SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Use of a novel smart heating sleeping bag to improve wearers' local thermal comfort in the feet

W. F. Song^{1,*}, C. J. Zhang^{1,*}, D. D. Lai¹, F. M. Wang^{1,2} & K. Kuklane³

Received: 22 September 2015

Accepted: 09 December 2015

Published: 13 January 2016

Previous studies have revealed that wearers had low skin temperatures and cold and pain sensations in the feet, when using sleeping bags under defined comfort and limit temperatures. To improve wearers' local thermal comfort in the feet, a novel heating sleeping bag (i.e., MAR_{HT}) was developed by embedding two heating pads into the traditional sleeping bag (i.e., MAR_{CON}) in this region. Seven female and seven male volunteers underwent two tests on different days. Each test lasted for three hours and was performed in a climate chamber with a setting temperature deduced from EN 13537 (2012) (for females: comfort temperature of -0.4°C , and for males: the limit temperature of -6.4°C). MAR_{HT} was found to be effective in maintaining the toe and feet temperatures within the thermoneutral range for both sex groups compared to the linearly decreased temperatures in MAR_{CON} during the 3-hour exposure. In addition, wearing MAR_{HT} elevated the toe blood flow significantly for most females and all males. Thermal and comfort sensations showed a large improvement in feet and a small to moderate improvement in the whole body for both sex groups in MAR_{HT}. It was concluded that MAR_{HT} is effective in improving local thermal comfort in the feet.

A sleeping bag is an essential textile product that is aimed at protecting people in cool or cold outdoor environments. They are widely used in field training, rescue and relief work and are also becoming common equipment in travelling and recreation for ordinary people¹. Traditional sleeping bags are generally composed of an outer layer, an inner layer and the filling. Sleeping bags are often required to be marked with four labelled temperatures according to the EN 13537 (2012) standard²: maximum temperature, comfort temperature, limit temperature, and the extreme temperature. Of all these four labelled temperatures, comfort temperature and limit temperature are the two most important temperatures for users. Comfort temperature indicates the lower threshold temperature at which a standard woman could sleep for eight hours without cold feeling in a relaxed posture (i.e., lying on their back) under the standard condition. The limit temperature refers to the lower threshold temperature for a standard man sleeping in a curled up body posture for eight hours without feeling cold under the standard condition. Previous studies have shown that the comfort temperature and limit temperature were sometimes questioned by users and scientists³. This is because some manufacturers defined these two temperatures based on qualitative methods, such as customers' feedback and assessments from bag's thickness, weight and loftiness⁴.

Many models have been developed to compute the operating temperatures of the sleeping bag. For example, the EN 13537 (2012) model², the KSU model⁵, Belding's model⁶, Goldman's model⁷, Holand's model⁸, den Hartog's model⁹, and the IREQ (Required Clothing Insulation) model¹⁰. However, all these models calculate the global comfort and limit temperatures, i.e., these models only considered the whole body thermal comfort⁵. It seems that both the comfort temperature and the limit temperature defined by the models failed to take care of local thermal comfort in the feet⁸. Lin *et al.*³ investigated the physiological and psychological responses of females and males in different sleeping bags under both the EN 13537 (2012) and the IREQ model defined temperatures. Although the mean skin temperature was within its thermal neutral range, almost all subjects had continuously dropping toe temperatures throughout the two-hour exposure. The temperature dropping in the toes has already caused a great sensation of cold and pain. It was thus concluded that such defined temperatures were unable to allow users to have an eight-hour comfortable sleep³. Huang¹¹ also observed continuously decreasing

¹Laboratory for Clothing Physiology and Ergonomics (LCPE), The National Engineering Laboratory for Modern Silk, Soochow University, 199 Ren'ai Road, Suzhou, 215123 China. ²College of Textile and Clothing Engineering, Soochow University, 178 Ganjiang East Road, Suzhou 215021, China. ³Thermal Environment Laboratory, Department of Design Sciences, Lund University, Lund 22100, Sweden. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.W. (email: dr.famingwang@gmail.com)

Sleeping bag	Composition	Weight (g)	T_{com-l} (°C)	T_{lim-l} (°C)	T_{com-c} (°C)	T_{lim-c} (°C)
MAR _{CON}	Shell: nylon; lining: polyester; filling: goose down; two heating pads at the feet region without heating power input.	1025	−2.4	−8.7	−0.4	−6.4
MAR _{HT}	Shell: nylon; lining: polyester; filling: goose down; two heating pads at the feet region with 20 W heating power.	1489	–	–	−1.2	−7.2

Table 1. Characteristics of the sleeping bag tested. – not applicable.

toe temperatures on both females and males during the eight-hour wear trials under environmental temperature settings defined by five models (i.e., the EN 13537 model, the KSU model, Belding's model, Goldman's model and den Hartog's model). These studies indicated that the operating temperatures defined for sleeping bags provided insufficient protection at the body extremities.

The extremities of human body are more sensitive to cold exposure compared to other body regions because of the large specific surface area and the low local metabolic heat production in these regions¹². Skin temperature dropping normally appears in those regions initially, and results in the first sign of cold and pain sensations in those local regions^{11,12}. Besides the induced local thermal discomfort, the whole body thermal comfort was also found to be strongly related with the extremity temperatures^{11,12}. It is reasonable to speculate that heating the extremity, e.g., the feet region, could prevent the local skin temperature dropping, and thereby improve local thermal comfort of the human body.

In this study, a novel smart heating sleeping bag was developed by embedding two electrical heating pads into traditional sleeping bags at the feet region. It is anticipated that the smart heating sleeping bag is useful in such outdoor settings as camping sites and recreational facilities. The physiological responses and perceptual responses when using the traditional sleeping bag and newly developed smart heating bag were examined and compared. It was hypothesized that local thermal comfort could be improved by using the smart heating sleeping bag in cold outdoor environments.

Methods

Subjects. Seven female and seven male subjects voluntarily participated in this study. They were physically healthy and had no smoking habit. They also had limited experience in using sleeping bags. The average age, height, weight, body surface area and the body mass index of the females are 24.0 ± 1.4 yr., 160.9 ± 2.8 cm, 52.1 ± 4.9 kg, 1.53 ± 0.07 m² and 20.1 ± 1.6 kg/m², respectively, and those of males are 24.9 ± 1.7 yr., 172.8 ± 2.6 cm, 62.0 ± 3.5 kg, 1.74 ± 0.06 m² and 20.8 ± 0.9 kg/m², respectively. They were asked not to drink alcohol and do intensive exercises at least twenty-four hours before the test. Also, they were not allowed to drink tea or coffee at least two hours before each trial. This study was approved by the ethical committee of Soochow University and was strictly performed in agreement with legal requirements and international norms (Declaration of Helsinki, 1964). The research methods are in accordance with the approved guidelines. Subjects were informed about the purpose, the procedure and potential risks associated with the experiment. Each participant signed a written consent form prior to participation.

Sleeping bag. A mummy-shaped sleeping bag (code: MAR) was randomly selected from the market. Based on this sleeping bag, a novel smart sleeping bag was developed by embedding two electrical heating pads into MAR at the feet region. The heating pad was engineered by sandwiching a 2.25 m winding carbon heating wire (total electric resistance: 322Ω) between two high-density polyester fabric layers (length $\times$ width $\times$ thickness: $38 \text{ cm} \times 38 \text{ cm} \times 0.159 \text{ cm}$). The total weight of two heating pads was 464 g. The heating pads were connected to a power supply and the heating power could be adjusted from 0 to 45 W. In this study, two levels of heating power was selected, 0 W (i.e., heating was turned off) and 20 W (i.e., close to medium heating power), corresponding to two testing scenarios written as MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT}, respectively. MAR_{CON} represents the non-heating traditional sleeping bag, and MAR_{HT} is the smart heating sleeping bag to be examined in this study. The selection of a constant heating power (i.e., 20 W) was based on previous findings of our pilot study²⁷, in which the heating power was stepwise adjusted from 0 to 45 W with 5 W increments. Both male and female subjects (i.e., 4 males and 4 females) rated thermoneutral when the power was set to 20 W during three-hour sleep time under the same environmental conditions as those used in this study. The structure, composition, and labelled comfort (T_{com-l}) and limit temperatures (T_{lim-l}) of the two sleeping bags are listed in Table 1. The comfort (T_{com-c}) and limit temperatures (T_{lim-c}) were translated from their perspective thermal insulation values according to the EN 13537 (2012). The thermal insulation values were determined by a 'Newton' thermal manikin (Measurement Technology Northwest, Seattle, USA), strictly followed the EN 13537². The detailed manikin test procedure was described as follows: the sleeping bag was taken out from the package, and then conditioned for at least 12 hours before each manikin test to eliminate the packing effect on thermal insulation. The manikin was dressed with long cotton underwear (including a sweater and long trousers) with a thermal insulation of $0.049 \text{ °C}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{W}$, knee-length socks and the face mask. The manikin was then placed in the sleeping bag, and the zipper and the hood were tightly closed. The whole manikin system was placed on an inflated mattress with a thickness of 40 mm (Therm-a-Rest, Cascade designs Inc., Seattle, USA), underneath which there was a 12 mm thick wooden board. The thermal insulation of the sleeping bags was calculated by the serial method (see the calculation part in this section)², which was 6.8 clo and 7.4 clo ($1 \text{ clo} = 0.155 \text{ m}^2\cdot\text{K}/\text{W}$), respectively. Obviously, both the T_{com-c} and T_{lim-c} of MAR_{CON} are higher than its T_{com-l} and T_{lim-l} , respectively. Moreover, MAR_{HT} lowered both T_{com-c} and T_{lim-c} compared to MAR_{CON}.

Test conditions. For the manikin tests, the ambient temperature was set to $-2 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$, the relative humidity was set to $80 \pm 5\%$ and the air velocity was controlled at $0.5 \pm 0.1\text{ m/s}$. All human trial tests were conducted in a climate chamber with different setting temperatures for females and males. For females, they were exposed to the air temperature of -0.4°C (i.e., the comfort temperature of MAR_{CON}) in both MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} and for males, they were exposed to -6.4°C (i.e., the limit temperature) in the two sleeping bags (i.e., MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT}). The air temperature fluctuations were controlled within $\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ for both genders. The relative humidity and air velocity in the chamber were set as $80 \pm 5\%$ and $0.5 \pm 0.1\text{ m/s}$, respectively.

Test protocol. Each participant was asked to sleep in the smart heating bag (MAR_{HT}) and the traditional sleeping bag (MAR_{CON}) in a randomized and counter-balanced order. A total of 28 tests were performed (i.e., 14 participants times 2 tests). Each test was conducted at the night time and the same time of a night with an interval of at least 48 hours in between to eliminate the circadian variation impact. The participants were blinded to the sleeping bags to avoid prejudice. They were dressed with the same underwear and knee-length socks that were used in the manikin tests.

Upon arrival for the tests for the sleeping bag, the participants were asked to rest on a chair for 15–30 min at a room temperature of 20.0°C , and then instrumented. After the preparation, the participants entered the climatic chamber (where the test condition was set based on the assigned test scenario) and laid into the bag randomly assigned in a flat lying posture. This transition period (from the outside room to the chamber) normally took about 5 min. The sleeping bag was placed on an inflated mattress supported by a wooden table. The participants were asked to stay in the sleeping bags for a maximum of 3 hours. The test was terminated when any of the following criteria were met: i) the toe temperature dropped to 12.0°C ; ii) the participant refused to continue; and iii) the 180 min testing was achieved. After the test, the participants came out of the chamber and they were asked to take off the clothing and the equipment.

Metabolic rate was measured continuously for 5 min with a cardiopulmonary tester at the 20th min of the test (MetaMax[®]3B, Cortex Biophysik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). Skin temperatures on eleven sites, namely, forehead, upper arm, forearm, chest, specula, hand, ring finger, thigh, calf, left foot and the 4th toe, were measured by temperature sensors (MSR[®]145B4, MSR Electronic GmbH, Seuzach, Switzerland). Blood flow of the 4th toe was measured using a Doppler-type laser flow meter (ALF 21R, Advance Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Heart rate was obtained via a Polar[®] chest strap and a heart rate watch (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). The skin temperatures, the skin blood flow and the heart rate were logged at an interval of 30 s throughout the test.

Perceptual sensations of the participants in the hands and feet as well as the whole body were rated 15 min before the test, in the beginning of the test, at the 20th and the 180th min of the test (Fig. 1). Each rating of the perceptual sensations was explained to the participants in detail prior to the trial. Thermal sensation (TS) of “−4” corresponds to very cold, “−3” cold, “−2” cool, “−1” slightly cool, “0” neutral, “1” slightly warm, “2” warm, “3” hot, and “4” very hot. Comfort sensation (CS) of “−3” corresponds to very uncomfortable, “−2” uncomfortable, “−1” slightly uncomfortable, and “0” neutral. Skin wetness sensation (WS) of “−3” corresponds to very dry, “−2” dry, “−1” slightly dry, “0” neutral, “1” slightly wet, “2” wet and “3” very wet. The perceptual ratings were verbally reported by the participants at the time points mentioned above.

Calculations. The thermal insulation (I_t , in clo) of the sleeping bag was computed by the serial method defined by EN 13537, expressed as equation (1).

$$I_t = \frac{1}{0.155} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \frac{a_i (T_{ski} - T_a)}{H_{ci}} \quad (1)$$

where, A is the manikin surface area (i.e., 1.697 m^2); n is the segment number, $n = 32$; a_i is the surface area of the segment i , m^2 ; H_{ci} is the heat flux of the i segment, W/m^2 ; T_{ski} is the skin temperature of the segment i , $^\circ\text{C}$; T_a is the ambient air temperature, $^\circ\text{C}$.

The mean skin temperature (T_{sk}) was calculated using the Gagge and Nishi's equation (Gagge and Gonzalez, 2011), expressed as equation (2).

$$T_{sk} = 0.07(T_{\text{forehead}} + T_{\text{upperarm}} + T_{\text{forearm}}) + 0.175(T_{\text{chest}} + T_{\text{specula}}) + 0.05T_{\text{hand}} + 0.19T_{\text{thigh}} + 0.20T_{\text{calf}} \quad (2)$$

Statistical analysis. Data were presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). A two-way repeated measures ANOVA [Test scenarios (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON}) $\times$ Time [(i.e., the 0th, 10th, 20th, 40th, 60th, 80th, 100th, 120th, 140th, 160th, and the 180th min)] was performed using SPSSv.20 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY) to assess the differences in the physiological responses between the two test conditions, time effect and the interaction effect between conditions and the time. When the Mauchly's Test of Sphericity was violated, the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was employed as statistical significance. When the repeated measures showed a significant effect, a paired sample t-test for was conducted at each time point. The significance levels were $p < 0.05$ (marked * on the graphs) and < 0.01 (**) in all tests. The bias-corrected hedge's effect sizes (standardized mean difference) were calculated for evaluating the differences in perceptual responses at the two time points (the 20th min and the 180th min) between the two conditions and also between the two points (Hedges *et al.*, 1985). The effect sizes (EFSs) were obtained using the Review Manager V.5.2.0 software, involving the input parameters of mean outcome, the standard deviation and the sample size. The magnitude of EFS was interpreted as: $0-0.19$ = negligible effect, $0.20-0.49$ = small effect, $0.50-0.79$ = moderate effect and > 0.8 = large effect. Negative effects of MAR_{HT} and time were displayed with a minus sign.

Figure 1. The evolution curves of the 4th toe and the left foot temperatures for females and males in MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT}.

Results

Metabolic rate. All subjects finished the 180 min test. Metabolic rate was not significantly different between MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} for both females (i.e., 1.1 ± 0.1 METs in MAR_{CON} and 1.2 ± 0.2 METs in MAR_{HT}, $p > 0.05$) and males (i.e., 1.1 ± 0.2 METs in MAR_{CON} and 1.1 ± 0.2 METs in MAR_{HT}, $p > 0.05$).

The 4th toe and foot temperatures. Figure 1 presented the evolution curves of the T_{toe} and T_{ft} curves for females and males in MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT}. Significantly higher T_{toe} and T_{ft} were detected for both females and males in MAR_{HT} compared to MAR_{CON} from the 5th min to the end of the test ($p < 0.01$). Linearly dropping T_{toe} and T_{ft} were detected for both females and males in MAR_{CON} (main time effect, $p < 0.01$), while T_{toe} and T_{ft} significantly increased during the initial 20 min (main time effect, $p < 0.01$) and then remained stable in MAR_{HT} throughout the remaining test duration. At the end of the test, T_{toe} and T_{ft} of females in MAR_{CON} sank to 15.1°C and 22.7°C, respectively, and for males to 13.2°C and 23.5°C, respectively. Comparing the average T_{toe} and T_{ft} values in the stable stage (time range from the 20th min to the 180th min) for the two sex groups in MAR_{HT}, T_{toe} was higher for males (i.e., 30.7 ± 2.8 °C) than that for females (i.e., 28.9 ± 2.7 °C), though not significant ($p > 0.1$), while T_{ft} was significantly higher on females (33.9 ± 1.0 °C) than that on males (i.e., 32.8 ± 1.4 °C) ($p < 0.05$).

The 4th toe blood flow. The evolution curves of the 4th toe blood flow (SkBF) for all the fourteen subjects in MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} were listed in Fig. 2. The average values of SkBF from the 20th min to the end of test were calculated for all the subjects (SkBF). SkBF decreased to near zero values with the exposure time for all the subjects in MAR_{CON}. While SkBF values fluctuated at relatively higher values at different levels for the subjects (except for F1) in MAR_{HT} (SkBF ranging from 1.2 to 4.6 ml/100g/min and 1.2 to 18.8 ml/100g/min for females and males, respectively) compared to those in MAR_{CON} (SkBF ranging from 0.5 to 1.3 ml/100g/min and 0.6 to 2.1 ml/100g/min for females and males, respectively). It was also noted that the SkBF on five out of seven males (4.8 to 18.8 ml/100g/min) in MAR_{HT} exhibited higher values compared to all females (1.2 to 4.6 ml/100g/min) in MAR_{HT}.

Mean skin temperature and mean heart rate. The mean skin temperature (T_{sk}) in MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} significantly increased during the first 20 min (time main effect, $p < 0.01$) and then were kept stable throughout the whole test (Fig. 3). No significant difference was observed in T_{sk} between MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} for both females and males ($p > 0.1$). The average T_{sk} data in the stable stage for females (i.e., 33.0 ± 0.8 °C in MAR_{CON} and 33.2 ± 0.9 °C in MAR_{HT}) were close to those of males (i.e., 33.2 ± 0.6 °C in MAR_{CON} and 33.3 ± 0.8 °C in MAR_{HT}) (see Fig. 3, $p > 0.1$).

Figure 2. The evolution curves of the 4th toe blood flow for females and males. F1 to F7 means females numbered from 1 to 7, M1 to M7 means males numbered from 1 to 7.

Figure 3. The evolution curves of the mean skin temperature and heart rate for females and males in MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} .

Heart rate fluctuated with the testing time and no time main effect was observed ($p > 0.05$). No significant difference in heart rate was detected for females in MAR_{HT} compared to MAR_{CON} ($p > 0.1$). Heart rate was with similar average values for females in MAR_{CON} (i.e., 60.5 ± 7.8 beats/min) and MAR_{HT} (i.e., 63.1 ± 7.2 beats/min). However, significantly lower heart rate was observed on males in MAR_{HT} compared to those on males in MAR_{CON} at the 25th min, the 95th min and the 150th min of the test ($p < 0.05$) (Fig. 3). Besides, the average heart rate on males in MAR_{HT} (i.e., 59.0 ± 7.3 beats/min) was higher compared to that on male subjects in MAR_{CON} (i.e., 63.0 ± 7.6 beats/min) ($p = 0.052$) and the difference was approaching statistical significance. The average heart rate values between the two genders showed no significant difference in neither MAR_{CON} ($p > 0.1$) or MAR_{HT} ($p > 0.1$).

Perceptual responses. As listed in Table 2 and Table 3, all subjects stayed in a thermal neutral state (TS, CS and WS = 0) before and at the start of the cold exposure, as well as at the 20th min of the exposure except for TS in females in MAR_{CON} . Perceptual responses deviated from zero in different levels at the end of cold exposure.

Comparing the perceptual data at the end of the test with those at the start, TS and CS in the feet are subjected to the most obvious declines for both females and males in MAR_{CON} (negative large EFSSs). The evolution of the TS and CS in the hand for the two sex groups in MAR_{CON} was not apparent (negative small EFSSs). TS alterations in the whole body are moderate for females (negative moderate EFSSs) and small for males in MAR_{CON} (negative small EFSSs). CS alterations in the whole body are small for both genders in MAR_{CON} (negative small EFSSs). Wetness sensation showed no change in the feet or in the whole body, and was small in the hand for the two genders in MAR_{CON} .

Concerning the perceptual data in the feet between MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} at the 180th min of the exposure, cool to cold sensations were detected for both females and males in MAR_{CON} , while slightly cool and slightly warm sensations were observed for them in MAR_{HT} , respectively (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON} : large EFSSs). Slightly uncomfortable to uncomfortable ratings were nominated by both genders in MAR_{CON} , while neutral comfort sensation was rated by them in MAR_{HT} (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON} : large EFSSs). No skin wetness sensation was perceived by the participants for all the test conditions.

With respect to perceptual data in the hand, slightly cool sensations were detected for both females and males in MAR_{CON} , while slightly warm and neutral sensations were observed for females and males in MAR_{HT} , respectively (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON} : moderate EFSSs). Slightly uncomfortable to uncomfortable ratings were nominated by both genders in MAR_{CON} , while neutral comfort sensation was rated by them in MAR_{HT} (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON} : large EFSSs). Skin wetness sensations of slightly wet were perceived by the two sex groups in both MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON} : negligible EFSSs).

Time Perceptual responses	−15 min	0 min	20 min	180 min
Feet				
TS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−0.21 ± 0.27	−2.0 ± 1.04 ^d
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{b'}	−0.36 ± 0.48 ^{b,d'}
CS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−0.07 ± 0.19	−1.36 ± 1.03 ^d
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,d'}
WS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^a
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ^{a'}	0 ^{a,a'}
Hand				
TS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0.29	−0.14 ± 0.9 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0.29 ± 0.39 ^{b,b'}
CS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−0.29 ± 0.57 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,b'}
WS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0.14 ± 0.24 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0.29 ± 0.27 ^{b,a'}
Whole body				
TS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0.07 ± 0.19	−0.50 ± 0.58 ^c
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0.14 ± 0.38 ^{a,c'}
CS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−0.43 ± 0.73 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,b'}
WS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^a
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,a'}

Table 2. Perceptual sensations of the seven female subjects in the two sleeping bag test scenarios.

Difference in perceptual sensations between the time points at the 20th min and the 180th min of the exposure were presented as: 0–0.19^a = negligible effect, 0.20–0.49^b = small effect, 0.50–0.79^c = moderate effect and more than 0.8^d = large effect; Difference in perceptual sensations between MAR_{HT} and MAR_{CON} were expressed as: 0–0.19^{a'} = negligible effect, 0.20–0.49^{b'} = small effect, 0.50–0.79^{c'} = moderate effect and more than 0.8^{d'} = large effect.

As for the whole body, slightly cool sensation was perceived by both sex groups in MAR_{CON}, while slightly warm sensation and the neutral sensation were detected in MAR_{HT} (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON}: moderate EFSs for females and small EFSs for males), respectively. Slightly uncomfortable to uncomfortable ratings were nominated by both genders in MAR_{CON}, whereas neutral comfort sensations were rated in MAR_{HT} (MAR_{HT} vs. MAR_{CON}: moderate and small EFSs for females and males, respectively). No wetness sensation was detected.

Perceptual sensations of females and males in MAR_{CON} and MAR_{HT} showed approximate results except for TS in the feet in MAR_{HT}. Slightly cool sensation was noted in the feet on females, while slightly warm sensation was reported on males.

Discussion

Sleeping bags serve as an important protective textile product for human body while sleeping under cool or cold outdoor environments. A sleeping bag should allow the wearers to sleep for four to eight hours without feeling cold under the bags' operating temperatures¹³. Even though the total duration of each trial in this study was 3 hours, the linearly decreasing toe (T_{toe}) and foot temperatures (T_{ft}) (see Fig. 1) could cause pronounced cold sensations in the feet for both genders¹⁴. Thus, our results have reconfirmed that the local region of the human body was inadequately protected while using the studied sleeping bag under the environmental temperatures defined by EN 13537 (2012). Lin *et al.*³ detected that T_{toe} of females in a traditional sleeping bag decreased to 21.1 °C at the 120th min while exposed to EN 13537 defined comfort temperature (i.e., 3.8 °C). In this study, T_{toe} of females decreased to 21.3 °C at the 120th min. However, Lin *et al.*³ observed no declination of T_{toe} for males in MAR_{CON} under EN 13537 defined limit temperature (i.e., 11.2 °C and 2.1 °C). The discrepancy might be due to the lower

Time Perceptual responses	−15 min	0 min	20 min	180 min
Feet				
TS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−2.40 ± 0.48 ^d
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0.07 ± 0.19 ^{a'}	0.71 ± 0.49 ^{c,d'}
CS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−1.50 ± 0.5 ^d
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,d'}
WS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^a
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^a	0 ± 0 ^{a,a'}
Hand				
TS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0.19	−0.43 ± 0.87 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0.45 ^{b,b'}
CS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−0.43 ± 0.35 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,b'}
WS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0.07 ± 0.19	0.43 ± 0.45 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0.43 ± 0.34 ^{b,a'}
Whole body				
TS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0.0 ± 0.0	−0.43 ± 0.45 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,b'}
CS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	−0.43 ± 0.35 ^b
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,b'}
WS				
MAR _{CON}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^a
MAR _{HT}	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0 ^{a'}	0 ± 0 ^{a,a'}

Table 3. Perceptual sensations of the male subjects in the two sleeping bag test scenarios.

exposure temperature (i.e., −6.8 °C) adopted in this study, reconfirming that the human body extremity was more affected by environmental temperatures compared to other body parts.

Further, it is evident that the MAR_{HT} could well maintain T_{toe} and T_{ft} of both females (i.e., T_{toe} : 28.9 ± 2.7 °C and T_{ft} : 33.9 ± 1.0 °C) and males (i.e., T_{toe} : 30.7 ± 2.8 °C and T_{ft} : 32.8 ± 1.4 °C) in a thermoneutral range (i.e., 25.0–34.0 °C)¹³. The heating pads had two positive effects in preventing the toe and foot temperature dropping, i.e., creating a warm microclimate and raising the local apparent thermal insulation at the feet region due to the added heating layer. As no investigation was conducted on smart heating sleeping bags, we could only retrieve literature regarding the effect of heated footwear on the local skin temperature. van Someren *et al.*¹⁵ narrated that heating the feet would keep the feet temperature the same as the rest of the body and suggested that the feet play a significant role in the whole body thermoregulatory response to cold. Isik¹⁶ also found heating the feet could provide stable skin temperature in the region, but it exerted no effect on the other parts of the body.

Supplying heat to the feet region could promote the local skin blood flow (i.e., SkBF). House *et al.*¹⁷ compared the effects of the heated and non-heated socks on the feet and discovered that the skin blood flow at the toes in heated socks was much greater than that in non-heated socks. In this study, greater skin blood flow at the 4th toe in MAR_{HT} than that in MAR_{CON} was also observed on most subjects. The mechanism behind the toe blood flow was consistently pinpointed by researchers to depend on the action modes of arteriovenous anastomoses (AVAs) (i.e., small blood vessels that interconnect small veins with small arteries), which controlled 90% of the blood flow in the local regions¹⁸, and was abundantly presented in the extremities^{18,19,20}. The action modes of AVAs relied on the ambient temperature of the extremities^{18,19}, which expressed vasoconstriction (to reduce heat loss) and vasodilatation (to increase heat loss) modes under low and high temperatures, respectively. It is thus reasonable to speculate that the close to zero SkBF values for subjects in MAR_{CON} was induced by the vasoconstriction of AVAs in the cold microenvironment between foot and MAR_{CON}, while the promoted SkBF values for the subjects in MAR_{HT} was attribute to vasodilatation of AVAs in the warm microenvironment created by the MAR_{HT}. Individual difference exists expressed by the unaffected SkBF evolutions of one female and different levels of SkBF promotions for the rest subjects in the two sleeping bags.

The relationship between SkBF and T_{toe} when supplying feet with exogenous heat was investigated by some researchers^{21,22}. Song²¹ conducted a study by having subjects touching heating floor with different surface temperatures, and discovered that the blood flow rate in the toe at the dorsal part increased with the increasing toe temperature ranging from 20.0 to 34.0 °C (sharp increment occurs at from 30.0 to 34.0 °C). Allwood *et al.*²² applied increased local temperatures at feet and discovered that SkBF increased gradually from temperature of 15 to 29 °C and then remarkably from 29 to 32 °C. The SkBF of most males in MAR_{HT} was higher compared to that of all females, which might be obtained from the higher toe temperatures (i.e., 30.7 ± 2.5 °C) on males than on females (28.9 ± 2.7 °C) or from the AVAs difference between the two genders.

Heating the feet region exerted no effect on the whole body temperature. Similar findings were also observed by Isik¹⁶, who detected that heating the feet played no effect on the body temperature at other regions. All the observed T_{sk} values were laid in the thermal neutral range of 32.0–34.0 °C¹⁹. Heart rate fluctuated with the testing time in the range of 60 to 80 beats/min (bpm). Our results reconfirmed that the EN 13537 (2012) defined temperatures only considered the whole body thermal balance rather than sleeping thermal comfort. Significantly lower heart rate was detected on males in MAR_{HT} compared to MAR_{CON} at some points during the 3-hour exposure. In addition, MAR_{HT} showed a much lower average heart rate during the 3-hour exposure compared to MAR_{CON} and approached the statistical significance indicated by $p = 0.052$. Some researchers^{23,24} noted that there exists a connection between blood flow fluctuations through AVAs in the fingers and toes, and spontaneous heart rate as well as the blood pressure variability. In this study, the significant heart rate difference observed on males might be caused by the high toe blood flow in MAR_{HT}. Future studies should be conducted to ascertain the relationship between these two variables, however.

Given the physiological responses in the feet, it is not surprising to find that the perceptual responses (TS and CS) of participants in MAR_{CON} deteriorated with the exposure time. Local perceptual responses play an important role in affecting the whole body sensations^{11,25}. Arens *et al.*²⁶ opined that cold feet would disrupt the whole body thermal comfort even if the rest of the body was properly dressed. Huang⁶ detected that TS in the feet is highly correlated to that in the whole body (the Spearman correlation coefficient of 0.8). Though no aggravated global physiological responses (i.e., the mean skin temperature and the heart rate), it is still inevitably to observe the disruption of the whole body perceptual responses (TS and CS) in MAR_{CON}, which might be aroused by the worsened local thermal responses in the feet. Our findings further demonstrate that the EN 13537 (2012) failed to protect the local body region. Therefore, it is highly necessary to revise the EN 13537 (2012) to address the issue on the protection of local body areas, e.g. feet.

In MAR_{HT}, a large improvement of perceptual responses (TS and CS) in the feet was observed, and the resultant small to moderate improvement in the whole body sensation was thus detected. Slight wetness sensation was detected in the hands regardless of the sleeping bag types, which might be explained from the aspect of the hand warming due to the close contact between hands and the torso³. The perceptual responses (TS, CS and WS) in MAR_{HT} have demonstrated the advantage of the newly developed smart heating sleeping bag over traditional sleeping bags.

Perceptual responses of females and males in MAR_{HT} and MAR_{CON} were consistent except for TS in the feet (slightly warm and cool sensations for males and females, respectively). This was in accordance with and also might be explained from the difference of physiological responses in feet for the two sex groups. Females exhibited significantly lower foot temperatures and blood flow than males.

Finally, there are generally two potential approaches to protect the human extremities such as the feet when sleeping outdoors: selecting a high insulation bag and using it at higher temperatures than the EN 13537 (2012) defined operating temperatures or supplying heating to the feet regions. Although the first method seems effective, high insulation sleeping bags are bulky and costly. Hence, supplying heat to the feet regions using such as heating pads is a promising way to protect human feet. Compared to traditional thick and bulky sleeping bags, smart heating sleeping bags are slimmer and cheaper. Research findings presented in this study have evidently demonstrated that a smart heating sleeping bag is effective in improving wearers' thermal comfort during sleeping under cold outdoor environments. Particularly in the camping sites and other outdoor recreation facilities where electricity can be easily accessed, using heating sleeping bags could be served as one of the best choices to provide wearers a better sleeping environment. For the outdoor settings where electric power is not available, a light and portable high capacity lithium-ion battery could be used to supply power to smart heating sleeping bags. However, it should be noted that such a high capacity battery, depends on its actual capacity, may only provide users a limited heating period (ranging from several hours to a number of days). Finally, the application of such smart heating sleeping bags to those settings as long-period hiking in the wilderness is greatly restricted due to the battery capacity and load concerns.

Limitations should be acknowledged that the weight increment in the smart sleeping bag is 464 g, accounting for about 45% in comparison to the weight of the traditional sleeping bag. This added load may lower the users' enthusiasm to use the smart sleeping bags. The durations of the tests were three hours, somewhat shorter to mimic the normally use of the sleeping bags. In future studies, lighter smart sleeping bags would be designed and prolonged testing duration will be adopted to investigate their effectiveness in improving the wears' local thermal comfort in the feet.

References

1. Johnson, R. Pick the best sleeping bag. *Outdoor Life* **211**, 132 (2004).
2. EN 13537 Requirements for sleeping bags. (European Committee for Standardization, 2012).
3. Lin, L. Y., Wang, F., Kuklane, K., Gao, C., Holmér, I. & Zhao, M. A laboratory validation study of comfort and limit temperatures of four sleeping bags defined according to EN13537 (2002). *Appl. Ergon.* **44**, 321–326 (2013).
4. Huang, J. Prediction of air temperature for thermal comfort of people using sleeping bags: a review. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **52**, 717–723 (2008).

5. McCullough, E. A. Determining the insulation value and temperature rating of sleeping bags. Paper presented at *Meeting of the Outdoor Retailer Coalition of America*, Outdoor Industry Association, Reno, NV, USA. (1994, Feb 14–15).
6. Belding, H. S. Protection against dry cold in *Physiology of heat regulation and the science of clothing* (ed. Newburgh, L. H.). Ch. 11, 351–366 (W. B. Saunders Company, 1949).
7. Goldman, R. F. Biomedical effects of sleeping systems in *Handbook on clothing: Biomedical effects of military clothing and equipment systems 2nd edn* (eds. Goldman, R. F. & Kampmann, B.) Ch. 6b, 1–8 (International Society for Environmental Ergonomics, 2007).
8. Holand, B. Comfort temperatures for sleeping bags. Paper presented at *3rd International Meeting on Thermal Manikin Testing*, National Institute for Working Life, Stockholm, Sweden. Arbete och Hälsa (1999, Oct 12–13).
9. den Hartog, E., Weder, M. & Camenzind, M. Evaluation of sleeping bags by subjects and a manikin (torso) at low temperature. Paper presented at *4th International Meeting on Thermal Manikins*, EMPA, St. Gallen, Switzerland. (2001, Sept 27–28).
10. ISO 11079 Ergonomics of the thermal environment—determination and interpretation of cold stress when using required clothing insulation (IREQ) and local cooling effects. (International Organization for Standardization, 2007).
11. Huang, J. Evaluation of heat loss models for predicting temperature ratings of sleeping bags. *PhD Dissertation, Kansas State University in Manhattan* (2006).
12. Kuklane, K. Footwear for cold weather conditions in *Textiles for cold weather apparel Woodhead publishing in Textiles* (ed. Williams, J. T.) Ch. 16, 342–373 (Woodhead Publishing Limited, 2009).
13. Huang, J. Thermal comfort of sleeping bag in *Human and thermal environment* (ed. Huang J.). Ch. 11, 213–244 (Science Press, 2011, in Chinese).
14. Kuklane, K. The use of footwear insulation values measured on a thermal foot model. *Int. J. Occup. Saf. Ergon.* **10**, 79–86 (2004).
15. van Someren, R. N. M., Coleshaw, S. R. K., Mincer, P. J. & Keatinge, W. R. Restoration of thermoregulatory response to body cooling by cooling hands and foot. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **53**, 1228–1233 (1982).
16. Isik, H. Design and construction of thermoelectric footwear heating system for illness feet. *J. Med. Syst.* **29**, 627–631 (2005).
17. House, C. M., Lloyd, K. & House, J. R. Heated socks maintain toe temperature but not always skin blood flow as mean skin temperature falls. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* **74**, 891–893 (2003).
18. Vanggaard, L., Kuklane, K., Holmer, I. & Smolander, J. Thermal responses to whole-body cooling in air with special reference to arteriovenous anastomoses in fingers. *Clin. Physiol. Funct. Imaging* **32**, 463–469 (2012).
19. Johnson, J. M. & Park, M. K. Reflex control of skin blood flow by skin temperature: role of core temperature. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **47**, 1188–1193 (1979).
20. Wyys, C. R., Brengeimann, G. L., Johnson, J. M., Rowell, L. B. & Neiderberger, M. Control of skin blood flow, sweating and heart rate: role of skin vs. core temperature. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **36**, 726–733 (1974).
21. Song, G. S. Effect of floor surface temperature on blood flow and skin temperature in the foot. *Indoor Air* **18**, 511–520 (2008).
22. Allwood, M. J. & Burry, H. S. The effect of local temperature on blood flow in the human. *J. Physiol.* **124**, 345–357 (1954).
23. Lossius, K., Eriksen, M. & Walloe, L. Fluctuations in blood flow to acral skin in humans: connection with heart rate and blood pressure variability. *J. Physiol.* **460**, 641–655 (1993).
24. Lossius, K., Eriksen, M. & Walloe, L. Thermoregulatory fluctuations in heart rate and blood pressure in humans: Effect of cooling and parasympathetic blockade. *J. Auton. Nerv. Syst.* **47**, 245–254 (1994).
25. McCullough, E. A., Huang, J. & Jones, B. W. Evaluation of EN 13537 and other models for predicting temperature ratings of sleeping bags. Paper presented at *11th International Conference on Environmental Ergonomics (ICEE)*, Ystad, Sweden. Lund University. (2005 May 22–26).
26. Arens, E., Zhang, H. & Huizenga, C. Partial- and whole-body thermal sensation and comfort—Part I: Uniform environmental conditions. *J. Therm. Biol.* **31**, 60–66 (2006).
27. Zhang, C., Lai, D. Lu, Y., Wang, F. & Kuklane, K. Smart heating sleeping bags for improving wearers' thermal comfort at the feet. *Extrem. Physiol. Med.* **4**, A92 (2015).

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by a project from the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (project No. BK20130312) and the Priority Academic Program Development (PAPD) of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: F.W., W.S. and C.Z. Performed the experiments: C.Z., D.L. and W.S. Analyzed the data: W.S., C.Z. and D.L. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: F.W., K.K. Wrote and commented the paper: W.S., C.Z., F.W., K.K.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Song, W. F. *et al.* Use of a novel smart heating sleeping bag to improve wearers' local thermal comfort in the feet. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 19326; doi: 10.1038/srep19326 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>