SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Accurate Electron Affinity of Iron and Fine Structures of Negative Iron ions

Xiaolin Chen^{1,*}, Zhihong Luo^{1,*}, Jiaming Li¹ & Chuangang Ning^{1,2}

Received: 09 February 2016

Accepted: 08 April 2016

Published: 03 May 2016

Ionization potential (IP) is defined as the amount of energy required to remove the most loosely bound electron of an atom, while electron affinity (EA) is defined as the amount of energy released when an electron is attached to a neutral atom. Both IP and EA are critical for understanding chemical properties of an element. In contrast to accurate IPs and structures of neutral atoms, EAs and structures of negative ions are relatively unexplored, especially for the transition metal anions. Here, we report the accurate EA value of Fe and fine structures of Fe^- using the slow electron velocity imaging method. These measurements yield a very accurate EA value of Fe, $1235.93(28) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or $153.236(34) \text{ meV}$. The fine structures of Fe^- were also successfully resolved. The present work provides a reliable benchmark for theoretical calculations, and also paves the way for improving the EA measurements of other transition metal atoms to the sub cm^{-1} accuracy.

Iron is the second most abundant metal element on earth. It is an essential part of human being¹, which is central to the structure and functioning of blood in transporting oxygen around the body. For over three thousand years, iron formed the material basis of human civilization. The Iron Age is after the Bronze Age in the three pre-historical ages due to the ease of corrosion and the relatively high melting point of iron. Nowadays, steel, an iron based material, is one of the most common materials in world. Iron and iron compounds are magnetic². They can also be used as catalysis³. Recently, some iron based materials were reported as a new class of superconductors^{4,5}. These fantastic properties of iron are directly related to its unique electronic structures. However, it is still a challenge to fully understand them. Even for the single negative atomic ion, Fe^- , it is a nontrivial task for both experimental and theoretical investigation^{6–16}. The properties of negative ions differ significantly from both positive ions and neutral systems^{17–20}. Like the ionization potential, the electron affinity (EA) is a fundamental parameter for understanding chemical properties of elements^{21,22}. The detailed knowledge of fine structures of anions is also required by laser cooling of negative ions^{23–28}.

Electron affinities of atoms and molecules are mainly measured by photoelectron spectroscopy of negative ions, $\text{A}^- + h\nu \rightarrow \text{A} + \text{e}$, and $\text{EA} = h\nu - E_k$, $h\nu$ is the photon energy, and E_k is the kinetic energy of photoelectrons. The EA value of Fe, $164(35) \text{ meV}$, was first reported by Engelking and Lineberger in 1979⁶. Then, it was improved to $151(3) \text{ meV}$ by Leopold and Lineberger in 1986⁷. After their pioneering work, no significant improvement has been reported during the past 30 years. On the other side, the accuracy of experimental EA value for some transition metal elements and main group elements have been steadily improved to $0.01\text{--}0.05 \text{ meV}$ ^{29–31}. The EA uncertainty by s-wave photodetachment even goes down to $1 \mu\text{eV}$ level by using the laser photodetachment microscopy^{32–36}. Most of the accurate EA values for transitional metals, such as $\text{EA}(\text{Cu}) = 1235.78(4) \text{ meV}$ ³⁷, were obtained by the laser photodetachment threshold (LPT) method³⁸. LPT measures the photodetachment cross section versus the photon energy around the photodetachment threshold using the narrow linewidth tunable laser. The outgoing photoelectron is a p-wave for the threshold photodetachment from atomic transition metal anions. Therefore, the photodetachment cross section near the threshold is very small, according to the Wigner threshold law³⁹. Usually, the LPT method requires a strong anion beam and a high-intensity laser beam. However, it is difficult to produce an intense Fe^- ion beam due to its low EA value. Furthermore, the EA measurement of Fe using LPT method requires a tunable light source in the far infrared band, which is a luxury experimental apparatus. Moreover, LPT method cannot well resolve the congested photodetachment channels due to the zero-slope

¹Department of Physics, State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 10084, China. ²Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.G.N. (email: ningcg@tsinghua.edu.cn)

Figure 1. Photoelectron image and spectrum of Fe^- at photodetachment energies 13414.38 cm^{-1} . The double arrow indicates the laser polarization. Peak d is a result of photodetachment from $\text{Fe}^-(^4\text{F}_{9/2})$ to $\text{Fe}(^3\text{F}_4)$, which is used to determine the electron affinity of Fe. The vertical red spikes are the theoretical simulations at the ion temperature 800 K.

Peak	Levels ($\text{Fe} \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-$)	Binding energy (cm^{-1})
a	$^3\text{F}_4 \leftarrow 4\text{F}_{7/2}$	12691.1(11)
b	$^3\text{F}_3 \leftarrow 4\text{F}_{5/2}$	12895.8(14)
c	$^3\text{F}_2 \leftarrow 4\text{F}_{3/2}$	13043.7(14)
d	$^3\text{F}_4 \leftarrow 4\text{F}_{9/2}$	13212.17(28)
e	$^3\text{F}_3 \leftarrow 4\text{F}_{7/2}$	13276.5(21)
f	$^3\text{F}_2 \leftarrow 4\text{F}_{5/2}$	13304.1(34)

Table 1. Measured binding energies, fine structures of Fe^- , and the electron affinity.

onset of p-wave detachment at threshold³⁹. As shown later, the ability to resolve the congested photodetachment channels is crucial to measure the fine structures of Fe^- . Similar to the case of Fe, the uncertainties of EA values for many other transition metals also remain 10 meV^{40–42}. The experiment method we demonstrated in this study can serve as a powerful approach to improve the EA measurement and fine structure for other transition metal elements.

In this study, the accurate EA value of Fe and the fine structures of Fe^- were obtained using the slow electron velocity imaging (SEVI) method. SEVI has a super energy resolution for slow electrons^{43–46}. Recently, an energy resolution 1.2 cm^{-1} for $E_k = 5.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ has been reported by Wang and coworkers⁴⁵. The conversion factor between cm^{-1} and eV is $1 \text{ eV} = 8065.544\,005(50) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, recommended by CODATA⁴⁷. Since the neutral Fe atomic energy levels are well known, this gives a freedom to choose the final neutral state of photodetachment. This flexibility is crucial for current Fe study and other elements with a low EA value⁴⁸. To avoid using a tunable laser in far infrared region, the $\text{Fe}(^3\text{F}_4) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4\text{F}_{9/2})$ channel, threshold photodetachment wavelength $\lambda \approx 745 \text{ nm}$, is chosen for conducting the Fe EA measurement. With our newly constructed SEVI apparatus, this study successfully resolved all fine structures of Fe^- and significantly improved the EA accuracy of Fe.

Results

Photoelectron Spectroscopy. The descriptions of our spectrometer have been reported in previous work⁴⁹. The Fe^- ion beam was produced by a laser ablation ion source assisted with sodium vapor. The photoelectron spectra were obtained for Fe^- at various detachment laser wavelengths. Figure 1 presents the spectrum at a photon energy $h\nu = 13414.38 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. There are six sharp peaks labelled with letters (a–f). The photoelectron imaging shown in the inset clearly shows expected parallel transitions due to the p-wave detachment. The related transitions of each peak are shown in Fig. 2. The vertical spikes in Fig. 1 are the theoretical simulation according to the assigned transitions. These intensity simulations were derived by assuming the ion temperature of 800 K^6 and further rescaled according to the Wigner threshold law $\sigma \propto E_k^{3/2}$ for p-wave detachment. Here σ is the cross section of photodetachment. The excellent agreement between experimental results and simulations confirmed the validity of current assignment. Based on the assignment, transition d [$\text{Fe}(^3\text{F}_4) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4\text{F}_{9/2})$] is the only photodetachment channel originated from the ground state $\text{Fe}^-(^4\text{F}_{9/2})$, so it was selected as the target channel for the accurate EA measurement.

Figure 2. Energy levels of Fe and Fe^- related to the present measurement. The ground state of Fe is $3d^6 4s^2$ 5D_4 . The ground state of Fe^- is $3d^7 4s^2$ $^4F_{9/2}$. The labels of each transition are the indexes of the observed peaks in Fig. 1. The transition d is used for the electron affinity measurement.

Figure 3. Energy calibration of the photoelectron imaging system. Points with error bars are experimental data. The solid line is the best linear fitting. The rings above each point are the photoelectrons imaging of $\text{Fe}(^3F_4) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4F_{9/2})$. The ring radius r is in unit of pixel.

Electron Affinity and Fine Structures. In order to obtain high accurate EA of Fe, the photoelectron imaging system for the transition d was carefully calibrated. After inverse-Abel transformation⁵⁰, the hitting positions of photoelectrons on the phosphor screen form a ring for each individual transition. The radius r of the ring is proportional to the velocity of photoelectrons. The radius can be obtained by summing the intensity over all angles, and then finding the peak center via a Gaussian profile fitting. A series of photoelectron spectra were measured with the photon energy scanned from 13227 cm^{-1} to 13247 cm^{-1} with a step 5 cm^{-1} . The measured radius square (r^2) of transition d versus the photon energy $h\nu$ was plotted in Fig. 3. The energy calibration parameters of the linear relation between $h\nu$ and r^2 were determined by linear fitting. The binding energy of transition d and its uncertainty can be also derived from this procedure. Figure 4 shows the measured binding energy versus the photoelectron kinetic energy. The mean binding energy is 13212.17 cm^{-1} with an uncertainty 0.27 cm^{-1} . The neutral Fe (3F_4) state is $11976.239 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ above the iron neutral ground state (5D_4). Therefore, EA(Fe) is determined as $1235.93 \pm 0.28 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The uncertainty 0.28 cm^{-1} has included the 0.06 cm^{-1} laser linewidth.

The fine structure of $\text{Fe}^-(^4F)$ were derived from the observed transitions. The splitting of $\text{Fe}^-(^4F_{7/2}) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4F_{9/2})$, $\text{Fe}^-(^4F_{5/2}) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4F_{9/2})$, $\text{Fe}^-(^4F_{3/2}) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4F_{9/2})$ was determined as $520.9(11)$, $901.0(14)$, $1160.8(15) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ by the standard spectroscopic method, the covariance algebra, respectively^{51,52}.

Figure 4. Binding energy of $\text{Fe}(^3\text{F}_4) \leftarrow \text{Fe}^-(^4\text{F}_{9/2})$ transition measured as a function of the kinetic energy of photoelectrons. The dotted lines indicate the $\pm 0.27 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ uncertainty.

Levels	Calculated/extrapolated	Experimental
$^4\text{F}_{7/2} \leftarrow ^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	543/540(50) ⁴¹	520.9(11)
$^4\text{F}_{5/2} \leftarrow ^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	965/930(60) ⁴¹	901.0(14)
$^4\text{F}_{3/2} \leftarrow ^4\text{F}_{9/2}$	1267/1200(60) ⁴¹	1160.8(15)

Table 2. Fine structure of Fe^- (cm^{-1}).

Value (meV)	Reference
580	Clementi ⁸ (calculated)
−220	Cole <i>et al.</i> ⁹ (calculated)
−30	Mitas ¹⁰ (calculated)
210	Bauschlicher <i>et al.</i> ¹¹ (calculated)
−110	Buendia <i>et al.</i> ¹² (calculated)
78	Balabanov <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴ (calculated)
164 (35)	Engelking <i>et al.</i> ⁶ (measured)
151 (3)	Leopold <i>et al.</i> ⁷ (measured)
153.236(34)	this work (measured)

Table 3. The electron affinity of Fe and references.

The measured binding energies of transitions and fine structures of Fe^- are summarized in Tables 1–3. The measured fine structures of Fe^- are in comparison with the calculated ones using the spin-orbit coupling multi-reference configuration interaction method. The calculated values are slightly higher than the experimental results. The measured EAs were also compared with the theoretical predictions in Tables 1–3. The small value of EA of iron is a particular challenge to theory. Some methods even predicted a negative binding energy.

The energy gaps between different neutral Fe states can also be extracted from the six transitions. It is worth comparing them with the standard atomic data⁵³. The interval between peaks d and e is 585.4 cm^{-1} , in an excellent agreement with the energy difference 584.695 cm^{-1} between $^3\text{F}_3$ and $^3\text{F}_4$ states of neutral Fe. Similarly, we have an energy interval 408.3 cm^{-1} between peaks b and f versus 407.620 cm^{-1} between $\text{Fe}(^3\text{F}_2)$ and $\text{Fe}(^3\text{F}_3)$. These accurate data can be considered as the fingerprints of anionic states for the unambiguous assignment.

Discussion

In conclusion, the EA value of Fe was determined as $1235.93(28) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or $153.236(34) \text{ meV}$ using the slow electron velocity imaging method. The accuracy of EA of Fe was improved by a factor more than 80 compared with previous reported $151(3) \text{ meV}$ ⁷. The fine structures of Fe^- were successfully resolved. The Fe^- $^4\text{F}_{7/2}$, $^4\text{F}_{5/2}$, $^4\text{F}_{3/2}$ are $520.9(11) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $901.0(14) \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $1160.8(15) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ above the ground state $^4\text{F}_{9/2}$, respectively.

During past 40 years, the measurement accuracy of the electron affinity (EA) of main group elements has been steadily improved to 0.01–0.05 meV. However, the uncertainties of EA values of many transition elements still remain 10 meV^{40–42}. The experimental EA values for most of the f-block lanthanides and actinides are not available yet^{54–57}. The super energy resolution of SEVI method combined with the sodium vapor assisting laser ablation ion source makes it possible to improve the EA measurement accuracy to sub cm^{−1} for nearly all transition metal atoms.

Methods

The experiment was conducted using a slow electron velocity imaging apparatus equipped a laser ablation ion source. The Fe[−] ion beam was produced by a laser ablation ion source. Sodium vapor was introduced to enhance Fe[−] yield by an inline oven. The⁵⁶ Fe[−] ions were selected by a Wiley-McLaren type time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Then, the selected ions were perpendicularly crossed by the detachment laser beam in the interaction zone. The photodetachment laser is from a Spectra-physics dye laser system (400–920 nm, line width 0.06 cm^{−1} at 625 nm) pumped by a Quanta-Ray Pro 290 Nd:YAG laser (20 Hz, 1000 mJ/pulse at 1064 nm). The photon energy ($h\nu$) was further measured by a HighFinesse WS6-600 wavelength meter with an accuracy of 0.02 cm^{−1}. The detached photoelectrons were projected onto a phosphor screen behind a set of micro-channel plates and recorded by a CCD camera. Each photoelectron imaging was an accumulated result of 200,000 laser shots. The photoelectron spectrum was then generated by an inverse Abel transformation of the raw photoelectron imaging. The obtained energy resolution is 3.1 cm^{−1} for $E_k = 25$ cm^{−1} at an imaging voltage −150 V. It should be noted that the energy resolution ΔE_k depends on the kinetic energy E_k , roughly $\Delta E_k \propto E_k^{1/2}$.

The fine structures of Fe[−] were calculated using the spin–orbit coupling multireference configuration interaction method with the TZP-DKH basis set. The TZP-DKH basis set was obtained from the basis set exchange website <https://bse.pnl.gov>. The calculations were carried out using the Molpro package.

References

- Williams, R. J. P. Iron and the origin of life. *Nature* **343**, 213–214 (1990).
- Qin, S. Y. *et al.* A magnetic protein biocompass. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 217–226 (2015).
- Guo, X. G. *et al.* Direct Nonoxidative Conversion of Methane to Ethylene, Aromatics, and Hydrogen. *Science* **344**, 616–619 (2014).
- Kamihara, Y., Watanabe, A., Hirano, M. & Hosono, H. Iron-Based Layered Superconductor La[O_{1−x}F_x]FeAs (x = 0.05–0.12) with T_c = 26 K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296–3297 (2008).
- Chen, X. H. *et al.* Superconductivity at 43 K in SmFeAsO_{1−x}F_x. *Nature* **453**, 761–762 (2008).
- Engelking, P. C. & Lineberger, W. C. Laser photoelectron spectrometry of Fe: The electron affinity of iron and the “nonstatistical” fine-structure detachment intensities at 488 nm. *Phys. Rev. A* **19**, 149–155 (1979).
- Leopold, D. G. & Lineberger, W. C. A study of the low lying electronic states of Fe₂ and Co₂ by negative ion photoelectron spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* **85**, 51–55 (1986).
- Clementi, E. Atomic Negative Ions: The Iron Series. *Phys. Rev.* **135**, A980–A984 (1964).
- Cole, L. A. & Perdew, J. P. Calculated electron affinities of the elements. *Phys. Rev. A* **25**, 1265–1271 (1982).
- Mitas, L. Quantum Monte Carlo calculation of the Fe atom. *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 4411–4414 (1994).
- Bauschlicher, C. W. Jr. & Gutsev, G. L. The electron affinities of transition metal atoms at the CCSD(T) and density functional levels of theory. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **108**, 27–30 (2002).
- Buendia, E., Galvez, F. J. & Sarsa, A. Correlated wave functions for the ground and some excited states of the iron atom the ground and some excited states of the iron atom. *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**, 154101 (2006).
- Cai, Z. Y. & Beck, D. R. Bound excited states of Cr[−], Fe[−], Co[−], and Ni[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 1657–1659 (1989).
- Balabanov, N. B. & Peterson, K. A. Systematically convergent basis sets for transition metals. I. All-electron correlation consistent basis sets for the 3d elements Sc–Zn. *J. Chem. Phys.* **123**, 064107 (2005).
- Covington, A. M. *et al.* Measurements of partial cross sections and photoelectron angular distributions for the photodetachment of Fe[−] and Cu[−] at visible photon wavelengths. *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 022711 (2007).
- Dumitriu, I. *et al.* Inner-shell photodetachment from Fe[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 053404 (2010).
- Lykke, K. R., Murray, K. K. & Lineberger, W. C. Threshold photodetachment of H[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 6104–6107 (1991).
- Wang, X. B. & Wang, L. S. Observation of Negative Electron-Binding Energy in a Molecule, *Nature* **400**, 245–248 (1999).
- Ning, C. G., Dau, P. D. & Wang, L. S. Guiding Electron Emissions by Excess Negative Charges in Multiply Charged Molecular Anions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 263001 (2010).
- Lindahl, A. O. *et al.* Threshold Photodetachment in a Repulsive Potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 033004 (2012).
- Sato, T. K. *et al.* Measurement of the first ionization potential of lawrencium, element 103. *Nature* **520**, 209–212 (2015).
- Rienstra-Kiracofe, J. C., Tschumper, G. S., Schaefer, H. F. III, Nandi, S. & Ellison, G. B. Atomic and Molecular Electron Affinities: Photoelectron Experiments and Theoretical Computations. *Chem. Rev.* **102**, 231–282 (2002).
- Scheer, M. *et al.* Experimental Evidence that the 6s6p ³P₁ States of Cs[−] Are Shape Resonances. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 684–687 (1998).
- Bilodeau, R. C. & Haugen, H. K. Experimental Studies of Os[−]: Observation of a Bound-Bound Electric Dipole Transition in an Atomic Negative Ion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 534–537 (2000).
- Pan, L. & Beck, D. R. Candidates for laser cooling of atomic anions: La[−] versus Os[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 014501 (2010).
- Walter, C. W. *et al.* Experimental and theoretical study of bound and quasibound states of Ce[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 032514 (2011).
- Walter, C. W. *et al.* Candidate for Laser Cooling of a Negative Ion: Observations of Bound-Bound Transitions in La[−]. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 063001 (2014).
- Jordan, E., Cerchiari, G., Fritzsche, S. & Kellerbauer, A. High-Resolution Spectroscopy on the Laser-Cooling Candidate La[−]. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 113001 (2015).
- Scheer, M., Bilodeau, R. C., Brodie, C. A. & Haugen, H. K. Systematic study of the stable states of C[−], Si[−], Ge[−], and Sn[−] via infrared laser spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 2844–2856 (1998).
- Scheer, M., Brodie, C. A., Bilodeau, R. C. & Haugen, H. K. Laser spectroscopic measurements of binding energies and fine-structure splittings of Co[−], Ni[−], Rh[−], and Pd[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 2051–2062 (1998).
- Bilodeau, R., Scheer, M., Haugen, H. K. & Brooks, R. L. Near-threshold laser spectroscopy of iridium and platinum negative ions: Electron affinities and the threshold law. *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 012505 (2000).
- Blondel, C., Delsart, C. & Dulieu, F. The Photodetachment Microscope. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3755–3758 (1996).
- Blondel, C. *et al.* Electron affinities of ¹⁶O, ¹⁷O, ¹⁸O, the fine structure of ¹⁶O[−], and the hyperfine structure of ¹⁷O[−]. *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 052504 (2001).
- Delsart, C., Goldfarb, F. & Blondel, C. Molecular Photodetachment Microscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 183002 (2002).
- Vandevraye, M., Drag, C. & Blondel, C. Electron affinity of selenium measured by photodetachment microscopy. *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 015401 (2012).

36. Bresteau, D., Babilotte, P., Drag, C. & Blondel, C. Intra-cavity photodetachment microscopy and the electron affinity of germanium. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **48**, 125001 (2015).
37. Bilodeau, R. C., Scheer, M. & Haugen, H. K. Infrared laser photodetachment of transition metal, negative ions: studies on Cr^- , Mo^- , Cu^- and Ag^- . *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **31**, 3885–3891 (1998).
38. Lineberger, W. C. & Woodward, B. W. High resolution photodetachment of S^- near threshold. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 424–427 (1970).
39. Wigner, E. P. On the Behavior of Cross Sections Near Thresholds. *Phys. Rev.* **73**, 1002–1009 (1948).
40. Andersen, T., Haugen, H. K. & Hotop, H. Binding Energies in Atomic Negative Ions: III. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **28**, 1511–1533 (1999).
41. Feigerle, C. S., Corderman, R. R., Bobashev, S. V. & Lineberger, W. C. Binding energies and structure of transition metal negative ions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **74**, 1580–1598 (1981).
42. Andersen, T. Atomic negative ions: structure, dynamics and collisions. *Phys. Rep.* **394**, 157–313 (2004).
43. Osterwalder, A., Nee, M. J., Zhou, J. & Neumark, D. M. High resolution photodetachment spectroscopy of negative ions via slow photoelectron imaging. *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 6317–6322 (2004).
44. Neumark, D. M. Slow Electron Velocity-Map Imaging of Negative Ions: Applications to Spectroscopy and Dynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **112**, 13287–13301 (2008).
45. León, I., Yang, Z., Liu, H. T. & Wang, L. S. The design and construction of a high-resolution velocity-map imaging apparatus for photoelectron spectroscopy studies of size-selected clusters. *Rev. Sci. Instr.* **85**, 083106 (2014).
46. Liu, H. T., Ning, C. G., Huang, D. L., Dau, P. D. & Wang, L. S. Observation of mode-specific vibrational autodetachment from dipole-bound states of cold anions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **52**, 8976–8979 (2013).
47. Mohr, P. J., Newell, D. B. & Taylor, B. N. CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants: 2014. arXiv:1507.07956.
48. Petrunin, V. V., Andersen, H. H., Balling, P. & Andersen, T. Structural Properties of the Negative Calcium Ion: Binding Energies and Fine-Structure Splitting. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 744–747 (1996).
49. Luo, Z. H., Chen, X. L., Li, J. M. & Ning, C. G. Precision measurement of the electron affinity of niobium. *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 020501(R) (2016).
50. Dick, B. Inverting ion images without Abel inversion: maximum entropy reconstruction of velocity maps. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 570–580 (2014).
51. Pelaez, R. J., Blondel, C., Vandevraye, M., Drag, C. & Delsart, C. Photodetachment microscopy to an excited spectral term and the electron affinity of phosphorus. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **44**, 195009 (2011).
52. Kramida, A. E. The program LOPT for least-squares optimization of energy levels. *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **182**, 419–434 (2011).
53. Nave, I. G., Johansson, S., Learner, R. C. M., Thorne, A. P. & Brault, J. W. A new multiplet table for Fe I. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. S.* **94**, 221 (1994).
54. Davis, V. T. & Thompson, J. S. Measurement of the Electron Affinity of Cerium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 073003 (2002).
55. Felton, J., Ray, M. & Jarrold, C. C. Measurement of the electron affinity of atomic Ce. *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 033407 (2014).
56. O'Malley, S. M. & Beck, D. R. Lifetimes and branching ratios of excited states in La^- , Os^- , Lu^- , Lr^- , and Pr^- . *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 032503 (2010).
57. Cheng, S. B. & Castleman, A. W. Jr. Direct experimental observation of weakly-bound character of the attached electron in europium anion. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 12414 (2015).

Acknowledgements

The work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 91336104) and Ministry of Science and Technology of China (MOST) (Grant No. 2013CB922004) of the National Key Basic Research Program of China.

Author Contributions

X.L.C., Z.H.L., J.M.L. and C.G.N. performed the experiments. X.L.C. did the calculations. C.G.N. designed the research. X.L.C., Z.H.L. and C.G.N. co-wrote the paper.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Chen, X. *et al.* Accurate Electron Affinity of Iron and Fine Structures of Negative Iron ions. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24996; doi: 10.1038/srep24996 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>