SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Vibration from freight trains fragments sleep: A polysomnographic study

Michael G. Smith¹, Ilona Croy², Oscar Hammar¹ & Kerstin Persson Waye¹

Received: 03 November 2015

Accepted: 05 April 2016

Published: 19 April 2016

As the number of freight trains on railway networks increases, so does the potential for vibration exposure in dwellings nearby to freight railway lines. Nocturnal trains in particular are of particular importance since night-time exposure may interfere with sleep. The present work investigates the impact of vibration and noise from night-time freight trains on human sleep. In an experimental polysomnographic laboratory study, 24 young healthy volunteers with normal hearing were exposed to simulated freight pass-bys with vibration amplitudes of 0.7 and 1.4 mm/s either 20 or 36 times during the night. Stronger vibrations were associated with higher probabilities of event-related arousals and awakenings ($p < 0.001$), and sleep stage changes ($p < 0.05$). Sleep macrostructure was most affected in high vibration nights with 36 events, with increased wakefulness ($p < 0.05$), reduced continual slow wave sleep ($p < 0.05$), earlier awakenings ($p < 0.05$) and an overall increase in sleep stage changes ($p < 0.05$). Subjects reported sleep disturbance due to vibration ($F(4,92) = 25.9$, $p < 0.001$) and noise ($F(4,92) = 25.9$, $p < 0.001$), with the number of trains having an effect only for the 0.7 mm/s condition ($p < 0.05$). The findings show that combined vibration and noise from railway freight affects the natural rhythm of sleep, but extrapolation of significance for health outcomes should be approached with caution.

Sleep is a vital biological process which is found, in one form or another, throughout the animal kingdom¹. Despite the ubiquitous nature of sleep, its core function remains elusive², yet it is recognised as being critical for cognition and physiological function^{3–8}. Disturbed sleep has been demonstrated to be associated with multiple negative health outcomes⁹, with include amongst others increased risk for developing type 2 diabetes¹⁰, adversely impacting on memory consolidation⁵, mood¹¹, metabolic and endocrine functions¹², waking neurobehavioral functions¹³ and creativity¹⁴, and sleep deprived individuals even superficially appear less healthy and attractive than their well-rested counterparts¹⁵. Given the importance of sleep, its disturbance by noise is recognised as the most serious non-auditory effect of environmental noise exposure¹⁶.

Transportation from road, rail and air is the most prevalent source of environmental noise in the home, and a number of previous studies have examined the resulting effects on sleep¹⁷. Railway noise has been found to have stronger physiological effects on sleep than road and air traffic noise^{18–20} and, in some research, results in a greater percentage of people reporting being highly sleep disturbed than the same levels of road noise²¹. Noise exposure from railways is therefore of concern. Additionally, the environmental policies adopted by many European nations is seeing an increase in the volume of goods transported on the railway networks²². Much of this growth is enabled through nocturnal scheduling, with increased levels of night time noise at nearby dwellings a direct consequence. Railway noise exposure has been found to cause self-reported sleep disturbance^{23,24}, induce awakenings, arousals and cardiac activations¹⁹, reduce rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and increase wakefulness¹⁸, increase the probability of sleep medicine intake and actimetry-determined body movements during sleep^{18,25}, impair daytime attentional processes²⁶, and has recently been linked with the risk for certain types of breast cancer²⁷. Furthermore, freight train noise is particularly deleterious, and causes more frequent awakenings^{28,29}, stronger cardiac response³⁰ and greater night time annoyance than passenger trains³¹.

The distinct issue of the impact of night time railway freight may be further compounded by strong ground vibrations that often accompany the passage of the heavy freight trains. This can be particularly problematic

¹Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, The Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. ²Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy, University of Dresden Medical School, Dresden, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.G.S. (email: michael.smith@amm.gu.se)

Experimental night	$a_{d,max}$ (ms^{-2})	Velocity ($mm s^{-1}$)	$a_{d,8h}$ (ms^{-2})	$L_{AEq,8h}$ (dB)	$L_{AF,max}$ (dB)
NVm20	0.0102	0.7	0.0010	29.3	49.8
NVm36	0.0102	0.7	0.0014	31.3	49.8
NVh20	0.0204	1.4	0.0019	29.3	49.8
NVh36	0.0204	1.4	0.0027	31.3	49.8

Table 1. Eight hour nocturnal exposures. $a_{d,max}$ = maximum d-weighted acceleration, 1 s time constant. Velocity = maximum velocity (comfort weighted), 1 s time constant. $a_{d,8h}$ = 8 hour (23:00–07:00) d-weighted acceleration. $L_{AEq,8h}$ = 8 hour (23:00–07:00) equivalent A-weighted sound pressure level. $L_{AF,max}$ = Maximum A-weighted sound pressure level (fast time constant). Vibration values are measured on the bed frame. Noise levels are measured at the pillow position.

with poor wheel or rail maintenance, or regions with ground conditions conducive to propagation, with ground vibrations near railway lines commonly in the range of 0.4–1.5 mm/s³². Increasing vibration results in increased reporting of waking during the night and waking too early in the morning³³, and annoyance from railway vibration is higher during the evening than the day, and higher during night than evening³⁴. Following nights with vibration exposure of 1.4 mm/s, lower sleep quality and alertness were reported compared to nights with vibration of 0.4 mm/s. This corresponded to higher restlessness, greater difficulty falling asleep and more awakenings during the night³⁵. Additionally, stronger vibrations from railway freight are associated reduced subjective sleep quality and increased self-reported sleep disturbance³⁶. However, such self-reported sleep variables do not necessarily correlate with actual physiological response³⁷, and even minor sleep disturbances which might not contribute to subjective response can result in degradation of executive functions³⁸. In addition to exposure level *per se*, there is some evidence that the number of trains influences human response. In epidemiological studies annoyance was found to be higher in areas with a greater number of trains^{32,39}. Pass-by frequency during the night has furthermore been linked to self-reported noise-induced disturbances²⁴. However, the number of nocturnal pass-bys was not found to be statistically significantly associated with polysomnographically measured wakefulness and light sleep time¹⁸.

To our knowledge, only three studies have previously investigated the physiological effects of vibration on sleep. The first found that simulated vibration from heavy road traffic elicited changes in sleep structure and a decrease in REM sleep⁴⁰. The second and third found that increasing levels of freight train vibration resulted in greater changes of cardiac activity^{36,41}. However, the question of the impact of vibration and noise from railway freight on sleep structure presently remains unanswered. Therefore, the present study aimed at determining the physiological effects of combined vibration and noise from nocturnal railway freight on sleep. The hypothesis was that sleep disruption would increase both with higher vibration amplitudes and the number of trains during the night.

Methods

Factorial study design. The study was a 2×2 factorial experiment consisting of two vibration amplitudes (moderate or high maximum acceleration) and two train frequency scenarios (20 or 36 trains) during an 8-hour night time measurement period (23:00–07:00). These 4 exposure nights were preceded by an exposure-free single night for habituation and an exposure-free single control night to measure baseline normal sleep. The 4 exposure nights were presented in a Latin square design over 8 study weeks.

Experimental procedure. The experimental laboratory is built up as an apartment with three identical bedrooms of a “room-within-room” design. Each participant had access to a private bedroom furnished with a bed, desk, chairs, bedside cabinet and lamps and to a living and kitchen area. Participants arrived at the laboratory by 20:00 each evening to allow sufficient time for electrode placement, and also to ensure they were relaxed by lights-out at 23:00. They were informed during an orientation procedure that the trial aim was to investigate train exposure but they were blind to the exposures over experimental nights.

An initial exposure-free habituation night allowed time for adaptation to the setting and measurement apparatus, and this night was not included in the analysis. The second night was again an exposure-free condition, serving for baseline measurement of normal sleep (control night). The 4 subsequent nights served as exposure conditions during which vibration and noise from freight passages was presented (see Table 1).

Vibration and noise exposure. Exposure nights were constructed from a pool of 5 individual stimuli, the synthesis of which is described in detail elsewhere³⁶ and only summarized here. Five audio recordings of freight train pass-bys were low-pass filtered to correspond to a closed window based on the curve of reference values for airborne sound as defined in ISO 717-1:1997⁴². The characteristics of each train are presented in Fig. 1. The low frequencies (<125 Hz) of the train noise was introduced via eighty eight 10” loudspeakers concealed within the ceiling of each bedroom. The higher frequency components were reproduced by loudspeaker cabinets in two of the upper corners of each room. Noise and vibration exposure was introduced using a fully automated system from a separate control room. Since the background levels in the bedrooms were unnaturally low (<14dBA), band-pass filtered pink noise simulating ventilation noise was introduced throughout the trial at a level of 25 dBA measured at the pillow. Each stimulus was accompanied by vibration (rise time (0 mm/s to first peak) = 5.6 s). A 10 Hz sinusoid was amplitude modulated using $c(t)$ given in equation (Equation 1). The modulation introduces sidebands around the main 10 Hz component from 8.3 to 11.7 Hz, but the secondary peaks are 15 to 25 dB lower

Figure 1. Visual representation of train distribution in 36 event night (left) and 20 event night (centre). Acoustic characteristics of the auditory stimuli (right). $L_{AEq,8h}$ = 8 hour equivalent noise level. $L_{AEq,pb}$ = Pass-by noise level. $L_{AF,max}$ = Maximum noise level, fast time constant. $t > 35$ dB = pass-by duration. $T_{10-90\%}$ = noise rise time. Noise levels are measured at the pillow position.

than the carrier signal. The signal was generated after inspecting many indoor measurements and forming a repeating simple signal with the same time characteristics as a typical measurement^{32,43}. The shape of the waveform was verified by measurements on the frame of the bed used in the lab artificially loaded with weights of 75 kg. Amplitude A was adjusted such that the maximum root mean square (rms) W_d weighted acceleration⁴⁴ was either 0.0204 ms^{-2} ("high") or 0.0102 ms^{-2} ("moderate"). Perception thresholds are almost identical for recumbent persons along either the head-foot or side-side axis, only differing slightly at frequencies below 4 Hz and above 31.5 Hz⁴⁵. The bed frame was therefore excited horizontally along the lengthwise axis³⁶ by electrodynamic transducers (Quake Q10B, frequency response 5–40 Hz). Each shaker was driven separately by a 1000 W power amplifier (BKA1000-4A).

$$c(t) = A \left[\frac{\sin(2\pi 1.72t) + \sin(0.1 + 2\pi 1.443t) + \sin(0.2 + 2\pi 0.55t) + 2\sin(0.3 + 2\pi 0.168t)}{\sin(0.2 + 2\pi 0.55t) + 2\sin(0.3 + 2\pi 0.168t)} \right] \quad (1)$$

Either 20 or 36 trains were used in each exposure night, with all events within nights having the same maximum vibration. The resulting conditions are therefore 20 trains, noise + moderate vibration (NVm20), 20 trains, noise + high vibration (NVh20), 36 trains, noise + moderate vibration (NVm36) and 36 trains, noise + high vibration (NVh36), see Table 1. Typical night-time freight timetabling scenarios were reproduced by having a greater train frequency in the first two and final two hours of the night (see Fig. 1). The 8 hour vibration exposures are presented as rms (Equation 2) in Table 1. $a_w(t)$ is the weighted (w) acceleration as a function of time and T is the duration of the measurement period. Vibration velocity is reported using comfort weighting⁴⁶, which is the standard used in the Scandinavian countries, and is similar to the W_m weighting described in ISO 2631-2:2003⁴⁷.

$$a_w = \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T a_w^2(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

Questionnaires. Participants completed a questionnaire each morning immediately following the alarm call. Five-category Likert scales were used to determine sleep quality (*Very good, Good, Not particularly good, Poor, Very poor*), sleep disturbance due to either noise or vibration (*Not at all, Slightly, Rather, Very and Extremely*) and whether each stimulus disturbed their sleep such that they slept poorly, awoke, had difficulty falling asleep and caused morning tiredness (*Not at all, Slightly, Rather, Very, Extremely*). Eleven-point numerical scales were used to record sleep quality (anchor points *Very good* and *Very bad*), morning restoration (*Very rested-Very tired, Very relaxed-Very tense* and *Very irritated-Very glad*) and self-assessment of sleep (*Easy/difficult to fall asleep, Slept better/worse than usual, Deep/shallow sleep, Never/Often woke*). Within the 15 minutes preceding lights-out each night, participants completed a separate questionnaire with the same questions on tiredness, alertness, mood and stress/energy.

Polysomnography. Objective sleep variables were obtained using PSG. All electrode placements and sampling and filter frequencies were as per current standards⁴⁸.

The recorded PSG data were blindly scored by a trained sleep technician according to the current AASM standard⁴⁸. Identification of EEG arousals was performed in accordance with relevant guidance⁴⁹. Arousals of >15 s duration were classed as awakenings.

Sleep onset latency (SOL) was defined as time until the first occurrence of a non-wake epoch after lights out. Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and slow wave sleep (SWS) latency were the first occurrences of stage REM and N3 respectively following sleep onset. Sleep period time (SPT) was the time from sleep onset to final awakening. Wakefulness after sleep onset (WASO) was the time spent in stage W after sleep onset. Total sleep time (TST) was SPT minus WASO. Sleep efficiency was the ratio of TST to time in bed (TIB, 480 minutes). Sleep stage changes (SSCs) were defined as moving from one sleep stage to a 'lighter' stage. Changes to stage W were not considered as SSCs but treated separately as awakenings. REM was classified as the 'lightest' stage in accordance with Carter

Variable	Control Mean $\pm$ SD	NVm20 Mean $\pm$ SD	NVh20 Mean $\pm$ SD	NVm36 Mean $\pm$ SD	NVh36 Mean $\pm$ SD
Sleep latency (min)	26.4 $\pm$ 19.9	16.6 $\pm$ 14.7	22.3 $\pm$ 18.2	17.4 $\pm$ 10.5	15.3 $\pm$ 14.0
REM latency (min)	80.7 $\pm$ 19.2	81.0 $\pm$ 36.3	87.9 $\pm$ 33.4	84.9 $\pm$ 35.2	96.0 $\pm$ 49.1
N3 latency (min)	20.0 $\pm$ 18.6	18.2 $\pm$ 9.7	20.5 $\pm$ 13.2	19.4 $\pm$ 6.5	23.1 $\pm$ 13.6
Total SSCs (n)	<u>33.1* $\pm$ 6.8</u>	36.2 $\pm$ 9.2	37.0 $\pm$ 10.0	37.7 $\pm$ 6.5	<u>38.3* $\pm$ 7.2</u>
EEG arousals (n)	49.4 $\pm$ 15.6	49.3 $\pm$ 15.2	54.1 $\pm$ 19.9	55.3 $\pm$ 19.3	55.1 $\pm$ 17.2
EEG awakenings (n)	21.5 $\pm$ 9.4	21.5 $\pm$ 11.2	21.9 $\pm$ 7.6	21.1 $\pm$ 6.4	24.1 $\pm$ 9.6
WASO (min)	21.0 $\pm$ 14.2	28.3 $\pm$ 38.3	<u>19.2* $\pm$ 12.2</u>	22.3 $\pm$ 16.6	<u>26.4* $\pm$ 19.4</u>
First awakening (min)	<u>39.8* $\pm$ 49.3</u>	16.7 $\pm$ 25.9	27.2 $\pm$ 38.1	28.8 $\pm$ 42.4	<u>14.5* $\pm$ 35.9</u>
Max. uninterrupted REM duration (min)	20.7 $\pm$ 9.2	17.1 $\pm$ 6.6	17.9 $\pm$ 5.6	20.2 $\pm$ 9.6	20.1 $\pm$ 8.1
Max. uninterrupted N3 duration (min)	<u>32.0* $\pm$ 10.6</u>	31.2 $\pm$ 10.7	28.8 $\pm$ 10.0	32.3 $\pm$ 9.8	<u>26.4* $\pm$ 11.0</u>
EEG arousals per hour of TST (n)	6.9 $\pm$ 2.1	6.8 $\pm$ 2.0	7.4 $\pm$ 2.6	7.5 $\pm$ 2.5	7.5 $\pm$ 2.3
EEG awakenings per hour of TST (n)	3.0 $\pm$ 1.4	3.0 $\pm$ 1.7	3.0 $\pm$ 1.1	2.9 $\pm$ 0.9	3.3 $\pm$ 1.4
Sleep efficiency (%)	90.1 $\pm$ 5.5	90.7 $\pm$ 9.3	91.4 $\pm$ 4.6	91.4 $\pm$ 4.8	91.3 $\pm$ 5.3
Time in N1 (min)	42.6 $\pm$ 15.6	42.6 $\pm$ 14.9	42.2 $\pm$ 14.3	45.0 $\pm$ 13.3	48.7 $\pm$ 14.7
Time in N2 (min)	212.0 $\pm$ 33.0	211.2 $\pm$ 41.3	210.9 $\pm$ 31.7	215.0 $\pm$ 30.9	224.0 $\pm$ 26.7
Time in N3 (min)	90.0 $\pm$ 25.8	91.3 $\pm$ 23.6	94.8 $\pm$ 21.0	91.1 $\pm$ 25.9	84.4 $\pm$ 21.6
Time in REM (min)	88.0 $\pm$ 19.3	90.0 $\pm$ 25.5	90.7 $\pm$ 17.8	87.6 $\pm$ 21.0	81.4 $\pm$ 18.9

Table 2. Means and standard deviations for polysomnographically determined sleep macrostructure parameters across all experiment nights. Significant pairwise comparisons are indicated with * and underlined. NVm20 = Noise and moderate vibration (0.7 mm/s), 20 trains; NVm36 = Noise and moderate vibration (0.7 mm/s), 36 trains; NVh20 = Noise and high vibration (1.4 mm/s), 20 trains; NVh36 = Noise and high vibration (1.4 mm/s), 36 trains.

*et al.*⁵⁰, and so a SSC cannot occur from REM. Possible changes were therefore N1 to REM, N2 to REM or N1, and N3 to REM, N1 or N2. The increased likelihood, relative to spontaneously occurring reactions, of an arousal, awakening or SSC occurring following the start of a train was determined for all exposure conditions. The derivation of these event-related probabilities is presented in the Supplemental Methods.

Study subjects. Twenty-four volunteers were recruited via public advertising (13 females 11 males, age range 19–28, mean 22.9 s.d. $\pm$ 2.8 years). Participants were required to maintain normal sleeping patterns determined via self-report, have good hearing ($\leq$ 20 dB HL, 250 Hz–8 kHz, screened using pure tone audiometry prior to acceptance) and not use tobacco products or take regular medication affecting sleep. To avoid potential breathing difficulties or apnoea they were required to have a BMI within the normal range of 18.5–24.99. They were prohibited from alcohol throughout the study, caffeine consumption after 15:00 and sleeping at times other than the exposure period of 23:00–07:00.

The study followed the Declaration of Helsinki on Biomedical Research Involving Human Subjects and was approved by the Ethics Committee from the University of Gothenburg. Volunteers were financially compensated for participation and provided informed written consent prior to commencement of the study.

Statistical Analysis. Questionnaire data were analysed using repeated measurement ANOVA (IBM SPSS v. 18, USA). The control night was included in the analysis when examining whether noise and vibration exposure had an effect relative to baseline normal sleep. The four exposure nights alone (i.e. excluding control) were included in the analysis when investigating effects of vibration amplitude or number of events. To account for multiple testing, Bonferroni corrections were used in the questionnaire data analysis.

PSG data were analysed in SAS (v. 9.4 Cary, NC, USA). Variables describing sleep macrostructure were analysed with respect to the five different study nights. Mixed models were used to account for correlation between measurements on the same individual. Gender was included in the model as an explanatory factor. For each polysomnogram outcome, p-values for pairwise comparisons were corrected for multiple testing using Tukey adjustments. A detailed description of the approach used in the statistical model is presented in the Supplementary Methods.

Results

Due to a technical issue, PSG recordings were not obtained for a single female participant in the NVh20 condition.

Polysomnogram data. *Sleep macrostructure.* Sleep macrostructure data are presented in Table 2. Relative to the control, the total number of changes to lighter sleep stages was significantly greater in the NVh36 condition ($p < 0.05$). The first awakening after sleep onset occurred earlier in NVh36 condition ($p < 0.05$), the difference to the control night being 25.3 minutes. The maximum length of uninterrupted time spent in N3 was on average 5.6 minutes shorter in NVh36 as compared to the control ($p < 0.05$). In high vibration nights, WASO increased

Figure 2. Additional probabilities and 95% confidence intervals of arousals (A), awakenings (B), combined EEG arousals and awakenings (C) and sleep stage changes (D) in the experimental nights.

by 7.2 minutes with the increased number of trains ($p < 0.05$). Regarding gender, only the number of arousals was found to differ ($p = 0.025$), with males having more arousals averaged over all 5 nights (59.9) than females (46.4).

Sleep microstructure. Applying a 60 s analysis window (see Supplementary Results for rationale), the probability of observing combined EEG reactions ($P_{\text{EEG,ob}} p < 0.0001$) and sleep stage changes ($P_{\text{SSC,ob}} p < 0.0001$) was significantly higher in all exposure nights than in the control night. Figure 2 presents the additional probabilities relative to the baseline as determined in the control condition for arousals (Fig. 2A), awakenings (Fig. 2B), combined EEG reactions (Fig. 2C) and SSCs (Fig. 2D) over the full course of the night. $P_{\text{SSC,additional}}$ and $P_{\text{EEG,additional}}$ were greater in high vibration nights compared to moderate vibration nights with the same event distribution ($p < 0.001$ for EEG, see Fig. 2C, $p < 0.05$ for SSCs, see Fig. 2D). In nights with moderate vibration, $P_{\text{SSC,additional}}$ was lower in the 36 event night than the 20 event night. No other significant effects were observed between nights with different numbers of trains.

The mean arousals, awakenings and SSCs occurring within each night are presented in Fig. 3. All reactions in the control night happened in the absence of any exposure and are all therefore spontaneous. In the exposure nights, reactions occurring within the analysed 60 s time windows have been considered to be ‘event-related’, i.e. those used in the derivation of $P_{\text{additional}}$. Reactions occurring outside of these analysis windows have been classed as spontaneous. There were more SSCs in high vibration nights with 36 trains relative to the control (see Table 2). From Fig. 3 can be seen that the number of spontaneous reactions generally seemed to decrease and that event-related SSCs occurred at the expense of these spontaneous reactions. For arousals and awakenings, there was no significant increase in the total number of responses in exposure nights, although event-related reactions generally seemed to occur at the expense of spontaneous reactions similarly to the effect seen with SSCs.

Self-reported questionnaire data. Results from the morning questionnaires are presented in Supplementary Table 1. No effects of exposure night presentation ordering were found. The exposure conditions had an impact on sleep disturbance from vibrations ($F(4, 92) = 25.9$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 4). Participants reported higher sleep disturbance by vibration in all exposure nights as compared to the control ($p < 0.01$). In nights with moderate vibration there was an effect of the number of trains ($p < 0.05$), with participants being more disturbed by vibration in exposure conditions with a higher number of events.

A significant effect of exposure was also found for sleep disturbance from noise ($F(4, 84) = 37.9$, $p < 0.01$, Fig. 4). For all experimental nights, participants reported higher disturbance by noise compared to the control ($p < 0.01$). Additionally there was an effect of number of trains on disturbance in nights with moderate vibration, ($p < 0.05$), with higher disturbance by noise for the higher number of trains.

Figure 3. Mean number of spontaneous and event related arousals (A), awakenings (B) and sleep stage changes (C) in all experimental nights. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 4. Mean rating of self-reported sleep disturbance from noise and vibration during the exposure nights, recorded immediately after awakening. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

No significant effect of exposure condition was observed for sleep quality, the morning restoration questions (rested, at ease, irritated, never woke), the stress-energy or mood scales.

There were no significant effects of exposure on the evening questions. No significant main effects of exposure or interactions between morning and evening questionnaires for the stress-energy or the mood items were found.

Discussion

This study presents for the first time polysomnographically evaluated effects on sleep due to railway vibration. It expands upon the limited existing work^{40,51} investigating the sleep impact of vibration by both incorporating physiological measures of sleep, and improving the exposures used. We hypothesised that stronger maximal

vibration would impact on sleep, including global sleep structure and event-related reactions. The study shows a clear influence of vibration on SSC and EEG response, with a moderate effect on overall sleep macrostructure and subjective outcomes.

Effects of vibration amplitude. In all exposure nights, the additional probability of arousals or awakenings was higher than the likelihood of them occurring spontaneously as part of the natural rhythm of sleep. Reaction probabilities were higher in NVh36 versus NVm36 and in NVh20 versus NVm20. The noise exposure and the distribution of trains were identical in both of the 36 event nights and both the 20 event nights. The only change in exposure was an increase of vibration amplitude, from moderate to high. Thus the increased vibration amplitude was associated with increased probability of arousals and awakenings. Awakenings and arousals can be viewed as short-term acute effects, and the health impact of such acute reactions may in the short-term be small. However, arousals and awakenings in particular are good indicators of fragmented sleep⁵², and noise-induced sleep fragmentation may in the long run manifest as chronic conditions such as cardiovascular diseases⁵³ and metabolic illness⁵⁴. Studies in mice indicate that the restorative function of sleep may arise from the brain switching to a state allowing the removal of neural waste products that accumulate during wakefulness⁴. The disruption of natural sleep rhythms due to vibration from railway freight traffic as observed in the present work might also interfere with such processes.

In addition to the increased probability of arousals and awakenings following higher vibration amplitudes, higher probabilities of SSCs were found when increasing vibration amplitudes from moderate to high for the same number of trains. The presence of strong vibrations thus had a greater impact on alterations of sleep depth. Despite there being no consensus on the relative functions of the various sleep stages, there is converging evidence that central neural processes during sleep play an important role in memory consolidation⁵. Slow wave sleep in particular has been identified as important for declarative memory in humans, through mechanisms involving offline replay of spatial tasks in the hippocampus⁵⁵. Furthermore, SWS is considered to be important for physical restoration⁵⁶ and is accordingly prioritized after sleep deprivation⁵⁷, while REM sleep is believed to be important for cognition⁵⁸. Forced changes of sleep structure by strong vibration altering the normal shifts of sleep stage could therefore contribute towards disruption of physical and mental restoration.

No increase in the total number of arousals, awakenings or SSCs was observed in any of the exposure nights, with the single exception of there being 5.2 more SSCs in the NVh36 night than the control. However, the additional probability of either an arousal or awakening was around 15% in nights with moderate vibration, and around 30% following high vibrations. In general, these event-related arousals, awakenings and SSCs did not occur in addition to reactions that happen as part of the natural sleep process. Instead these event-related reactions are replacing those that would have occurred spontaneously, in the absence of exposure. This supports previous work showing that traffic noise-induced arousals and awakenings occur at the expense of reactions that would have otherwise occurred spontaneously throughout the night¹⁹. To our knowledge, the present work is the first time this indicated redistribution is also valid for vibration, and the first time it has been shown at all for SSCs from any traffic exposure. Although efforts were made in the calculation of window length to maximize the likelihood that reactions were a direct result of the exposure stimuli, it cannot be totally excluded that reactions occurring within the window are not spontaneous. On the other hand, neither can it be said with certainty that reactions outside of the window are not caused by the vibration and noise.

Effects of number of trains. A number of effects due to differences in the number of trains were seen. In nights with moderate vibration, self-reported disturbance by both vibration and noise was higher when increasing the number of trains from 20 to 36, eliciting disturbance levels similar to those seen in the high vibration conditions. Although no corresponding differences in the sleep macrostructure were found between moderate vibration nights with a higher number of trains, the mean number of event-related awakenings almost doubled from 2.3 to 3.9. In the high vibration nights with 20 and 36 trains, the mean numbers of event-related awakenings were 3.7 and 5.0 respectively, corresponding to an increase in wakefulness (WASO) of 7.2 minutes. These high vibration condition event-related awakenings are rather similar to 3.9 in the NVm36 condition. Should a participant awake as a consequence of train event, and recall the awakening the following morning, it is reasonable that they would ascribe the train vibration and/or noise as a source of nocturnal disturbance. If so, an increase in self-reported disturbance might be expected given a greater number of event-related awakenings. Equally, nights with a similar number of event-related awakenings would be anticipated to elicit similar self-reported disturbance to one another, such as is seen here. Support for this was also given by Elmenhorst *et al.*, who found that only the number of nocturnal train events was significantly associated with night-time annoyance²⁹.

In general, the number of events had no effect on the probability of an EEG reaction. This contrasts previous work, which has observed a decrease in awakening and arousal probability when doubling the number of noise events per night¹⁹. Unlike auditory perception, somatosensory tactile perception involves four independent mechanoreceptive systems, and at least two of these are responsible for vibration detection, namely those whose afferents end in either Meissner or Pacinian corpuscles. One explanation for the lack of observed effect for number of events could therefore be that auditory habituation occurs within nights following repeated noise exposure, but this is not necessarily true for the distinct vibration perception system. The mechanisms which might be involved in potential vibration habituation or sensitization effects warrant further future studies.

One exception to the lack of effect of number of trains on event-related reaction probabilities was found. In nights with moderate vibration, event-related SSCs were more likely when there were 20 rather than 36 trains. Subjects reported greater vibration disturbance in the night with 36 trains, which was also the night with the lower SSC probability (NVm36). This seemingly contradictory result could be explained by there being nearly twice the number of event-related awakenings occurring in the NVm36 night. SSC probability does not include awakenings, so exposure to a higher number of trains appears to have resulted in a higher total number of full

awakenings, rather than only an alteration of sleep depth. The indication that the number of trains during the night increases subjective sleep disturbance is supported by annoyance data in the field³². No differences in subjective sleep quality were observed between nights despite the reporting of sleep disturbance following exposure. This may be explained by the fact that sleep quality is primarily linked with time in SWS and sleep efficiency, neither of which differed across sleep architectures⁵⁹.

Vibration amplitude and the number of trains together both impacted upon a number of sleep macrostructure outcomes in the polysomnogram. Although there were around 5 more SSCs during NVh36 than the control as noted earlier, there were no differences in the time spent in the different sleep stages, meaning that sleep rebounded to the deeper stages rather quickly following disruption. In addition to the greater number of SSCs, participants also awoke for the first time 25.3 minutes earlier, and had a reduction of 5.6 minutes in continuous time in slow wave sleep during NVh36 than in the control. Subtle disruptions of normal sleep rhythm, such as sleep stage changes and the reduced SWS continuity may be important regarding health in the mid- to long-term perspective for exposed populations. As little as one night of SWS suppression has been shown to reduce glucose metabolism the following morning. Over time, such changes might increase the risk of metabolic disorders, including type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity, although it is unclear what degree of glucose suppression is clinically relevant⁶⁰.

For all cases where an impact on sleep is found, the most deleterious effects are observed during the condition with high vibration and a higher number of trains, providing additional support for the initial hypothesis that the number of trains would contribute towards sleep impairment.

Influence of noise. Humans, like most organisms, have several distinct sensory mechanisms, yet the inputs of these quite separate channels are able to merge in to a coherent perception of the surrounding environment⁶¹. However, the interactions between multisensory inputs are not always clear. It has previously been found that the presence of strong vibration increases the annoyance due to railway noise³². On the basis of the current work it cannot be concluded whether the increased probabilities for both SSCs and EEG reactions are due to the body reacting to the vibration directly, or if there is a cross-modal effect whereby the occurrence of vibrations lowers the threshold of a reaction due to noise (or vice versa). Such multimodal effects have previously been reported to occur in response to low intensity combined auditory and somatosensory stimulation in monkeys, where neuronal oscillations were found to be synergistic, whereby the response amplitude was greater than the sum of the responses to each individual stimulus⁶². Additional work involving conditions with vibration in the absence of noise and vice versa is required to examine possible interactions.

Limitations. Only volunteers reporting having good normal sleep were included in the study, and recruited via public advertising. As a result of this self-selection of individuals having robust typical sleeping patterns, it is possible that the study population represented a group particularly impervious to vibration and noise exposure. In the field where the prevalence of sleep problems or disorders may be higher⁶³, the response to these exposures might be greater. Conversely, it cannot be excluded that a degree of habituation might occur amongst exposed populations, resulting in some measure of response inhibition. The sample size of the present study may also limit the conclusions that can be drawn regarding the questionnaire data, and it is possible that we have missed identifying low-level effects due to statistical lack of power. Only horizontal vibration was used, and in the field vibration will typically occur along all three orthogonal directions. Although vertical vibration amplitude is often higher than horizontal vibration when measured on a floor mid-span, horizontal vibration increases monotonically with building level⁶⁴. When considering whole body vibration for persons in bed, vertical vibration is greatly attenuated by the mattress, whereas horizontal vibration can be amplified relative to vibration on the bed frame⁴⁰. Horizontal freight vibration often peaks at around 6–8 Hz, and the perception threshold for recumbent persons is most sensitive below 10 Hz⁴⁵. It would therefore have been desirable for the vibration signals to have had a dominant component slightly below the 10 Hz frequency used. However, due to limitations of the equipment and unwanted distortion products below this frequency, it was necessary to use signals with a 10 Hz main component. If reactions would indeed be stronger at lower frequencies, then it is conceivable that the results might slightly underestimate the effects of vibration exposure in the field.

Conclusions

Vibration and noise from railway freight traffic was found to impact on sleep. The amplitude of vibration contributed towards arousal, awakening and sleep stage change probabilities. The number of trains during the night contributed towards self-reported sleep disturbance at moderate vibration amplitudes. Both vibration amplitude and the number of trains together contributed towards effects on sleep macrostructure, whereby the number of sleep depth changes, slow wave sleep continuity and nocturnal wakefulness were negatively affected during the high vibration condition with a high number of trains. Taken together, the results indicate that vibration and noise affects the natural rhythm of sleep, but extrapolation of significance for health outcomes should be approached with caution. Studies into deleterious long-term effects of nocturnal vibration exposure are lacking, as are studies examining cross-modality between noise and vibration, and provide interesting avenues for future research.

References

1. Cirelli, C. & Tononi, G. Is sleep essential? *PLoS biology* **6**, e216 (2008).
2. Tononi, G. & Cirelli, C. Sleep and the price of plasticity: from synaptic and cellular homeostasis to memory consolidation and integration. *Neuron* **81**, 12–34 (2014).
3. Archer, S. N. *et al.* Mistimed sleep disrupts circadian regulation of the human transcriptome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **111**, E682–691 (2014).
4. Xie, L. *et al.* Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain. *Science* **342**, 373–377 (2013).
5. Stickgold, R. Sleep-dependent memory consolidation. *Nature* **437**, 1272–1278 (2005).
6. Yang, G. *et al.* Sleep promotes branch-specific formation of dendritic spines after learning. *Science* **344**, 1173–1178 (2014).

7. Davenne, D. Sleep of athletes-problems and possible solutions. *Biol Rhythm Res* **40**, 45–52 (2009).
8. Stickgold, R. & Walker, M. P. Sleep-dependent memory triage: evolving generalization through selective processing. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 139–145 (2013).
9. Muzet, A. Environmental noise, sleep and health. *Sleep Med Rev* **11**, 135–142 (2007).
10. Anothaisintawee, T., Reutrakul, S., Van Cauter, E. & Thakkinstian, A. Sleep disturbances compared to traditional risk factors for diabetes development: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* **30**, 11–24 (2015).
11. Dinges, D. F. *et al.* Cumulative sleepiness, mood disturbance, and psychomotor vigilance performance decrements during a week of sleep restricted to 4–5 hours per night. *Sleep* **20**, 267–277 (1997).
12. Spiegel, K., Leproult, R. & Van Cauter, E. Impact of sleep debt on metabolic and endocrine function. *Lancet* **354**, 1435–1439 (1999).
13. Van Dongen, H. P. A., Maislin, G., Mullington, J. M. & Dinges, D. F. The cumulative cost of additional wakefulness: Dose-response effects on neurobehavioral functions and sleep physiology from chronic sleep restriction and total sleep deprivation. *Sleep* **26**, 117–126 (2003).
14. Wagner, U., Gais, S., Haider, H., Verleger, R. & Born, J. Sleep inspires insight. *Nature* **427**, 352–355 (2004).
15. Axelsson, J. *et al.* Beauty sleep: experimental study on the perceived health and attractiveness of sleep deprived people. *Bmj* **341**, c6614 (2010).
16. Basner, M. *et al.* Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *Lancet* **383**, 1325–1332 (2013).
17. Janssen, S. A., Basner, M., Griefahn, B., Miedema, H. & Kim, R. in *Burden of disease from environmental noise* (ed. World Health Organisation) 55–70 (2011).
18. Aasvang, G. M., Overland, B., Ursin, R. & Moum, T. A field study of effects of road traffic and railway noise on polysomnographic sleep parameters. *J Acoust Soc Am* **129**, 3716–3726 (2011).
19. Basner, M., Muller, U. & Elmenhorst, E. M. Single and combined effects of air, road, and rail traffic noise on sleep and recuperation. *Sleep* **34**, 11–23 (2011).
20. Griefahn, B., Marks, A. & Robens, S. Noise emitted from road, rail and air traffic and their effects on sleep. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **295**, 129–140 (2006).
21. Hong, J., Kim, J., Lim, C., Kim, K. & Lee, S. The effects of long-term exposure to railway and road traffic noise on subjective sleep disturbance. *J Acoust Soc Am* **128**, 2829–2835 (2010).
22. White Paper - European transport policy for 2010: time to decide. (Commission of the European Communities, Brussels, 2001).
23. Miedema, H. M. & Vos, H. Associations between self-reported sleep disturbance and environmental noise based on reanalyses of pooled data from 24 studies. *Behav Sleep Med* **5**, 1–20 (2007).
24. Aasvang, G. M., Moum, T. & Engdahl, B. Self-reported sleep disturbances due to railway noise: exposure-response relationships for nighttime equivalent and maximum noise levels. *J Acoust Soc Am* **124**, 257–268 (2008).
25. Lercher, P. *et al.* The effects of railway noise on sleep medication intake: results from the ALPNAP-study. *Noise Health* **12**, 110–119 (2010).
26. Tassi, P. *et al.* Long term exposure to nocturnal railway noise produces chronic signs of cognitive deficits and diurnal sleepiness. *J Environ Psychol* **33**, 45–52 (2013).
27. Sørensen, M., Ketzel, M., Overvad, K., Tjønneland, A. & Raaschou-Nielsen, O. Exposure to road traffic and railway noise and postmenopausal breast cancer: A cohort study. *Int J Cancer* **134**, 2691–2698 (2014).
28. Saremi, M. *et al.* Effects of nocturnal railway noise on sleep fragmentation in young and middle-aged subjects as a function of type of train and sound level. *Int J Psychophysiol* **70**, 184–191 (2008).
29. Elmenhorst, E. M. *et al.* Examining nocturnal railway noise and aircraft noise in the field: sleep, psychomotor performance, and annoyance. *Sci Total Environ* **424**, 48–56 (2012).
30. Tassi, P. *et al.* Cardiovascular responses to railway noise during sleep in young and middle-aged adults. *Eur J Appl Physiol* **108**, 671–680 (2010).
31. Pennig, S. *et al.* Annoyance and self-reported sleep disturbance due to night-time railway noise examined in the field. *J Acoust Soc Am* **132**, 3109–3117 (2012).
32. Gidlöf-Gunnarsson, A., Ögren, M., Jerson, T. & Öhrström, E. Railway noise annoyance and the importance of number of trains, ground vibration, and building situational factors. *Noise Health* **14**, 190–201 (2012).
33. Klæboe, R., Thurunen-Rise, H., Harvik, L. & Madshus, C. Vibration in dwellings from road and rail traffic - Part II: exposure-effect relationships based on ordinal logit and logistic regression models. *Applied Acoustics* **64**, 89–109 (2002).
34. Peris, E., Woodcock, J., Sica, G., Moorhouse, A. T. & Waddington, D. C. Annoyance due to railway vibration at different times of the day. *J Acoust Soc Am* **131**, EL191–196 (2012).
35. Öhrström, E., Gidlöf-Gunnarsson, A., Ögren, M. & Jerson, T. in *Euronoise* (Edinburgh, 2009).
36. Smith, M. G., Croy, I., Ögren, M. & Persson Wayne, K. On the influence of freight trains on humans: a laboratory investigation of the impact of nocturnal low frequency vibration and noise on sleep and heart rate. *PLoS One* **8**, e55829 (2013).
37. Baker, F. C., Maloney, S. & Driver, H. S. A comparison of subjective estimates of sleep with objective polysomnographic data in healthy men and women. *Journal of psychosomatic research* **47**, 335–341 (1999).
38. Marks, A. & Griefahn, B. Railway noise - Its effects on sleep, mood, subjective sleep quality, and performance. *Somnologie* **9**, 68–75 (2005).
39. Aasvang, G. M., Engdahl, B. & Rothschild, K. Annoyance and self-reported sleep disturbances due to structurally radiated noise from railway tunnels. *Applied Acoustics* **68**, 970–981 (2007).
40. Arnberg, P. W., Bennerhult, O. & Eberhardt, J. L. Sleep disturbances caused by vibrations from heavy road traffic. *J Acoust Soc Am* **88**, 1486–1493 (1990).
41. Croy, I., Smith, M. G. & Wayne, K. P. Effects of train noise and vibration on human heart rate during sleep: an experimental study. *BMJ Open* **3**, e002655 (2013).
42. International Organization for Standardization, ISO 717-1:1997 Acoustics - Rating of sound insulation in buildings and of building elements - Part 1: Airborne sound insulation (1997).
43. Ögren, M., Öhrström, E. & Jerson, T. In *9th International Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem (ICBEN)* (Foxwoods, CT, 2008).
44. International Organization for Standardization, ISO 2631-1 Mechanical vibration and shock - Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration Part 1: General Requirements (1997).
45. Yonekawa, Y., Maeda, S., Kanada, K. & Takahashi, Y. Whole-body vibration perception thresholds of recumbent subjects-Part 1: Supine posture. *Ind Health* **37**, 398–403 (1999).
46. SS 460 48 61 Vibration and shock - Measurement and guidelines for the assessment of comfort in buildings (in Swedish) (1992).
47. ISO 2361-2: 2003 Mechanical vibration and shock - Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration - Part 2: Vibration in buildings (1 Hz to 80 Hz) (2003).
48. Iber, C., Ancoli-Israel, S., Chesson, A. & Quan, S. F. *The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events; Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications*. 1 edn, (American Academy of Sleep Medicine, 2007).
49. Bonnet, M. *et al.* EEG arousals: scoring rules and examples: a preliminary report from the Sleep Disorders Atlas Task Force of the American Sleep Disorders Association. *Sleep* **15**, 173–184 (1992).
50. Carter, N. *et al.* Cardiovascular and autonomic response to environmental noise during sleep in night shift workers. *Sleep* **25**, 457–464 (2002).

51. Öhrström, E., Gidlöf-Gunnarsson, A., Ögren, M. & Jerson, T. *Resultat och slutsatser från forskningsprogrammet TVANE - Effekter av buller och vibrationer från tåg- och vägtrafik - tågbonus, skillnader och samverkan mellan tåg- och vägtrafik (Results and conclusions from the research project TVANE - Effects of noise and vibration from railway and road traffic - railway bonus, differences and interactions between railway and road traffic noise)*. (Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, 2011).
52. Basner, M., Samel, A. & Isermann, U. Aircraft noise effects on sleep: application of the results of a large polysomnographic field study. *J Acoust Soc Am* **119**, 2772–2784 (2006).
53. Munzel, T., Gori, T., Babisch, W. & Basner, M. Cardiovascular effects of environmental noise exposure. *European heart journal* **35**, 829–836 (2014).
54. Spiegel, K., Knutson, K., Leproult, R., Tasali, E. & Van Cauter, E. Sleep loss: a novel risk factor for insulin resistance and Type 2 diabetes. *J Appl Physiol* **99**, 2008–2019 (2005).
55. Peigneux, P. *et al.* Are spatial memories strengthened in the human hippocampus during slow wave sleep? *Neuron* **44**, 535–545 (2004).
56. Young, J. S., Bourgeois, J. A., Hilty, D. M. & Hardin, K. A. Sleep in hospitalized medical patients, part 1: factors affecting sleep. *J Hosp Med* **3**, 473–482 (2008).
57. Spiegel, R., Köberle, S. & Allen, S. Significance of slow wave sleep: Considerations from a clinical viewpoint. *Sleep* **9**, 66–79 (1986).
58. Walker, M. P., Liston, C., Hobson, J. A. & Stickgold, R. Cognitive flexibility across the sleep-wake cycle: REM-sleep enhancement of anagram problem solving. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res* **14**, 317–324 (2002).
59. Åkerstedt, T., Hume, K., Minors, D. & Waterhouse, J. Good sleep—its timing and physiological sleep characteristics. *J Sleep Res* **6**, 221–229 (1997).
60. Herzog, N. *et al.* Selective slow wave sleep but not rapid eye movement sleep suppression impairs morning glucose tolerance in healthy men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **38**, 2075–2082 (2013).
61. Calvert, G. A. Crossmodal processing in the human brain: insights from functional neuroimaging studies. *Cereb Cortex* **11**, 1110–1123 (2001).
62. Lakatos, P., Chen, C. M., O'Connell, M. N., Mills, A. & Schroeder, C. E. Neuronal oscillations and multisensory interaction in primary auditory cortex. *Neuron* **53**, 279–292 (2007).
63. Ohayon, M. M. & Roth, T. What are the contributing factors for insomnia in the general population? *Journal of psychosomatic research* **51**, 745–755 (2001).
64. Xia, H. *et al.* Experimental investigation of railway train-induced vibrations of surrounding ground and a nearby multi-story building. *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration* **8**, 137–148 (2009).

Acknowledgements

The study was performed with the European Union CargoVibes project, funded by the 7th Framework Programme (grant number 266248). The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Mikael Ögren, Agneta Agge, Sofie Fredriksson and Josefine Larsson in implementing the study. We also thank the study volunteers for their participation.

Author Contributions

The study was conceived and designed by M.G.S., K.P.W. and I.C. The experiments were performed by M.G.S. The data were analysed by I.C., O.H. and M.G.S. The manuscript was written by M.G.S., K.P.W., I.C. and O.H.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Smith, M. G. *et al.* Vibration from freight trains fragments sleep: A polysomnographic study. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24717; doi: 10.1038/srep24717 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>