SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 26 November 2015 Accepted: 13 January 2016 Published: 26 February 2016

OPEN Relationship between premature loss of primary teeth with oral hygiene, consumption of soft drinks, dental care, and previous caries experience

Sandra Aremy López-Gómez¹, Juan José Villalobos-Rodelo^{2,3}, Leticia Ávila-Burgos⁴, Juan Fernando Casanova-Rosado⁵, Ana Alicia Vallejos-Sánchez⁵, Salvador Eduardo Lucas-Rincón⁶, Nuria Patiño-Marín⁷ & Carlo Eduardo Medina-Solís^{1,6}

We determine the relationship between premature loss of primary teeth and oral hygiene, consumption of soft drinks, dental care and previous caries experience. This study focused on 833 Mexican schoolchildren aged 6-7. We performed an oral examination to determine caries experience and the simplified oral hygiene index. The dependent variable was the prevalence of at least one missing tooth (or indicated for extraction) of the primary dentition; this variable was coded as 0 = no loss of teeth and 1 = at least one lost primary tooth. The prevalence of at least one missing tooth was 24.7% (n = 206) (95% CI = 21.8–27.7). The variables that were associated with the prevalence of tooth loss (p < 0.05) included: the largest number of decayed teeth (OR = 1.11), the largest number of filled teeth (OR = 1.23), the worst oral hygiene (OR = 3.24), a lower frequency of brushing (OR = 1.60), an increased consumption of soda (OR = 1.89) and use of dental care (curative: OR = 2.83, preventive: OR = 1.93). This study suggests that the premature loss of teeth in the primary dentition is associated with oral hygiene, consumption of soft drinks, dental care and previous caries experience in Mexican schoolchildren. These data provide relevant information for the design of preventive dentistry programs.

The primary dentition, besides serving an obvious chewing function, acts as a guide for the eruption of permanent teeth. The primary dentition also stimulates the growth of the jaw and aids in digestion and phonation. Primary dental arches form the basis for the proper development of permanent dental arches¹. Several conditions that occur during the development of the primary dentition and the transition to the permanent dentition that are considered quite normal and predictable. However, premature loss of primary teeth can result in some negative consequences in both dentitions and cause an imbalance in the normal development of the stomatognathic system. Dental caries has been identified as one of the leading causes of tooth loss in children around the world^{2,3}. In this sense, dental extraction is the most common form of dental treatment in developing countries despite the significant progress made in recent years in dentistry⁴. The results of studies realized in various countries have reported prevalence of premature loss of primary teeth ranging from 8.5% in Venezuela³ to 16.5% in India⁵ to 51% in Saudi Arabia⁶.

¹Academic Area of Dentistry of Health Sciences Institute at Autonomous University of Hidalgo State, Pachuca, Mexico. ²Epidemiology Department, ISSSTE, Culiacan, Mexico. ³School of Dentistry of Autonomous University of Sinaloa, Culiacan, México. ⁴Health Systems Research Centre at National Institute of Public Health, Cuernavaca, Mexico. ⁵School of Dentistry of Autonomous University of Campeche, Campeche, México. ⁶Advanced Studies and Research Center in Dentistry "Dr. Keisaburo Miyata" of School of Dentistry at Autonomous University State of Mexico, Toluca, México. ⁷Clinical Research Laboratory of Dental Sciences Doctorate Program at Autonomous University of San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to C.E.M.-S. (email: cemedinas@yahoo.com)

In Mexico, as in the international field, dental caries is a significant public health problem among preschoolers and schoolchildren^{7,8}; they represent the leading cause of tooth loss in children¹. As of now, few studies have focused on premature loss of primary teeth and the factors responsible for this prevalence^{3,5,9}. Here, we accordingly focus on determining the relationship between premature loss of primary teeth with oral hygiene, consumption of soft drinks, dental care and previous caries experience in Mexican schoolchildren.

Materials and Methods

Study design and sample selection. We employed a secondary analysis of a cross-sectional study to evaluate a variety of conditions of oral health among schoolchildren attending any one of the 18 elementary schools in the city of Navolato, Sinaloa, Mexico. Oral health was evaluated in terms of dental caries, premature tooth loss, oral hygiene, use of dental health services, and dental pain, among other aspects^{10,11}.

During the first phase of the study, we talked with health and education-sector representatives of the city. Next, mothers/guardians of the children were invited to participate in the study. Individuals who accepted the invitation were asked to sign a letter of informed consent. The inclusion criteria included: a) enrollment in a primary school, b) an age of 6 or 7 years, and c) a parent or guardian agreeing to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria included: a) a condition that would preclude oral inspection and b) refusal to receive a clinical examination. The final sample consisted of 833 schoolchildren.

Variables included in the study and data collection. To collect sociodemographic, socioeconomic and behavioral information, the mothers/guardians of the children were asked to fill out a questionnaire.

All of the subjects included in the study were examined clinically by one of three examiners. Prior to collecting the data, we carried out a standardization exercise on the criteria used in the study via a pilot study. The dependent variable in the study was the loss of at least one tooth of the primary dentition and coded as: 0 = if there was no tooth loss and 1 = if there was at least one primary tooth lost. As recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), missing primary teeth should be considered only if the subject is at an age when normal exfoliation would not be a sufficient explanation for the absence of teeth¹². Early loss was classified by subtracting 12 months from the chronological table of eruption of permanent teeth proposed by Hurme, as has been suggested by a variety of authors¹³. For the detection of dental caries, the WHO criteria¹² and Pitts d1 lesions¹⁴ was used. The criterion "plaque" from the Simplified Oral Hygiene Index (S-OHI) was used to determine the level of oral hygiene in the schoolchildren¹⁵.

The independent variables of the children included in the study were: age, sex, age at commencement of tooth brushing, frequency of tooth brushing, age at which bottle feeding ceased, consumption of sugar from sweets, frequency of soft drink consumption, and type of dental care in the past year. Furthermore, information on oral hygiene and the number of decayed and filled teeth was collected. The independent variables of the parental figures included in the study were: age, occupation and education. We also collected socioeconomic variables such as family size, status of health insurance, school type and car ownership in household. Caries experience was evaluated using the decay, missing and filled teeth index (dmft index)¹².

To build the variable "sugar consumption," we used six variables that explored the weekly frequency of consumption of sugars in solution, semi-solid and solid substances. Next, using principal components analysis with polychoric correlation matrices¹⁶, we used the first component, which explained 52.0% of the variability in the schoolchildren's consumption of sugars. The new variable generated was categorized into tertiles – the first tertile represented the group with the lowest consumption of sugars, and the third tertile represented the group with the highest consumption of sugars. The weekly consumption of soft drinks was considered to be another independent variable and categorized as $0 = \le 1$ soft drink consumed per day and 1 = >1 soft drink consumed per day. Moreover, socioeconomic status (SES) was determined using the schooling and occupation of both parents; the first component generated explained 57.5% of the variability in SES. The variable was categorized into tertiles for analysis; the first tertile refers to the lowest socioeconomic level, and the third tertile refers to the highest socioeconomic level.

Statistical analysis. We obtained the frequencies and percentages of each category of qualitative variables. We calculated measures of central tendency and dispersion for the continuous variables. For the bivariate analysis, we used the χ^2 , Mann-Whitney, Kruskal-Wallis and Spearman correlation tests according to the measuring scale of the variables. Finally, we fit a multivariate binary logistic regression model to estimate the strength of association between primary tooth loss and the independent variables, which is expressed as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). We also report the p values that were considered statistically significant (p value < 0.05). For the construction of the final model, we considered the variables that were in the bivariate analysis with a statistical significance of p < 0.25. We performed a variance inflation factor analysis test to detect and avoid multicollinearity between the independent variables. We used the specification error test to verify the assumption that the logit of the response variable is a linear combination of the independent variables. After fixing the main effects, we tested the interactions, but none proved to be significant at p < 0.15. Finally, to assess the overall fit of the model, we used the goodness of fit test¹⁷. The confidence intervals of both the bivariate and multivariate analysis were calculated with robust standard Huber-White errors, which can yield valid estimates even in cases of groups being correlated. Since the data were from children attending elementary schools that shared common characteristics (i.e., the data were clustered), we assumed that observations within these clusters would be correlated and that observations between clusters would not be correlated¹⁸. We performed the analysis using Stata 11.0 statistical software.

We applied "estimation by regression" to the missing data¹⁹; the variables on which imputations were made included the age of fathers (n = 32) and mothers (n = 3), SES (n = 45) and soda consumption (n = 22).

Ethical aspects. This study was carried out according to the general health law in research and the scientific principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written consent was obtained from the parents/guardians of the participating children. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee review board of the National Institute of Public Health where one of the authors earned his Master's degree in Public Health.

Results

We included a total of 833 schoolchildren, of which 52.1% were female and 54.9% were 7 years old. The descriptive data of the subjects included in the study are shown in Table 1. The prevalence of at least one missing tooth (or indicated for extraction) was 24.7% (95% CI = 21.8-27.7), and the average number of missing teeth was 0.47 ± 1.08 . Among the 206 schoolchildren with missing teeth, we observed a total of 381 missing teeth; 101 and 280 had been extracted and indicated for extraction, respectively.

Table 2 presents the bivariate results of the logistic regression; the variables that were significantly associated included the mother's age, the number of decayed and filled teeth, the presence of plaque, frequency of brushing, consumption of sugars and soft drinks and type of dental care in the past year. We note that an older the mother's age act as a protective factor for the loss of primary teeth; on the contrary, the highest number of decayed and filled teeth and the greatest amount of plaque were risk indicators for tooth loss. Similarly, a lower frequency of tooth brushing, an increased consumption of sugars from sweets, soda consumption and having used dental services in the last year were positively associated with tooth loss.

Based on our multivariate logistic regression model, after adjusting for age and sex (Table 3), the prevalence of primary teeth loss was positively associated (p < 0.05) with the largest number of decayed teeth (OR = 1.11, 95% CI = 1.03–1.20), the largest number of filled teeth (OR = 1.23, 95% CI = 1.13–1.34), a lower frequency of tooth brushing (OR = 1.60, 95% CI = 1.18–2.15), and an increased consumption of soft drinks (OR = 1.89, 95% CI = 1.13–3.16). The worst oral hygiene condition was one of the factors that we found to most significantly predict tooth loss (OR = 3.24, 95% CI = 1.65–6.39); curative dental care services were also associated with tooth loss (OR = 2.83, 95% CI = 2.30–3.49). We additionally found, to a lesser degree, that the use of preventive dental services was associated with tooth loss (OR = 1.93, 95% CI = 1.24–3.00).

Discussion

Our results reveal that prevalence of tooth loss in the primary dentition was high (24.7%); this loss is associated with clinical and behavioral variables. We also showed that tooth loss is a serious public health problem in this population.

The prevalence of loss of primary teeth has been studied only rarely. However, studies carried out of Mexico reveal prevalence ranging from 8.5–51.0%^{3,5,6}; the prevalence in this study was 24.7%. Preserving primary teeth until normal exfoliation is one of the most important factors in preventive and interceptive dentistry. Although in recent decades there has been a decrease in the frequency of oral diseases, it is likely that dental care or treatment of a teething child is considered to be low priority for parents and guardians because of the "temporary" nature of these teeth. However, the consequences of tooth loss include pain and suffering, and these consequences are also associated with high costs in terms of both health systems and the family's economy^{20,21}. Therefore, it is critical to design preventive dentistry programs that are cost effective and widespread that include a healing component aimed at preventing premature tooth loss in children.

Oral hygiene can be measured via two indicators: the presence of plaque (objectively) and self-reported tooth brushing (subjectively). The presence of dentobacterial plaque, which is composed of bacteria and toxins and is the main etiological factor of tooth decay, is the major cause why children's teeth are removed²². Systematic tooth brushing is believed to mechanically remove dentobacterial plaque and suppress its activity and stop the development of the initial injury. Tooth brushing is accordingly considered to be one of more cost-effective measures to remove dentobacterial plaque and prevent the development of dental caries and subsequent tooth loss^{9,23,24}. This study includes both indicators, and we found that both the simplified oral hygiene index and the frequency of tooth brushing were predictors of loss of primary teeth.

This study reveals, similar to in the findings of studies of dental caries²⁵, the existence of an association between tooth loss and soft drink consumption by children. The high content of sugar in sweetened drinks has been associated with the presence of dental caries. Decay is caused by acids produced mainly from the interaction of specific bacteria with these sugars. Although bacteria produce acids that are considered to be a cause of caries, the bacteria themselves do not produce sufficient acid to demineralize tooth enamel. Therefore, it is the presence of sugars or cooked foods rich in starch that increase the production of acids²⁶. For this reason, it is necessary that the parental figures modify their children's unhealthy behaviors at an early age. Furthermore, the implementation of public policies to reduce the consumption of sweetened beverages, such as increasing taxes on these products, may help to be prevent tooth decay and tooth loss²⁷.

Studies have revealed that past experience of dental caries is associated with the subsequent appearance of new lesions^{25,28}. In a study conducted in Turkey⁹, it was demonstrated that the presence of untreated caries (the "decayed" component of the dmft index) and the number of teeth treated due to caries (the "filled" component of the dmft index) are associated with premature loss of primary molars. These findings are consistent with the results of this study; we found that both components of the dmft index are risk indicators for premature loss of primary teeth. This same relationship was recovered by Virtanen *et al.*²⁹, although in permanent dentition. These authors found that subjects who had earlier restorations had a higher risk of their future teeth being restored due to dental caries. It has also been documented that, over time, these restored teeth are extracted the most often³⁰.

We found an increase in the likelihood of loss of primary teeth among children who used oral health services (more so with curative services but also with preventive services). The use of oral health services having a negative effect on oral health is consistently observed in Mexico; people only seek out services when their oral health is already compromised³¹; this fact is particularly true in the case of curative services. Although Sheiham³² noted

Variable	Mean \pm sd	Limits
Father's age (years)	36.09 ± 6.30	22-65
Mother's age (years)	33.13±5.44	20-54
Number of decayed teeth	4.94±3.00	0-16
Number of filled teeth	0.61 ± 1.67	0-16
Oral Hygiene (S-OHI)	1.10 ± 0.32	0-2.5
10 10 10 10	n	%
Sex		
Boys	399	47.9
Girls	434	52.1
Children's age		
6 years	376	45.1
7 years	457	54.9
Family size (number of children)		
0-1	389	46.7
2-3	397	47.7
>4	47	5.6
Started toothbrushing		
≤ 2 years of age	207	24.8
>2 years of age	626	75.2
Toothbrushing frequency		
At least 1/day	414	49.7
Less than 1/day	419	50.3
Age at which bottle feeding ceased		
Not use or up to two years	681	81.7
After two years	152	18.3
Consumption of sugar from sweets		
Low	370	44.4
Regular	193	23.2
High	270	32.4
Sugared soft drink consumption		
<1 times/day	751	90.2
>1 times/day	82	9.8
Type of dental care in the past year		
Without dental care	326	39.1
Curative care	348	41.8
Preventive care	159	19.1
Type of insurance		
Public insurance	562	67.5
Not insured	134	16.1
Private insurance	137	16.4
Socioeconomic status		
First tertil (lowest)	277	33.2
Second tertil	278	33.4
Third tertil (highest)	278	33.4
School type		
Private	157	18.8
Public	676	81.2
Car ownership	5,0	
No	383	46.0
ves	450	54.0
700	-30	54.0

Table 1. Distribution of the children's characteristics included in the study.

that oral health services have had little impact on reducing dental caries; in Mexico, public health institutions are limited in these services (fillings, extractions and preventive care). This situation does not include specialty care. Furthermore, Mexico is characterized by heterogeneity in terms of the quality and delivery of health care. Budget constraints within public institutions render specialized treatments (such as pediatric dentistry, endodontics, etc.)

Father's age (years)0.98 (0.96–1.00)0.055Mother's age (years)0.97 (0.94–1.00)0.044Number of filled teeth1.10 (1.03–1.19)0.006Number of filled teeth1.21 (1.12–1.30)<0.001Oral Hygiene (S-OHI)3.78 (2.03–7.06)<0.001Sex		
Mother's age (years)0.97 (0.94–1.00)0.044Number of decayed teeth1.10 (1.03–1.19)0.006Number of filled teeth1.21 (1.12–1.30)<0.001		
Number of decayed teeth1.10 (1.03-1.19)0.006Number of filled teeth1.21 (1.12-1.30)<0.001		
Number of filled teeth1.21 (1.12–1.30)<0.001Oral Hygiene (S-OHI)3.78 (2.03–7.06)<0.001		
Oral Hygiene (S-OHI)3.78 (2.03–7.06)<0.001SexBoys1*Girls1.19 (0.91–1.55)0.202Children's age1*0.2026 years1*0.2017 years1.20 (0.89–1.62)0.231Family size (number of children)0–11*0–11*0.207Started toothbrushing0.201≤2 years of age1.34 (0.94–1.92)0.105Toothbrushing frequency0.1050.011At least 1/day1.49 (1.16–1.91)0.002Age at which bottle feeding ceased0.207Not use or up to two years1*Low car up to two years1*Low1.47 (0.99–2.19)0.058Consumption of sugar from sweets0.003Sugared soft drink consumption0.03≤1 times/day1*0.001Sugared soft drink consumption2.00 (1.25–3.20)≤1 times/day1*0.001Type of dental care in the past year3.20 (2.61–3.93)Without dental care1*0.001Type of insurance0.84 (0.49–1.42)Public insurance0.83 (0.58–1.18)Public insurance0.83 (0.58–1.18)Public insurance1.07 (0.64–1.79)School ture1.01 (0.65–1.57)School ture1.01 (0.65–1.57)		
Sex I* Boys 1* Girls 1.19 (0.91–1.55) 0.202 Children's age 6 years 1* 7 6 years 1* 0.201 0.11 7 years 1.20 (0.89–1.62) 0.231 Family size (number of children) 0-1 1* 2-3 0.92 (0.67–1.26) 0.611 >4 0.58 (0.25–1.34) 0.207 Started toothbrushing 2 2 years of age 1* 2.027 Started toothbrushing 52 years of age 1.34 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day 1* 1 At least 1/day 1* 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased Not use or up to two years 1* 1 1 After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.40 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.030 Sugared soft drink consumption 2.00 (1.25–3.20) 0.004 St times/day 1* 1		
Boys1*Girls1.19 (0.91-1.55)0.202Children's age1*0.2016 years1*17 years1.20 (0.89-1.62)0.231Family size (number of children)0-11*0-11*12-30.92 (0.67-1.26)0.611>40.58 (0.25-1.34)0.207Started toothbrushing1*0.10552 years of age1*0.105Toothbrushing frequency1.34 (0.94-1.92)0.105At least 1/day1*0.002Age at which bottle feeding ceased0.001Not use or up to two years1*After two years1*Low1*Migh1.43 (1.04-1.91)0.030.038Sugared soft drink consumption0.031Sugared soft drink consumption0.001Type of dental care in the past year1*Vithout dental care1*Curative care3.20 (2.61-3.93)Preventive care0.83 (0.58-1.18)O.0017ye of insurancePublic insurance0.84 (0.49-1.42)Public insurance0.83 (0.58-1.18)Private insurance0.83 (0.58-1.18)Socioeconomic status1*First tertil (lowest)1*School tertil1.07 (0.64-1.79)School tertil0.783Third tertil (lowest)1*School tertil1.07 (0.64-1.79)School tertil1.07 (0.64-1.79)School tertil1.07 (0.64-1.79)		
Girls1.19 (0.91-1.55)0.202Children's age1*6 years1*0.2317 years1.20 (0.89-1.62)0.231Family size (number of children)0-11*2-30.92 (0.67-1.26)0.611>40.58 (0.25-1.34)0.207Started toothbrushing22 years of age1*<2 years of age		
Children's age 1* 6 years 1* 7 years 1.20 (0.89–1.62) 0.231 Family size (number of children) 0-1 1* 0-1 1* 1 2-3 0.92 (0.67–1.26) 0.611 >4 0.58 (0.25–1.34) 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1* 0.207 Started toothbrushing 0.21 0.105 Southed toothbrushing frequency 0.41 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency 1.49 (1.16–1.91) 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased 0.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption 1* 0.004 Sugared soft drink consumption 1* 0.004 Yep of dental care in the past year 1* 0.001 Type of dental care in the past year 2.00 (1.25–3.20) 0.001 Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.001 Pre		
6 years 1* 7 years 1.20 (0.89–1.62) 0.231 Family size (number of children) 0-1 1* 2 0-1 1* 0.92 (0.67–1.26) 0.611 >4 0.58 (0.25–1.34) 0.207 Started toothbrushing 0.22 years of age 1* 0.207 Started toothbrushing frequency 1.34 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day 1* 1.49 (1.16–1.91) 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased Not use or up to two years 1* 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets Low 1* 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.033 Sugared soft drink consumption 5 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.033 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1* 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 1* 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.001 Type of dental care 1* 0.001 1.43 (1.34–3.12) 0.001 Type of dental care 1.43 (0.49–1.42) 0.001 1.51 </td		
7 years $1.20 (0.89-1.62)$ 0.231 Family size (number of children) $0-1$ $1*$ $0-1$ $1*$ $0.92 (0.67-1.26)$ 0.611 >4 $0.58 (0.25-1.34)$ 0.207 Started toothbrushing ≤ 2 years of age $1*$ 0.207 Started toothbrushing ≤ 2 years of age $1*$ 0.207 Started toothbrushing frequency 0.105 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency $1.34 (0.94-1.92)$ 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency $1.49 (1.16-1.91)$ 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased V V V After two years $1.47 (0.99-2.19)$ 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets V V V Regular $1.10 (0.78-1.56)$ 0.594 High $1.43 (1.04-1.96)$ 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption $\leq 1 times/day$ 1^* $\leq 1 times/day$ 1^* 0.001 Type of dental care in the past year v 0.001 Type of insurance $0.84 (0.49-1.42)$ 0.001 Prev		
Family size (number of children) $0-1$ 1* $0-1$ 1* 0.92 (0.67–1.26) 0.611 >4 0.58 (0.25–1.34) 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1* 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1* 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1.34 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day 1* 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased 0.002 Not use or up to two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 0.003 0.004 Consumption of sugar from sweets 0.033 0.034 Low 1* 0.033 Sugared soft drink consumption 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.033 Sugared soft drink consumption 1.11 (0.078–1.56) 0.041 > 1 times/day 1* 0.001 Type of dental care in the past year 1 0.001 Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.001 Preventive care 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305		
0-1 1* 2-3 0.92 (0.67-1.26) 0.611 >4 0.58 (0.25-1.34) 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1* 0.207 Started toothbrushing 1* 0.207 Started toothbrushing frequency 0.105 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency 1.34 (0.94-1.92) 0.105 At least 1/day 1* 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased Not use or up to two years 1* 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.47 (0.99-2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 0.001 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption 1.43 (1.04-1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption 0.004 1* 0.004 Sugared soft drink consumption 1* 0.004 1* Sugared soft drink consumption 1.43 (1.04-1.96) 0.001 1* Sugared soft drink consumption 1.01 (0.25-3.20) 0.004 1*		
$2-3$ $0.92 (0.67-1.26)$ 0.611 >4 $0.58 (0.25-1.34)$ 0.207 Started toothbrushing ≤ 2 years of age 1^* $<$ ≤ 2 years of age $1.34 (0.94-1.92)$ 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency $At least 1/day$ 1^* $<$ At least 1/day 1^* $<$ $<$ Age at which bottle feeding ceased $<$ $<$ $<$ Not use or up to two years 1^* $<$ $<$ After two years $1.47 (0.99-2.19)$ 0.058 $<$ Consumption of sugar from sweets $<$ $<$ $<$ Low 1^* $<$ $<$ Regular $1.10 (0.78-1.56)$ 0.594 High $1.43 (1.04-1.96)$ 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption $<$ $<$ ≤ 1 times/day 1^* $<$ >1 times/day 1^* $<$ >1 times/day 1^* $<$ $<20 (1.25-3.20)$ 0.001 $<$		
>4 0.58 (0.25-1.34) 0.207 Started toothbrushing \leq 2 years of age 1* \leq 2 years of age 1.34 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day 1* \leq 2 years of age 1.49 (1.16–1.91) 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased \times \times \times \times Not use or up to two years 1* \times \times \times After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 \times Consumption of sugar from sweets \times \times \times Low 1* \times \times \times Regular 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 \times High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 \times Sugared soft drink consumption \leq $1 \pm$ ∞ ∞ \leq 1 times/day 1* ∞ ∞ ∞ \leq 1 times/day 1* ∞ ∞ ∞ \leq 1 times/day 1* ∞ ∞ ∞ \leq 1 times/day <		
Started toothbrushing Image: started toothbrushing ≤ 2 years of age 1* >2 years of age 1.34 (0.94–1.92) Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day At least 1/day 1* Less than 1/day 1.49 (1.16–1.91) O.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased Not use or up to two years 1* After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.40 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1* ≤ 1 times/day 1* 1 > 1 times/day 1* 1 > 1 times/day 1* 0.001 Type of dental care in the past year 1* 0.001 Preventive care 3.20 (2.61–3.93) <0.001		
≤ 2 years of age 1* >2 years of age 1.34 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day 1* At least 1/day 1* 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased 0.058 Not use or up to two years 1* After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 0.001 0.02 Low 1* 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 0.0594 0.0594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 11 times/day 1* ≤ 11 times/day 1* 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 1* 0.001 Type of dinsurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.001 Preventive care 0.82 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1* 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1* </td		
> 2 years of age 1.34 (0.94–1.92) 0.105 Toothbrushing frequency At least 1/day 1*		
Toothbrushing frequencyAt least 1/day1*Less than 1/day1.49 (1.16–1.91)0.002Age at which bottle feeding ceased 1.49 (1.16–1.91)0.002Age at which bottle feeding ceased 1.47 (0.99–2.19)0.058Consumption of sugar from sweets 0.03 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption 0.03 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption 0.001 0.001 Sugared soft drink consumption 0.001 0.001 Stepe of dental care in the past year 0.001 Without dental care $1*$ 0.001 Type of dinsurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.001 Public insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status $1*$ 0.512 First tertil (lowest) $1*$ 0.512 First tertil (lowest) $1*$ 0.512 Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947		
At least 1/day 1* Less than 1/day 1.49 (1.16–1.91) 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased		
Less than 1/day $1.49 (1.16-1.91)$ 0.002 Age at which bottle feeding ceased $1*$ $1*$ Not use or up to two years $1*$ 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets $1.47 (0.99-2.19)$ 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets $0.001 (0.78-1.56)$ 0.594 High $1.43 (1.04-1.96)$ 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption $2.05 (1.25-3.20)$ 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year V V Without dental care 1^* 0.001 Type of disurance $2.05 (1.34-3.12)$ 0.001 Preventive care $0.84 (0.49-1.42)$ 0.035 Socioeconomic status 1^* 0.512 Private insurance $0.83 (0.58-1.18)$ 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1^* 0.783 Th		
Age at which bottle feeding ceased Not use or up to two years 1* After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Low 1* 0.594 High 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption \leq 0.001 50 Sugared soft drink consumption \leq 1 times/day 1* 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year Without dental care 1* 0.001 Type of dental care in the past year 2.05 (1.34–3.12) 0.001 Preventive care 2.05 (1.34–3.12) 0.001 Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1* 0.512 First tertil (lowest) 1* Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79)		
Not use or up to two years 1* After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Low 1* Regular 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1* ~ 1 ≤ 1 times/day 1* 2.00 (1.25–3.20) 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year ~ 1 ~ 1 ~ 1 Without dental care 1* ~ 1 ~ 0.001 Preventive care 3.20 (2.61–3.93) < 0.001 Preventive care 3.20 (2.61–3.93) < 0.001 Preventive care 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.001 Preventive care 0.84 (0.49–1.42) 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1* 0.512 First tertil (lowest) 1* 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947		
After two years 1.47 (0.99–2.19) 0.058 Consumption of sugar from sweets 1 0.058 Low 1* 1 Regular 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption 1 ≤1 times/day 1* 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 0.001 0.001 Type of dental care 1* 0.001 Preventive care 3.20 (2.61–3.93) <0.001		
Consumption of sugar from sweets Low 1* Regular 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1* ≤ 1 times/day 1* 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 0.004 Type of dental care 1* 0.001 Preventive care 3.20 (2.61–3.93) < 0.001		
Low 1* Regular 1.10 (0.78–1.56) 0.594 High 1.43 (1.04–1.96) 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption \leq 1 times/day 1* \leq 1 times/day 1* 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 0.001 Type of dental care 1* 0.001 Preventive care 3.20 (2.61–3.93) < 0.001		
Regular $1.10 (0.78-1.56)$ 0.594 High $1.43 (1.04-1.96)$ 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1^* 0.03 ≤ 1 times/day 1^* 0.03 0.03 > 1 times/day 1^* 0.001 Type of dental care in the past year 0.001 0.001 Type of dental care 1^* 0.001 Preventive care $2.05 (1.34-3.12)$ 0.001 Type of insurance $0.84 (0.49-1.42)$ 0.001 Type of insurance $0.83 (0.58-1.18)$ 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1^* 0.512 First tertil (lowest) 1^* 0.305 Second tertil $1.07 (0.64-1.79)$ 0.783 Third tertil (highest) $1.01 (0.65-1.57)$ 0.947		
High $1.43 (1.04-1.96)$ 0.03 Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1^* ~ 1 times/day 1^* > 1 times/day $2.00 (1.25-3.20)$ 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year $\sim 1^*$ $\sim 1^*$ Without dental care 1^* ~ 0.001 Preventive care $3.20 (2.61-3.93)$ < 0.001 Preventive care $2.05 (1.34-3.12)$ 0.001 Type of insurance $0.84 (0.49-1.42)$ 0.512 Public insurance $0.83 (0.58-1.18)$ 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1^* 0.512 First tertil (lowest) 1^* 0.305 Second tertil $1.07 (0.64-1.79)$ 0.783 Third tertil (highest) $1.01 (0.65-1.57)$ 0.947		
Sugared soft drink consumption ≤ 1 times/day 1* >1 times/day 2.00 (1.25-3.20) 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 1* Without dental care 1* Curative care 3.20 (2.61-3.93) <0.001		
≤ 1 times/day 1* >1 times/day 2.00 (1.25-3.20) 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year 1* Without dental care 1* Curative care 3.20 (2.61-3.93) <0.001		
>1 times/day 2.00 (1.25-3.20) 0.004 Type of dental care in the past year		
Type of dental care in the past year Without dental care 1* Curative care 3.20 (2.61-3.93) <0.001		
Without dental care 1* Curative care 3.20 (2.61-3.93) Preventive care 2.05 (1.34-3.12) Out 2.05 (1.34-3.12) Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49-1.42) Public insurance 0.84 (0.49-1.42) Not insured 1* Private insurance 0.83 (0.58-1.18) Socioeconomic status 1* First tertil (lowest) 1* Second tertil 1.07 (0.64-1.79) O.783 Third tertil (highest) School true		
Curative care 3.20 (2.61-3.93) < 0.001 Preventive care 2.05 (1.34-3.12) 0.001 Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49-1.42) 0.01 Public insurance 0.84 (0.49-1.42) 0.512 Not insured 1* 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58-1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 5 5 First tertil (lowest) 1* 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65-1.57) 0.947		
Preventive care 2.05 (1.34–3.12) 0.001 Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) Public insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) Not insured 1* 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status First tertil (lowest) 1* Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947		
Type of insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) Public insurance 0.84 (0.49–1.42) Not insured 1* 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 5 5 First tertil (lowest) 1* 1 Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947		
Public insurance 0.84 (0.49-1.42) Not insured 1* 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58-1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 5 5 First tertil (lowest) 1* 1 Second tertil 1.07 (0.64-1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65-1.57) 0.947		
Not insured 1* 0.512 Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status 1 1 First tertil (lowest) 1* 1 Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947		
Private insurance 0.83 (0.58–1.18) 0.305 Socioeconomic status		
Socioeconomic status 1* First tertil (lowest) 1* Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947 School type School type School type		
First tertil (lowest) 1* Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947 School type School type School type		
Second tertil 1.07 (0.64–1.79) 0.783 Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947		
Third tertil (highest) 1.01 (0.65–1.57) 0.947 School type		
School type		
School type		
Private 1*		
Public 0.91 (0.65–1.28) 0.6		
Car ownership		
Car ownership		
No 1*		

Table 2. Bivariate analysis between the loss of primary teeth and the variables included in the study. *Reference category.

.....

unavailable in public coverage, forcing patients to directly pay for such care in private dental services (i.e., , which constitutes a barrier to access to this type of service³³). Therefore, maintaining primary teeth is complicated.

The results of this study suggest that the premature loss of teeth in the primary dentition is associated with oral hygiene, consumption of soft drinks, and previous experience of dental caries in Mexican schoolchildren. These data provide relevant information for the design of programs of preventive dentistry. It is important to

Variable	OR 95% CI	P value
Number of decayed teeth	1.11 (1.03–1.20)	0.006
Number of filled teeth	1.23 (1.13–1.34)	< 0.001
Oral Hygiene (S-OHI)	3.24 (1.65-6.39)	0.001
Toothbrushing frequency		
At least 1/day	1*	
Less than 1/day	1.60 (1.18–2.15)	0.002
Sugared soft drink consumption		
\leq 1 times/day	1*	
>1 times/day	1.89 (1.13–3.16)	0.015
Type of dental care in the past year		
Without dental care	1*	
Curative care	2.83 (2.30-3.49)	< 0.001
Preventive care	1.93 (1.24–3.00)	0.004

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression analysis for loss of primary teeth. ^{*}Reference category. Note: Model adjusted for the variables in the table as well as by age and sex. 95% CI estimated with robust standard errors (*cluster* school). Goodness-of-fit test: Pearson x^2 (688) = 687.31, p=0.5002. Link test (specification error): predictor=0.002; predictor² = 0.117.

.....

take action to reduce the premature loss of primary teeth, and we must disseminate information to parents and guardians about the importance of preserving the primary dentition to eliminate the myth that primary teeth are only temporary.

References

- Sánchez-González, C. L., Moreno-Mendez, W., Álvarez-Herrera, A. F., Orozco-Cuanalo, L., Vázquez-Pérez, L. A. & Moreno-Mejia, A. Main causes of premature loss of deciduous teeth in patients 3 to 10 years at the university clinic of health care Benito Juarez at FES Zaragoza UNAM. Odontol. Act. 9, 42–50 (2012).
- 2. Law, C. S. Management of premature primary tooth loss in the child patient. J. Calif. Dent. Assoc. 41, 612–618 (2013).
- 3. García, G. M. F., Amaya, N. B. C. & Barrios, G. Z. C. Premature loss of primary teeth and its relation to age and sex in preschool. *Rev. Odontol. Los Andes.* **2**, 12–16 (2007).
- Lesolang, R. R., Motloba, D. P. & Lalloo, R. Patterns and reasons for tooth extraction at the Winterveldt Clinic: 1998–2002. SADJ. 64, 214–215 (2009).
- Ahamed, S. S., Reddy, V. N., Krishnakumar, R., Mohan, M. G., Sugumaran, D. K. & Rao, A. P. Prevalence of early loss of primary teeth in 5–10-year-old school children in Chidambaram town. *Contemp. Clin. Dent.* 3, 27–30 (2012).
- Al-Shahrani, N., Al-Amri, A., Hegazi, F., Al-Rowis, K., Al-Madani, A. & Hassan, K. S. The prevalence of premature loss of primary teeth and its impact on malocclusion in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Acta Odontol. Scand. 73, 544–549 (2015).
- Medina-Solís, C. E. *et al.* Caring for the primary dentition of schoolchildren 6 and 7 years old. Salud (i) *Ciencia* 20, 128–133 (2013).
 García-Pérez, A., Irigoyen-Camacho, M. E. & Borges-Yáñez, A. Fluorosis and dental caries in Mexican schoolchildren residing in
- areas with different water fluoride concentrations and receiving fluoridated salt. *Caries Res.* **47**, 299–308 (2013). 9. Ak, G., Sepet, E., Pinar, A., Aren, G. & Turan, N. Reasons for early loss of primary molars. *Oral Health Prev. Dent.* **3**, 113–117.
- (2005).
 10. Villalobos-Rodelo, J. J. *et al.* Dental needs and socio-economic status associated with utilization of dental services in the presence of dental pain: a case control study in children. *J. Orofac. Pain.* 24, 279–286 (2010).
- 11. Villalobos-Rodelo, J. J. et al. Experience of non-reversible and reversible carious lesions in 11 and 12 years old Mexican schoolchildren: a negative binomial regression analysis. Biomédica 33, 88–98 (2013).
- 12. WHO. Oral Health Survey Basics Methods. 5th ed. Geneva. (World Health Organization, 2013).
- Ahamed, S. S., Reddy, V. N., Krishnakumar, R., Mohan, M. G., Sugumaran, D. K. & Rao, A. P. Prevalence of early loss of primary teeth in 5-10-year-old school children in Chidambaram town. *Contemp. Clin. Dent.* 3, 27–30 (2012).
- 14. Pitts, N. B. Clinical diagnosis of dental caries: a European perspective. J. Dent. Educ. 65, 972-978. (2001)
- 15. Greene, J. C. & Vermillion, J. R. The simplified oral hygiene index. J. Amer. Dent. Assoc. 68, 7–13 (1964).
- Kolenikov, S. & Angeles, G. The Use of Discrete Data in Principal Component Analysis With Applications to Socio-Economic Indices. CPC/MEASURE Working paper No. WP-04-85. (NCU 2004).
- 17. Bagley, S. C., White, H. & Golomb, B. A. Logistic regression in the medical literature: Standards for use and reporting, with particular attention to one medical domain. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **54**, 979–985. 2001.
- 18. Williams, R. L. A note on robust variance estimation for cluster-correlated data. *Biometrics.* 56, 645–646 (2000).
- 19. McKnight, P. E., McKnight, K. M., Sidani, S. & Figueredo, A. J. Missing data: a gentle introduction. New York. (Guilford, 2007).
- 20. Alamoudi, N. The prevalence of crowding, attrition, midline discrepancies and premature tooth loss in the primary dentition of children in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent.* **24**, 53–58 (1999).
- Lamberghini, F., Kaste, L. M., Fadavi, S., Koerber, A., Punwani, I. C. & Smith, E. B. An association of premature loss of primary maxillary incisors with speech production of bilingual children. *Pediatr. Dent.* 34, 307–311 (2012).
- 22. Mansour-Ockell, N. & Bågesund, M. Reasons for extractions, and treatment preceding caries-related extractions in 3-8 year-old children. *Eur. Arch. Paediatr. Dent.* **11**, 122–130 (2010).
- 23. Herrera, M. S. *et al.* Dental plaque, preventive care, and tooth brushing associated with dental caries in primary teeth in schoolchildren of Leon, Nicaragua ages 6-9 years. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **19**, 1019–1026 (2013).
- 24. Mulu, W., Demilie, T., Yimer, M., Meshesha, K. & Abera, B. Dental caries and associated factors among primary school children in Bahir Dar city: a cross-sectional study. *BMC. Res. Notes.* **7**, 949. (2014).
- Lim, S., Tellez, M. & Ismail, A. I. Dental caries development among African American children: results from a 4-year longitudinal study. Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol. 43, 200–207 (2015)
- 26. Sheiham, A. Dietary effects on dental diseases. Public Health Nutr. 4, 569-591 (2001).
- Honne, T., Pentapati, K., Kumar, N. & Acharya, S. Relationship between obesity/overweight status, sugar consumption and dental caries among adolescents in South India. *Int. J. Dent. Hyg.* 10, 240–4 (2012).

- Skeie, M. S., Raadal, M., Strand, G. V. & Espelid, I. The relationship between caries in the primary dentition at 5 years of age and permanent dentition at 10 years of age - a longitudinal study. Int. J. Paediatr. Dent. 16, 152–60 (2006).
- 29. Virtanen, J. I., Bloigu, R. S. & Larmas, M. A. Effect of early restorations of permanent molars on filling increments of individual teeth. J. Dent. 25, 17–24 (1997).
- Alomari, Q. D., Khalaf, M. E. & Al-Shawaf, N. M. Relative contribution of restorative treatment to tooth extraction in a teaching institution. J. Oral Rehabil. 40, 464–471 (2013).
- 31. Medina-Solis, C. E. *et al.* Treatment needs for dental caries, restorative care index and index of extractions in adolescents 12 and 15 years old. *West Indian Med. J.* 62, 636–641 (2013).
- 32. Sheiham, A. Impact of dental treatment on the incidence of dental caries in children and adults. *Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol.* 25, 104–112 (1997).
- 33. Jiménez-Gayosso, S. I. *et al.* Socioeconomic inequalities in oral health service utilization any time in their lives for Mexican schoolchildren from 6 to 12 years old. *Gac. Med. Mex.* **151**, 27–33 (2015).

Author Contributions

S.A.L.G. and J.J.V.R. were involved in the design and development of the study. C.E.M.S. designed the study, did the analysis of the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. L.A.B., J.F.C.R., A.A.V.S., S.E.L.R. and N.P.M. were involved in the conception of the paper, analysis and interpretation of the results. All the authors were involved in the critical review and made intellectual contributions, they also accepted the final version.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: López-Gómez, S. A. *et al.* Relationship between premature loss of primary teeth with oral hygiene, consumption of soft drinks, dental care, and previous caries experience. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 21147; doi: 10.1038/srep21147 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/