# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 09 July 2015 Accepted: 16 November 2015 Published: 11 December 2015

## **OPEN** Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C Composites with **Tunable Atomic Ratios for Excellent Electromagnetic Absorption Properties**

Hualiang Lv<sup>1</sup>, Guangbin Ji<sup>1</sup>, Haiqian Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Meng Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhongzheng Zuo<sup>1</sup>, Yue Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Baoshan Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Dongming Tang<sup>2</sup> & Youwei Du<sup>3</sup>

The shell on the nano-magnetic absorber can prevent oxidation, which is very important for its practical utilization. Generally, the nonmagnetic shell will decrease the integral magnetic loss and thus weaken the electromagnetic absorption. However, maintaining the original absorption properties of the magnetic core is a major challenge. Here, we designed novel and facile Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C composites by reducing Co.,  $Fe_{3-v}O_{4}$  (a) phenolic resin (x = 1, 0.5 and 0.25). High saturation magnetization value (Ms) of Co., Fe, particle, as a core, shows the interesting magnetic loss ability. Meanwhile, the carbon shell may increase the integral dielectric loss. The resulting composite shows excellent electromagnetic absorption properties. For example, at a coating thickness of 2 mm, the RL<sub>min</sub> value can reach to -23 dB with an effective frequency range of 7 GHz (11–18 GHz). The mechanisms of the improved microwave absorption properties are discussed.

Solving the oxidization problem of magnetic metal has aroused extreme attention in the field of electromagnetic absorption. It is well known that an ideal electromagnetic absorber should have a high impedance matching (more electromagnetic waves can be incident on the absorber with less reflection) and strong electromagnetic wave attenuation<sup>1-4</sup>. Of all the absorbers, magnetic materials have aroused more attention than pure dielectric absorbers because they have clear impedance matching properties and a desired magnetic loss ability<sup>5</sup>. Thus, many works related to magnetic materials have been widely studied in recent years. Currently, coin-like iron with a minimum reflection loss of -53 dB was reported by our group<sup>6</sup> and the sphere-like Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>3-x</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with an optimal reflection loss value of  $-41.098 \, \text{dB}^7$  has been produced by Ji et al. Meanwhile, Tong and coworkers reported a flower-like Co with a  $RL_{min}$  value of  $-40.25 \, dB^8$ . Nevertheless, despite the fact that these magnetic absorbers have achieved fascinating electromagnetic absorption properties, most magnetic metals (i.e.: Fe, Co, Ni) are restricted by the poor chemical stability and easily convert into nonmagnetic oxide, *i.e.*  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Co<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, etc. This process will seriously affect their magnetic properties and magnetic loss ability. Moreover, many stable ferrites such as  $CoFe_2O_4$  have poor absorption properties due to their low Ms (usually below 100 emu/g). It should be explained that a high Ms is beneficial to impedance matching behavior and permeability values including the real part ( $\mu'$ ) and the imaginary part  $(\mu'')^{9,10}$ . The big  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  values are thought to be the main reason why the magnetic materials are superior to the dielectric materials (*i.e.*: ZnO, CuS, TiO<sub>2</sub>) according to the following equations<sup>11</sup>:

$$\iota' = 1 + (M/H)\cos\sigma \tag{1}$$

$$\mu'' = (M/H)\sin\sigma \tag{2}$$

Here, M represents magnetization, H is the external magnetic field, and  $\sigma$  stands for the phase lag angle of magnetization behind external magnetic field. From equations (1-2), we conclude that a high magnetization value is quite important. To solve the oxidation problem, a core-shell structure may be an effective strategy. For example, Yang

ŀ

<sup>1</sup>College of Material Science and Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, P. R. China. <sup>2</sup>School of Electronic Science and Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China. <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.J. (email: gbji@nuaa.edu.cn)





.....

*et al.* utilized the SiO<sub>2</sub> shell to protect the cube-like Fe out of air<sup>12</sup>. Similarly, the SiO<sub>2</sub> shell has been widely used in other types of absorbers including Ni and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>13,14</sup>. Unfortunately, these results revealed that an insulated SiO<sub>2</sub> coating shell is harmful to the integral  $\mu'$ ,  $\mu''$  as well as to dielectric. Thus, other efforts have focused on replacing SiO<sub>2</sub> with improved high dielectric loss materials.

Most dielectric materials (*e.g.* TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO) are form shells and show high chemical stability due to their matched lattice and surface free energy<sup>15</sup>. Next, the high dielectric loss shell can make up a slight decrease in the magnetic loss. Among these absorbers, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-based core-structures have been widely studied including Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@  $ZrO_2^{16}$ , Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub><sup>17</sup>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SnO<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@CuSiO<sub>3</sub><sup>19</sup>. Of course, other magnetic/dielectric structures have also been reported including Fe@SnO<sub>2</sub><sup>20</sup> and Co@ZnO<sup>21</sup>. In fact, these pristine magnetic cores did not show the interesting complex permeability value due to their smaller *Ms*. After introducing the non-magnetic material, the integral magnetic loss ability will further decrease. Therefore, a high *Ms* magnetic core is needed. Of the magnetic materials, FeCo shows a higher *Ms* than most magnetic materials. In our previous work, we successfully synthesized the hexagonal cone-like Fe<sub>50</sub>Co<sub>50</sub> with a high *Ms* value of 225 emu/g—higher than the theoretical value of 218 emu/g. Surprisingly, the corresponding  $\mu'$  of Fe<sub>50</sub>Co<sub>50</sub> is more than 2 at the early frequency region, which is larger than most of magnetic materials (usually at 1.5). Furthermore, the Fe<sub>50</sub>Co<sub>50</sub> displays better air-stability at room temperature than Fe or Co single state<sup>22</sup>.

In this study, the  $Co_xFe_y@C$  composite was obtained easily by using  $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$  as the core. The carbon shell with tunable thickness in the final composite has the following advantages versus other dielectric materials. 1) Most dielectric shells cannot exist in the acid or alkaline environment while carbon can endure such unfriendly conditions. 2) The higher dielectric loss ability makes the composite exhibit multiple-attenuation ability. 3) The lower density of the carbon shell may lead to a light-weight absorber. 4) The electromagnetic absorption properties can be easily tuned by adjusting the carbon shell thickness. Such a composite offers high stability and strong absorption performance. In additional, the high Ms of  $Co_xFe_y$  may result in the magnetic loss. Furthermore, the Co and Fe atomic ratio can be tuned by adjusting the x value in the  $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$ .

#### Results

Detailed information about the representative  $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$  (CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanospheres) is listed in Fig. 1a–d. From Fig. 1a, all diffraction peaks can be matched well with the CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> planes (PDF card No.: 22–1086). At the same time, the nanoscale CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> presents a 60–80 nm sphere (Fig. 1b–d).

The XRD patterns of these samples are shown in Fig. 2. We infer that the carbon shell does not influence the crystal structure of  $CoFe_2O_4$ . That is, the  $CoFe_2O_4@C$  and  $CoFe_2O_4$  share identical diffraction peaks. As for the  $Co_xFe_y@C$  composite, the obvious diffraction peaks at 44.6 and 64.9° belong to the iron-cobalt phase. No any other impurity peaks including Fe or Co oxidation peaks can be observed indicating the high-purity of these samples. The inset reveals that the main diffraction peaks exhibit a slight left shift from S1 to S3, which is consistent with



Figure 2. The XRD patterns of S0-S1 samples (a) and the XRD dates of the S1 tested at different days (b).

Aguirre's report<sup>23</sup>. To demonstrate the anti-oxidation of  $Co_xFe_y@C$ , sample S1 has been further characterized on different days (Fig. 2b). Obviously, there is little change after S1 is exposed to air for 15, 30, and 45 days. The atomic ratios of each sample tested by ICP (Co/Fe ratio: S1: 1/1.97; S2: 1/5.01; and S3: 1/11.05) are close to the initial Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Co<sup>2+</sup> ratio (S1: CoFe<sub>2</sub>; S2: CoFe<sub>5</sub>; and S3: CoFe<sub>1</sub>).

The detailed core-shell structures of these products were investigated by TEM. In Fig. 3a, the carbon shell with a shell thickness of 9 nm is obvious. As described in Fig. 3b, CoFe<sub>2</sub> was surrounded by a clear carbon layer. The thickness of the carbon shell is up to 23 nm (see Fig. 3d). Meanwhile, it is worth noting that the size of the S1 is slightly decreased versus the original CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Such a change may be attributed to the loss of the O element and the structure shrinkage during reduction. As for S2 and S3, the corresponding carbon shell thicknesses are 16 and 12 nm, respectively.

Actually, the thickness of the carbon is tunable by adjusting the mass of resorcinol as seen in Fig. 4a,b. When the resorcinol dosage reduces to 0.25 and 0.1 g, the carbon shell thickness of S1 decreases to 19 and 16 nm, respectively (See Fig. 4).

Figure 5 compares the magnetization loops of the composites. Generally, the Ms value of pure  $CoFe_2O_4$  is below 80 emu/g<sup>24</sup>. When  $CoFe_2O_4$  was coated with nonmagnetic carbon, the magnetization values decrease abruptly. Here, the magnetization value of S0 is only 37 emu/g, which dampens its magnetic loss ability. However, the magnetization values of S1-S3 still remain at high (160~170 emu/g), close to the Ms value of bulk Co (162 emu/g). The larger magnetization value is not only beneficial for impedance matching, but also results in strong magnetic loss ability. It is also known that a high coercive force value (*Hc*) will make the resonance peak shift to a high frequency region. Figure 5b confirms that all the *Hc* values of  $Co_xFe_y@C$  composites are bigger than 100 Oe, and S3 has the highest *Hc* value of 175 Oe. The other two samples are 134 and 167 Oe for S1 and S2, respectively.

Figure 6 describes the relationship between the RL data and frequency of these samples. As seen in Fig. 6a–d, the absorption peaks of each sample move to the lower frequency region as the thickness increases. This can be explained by the 1/4 wavelength equation<sup>25</sup>.

$$t_m = nc/4f_m \left(\varepsilon_r \mu_r\right)^{1/2} \tag{3}$$

Here,  $t_m$  and  $f_m$  are the matching thickness and frequency of the RL<sub>min</sub> peaks, and c is the velocity of light. With the carbon modification, all samples enhance the electromagnetic absorption properties versus pure CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (See Fig. S1). However, the Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C composites (S1~S3) offer an interesting RL<sub>min</sub> value and effective frequency width at all the tested frequency. For example, it is clear that in Fig. 6, the optimal RL<sub>min</sub> value of S0 is no more than -20 dB at a larger coating layer of 3 mm (RL<sub>min</sub> < -10 dB means 90% of attenuation). But, the optimal RL<sub>min</sub> value for S3 is close to -38 dB with a relatively thin coating layer of 2.5 mm. The optimal RL<sub>min</sub> values of S1 and S2 are up to -28 and -27 dB with a thickness of 3.0 and 2.0 mm, respectively.

The effective frequency range for an ideal electromagnetic absorber (RL<sub>min</sub> < -10 dB) is another important factor to evaluate in the performance of the absorber (Fig. 6 e-h). The yellow area is indexed to the effective frequency width. It is apparent that the yellow area of the S1-S3 samples is much broader than that of the S0. Under a thin coating thickness of 2 mm, the frequency width of the S1-S3 samples is larger than 2 GHz. In particular, the frequency width of S1 is up to 7 GHz (from 11 to 18 GHz) while the S0 is no more than 1 GHz (17–18 GHz). In addition, the as-prepared S1 composite shows a superior absorption property among other similar composites as illustrated in Table 1<sup>26–30</sup>.

#### Discussion

In Fig. 7, the relevant electromagnetic parameters explain the enhancement of the electromagnetic absorption properties. When these magnetic cores are coated with the high dielectric carbon,  $\varepsilon'$  and  $\varepsilon''$  increase but to different extents. Within the tested frequency range, the  $\varepsilon'$  value of the Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C samples are quite a bit bigger than that of S0 (6.2-4). This implies the increasing energy storage ability. The corresponding  $\varepsilon'$  values are ranged from 11.5-7, 14-13, and 19.5-7.4 for S1, S2, and S3, respectively. It is generally believed that  $\varepsilon''$  is related to its dielectric ability. As shown in Fig. 7b, these Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C composites achieve an ideal  $\varepsilon''$  value across most of the frequency spectrum.



Figure 3. The TEM images of the S0 (a), S1 (b–d), S2 (e) and S2 (f).



Figure 4. The TEM images of S1 prepared with different resorcinol mass (a) 0.25 g (b) 0.1 g.

The obvious resonance peaks are benefit the high  $\varepsilon''$  values. Because of this, the  $\varepsilon''$  values of the S1-S3 samples span a wide range. For instance, 1–10.5 for S1, 0.3–9 for S2 and 2–5 for S3—the S0 sample has a relatively narrow region of 2–2.8 (Fig. S2). In this framework, the larger  $\varepsilon''$  of S1-S3 mainly come from the high dielectric carbon shell. It is widely believed that now earbon has excellent electric conduction properties. As a result the carbon shell

It is widely believed that pure carbon has excellent electric conduction properties. As a result, the carbon shell will increase the  $\varepsilon''$  value after being composited with materials. Meanwhile, the metallic feature of the Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub> alloy



Figure 5. The M-H loops (a) -10 kOe-10 kOe (b) -400 Oe-400 Oe.

Sample	Thickness	RL <sub>min</sub>	Effective Frequency	Ref.
FeNi@C	2.0 mm	-33.0 dB	<1.0 GHz	26
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @C	2.0 mm	-20.7 dB	$\sim$ 4.8 GHz	27
Fe@C	2.7 mm	-25.0 dB	<3.0 GHz	28
Ni@C	2.0 mm	-32.0 dB	$\sim$ 4.3 GHz	29
Fe55Co45@C	2.5 mm	-15.8 dB	$\sim 2.0  \mathrm{GHz}$	30
Fe60Co40@C	2.5 mm	-16.4 dB	$\sim$ 5.0 GHz	30
This study	2.0 mm	-30.0 dB	$\sim$ 7.0 GHz	

Table 1. The comparison of the  $RL_{\min}$  and effective frequency of other reported magnetic@dielectric composites.

## core will strengthen the electric conduction and therefore further increase its $\varepsilon''$ value. In addition, the presence of resonance peaks among the S1~S3 samples also favor the intensity $\varepsilon''$ value.

Many factors may cause these resonance peaks, including ionic, electronic, atomic, interface and dipole relaxation polarization<sup>31</sup>. Whereas at high frequency regions, these resonance peaks are impossible to separate from electronic and atomic polarization (below GHz)<sup>32</sup>. Therefore, they probably result from the interface and dipole polarization. The presence interface between the  $Co_x Fe_y$  core and carbon shell is apt to induce interface polarization according to similar literature<sup>33,34</sup>. At the same time, the loss of O during the reduction process may generate many lattice defects. These lattice defects act as polarization centers that are reflected in increasing  $\varepsilon'$  values. Meanwhile, these dipoles will alter their direction under an external electromagnetic field. This procedure increases the attenuation of the electromagnetic energy ability<sup>35</sup>. At the same time, the carbon shell and  $Fe_xCo_y$  shows different electrical conductivity and polarity properties. Under the external magnetic field, the composite will lead to strong interface polarization effects. Figure 7c,d reveal the real/imaginary values of permeability ( $\mu'/\mu''$ ). The higher magnetization values of the  $Co_xFe_y@C$  composites are significantly better at magnetic loss. The  $\mu'$  value of the S1 is up to 1.6-0.9, 1.3-1.0 for S2 and 1.6-1.1 for S3—values that are much larger than S0 (1.1-0.9). Meanwhile, the  $Co_xFe_y@C$ composites share better magnetic loss advantages with all the  $\mu''$  values of  $Co_xFe_y@C$  samples located at 0.7-0.1 and quite bigger than S0 (below 0.1) and other types of pure magnetic materials including hollow cobalt (~0.2)<sup>36</sup>, nanoring-like Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (0-0.4)<sup>37</sup>, and hexagonal flake Fe (0.15-0.3)<sup>38</sup>.

In addition, there are at least two resonance peaks in these composites. These peaks are susceptible to magnetic loss due to eddy current effects, natural resonance and exchange resonance<sup>39</sup>. While eddy current effects can attenuate a small part of incidence electromagnetic wave, it also generates eddy current and hinders electromagnetic waves entering the absorber. The eddy current can be expressed as<sup>40</sup>:

$$C_0 = \mu''(\mu')^{-2} f^{-1} = 2\pi\mu_0 d^2\delta \tag{4}$$

If the resonance peak comes from the eddy current,  $C_0$  will be a constant. As seen from Fig. 8, these values of  $C_0$  change with increasing frequency and thus can rule out the eddy current. For  $Co_xFe_y@C$  nanocomposites, the natural resonance is always weak and can be neglected. The small size (less than 100 nm) can arouse strong exchange resonance. In particular, the lower magnetic anisotropy may increase the magnetic moment coupling and lead to obvious exchange resonance. Thus, we can deduce that both peaks are exchange resonance.

The enhanced electromagnetic absorption property is directly controlled by the impedance matching ratio and attenuation constant  $\alpha$ . This means that the integrated attenuation effect of magnetic and dielectric loss is based on the following equation<sup>41,42</sup>:



Figure 6. The reflection loss data (a–d) and effective frequency region (e–h) of S0–S3.

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi f}{c} \times \sqrt{(\mu''\varepsilon'' - \mu'\varepsilon') + \sqrt{(\mu''\varepsilon'' - \mu'\varepsilon')^2 + (\mu'\varepsilon'' + \mu''\varepsilon')^2}}$$
(5)

Versus S0, the larger  $\varepsilon'$  and  $\varepsilon''$  values of S1-S3 are not favorable for impedance matching. Due to the contribution of magnetization properties, the impedance matching ratio values of S1-S3 are smaller than S0, Nevertheless, the Co<sub>x</sub>Fey@C composites present fascinating attenuation ability according to Fig. 9a. Figure 9b confirms all the tested frequencies, and the attenuation values of S1-S0 are much bigger than S0.

Based on this discussion, we conclude that the enhanced electromagnetic absorption mechanism can be ascribed to the following aspects. First, the high Ms of  $Co_xFe_y$  core can retain high magnetization values in the



Figure 7. The electromagnetic parameters of S0-S3: real part (a)/imaginary part (b) of permittivity and real part (c) and imaginary part (d) of permeability.



Figure 8. The  $C_0$ -f curve of S1–S3.

composite and further improve the impedance matching. Meanwhile, the obvious magnetic loss also raises the electromagnetic wave loss ability that originates from its high magnetization. Nevertheless, the magnetization value of S0 decreases to a lower value (less than 40 emu/g) after the carbon coating. Such a lower magnetization value make it weak at magnetic loss in each  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  value. Second, ultra-small size of the  $Co_xFe_y$  alloy is apt to form an obvious exchange resonance that effectively suppresses current eddy effects. These multi-resonance peaks also play a vital role on the  $RL_{min}$  value and frequency width. Third, due to the high dielectric loss carbon shell, the presence interface between  $Co_xFe_y$  and carbon may lead to remarkable interface polarization. The presence of lattice defects resulted from the loss of O that cause dipole polarization. The appearance of multi-resonance in the imaginary part is very favorable for electromagnetic wave loss. However, for  $CoFe_2O_4@C$ , we can hardly observe any obvious resonance peaks. This can be explained in that  $CoFe_2O_4$  is the spinel structure and  $Co^{2+}$  occupies an





.....

A site while  $Fe^{3+}$  remains in the B site. The electron will transfer from the A to the B and increase polarization<sup>43</sup>. This type of polarization is weak and occurs in the interior of a single spindle structure. As a result, the  $CoFe_2O_4$  core is not sensitive to the carbon shell and thus impairs the interface polarization.

#### Conclusions

In summary, we took advantage of the high chemical stability and dielectric loss feature of carbon to coat magnetic metals and prevent oxidation. In this context, the high Ms of  $Co_xFe_y$  (CoFe<sub>2</sub>, CoFe<sub>5</sub>, and CoFe<sub>11</sub>) as the core increases the integral magnetic loss ability. The electromagnetic absorption between  $Co_xFe_y@C$  and  $CoFe_2O_4@C$  demonstrates that the  $Co_xFe_y@C$  is superior in microwave absorption at the  $RL_{min}$  with effective frequency. The enhanced microwave absorption can be attributed to the exchange resonance, interface and dipole polarization. The as-prepared sample has excellent stability after long-term air exposure.

#### Method

Ammonium hydroxide ( $NH_4OH$ ), glucose, formaldehyde, ferric chloride ( $FeCl_3$ ), cobalt acetate ( $Co(Ac_2)$ ), urea and ethylene glycol (EG), ethanol and resorcinol were purchased from the Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. All chemical regents were analytically pure and used without further purification.

 $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$  sphere-like nanoparticles preparation. The  $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$  sphere-like nanoparticles were synthesized by a simple solvthermal approach. The  $Co(Ac)_2$  and  $FeCl_3$  were mixed into a 30 mL EG solution for magnetic string 30 min. Then, before the mixed solution was transferred to 50 mL autoclave, 15 mmol urea was added into the solution. The autoclave was heated at 200 °C for 12 h. After the temperature cooled to room temperature, the precipitate was collected by magnetic separation. The representative  $CoFe_2O_4$  was prepared when x was set to 1.

**Synthesis of Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C.** Initially, the 0.8 g of as-prepared  $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$  (X was set as 1, 0.5 and 0.25 and marked as S1, S2, and S3) were dispersed into a solution that contains 80 mL distilled water, 20 mL ethanol solution and 1 mL NH<sub>3</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O with ultrasonic mixing for 1 h. Next, 0.5 g resorcinol and 3 mL formaldehyde were added to the above mixture for polymerization for 1 day. The generated  $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$ @phenolic resin was reduced by hydrogen gas ( $V_{H2}/V_{N2} = 10:90$ ) at 500 °C for 2 h. The heating ramp ratio was controlled at 1 °C/min. For comparison, the most representative CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C (named as S0) was obtained in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere only and identical conditions.

**Characterization.** Phase analysis was conducted depending on the powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns (Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.154178$  nm with 40 kV scanning voltage, 40 mA scanning current and scanning range from 20 to 80°). The core-shell features of these composites were detected with transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM JEOL 2100). The magnetic properties of coercive force (Hc) and magnetization date were acquired by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, Lakeshore, Model 7400 series) at room temperature (298 K). The atomic ratio of Fe and Co was measured with inductively coupled plasma (ICP, Optimal 5300DV).

**Electromagnetic parameters tests.** The S parameters including S11, S12, S21, and S22 were measured with an Agilent PNA N5224A vector network analyzer using the coaxial-line method. The samples were prepared by homogeneously mixing the paraffin wax and sample (mass ratio: 50:50) and then pressing into toroidal-shaped samples ( $\Phi$ out:7.0 mm,  $\Phi$ in:3.04 mm). Subsequently, the Agilent PNA software can process the  $\varepsilon'$ ,  $\varepsilon''$ ,  $\mu'$ ,  $\mu''$  values. Finally, the RL value with 2–3.5 mm can be determined by the following formulas<sup>44,45</sup>.

$$Z_{\rm in} = Z_{\rm o} \left(\mu_{\rm r} / \varepsilon_{\rm r}\right)^{1/2} \, \tanh\left[j\left(2\pi f d\left(\mu_{\rm r} \varepsilon_{\rm r}\right)^{1/2}/c\right)\right] \tag{6}$$

$$RL(dB) = 20 \log |(Z_{in} - Z_o)/(Z_{in} + Z_o)|$$
(7)

Here,  $Z_{in}$  is the input impedance of the absorber, f is the frequency of the electromagnetic wave, d is the coating thickness of the absorber and c is the velocity of electromagnetic wave in free space. Terms  $\varepsilon_r$  ( $\varepsilon_r = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''$ ) and  $\mu_r$  ( $\mu_r = \mu' - j\mu''$ ) are the complex permittivity and permeability of the absorber.

#### References

- 1. Zhao, T. K. et al. Electromagnetic Wave Absorbing Properties of Amorphous Carbon Nanotubes. Sci. Rep. 4, 5619–5624 (2014).
- Lv, H. L., Ji, G. B., Zhang, H. Q. & Du, Y. W. Facile synthesis of a CNT@Fe@SiO<sub>2</sub> ternary composite with enhanced microwave absorption performance. RSC. Adv. 5, 76836–76843 (2015).
- Wang, L. *et al.* Hierarchical graphene@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocluster@carbon@MnO<sub>2</sub> nanosheet array composites: synthesis and microwave absorption performance. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 17, 5878–5886 (2015).
- 4. Tejendra, K. G. *et al.* MnO<sub>2</sub> decorated graphene nanoribbons with superior permittivity and excellent microwave shielding properties. *J. Mater. Chem. A.* **2**, 4256–4263 (2014).
- Liu, Y., Liu, X. X., Li, R., Wen, W. & Wang, X. J. Design and fabrication of carbon fiber/carbonyl iron core-shell structure composites as high performance microwave absorbers. RSC Adv. 5, 8713–8720 (2015).
- 6. Lv, H. L. *et al.* Facile synthesis of porous coin-like iron and its excellent electromagnetic absorption performance. *RSC Adv.* 5, 25936–25941 (2015).
- Ji, R. L., Cao, C. B., Chen, Z., Zhai, H. Z. & Ju, B. Solvothermal synthesis of Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>3-x</sub>O4 spheres and their microwave absorption properties. J. Mater. Chem. C. 2, 5944–5953 (2014).
- Tong, G. X. et al. Flower-like Co superstructures: Morphology and phase evolution mechanism and novel microwave electromagnetic characteristics. CrystEngComm. 14, 2071–2079 (2012).
- Zhang, S. L. *et al.* Preparation of rugby-shaped CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles and their microwave absorbing properties. J. Mater. Chem. A. 2, 18033–18039 (2014).
- Li, G. M., Wang, L. C., Li, W. X., Ding, R. M. & Xu, Y. CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and/or Co<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>7</sub> loaded porous activated carbon balls as a lightweight microwave absorbent. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 16, 12385–12392 (2014).
- 11. Lu, B. *et al.* Influence of alloy components on electromagnetic characteristics of core/shell-type Fe–Ni nanoparticles. *J. Appl. Phys.* **104**, 114313–114318 (2008).
- 12. Yang, Z. H., Li, Z. W., Yu, L. H., Yang, Y. H. & Xu, Z. C. Achieving high performance electromagnetic wave attenuation: a rational design of silica coated mesoporous iron microcubes. J. Mater. Chem. C. 2, 7583–7588 (2014).
- Zhao, B., Shao, G., Fan, B. B., Zhao, W. Y. & Zhang, R. Investigation of the electromagnetic absorption properties of Ni@TiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni@SiO<sub>2</sub> composite microspheres with core-shell structure. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 17, 2531–2539 (2015).
- Ren, Y. L. *et al.* Three-dimensional SiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> core/shell nanorod array/graphene architecture: synthesis and electromagnetic absorption properties. *Nanoscale*. 5, 12296–12303 (2013).
- Liu, J. W. et al. Microwave Absorption Enhancement of Multifunctional Composite Microspheres with Spinel Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Cores and Anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> Shells. Small. 8, 1214–1221 (2012).
- Yu, M. et al. Yolk-shell Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@ZrO<sub>2</sub> prepared by a tunable polymer surfactant assisted sol-gel method for high temperature stable microwave absorption. J. Mater. Chem. C. 2, 7275–7283 (2014).
- Liu, J. W. et al. Hierarchical Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> Yolk–Shell Microspheres with Enhanced Microwave-Absorption Properties. Chem. Eur. J. 19, 6746–6752 (2013).
- Chen, Y. J. et al. Porous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/SnO<sub>2</sub> Core/Shell Nanorods: Synthesis and Electromagnetic Properties. J. Phys. Chem. C. 117, 10061–10064 (2009).
- Liu, J. W. et al. Synthesis and Microwave Absorption Properties of Yolk-Shell Microspheres with Magnetic Iron Oxide Cores and Hierarchical Copper Silicate Shells. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 5, 2503–2509 (2013).
- Qi, X. S. et al. Controllable and Large-Scale Synthesis of Carbon Nanofibers, Bamboo-Like Nanotubes, and Chains of Nanospheres over Fe/SnO<sub>2</sub> and Their Microwave-Absorption Properties. J. Phys. Chem. C. 114, 808–814 (2010).
- Wei, T., Ji, C. Q., Zhong, W. & Liu, J. M. High permittivity polymer embedded with Co/ZnO core/shell nanoparticles modified by organophosphorus acid. Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 222907–222910 (2007).
- Lv, H. L. et al. Hexagonal-cone like of Fe<sub>50</sub>Co<sub>50</sub> with broad frequency microwave absorption: Effect of ultrasonic irradiation time. J. Alloys. Compds. 615, 1037–1042 (2014).
- 23. Sirvent, P. et al. Effective high-energy ball milling in air of Fe<sub>65</sub>Co<sub>35</sub> alloys. J. Appl. Phys. 115, 17B505–17B507 (2014).
- Xi, L., Wang, Z., Zuo, Y. L. & Shi, X. N. The enhanced microwave absorption property of CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles coated with a Co<sub>3</sub>Fe<sub>7</sub>-Co nanoshell by thermal reduction. *Nanotechnology*. 22, 045707–045712 (2011).
- Lv, H. L. et al. Coin-like α-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>@CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Core-Shell Composites with Excellent Electromagnetic Absorption Performance. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 7, 4744–4750 (2015).
- Feng, C. et al. Enhanced microwave absorption of flower-like FeNi@C nanocomposites by dual dielectric relaxation and multiple magnetic resonance. RSC Adv. 4, 22710–22715(2014).
- Li, W. X. *et al.* Fabrication of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C core-shell nanotubes and their application as a lightweight microwave absorbent. *RSC Adv.* 4, 55738-55744 (2014).
- Zhang, X. F. et al. Microstructure and microwave absorption properties of carbon-coated iron nanocapsules. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 40, 5383–5387(2007).
- 29. Zhang, X. F. *et al.* Microwave absorption properties of the carbon-coated nickel nanocapsules. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 053115–053117 (2006).
- Jiang, J. J. et al. Disorder-modulated microwave absorption properties of carbon-coated FeCo nanocapsules. J.Appl. Phys. 114, 17A514–17A516 (2014).
- Liu, P., Zhou, P. H., Xie, J. L. & Deng, L. J. Electromagnetic and absorption properties of urchinlike Ni composites at microwave frequencies. J. Appl. Phys. 111, 093905–093909 (2012).
- Duan, Y. P., Liu, Z., Jing, H., Zhang, Y. H. & Li, S. Q. Novel microwave dielectric response of Ni/Co-doped manganese dioxides and their microwave absorbing properties. J. Mater. Chem. 22, 18291–18299 (2012).
- Du, Y. C. et al. Shell Thickness-Dependent Microwave Absorption of Core-Shell Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@C Composites. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 6, 12997–13006 (2014).
- 34. Huang, H. et al. Manipulated electromagnetic losses by integrating chemically heterogeneous components in Fe-based core/shell architecture. J. Appl. Phys. 113, 084312–084318 (2013).
- Wang, G. S., Nie, L. Z. & Yu, S. H. Tunable wave absorption properties of β-MnO<sub>2</sub> nanorods and their application in dielectric composites. *RSC Advances*. 2, 6216–6221(2012).
- 36. He, C. H. *et al.* Facile synthesis of hollow porous cobalt spheres and their enhanced electromagnetic properties. *J. Mater. Chem.* 22, 22160–22166 (2012).
- Yang, Y., Yang, Y., Xiao, W. & Ding, J. Microwave electromagnetic and absorption properties of magnetite hollow nanostructures. J. Appl. Phys. 115, 17A512–17A514 (2014).
- Fu, L. S., Jiang, J. T., Xu, C. Y. & Zhen, L. Synthesis of hexagonal Fe microflakes with excellent microwave absorption performance. CrystEngComm. 14, 6827–6832 (2012).

- 39. Wen, S. L., Liu, Y., Zhang, X. C., Cheng, J. W. & Li, H. Synthesis dual-nonlinear magnetic resonance and microwave absorption properties of nanosheet hierarchical cobalt particles. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 18333–18340 (2014).
- Lv, H. L., Ji, G. B., Liu, W., Zhang, H. Q. & Du, Y. W. Achieving hierarchical hollow carbon@Fe@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanospheres with superior microwave absorption properties and lightweight features. *J. Mater. Chem. C.* 3, 10232–10241 (2015).
- Lv, H. L. et al. Porous Three-Dimensional Flower-like Co/CoO and Its Excellent Electromagnetic Absorption Properties. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 7, 9776–9783 (2015).
- Lv, H. L., Ji, G. B., Liang, X. H., Zhang, H. Q. & Du, Y. W. A novel rod-like MnO<sub>2</sub>@Fe loading on graphene giving excellent electromagnetic absorption properties. *J. Mater. Chem. C.* 3, 5056–5064 (2015).
- Yang, Z. H. et al. Optimization of Zn<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>3-x</sub>O4 Hollow Spheres for Enhanced Microwave Attenuation. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 6, 21911–21915 (2014).
- 44. Zhang, L. L. *et al.* Facile synthesis of iron oxides/reduced graphene oxide composites: application for electromagnetic wave absorption at high temperature. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 9298–9295 (2015).
- 45. Zhao, X. C. et al. Excellent microwave absorption property of Graphene-coated Fe nanocomposites. Sci. Rep. 3, 3421-3425(2013).

#### Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.: 11575085), the Aeronautics Science Foundation of China (No.: 2014ZF52072), the Funding for Outstanding Doctoral Dissertation in NUAA(No.: BCXJ15-09), and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions is gratefully acknowledged.

### **Author Contributions**

G.J. designed the material structure. H.L. performed the experiment. M.L., Z.Z. and Y.Z. collected the experimental data. B.Z. and D.T. measured the electromagnetic parameters. Y.D. analysed the VSM data. H.Z. provided insightful discussions for the dielectric loss. H.L. organized the manuscript. All authors contributed to preparing the manuscript.

### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**How to cite this article:** Lv, H. *et al.* Co<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>y</sub>@C Composites with Tunable Atomic Ratios for Excellent Electromagnetic Absorption Properties. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 18249; doi: 10.1038/srep18249 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/