

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

Highly selective fluorescent chemosensor for detection of Fe³⁺ based on Fe₃O₄@ZnO

Jingshuai Li¹, Qi Wang², Zhankui Guo¹, Hongmin Ma¹, Yong Zhang¹, Bing Wang¹, Du Bin¹ & Qin Wei¹

Received: 02 December 2015

Accepted: 09 March 2016

Published: 22 March 2016

The combination of fluorescent nanoparticles and specific molecular probes appears to be a promising strategy for developing fluorescent nanoprobcs. In this work, L-cysteine (L-Cys) capped Fe₃O₄@ZnO core-shell nanoparticles were synthesized for the highly selective detection of Fe³⁺. The proposed nanoprobe shows excellent fluorescent property and high selectivity for Fe³⁺ due to the binding affinity of L-Cys with Fe³⁺. The binding of Fe³⁺ to the nanoprobe induces an apparent decrease of the fluorescence. Thus a highly selective fluorescent chemosensor for Fe³⁺ was proposed based on Fe₃O₄@ZnO nanoprobe. The magnetism of the nanoprobe enables the facile separation of bound Fe³⁺ from the sample solution with an external magnetic field, which effectively reduces the interference of matrix. The detection limit was 3 nmol L⁻¹ with a rapid response time of less than 1 min. The proposed method was applied to detect Fe³⁺ in both serum and wastewater samples with acceptable performance. All above features indicated that the proposed fluorescent probe as sensing platform held great potential in applications of biological and analytical field.

The development of highly sensitive fluorescent probes for the selective detection of heavy metal ions and transition metals has been inspiring the scientific community in the past few years as a result of concern for human health and environmental safety¹⁻⁵. Among them, iron ion is not only one of the heavy metal ions but also one of the most essential trace elements in human body. The maximum level of Fe³⁺ permitted in drinking water is 5.4 μmol L⁻¹ by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency⁶. And it presents in many enzymes and proteins and acts as cofactor for many cellular metabolism reactions⁷. Many physiological processes could not miss the participation of iron, such as oxygen transportation, oxygen metabolism, transcriptional regulation and electron transfer^{8,9}. In particular, iron ion in blood can promote the formation of red blood proteins. And the lack of iron can lead to anemia¹⁰. However, excess iron contents may also impair biological systems, because its redox-active form catalyzes the generation of highly reactive oxygen species¹¹, which involves in kinds of diseases including Parkinson's syndrome, Alzheimer's disease and cancer¹²⁻¹⁴. Therefore, the assay of iron levels has been an active issue in environmental and biomedical analysis.

By now, many methods have been raised for the detection of Fe³⁺ such as atomic absorption spectroscopy¹⁵, colorimetric analysis¹⁶, mass spectrometry¹⁷ electrochemical^{18,19} and fluorescence spectroscopic analysis²⁰⁻²⁶. Among these methods, fluorimetric assay is a favorable method due to its ease of operation, high sensitivity and efficiency. Therefore, the design of fluorescent probes for detecting Fe³⁺ has attracted increasing attentions. The successful Fe³⁺ fluorescent probes mainly limited to organic fluorescent molecular²⁰⁻²⁶, quantum dots^{27,28} and their complexes^{29,30}. However, organic dyes involved in complicated synthesis route and poor photostability. Quantum dots such as CdSe and CdTe are toxic to biological systems³¹. Therefore, designing appropriate nanoprobcs toward synthesis facile, photostable and environmental friendly orientation for detecting Fe³⁺ is still a worthwhile and challenging undertaking.

ZnO nanoparticles are currently intensively studied as photocatalysts, sensors and phosphors. It was reported that ZnO nanoparticles were able to penetrate living cells and were generally nontoxic³². Therefore, ZnO nanoparticles are ideal candidates as replacement for Cd-based fluorescent labels since they are nontoxic, less expensive and chemically stable in air. Magnetite Fe₃O₄ as commercial nanomaterial has strong magnetism, magnetic

¹Key Laboratory of Chemical Sensing & Analysis in Universities of Shandong, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, P.R. China. ²School of Material Science and Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, P.R. China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.W. (email: sjjndxwq@163.com)




Figure 1. Structure of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ and proposed binding mechanism of Fe^{3+} with $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$.

manipulability and good biocompatibility. Also it has widespread applications in magnetic bioseparation³³, drug delivery³⁴ and magnetic resonance imaging³⁵. In this work, we develop an L-cysteine capped magnetic $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ nanosensor ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$) for detection and removal of Fe^{3+} (Fig. 1). The results showed that $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ quantitatively detected Fe^{3+} with high sensitivity and selectivity under a pH range (pH 4.98–7.39) and could remove Fe^{3+} from the water sample. Moreover, the fabricated magnetic fluorescent probe could be removed by external magnetic field, and the potential secondary pollution was avoided.

Experimental

Reagents and apparatus. Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Co., Ltd. Zinc acetate ($\text{Zn}(\text{Ac})_2$) was purchased from Tianjin Hongyan Chemical Reagent Factory. Triethanolamine was purchased from Guangcheng Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Tianjin). L-Cys was purchased from Yunxiang Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. Absolute ethyl alcohol was purchased from Fuyu Chemical Reagent Factory. All other reagents used in this study were analytical grade, and ultrapure water was used in the preparation of all solutions.

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained from a Tecnai G220 TEM (FEI Company, USA). Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS) was recorded by JEOL JSM-6700 F microscope (Japan). FT-IR spectra were collected using a FT-IR-410 infrared spectrometer (JASCO, Japan). Ultraviolet absorption spectra were obtained from a Lambda35 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, America). Fluorescence spectra were obtained from a LS-55 fluorescence spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer, America).

Preparation of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$. The $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ was prepared according to the published procedure³⁶. 60 mg of L-Cys was dispersed into 20 mL of ethanol solution by sonication for 20 min in 100 mL conical flask. Then, 10 mg of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ was added into the conical flask. The flask was wrapped with aluminum foil and vigorous stirring for 6 h. The L-Cys was linked on the surface of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ by thiol groups of L-Cys³⁷. The product was magnetically collected and washed with ultrapure water and ethanol for four times, respectively. The sample of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ was re-dispersed into 50 mL ethanol solution ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ stocking solution).

Effect of pH values and ionic strength. The effect of pH values was studied as follows: 300 μL of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ stocking solution was suspended in 2.7 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (20 mmol L^{-1}) aqueous solution in colorimetric cylinder at different pH values (4.98, 5.83, 6.30, 7.02, 7.39, 7.95 and 8.35, respectively). The suspension was laid aside for 5 min and the emission spectra of the suspension were measured. Then, 200 μL of Fe^{3+} (2 mmol L^{-1}) was added respectively. The suspension was laid aside for another 5 min and the emission spectra of the suspension were measured.

To test the influence of ionic strength on the fluorescence of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ before and after the addition of Fe^{3+} , a series of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ solutions containing different concentrations of NaCl (0.33, 0.99, 1.98, 2.97, 3.96 and 4.95 mmol L^{-1}) was prepared and the emission spectra was then measured.

Time course of the $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ toward Fe^{3+} . The response time of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ toward Fe^{3+} was carried out as follows: 300 μL of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ stocking solution was suspended in 2.7 mL

of PBS (20 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.02) aqueous solution. Then the fluorescence intensity was tested. Subsequently, 300 μL of Fe³⁺ was added into the above solution. The fluorescence intensity was tested again every other 30 s for 10 min.

Determination of the standard solution of Fe³⁺. The quantification of Fe³⁺ adsorbed by Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys was carried out as follows: 300 μL of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys stocking solution was added in 2.7 mL of PBS (20 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.02) aqueous solution. Then the emission spectra of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys suspension with different concentrations of Fe³⁺ (0, 0.01, 0.1, 5, 50, 100, 133, 200, 300, 400 μmol L⁻¹) were measured respectively.

Selectivity and stability of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys. In addition, the selectivity of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys toward Fe³⁺ over other metal ions was investigated. The selective and sensitive adsorption experiments were also conducted at PBS (20 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.02) with Fe³⁺ (50 μmol L⁻¹) and other metal ions (Pb²⁺, Cr³⁺, Cd²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺, Co²⁺ and Al³⁺, 200 μmol L⁻¹) in the solutions. The emission spectra of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys suspension were measured respectively.

To evaluate the stability of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys, the emission spectra was measured every other 10 d.

Removal of Fe³⁺ from the standard solution. The removal ability of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys from standard solution was investigated as follows: 600 μL of Fe³⁺ standard solution was added into 2.4 mL of PBS (20 mmol L⁻¹, pH 7.02) aqueous solution. Then, 300 μL of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys stocking solution was added into above solution and kept stewing for 30 min. Then, a magnet was used to separate the Fe³⁺-bound nanoprobe from aqueous solution. The assay method of the maximum adsorption amount of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys toward Fe³⁺ was shown in supplementary materials.

Application of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys in real samples. Fresh human blood sample was obtained from the local hospital and pretreated according to the early published procedures^{38,39}. In addition, the wastewater sample was collected from the local lake. The amount of Fe³⁺ was estimated using a standard addition method. For recovery studies, known concentrations of Fe³⁺ solution were added to the samples and the total iron concentrations were then determined at the same condition.

Results and discussion

Characterization of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys. The morphology of Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄@ZnO was observed by TEM. Fig. 2B showed the morphology of Fe₃O₄@ZnO. Compared with the bare Fe₃O₄ (Fig. 2A), it can be seen that ZnO was coated on the surface of Fe₃O₄ as a thin layer or single nanoparticle. Signal peaks for Fe, O and Zn were observed from the EDS spectrum (Fig. 2C) of Fe₃O₄@ZnO, indicating the successful synthesis of Fe₃O₄@ZnO. The FT-IR spectra of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys were examined and shown in Fig. 2D. As shown, the peak at 1550–1650 cm⁻¹ was corresponding to the C=O bending band. The bands located in the range of 600–800 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the C-S stretching vibration. The absorption band for the N-H was at 2900–3420 cm⁻¹. The peak of 2550–2650 cm⁻¹ which was related to the S-H for L-Cys⁴⁰ disappears, indicating that the sulfur atom in mercapto group of L-Cys is coordinated with Zn²⁺ ions on the surface of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO.

The interaction between Fe³⁺ and Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys. The absorption spectra of Fe₃O₄@ZnO in the presence of varying Fe³⁺ concentrations were investigated. As shown in Fig. S1, the main absorption band at approximately 380 nm of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO had a minor enhancement in the presence of 100 μmol L⁻¹ Fe³⁺ without an obvious change of the peak shape. The slight changes of absorption spectra suggested that the quencher-Fe³⁺ did not affect the structure of the nanoparticles. The absorption band of Fe₃O₄@ZnO is usually very sensitive to the presence of adsorbed substances^{41,42}. However, the presence of Fe³⁺ only generated slight changes in absorption spectra of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys. Thus, we may rule out the possibility of direct binding of Fe³⁺ to the Fe₃O₄@ZnO from the absorption spectra point of view. It could be clearly seen that the fluorescence intensity of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys was quenched dramatically with increase of Fe³⁺. So we speculated the added Fe³⁺ should interact with the L-Cys. Fe³⁺ ion is a well-known efficient fluorescence quencher due to its paramagnetic properties via electron or energy transfer. And L-cysteine, a common amino acid, possesses both amino and carboxyl function groups. It could be used to recognize the Fe³⁺ because the Fe³⁺ was known to be preferentially binding with nitrogen atom of imino group and oxygen atom of carbonyl group^{20,43}. Thus we inferred the nitrogen atom of imino group and oxygen atom of carbonyl group in the L-Cys molecule might donor electrons to the Fe³⁺, as described in Fig. 1. In the same time, other interaction sites of six-coordinated Fe³⁺ may be occupied by the other Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys. Thus the coordination interaction occurred and induced intra-particles cross links which resulted in the fluorescence quenching⁴⁴.

Effect of pH values and ionic strength. Usually, the pH values of probes' solution have tremendous influence on the detection of target analytes. So, the Fe³⁺-sensing ability of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys at different pH was also investigated. The result showed that Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys was stable within a pH range from 4.98 to 7.39, and its response ability toward Fe³⁺ was stable within a pH range from 4.98 to 7.39 (Fig. 3a). Therefore, we choose the neutral aqueous solution (pH 7.02) as the analytical condition for the detection and removal of Fe³⁺.

The ionic strength was also a parameter for the detection of target analytes. The effect of ionic strength was presented in the Fig. 3b. As can be seen from the figure, the fluorescence intensity at 337 nm was not changed obviously before (Fig. 3b, (A)) and after (Fig. 3b, (B)) the addition of Fe³⁺ with the increasing concentration of NaCl solution, indicating the stability of the analytical platform at different ionic strength.

Time course of the Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys toward Fe³⁺. Fig. 3c presents the response time of Fe₃O₄@ZnO@L-Cys toward Fe³⁺. As can be seen, the fluorescence intensity decreased rapidly within 1 min. At first the




Figure 2. TEM images of Fe_3O_4 (A) and $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ (B); the EDS spectrum of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ (C); IR spectra of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ (D).

fluorescence intensity decreased minimum and then achieved a platform. Therefore, the fluorescent probe could realize the rapid analysis of Fe^{3+} in the samples.

Determination of the standard solution of Fe^{3+} . Quantitative detection of Fe^{3+} was carried out under PBS (20 mmol L^{-1} , pH 7.02) aqueous solution. As shown in Fig. 4a, with the increasing concentration of Fe^{3+} (0, 0.01, 0.1, 5, 50, 100, 133, 200, 300, 400 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$), fluorescence intensity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ was decreased gradually and when the concentration of Fe^{3+} was 400 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$, the fluorescence of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ was almost quenched. Furthermore, there was a linear relation between the relative fluorescence intensity at 337 nm and the concentration of Fe^{3+} varying from 0.01 to 133 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ with a detection limit of 3 nmol L^{-1} (Fig. 4b). Compared with other reports (Table S1), the method we proposed can realize the real-time analysis of trace amount of Fe^{3+} with sensitivity and celerity. This may be attributed to the amount of amino and carboxyl groups on the surface of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$.

Selectivity and stability. High selectivity is a matter of necessity for an excellent sensor. Therefore, the selectivity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ for Fe^{3+} (200 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) was investigated by screening its response to relevant analytes under the same condition. The results showed that other metal ions could enhance the fluorescence intensity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$, and the Fe^{3+} could decrease the fluorescence intensity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ (Fig. 5a). To further demonstrate the ability to recognize Fe^{3+} in the presence of other competitive metal ions (Al^{3+} , Pb^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Cd^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Co^{2+}), the anti-interferential capability of the nanoparticle was also studied. When one equivalent of Fe^{3+} was added into the solution of the nanoparticle in the presence of four equivalents of other metal ions, higher concentration of the other metal ions did not affect the selectivity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ toward Fe^{3+} (Fig. 5b), except Cu^{2+} ion. This was because L-Cys molecule contained amino, carboxylic and thiol groups and many researches reported that the Cu^{2+} could bind with L-Cys^{41,45,46}. Therefore, the Cu^{2+} showed an influence on the detection of Fe^{3+} .

The stability of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ was also examined. The fluorescence intensity of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ at 337 nm was tested. After 20 d, the fluorescence intensity decreased to about 98% of its initial value, indicating the stability of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$.




Figure 3. (a) Fluorescence intensity of our proposed nanosensor in the absence (A) and presence (B) of Fe^{3+} at different pH; (b) The effect of ionic strength on fluorescence intensity in the absence (A) and presence (B) of Fe^{3+} ; (c) Time course of the fluorescence response of $Fe_3O_4@ZnO@L-Cys$ in the presence of Fe^{3+} ($200 \mu mol L^{-1}$). The fluorescence intensity was recorded at 337 nm, with an excitation at 290 nm at room temperature.




Figure 4. (a) Emission spectra of $Fe_3O_4@ZnO@L-Cys$ in the presence of increasing amounts of Fe^{3+} at room temperature; (b) The curve of fluorescence intensity at 337 nm vs. Fe^{3+} .

Removal of Fe^{3+} from the standard solution. To investigate the removal ability of $Fe_3O_4@ZnO@L-Cys$, Fe^{3+} standard solution ($3 mL, 400 \mu mol L^{-1}$) was chosen as testing solution. As indicated by Fig. S2A, the solution presented light yellow before the Fe_3O_4 -based fluorescent nanoparticle was added into the solution. Then, $300 \mu L$ $Fe_3O_4@ZnO@L-Cys$ stocking solution was added. A magnet was used to separate the Fe^{3+} -bound nanosensors from aqueous solution after half an hour, the solution became clear and colorless (Fig. S2B), which indicated the $Fe_3O_4@ZnO@L-Cys$ could be used for the extraction of Fe^{3+} from solution. Hence, the maximum adsorption amount of $Fe_3O_4@ZnO@L-Cys$ toward Fe^{3+} was determined. And the result obtained by calculation is $192.64 mg/g$, which can be seen clearly in Fig. S4.




Figure 5. (a) The ratio of fluorescence quenching of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ in the presence of different metal ions ($200 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$); (b) The ratio of fluorescence quenching of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ upon the addition of 1 equiv of Fe^{3+} to the solution containing 4 equiv of other metal ions (1, none; 2, Pb^{2+} ; 3, Al^{3+} ; 4, Mg^{2+} ; 5, Mn^{2+} ; 6, Cu^{2+} ; 7, Co^{2+} ; 8, Cr^{3+} ; 9, Cd^{2+}).

Determination of iron contents in real samples. The serum and the wastewater sample were determined and the results were shown in Table S2. The determined iron contents were at reasonable range in according to the literature values detected with other approaches, such as the methods of fluorescent gold nano-clusters³⁸, atomic absorption spectrometry⁴⁷ and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry⁴⁸. The recoveries of the known amount Fe^{3+} in serum samples were 92.6–108.4%, while in wastewater samples were 89.6–113.0%. The results demonstrated reliability of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ for detecting iron contents in real samples.

Conclusion

In summary, a really facile detection method based on fluorescent probe $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ has been developed, which allowed the highly sensitive and selective determination of Fe^{3+} . It is the first time to apply $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ based sensing platform for the analysis of iron contents. And the magnetic nanoparticle $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}$ could be prepared easily and environmental friendly. The fluorescence intensity of fluorescent probe $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{ZnO}@L\text{-Cys}$ was quenched significantly in the presence of Fe^{3+} within 1 min. Other common metal ions at four times concentrations of Fe^{3+} did not cause interference. Furthermore, the proposed fluorescent probe could be applied to detect iron contents in real samples and extract the Fe^{3+} from the solution which containing high concentration of Fe^{3+} with the aid of external magnetic field.

References

- Chan, J., Dodani, S. C. & Chang, C. J. Reaction-based small-molecule fluorescent probes for chemoselective bioimaging. *Nature Chem.* **4**, 973–984 (2012).
- Dong, Y. *et al.* Polyamine-functionalized carbon quantum dots as fluorescent probes for selective and sensitive detection of copper ions. *Anal. Chem.* **84**, 6220–6224 (2012).
- Hosseini, M. *et al.* Fluorescence “turn-on” chemosensor for the selective detection of zinc ion based on Schiff-base derivative. *Spectrochim. Acta. A.* **75**, 978–982 (2010).
- Quang, D. T. & Kim, J. S. Fluoro-and chromogenic chemodosimeters for heavy metal ion detection in solution and biospecimens. *Chem. Rev.* **110**, 6280–6301 (2010).
- Song, C. *et al.* Highly sensitive and selective fluorescence sensor based on functional SBA-15 for detection of Hg^{2+} in aqueous media. *Talanta*. **81**, 643–649 (2010).
- Ja-an Annie, H., Heng-Chia, C. & Wen-Ta, S. DOPA-mediated reduction allows the facile synthesis of fluorescent gold nanoclusters for use as sensing probes for ferric ions. *Anal. Chem.* **84**, 3246–3253 (2012).
- Wang, J. & Pantopoulos, K. Regulation of cellular iron metabolism. *Biochem. J.* **434**, 365–381 (2011).
- Bothwell, T. H., Charlton, R., Cook, J. & Finch, C. A. *Iron Metabolism in Man* (Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 1979).
- Lohani, C. R. & Lee, K.-H. The effect of absorbance of Fe^{3+} on the detection of Fe^{3+} by fluorescent chemical sensors. *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* **143**, 649–654 (2010).
- Allen, L. H. Iron supplements: scientific issues concerning efficacy and implications for research and programs. *J. Nutr.* **132**, 813–819 (2002).
- Annie Ho, J.-a., Chang, H.-C. & Su, W.-T. DOPA-mediated reduction allows the facile synthesis of fluorescent gold nanoclusters for use as sensing probes for ferric ions. *Anal. Chem.* **84**, 3246–3253 (2012).
- Zecca, L., Youdim, M. B., Riederer, P., Connor, J. R. & Crichton, R. R. Iron, brain ageing and neurodegenerative disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **5**, 863–873 (2004).
- Altamura, S. & Muckenthaler, M. U. Iron toxicity in diseases of aging: Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and atherosclerosis. *J. Alzheimers. Dis.* **16**, 879–895 (2009).
- Wang, R., Yu, F., Liu, P. & Chen, L. A turn-on fluorescent probe based on hydroxylamine oxidation for detecting ferric ion selectively in living cells. *Chem. Commun.* **48**, 5310–5312 (2012).
- Ghaedi, M., Mortazavi, K., Montazerzohori, M., Shokrollahi, A. & Soylak, M. Flame atomic absorption spectrometric (FAAS) determination of copper, iron and zinc in food samples after solid-phase extraction on Schiff base-modified duolite XAD 761. *Mat. Sci. Eng. C-Mater.* **33**, 2338–2344 (2013).
- Wilhelm, T. Biocompatible macro-initiators controlling radical retention in microfluidic on-chip photo-polymerization of water-in-oil emulsions. *Chem. Commun.* **50**, 112–114 (2014).
- Spolaor, A. *et al.* Determination of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} species by FIA-CRC-ICP-MS in Antarctic ice samples. *J. Anal. Atom Spectrom.* **27**, 310–317 (2012).
- Bobrowski, A., Nowak, K. & Zarębski, J. Application of a bismuth film electrode to the voltammetric determination of trace iron using a $\text{Fe(III)}\text{-TEA-BrO}_3^-$ catalytic system. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **382**, 1691–1697 (2005).
- Sil, A., Ijeri, V. S. & Srivastava, A. K. Coated-wire iron (III) ion-selective electrode based on iron complex of 1, 4, 8, 11-tetraazacyclotetradecane. *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* **106**, 648–653 (2005).

20. Lee, M. H. *et al.* A novel strategy to selectively detect Fe (III) in aqueous media driven by hydrolysis of a rhodamine 6 G Schiff base. *Chem. Commun.* **46**, 1407–1409 (2010).
21. Weerasinghe, A. J., Abebe, F. A. & Sinn, E. Rhodamine based turn-on dual sensor for Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺. *Tetrahedron. Lett.* **52**, 5648–5651 (2011).
22. Long, L. *et al.* A ratiometric fluorescent probe for iron (III) and its application for detection of iron (III) in human blood serum. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* **812**, 145–151 (2014).
23. Sheng, H. *et al.* A water-soluble fluorescent probe for Fe (III): Improved selectivity over Cr (III). *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* **195**, 534–539 (2014).
24. Saleem, M. *et al.* Facile synthesis, cytotoxicity and bioimaging of Fe³⁺ selective fluorescent chemosensor. *Bioorgan. Med. Chem.* **22**, 2045–2051 (2014).
25. Li, C.-Y. *et al.* A new rhodamine-based fluorescent chemosensor for Fe³⁺ and its application in living cell imaging. *Dyes. Pigments.* **104**, 110–115 (2014).
26. Qiu, L. *et al.* A turn-on fluorescent Fe³⁺ sensor derived from an anthracene-bearing bisdiene macrocycle and its intracellular imaging application. *Chem. Commun.* **50**, 4631–4634 (2014).
27. Noipa, T., Ngamdee, K., Tuntulani, T. & Ngeontae, W. Cysteamine CdS quantum dots decorated with Fe³⁺ as a fluorescence sensor for the detection of PPI. *Spectrochim. Acta. A.* **118**, 17–23 (2014).
28. Wu, P., Li, Y. & Yan, X.-P. CdTe quantum dots (QDs) based kinetic discrimination of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺, and CdTe QDs-fenton hybrid system for sensitive photoluminescent detection of Fe²⁺. *Anal. Chem.* **81**, 6252–6257 (2009).
29. Xu, M., Wu, S., Zeng, F. & Yu, C. Cyclodextrin supramolecular complex as a water-soluble ratiometric sensor for ferric ion sensing. *Langmuir.* **26**, 4529–4534 (2009).
30. Chen, Z. *et al.* A tubular europium–organic framework exhibiting selective sensing of Fe³⁺ and Al³⁺ over mixed metal ions. *Chem. Commun.* **49**, 11557–11559 (2013).
31. Jamieson, T. *et al.* Biological applications of quantum dots. *Biomaterials.* **28**, 4717–4732 (2007).
32. Xiong, H.-M., Xu, Y., Ren, Q.-G. & Xia, Y.-Y. Stable aqueous ZnO@polymer core–shell nanoparticles with tunable photoluminescence and their application in cell imaging. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 7522–7523 (2008).
33. Park, H.-Y. *et al.* Fabrication of magnetic core@shell Fe oxide@Au nanoparticles for interfacial bioactivity and bio-separation. *Langmuir.* **23**, 9050–9056 (2007).
34. Zhu, Y., Fang, Y. & Kaskel, S. Folate-conjugated Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ hollow mesoporous spheres for targeted anticancer drug delivery. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **114**, 16382–16388 (2010).
35. Wang, L., Bao, J., Wang, L., Zhang, F. & Li, Y. One-pot synthesis and bioapplication of amine-functionalized magnetite nanoparticles and hollow nanospheres. *Chem-Eur. J.* **12**, 6341–6347 (2006).
36. Qiu, H. *et al.* Novel Fe₃O₄@ZnO@mSiO₂ nanocarrier for targeted drug delivery and controllable release with microwave irradiation. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **118**, 14929–14937 (2014).
37. Dubois, F., Mahler, B., Dubertret, B., Doris, E. & Mioskowski, C. A versatile strategy for quantum dot ligand exchange. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 482–483 (2007).
38. Mu, X. *et al.* Facile one-pot synthesis of l-proline-stabilized fluorescent gold nanoclusters and its application as sensing probes for serum iron. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **49**, 249–255 (2013).
39. Kyaw, A. A new colorimetric method for serum iron determination. *Clin. Chim. Acta.* **69**, 351–354 (1976).
40. Sudeep, P., S. J. & Thomas, K. Selective detection of cysteine and glutathione using gold nanorods. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 6516–6517 (2005).
41. Yusoff, N. *et al.* Core-shell Fe₃O₄-ZnO nanoparticles decorated on reduced graphene oxide for enhanced photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Ceram. Int.* **41**, 5117–5128 (2015).
42. Pandiyarajan, T., Mangalaraja, R. V. & Karthikeyan, B. Enhanced ultraviolet fluorescence in surface modified ZnO nanostructures: Effect of PANI. *Spectrochim. Acta. A.* **147**, 280–285 (2015).
43. Weerasinghe, A. J., Abebe, F. A. & Sinn, E. Rhodamine based turn-on dual sensor for Fe³⁺ and Cu²⁺. *Tetrahedron. Lett.* **52**, 5648–5651 (2011).
44. Yuan, X., Luo, Z., Yu, Y., Yao, Q. & Xie, J. Luminescent noble metal nanoclusters as an emerging optical probe for sensor development. *Chem-Asian. J.* **8**, 858–871 (2013).
45. Fu, X.-C. *et al.* Electrochemical determination of trace copper(II) with enhanced sensitivity and selectivity by gold nanoparticle/single-wall carbon nanotube hybrids containing three-dimensional l-cysteine molecular adapters. *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* **182**, 382–389 (2013).
46. Koneswaran, M. & Narayanaswamy, R. l-Cysteine-capped ZnS quantum dots based fluorescence sensor for Cu²⁺ ion. *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* **139**, 104–109 (2009).
47. Giokas, D. L., Paleologos, E. K., Tzouvara-Karayanni, S. M. & Karayannis, M. I. Single-sample cloud point determination of iron, cobalt and nickel by flow injection analysis flame atomic absorption spectrometry-application to real samples and certified reference materials. *J. Anal. Atom Spectrom.* **16**, 521–526 (2001).
48. Vanhove, H., Vandecasteele, C., Versieck, J. & Dams, R. Determination of iron, cobalt, copper, zinc, rubidium, molybdenum, and cesium in human serum by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **61**, 1851–1857 (1989).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos 21175057, 21375047, 21377046, 21575050 and 21505051), the Science and Technology Plan Project of Jinan (No. 201307010), the Science and Technology Development Plan of Shandong Province (No. 2014GSF120004), the Special Project for Independent Innovation and Achievements Transformation of Shandong Province (No. 2014ZZCX05101), and Qin Wei thanks the Special Foundation for Taishan Scholar Professorship of Shandong Province (No. ts20130937) and UJN.

Author Contributions

J.L. and Q.W. conceived and designed the experiments. J.L., Z.G. and B.W. performed the experiments, analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. H.M., Q.W., Y.Z. and B.D. contributed substantially to revisions.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Li, J. *et al.* Highly selective fluorescent chemosensor for detection of Fe³⁺ based on Fe₃O₄@ZnO. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 23558; doi: 10.1038/srep23558 (2016).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>