

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

Arachidonic acid mediates the formation of abundant alpha-helical multimers of alpha-synuclein

Received: 17 May 2016

Accepted: 31 August 2016

Published: 27 September 2016

Marija Iljina¹, Laura Tosatto^{1,†}, Minee L. Choi², Jason C. Sang¹, Yu Ye^{1,3}, Craig D. Hughes⁴, Clare E. Bryant⁴, Sonia Gandhi² & David Klenerman¹

The protein alpha-synuclein (α S) self-assembles into toxic beta-sheet aggregates in Parkinson's disease, while it is proposed that α S forms soluble alpha-helical multimers in healthy neurons. Here, we have made α S multimers *in vitro* using arachidonic acid (ARA), one of the most abundant fatty acids in the brain, and characterized them by a combination of bulk experiments and single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (sm-FRET) measurements. The data suggest that ARA-induced oligomers are alpha-helical, resistant to fibril formation, more prone to disaggregation, enzymatic digestion and degradation by the 26S proteasome, and lead to lower neuronal damage and reduced activation of microglia compared to the oligomers formed in the absence of ARA. These multimers can be formed at physiologically-relevant concentrations, and pathological mutants of α S form less multimers than wild-type α S. Our work provides strong biophysical evidence for the formation of alpha-helical multimers of α S in the presence of a biologically relevant fatty acid, which may have a protective role with respect to the generation of beta-sheet toxic structures during α S fibrillation.

The aggregation of alpha-synuclein protein (α S) into amyloid fibrils is implicated in Parkinson's disease (PD), Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB) and other synucleopathies¹. Fibrils of α S are the major constituents of insoluble deposits, Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites, found in the brains of PD and DLB patients². Moreover, small oligomers of α S formed early during the process of fibril formation are believed to represent the most toxic forms of this protein that cause irreversible neuronal damage^{3–5}.

Apart from being disease-related, α S is also highly abundant in healthy brain tissue and constitutes around 1% of the total brain proteins⁶. While in the pathogenic aggregates α S acquires beta-sheet conformation, its normal physiological state remains a subject of debate and active research. It has been demonstrated to be either purely monomeric in human tissue⁷ and neuronal cells⁸, or to form alpha-helically folded tetramers and related multimers^{9–12}. In the brain, α S is localized to presynaptic nerve terminals in close proximity to synaptic vesicles¹³, and is involved in the transmission of vesicular cargo¹⁴, as well as vesicle trafficking and retrieval¹⁵. These processes occur via phospholipid membrane-based mechanisms^{16–19}, implying a connection between the normal function of α S in healthy neurons with phospholipids and fatty acids (FAs). Furthermore, α S shares structural homology with the family of fatty acid binding proteins and is able to bind FAs²⁰. Arachidonic acid (ARA) is a polyunsaturated FA and is one of the most abundant FAs in gray matter phospholipids in the human brain²¹. ARA is continuously released from the phospholipid membranes into the cytosol of brain neurons by the action of enzymes²², where it may co-exist with α S. Several *in vitro* studies have observed that ARA was able to promote the self-assembly of α S into a range of aggregates under various incubation conditions^{23–26}.

Alpha-helically-folded multimers of α S, identified in living cells, have been difficult to prepare *in vitro* due to their dynamic nature²⁷ and scarce information on other potentially required stabilizing factors, such as membrane

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK. ²Department of Molecular Neuroscience, University College London, Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London WC1N 3BG, UK.

³Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, 02115, USA. ⁴Department of Veterinary Medicine, University Of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge, CB3 0ES, United Kingdom. [†]Present address: Centre for Integrative Biology, Università degli Studi di Trento, via Sommarive 9, 38123 Trento, Italy. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.K. (email: dk10012@cam.ac.uk)

binding²⁸. A rapid change of α S from unstructured to alpha-helical conformation was observed in the presence of ARA²⁶ and related fatty acids²⁹, upon interaction with lipid membranes³⁰, detergent micelles³¹ and lipid vesicles^{32–34}. However, to date, it has not been possible to characterize the formation of small alpha-helically folded multimers at physiologically-relevant concentrations, owing to the low abundance of these species and the difficulties in contrasting them to the disease-related oligomers. To address this, we prepared alpha-helically-folded multimers of α S in the presence of ARA, and compared them to the toxic oligomers of α S using single-molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (sm-FRET) measurements. We present a set of comparative assays to investigate the differences in the stabilities of both types of aggregates.

Results

Single-molecule FRET experiments. The main technique employed in this work is single-molecule FRET (sm-FRET). This highly sensitive method is particularly suitable for the characterization of the oligomers of α S, typically present in solutions in low abundance and in a vast excess of monomeric protein. In our previous related studies, this method was utilized to characterize the formation of oligomers during the aggregation of α S in aqueous solution in unprecedented detail^{35–38}. Moreover, the sm-FRET method was further improved by performing the measurements under steady flow, which allowed to observe the formation of oligomers of α S with higher time resolution³⁶. This latter improvement enabled to study a rapid self-assembly of α S in the presence of ARA in this study.

For sm-FRET experiments, full-length α S protein was used, and an alanine to cysteine mutation was introduced at residue 90 (A90C). This allowed the attachment of a single fluorophore, Alexa Fluor (AF) dye, per protein molecule. The mutation was demonstrated not to significantly affect the aggregation properties of α S in our previous studies^{35–38}, because the residue 90 is located at the periphery of the beta-sheet core. For all sm-FRET aggregation assays, 1:1 stoichiometric ratio of α S labeled with Alexa Fluor 488 (α S-AF488) and Alexa Fluor 594 (α S-AF594) was used, and ARA was unlabeled. The solutions were incubated, and aliquots were withdrawn and immediately diluted to picomolar concentrations of the protein, allowing the analysis in single-molecule regime, before being flowed through a microfluidic channel and irradiated with a focused 488 nm laser beam, as described previously³⁶. Subsequently, the fluorescence signal was recorded separately in the AF488 (donor) and the AF594 (acceptor) channels. The 488 nm beam directly excites α S-AF488 molecules. Thus, α S-AF488 monomers are observed in the experiment as single fluorescence bursts in the donor channel, whereas α S-AF594 monomers remain undetected. Since oligomers contain both α S-AF488 and α S-AF594 molecules, the directly excited α S-AF488 non-radiatively excites the α S-AF594 via FRET process. Oligomers are therefore observed as simultaneous fluorescence intensity bursts in both the donor and the acceptor channels (Supplementary Fig. 1), and thus are distinguished from the excess of the monomeric protein in solution, and the numbers of detected oligomers are determined. In this method, the fluorescence intensity values of the oligomeric bursts were used to derive a FRET efficiency value for each oligomer (equation 1 in Methods), and its apparent size (equation 2 in Methods). The FRET efficiency values of oligomers are related to their compactness, while the determination of the apparent sizes allows monitoring the growth of aggregates over time, as well as excluding larger fibrillar species, as described in detail in Methods.

The presence of ARA results in a rapid self-assembly of α S in solution. The self-assembly of α S was monitored in solutions of 35 μ M α S in the presence of 1 mM concentration of ARA, above the critical micellar concentration (CMC) of the acid^{26,39}. The samples were incubated under quiescent conditions, either in the presence or in the absence of ARA. Since the aggregation of pure α S in physiological buffer is known to be inefficient at quiescent conditions *in vitro*⁴⁰, the oligomerization of α S in the absence of ARA was negligible, and only a small increase in the numbers of detected oligomers was observed over a 24-hour incubation (Fig. 1a). In contrast, 35 μ M α S incubated with 1 mM ARA showed a rapid formation of oligomers, judged by the presence of high levels of detected FRET events, recorded shortly after the addition of ARA (Fig. 1a). We confirmed the absence of any background fluorescence from the ARA solution in buffer. In addition, there was no apparent effect of ARA on the fluorescence signal from the fluorophores in the sm-FRET experiments, which was confirmed by performing control measurements using dual-labeled (AF488 and AF594) 40-base pair DNA samples, as detailed in Supplementary Fig. 2. Therefore, the initial rise in coincident events upon the addition of ARA to α S solution was due to the self-assembly of α S into multimers, which we will further refer to as ‘ARA-induced oligomers’. The increase in the numbers of these species continued most rapidly for 6 hours, followed by a plateau and a subsequent slight decrease after 20 hours (Fig. 1a), which could be due to a partial disaggregation of the species or, alternatively, due to their higher-order association. In addition to the rise in the numbers of multimers with time, there was an identifiable increase in their average apparent sizes, observed from the broadening of the apparent size distributions (Fig. 1b). Typically, there was a progression towards larger species within first 6–7 hours of the experiment, and no subsequent change, suggesting that their formation reached a steady state within this time period.

Circular dichroism (CD) measurements showed that the fluorescently labeled α S was intrinsically disordered in the absence of ARA at the beginning of the experiment, and acquired beta-sheet conformation after 24 hours of incubation, particularly in the samples incubated under shaking conditions, which overall indicated that the labeled protein could assemble into fibrils as in our previous works^{35,38}. In contrast, the spectra of the samples in the presence of ARA were characteristic of alpha-helical conformation shortly upon addition of ARA, and after 24 hours of incubation. The observed attainment of alpha-helical conformation by α S in the presence of ARA is in agreement with previously reported results for α S with ARA²⁶, as well as for α S in the presence of the excess of a structurally similar docosahexaenoic acid²⁹. Generally, the transition from the disordered to alpha-helical state is typical for α S upon its association with lipid membranes^{16,18}, and suggests that α S binds ARA at our experimental conditions. To check whether the formation of α S oligomers, promoted by ARA, occurred when shear forces were




Figure 1. Effect of ARA on α S. (a) Kinetic profile of α S aggregate formation induced by ARA at quiescent conditions, and of α S aggregation in pure buffer under quiescent conditions ($n = 3$, std). (b) Time evolution of the apparent size histograms indicating growth of the aggregates. (c) CD spectra of α S-AF594 with and without ARA. Without the acid, at 0 h protein is mostly in random-coil conformation, and contains beta-sheet structure after 24 h of incubation, with shaking, indicated by a broad negative band at 217 nm. In the presence of ARA, both shortly after addition and after 24 h of incubation, CD spectra show the features corresponding to the alpha-helical state, negative bands at 208 nm and 221 nm. (d) Kinetic profile of aggregate formation of 35 μ M α S in the presence of 1 mM ARA under either shaking or non-shaking conditions ($n = 3$). Comparable results suggest the absence of the effect of shaking on the kinetics in the presence of ARA.

introduced, we performed incubations with shaking as well as under quiescent conditions, which yielded comparable results at the same starting concentrations of α S and ARA (Fig. 1d). The quiescent preparation using 35 μ M α S and 1 mM ARA was found to be the most high-yielding among other tested preparations, including incubations using different isoforms of α S or varying concentrations of both α S and ARA, as detailed in Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs 3 and 4.

Further evidence for the differences between ARA-induced oligomers and oligomers formed in its absence.

Once the ARA-induced oligomers of α S were observed, we sought to compare these multimers to the toxic oligomers of α S which are formed by the protein in aqueous buffer. Using sm-FRET, we previously demonstrated that α S assembles into toxic beta-sheet-rich oligomers in aqueous buffer under constant agitation^{35,36,38}. Therefore, here we used the same strategy as before to prepare these α S-only oligomers (see Supplementary Information for details), and carried out a series of comparative experiments using ARA-induced oligomers and α S-only oligomers.

Firstly, we found using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) that the ARA-induced oligomers had markedly different morphologies compared to the oligomers of α S formed in aqueous buffer (Fig. 2). In the case of α S samples in buffer solution, after 24 hours of incubation the solutions contained a mixture of monomers, soluble oligomeric species as well as insoluble fibrils (Fig. 2a). The majority of the insoluble fibrils could be removed by centrifugation, as judged by TEM and confirmed in our previous work³⁸, therefore this step was introduced for the preparation of the α S-only oligomers. In the case of the samples with ARA-induced oligomers, abundant populations of oligomers were observed, frequently associated into higher-order assemblies (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, these aggregates were soluble, judged from the absence of fibrillar aggregates in TEM images and no precipitate upon centrifugation of the samples. In the absence of α S, at 1 mM ARA, micelles were formed (Fig. 2c). In the presence of the protein, however, the aggregates visualized using TEM were smaller compared to the micellar structures, which is consistent with the previously reported observation that α S is able to disrupt the micelles




Figure 2. TEM images of aggregates. (a) α S aggregates in buffer ($35\ \mu\text{M}$, 24 h, shaking). From left to right: before centrifugation (15 min at 14.2 r.p.m.), a mixture of oligomers and fibrils is observed; soluble oligomers are present in the supernatant after centrifugation; insoluble pellet after centrifugation contains fibrillar aggregates. Scale bars (left to right) 200 nm, 200 nm and 100 nm. (b) ARA-induced oligomers of α S ($35\ \mu\text{M}$, 1 mM ARA, 24 h, non-shaking). Abundant soluble oligomers and oligomer agglomerates are present. Scale bars 100 nm (left) and 50 nm (right). (c) ARA acid micelles in buffer (1 mM ARA) in the absence of α S. Scale bars $1\ \mu\text{m}$ (left) and 100 nm (right).

of ARA²⁶. Overall, ARA-induced oligomers were larger and had less regular shapes than the α S-only oligomers, probably due to the association with the FA, and had a tendency to assemble into higher-order soluble agglomerates. They looked similar in morphology to the previously reported oligomers of α S in the excess of docosahexaenoic acid²⁹.

Having determined by TEM that ARA-induced oligomers had different morphologies compared to α S-only oligomers, we further investigated the differences between these types of species (Fig. 3). Firstly, a closer inspection of the FRET efficiency histograms revealed clear differences (Fig. 3a). As it has already been mentioned, using sm-FRET method we previously characterized the oligomerization process of α S in buffer solution in great detail^{35–38}. In these previous studies, we reproducibly observed the conversion of initially formed disordered oligomers of α S to a more stable and compact form, associated with the highest cytotoxicity. These two types of oligomers could be distinguished based on their FRET efficiency values (eq. 1). While the initially formed disordered oligomers had low FRET efficiency values, and thus termed ‘low-FRET’, the stable toxic oligomers had characteristic high FRET efficiency values, and were termed ‘high-FRET’ oligomers. Here, the FRET efficiency histograms obtained for the samples of α S-only oligomers were in good agreement with the previous results. As in previous studies, after 9 hours of incubation mainly disordered oligomers were present with the mean FRET efficiency value $E = 0.4$, whereas after 24 hours the majority of the population contained the stable oligomers with high FRET values, $E = 0.6$. In contrast, ARA-induced oligomers gave rise to FRET efficiency histograms that showed a single peak with E value of 0.5 after 9 hours of incubation, and a broad FRET distribution after 24 hours. Since the appearance of FRET efficiency histograms was found to be well-correlated with the stability of oligomeric aggregates in our previous work³⁵, the observed differences of the FRET efficiency histograms of the ARA-induced multimers compared to α S oligomers in buffer solution could suggest differences in their stabilities, and possibly differences in their structures. In addition, α S oligomers formed in the presence of ARA were found to be larger in terms of average numbers of monomers per oligomer, particularly at later incubation times past 6 hours of incubation, as shown in Fig. 3b.




Figure 3. Comparative sm-FRET experiments to investigate the differences between ARA-induced oligomers and oligomers formed in aqueous buffer during 24 h of incubation. (a) Appearance and time evolution of FRET efficiency histograms. Fits to eq. 3, with FRET efficiency values (E) of $E(\alpha S\ 9h) = 0.4 \pm 0.01$, $E(\alpha S\ 24h) = 0.57 \pm 0.01$, $E(\alpha S\ ARA\ 9h) = 0.52 \pm 0.01$, $E(\alpha S\ ARA\ 24h) = 0.301 \pm 0.003$ and 0.48 ± 0.03 . (b) Comparison of apparent size distributions. (c) Salt gradient measurements ($n = 3$, std). (d) Degradation by 26S proteasome over 12 h ($n = 3$, std). (e) Oligomer disaggregation upon dilution into aqueous buffer to 280 pM ($n = 3$, std). (f) Comparative dose-response assay of Proteinase K digestion ($n = 3$, std).

To explore whether these differences in the FRET histograms and apparent size distributions of the ARA-induced oligomers compared to αS -only oligomers were associated with the differences in oligomer stabilities, we carried out more comparative experiments. In our previous work, it was found that the high-FRET oligomers were more stable with respect to dilution into low ionic strength buffer in comparison to their preceding disordered oligomers. We therefore compared the stability of ARA-induced multimers and αS -only (high-FRET) oligomers to the changes in ionic strength. Samples were diluted into buffers with varying ionic strength and the numbers of oligomers in solution were counted using sm-FRET. The result showed that the αS -only high-FRET oligomers prepared in buffer were stable with respect to the changes in ionic strength, which agreed with our previous results. In contrast, ARA-induced oligomers dissociated to a greater extent at low ionic strengths, which is probably due to the lack of a beta-sheet structure in these species.

Next we investigated whether the lower structural stability of ARA-induced αS oligomers could facilitate their enzymatic degradation. The 26S proteasome is the main protein degradation machinery in eukaryotic cells, including degradation of αS monomers upon modification by ubiquitin⁴¹, yet it was reported to be inactive to

certain α S aggregates⁴². We have recently observed a rapid *in vitro* degradation of α S monomers independently of ubiquitin modification, and found that α S oligomers with a specific type of ubiquitin modification alter the structural properties to enable direct proteasomal degradation (Y. Y.). In order to compare the susceptibilities of the ARA-induced oligomers and the α S-only oligomers towards degradation by the proteasome, comparative assays were carried out, using identical degradation mixtures and incubation conditions for both kinds of oligomers and exposing both types of aggregates to mammalian 26S proteasome for a period of 12 hours (Fig. 3d). Over this selected incubation time, the numbers of ARA-induced oligomers were reduced upon proteasome-treatment. In contrast, the samples lacking ARA were not targeted by the proteasome, in agreement with the previous literature. This suggested that ARA-induced oligomers were more degradable by proteasome in comparison to the α S-only oligomers.

To confirm that ARA-induced oligomers were less stable than α S-only oligomers, we carried out oligomer disaggregation experiments, where the oligomeric samples were diluted to single-molecule concentrations into buffer, and left under quiescent conditions for several hours. During this time, the decrease in the numbers of oligomers due to their dissociation into monomers in solution was monitored by sm-FRET (Fig. 3e). While the decrease in the numbers of oligomers was observed for both the ARA-induced oligomers and the oligomers formed in its absence, it was faster for the former species confirming the previous findings of their lower stability. This difference points towards structural differences of the ARA-induced oligomers compared to α S-only oligomers.

To gain further information about this difference, comparative proteolytic digestion assays were carried out, by exposing both the ARA-induced oligomers and the oligomers formed in the absence of ARA to varying concentrations of Proteinase K (PK) enzyme (Fig. 3e). Our previous studies showed that high resistance to PK digestion was characteristic for beta-sheet conformation in α S aggregates, and amyloid fibrils were the most resistant in this assay³⁵. Here, both types of oligomers were susceptible to PK digestion; however, the fractions of remaining non-digested oligomers were consistently higher in the samples containing α S-only oligomers, additionally indicating that the ARA-induced oligomers were less stable, consistent with the lack of beta-sheet structure in these aggregates.

Lastly, we investigated whether ARA itself was a constituent of the ARA-induced oligomers. Due to the observed tendency to assemble into large agglomerates (Fig. 2b), it was presumed that the association of ARA-induced oligomers into larger aggregates could occur via the free fatty acid molecules, and we set out to test whether these species could remain stable upon decreasing the concentration of ARA in solution. To address this, ARA- and α S-containing samples were prepared as described above, and the concentration of the acid was decreased by washing with the excess of aqueous buffer and by subsequently concentrating the protein solutions, as described in Methods. This resulted in the increase in the numbers of recovered oligomers (Fig. 4a), as well as an $11 \pm 5\%$ increase in the population of small species consisting of less than 6 apparent monomer units, and a drop in the sub-population of larger oligomers (Fig. 4b). This indicated that the multimers had undergone a partial dissociation during the process (Fig. 4b), suggesting that the excess of fatty acid molecules acts to stabilize the larger multimers. Nevertheless, the finding that the majority of the aggregates could be recovered and remained sufficiently stable to be detected at picomolar concentrations of the protein in the sm-FRET experiments implies a degree of stability, and indicates a strong binding of ARA to α S in these aggregates. These observations are compatible with the previous reports of the stability of the FA-induced multimers of α S upon chromatographic procedures^{23,29}, and highlight a challenge of removing FAs from α S under these conditions. The result that the oligomers partially dissociated upon the decrease of FA suggests that ARA is a stabilizing constituent of these aggregates, which is consistent with previous related findings that α S co-aggregated with anionic lipids⁴³. Consistent with this, the CD spectrum of α S solution, recorded after decreasing the concentration of ARA, indicated that the alpha-helical conformation was preserved in the samples (Fig. 4c).

ARA-induced oligomers of α S are less damaging to cells than oligomers formed in its absence.

In order to further compare ARA-induced oligomers with the oligomers formed in its absence, we investigated their relative abilities to cause cell damage. We have previously reported that α S oligomers that are generated in aqueous buffer under constant shaking can promote the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) when applied to primary neuronal cultures³⁵. To assess the extent of ROS production promoted by ARA-induced oligomers, we measured the production of superoxide induced by their application to primary cultures of cortical neurons by quantifying the rate of oxidation of the dye dihydroethidium, as detailed in Methods and Supplementary Information, and shown in Fig. 4d. In these experiments, α S oligomers (500 nM total α S) prepared in the absence of ARA lead to the highest significant increase in the production of ROS relative to the basal level, $222 \pm 12.95\%$ compared to 100% basal ($P < 0.01$), in agreement with our previous reports^{35,38}. In contrast, application of the same concentration of ARA-induced oligomers after the depletion of excess free ARA produced a smaller increase in ROS, $134 \pm 7.78\%$ in comparison to the 100% basal level ($P < 0.05$), which was significantly reduced in comparison to what was shown by oligomers prepared in the absence of ARA ($P < 0.01$). As controls, we utilized ARA alone and ARA-induced oligomers without depletion of ARA, and in both cases again observed only a small increase in ROS production over basal levels ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 4d).

In addition, we investigated the ability of ARA-induced α S oligomers to promote cell death, as described in Methods and Supplementary Information. Representative images from these experiments are in Supplementary Fig. 5. We found that the incubation of cells overnight with α S oligomers generated in aqueous buffer induced a significant increase in cell death ($P < 0.05$), as detailed in Fig. 4e, corroborating their previously established neurotoxic nature³⁵. Conversely, incubation of cells with ARA-induced oligomers did not induce a significant increase in basal levels of cell death, shown in Fig. 4e. We observed that free ARA was able to cause cell damage in both of these assays, particularly upon longer incubations presumably due to its oxidation, while ARA-induced oligomer samples after depletion of the excess of ARA were benign in the cell death assay. Overall, these results




Figure 4. ARA depletion experiments and comparative cell assays. (a) Numbers of oligomers, detected by sm-FRET before and after decreasing the concentration of ARA by washing with excess buffer (see Methods) ($n = 3$, std). (b) The increase in the smallest oligomers (2–5-mers) is observed, whereas the fraction of larger species drops, indicating that oligomers undergo a partial dissociation upon separation from the acid. (c) CD spectrum acquired after washing the protein sample, showing that the alpha-helical conformation is preserved. The detection of intact small multimers and alpha-helical conformation indicate that ARA is still present in solution and bound to α S in these multimers. Thus, it is very difficult to fully separate the FA from α S under these conditions. (d) Cytoplasmic ROS production by monitoring the rate of the ratio of the oxidised to reduced form of dihydroethidium ($n = 50$ –90 cells, sem). Application of α S oligomers (500 nM of total α S) lead to a significant increase in ROS production ($222 \pm 12.95\%$ compared to 100% basal, $n = 88$ cells, $P < 0.01$ relative to basal level). Application of ARA-induced oligomers (500 nM of total α S) showed small increase in ROS generation ($134 \pm 7.78\%$, $n = 73$ cells, $P < 0.05$ relative to basal level). Application of washed ARA-induced oligomers after ARA depletion by centrifugation (500 nM of total α S) or application of ARA alone (14.2μ M ARA) produced close to basal levels of ROS. (e) Percentage of cell-death as measured by Hoechst/propidium iodide staining after overnight incubation with the α S-only or ARA-induced oligomers, or ARA ($n = 6$ –9 fields of view, sem). α S-only oligomers caused an increased cell death ($7.54 \pm 1.57\%$, $n(\text{cells}) = 693$, $P < 0.05$ relative to untreated group), while ARA-induced oligomers washed from excess ARA lead to basal levels of cell death ($1.3 \pm 0.52\%$, $n = 7$ fields of view, $n(\text{cells}) = 509$). (f) Pro-inflammatory response measured by the production of THF- α in BV2 microglia after a 24-h incubation of the cells after treatment with α S-only oligomers, ARA-induced oligomers and ARA alone, added at a range of concentrations between 0.05–200 μ M ($n = 4$, sem).

suggest that ARA-induced oligomers are less toxic to the cortical neurons than α S oligomers generated in its absence, particularly under the conditions when excess free ARA is rapidly depleted. This result may have physiological relevance, given that free ARA is known to be highly transient *in vivo*⁴⁴.

Furthermore, we tested whether ARA-induced oligomers could lead to a reduced pro-inflammatory activation of microglia relative to the α S oligomers prepared in its absence. Growing evidence suggests that the activation of microglia is linked to the progression of neurodegeneration in synucleopathies⁴⁵. We assessed the response of microglia by measuring the concentration of secreted tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) protein, which is one of the major pro-inflammatory cytokines that is released by microglia upon their activation, and has been shown to promote α S-induced cell death⁴⁶. In these experiments, detailed in the Methods section, the secretion of TNF- α was found to be consistently higher in response to the oligomers formed in aqueous buffer than in response to the ARA-induced oligomers, and this difference was clearly observed at a broad range of applied total concentrations of α S (Fig. 4f).

ARA-induced oligomer formation at physiologically-relevant concentrations of α S and ARA. In the above experiments ARA has been used at high concentration above its CMC value^{26,39}. Because ARA is a biologically relevant molecule and occurs *in vivo* at concentrations significantly below its CMC, we




Figure 5. Experiments using physiological concentrations of α S and ARA. (a) Kinetic profile of oligomer formation at 2 μ M α S in the presence of 10 μ M ARA (dashed line), and in the absence of ARA under the same conditions (black line) ($n = 3$, std). (b) Comparison of apparent size distributions at 35 μ M α S with 1 mM ARA (red), or 2 μ M α S with 10 μ M ARA (black). (c) Representative FRET efficiency histograms, resulting from sm-FRET analysis of the oligomers formed with either 35 μ M α S with 1 mM ARA, or 2 μ M α S with 10 μ M ARA after 6 h. After this and later times, there was no difference in the appearance of the histograms, apart from lower total numbers detected in the lower-concentration samples, when the same protein concentration was used for the detection. (d) CD spectra of the solutions of 2 μ M α S with 10 μ M ARA after 24 h and enrichment using 100 kDa spinfilter. (e) Overlaid oligomer disaggregation profiles upon dilution into aqueous buffer to 280 pM of 35 μ M α S samples with 1 mM ARA (red), and 2 μ M α S with 10 μ M ARA (grey) ($n = 3$, std). (f) Numbers of oligomers detected after >30 h using a range of α S isoforms, either A90C or pathological mutants, at 2 μ M with 10 μ M ARA (grey) or in the absence of ARA (red) ($n = 6$, sem).

extended our study to more physiologically-relevant concentrations of 2–10 μ M⁴⁷ (Supplementary Fig. 6a). In addition, the concentrations of α S protein at the synapse were reported to be in the range 2–5 μ M¹¹. Therefore, in order to mimic the concentrations of both α S and ARA found *in vivo*, we combined 2 μ M α S and 10 μ M ARA. Under these conditions, a rapid multimerization was still observed shortly after the addition of ARA, as shown in Fig. 5a, and an increase in their numbers was present during the first 6 hours, resembling the timescales of the process at higher concentrations (Fig. 1a). The numbers of the detected oligomers, and their estimated concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 6b) were lower in comparison to the results in the high-concentration experiments,




Figure 6. Schematic outline of the self-assembly mechanisms of α S in the absence and in the presence of ARA. (a) Without ARA, monomeric protein assembles according to the aberrant aggregation mechanism, as reported previously³⁸. In this mechanism, α S forms disordered low-FRET oligomers, which convert to toxic and beta-sheet-rich high-FRET oligomers, which in turn convert into fibrils. (b) Upon the addition of ARA, the alpha-helical ARA-induced multimers are formed, comprising both α S and ARA. Equilibrium with monomer is consistent with the immediate ARA-induced oligomer formation, and equilibrium with low-FRET oligomers can account for the observed time-progression during first 6 hours of the reaction.

which highlights the challenge of monitoring this low-concentration process using more conventional bulk methods. Despite the lower overall numbers of oligomers, the growth of these species was again observed, with similar apparent size distributions compared to the higher-concentration reaction (Fig. 5b), and very similar FRET efficiency histograms (Fig. 5c). Further, we used CD to determine the conformation of these multimers. Preliminary attempts to measure the solutions containing $2\ \mu\text{M}$ α S and $10\ \mu\text{M}$ ARA resulted in the spectra indicating the presence of intrinsically disordered protein. This was consistent with the single-molecule observations that even though the multimers were present in the solutions, the majority of α S was still in its monomeric form, as is indicated by the low estimated concentrations of the multimers (Supplementary Fig. 6b). We therefore enriched the multimers using 100 kDa spin-filters, as described in Methods, and their CD spectrum indicated that these species were alpha-helically-folded, similarly to the species generated at $35\ \mu\text{M}$ α S with 1 mM ARA (Fig. 5d). To note, the retention by the 100 kDa cutoff filter is consistent with the oligomers being the size of a tetramer and larger.

Based on these results, it could be concluded that the preparation at $2\ \mu\text{M}$ α S and $10\ \mu\text{M}$ ARA yielded the same species as the higher-concentration preparation, even though the overall number of multimers was low at these physiologically-relevant concentrations. To confirm this conclusion, we monitored the disaggregation of the low-concentration multimers, by diluting the samples to single-molecule concentrations and recording the decrease in their numbers over time due to their dissociation. The resulting disaggregation profiles were similar to the ones obtained for the high-concentration preparations at $35\ \mu\text{M}$ α S and 1 mM ARA, as shown in Fig. 5e.

In order to test whether disease-associated point mutations of α S could lead to the self-assembly upon the action of ARA, we prepared the samples using $2\ \mu\text{M}$ α S as well as $2\ \mu\text{M}$ A30P, A53T and E46K mutants of α S, with the addition of $10\ \mu\text{M}$ ARA. As a result, the formation of oligomers was observed for α S, as before. In the case of the mutants, however, the samples contained more aggregates than the wild-type (A90C) protein in the absence of ARA, and the presence of ARA had less effect on the number of aggregates, as shown in Fig. 5f. Thus, at the chosen conditions, the effect of ARA was comparable between wild-type α S and E46K mutant, and much less apparent for the pathological mutants A30P and A53T in comparison to the wild-type α S.

Discussion

In this study, we have generated alpha-helical multimers of α S in the presence of ARA, and demonstrated their clear differences compared to the toxic beta-sheet-rich oligomers of α S, characterized in our previous works^{35,38}. ARA-induced oligomers differed from the oligomers generated in the absence of ARA in both morphology and size, displayed lower stability towards the changes in buffer conditions and had a higher propensity to disassemble upon sample dilutions. In addition, they were more susceptible to enzymatic digestion and degradation by proteasome. Despite their apparent lower stability in comparison to the α S-only oligomers, we found that these multimers could preserve their conformation upon the reduction of ARA concentration, which is particularly significant considering that ARA is highly transient *in vivo*⁴⁴.

The ARA-induced oligomers in our experiments were evidently distinct from the beta-sheet-rich oligomers formed during the aberrant aggregation of α S. Moreover, they were resistant to fibril formation, as judged from TEM imaging, and required ARA for their stabilization, as concluded from the ARA washing experiments. These species may therefore represent the products of an alternative reaction involving both α S and ARA, as is schematically illustrated in Fig. 6. Because their formation is fast and recruits monomeric α S, it can compete with the slow formation of high-FRET beta-sheet oligomers particularly when the total monomer concentration is low. We

previously showed that the beta-sheet-rich oligomers of α S were the most cytotoxic species³⁵ and caused damage to neuronal cells when present even at picomolar concentrations³⁸, and reconfirmed their toxicity in the present study. Therefore, any competing mechanisms that inhibit their formation may be highly neuroprotective. This is consistent with the finding that disease-associated mutants of α S had a lower tendency to assemble into the FA-induced species compared to the wildtype α S, which leaves them in a free state and may ultimately lead to the generation of higher concentrations of toxic beta-sheet-rich oligomers via the aberrant aggregation mechanism. To note, this *in vitro* result is in striking agreement with what was shown for PD mutants of α S in intact neurons by both cell-penetrant crosslinking and fluorescent protein complementation⁴⁸.

Considering the remarkable ease of formation of the ARA-induced multimers and their stability under physiologically relevant concentrations, it can be argued that the formation of closely-related multimers may potentially occur *in vivo*. In line with this, multiple properties of the ARA-induced oligomers show close resemblance to the native alpha-helical multimers of α S extracted *ex vivo*^{9,10} such as being small, below 10-mers, alpha-helically-folded and aggregation-resistant. In our experiments, these multimers were present in the excess of monomeric α S, which was concluded from the observation of an excess of monomeric bursts in addition to the multimers during sm-FRET measurements (Supplementary Fig. 1), and from the CD spectra of the flow-through after their enrichment (Fig. 5d). This may suggest that these species are in equilibrium with monomeric α S, similarly to what was proposed for the native multimers^{27,48}.

Clearly, although ARA has been used in the present study, numerous polyunsaturated FAs and their mixtures *in vivo* may play a similar role to facilitate the formation and stabilization of aggregation-resistant alpha-helical multimers of α S. This may contribute towards the reduction in cytotoxicity associated with these FAs^{49,50} in the context of PD and related disorders. Given the strong biophysical evidence for the formation of alpha-helical multimers of α S in the presence of ARA in our study, we therefore suggest that ARA and other polyunsaturated FAs may be the unidentified stabilizing co-factors for the native multimers of α S, suggested previously⁵¹. Thus, polyunsaturated FAs may play a crucial role in α S homeostasis via the stabilization of native multimers and the prevention of aberrant aggregation of α S.

Methods

Reagents. ARA, Trizma base, NaCl, CaCl₂, Proteinase-K, THT and propidium iodide were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Hoechst 33342 was from Molecular Probes. Alexa Fluor 488 C5 maleimide and Alexa Fluor 594 C5 maleimide dyes were from Life Technologies.

ARA solution preparation. ARA was stored under nitrogen at -80°C , and aqueous stock solutions were freshly prepared prior to all experiments by adding the pure FA to ice-cold buffer (25 mM Tris, 0.2 M NaCl) followed by vigorous agitation.

α -Synuclein preparation. α S wild-type and A90C were expressed as previously described⁵². A90C was labeled with maleimide-linked Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488) or Alexa Fluor 594 (AF594) and separated from the unreacted dyes as previously reported^{35,38}. The protein aliquots were stored at -80°C and thawed once before use.

α -Synuclein aggregation. For the preparations of α S oligomers in the absence of ARA, a 35 μM protein solution was made, containing 1:1 stoichiometric ratios of AF488- and AF594-labeled α S in Tris buffer (25 mM Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.4), with 0.01% NaN₃, and a total sample volume was 300 μL . The buffer in all single-molecule experiments was pre-filtered using 0.02 μm syringe filter (Anotop, Whatman). The aggregation mixture was incubated in the dark over 24 h at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ with constant shaking at 200 r.p.m. (New Brunswick Scientific Innova 43, 25 mm orbital diameter), and subsequently centrifuged at 14.2 r.p.m. for 15 min and separated from fibrillar pellet.

For the preparations of ARA-induced oligomers of α S, an aggregation mixture contained 1:1 ratio of AF488- and AF594-labeled α S, with the total α S concentration of 35 μM , and 1 mM concentration of ARA, by diluting into Tris buffer (25 mM Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.4) and 0.01% NaN₃, with the total volume of 300 μL . The mixture was incubated in the dark over 24 hours at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ without agitation.

sm-FRET measurements and data analysis. Single-molecule confocal setup, the experimental procedure and data analysis were similar to previously reported³⁸, and are detailed in Supplementary Information. FRET efficiency values were calculated according to

$$E = \frac{I_A}{(I_A + \gamma I_D)} \quad (1)$$

where I_D is the corrected donor fluorescence intensity, I_A is the corrected acceptor intensity and γ is a gamma factor (0.99) specific to the instrument. FRET efficiency values were represented as histograms with a bin-width of 0.05 (Fig. 3a). Oligomer apparent size was estimated using

$$\text{Size} = 2 \times \left(\frac{I_D + \gamma^{-1} I_A}{I_{D\text{monomer}}} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $I_{D\text{monomer}}$ was the average intensity of fluorescence bursts in the donor channel after exclusion of oligomeric bursts. In this equation, the total fluorescence intensity from AF488 (numerator) is normalized by the average AF488 monomer brightness. The factor of two corrects for the 1:1 stoichiometry of AF488 and AF594 fluorophores. Species occupying multiple consecutive time-bins or determined to be greater than 150-mers were assumed to be either fibrillar or arising from dust, and removed from the analysis³⁶. The expression is valid under

the assumption that there is no appreciable quenching in the soluble oligomers, as was demonstrated in our previous work³⁵. Note that the size distributions are referred to as “apparent”, serving as estimates owing to the stochastic nature of fluorescence emission and different paths the oligomers can take through the confocal volume.

In order to determine the average FRET efficiency value, the FRET histograms of selected timepoints were fitted to either a single or a double Gaussian distribution, depending on the presence of either one of two peaks, using GaussAmp functions:

$$y = y_0 + A \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_c)^2}{2w^2}\right)$$

$$y = y_0 + A \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_{c1})^2}{2w_1^2}\right) + A_2 \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_{c2})^2}{2w_2^2}\right) \quad (3)$$

where A and A_2 are the amplitudes, x_c and x_{c2} the centers, corresponding to average FRET efficiency values (E), w and w_2 the widths of the distributions. The representative fitted histograms are shown in Fig. 3a.

CD measurements. For experiments in Fig. 1c, samples were prepared in the same way as for the sm-FRET experiments, using AF594-labeled α S, and diluted into Tris buffer to the final protein concentrations of 3–10 μ M prior to the measurements. CD spectra were recorded on Jasco J-810 spectropolarimeter, using a quartz cuvette of 1 mm. The spectra were acquired between 205 and 250 nm, with an interval of 0.2 nm, and an average of 10 accumulations per spectrum, using 1 nm bandwidth and a scanning speed of 50 nm/min. The spectra were corrected for the background from the buffer in the case of α S in buffer samples, and for the background from the buffer with ARA, for the samples containing ARA. FFT smoothing with a window of 25 datapoints was applied (Origin), and the resulting spectra were presented as millidegrees versus wavelength. For experiments in Fig. 5d, samples containing 2 μ M α S (1:1 AF488- α S and AF594- α S) and 10 μ M ARA at a total volume of 300 μ L were incubated for 24 h under the same conditions as before. Subsequently, 10 samples (3 mL) were combined and centrifuged in a filter device with a molecular cut-off of 100 kDa (Amicon Ultra, Millipore). It was expected that some of the ARA-induced oligomers would remain on top of the filter resulting in the multimer-enriched sample. The spectra of both flow-through and the solution remaining on the filter were acquired using the same spectrometer and settings as before, between 200 and 250 nm and 20 accumulations per spectrum. The spectra were corrected for the background from buffer. No additional smoothing was applied, and the result was expressed as millidegrees versus wavelength. For the measurement in Fig. 4c (of the samples washed with buffer to remove excess ARA), the protein concentration was 4 μ M, the spectrum was recorded using identical setting as above, with 20 accumulations per spectrum, and the spectrum of buffer was subtracted from the spectrum of the sample.

TEM Imaging. For TEM imaging (Fig. 2), the sample preparation was the same as for sm-FRET experiments, and the samples were imaged after 24 h of incubation. 10 μ L volumes of the samples were applied onto carbon-coated 400-mesh copper grids (Agar Scientific) for 5 min, and washed with double distilled water. Negative staining was carried out by using 2% (w/v) uranyl acetate. TEM images were acquired using Tecnai G2 microscope (13218, EDAX, AMETEK) operating at an excitation voltage of 200 kV.

Oligomer stability at different ionic strengths. It was recently demonstrated using the sm-FRET method that α S oligomers had differential stabilities depending on the ionic strength of buffer solutions³⁶. To compare the stability of the ARA-induced oligomers and α S oligomers formed in pure buffer with respect to the changes in ionic strength, the samples after 24 h of incubation were diluted 1:100,000 into either Tris, Tris/2 (Tris buffer diluted with MQ water), Tris/4, Tris/8 and Tris/16, and analyzed by sm-FRET immediately after dilution (Fig. 3c).

Proteasome degradation assays. Mammalian 26S proteasomes were purified from HEK293T cells over-expressing rpn11-His-TEV-biotin acceptor sequence (kind gift from Lan Huang, UC Irvine) and purified using established protocols^{33,34}. Cells were grown until 100% confluent and collected and resuspended with a scraper in ice-cold Proteasome buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.5% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 5 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM $MgCl_2$). Dounce homogenizer was then used for cell lysis and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation at $3000 \times g$ for 5 min at 4 °C. This lysate was subsequently incubated with 2 ml bed volume of NeutrAvidin beads (Pierce) at 4 °C overnight. Unbound proteins were washed off with 20 ml proteasome buffer and bound proteasomes were cleaved off the column with 6 μ L of TEV protease (Invitrogen). Proteasomes were concentrated to $>2 \mu$ M and frozen in aliquots for single use. For the comparison between the ARA-induced oligomers and α S oligomers formed in pure Tris buffer with respect to their stability towards degradation by 26S proteasome, samples containing 35 μ M α S in buffer, or 35 μ M α S plus 1 mM ARA after 24 h of incubation were diluted 1:3.75 for proteasomal degradation. The final assay contained 40 nM proteasome, 125 mM ATP, $MgCl_2$, 5 μ M creatine kinase and 0.1 M creatine phosphatase in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4). The resulting samples were analyzed by sm-FRET both immediately after mixing, and after incubation for 12 h under quiescent conditions at 37 °C. The fractions of non-degraded oligomers were determined as the numbers of oligomers after the incubation divided by the numbers of oligomers immediately after mixing (Fig. 3d).

Oligomer disaggregation upon dilution. To further compare the stabilities of ARA-induced oligomers and oligomers of α S formed in pure buffer, both types of samples after 24 h of incubation were diluted 1:100,000 into Tris buffer of the same composition as for the aggregations, incubated at quiescent conditions at ambient temperature in low-binding test-tubes (Protein LoBind, Eppendorf), and regular aliquots were analyzed by

sm-FRET over 7 h after dilution, ensuring that the aliquots were withdrawn for the analysis at the same incubation time for either type of samples, to allow a comparison of the disaggregation reactions (Fig. 3e). The same experiment was carried out using ARA-induced oligomers prepared at 2 μ M α S and 10 μ M ARA, and compared with the disaggregation profiles of the ARA-induced oligomers generated at high concentration, as shown in Fig. 4e.

Proteinase-K digestion assays. To carry out further structural comparison between the ARA-induced oligomers and α S oligomers formed in pure Tris buffer, their susceptibility to Proteinase-K (PK) digestion was investigated (Fig. 3f). Beta-sheet structure, present in fibrils and high-FRET oligomers, is resistant to PK digestion, as was shown in our previous works³⁵. PK aliquots were prepared in Tris buffer defined above, with the addition of 1 mM CaCl₂, and stored at -80°C before use. Samples after 24 h incubation were diluted into a range of PK concentrations between 0–10 μ g/ml in Tris buffer with 1 mM CaCl₂, incubated at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 5 min, and subsequently further diluted for the sm-FRET analysis.

Depletion of ARA concentration. ARA-induced oligomers were prepared as described above, and subsequently the concentration of free ARA was decreased by washing with copious amounts of buffer, and the protein was concentrated using a spin filter with a molecular cutoff of 5 kDa (Sartorius). Based on the total volume of buffer used for washing in this experiment, the concentration of ARA would be reduced to less than 500 nM. Note that this estimation is a lower bound and does not account for the ARA binding to α S. This preparation is referred to as “ARA washed” in Fig. 4.

Cell culture. Mixed cultures of cortical neurons and glial cells were prepared and cultured as described previously⁵⁵. The BV2 cell lines were derived from immortalized murine neonatal microglia. They were grown and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air, until \sim 300,000 cell/ml.

Protein sample preparation for the cell assays. For the assays, unlabeled wild-type α S was used instead of dual-labeled samples in order to ensure the absence of fluorescence emission from AF labels. Protein sample preparation protocol was identical to the procedures for sm-FRET experiments. The solutions after 24-h incubation were applied on cells at the same total concentration of α S, and at the corresponding concentration of ARA.

ROS measurements. The experiments (Fig. 4d) were carried out according to previously detailed protocols³⁵ as detailed in Supplementary Information, and involved measurements within first 10 minutes upon the sample application to the cell cultures.

Cell death assays. In these experiments (Fig. 4e) α S and ARA solutions were applied to primary co-cultures overnight at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The cells were loaded simultaneously with 20 μ M propidium iodide, which is excluded from viable cells but exhibits red fluorescence following a loss of membrane integrity, and 10 μ M Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes), which gives blue staining to chromatin, and the total number of dead cells was counted, as further detailed in Supplementary Information and Supplementary Fig. 5.

Quantification of TNF- α production. The experiments in Fig. 4f were carried out using BV2 microglia. α S-only and ARA-induced oligomer solutions as well as free ARA were prepared and applied at a range of concentrations from 0.05–200 μ M of α S. After application, the cells were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h, and the supernatants were subsequently collected and analyzed using TNF- α Elisa kit (R & D, Minneapolis, MN) according to manufacturer’s protocol. In this assay, an increase in TNF- α due to free ARA (59.673 pg/mL) could be detected only upon application of excess ARA (1 mM) and 5-d incubation.

Statistical analysis of the data from cell experiments. Student t-tests were carried out using Origin 9 software (Microcal Software) and the resulting p values are reported in the legend of Fig. 4.

Comparison of ARA-induced multimerisation using pathological mutants of α S. In order to investigate whether the formation of ARA-induced oligomers could be observed at low concentrations when using pathological mutants of α S, dual-labelled A90C α S was used, and dual-labelled A30PA90C, A53TA90C and E46KA90C α S variants, denoted as A30P*, A53T* and E46K* in Fig. 4f, where ‘*’ indicates that these isoforms carry the A90C mutation for the fluorophore incorporation in addition to the disease-associated mutation. The pathological mutants were expressed and purified as previously described in detail. Samples of 2 μ M α S of every isoform in the presence of 10 μ M ARA, or in its absence (6 separate samples in each case) were prepared and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ without shaking for $>$ 30 h to allow steady-state aggregate populations, and subsequently analysed by sm-FRET, keeping the protein concentration for the detection the same for all samples, as judged by comparing the monomer burst rates. Numbers of detected aggregates were compared (Fig. 5f).

References

1. Cookson, M. R. alpha-Synuclein and neuronal cell death. *Molecular Neurodegeneration* **4**, doi: 10.1186/1750-1326-4-9 (2009).
2. Spillantini, M. *et al.* Filamentous alpha-synuclein inclusions link multiple system atrophy with Parkinson’s disease and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neuroscience Letters* **251**, 205–208 (1998).
3. Conway, K. *et al.* Acceleration of oligomerization, not fibrillization, is a shared property of both alpha-synuclein mutations linked to early-onset Parkinson’s disease: Implications for pathogenesis and therapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **97**, 571–576, doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.2.571 (2000).
4. El-Agnaf, O. & Irvine, G. Aggregation and neurotoxicity of alpha-synuclein and related peptides. *Biochemical Society Transactions* **30**, 559–565 (2002).
5. Paleologou, K. E., Irvine, G. B. & El-Agnaf, O. M. Alpha-synuclein aggregation in neurodegenerative diseases and its inhibition as a potential therapeutic strategy. *Biochem Soc Trans* **33**, 1106–1110, doi: 10.1042/BST20051106 (2005).

6. Iwai, A. *et al.* The precursor protein of non- α -beta component of Alzheimer's-disease amyloid is a presynaptic protein of the central nervous system. *Neuron* **14**, 467–475, doi: 10.1016/0896-6273(95)90302-X (1995).
7. Fauvet, B. *et al.* α -Synuclein in central nervous system and from erythrocytes, mammalian cells, and Escherichia coli exists predominantly as disordered monomer. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 15345–15364, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.318949 (2012).
8. Theillet, F. X. *et al.* Structural disorder of monomeric α -synuclein persists in mammalian cells. *Nature* **530**, 45–50, doi: 10.1038/nature16531 (2016).
9. Bartels, T., Choi, J. G. & Selkoe, D. J. α -Synuclein occurs physiologically as a helically folded tetramer that resists aggregation. *Nature* **477**, 107–110, doi: 10.1038/nature10324 (2011).
10. Dettmer, U., Newman, A. J., Luth, E. S., Bartels, T. & Selkoe, D. *In vivo* cross-linking reveals principally oligomeric forms of α -synuclein and β -synuclein in neurons and non-neural cells. *J Biol Chem* **288**, 6371–6385, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.403311 (2013).
11. Westphal, C. H. & Chandra, S. S. Monomeric synucleins generate membrane curvature. *J Biol Chem* **288**, 1829–1840, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.418871 (2013).
12. Gould, N. *et al.* Evidence of native α -synuclein conformers in the human brain. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 7929–7934, doi: 10.1074/jbc.C113.538249 (2014).
13. Shibayama-Imazu, T. *et al.* Cell and tissue distribution and developmental change of neuron specific 14 kDa protein (phosphoneuroprotein 14). *Brain Res* **622**, 17–25 (1993).
14. Bellani, S. *et al.* The regulation of synaptic function by alpha-synuclein. *Commun Integr Biol* **3**, 106–109 (2010).
15. Bonini, N. M. & Giasson, B. I. Snaring the function of alpha-synuclein. *Cell* **123**, 359–361, doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.10.017 (2005).
16. Wenk, M. R. & De Camilli, P. Protein-lipid interactions and phosphoinositide metabolism in membrane traffic: Insights from vesicle recycling in nerve terminals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **101**, 8262–8269, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0401874101 (2004).
17. Rigoni, M. *et al.* Equivalent effects of snake PLA2 neurotoxins and lysophospholipid-fatty acid mixtures. *Science* **310**, 1678–1680, doi: 10.1126/science.1120640 (2005).
18. Lang, T., Halemani, N. D. & Rammner, B. Interplay between lipids and the proteinaceous membrane fusion machinery. *Progress in Lipid Research* **47**, 461–469, doi: 10.1016/j.plipres.2008.08.002 (2008).
19. Bader, M.-F. & Vitale, N. Phospholipase D in calcium-regulated exocytosis: Lessons from chromaffin cells. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids* **1791**, 936–941, doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2009.02.016 (2009).
20. Sharon, R. *et al.* alpha-Synuclein occurs in lipid-rich high molecular weight complexes, binds fatty acids, and shows homology to the fatty acid-binding proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**, 9110–9115, doi: 10.1073/pnas.171300598 (2001).
21. Crawford, M. A. & Sinclair, A. J. Nutritional influences in the evolution of mammalian brain. In: lipids, malnutrition & the developing brain. *Ciba Foundation symposium*, 267–292 (1971).
22. Rossetto, O., Morbiato, L., Caccin, P., Rigoni, M. & Montecucco, C. Presynaptic enzymatic neurotoxins. *Journal of Neurochemistry* **97**, 1534–1545, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.03965.x (2006).
23. Perrin, R. J., Woods, W. S., Clayton, D. F. & George, J. M. Exposure to long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids triggers rapid multimerization of synucleins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **276**, 41958–41962, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M105022200 (2001).
24. Sharon, R. *et al.* The formation of highly soluble oligomers of alpha-synuclein is regulated by fatty acids and enhanced in Parkinson's disease. *Neuron* **37**, 583–595, doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00024-2 (2003).
25. Necula, M., Chirita, C. & Kuret, J. Rapid anionic micelle-mediated alpha-synuclein fibrillization *in vitro*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **278**, 46674–46680, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M308231200 (2003).
26. Broersen, K., van den Brink, D., Fraser, G., Goedert, M. & Davletov, B. alpha-synuclein adopts an alpha-helical conformation in the presence of polyunsaturated fatty acids to hinder micelle formation. *Biochemistry* **45**, 15610–15616, doi: 10.1021/bi061743l (2006).
27. Gurry, T. *et al.* The dynamic structure of α -synuclein multimers. *J Am Chem Soc* **135**, 3865–3872, doi: 10.1021/ja310518p (2013).
28. Dettmer, U., Selkoe, D. & Bartels, T. New insights into cellular α -synuclein homeostasis in health and disease. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **36**, 15–22, doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2015.07.007 (2016).
29. De Franceschi, G. *et al.* Structural and morphological characterization of aggregated species of α -synuclein induced by docosahexaenoic acid. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 22262–22274, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.202937 (2011).
30. Davidson, W. S., Jonas, A., Clayton, D. F. & George, J. M. Stabilization of alpha-synuclein secondary structure upon binding to synthetic membranes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **273**, 9443–9449, doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.16.9443 (1998).
31. Ferreon, A. C., Gambin, Y., Lemke, E. A. & Deniz, A. A. Interplay of alpha-synuclein binding and conformational switching probed by single-molecule fluorescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**, 5645–5650, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0809232106 (2009).
32. Middleton, E. & Rhoades, E. Effects of Curvature and Composition on alpha-Synuclein Binding to Lipid Vesicles. *Biophysical Journal* **99**, 2279–2288, doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2010.07.056 (2010).
33. Trexler, A. J. & Rhoades, E. Alpha-synuclein binds large unilamellar vesicles as an extended helix. *Biochemistry* **48**, 2304–2306, doi: 10.1021/bi900114z (2009).
34. Galvagnion, C. *et al.* Lipid vesicles trigger α -synuclein aggregation by stimulating primary nucleation. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**, 229–234, doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1750 (2015).
35. Cremades, N. *et al.* Direct observation of the interconversion of normal and toxic forms of α -synuclein. *Cell* **149**, 1048–1059, doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.037 (2012).
36. Horrocks, M. H. *et al.* Fast Flow Microfluidics and Single-Molecule Fluorescence for the Rapid Characterization of α -Synuclein Oligomers. *Anal Chem*, doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b01811 (2015).
37. Tosatto, L. *et al.* Single-molecule FRET studies on alpha-synuclein oligomerization of Parkinson's disease genetically related mutants. *Sci Rep* **5**, 16696, doi: 10.1038/srep16696 (2015).
38. Iljina, M. *et al.* Kinetic model of the aggregation of alpha-synuclein provides insights into prion-like spreading. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1524128113 (2016).
39. Serth, J., Lautwein, A., Frech, M., Wittinghofer, A. & Pingoud, A. The inhibition of the GTPase activating protein-Ha-ras interaction by acidic lipids is due to physical association of the C-terminal domain of the GTPase activating protein with micellar structures. *EMBO J* **10**, 1325–1330 (1991).
40. Serpell, L. C., Berriman, J., Jakes, R., Goedert, M. & Crowther, R. A. Fiber diffraction of synthetic alpha-synuclein filaments shows amyloid-like cross-beta conformation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**, 4897–4902 (2000).
41. Bennett, M. C. *et al.* Degradation of alpha-synuclein by proteasome. *J Biol Chem* **274**, 33855–33858 (1999).
42. Snyder, H. *et al.* Aggregated and monomeric alpha-synuclein bind to the S6' proteasomal protein and inhibit proteasomal function. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 11753–11759, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M208641200 (2003).
43. Hellstrand, E., Nowacka, A., Topgaard, D., Linse, S. & Sparr, E. Membrane lipid co-aggregation with α -synuclein fibrils. *PLoS one* **8**, e77235, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077235 (2013).
44. Brash, A. R. Arachidonic acid as a bioactive molecule. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **107**, 1339–1345, doi: 10.1172/jci13210 (2001).
45. Sanchez-Guajardo, V., Tentillier, N. & Romero-Ramos, M. The relation between α -synuclein and microglia in Parkinson's disease: Recent developments. *Neuroscience* **302**, 47–58, doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.02.008 (2015).
46. Stefanova, N. *et al.* Tumor necrosis factor- α -induced cell death in U373 cells overexpressing alpha-synuclein. *J Neurosci Res* **73**, 334–340, doi: 10.1002/jnr.10662 (2003).
47. Meves, H. Arachidonic acid and ion channels: an update. *Br J Pharmacol* **155**, 4–16, doi: 10.1038/bjp.2008.216 (2008).

48. Dettmer, U. *et al.* Parkinson-causing α -synuclein missense mutations shift native tetramers to monomers as a mechanism for disease initiation. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7314, doi: 10.1038/ncomms8314 (2015).
49. Bousquet, M. *et al.* Beneficial effects of dietary omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid on toxin-induced neuronal degeneration in an animal model of Parkinson's disease. *FASEB J* **22**, 1213–1225, doi: 10.1096/fj.07-9677com (2008).
50. Bousquet, M., Calon, F. & Cicchetti, F. Impact of ω -3 fatty acids in Parkinson's disease. *Ageing Res Rev* **10**, 453–463, doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2011.03.001 (2011).
51. Luth, E. S., Bartels, T., Dettmer, U., Kim, N. C. & Selkoe, D. J. Purification of α -synuclein from human brain reveals an instability of endogenous multimers as the protein approaches purity. *Biochemistry* **54**, 279–292, doi: 10.1021/bi501188a (2015).
52. Hoyer, W. *et al.* Dependence of alpha-synuclein aggregate morphology on solution conditions. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **322**, 383–393, doi: 10.1016/S0022-2836(02)00775-1 (2002).
53. Guerrero, C., Tagwerker, C., Kaiser, P. & Huang, L. An integrated mass spectrometry-based proteomic approach: quantitative analysis of tandem affinity-purified *in vivo* cross-linked protein complexes (QTAX) to decipher the 26 S proteasome-interacting network. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **5**, 366–378, doi: 10.1074/mcp.M500303-MCP200 (2006).
54. Wang, X. *et al.* Mass spectrometric characterization of the affinity-purified human 26S proteasome complex. *Biochemistry* **46**, 3553–3565, doi: 10.1021/bi061994u (2007).
55. Vaarmann, A., Gandhi, S., Gourine, A. V. & Abramov, A. Y. Novel pathway for an old neurotransmitter: dopamine-induced neuronal calcium signalling via receptor-independent mechanisms. *Cell Calcium* **48**, 176–182, doi: 10.1016/j.ceca.2010.08.008 (2010).

Acknowledgements

Authors thank E. Klimont for the expression and purification of A90C α -synuclein, and Dr. J.N. Skepper for help with TEM imaging. We are grateful to Prof. T. Bartels and Prof. D.J. Selkoe for helpful suggestions. M.I. acknowledges Dr. Tayyeb-Hussain Scholarship. L.T. has been recipient of a grant PAT Post Doc Outgoing 2009 7th Framework Program Marie Curie COFUND actions. C.D.H. and C.E.B. acknowledge funding from Alzheimer's Research UK. Augustus Newman Foundation is acknowledged.

Author Contributions

M.I. performed single-molecule experiments and imaging. L.T. aided with CD measurements. M.L.C. performed cortical neuronal cell assays. J.C.S. aided with single-molecule experiments. Y.Y. designed the proteasome degradation assays. C.D.H. performed microglia assays. C.E.B. designed microglia assays. S.G. designed cortical neuronal cell assays and aided with their analysis. D.K. contributed towards experimental design. M.I. wrote the manuscript with contribution from all authors.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Iljina, M. *et al.* Arachidonic acid mediates the formation of abundant alpha-helical multimers of alpha-synuclein. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 33928; doi: 10.1038/srep33928 (2016).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016