# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 21 June 2016 Accepted: 09 September 2016 Published: 03 October 2016

## **OPEN** Mapping light-driven conformational changes within the photosensory module of plant phytochrome **B**

Silke von Horsten<sup>1</sup>, Simon Straß<sup>1</sup>, Nils Hellwig<sup>2</sup>, Verena Gruth<sup>1</sup>, Ramona Klasen<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Mielcarek<sup>1</sup>, Uwe Linne<sup>1</sup>, Nina Morgner<sup>2</sup> & Lars-Oliver Essen<sup>1,3</sup>

Organisms developed different photoreceptors to be able to adapt to changing environmental light conditions. Phytochromes are red/far-red (r/fr) photochromic photoreceptors that belong to the classical photoreceptors along with cryptochromes and phototropins. They convert absorbed light into a biological signal by switching between two states in a light-dependent manner therefore enabling the light control downstream signalling. Their P<sub>r</sub>, conformation is the biological active form in plants, but until now only a structure of the ground state (P,) was solved. Here, the authors provide information about structural changes occurring during photoconversion within phytochrome B and identify possible interaction sites for its N-terminal extension (NTE) utilising hydrogen/deuterium exchange rate analyses of its amide backbone. Especially, the newly identified light-dependency of two regions in the NTE are of particular interest for understanding the involvement of the phytochrome's NTE in the regulation of its downstream signalling.

Phytochromes are subdivided in an N-terminal photosensory module (PSM), which is responsible for binding the bilin chromophore and a C-terminal dimerisation module<sup>1</sup>. The PSM of canonical phytochromes consists of a PAS-GAF-PHY domain tripartite module<sup>2</sup> preceded by an additional N-terminal extension in plant phytochromes. The composition of the dimerisation module is unique for plant phytochromes, consisting of two PAS domains and a kinase-related domain, which function is still unclear. Nevertheless, the module might be involved in downstream signalling by binding of interaction partners<sup>1,3</sup>.

Genome sequencing showed that monocot plants harbour three phytochromes (PhyA-PhyC)<sup>4,5</sup> whereas dicots have five phytochromes (PhyA-PhyE)<sup>6,7</sup> which have distinct but also overlapping responsibilities. The five Arabidopsis phytochromes are often divided into four sub-families (PhyA, PhyB/D, PhyC and PhyE) based on the high sequence similarity of phytochrome B and D genes due to recent duplication<sup>7-9</sup>. Generally, phytochromes are categorised into two groups: the light-labile type I phytochromes (PhyA) displaying rapid lability in the P<sub>fr</sub> form and type II phytochromes displaying relative stability in the P<sub>fr</sub> form (PhyB - PhyE)<sup>6</sup>.

So far, a crystal structure is only available for the PSM of phytochrome B from Arabidopsis thaliana, but not for its NTE<sup>10</sup>. This structure reveals high structural similarity to known bacterial phytochromes including the unusual figure-of-eight knot at the PAS-GAF interface, which may serve as binding site for interaction partners<sup>11</sup>, and a long helical spine that links the GAF with the PHY domain. Furthermore, the GAF domain forms the chromophore binding pocket, whereas the PHY domain contributes a long loop protrusion, the tongue region, which closes the chromophore binding pocket by shielding it from bulk solvent access.

The large NTE (M1-T90), unique for PhyB and PhyD, consists of a serine-/glycine rich region<sup>12</sup> and is crucial for P<sub>fr</sub> stability<sup>1,3,13</sup>. Furthermore, the NTE of AtPhyB contains at least three identified phosphorylation sites (S84, S86, Y104), which are light-dependently dephosphorylated and affect the spectral properties and biological activity. These studies also showed an effect on the binding affinity towards PIF3 (phytochrome interaction factor) upon phosphorylation of these residues<sup>14,15</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Departments of Chemistry and Biology, Philipps-Universität, D-35032 Marburg, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, Goethe-Universität, D-60438 Frankfurt, Germany. <sup>3</sup>LOEWE Center for Synthetic Microbiology, Philipps-Universität, D-35032 Marburg, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.-O.E. (email: essen@chemie.uni-marburg.de)

Due to their covalently bound chromophore, phytochromes exist in two interconvertible forms, a red light absorbing  $P_r$  state (biological inactive) and a far-red light absorbing  $P_r$  state (biological active)<sup>1</sup>. The latter can light-independently convert back to  $P_r$  via thermal relaxation. Upon absorption of a photon by the  $P_r$  ground state, a conversion of the bilin chromophore from the ZZZssa to the ZZEssa configuration of the C15 = C16 double bond takes place<sup>16-19</sup>, leading to structural changes and thereby inducing the movement to the plant cell nucleus. This transport is considered as the key step in phytochrome signalling<sup>20</sup>. When arrived in the nucleus, phytochromes directly interact with transcription factors such as PIF, promoting their phosphorylation and thereby degradation that causes the activation of light responses<sup>21–25</sup>.

Based on a variety of structures from proteobacterial and cyanobacterial relatives<sup>2,26-33</sup>, especially the structures of both states<sup>34,35</sup>, the structural changes during photoconversion could be mapped to the PHY domain, mostly to the tongue region, as well as to the deformation of the helical spine. Within the tongue-GAF interface a tryptophan swap of the conserved  $W_{FX}E$  and  $W_{G}^{G}$  motifs as well as a local refolding of the tongue upon  $P_r \rightarrow P_{fr}$  photoconversion was proposed as a general model for phytochrome action<sup>26,10</sup>. For a more detailed description see recent reviews on this topic<sup>36,37</sup>.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Spectroscopical studies of the PSM of *At*PhyB with PCB as cofactor have shown that the absence of the NTE induces a 10 nm hypsochromic shift in the  $P_{fr}$  absorption (see Supplemental Fig. S1a). A similar shift by 7 nm has been reported before for *At*PhyB with P $\Phi$ B as cofactor<sup>10</sup>. Furthermore, the absence of the NTE enhances the thermal reversion from t/2 = 82.0 min for the WT to 4.3 min for the deletion mutant<sup>10</sup>. We have investigated the influence of the NTE by comparing HDX-MS measurements of the WT with a variant without NTE ( $\Delta$ NTE). Hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) offers insights into protein dynamics in solution, an advantage compared to static methods like X-ray crystallography. Due to different accessibilities of the protein backbone amide hydrogens for an exchange with deuterium, information of the environment of these hydrogens can be gained. Also, the system is highly sensitive to structural changes that alter the environment of the hydrogens and therefore their exchange rates<sup>38-40</sup>. A structure is desirable to map the structural context of deuterium uptake, but even without, HDX-MS can provide valuable information, e.g. whether a region is folded or not<sup>41</sup>. We studied *At*PhyB in both states and analysed changes in deuterium exchange in comparison to its P<sub>r</sub> structure<sup>10</sup> and a model for its P<sub>fr</sub> state (see Supplemental Fig. S3). The dark reversion was measured at 5 °C to ensure that at least 75% of the initially formed P<sub>fr</sub> state are present for the duration of the HDX-measurement (see Supplemental Fig. S1b).

**Dynamic information about** *AtPhyB.* To locate possible contacts between the PSM and the NTE, HDX measurements of the WT and the  $\Delta$ NTE variant were performed. In order to estimate the quality of our HDX-MS data, we compared the exchange rates with the structure of *AtPhyB*. Our data are in good agreement with the structure, as the NTE region shows fast exchange that decreases with the beginning of the PAS domain. Furthermore, three fast exchanging loops, *f*-loop1 (G142-E155), *f*-loop2 (N378-M394) and *f*-loop3 (V464-M471), which are not defined in the *AtPhyB* structure proved to be highly flexible and therefore indicate the high quality of the HDX-data (see Fig. 1b).

Differences between our experiments and the structure were found for the  $\alpha$ 5-helix in the PAS domain. Our experiments show that the N-terminal part of the helix (I228-A238) exerts a very high uptake rate compared to the rest of the protein in the P<sub>r</sub> state. Interestingly, comparing the crystal structures of *Synechocystis* 6803 Cph1<sup>2</sup> and *At*PhyB<sup>10</sup>, only the latter harbours an elongated  $\alpha$ 5-helix (see Fig. 1b, upper inlet), which may not be representative for the solution state of *At*PhyB.

**Light-dependent changes in the NTE.** Till now the NTE and the hinge region (R624-R654, see Fig. 1a)<sup>42</sup>, that follows the PSM, were postulated to function as highly flexible regions<sup>1,3</sup>. Here, we present contradicting results since several regions exhibit reduced uptakes (P28-Q36, S627-G632, M640-G642 and L649-A651). Interestingly, two regions in the NTE also show light-dependent changes (K56-I58 and S84-K88) (see Fig. 1c). The latter (S84-K88) includes two prominent phosphorylation sites of *At*PhyB, S84 and S86<sup>14</sup>. Likely, structural changes in this region could lead to steric hindrance of the P<sub>fr</sub> form and explain the increased dark reversion of the phosphomimic variant<sup>14</sup>. Furthermore, in the HDX coverage map a peptide was present without apparent exchange (L67-F71) that is independent of the photostate and may indicate a highly protected region, which is shielded from solvent exposure by protein-protein-interactions (see Supplemental Fig. S3).

**Packing model of the NTE.** The backside of the PAS domain harbours three <u>s</u>low exchanging loops, *s*-loop1 (Q115-C119), *s*-loop2 (H193-F201) and *s*-loop3 (K317-V325) (see Fig. 1b, lower inlet). Dimerisation of the proteins as possible explanation for the slow exchanging loops could be excluded, because both *At*PhyB variants show monomeric behaviour in size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Small deviations between the apparent and calculated molecular masses (WT: 102 vs. 72.6 kDa;  $\Delta$ NTE variant: 81 vs. 63.5 kDa) in the SEC runs most likely derive from the elongated shape of the phytochromes' photosensory modules (Supplemental Fig. S2a). For further proof, we performed high-resolution native mass spectrometry measurements to validate the oligomerisation state of *At*PhyB<sup>43</sup>. The obtained masses (WT: 72.9 kDa;  $\Delta$ NTE variant: 63.8 kDa) clearly show that the proteins are monomeric and no indication of dimers could be found (see Supplemental Fig. S2b). Monomeric behaviour of *At*PhyB in solution is in contrast to the parallel dimeric arrangement described before for the  $\Delta$ NTE structure in a monoclinic crystal form<sup>10</sup>. However, this arrangement *in crystallo* depended significantly on helix bundle formation by the elongated  $\alpha$ 5-helix of the PAS domain. The monomeric state in solution is hence accompanied by the stronger disorder for the N-terminal part of  $\alpha$ 5 as found above.



**Figure 1.** HDX analysis of the (1–651) PSM. (a) Domain organisation of PhyB. The binding pocket for the chromophore (P $\Phi$ B = phytochromobilin) is built by the GAF domain and covered by the tongue of the PHY domain and the N terminal extension (NTE). (b) The relative fractional uptake after 30 s of the P<sub>r</sub> state is mapped to the crystal structure (PDB code 4OUR). Strikingly, there are three fast exchanging loops (*f*-loops) and three slow exchanging loops (*s*-loops) on the backside of the PAS domain (lower inlet). The inlay shows the  $\alpha$ 5<sub>PAS</sub> helix compared to *Syn*Cph1 (PDB code: 2VEA) is longer but reveals a high exchange rate. (c) Deuterium uptake of the NTE and hinge region (615–651) show regions with reduced uptake (P28-Q36, S627-G632, M640-G642 and L649-A651) and light-dependent changes (K56-I58 and S84-K88). Known phosphorylation sites (P) are circled; bold letters indicate the start and end of the *At*PhyB crystal structure; PCB = phycocyanobilin. The nomenclature of secondary structure refers to Burgie *et al.*<sup>10</sup>.

Given the high-degree of surface-exposure of the loops we postulate that these regions are instead protected by interactions with the NTE. By analysing the  $P_r$  state of a  $\Delta$ NTE variant of *At*PhyB we found indeed differences in deuterium uptake, which allowed us to postulate a packing model between the NTE and the PSM (see Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Differences in deuterium uptake of the *At*PhyB wildtype and the  $\Delta$ NTE variant in the P<sub>r</sub> state. P<sub>r( $\Delta$ )</sub> – P<sub>r(WT)</sub> reveals possible interactions sites of the N terminal extension. The NTE is shown as spheres and coloured according to their deuterium exchange rate. Black labelled amino acids are involved in the binding of the phytochrome interaction factor.

The fast uptake rates of T89-A103 are indicators of a flexible loop in contrast to the preceding stretch of F81-K88, whose reduced uptake is rationalised due to NTE-PSM-interactions. The S86D mutant, which mimics the phosphorylated state of *At*PhyB at S86, is known to weaken PhyB-PIF interactions. Our data indicate an intimate interaction between this region and the knot region, the putative binding side for PIFs<sup>44</sup>. The lower affinity of phytochromes towards PIF3 in the P<sub>r</sub> state could be explained by the found NTE-PSM interaction, which blocks part of the binding site.

Furthermore, a stretch close to the <sup>W</sup>/<sub>F</sub>xE (S594-E600) motif of the tongue region apparently interacts with NTE-residues, e.g. P28-Q36, explaining the faster uptake for this motif in the  $\Delta$ NTE variant. Upon photoconversion the P28-Q36 stretch might switch interaction partners since this region exhibits an increased uptake rate in P<sub>fr</sub> (see Supplemental Fig. S3). A potential binding partner is the  $\beta$ 1<sub>GAF</sub> strand and its adjacent loop, which become both more protected in the P<sub>fr</sub> state (see Fig. 3c). Light-dependent differences also occur for the  $\alpha$ <sub>NTE</sub> (Y104-R110), which exerts a reduced uptake rate in the P<sub>fr</sub> state and may interact with the knot region instead of the chromophore, since the Y104D mutant weakens PIF binding in P<sub>fr</sub><sup>15</sup>.

**Reorganisation of the GAF and PHY domains in P**<sub>fr</sub>. Interestingly, we observed different exchange rates for the two states in the helical spine connecting the GAF and PHY domains. Whereas the L437-M439 stretch exchanges slower, S440-E441 exchange faster in  $P_{\rm fr}$  leading to the conclusion that the former region is better protected against the solvent. This indicates state-dependent kinking of the helical spine as already found for bacterial phytochromes<sup>45,46</sup>.

The key step during photoconversion is the  $Z \rightarrow E$  isomerization of the double bond during rotation of the D-ring, which presumably initiates conformational changes within the chromophore binding pocket. The regions around residue H276 and P304, which are both involved in forming the aliphatic interface of the D-ring are more protected against deuterium exchange in the P<sub>fr</sub> state. Likewise, an adjacent loop (F278-E282) exchanges similar slow. Taken together, these findings endorse the assumption of movements or reorganisation of secondary structures in some parts of the GAF and PHY domains resulting in a smaller separation between the two domains, which possibly influences phytochrome downstream signalling (see Fig. 3c).

**Tryptophan swap as general model for phytochromes.** Our previously proposed tryptophan swap model that has been evolved from bacterial phytochromes predicts a local refolding of the tongue region, where upon  $P_r \rightarrow P_{fr}$  conversion the two-stranded  $\beta$ -hairpin is dissolved and an  $\alpha$ -helix, interacting with the chromophore binding site, is formed. The largest change in deuterium uptake was indeed found for the W<sup>G</sup>/<sub>A</sub>G motif, which had almost no uptake in  $P_r$  compared to its high mobility in  $P_{fr}$ . Its high-degree of protection in the  $P_r$  state for exchange is in good agreement with structural data, since this motif is located in  $P_r$  on a  $\beta$ -strand ( $\beta_{ent}$ ) that packs against the GAF domain, whereas in  $P_{fr}$  it is predicted to be part of a highly exposed loop (see Fig. 3a). Furthermore, the proteolytic digestion pattern of the tongue region changes upon the  $P_r \rightarrow P_{fr}$  transition and supports the transformation of secondary structure and thereby the applicability of the swap model for plant phytochromes (see Fig. 3b).

Overall, our data show that light-triggered structural changes within phytochromes, which cause alterations of their protein-protein interaction pattern, involve a complex orchestration by different regions, including NTE, tongue region and  $\alpha$ -helical spine.

#### **Material and Methods**

**Protein Purification.** The plasmid carrying the coding sequence for *Arabidopsis thaliana phytochrome B* (1–651; WT) was kindly provided by Andreas Zurbriggen (University Düsseldorf) and the  $\Delta$ NTE (90–651) lacking



**Figure 3.** Differences in deuterium uptake of the *At*PhyB wildtype. (a) The results for the tongue region of wildtype *At*PhyB are mapped to the  $P_r$  crystal structure (left) and a  $P_{fr}$  model (right), respectively. The largest changes in deuterium uptake are found for the WGG motif, which has a low exchange rate in  $P_r$  compared to a high one in  $P_{fr}$ . (b) A different digestion pattern refers to structural changes in the tongue region. (c) Deuterium uptake difference ( $P_{fr} - P_r$ ) near the gap between the GAF-PHY domains. The helical spine as well as a loop and an adjacent beta strand exchanges slower in the  $P_{fr}$  state. PCB = phycocyanobilin.

the NTE was amplified via the polymerase chain reaction from the plasmid using Phusion<sup>®</sup> High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB) according to the manufacture's protocol. Primer (fwd: 5'-CCTCGGACATGTATGACGACGT ACGGTTCC-3'; rv: 5'-GCACGTCTGCAGTTAATGGTGATGGTGATGATG-3') for the phyb sequence were designed to introduce restriction enzyme sites for PciI and PstI and the fragment was cloned into the PstI and NcoI restriction sites of the pCDF Duet-1 vector (Novagen). The sequences of the plasmids were controlled by dideoxy-sequencing (GATC). Cotransformation of the generated plasmid encoding the WT or  $\Delta$ NTE variant of AtPhyB with p171<sup>47</sup> that promotes the *in vivo* biosynthesis of PCB in *E. coli* BL21 Pro (*Clontech*; WT) or *E. coli* BL21 Gold (*Novagen*;  $\Delta$ NTE) allowed production of holo-PhyB. The expression was carried out in LB medium containing 35 mg/L kanamycin and 100 mg/L ampicillin at 37 °C to an OD<sub>595</sub> of 0.6. The temperature was then decreased to 18 °C and was induced with 1 mM IPTG and 0.4% arabinose. After 22 h the cells were harvested the culture by centrifugation (8200 g, 15 min, 4 °C) and resuspended in TS buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.8, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol). Bacterial cells were lysed with a French Press (AMINCO) and the supernatant was separated by centrifugation (40000 g, 30 min, 4 °C) and followed by Ni<sup>2+</sup>-affinity chromatography (HisTrap<sup>TM</sup> HP column, *GE*) eluting with TIS buffer (50 mM Tris, 250 mM Imidazol pH 7.8, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol). A final purification step was done by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 26/60, GE, 2 mL/min) using 50 mM Tris pH 7.8, 5 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol as buffer.

**UV/Vis spectroscopy.** UV/Vis spectra were recorded at room temperature with a spectrophotometer V-660 (*Jasco*) using an 1 cm path length cell, a scan speed of 1000 nm/min, a data interval of 0.5 nm and a bandwidth of 1.0 nm. The sample was irradiated for 2 min with red or far-red LEDs (B5-436-30D,  $\lambda_{max}$  664 nm and SMC735,  $\lambda_{max}$  735 nm; both 40 nm FWHM, *Roithner*).

Dark reversion measurements were performed by measuring time-dependent absorbance with a spectropolarimeter J-810 (*Jasco*) and a 2 mm path length cell at 5 °C. After irradiation of the sample for 4 min with red light, the absorbance at 712 nm was recorded every 20 min. Each value corresponds to three separate measurements.

**Native mass spectrometry.** Native electrospray ionisation mass spectrometric analysis was performed with a *Waters* Synapt G2-S time-of-flight mass spectrometer equipped with a NanoLockSpray ionisation source.

The sample was sprayed using Pd/Pt-coated borosilicate needles prepared in-house. Capillary and cone voltages were set to  $2 \,\text{kV}$  and  $150 \,\text{V}$ , respectively. The trap and transfer collision energies were set to  $60 \,\text{V}$  and  $80 \,\text{V}$ , respectively, with a trap gas flow of  $7.0 \,\text{mL/min}$ .

Directly prior to MS analysis,  $30 \,\mu\text{L}$  of the protein solution was buffer exchanged into 50 mM Tris pH 7.8, 5 mM EDTA and 1 mM  $\beta$ -Mercaptoethanol at 4 °C using micro Bio-Spin<sup>®</sup> columns (*Bio-Rad Laboratories*). 4  $\mu$ L of the sample solution were loaded into the nanoESI-needle.

**Hydrogen-Deuterium-Exchange-Mass Spectrometry (HDX-MS).** The HDX-mass spectrometric analysis of the samples was carried out using a commercial HDX-automation setup (SYNAPT G2-Si, *Waters*) including a two-arm robotic autosampler (*LEAP Technologies*), an ACQUITY UPLC M-Class system (*Waters*) and HDX manager (*Waters*). The samples were transferred by PD-10 into a low salt buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.8, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol), centrifuged for 10 min at 16100 g and 4 °C prior to irradiation with 656 nm (P<sub>fr</sub> state) or 735 nm (P<sub>r</sub> state) for 4 min in darkness, afterwards wrapped in aluminium foil and cooled to 1 °C. For each LCMS run, 7.5 µL of the protein solution (60 µM) were pipetted in a fresh vial of the exchange plate at 25 °C and diluted with 61.8 µL of either H<sub>2</sub>O-buffer (t0-runs) or D<sub>2</sub>O-buffer (exchange runs). After incubation for pre-defined times, 55 µL of this solution were transferred to a fresh quench vial containing 55 µL of quenching solution (400 mM H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> pH 2.2), which was pre-dispensed and pre-cooled to 1 °C for 10 minutes before starting the first run. After quenching, 95 µL of the resulting solution was immediately injected into the pepsin column (HDX manager, *Waters*).

Digestion was done online using an Enzymate BEH pepsin column (*Waters*) at 20 °C with water/0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of  $100 \mu$ L/min. Subsequently, peptic peptides were trapped at 0.5 °C using a C18 trap column. Separation of peptides was achieved at 0.5 °C utilising a 1 × 100 mm ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7 µm column (*Waters*) at a flow rate of 30 µL/min with the following gradient of solvents A (water 0.1% formic acid) and B (acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid): Linear increase from 5% B to 35% B within 7 minutes, followed by a ramp to 85% B within 1 minute and holding 85% B for additional 2 minutes. Finally, the column was washed at 95% for 1 minute and re-equilibrated to 5% B for 5 minutes. During separation of peptides using the chromatographic column, the pepsin column was washed by injecting 3 times 80 µL of 4% acetonitrile and 0.5 M guanidinium chloride.

Enhanced high definition MS (HDMSe) mode was used for t0 peptide detection, which is a workflow provided by Waters for data independent acquisition, including ion mobility separation (IMS) of precursor ions within the gas phase and alternating lower and higher energies applied to the transfer cell (higher energies lead to fragmentation of IMS separated precursor ions, lower energies result in non-fragmented peptide molecular ion spectra), and HDMS (also including IMS, but with only lower energies applied to the transfer cell preventing fragmentation) for measuring exchanged peptides. Lock mass spectra were measured every 45 seconds using Glu-fibrinopeptide B as standard ( $M^{2+} = 785.8427 \text{ m/z}$ ). Blank runs were performed between each sample to avoid peptide carryover from previous runs.

t0 peptide identification was performed using ProteinLynx Global SERVER 3.0.1 (*Waters*) with custom-created databases and the setting "no enzyme". Final assignment of deuterium incorporation was done with DynamX 3.0 (*Waters*). The minimum peak intensity was set to 10<sup>3</sup> counts and a peptide length between four and 15 was chosen. Moreover, tolerances of 0.5 min for the retention time and 25 ppm for m/z values were applied for the peptide assignment, generating an overall sequence coverage of 91% for the WT and 84% for the  $\Delta$ NTE. For the WT 168 peptides were analysed in P<sub>r</sub> and 165 in P<sub>fr</sub> with an overall redundancy of 2.3 per amino acid, whereas for the  $\Delta$ NTE variant 153 peptides were analysed with an redundancy of 2.3. A standard deviation of 4 $\sigma$  was used to quantify the amount of variation between the repetitions. Statistically significant differences in deuterium uptake were determined by performing a two-sided t-test at a 98% confidence interval<sup>48</sup> (see Supplementary Table S1 and S2). Additionally, the assumption of homogeneity of variances was tested prior by Levene's F test.

The results of the analysis were mapped on the structure of the P<sub>r</sub> state of *Arabidopsis thaliana* PhyB (PDB code: 4OUR)<sup>10</sup> or a model of the P<sub>fr</sub> state. The latter is generated by MODELLER 9.10<sup>49</sup> using a hybrid template consisting of the crystal structure of *At*PhyB, the PHY domain of *Deinococcus radiodurans* BphP (PDB code: 4O01)<sup>35</sup> and the tongue region of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* BphP (PDB code: 3NHQ)<sup>34</sup>. Figures were created using PYMOL 1.6 (*DeLano Scientific*).

#### References

- Rockwell, N. C., Su, Y.-S. & Lagarias, J. C. Phytochome structure and signaling mechanisms. *Annu. rev. plant biol.* 57, 837–858, doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.56.032604.144208 (2006).
- Essen, L.-O., Mailliet, J. & Hughes, J. The structure of a complete phytochrome sensory module in the Pr ground state. PNAS 105, 14709–14714, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806477105 (2008).
- 3. Vierstra, R. D. & Zhang, J. Phytochrome signaling: solving the Gordian knot with microbial relatives. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 417–426, doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2011.05.011 (2011).
- Goff, S. A. et al. A Draft Sequence of the Rice Genome (Oryza sativa L. ssp. japonica). Science 296, 92–100, doi: 10.1126/ science.1068275 (2002).
- Mathews, S. & Sharrock, R. A. Phytochrome gene diversity. *Plant, Cell and Environ.* 666–671, doi: 10.1046/j.1365-3040.1997.d01-117.x (1997).
- Sharrock, R. A. & Quail, P. H. Novel phytochrome sequences in Arabidopsis thaliana: structure, evolution, and differential expression of a plant regulatory photoreceptor family. *Genes* 3, 1745–1757, doi: 10.1101/gad.3.11.1745 (1989).
- Clack, T., Mathews, S. & Sharrock, R. A. The phytochrome apoprotein family in Arabidopsis is encoded by five genes: the sequence and expression of PHYD and PHYE. *Plant Mol. Biol* 25, 413–427, doi: 10.1007/BF00043870 (1994).
- Cowl, J. S. et al. The PHYC Gene of Arabidopsis (Absence of the Third Intron Found in PHYA and PHYB). Plant Physiol. 106, 813–814, doi: 10.1104/pp.106.2.813 (1994).
- Mathews, S. & McBreen, K. Phylogenetic relationships of B-related phytochromes in the Brassicaceae: Redundancy and the persistence of phytochrome D. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 49, 411–423, doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2008.07.026 (2008).
- Burgie, E. S., Bussell, A. N., Walker, J. M., Dubiel, K. & Vierstra, R. D. Crystal structure of the photosensing module from a red/farred light-absorbing plant phytochrome. PNAS 111, 10179–10184, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1403096111 (2014).

- Oka, Y., Matsushita, T., Mochizuki, N., Quail, P. H. & Nagatani, A. Mutant Screen Distinguishes between Residues Necessary for Light-Signal Perception and Signal Transfer by Phytochrome B. *PLoS Genet.* 4, 1–13, doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000158 (2008).
- Mathews, S. Evolutionary Studies Illuminate the Structural-Functional Model of Plant Phytochromes. *Plant Cell* 22, 4–16, doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.072280 (2010).
- Cherry, J. R., Hondred, D., Walker, J. M. & Vierstra, R. D. Phytochrome requires the 6-kDa N-terminal domain for full biological activity. PNAS 89, 5039–5043, doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.11.5039 (1992).
- Medzihradszky, M. et al. Phosphorylation of Phytochrome B Inhibits Light-Induced Signaling via Accelerated Dark Reversion in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25, 535-544, doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.106898 (2013).
- Nito, K., Wong, C. C. L., Yates, I., John, R. & Chory, J. Tyrosine Phosphorylation Regulates the Activity of Phytochrome Photoreceptors. *Cell Rep.* 3, 1970–1979, doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.05.006 (2013).
- Rüdiger, W., Thümmler, F., Cmiel, E. & Schneider, S. Chromophore structure of the physiologically active form (P(fr)) of phytochrome. PNAS 80, 6244–6248 (1983).
- Fodor, S. P., Lagarias, J. C. & Mathies, R. A. Resonance Raman analysis of the Pr and Pfr forms of phytochrome. *Biochemistry* 29, 11141–11146, doi: 10.1002/jrs.1250180713 (1990).
- Matysik, J., Hildebrandt, P., Schlamann, W., Braslavsky, S. E. & Schaffner, K. Fourier-transform resonance Raman spectroscopy of intermediates of the phytochrome photocycle. *Biochemistry* 34, 10497–10507, doi: 10.1021/bi00033a023 (1995).
- Foerstendorf, H., Mummert, E., Schäfer, E., Scheer, H. & Siebert, F. Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy of Phytochrome: Difference Spectra of the Intermediates of the Photoreactions. *Biochemistry* 35, 10793–10799, doi: 10.1021/bi960960r (1996).
- Kevei, E., Schafer, E. & Nagy, F. Light-regulated nucleo-cytoplasmic partitioning of phytochromes. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 3113–3124, doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm145 (2007).
- 21. Franklin, K. A. & Quail, P. H. Phytochrome functions in Arabidopsis development. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 11–24, doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp304 (2010).
- Chen, M. & Chory, J. Phytochrome signaling mechanisms and the control of plant development. *Trends cell biol.* 21, 664–671, doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2011.07.002 (2011).
- 23. Leivar, P. & Monte, E. PIFs: Systems Integrators in Plant Development. Plant Cell 26, 56–78, doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.120857 (2014).
- Al-Sady, B., Ni, W., Kircher, S., Schäfer, E. & Quail, P. H. Photoactivated Phytochrome Induces Rapid PIF3 Phosphorylation Prior to Proteasome-Mediated Degradation. *Mol. Cell* 23, 439–446, doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2006.06.011 (2006).
- Ni, W. et al. A Mutually Assured Destruction Mechanism Attenuates Light Signaling in Arabidopsis. Science 344, 1160–1164, doi: 10.1126/science.1250778 (2014).
- Anders, K., Daminelli-Widany, G., Mroginski, M. A., von Stetten, D. & Essen, L.-O. Structure of the Cyanobacterial Phytochrome 2 Photosensor Implies a Tryptophan Switch for Phytochrome Signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 35714–35725, doi: 10.1074/jbc. M113.510461 (2013).
- 27. Wagner, J. R., Brunzelle, J. S., Forest, K. T. & Vierstra, R. D. A light-sensing knot revealed by the structure of the chromophorebinding domain of phytochrome. *Nature* **438**, 325–331, doi: 10.1038/nature04118 (2005).
- Wagner, J. R., Zhang, J., Brunzelle, J. S., Vierstra, R. D. & Forest, K. T. High Resolution Structure of Deinococcus Bacteriophytochrome Yields New Insights into Phytochrome Architecture and Evolution. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 12298–12309, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M611824200 (2007).
- Yang, X., Kuk, J. & Moffat, K. Crystal structure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteriophytochrome: Photoconversion and signal transduction. PNAS 105, 14715–14720, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806718105 (2008).
- 30. Yang, X., Kuk, J. & Moffat, K. Conformational differences between the Pfr and Pr states in Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteriophytochrome. PNAS 106, 15639–15644, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0902178106 (2009).
- Ulijasz, A. T. et al. Structural basis for the photoconversion of a phytochrome to the activated Pfr form. Nature 463, 250–254, doi: 10.1038/nature08671 (2010).
- Bellini, D. & Papiz, Miroslav Z. Structure of a Bacteriophytochrome and Light-Stimulated Protomer Swapping with a Gene Repressor. Structure 20, 1436–1446, doi: 10.1016/j.str.2012.06.002 (2012).
- Cornilescu, C. C. et al. Dynamic Structural Changes Underpin Photoconversion of a Blue/Green Cyanobacteriochrome between Its Dark and Photoactivated States. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 3055–3065, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.531053 (2014).
- Yang, X., Ren, Z., Kuk, J. & Moffat, K. Temperature-scan cryocrystallography reveals reaction intermediates in bacteriophytochrome. *Nature* 479, 428–432, doi: 10.1038/nature10506 (2011).
- 35. Takala, H. *et al.* Signal amplification and transduction in phytochrome photosensors. *Nature* **509**, 245–248, doi: 10.1038/ nature13310 (2014).
- Burgie, E. S. & Vierstra, R. D. Phytochromes: An Atomic Perspective on Photoactivation and Signaling. *Plant Cell* 26, 4568–4583, doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.131623 (2014).
- Anders, K. & Essen, L.-O. The family of phytochrome-like photoreceptors: diverse, complex and multi-colored, but very useful. *Curr. Opin. Struc. Biol.* 35, 7–16, doi: 10.1016/j.sbi.2015.07.005 (2015).
- Katta, V. & Chait, B. T. Conformational changes in proteins probed by hydrogen-exchange electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 4, 214–217, doi: 10.1002/rcm.1290050415 (1991).
- Zhang, Z. & Smith, D. L. Determination of amide hydrogen exchange by mass spectrometry: a new tool for protein structure elucidation. Protein Sci. 2, 522–531 (1993).
- Konermann, L., Vahidi, S. & Sowole, M. A. Mass Spectrometry Methods for Studying Structure and Dynamics of Biological Macromolecules. Anal. Chem 86, 213–232, doi: 10.1021/ac4039306 (2014).
- Balasubramaniam, D. & Komives, E. A. Hydrogen-exchange mass spectrometry for the study of intrinsic disorder in proteins. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1834, 1202–1209, doi: 10.1016/j.bbapap.2012.10.009 (2013).
- Bae, G. & Choi, G. Decoding of Light Signals by Plant Phytochromes and Their Interacting Proteins. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59, 281–311, doi: doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092859 (2008).
- 43. Hernandez, H. & Robinson, C. V. Determining the stoichiometry and interactions of macromolecular assemblies from mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protoc.* **2**, 715–726 (2007).
- Kikis, E. A., Oka, Y., Hudson, M. E., Nagatani, A. & Quail, P. H. Residues Clustered in the Light-Sensing Knot of Phytochrome B are Necessary for Conformer-Specific Binding to Signaling Partner PIF3. *PLoS Genet.* 5, e1000352, doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000352 (2009).
- Mailliet, J. et al. Spectroscopy and a High-Resolution Crystal Structure of Tyr263 Mutants of Cyanobacterial Phytochrome Cph1. J. Mol. Biol. 413, 115–127, doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.08.023 (2011).
- Burgie, E. S. et al. Crystallographic and Electron Microscopic Analyses of a Bacterial Phytochrome Reveal Local and Global Rearrangements during Photoconversion. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 24573–24587, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.571661 (2014).
- Landgraf, F. T., Forreiter, C., Hurtado Picó, A., Lamparter, T. & Hughes, J. Recombinant holophytochrome in Escherichia coli. FEBS Lett. 508, 459–462, doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(01)02988-X (2001).
- Houde, D., Berkowitz, S. A. & Engen, J. R. The Utility of Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry in Biopharmaceutical Comparability Studies. J. pharma. sci. 100, 2071–2086, doi: 10.1002/jps.22432 (2011).
- 49. Eswar, N. et al. Comparative protein structure modeling using Modeller. Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics Unit 5.6, doi: 10.1002/0471250953.bi0506s15 (2006).

### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Katrin Anders for productive discussions. This work was supported by grants of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (ES152/10 and INST 160/621-1 FUGG). N.M. is supported by Cluster of Excellence Frankfurt (Macromolecular Complexes) and Collaborative Research Center (SFB) 807 of the German Research Foundation (DFG). N.M. received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC Grant agreement n° 337567.

#### **Author Contributions**

S.v.H. and L.-O.E. designed research; S.v.H., S.S., N.H., V.G., R.K., A.M. and U.L. performed experiments, S.v.H., S.S., N.H., V.G., N.M. and L.-O.E. analysed data; and S.v.H. and L.-O.E. wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

#### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Horsten, S. v. *et al.* Mapping light-driven conformational changes within the photosensory module of plant phytochrome B. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 34366; doi: 10.1038/srep34366 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016