SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Detecting the Biopolymer Behavior of Graphene Nanoribbons in Aqueous Solution

Sithara S. Wijeratne¹, Evgeni S. Penev², Wei Lu³, Jingqiang Li¹, Amanda L. Duque², Boris I. Yakobson^{2,3,4}, James M. Tour^{2,3,4} & Ching-Hwa Kiang^{1,5}

Received: 29 March 2016

Accepted: 08 July 2016

Published: 09 August 2016

Graphene nanoribbons (GNR), can be prepared in bulk quantities for large-area applications by reducing the product from the lengthwise oxidative unzipping of multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWNT). Recently, the biomaterials application of GNR has been explored, for example, in the pore to be used for DNA sequencing. Therefore, understanding the polymer behavior of GNR in solution is essential in predicting GNR interaction with biomaterials. Here, we report experimental studies of the solution-based mechanical properties of GNR and their parent products, graphene oxide nanoribbons (GONR). We used atomic force microscopy (AFM) to study their mechanical properties in solution and showed that GNR and GONR have similar force-extension behavior as in biopolymers such as proteins and DNA. The rigidity increases with reducing chemical functionalities. The similarities in rigidity and tunability between nanoribbons and biomolecules might enable the design and fabrication of GNR-biomimetic interfaces.

Nanoscale materials for biological application must be able to function properly in solution and interact favorably with biological nanomaterials. Graphene and related structures are emerging as potential biomaterials for a variety of applications¹, so how their properties change in a biological environment will be crucial for the design and optimization of these materials with desired functionalities^{2–5}. Among graphene-based materials, graphene nanoribbons (GNR) have attracted significant attention due to their high aspect ratio, unique electronic properties, mechanical strength, thermal conductivity and biocompatibility⁶. The long length of the GNR, here ranges from 1 to 5 μm , could render them a suitable biomaterial for *in vitro* biomedical applications¹.

Among the known strategies for synthesizing GNR, the lengthwise oxidative cutting of multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWNT) to generate graphene oxide nanoribbons (GONR), an oxide derivative of GNR, is a versatile method for large area applications² (Fig. 1). During the oxidation, the π -bonds in the graphene network are disrupted, yielding oxygenated nanoribbon sheets covered with carbonyls, carboxyls and hydroxyls groups, resulting in highly water soluble structures of widths from 10 nm to more than 100 nm and lengths from 1 to 5 μm ^{7,8}. However, under hydrazine reduction, this network is reduced to form GNR.

Here we characterize the mechanical properties of GNR and their parent products, GONR, in aqueous solution by using AFM and have observed a unique mechanical behavior of these materials. Due to the one-dimensional like conformation of nanoribbons, we used experimental and analysis techniques similar to those used for the one-dimensional biological polymers. These experimental results suggested that signatures in the force curves may be affected by the wrinkles, loops, spirals, or other deformations in GNR. Understanding the mechanical properties of the nanoribbons in solution will aid in the design and fabrication of GNR-biomimetic interfaces.

Results

Figure 2 shows the AFM pulling scheme of a single GNR. The AFM tip was brought into contact with the gold substrate and random segments of the GNR were stretched in aqueous solution at a pulling rate of 1 $\mu\text{m/s}$. Figure 3 shows the resulting force-extension curves for GONR and GNR. Only force-extension profiles displaying

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. ²Department of Material Science and NanoEngineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. ³Department of Chemistry, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. ⁴Richard E. Smalley Institute for Nanoscale Science & Technology, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. ⁵Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.-H.K. (email: chkiang@rice.edu)

Figure 1. Illustration of graphene nanoribbon formation. The lengthwise cutting of MWNT to form GONR and GNR via oxidative shortening². Chemical oxidants were used to unzip carbon nanotubes longitudinally to produce GONR. GONR are then reduced to form GNR.

Figure 2. Illustration of graphene nanoribbon stretching. A schematic illustration of the AFM experiments on pulling a single nanoribbon. One end of GNR or GONR is attached to the gold substrate and the other end to the AFM cantilever. The forces on a single GNR or GONR were determined by the bending of cantilever.

consistent features with a single detachment peak were considered for further analysis. Some of the force curves have a single force peak while others have multiple force drops and peaks, similar to those observed in forced unfolding of proteins or unzipping of nucleic acids. We separated force-extension curves into two groups: i) ones with single detachment peaks and ii) ones with multiple force peaks. A typical AFM image (Fig. 4) of GNR shows the morphology of these nanoribbons, which resembles the filamentous biopolymers such as proteins and DNAs. One hypothesis of the multiple force peaks is that these are from pulling a GNR polymer with wrinkles and loops. Because the non-linear behavior in the force-extension curves appears to be similar to that of biological molecules^{9–11}, and our GNR/GONR are nearly one-dimensional, we first attempt to fit the force curves to the extensible wormlike chain (eWLC) model^{12,13} to obtain an estimate of the scale of bending and stretching energies,

$$x = L_c \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\beta L_p F}} + \frac{F}{K} \right), \quad (1)$$

where x is the extension, F is the force, K is the elastic stretch modulus, $\beta = 1/k_B T$ where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature, L_c is the contour length and L_p is the persistence length.

Figure 5 shows the histogram distributions of the measured values of L_p and K . For GONR with only one force peak, the most probable values, resulting from Gaussian fits to histograms, are $L_p = 15$ nm and $K = 3$ nN (Fig. 5A,B). For GONR with multiple force peaks, the value of the peak force $F = 170$ pN (Fig. 5C). For GNR with only one force peak, the $L_p = 35$ nm and $K = 1$ nN (Fig. 5D,E). For GNR with multiple force peaks, the value of the peak force $F = 120$ pN (Fig. 5F). The measured L_p values agree well with reported values of 10–100 nm for GNR^{14,15}. The L_c of 100–1500 nm for GNR are also consistent with reported values².

Discussion

The persistence length (L_p) of GNR, obtained by fitting to the eWLC model, is comparable to that in other carbon materials, such as carbyne (one-dimensional chain of carbon atoms) or pristine GNR (Table 1). However, the stretch modulus (K) of GNR is 2–3 orders of magnitude smaller than the simulation results of carbyne and pristine GNR.

Figure 3. Force-extension curves of GNR and GONR. Force-extension curves of GONR show (A) a single force peak, and (B) multiple force peaks and kinks. Force-extension curves of GNR show (C) a single force peak, and (D) multiple force peaks and kinks. Force curves in (A,B) are from the same GONR sample, and (C,D) are from the same GNR sample. The dashed red curves are fits to the eWLC model, where L_p and K are determined (see Eq. 1).

Figure 4. AFM image of graphene nanoribbons. AFM image of a typical graphene nanoribbon (GNR) derived from lengthwise unzipping of MWNT. The length is $1\ \mu\text{m}$ and the width is $20\ \text{nm}$. These narrow GNR often have different morphologies, which include loops and often appear to be curved. Scale bar: $100\ \text{nm}$.

Our experiments were carried out in aqueous solution, which may have an effect on their flexibility. In solution, GNR act more like a biopolymer, and thus the mechanical properties of GNR might depend on its environment. This has been demonstrated in cellulose paper, where a wet sheet had a lower strength and stiffness than a dry sheet¹⁶.

Figure 5. Experimental determination of mechanical parameters using one-dimensional polymer model. Data were fit with the eWLC model (Eq. 1) to determine L_p and K . For GONR curves with single force peaks, histograms show that (A) $L_p = 15$ nm and (B) $K = 3$ nN from fitting the distribution to a Gaussian curve. (C) For GONR with multiple force peaks the value of the peak force $F = 170$ pN. For GNR curves with single force peaks, histograms show that (D) $L_p = 35$ nm and (E) $K = 1$ nN. (F) For GNR curves with multiple force peaks the value of the peak force $F = 120$ pN.

Sample	L_p (nm)	K (nN)
<i>Biopolymers</i>		
Single-stranded DNA ²⁷	1–4	2
Native titin (folded) ²⁸	9–16	0.6–0.9
Native titin (unfolded) ²⁹	0.4	
Double-stranded DNA ¹³	50	1
<i>Carbon materials</i>		
Carbyne ^{* 30}	14	580
Pristine GNR ^{* 14}	10–100	7800
GONR	15	3
GNR	35	1
SWNT ¹⁰	32,000	45,000

Table 1. Elastic properties of biopolymers and carbon materials. GNR and GONR have bending and stretching rigidity on the same order of filamentous biopolymers such as proteins and DNA and much smaller than similar nanomaterials such as SWNT and two-dimensional graphene sheets. *Simulation results.

Loops and wrinkles in GNR are common^{17–19}, and their mechanical properties can be probed by AFM force measurements. The existence of spirals, helicoids, wrinkles, and loops in GNR may explain the multiple kinks and force drops in our AFM experiments¹⁵. Force-drops have been observed in molecular simulations of GNR, as well as in functionalized GNR upon the application of a tensile force²⁰.

The $K = 1$ nN and $L_p = 35$ nm of GNR are consistent with the values seen in double-stranded DNA (*dsDNA*). This may indicate that GNR and *dsDNA* are biocompatible mechanically and that GNR may form a structure or conformation similar to that of *dsDNA* (like a double helix)²⁰. Both *dsDNA* and proteins can exist in different conformations, and GNR may contain different deformations and transform among those conformations when subject to external mechanical forces.

Because of the finite width of the graphene nanoribbons, GNR and GONR differ from the true one-dimensional biopolymers such as proteins and DNA, which can only form structures such as folds and loops. There have been a number of theoretical studies and models on the mechanical properties of ribbons, which can form a variety of structures such as helicoids, wrinkles, and spirals in addition to folds and loops. The ground state of nanoribbons can be helix or helicoid, depending on the width to thickness ratio of the material^{21,22}. The chiral ribbon can form different structures such as helicoid and spiral, depending on a critical value, Föppl-von Kármán

(FvK) number, which is determined by the competition between the bending and stretching energy²³. When subjected to tension, the elastic macroscopic ribbons can undergo transitions between these morphologies²⁴, which may be the origin of the kinks observed in the force curves. Furthermore, thermal fluctuations may have a nontrivial effect on the mechanics and give rise to *anomalous elasticity*, and its effect has been calculated to result in an enhanced bending rigidity and a suppressed stretching rigidity²⁵. The increased bending stiffness with size in a two-dimensional graphene has been observed experimentally²⁶ and the decrease in stretching stiffness may explain the lower than expected values of the stiffness K observed in our experiments of this nearly one-dimensional system. The simple eWLC model used in the current work does not take into account of these deformations, which may underlie the kinks and force drops in the observed force-extension curves that resemble biopolymer force curves. More theoretical and experimental studies are necessary to answer the questions about what effects the finite width of a nanoribbon, which constitutes the basic difference between the elasticity of filamentous and ribbon-like materials, have on the mechanics of nanoribbons.

The mechanical properties reported here provide an insight into the behavior of GNR in solution. Force-extension curves of GNR in aqueous solution possess similar features compared to those from biopolymers, such as proteins and DNA. GNR can have different phases that result in force drops when stretched with external forces. With these different morphologies, diverse biomimetic designed structures may be achieved by using GNR, which have potential applications in biomimetics with tunable properties.

Methods

GONR were made by oxidative unzipping of MWNT through permanganate oxidation². The widths of the nanoribbons range from 10 nm to greater than 100 nm and lengths range from 1 to 5 μm after the reaction. These oxide samples were then reduced with hydrazine monohydrate to produce GNR (Fig. 1).

Sample substrates for AFM experiments were prepared by allowing 10 μl of 0.1 mg/ml of the nanoribbon samples to absorb on to a fresh gold substrate for 10 minutes at room temperature. The substrate was then spin-coated for one minute at 3,000 rpm to evenly distribute the nanoribbons on the surface. An AFM (Bruker, Inc.) was used to perform force-pulling measurements on the sample as illustrated in Fig. 2. Silicon nitride cantilevers with a spring constant of 0.04 N/m were used (MLCT, Bruker, Inc.). The nanoribbon samples were pulled in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) at a pulling velocity of 1 $\mu\text{m/s}$. The force-extension curves obtained in each experiment were analyzed with a program written in MATLAB (MathWorks, Inc.). The data were binned into histograms and fit to a Gaussian curve. The error in the measurements is half of the bin width of the histograms.

To prepare the substrate for imaging, mica discs were glued to steel discs using epoxy and allowed to dry overnight. The dried mica discs were cleaved with scotch tape to reveal a fresh surface. For nanoribbon samples (0.1 mg/ml) of approximately, 10 μL were spincoated for one minute at 3,000 rpm, washed with Millipore water and then immediately dried with nitrogen gas and imaged in air. The ScanAsyst mode in air, using ScanAsyst air tips with a nominal spring constant of 0.4 N/m, was used for imaging.

References

- Garaj, S. *et al.* Graphene as a subnanometre trans-electrode membrane. *Nature* **467**, 190–193 (2010).
- Kosynkin, D. V. *et al.* Longitudinal unzipping of carbon nanotubes to form graphene nanoribbons. *Nature* **458**, 872–875 (2009).
- Min, S. K., Kim, W. Y., Cho, Y. & Kim, K. S. Fast DNA sequencing with a graphene-based nanochannel device. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **6**, 162–165 (2011).
- Schneider, G. F. *et al.* DNA translocation through graphene nanopores. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 3163–3167 (2010).
- Miao, F. *et al.* Phase-coherent transport in graphene quantum billiards. *Science* **317**, 1530–1533 (2007).
- Baringhaus, J. *et al.* Exceptional ballistic transport in epitaxial graphene nanoribbons. *Nature* **506**, 349–354 (2014).
- Georgakilas, V. *et al.* Functionalization of graphene: covalent and non-covalent approaches, derivatives and applications. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 6156–6214 (2012).
- Compton, O. C. & Nguyen, S. T. Graphene oxide, highly reduced graphene oxide, and graphene: versatile building blocks for carbon-based materials. *Small* **6**, 711–723 (2010).
- Wijeratne, S. S. *et al.* Mechanical activation of a multimeric adhesive protein through domain conformational change. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 108102 (2013).
- Duggal, R. & Pasquali, M. Dynamics of individual single-walled carbon nanotubes in water by real-time visualization. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 246104 (2006).
- Harris, N. C., Song, Y. & Kiang, C. H. Experimental free energy surface reconstruction from single-molecule force spectroscopy using Jarzynski's equality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 068101 (2007).
- Bakajin, O. B. *et al.* Electrohydrodynamic stretching of DNA in confined environments. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2737–2740 (1998).
- Bustamante, C., Marko, J. F., Siggia, E. D. & Smith, S. Entropic elasticity of lambda-phage DNA. *Science* **265**, 1599–1600 (1994).
- Bets, K. V. & Yakobson, B. I. Spontaneous twist and intrinsic instabilities of pristine graphene nanoribbons. *Nano Res.* **2**, 161–166 (2009).
- Xu, Z. P. & Buehler, M. J. Geometry controls conformation of graphene sheets: membranes, ribbons, and scrolls. *ACS Nano* **4**, 3869–3876 (2010).
- Alava, M. & Niskanen, K. The physics of paper. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **69**, 669–723 (2006).
- Zhu, W. J. *et al.* Structure and electronic transport in graphene wrinkles. *Nano Lett.* **12**, 3431–3436 (2012).
- Yi, L. J., Zhang, Y. Y., Wang, C. M. & Chang, T. C. Temperature-induced unfolding of scrolled graphene and folded graphene. *J. Appl. Phys.* **115** (2014).
- Zang, J. F. *et al.* Multifunctionality and control of the crumpling and unfolding of large-area graphene. *Nat. Mater.* **12**, 321–325 (2013).
- Qin, Z. & Buehler, M. Bioinspired design of functionalised graphene. *Mol. Simulat.* **38**, 695–703 (2012).
- Armon, S., Efrati, E., Kupferman, R. & Sharon, E. Geometry and mechanics in the opening of chiral seed pods. *Science* **333**, 1726–1730 (2011).
- Armon, S., Aharoni, H., Moshe, M. & Sharon, E. Shape selection in chiral ribbons: from seed pods to supramolecular assemblies. *Soft Matter* **10**, 2733–2740 (2014).
- Ghafari, R. & Bruinsma, R. Helicoid to spiral ribbon transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 138101 (2005).
- Chopin, J. & Kudrolli, A. Helicoids, wrinkles, and loops in twisted ribbons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 174302 (2013).
- Kosmrlj, A. & Nelson, D. R. Response of thermalized ribbons to pulling and bending. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 125431 (2016).

26. Blees, M. K. *et al.* Graphene kirigami. *Nature* **524**, 204–207 (2015).
27. Calderon, C. P., Chen, W. H., Lin, K. J., Harris, N. C. & Kiang, C. H. Quantifying DNA melting transitions using single-molecule force spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **21** (2009).
28. Di Cola, E. *et al.* Persistence length of titin from rabbit skeletal muscles measured with scattering and microrheology techniques. *Biophys. J.* **88**, 4095–4106 (2005).
29. Rief, M., Gautel, M., Oesterhelt, F., Fernandez, J. M. & Gaub, H. E. Reversible unfolding of individual titin immunoglobulin domains by AFM. *Science* **276**, 1109–1112 (1997).
30. Liu, M. J., Artyukhov, V. I., Lee, H., Xu, F. B. & Yakobson, B. I. Carbyne from first principles: chain of C atoms, a nanorod or a nanorope. *ACS Nano* **7**, 10075–10082 (2013).

Acknowledgements

We thank NSF 0907676, Welch Foundation No. C-1632 and AFOSR FA9550-09-1-0581. Computer resources were provided by XSEDE, which is supported by NSF OCI-1053575, under allocation TG-DMR100029 and the DAVinCI cluster acquired with funds from NSF OCI-0959097.

Author Contributions

S.S.W., W.L., J.L. and A.L.D. conducted experiments and analyzed the data; B.I.Y., J.M.T. and C.-H.K. designed the study; and S.S.W., E.S.P., J.L., B.I.Y., J.M.T. and C.-H.K. wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wijeratne, S. S. *et al.* Detecting the Biopolymer Behavior of Graphene Nanoribbons in Aqueous Solution. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 31174; doi: 10.1038/srep31174 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016