SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Multiple functions of volatiles in flowers and leaves of *Elsholtzia rugulosa* (Lamiaceae) from southwestern China

Received: 29 January 2016

Accepted: 23 May 2016

Published: 09 June 2016

Feng-Ping Zhang^{1,2}, Qiu-Yun Yang^{1,2}, Gang Wang³ & Shi-Bao Zhang^{1,2}

Although the roles of volatile compounds have been examined separately in plant–herbivore or plant–pollinator interactions, few studies have focused on how plant scents can attract effective pollinators, repel ineffective pollinators, and defend against attacks by insect herbivores. We explored the functional significance of volatile compounds that impart a strong odor to *Elsholtzia rugulosa*, a shrub species in southwestern China. We monitored the pollinating honey bee *Apis cerana*, as well as two occasional visitors—*Vespa velutina* and a *Bombus* sp.—and an herbivorous beetle *Oxycetonia jucunda*. Behavior experiments using Y-tubes showed that honey bees were attracted primarily by floral scent while hornets and bumble bees were repelled by both the flowers and leaves. Analysis via gas chromatography-mass spectrometry revealed that these tissue types differed in their compositions and relative amounts of volatile compounds. When the plants were damaged, the average relative amounts of *Elsholtzia* ketone rapidly increased in the flowers and leaves. Furthermore, herbivorous beetles were strongly repelled by damaged tissues, suggesting a potential defense signaling function by these compounds. Our findings again demonstrate that scents have multiple functions in the interactions among plants and insects.

Some flowering plants bring pollinators into contact with reproductive structures by providing olfactory or visual signals, and by rewarding visitors with nectar, pollen, and oils¹. In turn, pollinator preference and abundance influence a plant's reproductive success^{2–4}. Studies of floral characteristics have traditionally focused on visual signals assumed to be attractive to pollinators, including floral display size, flower color and shape, and nectar coloration^{5–10}. However, it is possible that plant scents are also important in helping to attract pollinators and to maximize reproductive output^{11–13}.

Volatile compounds help plants communicate and interact with their surrounding environment. These volatiles, released from leaves, flowers, and fruits into the atmosphere and from roots into the soil, provide a reproductive advantage by attracting pollinators and seed dispersers^{12–15}. For example, scent can serve as a “private channel” to lure a specific pollinator species¹⁴. Some researches have reported that floral scent functions as an important attractant in the specialized pollination system of wasps within the genus *Hemipepesis* (Hymenoptera)^{12,13}. As in many other plant–pollinator interactions, scents play a key role in pollinating seed-consuming mutualism¹⁶. Although much focus has been placed on their attractiveness, volatile compounds might also act as deterrents. For example, a plant usually releases small quantities of volatiles, but those relative proportions might change when that plant is attacked by herbivorous insects. An increase in the levels or types of volatiles that are emitted under such conditions suggests that these substances have a role in plant defenses^{17–20}.

Plants of *Elsholtzia rugulosa* have a strong odor and are medicinally important in China²¹. Preliminary observations of this species have shown that its flowers are effectively pollinated by Asian honey bees (*Apis cerana*) and seed production increases in parallel with the number of pollinator visits to attain higher yields. Field

¹Key Laboratory of Economic Plants and Biotechnology, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China. ²Yunnan Key Laboratory for Research and Development of Wild Plant Resources, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, Yunnan, China. ³Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Mengla, 666303, Yunnan, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.-B.Z. (email: sbzhang@mail.kib.ac.cn)

Compound	Retention time	Relative amount (%)			
		Flower (n = 3)	Damaged flower (n = 3)	Leaf (n = 5)	Damaged leaf (n = 4)
Elsholtzia ketone	20.08	9.98 ± 1.26	25.87 ± 5.93	24.76 ± 4.96	79.35 ± 8.07
Piperitone	21.72	0.93 ± 0.38	4.79 ± 2.02	1.59 ± 0.37	0.67 ± 0.50
β-Elementene	24.19	0.98 ± 0.29	0.42 ± 0.07	–	–
β-Bourbonene	25.54	3.63 ± 0.48	3.30 ± 0.50	–	0.76 ± 0.08
β-Caryophyllene	26.48	45.89 ± 2.59	40.24 ± 3.42	3.28 ± 1.50	3.77 ± 0.14
α-Caryophyllene	27.37	5.56 ± 0.22	4.12 ± 0.35	–	0.36 ± 0.02
Caryophyllene oxide	30.59	2.16 ± 0.29	3.17 ± 1.74	–	0.82 ± 0.23

Table 1. Occurrence and relative amounts of volatile compounds emitted by *Elsholtzia rugulosa* flowers and leaves.

observations have shown that hornets (*Vespa velutina*) and bumble bees (*Bombus* sp.) are also occasional floral visitors to these flowers (unpublished data). We visually confirmed that their heads and bodies did not make effective contact with the anthers and stigmas during visiting flowers occasionally, the longer tongues of hornets and bumble bees were unsuitable for pollination of *E. rugulosa*. At the study site, the few hornets and bumblebees caught in the mist net were lacking *E. rugulosa* pollen. No reports have been made on either the constitution of the unusual sharp odor in leaves and flowers of *E. rugulosa* or the significance of its function. However, whole-plant studies have examined the chemical components of its essential oils²². Here, we addressed the following: 1) do levels of these volatile compounds differ between flowers and leaves, 2) are some specific pollinators attracted by the scent of flowers and/or leaves, 3) can this strong odor deter ineffective pollinators, and 4) do the volatiles emitted by damaged tissues act as part of a defense mechanism against insect herbivores?

Results

Responses of three floral visitors to flower and leaf scents. In the Y-tube experiments, *Apis cerana* bees significantly preferred the branch containing *Elsholtzia rugulosa* flowers over the empty control branch (Binomial test: $P < 0.001$, $n = 38$) (Fig. 1a). They also significantly favored the branch with flowers rather than the one with the leaves (Binomial test: $P < 0.001$, $n = 42$) (Fig. 1b). However, when offered the choice of leaves vs. empty control, neither branch was more attractive than the other (Binomial test: $P = 0.74$, $n = 35$) (Fig. 1c).

By contrast, the hornets and bumblebees were strongly repelled by the branches containing flowers or leaves over the empty control branch (Fig. 1d–g), and they showed an adverse reaction to the branches filled with either tissue type. Similarly, beetles strongly rejected branches containing damaged inflorescences or leaves versus branches with intact (undamaged) tissues (Fig. 1h,i).

Analysis of flower and leaf scent compounds. The compositions and relative amounts of volatile compounds differed between flower and leaf samples (Table 1). In total, seven compounds were identified from the flowers, with the main emitted volatiles being Elsholtzia ketone (relative amount: 9.98 ± 1.26) and β-Caryophyllene (45.89 ± 2.59). By comparison, the leaf samples released only three compounds: Elsholtzia ketone (24.76 ± 4.96), Piperitone (1.59 ± 0.37), and β-Caryophyllene (3.28 ± 1.50). We found it interesting that damaged tissues emitted relatively more Elsholtzia ketone (flowers, 25.87 ± 5.93 ; leaves, 79.35 ± 8.07) when compared with relative levels from intact tissues (flowers, 9.98 ± 1.26 , $P = 0.05$; leaves, 24.76 ± 4.96 , $P = 0.02$). Principal component analysis demonstrated that the first two components explained 65.64% and 19.39% of the total variation (Fig. 1j), with axis 1 loaded by leaf on the positive side and flower on the negative side.

Discussion

The fitness of flowering plants with specialized floral traits for pollinator attraction can decline if those flowers are also visited by animals that are ineffective pollinators²³. This can be avoided if those traits filter out less desirable visitors while allowing suitable pollinators access to floral rewards such as nectar. For example, long corolla tubes can prevent access to all but long-tongued moths^{24,25}. In the absence of specialized floral morphologies, however, it is sometimes unclear how plants avoid ineffective pollinators while still attracting their specialized pollinators. Some researchers have found that nectar can be unpalatable to ineffective pollinators, thereby serving as a potential floral filter^{8,26,27}.

However, another mechanism not previously investigated is that plant scents are both filters and a defense against insect herbivory. This might initially seem paradoxical because their primary function is to attract animal pollinators. However, our results appear to resolve this by showing that effective pollinators find the strong odor appealing while ineffective pollinators are repelled. Because we also suspect that plant volatiles provide a means to defend against insect herbivores, we suggest that these volatiles from *E. rugulosa* play multiple roles.

Results from our GC-MS analysis showed that flowers and leaves varied in their composition and relative amounts of volatile compounds, with flowers releasing primarily Elsholtzia ketone and β-Caryophyllene and leaf emissions being dominated by Elsholtzia ketone. It was found that the damaged tissues emitted relatively more Elsholtzia ketone when compared with relative levels from intact tissues. Moreover, beetles strongly rejected branches containing damaged inflorescences or leaves versus intact tissues in Y-tube experiments. The combination of GC-MS results with behavioral experiments exhibited that Elsholtzia ketone appears to mediate the repel function, while β-Caryophyllene may play an important role in pollinator attraction. β-Caryophyllene is considered as one of the most widespread sesquiterpene floral volatiles, which occurs in floral odors in more than 50%

Figure 1. Number of *Apis cerana* honey bees choosing Y-tube branches containing *Elsholtzia rugulosa* flowers (a), amount visiting leaves relative to flowers (b) and leaves (c) versus preference for neither (control); Number of *Vespa velutina* hornets choosing Y-tube branches containing *Elsholtzia rugulosa* flowers (d) or leaves (e) versus preference for neither (control); Number of *Bombus* sp. bumblebees choosing Y-tube branches containing *Elsholtzia rugulosa* flowers (f) or leaves (g) versus preference for neither (control); Number of *Oxyctonia jocunda* beetles choosing Y-tube branches containing *Elsholtzia rugulosa* intact inflorescences versus damaged inflorescences (h) or intact leaves versus damaged leaves (i); Principal component (PC) analysis based on occurrence and relative amounts of volatile compounds emitted by *Elsholtzia rugulosa* flowers and leaves (j).

of angiosperm families, and is one of the 12 most common volatile compounds in floral scents²⁸, and it has been reported to play an important attraction role²⁹. Moreover, the damaged flowers emitted more Elsholtzia ketone (9.98 vs. 25.87), while β -Caryophyllene changed little (45 vs. 40.24, Table 1). The results supported a role for Elsholtzia ketone in repulsion, while the main function of β -Caryophyllene may attract the pollinator. The seven compounds were identified from the flowers. By comparison, the leaf samples released only three compounds, the function of the 4 extra compounds of flowers may play roles in antibacterial, antifungal and attraction^{30–32}.

Principal component analysis demonstrated that the first two components explained 65.64% and 19.39% of the total variation (Fig. 1j), with axis 1 loaded by leaf on the positive side and flower on the negative side. This allowed us to classify those tissues into distinct groups of attractiveness. Furthermore, our behavioral experiments indicated that this species uses floral scent to attract the honey bee while discouraging the ineffective hornet and bumblebee. Honey bees in the Y-tubes were drawn more to the flowers than to the leaves, supporting a role for floral scent in pollinator attraction^{12,13}. In contrast, the hornets and bumble bees were repelled by both types of tissue. This was further evidence for a dual role in attraction/repulsion, with nectar acting as an ecological filter.

Plants respond to insect feeding damage by releasing varying kinds and levels of volatiles at the site of the injury¹⁷. These emissions are one of the most important and immediate responses to herbivory^{19,20,33,34}. For example, the monoterpene volatiles of *Chrysanthemum morifolium* repel ovipositing females of the diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella*³⁵. Our detected compounds also seemed to defend the flowers and leaves against attack by beetles. Damage to those tissues stimulated changes in relative compositions and increased the release of Elsholtzia ketone, making our plants less attractive to those herbivores.

Over time, certain volatile compounds from *E. rugulosa* plants have been selected instead of others by pollinators. First, Asian honey bees demonstrate a highly significant preference for flowers, perhaps because they show an innate tendency toward stronger odors. Second, these bees possibly learn to associate a distinctive floral scent with its presence in newly opened flowers, so that the odor effectively acts as an honest signal that increases pollination efficiency. Third, a particular scent might function as a warning signal, thus reducing the likelihood of visits by hornets and bumble bees, and keeping flowers from becoming damaged. Those volatiles might function as good markers of *E. rugulosa* identity, thus discouraging visits from beetles. In addition, the herbivore-induced volatiles may serve as reliable signals to other herbivores regarding the presence of competitors or danger from herbivore enemies. Finally, it could be true that volatiles have direct toxic action on herbivores. Such possibilities could be further tested under biologically realistic conditions and with appropriate concentrations of chemical compounds.

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that volatile compounds produced by *E. rugulosa* function as an attractant for legitimate pollinators but deter ineffective pollinators while also defending against insect herbivores. When compared with other plant species, we believe that the roles played by scents in *E. rugulosa* are the most diverse. Therefore, this species provides a striking example of multiple, combined functions that result from selection pressure due to both biotic and abiotic factors. Future comparative studies of volatile compounds from species closely related to *E. rugulosa* and the behavioral response of insects to these compounds may be particularly useful in improving our understanding of the evolution and ecological significance of scents in plant–animal interactions.

Methods

Study species. *Elsholtzia rugulosa* is an herb to subshrub with a height of 30 to 150 cm and grows at elevations of 1300 to 2800 m in southwestern China. This important nectar plant flowers between October and December. In November 2014, we sampled a natural population of approximately 400 flowering plants in Ailaoshan, Jingdong, western Yunnan Province, SW China (N 25°02′, E 99°51′).

Behavioral experiments. To test the importance of floral scent as an attractant, we performed insect behavior experiments in the laboratory with a glass Y-tube (20 mm in diam.; each branch, 90 mm long; main tube, 170 mm long)^{12,13}. The Y-tube was placed on a light table, and the room was otherwise kept dark by covering windows with black curtains. The main arm was connected to a suction pump, such that air was drawn along every arm of the Y-tube. After each arm was connected to a Nalophan bag or a glass container containing an odor source, a hole was made in each to allow airflow. *Apis cerana* ($n = 37$), occasional visitors *Vespa velutina* ($n = 18$) and *Bombus* sp. ($n = 15$), and beetles ($n = 20$) were captured and kept in individual containers (20 cm²) for up to 20 min prior to the start of the experiments. The insects were placed at the entrance and allowed to walk down the Y-tube to select one of the branches.

Collection and analysis of volatile compounds. Scents from intact and damaged inflorescences, and from normal and damaged leaves, were collected outdoors by methods utilizing dynamic headspace adsorption³⁶. The newly opened inflorescences were enclosed in a polyethylene terephthalate cooking bag (25 × 38 cm). Two holes were cut at opposite ends – one hole was fitted with an activated carbon filter for air intake, the other with a Super-Q volatile collection trap (Analytical Research Systems) that contained 30 mg of Alltech Super-Q adsorbent material. Each flower was enclosed for 2 h, after which its headspace was sampled with two micropumps driven by a portable battery. Airflow was adjusted to a constant 100 mL min^{−1} with a flow meter. Sampling periods were 3 to 4 h long. Empty cooking bags placed in close proximity to the inflorescences were used as controls. After the fragrance sampling was completed, adsorbed volatiles were eluted from the Super-Q with 1.5 mL of dichloromethane (Uvasol; Merck), then sealed in glass vials and stored at −20 °C. Scent samples were collected during the sunniest time of the day, between 12:00 and 16:00, which coincided with when the floral visitors normally are present. Leaves were damaged in a manner that mimicked beetle attack in the field³⁷, i.e., puncturing five to six holes in each leaf with a puncher. Inflorescences were also damaged on six to eight detached flowers.

The volatiles were analyzed on a Hewlett-Packard 6890 Series GC System coupled to a Hewlett-Packard 5973 Mass Selective Detector and an Agilent 7683 Series Automatic Liquid Sampler. An HP-5MS column (5% phenylmethylpolysiloxane; 60 m long, 0.32 mm inner diam., 0.25 µm film thickness) was used. Constant helium gas flow was controlled electronically at 1.4 mL min^{−1}. The GC oven temperature began at 50 °C and was increased by 5 °C min^{−1} to 100 °C, held for 10 min, then increased by 5 °C min^{−1} to 280 °C and held for 5 min. The MS interface was 280 °C and the ion trap was activated at 150 °C. Mass spectra were taken at 70 eV (in EI mode) with a scanning speed of 1 per scan from m/z 35 to 550. All compounds were compared against the Wiley NIST 05 mass spectral database and Wiley 7.

Statistical analysis. The results from each behavioural experiment were compared using a binomial test to establish if wasps showed overall preference. All analyses were performed using SPSS 16 (SPSS Company, Chicago, Illinois, USA), with measured variables presented as mean $\pm$ SE.

References

1. Dobson, H. E. M. Floral volatiles in insect biology. *Insect-Plant Interactions* (ed. Bernays, E. A.) 47–81 (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1994).
2. Dodson, C., Dressler, R., Hill, H., Adams, R. & Williams, N. Biologically active compounds in orchid fragrances. *Science* **164**, 1243–1249 (1969).
3. Knudsen, J. T. Variation in floral scent composition within and between populations of *Geonoma macrostachys* (Arecaceae) in the western Amazon. *Am J Bot* **89**, 1772–1778 (2002).
4. Raguso, R. A. The “Invisible Hand” of Floral Chemistry”. *Science* **131**, 1163–1164 (2008).
5. Stanton, M. L., Snow, A. A. & Handel, S. N. Floral evolution: attractiveness to pollinators increases male fitness. *Science* **232**, 1625–1627 (1986).
6. Schemske, D. W. & Bradshaw, H. D. Pollinator preference and the evolution of floral traits in monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*). *P Natl Acad Sci* **96**, 11910–11915 (1999).
7. Worley, A. C. & Barrett, S. C. H. Evolution of floral display in *Eichhorina paniculata* (Pontederiaceae): direct and correlated responses to selection on flower size and number. *Evolution* **54**, 1533–1545 (2000).
8. Johnson, S. D., Hargreaves, A. L. & Brown, M. Dark, bitter-tasting nectar functions as a filter of flower visitors in a bird-pollinated plant. *Ecology* **87**, 2709–2716 (2006).
9. Zhang, F. P. *et al.* Dark purple nectar as a foraging signal in a bird-pollinated Himalayan plant. *New Phytol* **193**, 188–195 (2012a).
10. Zhang, F. P., Larson-Rabin, Z., Li, D. Z. & Wang, H. Colored nectar as an honest signal in plant-animal interactions. *Plant Signal Behav* **7**, 1–2 (2012b).
11. Johnson, S. D. Specialized pollination by spider-hunting wasps in the African orchid *Disa sankeyi*. *Plant Syst Evol* **251**, 153–160 (2005).
12. Shuttleworth, A. & Johnson, S. D. The importance of scent and nectar filters in a specialized wasp-pollination system. *Func Ecol* **23**, 931–940 (2009a).
13. Shuttleworth, A. & Johnson, S. D. A key role for floral scent in a wasp-pollination system in *Eucomis* (Hyacinthaceae). *Ann Bot* **103**, 715–725 (2009b).
14. Chen, C. *et al.* Private channel: a single unusual compound assures specific pollinator attraction in *Ficus semicordata*. *Func Ecol* **23**, 941–950 (2009).
15. Hossaert-McKey, M., Soler, C., Schatz, B. & Proffit, M. Floral scent: their roles in nursery pollination mutualisms. *Chemoecology* **20**, 75–88 (2010).
16. Song, B. *et al.* A new pollinating seed-consuming mutualism between *Rheum nobile* and a fly fungus gnat, *Bradysia* sp., involving pollinator attraction by a specific floral compound. *New Phytol* **203**, 1109–1118 (2014).
17. Pare, P. W. & Tumlinson, J. H. Plant volatiles as a defense against insect herbivores. *Plant Physiol* **121**, 325–331 (1999).
18. Dudareva, N., Negre, F., Nagegowda, D. A. & Orlova, I. Plant volatiles: recent Advances and future perspective. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* **25**, 417–440 (2006).
19. Unsicker, S. B., Kunert, G. & Gershenzon, J. Protective perfumes: the role of vegetative volatiles in plant defense against herbivores. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **12**, 479–485 (2009).
20. War, A. R., Sharma, H. C., Paulraj, M. G., War, M. Y. & Ignacimuthu, S. Herbivore induced plant volatiles. *Plant Signal Behav* **6**, 1973–1978 (2011).
21. Zhao, Y., Li, Q. C., Zhao, Y. & Chen, Y. G. Studies on the constituents from the herb of *Elsholtzia rugulosa*. *China J Chin Materia Medica* **29**, 1144–1146 (2004).
22. Zhao, Y., Qiu L., Li, Q. C., Wang, L. & Zhu, H. Y. Studies on the chemical components of essential oils of *Elsholtzia rugulosa* Hemsl. *J Yunnan Univ* **20**, 462–464 (1998).
23. Lau, J. A. & Galloway, L. F. Effects of low-efficiency pollinators on plant fitness and floral trait evolution in *Campanula americana* (Campanulaceae). *Oecologia* **141**, 577–583 (2004).
24. Johnson, S. D. & Steiner, K. E. Generalization versus specialization in plant pollination systems. *Trends Ecol Evol* **15**, 140–143 (2000).
25. Castellanos, M. C., Wilson, P. & Thomson, J. D. ‘Antibee’ and ‘pro-bird’ changes during the evolution of hummingbird pollination in *Penstemon* flowers. *J Evol Bio* **17**, 876–885 (2004).
26. Stephenson, A. G. Iridoid glycosides in the nectar of *Catalpa speciosa* are unpalatable to nectar thieves. *J Chem Ecol* **8**, 1025–1034 (1982).
27. Adler, L. S. The ecological significance of toxic nectar. *Oikos* **91**, 409–420 (2000).
28. Knudsen, J. T., Eriksson, R., Gershenzon, J. & Stihl, B. Diversity and distribution of floral scent. *Bot Rev* **72**, 1–120 (2006).
29. Hori, M. & Namatame, M. Host plant volatiles responsible for the invasion of *Stenotus rubrovittatus* (Heteroptera: Miridae) into paddy fields. *J Appl Entomol* **137**, 340–346 (2013).
30. Chang, H. M., But, P. P. H. & Yao, S. C. Pharmacology and Applications of Chinese Materia Medica. 532 (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 1986).
31. Rodilla, J. M. *et al.* Laurus novocanariensis essential oil: Seasonal variation and valorization. *Biochem Syst Ecol* **36**, 167–176 (2008).
32. Huang, D. H., Zhang, Z. G., Chen, G. P., Li, H. H. & Shi, F. C. Analysis of the components of floral scent in *Glochidion puberum* using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry with dynamic headspace adsorption. *Chin J Chrom* **33**, 318–322 (2015).
33. De Moraes, C. M., Mescher, M. C. & Tumlinson, J. H. Caterpillar-induced nocturnal plant volatiles repel conspecific females. *Nature* **410**, 577–580 (2001).
34. Kessler, A. & Baldwin, I. T. Defensive function of herbivore-induced plant volatile emissions in nature. *Science* **291**, 2141–2144 (2001).
35. Wang, H., Guo, W. F., Zhang, P. J., Wu, Z. Y. & Liu, S. S. Experience-induced habituation and preference towards non-host plant odors in ovipositing females of a moth. *J Chem Ecol* **34**, 330–338 (2008).
36. Dobson, H. E. M., Raguso, R. A., Knudsen, J. T. & Ayasse, M. Scent as an attractant. Practical Pollination Biology. (eds. Dafni, A. Kevan, P. G. & Husband, B. C.) 197–230 (Enviroquest, Cambridge, ON, CA, 2005).
37. Theis, N., Kesler, K. & Adler, L. S. Leaf herbivory increases floral fragrance in male but not female *Cucurbita pepo* subsp. *texana* (Cucurbitaceae) flowers. *Am J Bot* **96**, 897–903 (2009).

Acknowledgements

We thank Jia-Wei Li for her kind assistance in the field. We are very grateful to Dr. Priscilla Anne Licht for improving the English. We thank Dr. Yue-hu Wang for providing some references about the volatile compounds. This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31300200).

Author Contributions

F.-P.Z., Q.-Y.Y., G.W. and S.-B.Z. designed and carried out research. F.-P.Z. wrote the paper and prepared it for publication.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zhang, F.-P. *et al.* Multiple functions of volatiles in flowers and leaves of *Elsholtzia rugulosa* (Lamiaceae) from southwestern China. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 27616; doi: 10.1038/srep27616 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>