SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Annual soil CO₂ efflux in a cold temperate forest in northeastern China: effects of winter snowpack and artificial nitrogen deposition

Boqi Liu^{1,2}, Changcheng Mou¹, Guoyong Yan^{1,2}, Lijian Xu², Siling Jiang², Yajuan Xing^{2,3}, Shijie Han⁴, Jinghua Yu⁴ & Qinggui Wang^{1,2}

Received: 09 July 2015
Accepted: 02 December 2015
Published: 06 January 2016

We conducted a snow depth 0 cm (non-snowpack), 10 cm, 20 cm, 30 cm and natural depth) gradient experiment under four quantities of nitrogen addition (control, no added N; low-N, 5 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹; medium-N, 10 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹; and high-N, 15 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹) and took an entire-year measurements of soil respiration (*R_s*) in Korean pine forests in northeastern China during 2013–2014. No evidence for effects of N on *R_s* could be found during the growing season. On the other hand, reduction of snowpack decreased winter soil respiration due to accompanied relatively lower soil temperature. We found that winter temperature sensitivities (*Q₁₀*) of *R_s* were significantly higher than the growing season *Q₁₀* under all the N addition treatments. Moderate quantities of N addition (low-N and medium-N) significantly increased temperature sensitivities (*Q₁₀*) of *R_s*, but excessive (high-N) addition decreased it during winter. The Gamma empirical model predicted that winter *R_s* under the four N addition treatments contributed 4.8 ± 0.3% (control), 3.6 ± 0.6% (low-N), 4.3 ± 0.4% (medium-N) and 6.4 ± 0.5% (high-N) to the whole year *R_s*. Our results demonstrate that N deposition will alter *Q₁₀* of winter *R_s*. Moreover, winter *R_s* may contribute very few to annual *R_s* budget.

Soil respiration (*R_s*) is the second largest carbon (C) flux (68–80 Pg C yr⁻¹) between the atmosphere and terrestrial ecosystem¹. Unprecedented global warming and nitrogen (N) deposition can impact *R_s* through the change in soil temperature² and nutrient availability³. How this second largest C flux responds to the changes of soil temperature and nutrient availability will exert substantial influence on global C cycle and climate feedbacks^{4,5}. Even though many previous studies have explored the main effects of warming^{1,6} and nutrient availability^{5,7,8}, a multifactor manipulative experiment is still needed to develop a comprehensive understanding of *R_s* dynamics under the changes in these driving factors.

N deposition has been considered as a potential driving factor caused by global change, which exerts various effects on forest ecosystem processes in the cold temperate forests in northeastern China⁹. Many previous studies have reported that additions of N into forest soils exerted various effects (promoted, inhibited and unchanged) on *R_s* rates and its temperature sensitivity^{10–13}. Understanding the season patterns of temperature sensitivity of soil respiration and its effect factors is very important to quantify the C cycle. In cold temperate forests and boreal forests, previous field experiments of the N deposition effect on CO₂ flux have been performed during the growing season^{14,15}, and it is partly responded the *R_s* of whole year. However, snowpack exerts pronounced impacts on soil respiration in winter¹⁶, and also the changes of increasing N deposition to *R_s* during both winter and the growing season in these cold biome should be receiving more attention. The temperature sensitivity of soil respiration is a key parameter in the estimate of C budget, and a comprehensive understanding of how the impacts of N addition and season variation on temperature sensitivity of soil respiration still remains need^{11,12}.

¹Center for Ecological Research, Northeast Forestry University, 26 Hexing Road, Harbin, 150040, China. ²College of Agricultural Resource and Environment, Heilongjiang University, 74 Xuefu Road, Harbin, 150080, China. ³Institute of forestry science of Heilongjiang province, 134 Haping Road, Harbin 150081, China. ⁴State key laboratory of forest and ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 72 Wenhua Road, Shenyang, 110015, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Y.X. (email: xingyajuan@163.com) or Q.W. (email: qgwang1970@163.com)

Cold temperate forests in northeastern China experience seasonal snow cover, where snowpack is continuous for half of a year¹⁷. But most measurements of R_s in the cold temperate forests of China were conducted during the growing season due to the difficulty in field measuring CO_2 efflux in winter. However, in these cold biomes, seasonal snow cover is one of the most important variables controlling processes of forest ecosystem C cycle¹⁸. Changes in durations and depth of snowpack would lead to large differences in soil temperature, moisture, accompanied changed microbial activities¹⁹ and root activities^{20,21}. Snow cover provides an insulated layer, providing a stable environment for cold resistant microorganisms²² and the continual microbial activities might lead to winter soil respiration¹⁷. The measurements of R_s throughout an entire year to elucidate the seasonality of R_s and its potential driving factors in this forest ecosystems is needed.

The main objectives of this study were to examine effects of enhanced N deposition on soil respiration during an entire year (both the growing season and winter). In brief, we want to (1) explore relationships of R_s against soil temperature and moisture in different N addition treatments during the growing season and winter; (2) examine the effects of seasonal snow cover on winter R_s ; and (3) quantify annual and seasonal R_s in an entire year. We hypothesized that low-levels of N addition promoted R_s and high-levels of N addition inhibited R_s , and R_s was significantly decreased with the reduction of snowpack depth.

Materials and Methods

Site description. The experiment was conducted at Fenglin Natural Reserve of Leseer Khingan Mountains in Heilongjiang Province, Northeast China (48°02′–48°12′ N, 128°58′–129°15′ E). The reserve is a cold temperate forest characterized by a continental monsoon climate. Mean annual air temperature is -0.5°C (1959–2013), with monthly mean air temperature ranging from -24.2°C in January to 20.3°C in July. Annual precipitation ranges from 680 to 750 mm. The snowpack lasts for 149 days in the measurement year. The forest is dominated by old-growth (210 years) spruce (*Picea koraiensis*), fir (*Abies nephrolepis*) and Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*) with a mean stand density of 972 ± 96 trees ha^{-1} , a mean diameter at breast height (1.3 m) of 13.7 ± 7.5 cm and a mean tree height of 16.7 ± 5.3 m.

Experimental treatment. The experiment was conducted within three random blocks, each consisting of four plots measuring $20\text{ m} \times 20\text{ m}$, and the plots were separated by 10-m wide buffer strips. Four N addition treatments were included in this study: control (no added N), low-N ($5\text{ g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$), medium-N ($10\text{ g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$) and high-N ($15\text{ g N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$), with three replicates randomly distributed at each treatment^{23–26}. Dilute ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) solution was applied to the forest floor every half a month during the growing season (May to October) from 15th May 2010. In each plot, fertilizer was mixed with 32 L of water (equal to 0.08 mm annual precipitation), and applied using a backpack sprayer below the canopy. Two passes were made across each plot to ensure an even distribution of fertilizer. The control plots received 32 L water without N addition. During the winter, we undertook five treatments of snow manipulation at each plot, including depth of 0 cm (no snowpack), 10 cm, 20 cm and 30 cm and natural depth. The natural snow depth in early winter, deep winter and late winter are 33 ± 5 cm, 42 ± 4 cm and 37 ± 5 cm, respectively. It should be noted that since the natural snow depth in early winter (33 ± 5 cm) is very close to the 30 cm snow manipulation treatment, for this period, we only took four snow manipulation treatments, including 0 cm, 10 cm, 20 cm and natural depth. 48 snow fences (1.6 m tall and 20 m long; 4 fences at each plot) were installed in 8th October 2013, and once the depth of snowpack exceeded the prescribed snow depth mentioned above, a nylon mesh was fixed on the top of the fences to prevent further snow accumulation.

Soil respiration and related environmental factors. Growing season R_s was measured every two weeks from May 2013 to October 2013 and the winter R_s was measured every two months during December 2013 to March 2014 (early winter, deep winter and late winter, respectively) considering the severe difficulties of the fieldwork in snow-covered conditions. Practically, for the early and the deep winter, measurements were carried out three days after a heavy snowfall event and for late winter we took the measurement before snow melting. Each observation lasted about a week. For each of 12 plots, we randomly inserted 3 polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) collars (20 cm inside diameter and 12 cm in height) for the growing season measurements and 42 collars for winter measurements (3 replicate collars for each snow manipulation treatment). The soil collars were inserted 9 cm into the soil and 3 cm left above the soil surface, one week before the N addition treatment in 2010. All measurements were taken with a Li-8100 Automated Soil CO_2 Flux System (Li-Cor Inc, Lincoln, NE, USA) between 8:00–11:00 a.m. Each measurement was repeated 3 times for each collar to produce a collar's mean R_s rate. We calculated R_s using exponential regression model with the LI-8100 file viewer application software (LI-8100/8150 Instruction Manual). During the winter, the snow in the collars was carefully removed before measurements and R_s was recorded once the R_s had stabilized to avoid disturbance of remove snowpack. The Li-8100 was kept in an isolated and heated container to protect them from freezing and ensure normal operation.

Discrete soil temperature at 5 cm below surface were monitored simultaneously with the measurement of R_s , using a soil temperature probe (Omega Engineering Inc. USA) connected to Li-8100. Continuous soil temperature at 5 cm below surface soil was monitored hourly by Em-50 data logger (Decagon Devices, Inc. USA; Fig. 1A). Soil samples (O layer and the 0–10 cm soil layer) were taken at 5 randomly distributed points using a handheld auger in each plot in May and September of 2013 and May of 2014. The five soil samples at same soil layer were pooled to one sample. The soil samples were sieved (2 mm) to remove stones and roots, and then stored at -10°C before analysis. The air-dried sub-samples of the same layer were mixed and ground, and filtered with 1 mm sieves for soil total C and total N analysis using an automated TOC/TN analyzer (multi N/C3100, Analytikjena AG, Germany). The soil pH measurements with air-dried soil were conducted in a 1:2.5 soil: water (distilled water) suspension using ACG 808 (Schott Geräte, Germany) digital pH-meter with glass combination electrode. Soil sub-samples (fresh soil) were extracted with 0.2 mol/L KCl solution, and the ammonium and nitrate contents in extracts were

Figure 1. Variations of surface soil temperature (5 cm, A) and mean soil temperature (means $\pm$ sd) across different snowpack depth manipulations during different stages of the winter (B).

measured using indophenol method (spectrophotometrically at 655 nm) and uv-spectrophotometry method (spectrophotometrically at 410 nm) using a UV/VIS Aquamat Spectrophotometer (Thermo Electron Spectroscopy LTD, Cambridge, UK). Soil moisture contents were measured by oven-drying for 24 hours at 105 °C. The ammonium and nitrate were summed as the total inorganic N in the soil layers.

Statistical analysis. The apparent dependence of R_s on temperature was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\ln R_s = a + bT \quad (1)$$

where $\ln R_s$ is the natural log of soil respiration and T is the examined soil temperature at 5 cm below surface. The regression coefficients, a and b , were used to achieve the apparent temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) and the reference respiration rate (R_0 , approximates to R_s at 0 °C) as follows:

$$Q_{10} = e^{(10 \times b)} \quad (2)$$

$$R_0 = e^a \quad (3)$$

Both the Q_{10} and R_0 were separately calculated for winter and the growing season at each N addition treatment. The difference of Q_{10} (or R_0) was statistically tested using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA).

To assess the contribution of winter R_s to that of the whole year, we constructed R_s - T models. Compared to the other models (i.e., Q_{10} model (Eqn. 1), Michaelis-Menten kinetics model²⁷ and Lloyd-Taylor model²), the Gamma model proposed by Khomik *et al.* (2009)²⁸ performed better in simulating the R_s - T relationship and could be expanded to help analyze R_s - T relationship in the context of other environmental factors, such as soil nutrients. We used Gamma model to assess the contribution of winter R_s to that of the whole year at the different quantities of N additions aim to test whether N addition had a significantly impact on contribution of winter R_s .

Gamma model was expressed as following:

$$R_s = (T)^a \times \exp(b + c \times T) \quad (4)$$

where T is ($T_{\text{soil}} + 40$), a , b and c are regression coefficients. T_{soil} is soil temperature under 5 cm below surface.

For each N addition treatment, we separately developed R_s - T models for winter and the growing season (in winter only R_s under natural snow cover was used) in each replicate plot. Then we applied the models to the continuous daily average soil temperature data to calculate the modelled time series of R_s . The start of winter (or the growing season) is defined as the first day when 7-days running mean soil temperature $< 0^\circ\text{C}$ (or $\geq 0^\circ\text{C}$) for at least five consecutive days (Fig. 1A). Two-way ANOVAS were used to examine the effects of N addition and snowpack depth treatments and their interactions on the soil respiration during different stages of winter. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test was used to examine the differences in temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) and the

Figure 2. Soil respiration (R_s) at four quantities of nitrogen addition (control, low-N, medium-N and high-N) treatments and different snowpack depth manipulations during early winter (A), deep winter (B) and late winter (C) (Left); and R_s at different quantities of nitrogen additions treatments (Right). Different letters denote significant differences at $P < 0.05$. Data are means $\pm$ sd.

	Early Winter			Deep Winter			Late Winter		
Sources of deviation	df	F	P	df	F	P	df	F	P
N	3	39.23	<0.0001	3	265.83	<0.0001	3	20.878	<0.0001
Snowpack	3	692.53	<0.0001	4	169.04	<0.0001	4	4.711	<0.01
Interaction	9	21.92	<0.0001	12	12.17	<0.0001	12	25.45	<0.0001

Table 1. Results of the two-way ANOVA for the effects of nitrogen addition and snowpack depth treatments and their interactions on the soil respiration during different stages of winter. Abbreviation: N, nitrogen addition.

reference respiration rate (R_0) during the winter and the growing season. All statistical analyses were performed in using R package (v.2.15.1).

Results

Effects of snowpack and simulated N addition on winter soil respiration. The effects of different depths of snowpack on soil CO_2 efflux varied across different periods of the winter (Fig. 2). Soil CO_2 efflux was significantly decreased with the reduction of snowpack depth during both early and deep winter ($F = 692.53$ and 169.04 , respectively; $P < 0.001$; Table 1). Across four quantities of N addition treatment, R_s increased from 0.18 – $0.37 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the snowpack removed treatment to 0.69 – $0.82 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the natural snowpack (Fig. 2A) during early winter and from 0.13 – 0.27 to 0.37 – $0.45 \mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for deep winter (Fig. 2B). No statistically significant increases of CO_2 efflux were detected along a gradient of snowpack depth during the late winter (Fig. 2C).

In addition, the effects of simulated N addition on soil CO_2 efflux treatments showed different patterns among the different periods during the winter. For the early winter, the soil CO_2 efflux at low-N, medium-N and high-N treatments were significantly decreased by 12%, 17% and 20% compared to that at control treatment ($P < 0.001$),

	Control-N	Low-N	Medium-N	High-N
Winter Soil Temperature (°C)	-0.19 ± 1.52	-0.21 ± 1.24	0.14 ± 1.13	-1.96 ± 3.50
Winter Soil Moisture (%)	0.54 ± 0.34	0.63 ± 0.45	0.64 ± 0.44	0.60 ± 0.46
pH	4.58 ± 0.34	4.58 ± 0.34	4.60 ± 0.35	4.60 ± 0.35
Soil Carbon Density ($\text{kg C}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$)	14.28 ± 5.87	14.41 ± 4.67	14.83 ± 5.69	14.85 ± 3.94
Soil Nitrogen Density ($\text{kg N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$)	2.03 ± 0.59	1.96 ± 0.64	2.01 ± 0.72	2.15 ± 0.52
Winter Soil CO_2 Flux ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	0.59 ± 0.15	0.47 ± 0.21	0.61 ± 0.17	0.63 ± 0.23

Table 2. Selected soil characteristics and winter soil CO_2 flux at the different quantities of nitrogen additions treatments under natural snow fall. The differences of all parameters are not statistically significant.

Figure 3. The different quantities effects of simulated nitrogen addition (CK, control; TL, low-N; TM, medium-N; and TH, high-N) treatments on the growing season soil respiration.

respectively. Similarly, compared to control during the deep winter, the CO_2 efflux at low-N and high-N treatments decreased by 38% and 46%, respectively ($P < 0.001$), but was not significantly different for medium-N. For the late winter, the soil CO_2 efflux peaked at low-N and medium-N treatment (24% and 20% higher than that of control ($P < 0.05$), and not significantly at high-N treatment. Overall the winter R_s did not differ significantly at the different quantities of N additions treatments under natural snow fall (Table 2).

Effects of simulated N addition on the growing season soil respiration. Overall R_s in the growing season showed a significant seasonality with the minimum occurring in October and the maximum occurring in late July (except the control) (Fig. 3). Soil CO_2 efflux in the growing season ranged from 1.83 to 5.60, 2.00 to 7.26, 1.43 to 6.33 and 1.26 to 5.71 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ for control, low-N, medium-N and high-N treatment, respectively. Significant increase the rates of R_s in the low-N treatment in the growing season (Fig. 3). The medium-N treatment values measured in early August were higher than those of the controls, but the trend to reverse in late August (except September) (Fig. 3). The increase in soil CO_2 efflux caused by high-N treatment was only found in late June and July during the growing season (Fig. 3). However, we found that low-levels of N addition significantly promoted R_s , contrary to the high-levels of N addition.

Effects of simulated N addition on temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. The exponential regression models showed that the temperature sensitivities (Q_{10}) of the winter soil respiration were significantly higher than those of the growing season ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 4). The Q_{10} values of the winter were 7.7, 19.1, 11.6 and 3.1 for control, low-N, medium-N and high-N treatment, respectively, which were higher than the corresponding Q_{10} of 2.4, 1.9, 2.1 and 2.4 for the growing season, respectively ($P < 0.01$; Table 3). Within winter, the Q_{10} values of low- and medium- N treatments were higher than that of control, but much lower Q_{10} value was detected under the high N addition treatment than that of control ($P < 0.01$; Table 3). For the growing season, the Q_{10} at low-N treatment was significantly lower than that of control, but not significant at medium- N and high- N treatments (Table 3).

The contribution of winter R_s to annual R_s . The predicted annual R_s was $974.3 \pm 67.1\text{ g C}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{yr}^{-1}$ (the values of R_s -T model for winter and the growing season) in this cold temperate conifer forest without N addition treatment and winter R_s (the start of winter is defined as the first day when 7-days running mean soil temperature $< 0^\circ\text{C}$ for at least five consecutive days) ($46.8 \pm 1.2\text{ g C}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$) comprised $4.8 \pm 0.3\%$ of the annual total. Low and medium quantities of N addition exerted negative effects on winter R_s and decreased it by 24% (low-N) and 10% (medium-N) compared with the control. High-N increased the modeled winter R_s to $62.4 \pm 1.7\text{ g C}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$. Similarly, the contribution of winter R_s to annual total was declined under low-N and medium-N treatments ($3.6 \pm 0.6\%$ and $4.3 \pm 0.4\%$, respectively) and increased under high-N ($6.4 \pm 0.5\%$).

Figure 4. Differences of R_s temperature dependence between the winter (orange) and the growing season (green) at the different quantities of nitrogen additions (A, control; B, low-N; C, medium-N; and D, high-N) treatments.

Specified periods		Control	Low-N	Medium-N	High-N
Growing Season	Q_{10}	2.4 Aa	1.9 Abc	2.1 Aac	2.4 Aad
	R_0	1.12 Ab	1.49 Aa	1.37 Aa	1.11 Ab
	a	-0.15	0.16	-0.21	-0.25
	b	0.16	0.08	0.20	0.06
	c	0.10	0.06	0.11	0.11
Winter	Q_{10}	7.7 Ba	19.1 Bb	11.6 Bab	3.1 Bc
	R_0	0.53 Ba	0.48 Ba	0.54 Ba	0.47 Ba
	a	1.99	-0.50	-5.97	-6.61
	b	3.84	-1.05	-9.75	-17.98
	c	-4.02	0.46	10.61	34.25

Table 3. Temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}), the reference respiration rate (R_0) and regression models coefficients during the winter and the growing season. Regression models of soil CO_2 efflux against soil temperature at the 5 cm depth for the specified periods. The regression models are of the form: $R_s = (T)^a \times \exp(b + c \times T)$, where a, b and c are regression coefficients. Abbreviation: Letters within a column represent significant differences of Q_{10} and R_0 between the growing season and winter (capital letters) and across different quantities of nitrogen addition (lower cases) at $P < 0.05$.

Discussion

Seasonal snow cover changes winter R_s .

Several studies stated that seasonal snow cover creates an abiotic environment that is more insulated, stable and favorable for soil respiration due to relatively higher soil temperature^{17,29}. Our study also observed the positive effects of snowpack on soil temperature (Fig. 1B) and R_s (Fig. 2). On the other hand, decrease in snowpack depth will induce more soil freezing and thawing events, we observed that R_s was suppressed by a reduction in snowpack depth during the early and deep winter (Fig. 2A,B), which suggested that the effect of insulation was dominant in this periods of winter. However, during the late winter, when soil experiences considerable numbers of freezing and thawing cycles^{17,30,31}, the positive effects of snow insulation on R_s was largely offset by decline in freezing and thawing cycles. As a result, a weak relationship between R_s and snowpack depth was detected during the late winter in this study (Fig. 2C). Results of suppressed

soil respiration after the artificial decreasing snowpack depth suggest a potential positive feedback to climate change which may induce thinning snowpack in the future³².

Effect of N addition on R_s and its temperature sensitivity. Our results demonstrated that artificial N addition significantly suppressed R_s during the early and deep winter. Winter soil respiration is predominantly comprised of heterotrophic respiration that is mainly controlled by microbial community. Previous studies in temperate forests demonstrated that N addition decreased soil microbial biomass^{33,34}, which may decrease the heterotrophic respiration from microbial community in growing season. This suppressed effect of N addition on soil respiration occurred during the winter in this study, which suggested that N addition changed the activity of cold-tolerance microorganisms³⁵. In addition, Tucker (2014)²⁰ found root respiration in mountain forests during winter, and N addition may inhibit root respiration to decrease of R_s during winter.

The Q_{10} of R_s in this study fluctuated from 1.9 to 2.4 along a N addition gradient for the growing season and from 3.1 to 19.1 for the winter, compatible to the range of this parameter in previous studies^{36,37}. Besides, the Q_{10} values during winter are significantly higher than those of the growing season through all N addition treatments in our analysis. High Q_{10} values at temperatures below 0 °C were also reported by a number of estimations under both laboratory conditions³⁸ and field experiments¹⁷. Several mechanisms have been introduced to explain this difference in Q_{10} , including changes in substrate supply and in soil microbial community at the cold circumstances^{39,40}. Recently, Tucker (2014)³⁷ explained this marked increase in Q_{10} below 0 °C as a result of reduction in unfrozen water for substrate diffusion following the conversion of liquid water to ice. The content of unfrozen water in soil shows an exponential relationship between soil temperatures below 0 °C⁴¹, adding an additional source of temperature sensitivity to R_s . Likewise, Lipson *et al.* (2009)⁴² pointed out that seasonal variation in Q_{10} was linked to changes in the composition of the microbial community, and soil microbial communities from under-snow had higher Q_{10} values than the summer and fall communities.

N addition also affected the magnitude of Q_{10} ; however, the effect exhibited a significant variation between the growing season and the winter. During the winter, a low quantity of N addition significantly increased Q_{10} from 7.7 to 19.8 while the high N addition decreased the magnitude to 3.1. During the growing season, the variation in Q_{10} between different N addition treatments became marginal and statistically non-significant except for a slight decrease in Q_{10} under the low N addition treatment. This inconsistency in the response of Q_{10} to N addition might reflect the distinct sensitivity of microbial respiration and root respiration to N. Since the winter R_s is predominantly composed of microbial respiration which is more susceptible to availability of N than root respiration, the R_s during the winter is likely to be affected by the manipulation in soil N⁴³. Furthermore, the different direction of the Q_{10} response to low and high N addition treatments during the winter might suggest a potential change in metabolic pathways⁴⁴ or microbial composition¹⁹ in soil; however, the specific explanations for different response of Q_{10} to different quantity of N addition between seasons still remain unclear.

The contribution of winter R_s to annual R_s along a N addition gradient. In the present study, we modelled the cumulative winter R_s as $46.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ and the contribution of winter R_s to annual total as only $4.8 \pm 0.3\%$ for the control plot. Our estimates of winter R_s and its contribution are both within the range of previously reported ones for temperate forests ($21.6\text{--}84.3 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ and $3\text{--}15\%$)^{17,45–49} and boreal forests ($55.0\text{--}139.1 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ and $8\text{--}25\%$)^{50,51}. In cold temperate forests of China, the previous estimates of winter R_s ranged from 22 to 53 g C m^{-2} ^{17,49}, most of which are lower than that of ours. The CO_2 efflux through soil respiration is not a constant through space and time even for a specific vegetation type and is rather subject to differences in definition of winter¹⁷, occurrence of extreme event⁵², substrate availability⁴⁰, disturbance, freezing and thawing events⁵³, snow insulation³⁹, measurement technique⁴⁶ and statistical analyses.

Variation in estimated cumulative winter R_s among similar forest ecosystems is partly due to the differences in winter snowpack pattern and accompanied soil temperature¹⁷. The depth of natural snowpack (33–42 cm through snowpack duration) of our observation was higher than the one (<30 cm) of Wang *et al.* (2010)⁴⁹ and another (around 30 cm) of Wang *et al.* (2013)¹⁷. Deep snow cover, which acts as an insulating layer, provides a stable respiration soil condition accompanied higher soil temperature around 0 °C²².

We also observed that N addition considerably influenced the contribution of winter R_s to annual total. However, the direction of this influence differed between the low and the high quantity of N addition. Specifically, low N addition suppressed the proportion of winter R_s to annual R_s while high N addition increased it. These distinct responses between different N treatments might partly due to the lower Q_{10} value for high N addition than that of low N treatment (Table 3), which limited the decrease in R_s under extremely cold temperature. Moreover, a low and medium quantities of N addition also increased the growing season R_s (Fig. 2), thus the proportion of winter R_s further declined.

Conclusions

Snowpack enhanced winter R_s mainly through snow-depth-dependent insulation of lower soil temperatures, however, effect of N addition was observed in our study. The season variability of R_s is crucial for estimating global carbon cycle and atmospheric CO_2 concentration. Estimated Q_{10} values under N addition were heterogeneous in temporal pattern (season variability). Under all N addition treatments, Q_{10} of winter R_s was significantly higher than that of growing season R_s . Low-N and medium-N addition significantly increased Q_{10} of winter R_s , but high-N addition decreased it. Winter R_s contributed minor to the annual R_s (ranged from 3.6% to 6.4%) in the cold temperate conifer forest of China, but given the sensitivity of winter R_s to the snowpack depth and nutrient availability, the ongoing climate change may have the potential to alter the annual carbon flux of cold temperate conifer forest in China. It is very important to understand the effects of N addition and temporal pattern on R_s to accurately predict soil CO_2 flux in forest ecosystems under a changing climate.

References

1. Raich, J. & Schlesinger, W. H. The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus B* **44**(2), 81–99 (1992).
2. Lloyd, J. & Taylor, J. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. *Funct Ecol* **8**, 315–323 (1994).
3. Raich, J. W. & Tufekcioglu, A. Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls. *Biogeochemistry* **48**(1), 71–90 (2000).
4. Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Spall, S. A. & Totterdell, I. J. Acceleration of global warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model. *Nature* **408**(6809), 184–187 (2000).
5. Wan, S., Norby, R. J., Ledford, J. & Weltzin, J. F. Responses of soil respiration to elevated CO₂, air warming, and changing soil water availability in a model old-field grassland. *Global Change Biol* **13**(11), 2411–2424 (2007).
6. Luo, Y., Wan, S., Hui, D. & Wallace, L. L. Acclimatization of soil respiration to warming in a tall grass prairie. *Nature* **413**(6856), 622–625 (2001).
7. Mo, J. *et al.* Nitrogen addition reduces soil respiration in a mature tropical forest in southern China. *Global Change Biol* **14**(2), 403–412 (2008).
8. Shao, R., Deng, L., Yang, Q. & Shanguan, Z. Nitrogen fertilization increase soil carbon dioxide efflux of winter wheat field: A case study in Northwest China. *Soil Till Res* **143**, 164–171 (2014).
9. Du, E., Liu, X. & Fang, J. Effects of nitrogen additions on biomass, stoichiometry and nutrient pools of moss *Rhytidium rugosum* in a boreal forest in Northeast China. *Environ. Pollut* **88**, 166–171 (2014).
10. Bowden, R., Rullo, G., Stevens, G. & Steudler, P. Soil fluxes of carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, and methane at a productive temperate deciduous forest. *J Environ Qual* **29**(1), 268–276 (2000).
11. Bowden, R. D., Davidson, E., Savage, K., Arabia, C. & Steudler, P. Chronic nitrogen additions reduce total soil respiration and microbial respiration in temperate forest soils at the Harvard Forest. *For Ecol Manage* **196**(1), 43–56 (2004).
12. Micks, P., Aber, J. D., Boone, R. D. & Davidson, E. A. Short-term soil respiration and nitrogen immobilization response to nitrogen applications in control and nitrogen-enriched temperate forests. *For Ecol Manage* **196**(1), 57–70 (2004).
13. Cleveland, C. C. & Townsend, A. R. Nutrient additions to a tropical rain forest drive substantial soil carbon dioxide losses to the atmosphere. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**(27), 10316–10321 (2006).
14. Du, Y. H. *et al.* Different types of nitrogen deposition show variable effects on the soil carbon cycle process of temperate forests. *Global Change Biol* **20**, 3222–3228 (2014).
15. Sun, Z. Z. *et al.* 2014. The effect of nitrogen addition on soil respiration from a nitrogen-limited forest soil. *Agr forest meteorol* **197**, 103–110 (2014).
16. Blankinship, J. C. & Hart, S. C. Consequences of manipulated snow cover on soil gaseous emission and N retention in the growing season: a meta-analysis. *Ecosphere* **3**, art1 (2012).
17. Wang, C. *et al.* Seasonality of soil CO₂ efflux in a temperate forest: Biophysical effects of snowpack and spring freeze-thaw cycles. *Agr Forest Meteorol* **177**, 83–92 (2013).
18. Campbell, J. L., Mitchell, M. J., Groffman, P. M., Christenson, L. M. & Hardy, J. P. Winter in northeastern North America: a critical period for ecological processes. *Front Ecol Environ* **3**, 314–322 (2005).
19. Freppaz, M. *et al.* Winter-spring transition induces changes in nutrients and microbial biomass in mid-alpine forest soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* **78**, 54–57 (2014).
20. Tucker, C. L., Young, J. M., Williams, D. G. & Ogle, K. Process-based isotope partitioning of winter soil respiration in a subalpine ecosystem reveals importance of rhizospheric respiration. *Biogeochemistry* **121**, 289–408 (2014).
21. Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Glatzel, G. & Jandl, R. Winter soil respiration from an Austrian mountain forest. *Agr For Meteorol* **146**(3–4), 205–215 (2007).
22. Baptist, F., Yoccoz, N. G. & Choler, P. Direct and indirect control by snow cover over decomposition in alpine tundra along a snowmelt gradient. *Plant Soil* **328**(1–2), 397–410 (2010).
23. Tietema, A. & Beier, C. A correlative evaluation of nitrogen cycling in the forest ecosystems of the EC projects NITREX and EXMAN. *For Ecol Manage* **71**(1), 143–151 (1995).
24. Wright, R. F. *et al.* NITREX: responses of coniferous forest ecosystems to experimentally changed deposition of nitrogen. *For Ecol Manage* **71**(1), 163–169 (1995).
25. Aber, J. *et al.* Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. *BioScience* **48**(11), 921–934 (1998).
26. Zhang, W., Mo, J., Zhou, G., Gundersen, P., Fang, Y., Lu, X., Zhang, T. & Dong, S. Methane uptake responses to nitrogen deposition in three tropical forests in southern China. *J Geophys Res* (1984–2012) **113**(D11) (2008).
27. Davidson, E. A., Janssens, I. A. & Luo, Y. On the variability of respiration in terrestrial ecosystems: moving beyond Q₁₀. *Global Change Biol* **12**(2), 154–164 (2006).
28. Khomik, M. *et al.* Debut of a flexible model for simulating soil respiration–soil temperature relationship: Gamma model. *J Geophys Res* **114**, (2009) doi: 10.1029/2008JG000851.
29. Sommerfeld, R., Mosier, A. & Musselman, R. CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O flux through a Wyoming snowpack and implications for global budgets. *Nature* **361**, 140–142 (1993).
30. Zhu, J., He, X., Wu, F., Yang, W. & Tan, B. Decomposition of *Abies faxoniana* litter varies with freeze-thaw stages and altitudes in subalpine/alpine forests of southwest China. *Scand J For Res* **27**(6), 586–596 (2012).
31. Zhu, J., Yang, W. & He, X. Temporal Dynamics of abiotic and biotic factors on leaf litter of three plant species in relation to decomposition rate along a subalpine elevation gradient. *PloS one* **8**(4), e62073 (2013).
32. IPCC. Summary for Policymakers. In: Stocker, T. F. *et al.* (Eds). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2013).
33. Arnebrant, K., Bååth, E. & Söderström, B. Changes in microfungus community structure after fertilization of Scots pine forest soil with ammonium nitrate or urea. *Soil Biol Biochem* **22**(3), 309–312 (1990).
34. Compton, J. E., Watrud, L. S., Arlene Porteous, L. & DeGroot, S. Response of soil microbial biomass and community composition to chronic nitrogen additions at Harvard forest. *For Ecol Manage* **196**(1), 143–158 (2004).
35. Uchida, M., Mo, W., Nakatsubo, T., Tsuchiya, Y., Horikoshi, T. & Koizumi, H. Microbial activity and litter decomposition under snow cover in a cool-temperate broad-leaved deciduous forest. *Agr Forest Meteorol* **134**(1), 102–109 (2005).
36. Tilston, E., Sparrman, T. & Öquist, M. Unfrozen water content moderates temperature dependence of sub-zero microbial respiration. *Soil Biol Biochem* **42**(9), 1396–1407 (2010).
37. Tucker, C. Reduction of air-and liquid water-filled soil pore space with freezing explains high temperature sensitivity of soil respiration below 0 °C. *Soil Biol Biochem* **78**, 90–96 (2014).
38. Elberling, B. & Brandt, K. K. Uncoupling of microbial CO₂ production and release in frozen soil and its implications for field studies of arctic C cycling. *Soil Biol Biochem* **35**(2), 263–272 (2003).
39. Monson, R. K. *et al.* Winter forest soil respiration controlled by climate and microbial community composition. *Nature* **439**(7077), 711–714 (2006).
40. Brooks, P. D., McKnight, D. & Elder, K. Carbon limitation of soil respiration under winter snowpacks: potential feedbacks between growing season and winter carbon fluxes. *Global Change Biol* **11**(2), 231–238 (2005).
41. Patterson, D. & Smith, M. The measurement of unfrozen water content by time domain reflectometry: Results from laboratory tests. *Can Geotech J* **18**(1), 131–144 (1981).

42. Lipson, D. A. *et al.* The trade-off between growth rate and yield in microbial communities and the consequences for under-snow soil respiration in a high elevation coniferous forest. *Biogeochemistry* **95**(1), 23–35 (2009).
43. Zhang, C. *et al.* Effects of simulated nitrogen deposition on soil respiration components and their temperature sensitivities in a semiarid grassland. *Soil Biol Biochem* **75**, 113–123 (2014).
44. Stone, M. M. *et al.* Temperature sensitivity of soil enzyme kinetics under N-fertilization in two temperate forests. *Global Change Biol* **18**(3), 1173–1184 (2012).
45. Hirano, T. Seasonal and diurnal variations in topsoil and subsoil respiration under snowpack in a temperate deciduous forest. *Global Biogeochem Cy* **19**, doi: 10.1029/2004GB002259 (2005).
46. Mariko, S. *et al.* Winter CO₂ flux from soil and snow surfaces in a cool-temperate deciduous forest, Japan. *Ecol Res* **15**(4), 363–372 (2000).
47. Mo, W. *et al.* Seasonal and annual variations in soil respiration in a cool-temperate deciduous broad-leaved forest in Japan. *Agr Forest Meteorol* **134**(1), 81–94 (2005).
48. Schindlbacher, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Glatzel, G. & Jandl, R. Winter soil respiration from an Austrian mountain forest. *Agr Forest Meteorol* **146**(3), 205–215 (2007).
49. Wang, W., Peng, S., Wang, T. & Fang, J. Winter soil CO₂ efflux and its contribution to annual soil respiration in different ecosystems of a forest-steppe ecotone, north China. *Soil Biol Biochem* **42**(3), 451–458 (2010).
50. Kurganova, I. *et al.* Annual and seasonal CO₂ fluxes from Russian southern taiga soils. *Tellus B* **55**(2), 338–344 (2003).
51. Niinistö, S., Kellomäki, S. & Silvola, J. Seasonality in a boreal forest ecosystem affects the use of soil temperature and moisture as predictors of soil CO₂ efflux. *Biogeosciences* **8**(11) 3, 169–3186 (2011).
52. Ciais, P. *et al.* Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by the heat and drought in 2003. *Nature* **437**(7058), 529–533 (2005).
53. Wang, Y. *et al.* Non-growing-season soil respiration is controlled by freezing and thawing processes in the summer-monsoon-dominated Tibetan alpine grassland. *Global Biogeochem Cy* **28**, doi: 10.1002/2013GB 004760 (2014).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Wang Y.H. at Peking University for his comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this manuscript. We thank 2 anonymous reviewers whose comments greatly improved a previous version of this paper. This research was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (31170421, 31370494, 31070406), and the key projects of Heilongjiang Province Natural Science Foundation(ZD201406), the National Basic Research Priorities Program of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2014FY110600), the National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB403200).

Author Contributions

Q.W. and Y.X. contributed the whole manuscript preparation and design, Q.W., Y.X., B.L., C.M. and G.Y. wrote the main manuscript text, Q.W., Y.X., B.L. and G.Y. prepared all figures, L.X., S.J., S.H. and J.Y. collected literatures and prepared Tables 1, 2 and 3. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Liu, B. *et al.* Annual soil CO₂ efflux in a cold temperate forest in northeastern China: effects of winter snowpack and artificial nitrogen deposition. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 18957; doi: 10.1038/srep18957 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>