SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Intermartensitic Transformation and Enhanced Exchange Bias in Pd (Pt) -doped Ni-Mn-Sn alloys

S. Y. Dong^{1,2}, J. Y. Chen^{1,2}, Z. D. Han^{1,2}, Y. Fang¹, L. Zhang¹, C. L. Zhang³, B. Qian¹ & X. F. Jiang¹

Received: 11 January 2016

Accepted: 25 April 2016

Published: 12 May 2016

In this work, we studied the phase transitions and exchange bias of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Pd, Pt}$; $x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys. An intermartensitic transition (IMT), not observed in $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}$ alloy, was induced by the proper application of negative chemical pressure by Pd(Pt) doping in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Pd, Pt}$) alloys. IMT weakened and was suppressed with the increase of applied field; it also disappeared with further increase of Pd(Pt) content ($x = 3$ for Pd and $x = 2$ for Pt). Another striking result is that exchange bias effect, ascribed to the percolating ferromagnetic domains coexisting with spin glass phase, is notably enhanced by nonmagnetic Pd(Pt) addition. The increase of unidirectional anisotropy by the addition of Pd(Pt) impurities with strong spin-orbit coupling was explained by Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interactions in spin glass phase.

Ni-Mn-X ($X = \text{In, Sn, Sb}$) ferromagnetic shape memory alloys (FSMAs), first reported by Sutou *et al.* in 2004¹, have become an active field of research because of the great richness of physics as well as their potential applications in magnetic refrigerator, sensor, and actuator. The strong magnetostructural coupling in the vicinity of the martensitic transformation (MT) results in multifunctional properties such as large magnetocaloric effect^{2–6}, barocaloric effect⁷, magnetoresistance, and metamagnetic shape memory effect^{8–10} in these alloys. A good control of phase transition and magnetism of Ni-Mn-X alloys is of great importance to improve their functional properties. It has been reported that MT is strongly dependent on the valence electron concentration (e/a)¹¹, pressure^{12,13}, chemical order^{14,15}, and crystalline size¹⁶. In the study of the pressure effect, the application of physical or chemical pressure in Ni-Mn-In and Ni-Mn-Sn alloys has been found to shift the transformation temperature toward higher temperature associated with the decrease of cell volume^{12,17,18}. Recently, an intermartensitic transformation (IMT) and enhanced MT temperature were induced in high pressure annealed Ni-Co-Mn-Sn alloy, producing improved magnetocaloric effect¹⁹. These results suggest the great impact of pressure on the phase transitions in Ni-Mn-X alloys. Furthermore, both experimental and theoretical investigations show the magnetic properties of Ni-Mn-X Heusler alloys are extremely sensitive to hydrostatic pressure associated with the variation of the distance between Mn atoms ($d_{\text{Mn-Mn}}$)^{20,21}.

Exchange bias (EB) effect, a shift of magnetization hysteresis loop along the field axis, was another interesting phenomenon in Ni-Mn-X alloys. In these alloys, Mn-Mn interaction within regular Mn sublattice is ferromagnetic (FM), while excess Mn atoms occupying Ni or X sites are antiferromagnetically coupled to Mn atoms at regular sites^{22–24}. The resulting competing FM and antiferromagnetic (AFM) exchange interactions have been claimed to account for the EB effect in these alloys, and several different ground states have been proposed, such as superspin glass (SSG)²⁵, mixed AFM/FM phases²⁶, and mixed spin glass/FM phases²⁷. The common feature is that an inhomogeneous ground state and the unidirectional anisotropy formed at the interface of different phases were proposed to explain the mechanism of the EB effect. In Ni-Mn-based alloys, although several efforts have been made to increase the EB field (H_E), the influence of spin-orbital coupling, which has great influence on the magnetic anisotropy, have not been discussed.

In this work, we choose the substitution of Ni by Pd(Pt) in $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}$ alloy for the following two reasons. Since Ni, Pd, and Pt are of the same valence electron with increasing atomic radius, we can explore the influence of negative chemical pressure on the phase transitions in Ni-Mn-Sn alloys. Furthermore, we aim to study the

¹Jiangsu Laboratory of Advanced Functional Materials, Department of Physics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, People's Republic of China. ²School of Materials Science and Engineering, China University of Mining & Technology, Xuzhou 221116, People's Republic of China. ³School of Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, People's Republic of China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.D.H. (email: han@csitg.cn) or B.Q. (email: njqb@csitg.cn)

Figure 1. (a) The XRD patterns of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys at room temperature; (b) The XRD patterns of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pt}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys at room temperature; (c) The composition dependence of lattice constant. The blue region indicates the range of lattice constant where intermartensitic transformation appears.

effect of spin-orbital coupling on the unidirectional magnetic anisotropy, i.e. EB effect by introducing Pd(Pt) atoms with stronger spin-orbital coupling than Ni.

Results and Discussions

Figure 1(a,b) show the XRD patterns of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys at room temperature with $\text{T} = \text{Pd}$ and Pt , respectively. All samples are of the pure austenitic phase with a cubic Heusler L_{21} -type structure at room temperature, indicating the MT temperature is below room temperature. In the inset of Fig. 1(a), it can be clearly seen that the (220) peaks shift towards low angles with the increasing substitutions of Ni by Pd in $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}$, indicating the increase of cell volume. A similar behavior was also observed in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pt}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys, which could be attributed to the substitution of Pd (1.79 Å) and Pt (1.83 Å) atoms with larger radius for Ni (1.62 Å). Figure 1(c) shows the calculated lattice parameters (a) of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Pd}, \text{Pt}$; $x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys, and the blue region in Fig. 1(c) indicates the range of lattice parameters where IMT appears in

Figure 2. The ZFC, FCC, and FCW M - T curves in the magnetic field of 100 Oe for (a) $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}$; (b) $\text{Ni}_{49}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}$; (c) $\text{Ni}_{48}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}_2$; (d) $\text{Ni}_{47}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}_3$; (e) $\text{Ni}_{49}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pt}$; (f) $\text{Ni}_{48}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pt}_2$.

$\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($T = \text{Pd, Pt}$) alloys, which will be discussed in detail later. It can be seen that the value of a increases gradually with the increase of Pd(Pt) content.

It is known that in Ni-Mn-X ($X = \text{In, Sn, Sb}$) alloys the MT temperatures increase with the increase of e/a , which provides a convenient way to modulate the transition temperature. In the case of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($T = \text{Pd, Pt}$) alloys, since Ni, Pd, and Pt are located within the same main group, the influence of e/a can be eliminated. Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of magnetization (M - T) for $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($T = \text{Pd, Pt}$) alloys. All these data were recorded upon zero field cooling (ZFC), field Cooling (FC), and field warming (FW) with an applied field of 100 Oe in the temperature range between 10 K and 340 K. Normally, the phase transitions in Ni-Mn-X alloys are characterized by the Curie temperature of austenite (T_C^A), the martensitic transformation starting temperature (M_s), and the Curie temperature of martensite (T_C^M). As can be seen, all curves show typical behavior with $M_s < T_C^A$ and $M_s < T_C^M$. The value of M_s shows a nonmonotonic variation with the increase of Pd(Pt) content. In $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}_x$ alloys, the value of M_s increases from 231 K for $x = 0$ to 276 K for $x = 2$, and decreases to 203 K for $x = 3$, respectively. The variation of M_s with increasing Pd content is quite confusing since previous investigations indicate the decrease of M_s with the increase of cell volume in Ni-Mn-X alloys, as demonstrated by the increase of M_s in Ga-doped Ni-Mn-In alloy¹⁷, Ge-doped Ni-Mn-Sn alloy¹⁸, and the hydrostatic pressure effect

in Ni-Mn-In alloy¹². Recently, an increase of MT temperature was observed in Ni₂MnGa alloy by the substitution of Pt for Ni, which has been attributed to enhanced antiferromagnetic correlations with the increase of Pt content²⁸. Similar enhancement of antiferromagnetic correlations, could be expected by Pd(Pt) substitution in Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (T = Pd, Pt) alloys, which will be further discussed in the composition dependence of magnetization at low temperature. Therefore, the competition of two factors may result in the nonmonotonous evolution of MT temperature with Pd(Pt) doping.

A prominent feature in Fig. 2 is the appearance of IMT below M_s for Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pd_x (x = 1, 2) and Ni₄₉Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pt alloys. As can be seen in Fig. 2(b,c,e), different from M - T curves of Ni₅₀Mn₃₆Sn₁₄ alloy [Fig. 2(a)], M - T curves of these alloys show a two-step behavior around the MT temperature. This peculiar behavior in M - T may suggest the existence of an intermartensitic phase at temperature T_1 where $T_1 < M_s$, as proposed in Ni-Mn-Ga alloys²⁴. Nevertheless, one may suspect that inhomogeneous phases may produce a two-step process in M - T curves considering the sensitivity of transformation temperature to composition.

To further investigate the two-step behavior in response to magnetic field, we have looked into the M - T curves and AC susceptibility under different magnetic field. It was found that the two-step process is highly sensitive to the magnitude of field. To demonstrate the field dependence of M - T curves more clearly, we plot the normalized magnetization versus temperature at the field of 100, 200, 500 and 1000 Oe [Fig. 3(a)] on heating for Ni₄₉Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pd alloy. Obviously, with the increase of applied magnetic field, the low-temperature step of transition weakened with decreased T_1 , and was suppressed in the field of 1 kOe. Similar behavior was also observed in Ni₄₈Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pd₂ and Ni₄₉Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pt alloys (not shown here). Figure 3(b,c) show the real and imaginary part of ac susceptibility at different magnetic fields. Similar two-step behavior can also be observed in $\chi'(T)$ curves, and is even more distinct in $\chi''(T)$ curves. A gradual suppression of low temperature transition by magnetic field was confirmed. Since this suppression behavior should not take place in the case of transition associated with inhomogeneous phase, IMT should account for the two-step transition at low field in Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pd_x (x = 1, 2) and Ni₄₉Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pt alloys.

Now let us discuss the physical mechanism for the sensitivity of IMT, i.e. the appearance and diminishment of IMT in response to the change of composition and magnetic field. In the investigation of Ni₂MnGa single crystal, it has been shown, that the tension along the $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction of the ordered (L2₁) parent phase could induce the IMT²⁹. Ma *et al.* observed an IMT in high pressure annealed Ni-Co-Mn-Sn alloy, which was attributed to the enhanced magnetoelastic coupling by the application of pressure¹⁹. Recently, IMT was observed in Ni-Cu-Mn-Sn alloys, and it was proposed that replacing Ni for Cu generates the internal stress in the alloys, which is responsible for instability in the structure of the martensitic phase³⁰. All these results indicate that the stability of martensitic phases with different structure is sensitive to the pressure (external or internal, positive or negative). Looking back to Fig. 1(c), it can be seen that IMT appears with lattice constant in a small range between 5.997 and 6.002 Å for Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (T = Pd, x = 1,2; T = Pt, x = 1) alloys. Therefore, in the case of Pd(Pt) doped alloys, the small substitution of Pd(Pt) for Ni should induce proper internal tension in the crystal lattice, which makes the intermartensitic phase more stable in the corresponding temperature range. However, further increasing Pd(Pt) content makes the crystal lattice expand and suppress the intermartensitic phase, suggesting that IMT is sensitive to internal tension. The sensitivity of IMT to pressure is also demonstrated by its suppression upon the application of magnetic field in Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (T = Pd, x = 1,2; T = Pt, x = 1) alloys. This phenomenon can be understood by the fact that the application of magnetic field helps align the magnetic moments of the martensitic variants, which may produce internal stress in the martensitic phase and compensate the tension effect generated by Pd(Pt) doping. A similar field dependence of IMT was reported in Ni-Cu-Mn-Sn³⁰ and Ni-Mn-In-Sb alloys³¹, where IMT vanished at a higher magnetic field.

At low temperature region of martensitic phase, all samples show spin-glass-like behavior characterized by the bifurcation between the FC and ZFC $M(T)$ curves, as shown in Fig. 2. At higher temperature, however, ferromagnetic or ferrimagnetic behavior is present with Curie temperature T_C^M above the MT temperature, which indicates that the nature of ground state is so-called “reentrant” spin glass³². To further study the effect of Pd(Pt) doping on the magnetic ground state, we measured the magnetic hysteresis (M - H) loops at low temperature after field cooling (FC) in a field of 1 T from 300 K. Figure 4(a,b) show the FC M - H loops of Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (x = 0, 1, 2, 3) alloys at 2 K for T = Pd and Pt, respectively. All samples exhibit the shift of M - H loops to the negative field direction, i.e. exchange bias (EB) effect, which has been observed in Ni-Mn-X (X = In, Sn, Sb) alloys and can be ascribed to the coexistence and competition of FM and AFM interaction at low temperature^{25,26,33}. Recently, we proposed, due to the spatial composition fluctuation and competing FM/AFM interactions, a ground state with non-percolated FM domains in SG matrix in Ni₂Mn_{1.4}Ga_{0.6} alloy, which accounts for the appearance of zero-field exchange bias effect (ZEB)²⁷. As for the case of zero field cooling process in Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (x = 0, 1, 2, 3), however, M - H loops (not shown here) show no shift along the field axis, that is, no zero-field exchange bias effect was observed in the Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (T = Pd, Pt) alloys. Combined with the relative large value of magnetization at low temperature, we suggest that the possible ground state can be percolated FM region coexisting with SG phase, and this can result in the formation of unidirectional exchange anisotropy at the interface between FM and SG phases upon FC process.

Figure 4(c) shows the composition dependences of saturated magnetization (M_{2K}) for Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄T_x (T = Pd, Pt) alloys at 2 K. Clearly, the magnetization decreases gradually with the increasing Pd(Pt) content, suggesting the decrease of FM proportion in the mixed phases. From FC M - H loops in Fig. 4(a,b), the exchange bias field (H_E) and coercivity (H_C) are determined as $H_E = -(H_1 + H_2)/2$ and $H_C = -(H_1 - H_2)/2$, respectively, where H_1 and H_2 are the left and right coercive fields. Figure 4(d) shows H_E at 2 K after FC ($H_{FC} = 10$ kOe) from 300 K as a function of Pd(Pt) content. It was found that H_E increases notably with increasing amount of Pd(Pt) doping: H_E increases from 168 Oe for x = 0 to 316 Oe for x = 3 in Ni_{50-x}Mn₃₆Sn₁₄Pd_x alloy, and increases from 168 Oe for

Figure 3. (a) The normalized magnetization versus temperature at the field of 100, 200, 500 and 1 kOe on heating for $\text{Ni}_{49}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}$ alloy; (b) The real part of ac susceptibility at different magnetic fields; (c) The imaginary part of ac susceptibility at different magnetic fields.

$x = 0$ to 609 Oe for $x = 3$ in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pt}_x$. The distinct increase of H_E by Pd(Pt) addition can be considered from the following two aspects. One is the variation of FM/SG phase ratio. It has been reported in FM/AFM bilayer, H_E can be described as $H_E = J_{\text{int}} / (M_{\text{FM}} \cdot t_{\text{FM}})$, where J_{int} is the interface coupling constant, M_{FM} is the saturation magnetization of FM layer, and t_{FM} is the thickness of FM layer³⁴. Similarly, for the case of $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Pd, Pt}$) alloys, $(M_{\text{FM}} \cdot t_{\text{FM}})$ can be regarded as the fraction of FM phase, and J_{int} represents the mean exchange energy at the FM/SG interface. With the substitution of Ni by Pd(Pt), the FM fraction decreases as demonstrated by the decrease of magnetization, thus leading to enhancement of H_E . This mechanism can explain the magnetization dependence of H_E in Ni-Mn-Sb³³ and Ni-Mn-Sn alloys³⁵. Nevertheless, as the change of magnetization is relatively small, we believe this factor should work but is not the dominant reason for the increase of H_E . The other reason may be associated with the stronger spin-orbital coupling of Pd(Pt) atom than that of Ni, which gives rise to magnetic anisotropy and consequently increases the value of H_E . It has been reported in canonical CuMn SG system, the introduction of nonmagnetic Au(Pt) impurities with strong spin-orbit coupling can largely enhance the magnetic anisotropy³⁶. This has been attributed to an additional term in the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida

Figure 4. (a) M - H loops at 2 K after FC ($H_{\text{FC}} = 10$ kOe) from 300 K for $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pd}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys; (b) M - H loops at 2 K after FC ($H_{\text{FC}} = 10$ kOe) from 300 K for $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{Pt}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) alloys; (c) The saturated magnetization at 2 K as a function of Pd(Pt) content; (d) H_E at 2 K after FC ($H_{\text{FC}} = 10$ kOe) from 300 K as a function of Pd(Pt) content.

Figure 5. The temperature dependence of H_E and H_C for $\text{Ni}_{49}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}$ ($\text{T} = \text{Ni}, \text{Pd}, \text{Pt}$) alloys after FC ($H_{\text{FC}} = 10$ kOe) from 300 K.

(RKKY) interaction which is of the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya (DM) type and is due to spin-orbit scattering of the conduction electrons by the nonmagnetic impurities^{37,38}. Similarly, in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Pd}, \text{Pt}$) alloys, Pd(Pt) doping may also increase the unidirectional anisotropy of SG phase due to DM interaction between the Mn spins, and subsequently lead to the increase of H_E . This could also explain why the addition of Pt increases H_E more sharply than that of Pd by the fact that the strength of spin-orbital coupling follows $\text{Pt} > \text{Pd} > \text{Ni}$. Recently, Nayak *et al.* obtained a giant EB of more than 3 T in the vicinity of the compensation composition in Mn-Pt-Ga system³⁹. The large exchange anisotropy has been attributed to the exchange interaction between the compensated host and ferrimagnetic clusters due to intrinsic anti-site disorder. We believe that the effect of strong spin orbital coupling, although not discussed by the authors, should play an important role in the giant EB of Mn-Pt-Ga alloy, considering that the value of H_E in Mn-Pt-Ga is much larger than that in Mn-Fe-Ga. These results suggest that introducing the elements with strong spin-orbit coupling may provide a general way to enhance the EB effect in Heusler alloys.

Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of H_E and H_C for $\text{Ni}_{49}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}$ ($\text{T} = \text{Ni, Pd, Pt}$) alloys after FC ($H_{FC} = 10$ kOe) from 300 K. It can be seen that all alloys show similar temperature dependence of H_E and H_C : the values of H_E decrease almost linearly with increasing temperature and become zero around the blocking temperature ($T_B = 70$ K), where the values of H_C reach the maximum value. The similar phenomenon was also found in Co(FM)/CuMn(SG) bilayer as well as convention FM/AFM systems due to the decrease of SG (or AFM) anisotropy close to T_B ^{34,40}. In $\text{Ni}_{49}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}$ ($\text{T} = \text{Ni, Pd, Pt}$) alloys, the magnetic anisotropy of SG phase (K_{SG}) decreases with the increasing temperature, which makes FM phase can drag more SG spins, causing the increase in H_C ; until at T_B , SG spins can no longer hinder the FM rotation and consequently H_E becomes zero.

In summary, we have investigated the effects of Pd(Pt) substitution for Ni on the crystal structure, phase transitions and EB effect in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Ni, Pd, Pt}$) Heusler alloys. With the increase of Pd(Pt) content, the lattice parameter increases gradually, while the MT temperature shows nonmonotonous composition dependence. The appearance of IMT was observed by small Pd(Pt) addition in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ with $x = 1, 2$ for $\text{T} = \text{Pd}$ and $x = 1$ for $\text{T} = \text{Pt}$, and it can be suppressed by the application of magnetic field as well as further Pd(Pt) doping. These results indicate that IMT in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ alloys is highly sensitive to pressure, such as chemical pressure by doping and internal stress by magnetic field. All samples exhibit a “reentrant” spin glass behavior at low temperature, and a significant enhancement of EB effect after FC treatments was obtained by Pd(Pt) doping. EB effect has been explained in terms of coexistence of percolated FM region and SG phase. The decreased FM proportion and Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya interactions in the SG phase may account for the increase of H_E . The latter mechanism plays an important role and provides an effective way to improve the EB effect in Heusler alloys.

Methods

$\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}\text{T}_x$ ($\text{T} = \text{Pd, Pt}$; $x = 0, 1, 2, 3$) polycrystalline alloys were prepared by arc melting the appropriate amounts of Ni, Mn, Sn, Pd, Pt in argon atmosphere. These alloys were sealed in quartz tubes and annealed at 1173 K for 72 h followed by quenching in water. The crystal structures were identified by the X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu-K α radiation at room temperature. Magnetic measurements were carried out using a physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design Evercool-2).

References

1. Sutou, Y. *et al.* Magnetic and martensitic transformations of NiMnX ($\text{X} = \text{In, Sn, Sb}$) ferromagnetic shape memory alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85**, 4358–4360 (2004).
2. Krenke, T. *et al.* Inverse magnetocaloric effect in ferromagnetic Ni-Mn-Sn alloys. *Nat. Mater.* **4**, 450–454 (2005).
3. Han, Z. D. *et al.* Large magnetic entropy changes in the $\text{Ni}_{45.4}\text{Mn}_{41.5}\text{In}_{13.1}$ ferromagnetic shape memory alloy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 182507–182509 (2006).
4. Han, Z. D. *et al.* Low-field inverse magnetocaloric effect in $\text{Ni}_{50-x}\text{Mn}_{39+x}\text{Sn}_{11}$ Heusler alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 042507–042509 (2007).
5. Liu, J., Gottschall, T., Skokov, K. P., Moore, J. D. & Gutfleisch, O. Giant magnetocaloric effect driven by structural transitions. *Nat. Mater.* **11**, 620–626 (2012).
6. Du, J. *et al.* Magnetocaloric effect and magnetic-field-induced shape recovery effect at room temperature in ferromagnetic Heusler alloy Ni-Mn-Sb. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **40**, 5523–5526 (2007).
7. Manosa, L. *et al.* Giant solid-state barocaloric effect in the Ni-Mn-In magnetic shape-memory alloy. *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 478–481 (2010).
8. Koyama, K. *et al.* Observation of large magnetoresistance of magnetic Heusler alloy $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}$ in high magnetic fields. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 182510–182512 (2006).
9. Yu, S. Y. *et al.* Large magnetoresistance in single-crystalline $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{50-x}\text{In}_x$ alloys ($x = 14\text{--}16$) upon martensitic transformation. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 162503–162505 (2006).
10. Kainuma, R. *et al.* Magnetic-field-induced shape recovery by reverse phase transformation. *Nature* **439**, 957–960 (2006).
11. Wang, D.-H. *et al.* Martensitic transformation and related magnetic effects in Ni-Mn-based ferromagnetic shape memory alloys. *Chin. Phys. B* **22**, 077506–077515 (2013).
12. Mañosa, L. *et al.* Effects of hydrostatic pressure on the magnetism and martensitic transition of Ni-Mn-In magnetic superelastic alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **92**, 012515–012517 (2008).
13. Polyakov, P. I., Slyusarev, V. V., Konoplyuk, S. M., Kokorin, V. V. & Sernenova, Y. S. Hydrostatic pressure effect on the structural phase transitions in Ni-Mn-Ga alloy. *Mater. Lett.* **67**, 263–265 (2012).
14. Ito, W. *et al.* Atomic ordering and magnetic properties in the $\text{Ni}_{45}\text{Co}_5\text{Mn}_{36.7}\text{In}_{13.3}$ metamagnetic shape memory alloy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 232503–232505 (2008).
15. Li, C.-M. *et al.* Role of magnetic and atomic ordering in the martensitic transformation of Ni-Mn-In from a first-principles study. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 214205–214211 (2012).
16. Xuan, H. C. *et al.* Effect of annealing on the martensitic transformation and magnetocaloric effect in $\text{Ni}_{44.1}\text{Mn}_{44.2}\text{Sn}_{11.7}$ ribbons. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **92**, 242506–242508 (2008).
17. Aksoy, S. *et al.* Tailoring magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of martensitic transitions in ferromagnetic Heusler alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 241916–241918 (2007).
18. Han, Z. D. *et al.* Effect of lattice contraction on martensitic transformation and magnetocaloric effect in Ge doped Ni-Mn-Sn alloys. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B* **157**, 40–43 (2009).
19. Ma, S. C. *et al.* Investigation of the intermediate phase and magnetocaloric properties in high-pressure annealing Ni-Mn-Co-Sn alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 052506–052508 (2010).
20. Kanomata, T. *et al.* The Curie temperature in Heusler alloys Ni_2MnZ ($\text{Z} = \text{Ga, Sn and Sb}$) under high pressure. *J. Alloys Compd.* **518**, 19–21 (2012).
21. Şaşıoğlu, E., Sandratskii, L. M. & Bruno, P. Pressure dependence of the Curie temperature in Ni_2MnSn Heusler alloy: A first-principles study. *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 214412–214418 (2005).
22. Enkovaara, J., Heczko, O., Ayuela, A. & Nieminen, R. M. Coexistence of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic order in Mn-doped Ni_2MnGa . *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 212405–212408 (2003).
23. Buchelnikov, V. D. *et al.* Monte Carlo study of the influence of antiferromagnetic exchange interactions on the phase transitions of ferromagnetic Ni-Mn-X alloys ($\text{X} = \text{In, Sn, Sb}$). *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 184427–184437 (2008).
24. Aksoy, S., Acet, M., Deen, P. P., Manosa, L. & Planes, A. Magnetic correlations in martensitic Ni-Mn-based Heusler shape-memory alloys: Neutron polarization analysis. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 212401–212404 (2009).
25. Wang, B. M. *et al.* Large Exchange Bias after Zero-Field Cooling from an Unmagnetized State. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 077203–077206 (2011).

26. Li, Z. *et al.* Observation of exchange bias in the martensitic state of $\text{Ni}_{50}\text{Mn}_{36}\text{Sn}_{14}$ Heusler alloy. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 112505–112507 (2007).
27. Han, Z. D. *et al.* Magnetic phase separation and exchange bias in off-stoichiometric Ni-Mn-Ga alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 172403 (2013).
28. Siewert, M. *et al.* Designing shape-memory Heusler alloys from first-principles. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 191904–191906 (2011).
29. Martynov, V. V. & Kokorin, V. V. The crystal-structure of thermally-induced martensites in Ni_2MnGa single-crystals. *J. Phys. III* **2**, 739–749 (1992).
30. Esakki Muthu, S. *et al.* Influence of chemical substitution, magnetic field, and hydrostatic pressure effect on martensitic and intermartensitic transition in bulk $\text{Ni}_{49-x}\text{Cu}_x\text{Mn}_{38}\text{Sn}_{13}$ ($0.5 \leq x \leq 2$) Heusler alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 092404–092407 (2014).
31. Yu, S. Y. *et al.* Intermartensitic transformation and magnetic field effect in NiMnInSb ferromagnetic shape memory alloys. *J. Magn. Mater.* **322**, 2541–2544 (2010).
32. Chatterjee, S., Giri, S., De, S. K. & Majumdar, S. Reentrant-spin-glass state in $\text{Ni}_2\text{Mn}_{1.36}\text{Sn}_{0.64}$ shape-memory alloy. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 092410–092413 (2009).
33. Khan, M., Dubenko, I., Stadler, S. & Ali, N. Exchange bias behavior in Ni-Mn-Sb Heusler alloys. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91**, 072510–072512 (2007).
34. Nogues, J. & Schuller, I. K. Exchange bias. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **192**, 203–232 (1999).
35. Xuan, H. C. *et al.* Large exchange bias field in the Ni-Mn-Sn Heusler alloys with high content of Mn. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **96**, 252502–252504 (2010).
36. Monod, P., Préjean, J. J. & Tissier, B. Hysteresis in CuMn: The effect of spin orbit scattering on the anisotropy in the spin glass state. *J. Physique* **41**, 427–435 (1980).
37. Fert, A. & Levy, Peter M. Role of Anisotropic Exchange Interactions in Determining the Properties of Spin-Glasses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **44**, 1538–1541 (1980).
38. Levy, P. M., MorganPond, C. & Fert A. Origin of anisotropy in transition metal spin glass alloys. *J. Appl. Phys.* **53**, 2168–2173 (1982).
39. Nayak, A. K. *et al.* Design of compensated ferrimagnetic Heusler alloys for giant tunable exchange bias. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 679–684 (2015).
40. Ali, M. *et al.* Exchange bias using a spin glass. *Nat. Mater.* **6**, 70–75 (2007).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (51371004, 11374043, 11174043, 51001019, and 51101074), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Educational Department (13KJA430001), six-talent peak of Jiangsu Province (2011-XCL-022 and 2012-XCL-036).

Author Contributions

Z.D.H., B.Q. and X.F.J. conceived and designed the research. S.Y.D. and J.Y.C. carried out the experiment. Y.F. and L.Z. carried out the magnetic measurements. C.L.Z. performed x-ray diffraction measurements and S.Y.D. and Z.D.H. wrote the paper.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Dong, S. Y. *et al.* Intermartensitic Transformation and Enhanced Exchange Bias in Pd (Pt) -doped Ni-Mn-Sn alloys. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 25911; doi: 10.1038/srep25911 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>