SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 05 March 2016 Accepted: 08 June 2016 Published: 28 June 2016

OPEN Stereotactic Comparison Study of ¹⁸F-Alfatide and ¹⁸F-FDG PET Imaging in an LLC Tumor-Bearing C57BL/6 Mouse Model

Yu-Chun Wei^{1,2}, Yongsheng Gao³, Jianbo Zhang³, Zheng Fu⁴, Jinsong Zheng⁴, Ning Liu¹, Xudong Hu¹, Wenhong Hou¹, Jinming Yu¹ & Shuanghu Yuan¹

This study aimed to stereotactically compare the PET imaging performance of ¹⁸F-Alfatide (¹⁸F-ALF-NOTA-PRGD₂, denoted as ¹⁸F-Alfatide) and ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining in Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) tumor-bearing C57BL/6 mouse model. ¹⁸F-FDG standard uptake values (SUVs) were higher than ¹⁸F-Alfatide SUVs in tumors, most of the normal tissues and organs except for the bladder. Tumor-to-brain, tumor-to-lung, and tumor-to-heart ratios of ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET were significantly higher than those of ¹⁸F-FDG PET (P < 0.001). The spatial heterogeneity of the tumors was detected, and the tracer accumulation enhanced from the outer laver to the inner laver consistently using the two tracers. The parameters of the tumors were significantly correlated with each other between ¹⁸F-FDG SUV and GLUT-1 (R = 0.895, P < 0.001), ¹⁸F-Alfatide SUV and $\alpha v\beta 3$ (R = 0.595, P=0.019), 18 F-FDG SUV and 18 F-Alfatide SUV (R = 0.917, P < 0.001), and GLUT-1 and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ (R = 0.637, P = 0.011). Therefore, ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET may be an effective tracer for tumor detection. spatial heterogeneity imaging and an alternative supplement to ¹⁸F-FDG PET, particularly for patients with enhanced characteristics in the brain, chest tumors or diabetes, meriting further study.

Positron emission tomography (PET), which uses radiolabeled tracers, is a promising, noninvasive imaging method for a more accurate evaluation of initial staging, regional and distant metastasis, treatment response, and recurrence^{1,2}. ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG), a commonly used tracer for neoplasm detection, and also a marker of glycolytic metabolism, is widely used in the clinical field. Increased expression of glucose transporter (GLUT) proteins is the foundation of the standard uptake values (SUVs) of FDG positron emission tomography (PET)³. GLUT-1 is one of the carriers of the GLUT family. GLUT-1 expression is undetectable in most normal epithelia and benign epithelial tumors, and its expression has been shown to indicate epithelial malignancy in various organs⁴. Metabolic imaging with ¹⁸F-FDG PET and computed tomography (CT) is known to be a useful method in evaluating tumors⁵. However, ¹⁸F-FDG PET has its own limitation in differentiating tumors in some special organs with a high background, such as the brain, heart, kidney, and bladder, and some diabetes patients. Therefore, many new tracers have been developed as supplements to FDG.

RGD peptide with a sequence of the three amino acids Arg-Gly-Asp is an integrin $\alpha v\beta$ 3-specific ligand. Integrin $\alpha v\beta 3$ is significantly up-regulated in various types of tumor cells and in the activated endothelial cells of tumor angiogenesis but is not up-regulated at all or is only slightly up-regulated in quiescent vessel cells and other normal cells⁶. Integrin $\alpha v\beta 3$ has been intensively investigated as a target for angiogenesis imaging and therapy^{7,8}. Therefore, radiolabeled RGD has been used extensively for PET imaging tumor angiogenesis^{9,10}. Currently, several ¹⁸F-labeled RGD peptides, including ¹⁸F-galacto-RGD¹¹, ¹⁸F-AH111585¹², ¹⁸F-RGD-K5¹³, ¹⁸F-FPRGD2 and ¹⁸F-FPPRGD2, have been evaluated in clinical trials¹⁴. However, the multiple-step synthetic procedure for the preparation of these ¹⁸F-labeled RGD tracers is very time-consuming¹⁵, with a relatively low labeling yield, possibly hindering their widespread use. The easy-to-prepare and high-yield qualities make

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Shandong Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, China. ²School of Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Jinan-Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, China. ³Department of Pathology, Shandong Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, China. ⁴Department of Nuclear Medicine, Shandong Cancer Hospital Affiliated to Shandong University, Jinan, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.Y. (email: yuanshuanghu@sina.com)

| Organ | ¹⁸ F-Alfatide PET | ¹⁸ F-FDG PET |
|---------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Blood | 1.00 ± 0.16 | 2.00 ± 0.61 |
| Brain | 0.47 ± 0.70 | 2.16 ± 0.54 |
| Heart | 0.44 ± 0.07 | 5.20 ± 1.40 |
| Lung | 0.30 ± 0.04 | 2.20 ± 0.62 |
| Muscle | 0.38 ± 0.14 | 1.04 ± 0.41 |
| Bladder | 14.81 ± 3.73 | 9.18 ± 3.17 |
| Kidneys | 1.48 ± 0.34 | 2.17 ± 0.59 |

Table 1. Mean SUVs (mean \pm SD) Normalized to Weight for Various Organs by ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET and ¹⁸F-FDG PET Respectively (n = 15).

| Organ | ¹⁸ F-Alfatide PET | ¹⁸ F-FDG PET | P Value |
|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Tumor | 1.59 ± 0.26 | 4.94 ± 1.01 | |
| Tumor-to-Blood | 1.59 ± 0.24 | 2.68 ± 1.00 | P = 0.002 |
| Tumor-to-Brain | 5.22 ± 1.83 | 2.33 ± 0.46 | P < 0.001 |
| Tumor-to-Heart | 3.68 ± 0.67 | 1.00 ± 0.29 | P < 0.001 |
| Tumor-to-Lung | 5.28 ± 0.92 | 2.38 ± 0.77 | P < 0.001 |
| Tumor-to-Muscle | 4.64 ± 1.49 | 5.27 ± 1.70 | P=0.365 |
| Tumor-to-Bladder | 0.11 ± 0.04 | 1.10 ± 0.19 | P<0.001 |
| Tumor-to-Kidneys | 0.60 ± 0.23 | 2.33 ± 0.45 | P<0.001 |

Table 2. Mean SUVs (mean \pm SD) Normalized to Weight for Tumor and Tumor-to-Background Ratios by ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET and ¹⁸F-FDG PET Respectively (n = 15).

[(18) F]AIF-NOTA-PRGD2, denoted as ¹⁸F-Alfatide, a promising alternative for PET imaging of tumor $\alpha v\beta 3$ integrin expression^{16,17}.

In this study, we performed micro-PET imaging using both ¹⁸F-alfatide and ¹⁸F-FDG in an LLC tumor-bearing mouse model. We aimed to stereotactically compare the PET imaging performance and standardized uptake value of ¹⁸F-Alfatide and ¹⁸F-FDG, and also used immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining in a Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) tumor-bearing C57BL/6 mice model.

Results

Biodistribution Data by PET Imaging. A total of 15 C57BL/6 mice were transplanted with LLC in the right thigh successfully, and then they underwent PET imaging. During imaging, no significant adverse events were observed. The radiotracer biodistribution was measured in major organs at 1 hour after injection of ¹⁸F-Alfatide and ¹⁸F-FDG (Table 1). The highest accumulation activity was found in the kidneys and bladder with both radiotracers, demonstrating renal clearance. The blood also showed moderate uptake with the two radiotracers PET imaging. High radiotracer accumulation was found in the brain, heart, lung, and muscle in ¹⁸F-FDG PET scans, whereas those of ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET were minimal.

Tumor Detection. Fifteen xenografts were all detected on both ¹⁸F- FDG PET and ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET. The results of the SUV measurements for tumors assessed by ¹⁸F-Alfatide (SUV_{RGD}, 2.37 ± 0.46) was lower than ¹⁸F-FDG (SUV_{FDG}, 10.19 ± 1.67), (P < 0.001).

Table 2 shows that, although the ¹⁸F-FDG mean SUVs were higher than ¹⁸F-Alfatide mean SUVs in tumors and most of the normal tissues and organs, the tumor-to-brain, tumor-to-lung, and tumor-to-heart ratios of ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET were significantly higher than those of ¹⁸F-FDG PET (P < 0.001). The tumor-to-brain, tumor-to-heart, tumor-to-lung, and tumor-to-muscle ratios of ¹⁸F-Alfatide were 5.22 ± 1.83 , 3.68 ± 0.67 , 5.28 ± 0.92 , and 4.64 ± 1.49 , respectively, whereas those of ¹⁸F-FDG PET were 2.33 ± 0.46 , 1.00 ± 0.29 , 2.38 ± 0.77 , and 5.27 ± 1.70 , respectively. The P values were < 0.001, < 0.001, < 0.001, and = 0.365, respectively.

Spatial Heterogeneity Imaging. The spatial heterogeneity of the xenografts was detected, and the radiotracer accumulation was enhanced from the outer layer to the inner layer consistently using the two tracers. Figure 1 shows the SUV of the outer (SUV_{RGD-0}, SUV_{FDG-0}), middle (SUV_{RGD-M}, SUV_{FDG-M}) and inner (SUV_{RGD-1}, SUV_{FDG-1}) layers by ¹⁸F-Alfatide and ¹⁸F-FDG PET. SUV_{FDG-0}, SUV_{FDG-M}, and SUV_{FDG-I} were increased in turn (3.04 ± 0.64 , 6.33 ± 1.16 , 9.95 ± 1.64 , respectively, P < 0.001), as well as SUV_{RGD-0}, SUV_{RGD-M}, and SUV_{RGD-M}, and SUV_{RGD-M}, (1.46 ± 0.30 , 1.96 ± 0.37 , 2.36 ± 0.37 , respectively, P < 0.001).

The SUV comparison results by layer are summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 2. SUV_{FDG-O} vs SUV_{FDG-M} , SUV_{FDG-O} vs SUV_{FDG-I} , SUV_{FDG-I} , S



Figure 1. SUVs of outer, middle, and inner layers for FDG (**A**) scans and RGD (**B**) scans in xenografts. The tracers accumulation enhanced from out layer to inner layer consistently on the two tracers (SUV_{FDG-O}, SUV_{FDG-M}, and SUV_{FDG-I} were 3.04 ± 0.64 , 6.33 ± 1.16 , 9.95 ± 1.64 and SUV_{RGD-O}, SUV_{RGD-M}, and SUV_{RGD-I} were 1.46 ± 0.30 , 1.96 ± 0.37 , 2.36 ± 0.37 , P < 0.001).

.....

| | P value | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| ¹⁸ F-Alfatide SUV | | | |
| Outer VS. Middle layer | P < 0.001 | | |
| Outer VS. Inner layer | P < 0.001 | | |
| Middle VS. Inner layer | P=0.003 | | |
| ¹⁸ F-FDG SUV | | | |
| Outer VS. Middle layer | P < 0.001 | | |
| Outer VS. Inner layer | P < 0.001 | | |
| Middle VS. Inner layer | P < 0.001 | | |

Table 3. Multiple comparisons of SUV between different layers of tumor (n = 15).

Immunohistochemical Validations and their Correlation with PET Imaging. PET imaging was validated by IHC examination. The staining of both GLUT-1 and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ was mainly cytoplasmic (Fig. 3). The expression levels were 9.11 ± 1.08 for GLUT-1 and 3.86 ± 1.10 for $\alpha\nu\beta3$. Figure 4 shows a positive correlation between SUV_{FDG} and the GLUT-1 expression level (R=0.895, P < 0.001), and between SUV_{RGD} and the $\alpha\nu\beta3$ expression level in tumors (R=0.595, P=0.019).

In contrast, Fig. 4C shows a very strong positive correlation between SUV_{FDG} and SUV_{RGD} (R = 0.917, P < 0.001). A strong positive correlation was also found between the GLUT-1 expression level and $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3 expression level (R = 0.637, P = 0.011) (Fig. 4D). These correlation studies showed that the tumor SUV changes of ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-Alfatide are consistent with each other, as well as with GLUT-1 and $\alpha\nu\beta$ 3 expression in rough calculation.

Discussion

In this study, ¹⁸F-Alfatide was shown to be a potentially effective tracer for the detection of brain, lung, and heart tumors with higher tumor-to-background ratios than ¹⁸F-FDG in these organs. All xenografts were identified with high tumor-to-muscle ratios by both ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET and ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging. ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET and ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging were interrelated in tumor detection; SUV_{FDG}, SUV_{RGD}, GLUT-1, and $\alpha v\beta 3$ in tumors were correlated closely with each other, and the spatial heterogeneity of SUV_{FDG} in different tumor layers was consistent with SUV_{RGD} in rough calculation.

¹⁸F-Alfatide, as a new RGD PET tracer, showed potential advantages for brain and chest tumors because of the high tumor-to-background ratio *in vivo* PET imaging. This finding was consistent with that in prior studies. In the application of lung cancer, Chen X *et al.* found that the primary lung boundary effects of RGD PET imaging is similar to FDG PET, and RGD PET provides better imaging for mediastinal lymph nodes and contralateral lung metastases¹⁸. Hiroshi Fushiki¹⁹ *et al.* also reported that ¹⁸F-FDG was specifically accumulated in tumors and the heart in the thoracic cavity, and there were some high background signals with ¹⁸F-FDG PET in the chest, including the heart and skeletal muscle around the lung, indicating that ¹⁸F-FDG PET showed difficulty in recognizing



Figure 2. Biodistribution by whole body PET Imaging. Figure 2A, ¹⁸F-Alfatide PETimaging. Figure 2B, ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging. Arrows pont to tumor.



Figure 3. Patterns of $\alpha v\beta 3$ and GLUT-1 immunochemistry. Figure 4A, Weak $\alpha v\beta 3$ staining (200×). Figure 4B, Strong $\alpha v\beta 3$ staining (200×). Figure 4C, Weak GLUT-1 staining (200×). Figure 4D, Strong GLUT-1 staining (200×).



Figure 4. Correlation between SUVs and immunohistochemistry in xenograft. Between FDG SUV and GLUT-1 (R=0.895, P < 0.001), RGD SUV and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ (R=0.595, P=0.019), FDG SUV and RGD SUV (R=0.917, P<0.001), and GLUT-1 and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ (R=0.637, P=0.011) showed significantly positive correlation in xenografts.

the tumor. In our study, the same tumor-bearing mice imaged with ¹⁸F-FDG not only showed a high uptake in chest tissues but also in the brain, thus making it difficult to delineate tumor metastases due to low tumor contrast; however, high tumor-to-background ratios exist in those tissues with ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET images.

Spatial heterogeneity in tumors was found in our study because the tracer accumulation was enhanced from the outer layer to the inner layer consistently with the two tracers. Tumor heterogeneity has been intensively investigated as a target to better serve tumor-individualized treatment. Different PET SUVs in different tumor areas represent different level of glycometabolism and angiogenesis and deserve different radiation dose for tumor control. This has been used in some clinical trial (For example, RTOG1106). Metz²⁰ *et al.* conducted a multi-image assessment of non-small cell lung cancer and other cancer patients, and simultaneously underwent RGD PET imaging for angiogenesis and FDG PET imaging for glucose metabolism. They found that the highest perfusion tumor sub-region was also the region with the highest $\alpha v\beta$ 3 integrin expression (i.e., angiogenesis) and the highest glucose metabolism, and the hypoperfusion area was also the region with low $\alpha v\beta$ 3 integrin expression and low glucose metabolism. Thus, this multi-mode imaging assessment for tumor heterogeneity is feasible, and this integrated multi-mode imaging can compensate for the lack of a single image. PET imaging would be a convenient method for noninvasive intratumor heterogeneity imaging and individualized radiotherapy. However, radiotracers' uptakes alone are not necessarily the only factor to consider in terms of tumor heterogeneity. Furthermore, clinical situations are far more complicated than the animal models. Whether spatial heterogeneity on PET imaging has correlation with tumor heterogeneity is still unclear and it deserves further study.

Hyperglycemia is associated with decreased FDG uptake by tumors as assessed by PET²¹. ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET imaging is based on the expression level of $\alpha v\beta 3$, regardless of the glucose metabolism; thus, we believe that ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET should have more advantages than ¹⁸F-FDG PET in uncontrolled diabetic patients.

Many studies^{22–24} have shown that ¹⁸F-FDG uptake values have a strong relationship with the GLUT-1 IHC results. In patients with cervical²⁵, ovarian²⁶, and endometrial cancer²⁷, it has been reported that a positive correlation exists between the SUV of the primary tumor and expression of GLUT-1. GLUT-1 expression, also related to tumor radioresistance at clinically relevant levels, has been reported in several studies²⁸. Thus, FDG PET/CT is sensitive in detecting changes in tumor activity after treatment compared with conventional imaging methods²⁹. In the current study, a strong positive correlation was found not only between FDG SUVs and GLUT-1 expression in tumors but also between ¹⁸F-FDG SUV and ¹⁸F-Alfatide SUV. The latter finding indicated that noninvasive ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET imaging may play a similar role in evaluating tumor invasion, staging, or detecting changes in tumor activity after treatment using ¹⁸F-FDG PET.

To further verify the conclusion as mentioned above, we explored the correlation between ¹⁸F-Alfatide SUV and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ IHC staining, and the correlation between IHC staining between $\alpha\nu\beta3$ and GLUT-1. High ¹⁸F-Alfatide uptake is due to high integrin expression in normal tissues and organs and consequently minimal nonspecific cardiac and lung activity accumulation with this radiotracer. In the current study, a significant positive correlation was found between ¹⁸F-Alfatide SUV and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ (R = 0.595, P = 0.019). Consequently, various radiolabeled RGD peptides have been developed for the noninvasive determination of $\alpha\nu\beta3$ expression³⁰. The most extensive use of RGD PET is the monitoring of tumor angiogenesis and anti-angiogenesis therapy^{9,10}. In this study, a strong positive correlation was also found between GLUT-1 and $\alpha\nu\beta3$ (R = 0.637, P = 0.011). Combined with the conclusions above, this study may further expand the application of ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET in detecting tumor growth and monitoring tumor therapy effects compared with ¹⁸F-FDG PET.



Figure 5. Tumor PET images in coronal plane. Tumor on this slice was roughly divided into outer, middle and inner layers based on the luminance signal.

Conclusion

¹⁸F-Alfatide PET may be an effective tracer for tumor detection, spatial heterogeneity imaging and an alternative supplement to ¹⁸F-FDG PET, particularly for patients with enhanced characteristics with brain and chest tumors or diabetes, meriting further study. Although this preclinical study was performed successfully, the application value of ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET and FDG PET needs further discussion.

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Animal Tumor Model Preparation. Murine LLC cells, recently used in several high-profile preclinical studies^{31,32}, were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China. LLC cells were grown in RPMI 1640 (Sigma Chemicals Aldrich, Milan, Italy), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin streptomycin antibiotic mixture (Life Technologies, Inc.-Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) in a humidified incubator (Heraeus, Hanau, Germany) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The LLC tumor model was generated by subcutaneous injection of 2×10^6 cells into the right hind leg of C57BL/6 mice (Charles River Lab). Animals were housed in a limited-access animal facility. The animal room temperature and relative humidity were set at 22 ± 2 °C and 55 ± 10 %, respectively. Artificial lighting provided a 24-h cycle of 12-h light/12-h darkness (7 a.m. to 7 p.m.).

All animal procedures were approved by the Shandong Cancer Hospital & Institute Ethical Committee Guide for the care and use of Laboratory Animals. The methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

PET Imaging. The mice were subjected to PET studies when the tumor diameter reached approximately 1 cm. The simple lyophilized kit for labeling the PRGD2 peptide was purchased from the Jiangsu Institute of Nuclear Medicine, and the synthesis process was carried out in accordance with previous studies³³. The radiochemical purity of the ¹⁸F-Alfatide exceeded 95%, and its specific radioactivity exceeded 37 GBq (1,000 mCi)/ μ mol. PET data acquisition was performed using an Inveon microPET scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc). With the assistance of the Inveon system's positioning laser, each LLC tumor-bearing mouse was placed with its tumor located at the center of the field of view, where the highest imaging sensitivity can be achieved.

¹⁸F-FDG and ¹⁸F-Alfatide images were performed 1 hour after tail-vein injection under isoflurane anesthesia. Each mouse underwent ¹⁸F-FDG (2.6–3.6 MBq) PET within 2 days of the ¹⁸F-Alfatide (2.4–3.5 MBq) PET scan. Before ¹⁸F-Alfatide PET scanning, no specific subject preparation was applied, and the mice did not need fasting. Before the ¹⁸F-FDG PET examinations, each mouse had been fasted for at least 4 hours. During the acquisition period, a thermostat-controlled thermal heater maintained the body temperature of the mice. PET emission images were taken from the head to the tail. The images were reconstructed using a 2-dimensional ordered-subsets expectation maximization algorithm. The 10-min static PET scans were then acquired at 1 hour after injection.

Image Analysis. For each PET scan, regions of interest were drawn over the tumor using vendor software (IS_v1.4.3 SP1; Siemens Medical Solutions) on decay-corrected whole-body coronal images slice by slice. Two experienced nuclear medicine physicians read all of the images through consensus reading. PET images in the

tumor were first evaluated by visual analysis, and then a quantitative analysis was performed by determining the SUV. Every of the outlined slices for each tumor was divided into outer, middle, and inner layers based on the luminance signal in the coronal plane by the images (Fig. 5). Then ROI was positioned around the tumor area of interest slice by slice and obtained a set of data such as ROImax, mean. The SUVs were calculated according to the following formula: [measured activity concentration (Bq/mL) \times body weight (g)]/injected activity (Bq).

Immunohistochemistry. The mice were sacrificed to harvest the whole xenografts within 1 day after their micro-PET scans and processed routinely for integrin $\alpha v\beta 3$ and GLUT-1 IHC. In all cases, each tumor sample was fixed in 10% formalin and embedded paraffin. Each tumor sample was sectioned sequentially and transversely with a macrotome (Microm HM 450; GMI, Ramsey, MN) into approximately 3- to 5-µm-thick 5 slices at 0.6-mm intervals. One slice was stained with HE, and the others were used for IHC studies. The expression levels of $\alpha v\beta 3$ and GLUT-1 were detected by IHC (pv-6000 two-step) (Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Corporation, Beijing, China). Integrin $\alpha v\beta 3$ (1:400, Sigma) and GLUT-1 (1:250, Abcam) were used as primary antibodies. A section of normal leg muscle was used as the positive control, and negative controls were obtained by omitting the primary antibody.

Integrin $\alpha v\beta$ 3-positive cells were stained with brown-yellow granules or masses, specifically in the cytoplasm. GLUT-1-positive expression was observed mainly in the cytoplasm. Both the intensity and percentage of positive cells were measured. The staining intensity was determined using the following four classes: 0 = undetectable; 1 = faint buff; 2 = moderate buff; and 3 = high buff or sepia. Stained cell sections in each case were randomly selected, and five high-power fields were counted under the microscope up to 400. We counted 200 cells in each region for a total number of 1,000 cells, calculated the percentage of positive cells, and then calculated the score. Using the percentage of stained cells × staining intensity, the integrated scoring was assessed. Cell expression was stratified as follows: 0 (negative) for no immunoreactivity, 1 for less than 25% positive cells, 2 for less than 50% positive cells, 3 for less than 75% positive cells, and 4 for more than 75% positive cells. The product of the staining intensity and positive cell scores determined the final result for each section.

Statistical Analysis. All quantitative data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Differences between continuous variables and dichotomous variables were tested by one-way ANOVA. Student's paired t-test was used to detect differences between the two sample means. Multiple comparisons were compared using LSD. Linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the correlation studies. All statistical tests were carried out using SPSS, version 17.0. Statistical significance was assumed for P values less than 0.05. All *P* values were 2-tailed.

References

- 1. Kruse, M., Sherry, S. J., Paidpally, V., Mercier, G. & Subramaniam, R. M. FDG PET/CT in the management of primary pleural tumors and pleural metastases. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 201, W215–226 (2013).
- 2. Histed, S. N. et al. Review of functional/anatomical imaging in oncology. Nucl Med. Commun 33, 349-361 (2012).
- Schillaci, O. Use of dual-point fluorodeoxyglucose imaging to enhance sensitivity and specificity. Semin Nucl Med. 42, 267–280 (2012).
- 4. Sasaki, H. et al. Overexpression of GLUT1 correlates with Kras mutations in lung carcinomas. Mol Med. Rep 5, 599-602 (2012).
- 5. Gambhir, S. S. Molecular imaging of cancer with positron emission tomography. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2, 683-693 (2002).
- 6. Niu, G. & Chen, X. Why integrin as a primary target for imaging and therapy. *Theranostics* 1, 30-47 (2011).
- 7. Cai, W. & Chen, X. Multimodality molecular imaging of tumor angiogenesis. J. Nucl. Med.. 49 Suppl 2, 113S-28S (2008).
- 8. Backer, M. V. & Backer, J. M. Imaging key biomarkers of tumor angiogenesis. *Theranostics* 2, 502–515 (2012).
- 9. Beer, A. J., Kessler, H., Wester, H. J. & Schwaiger, M. PET Imaging of Integrin alphaVbeta3 Expression. Theranostics 1, 48-57 (2011).
- Lee, J. et al. RGD peptide-conjugated multimodal NaGdF4:Yb3+/Er3+ nanophosphors for upconversion luminescence, MR, and PET imaging of tumor angiogenesis. J. Nucl. Med. 54, 96–103 (2013).
- 11. Haubner, R. et al. Noninvasive visualization of the activated alphavbeta3 integrin in cancer patients by positron emission tomography and [18F]Galacto-RGD. PLoS Med. 2, e70 (2005).
- 12. McParland, B. J. *et al.* The biodistribution and radiation dosimetry of the Arg-Gly-Asp peptide 18F-AH111585 in healthy volunteers. J. Nucl. Med. **49**, 1664–1667 (2008).
- Doss, M. et al. Biodistribution and radiation dosimetry of the integrin marker 18F-RGD-K5 determined from whole-body PET/CT in monkeys and humans. J. Nucl. Med. 53, 787–795 (2012).
- Mittra, E. S. et al. Pilot pharmacokinetic and dosimetric studies of (18)F-FPPRGD2: a PET radiopharmaceutical agent for imaging alpha(v)beta(3) integrin levels. Radiology 260, 182–191 (2011).
- Liu, S. et al. 18F-labeled galacto and PEGylated RGD dimers for PET imaging of alphavbeta3 integrin expression. Mol Imaging Biol 12, 530–538 (2010).
- 16. Guo, J. et al. Comparison of three dimeric 18F-AlF-NOTA-RGD tracers. Mol Imaging Biol 16, 274-283 (2014).
- 17. Gao, H. et al. PET imaging of angiogenesis after myocardial infarction/reperfusion using a one-step labeled integrin-targeted tracer 18F-AIF-NOTA-PRGD2. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging **39**, 683–692 (2012).
- 18. Chen, X. et al. Integrin alpha v beta 3-targeted imaging of lung cancer. Neoplasia 7, 271–279 (2005).
- Fushiki, H. et al. Pre-clinical validation of orthotopically-implanted pulmonary tumor by imaging with 18F-fluorothymidinepositron emission tomography/computed tomography. Anticancer Res. 33, 4741–4749 (2013).
- Metz, S. et al. Phenotyping of tumor biology in patients by multimodality multiparametric imaging: relationship of microcirculation, alphavbeta3 expression, and glucose metabolism. J. Nucl. Med. 51, 1691–1698 (2010).
- Sauter, A. W. et al. Multifunctional profiling of non-small cell lung cancer using 18F-FDG PET/CT and volume perfusion CT. J. Nucl. Med. 53, 521–529 (2012).
- Campanile, C. *et al.* Characterization of different osteosarcoma phenotypes by PET imaging in preclinical animal models. *J. Nucl. Med.* 54, 1362–1368 (2013).
- Ekmekcioglu, O. et al. Correlation of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake with histopathological prognostic factors in breast carcinoma. Nucl Med. Commun 34, 1055–1067 (2013).
- 24. Yen, T. C. et al. 18F-FDG uptake in squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix is correlated with glucose transporter 1 expression. J. Nucl. Med. 45, 22–29 (2004).
- Kurokawa, T. et al. Expression of GLUT-1 glucose transfer, cellular proliferation activity and grade of tumor correlate with [F-18]fluorodeoxyglucose uptake by positron emission tomography in epithelial tumors of the ovary. Int. J. Cancer 109, 926–932 (2004).
- Lee, D. W. et al. Role of SUVmax and GLUT-1 Expression in Determining Tumor Aggressiveness in Patients With Clinical Stage I Endometrioid Endometrial Cancer. Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer 25, 843–849 (2015).

- 27. Yan, S. X. et al. Effect of antisense oligodeoxynucleotides glucose transporter-1 on enhancement of radiosensitivity of laryngeal carcinoma. Int J Med. Sci 10, 1375–1386 (2013).
- Michielsen, K. et al. Whole-body MRI with diffusion-weighted sequence for staging of patients with suspected ovarian cancer: a clinical feasibility study in comparison to CT and FDG-PET/CT. Eur Radiol 24, 889–901 (2014).
- Mi, B. *et al.* Pilot Prospective Evaluation of (18)F-Alfatide II for Detection of Skeletal Metastases. *Theranostics* 5, 1115–1121 (2015).
 Diederichs, C. G., Staib, L., Glatting, G., Beger, H. G. & Reske, S. N. FDG PET: elevated plasma glucose reduces both uptake and detection rate of pancreatic malignancies. *J. Nucl. Med.* 39, 1030–1033 (1998).
- Day, C. P., Carter, J., Bonomi, C., Hollingshead, M. & Merlino, G. Preclinical therapeutic response of residual metastatic disease is distinct from its primary tumor of origin. *Int. J. Cancer* 130, 190–199 (2012).
- 32. Gao, D. et al. Endothelial progenitor cells control the angiogenic switch in mouse lung metastasis. Science 319, 195-198 (2008).
- Wan, W. et al. First experience of 18F-alfatide in lung cancer patients using a new lyophilized kit for rapid radiofluorination. J. Nucl. Med. 54, 691-698 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC81172133, NSFC81372413), the special fund for Scientific Research in the Public Interest (201402011) and the Outstanding Youth Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (JQ201423). No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article are reported. The authors specially thank Professor Hongbo Huang (*Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Molecular Nuclear Medicine, Jiangsu Institute of Nuclear Medicine, Wuxi, China*) for his help with the micro-PET imaging of C57BL/6 mice.

Author Contributions

S.Y. conceived of the study, and participated in its designed. Y.-C.W. participated in the experiments and drafted the manuscript. Y.-C.W. and N.L. contributed to the sample collection and interpretation the data. W.H. performed the statistical analysis. Y.G. and J.Z. carried out the immunohistochemistry. Z.F., X.H., and Z.J. carried out the nuclear medicine. J.Y. revised the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Wei, Y.-C. *et al.* Stereotactic Comparison Study of ¹⁸F-Alfatide and ¹⁸F-FDG PET Imaging in an LLC Tumor-Bearing C57BL/6 Mouse Model. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28757; doi: 10.1038/srep28757 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/