Aerts, H. J. *et al.* Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. *Nat Commun* **5**, 4006 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the technical support and assistance from Dr. Huang, Ning from Life Science, GE Healthcare China. This work was funded by the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program, Grant No. 2014AA020602) and The Innovation Funds of Peking Union Medical College (Grant No. 2013-1002-20).

Author Contributions

Conception and design: N.W., H.Oy., X.T., L.W., F.Y. and Z.S. Development of methodology: N.W., H.Oy., X.T., L.W., F.Y. and Z.S. Acquisition of data (acquired and managed patients, image registration, etc.): X.T., Z.H., Y.T., Y.M., X.X. and Z.S. Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., image analysis, tumor response assessment, statistical analysis): X.T., L.L., Y.S., Z.H., Y.T., Y.M., N.W., H.Oy., T.L. and X.X. Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: X.T., N.W., H.Oy., Z.S., T.L. and L.W. Administrative, technical, or material support: N.W., Z.S. and T.L. Study supervision: N.W., H.Oy. and T.L.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Tao, X. *et al.* DCE-MRI Perfusion and Permeability Parameters as predictors of tumor response to CCRT in Patients with locally advanced NSCLC. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 35569; doi: 10.1038/srep35569 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016