SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

A meta-analysis of interaction between Epstein-Barr virus and HLA-DRB1*1501 on risk of multiple sclerosis

Received: 12 August 2015
Accepted: 11 November 2015
Published: 11 December 2015

Di Xiao¹, Xingguang Ye¹, Na Zhang¹, Meiling Ou¹, Congcong Guo¹, Baohuan Zhang¹, Yang Liu¹, Man Wang¹, Guang Yang^{2,3} & Chunxia Jing^{1,3}

Infection with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and HLA-DRB1*1501-positivity is a risk factor for multiple sclerosis (MS), but whether an interaction between these two factors causes MS is unclear. We therefore conducted a meta-analysis on the effect of the interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection on MS. Searches of PubMed, Web of Science, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and the Wanfan databases through February 2015 yielded 5 studies that met the criteria for inclusion in the meta-analysis. EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501-positivity were dichotomized. The additive (S) and multiplicative interaction indexes (OR) between EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 and their 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were calculated for each study and then combined in a meta-analysis. EBV infection was significantly associated with MS (OR = 2.60; 95%CI, 1.48–4.59). HLA-DRB1*1501 was associated with a significantly increased risk of MS (OR, 3.06; 95%CI, 2.30–4.08). An interaction effect between EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 on MS was observed on the additive scale (S, 1.43; 95%CI, 1.05–1.95, $P = 0.023$), but no interaction effect was observed on the multiplicative scale (OR, 0.86, 95%CI, 0.59–1.26). This meta-analysis provides strong evidence that EBV alone, HLA-DRB1*1501 alone or their interaction is associated with an elevated risks of MS.

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a common chronic inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system (CNS) that causes severe progressive disability, particularly in young people, and affects more than 2.5 million people worldwide¹. MS is a chronic immune-mediated disorder with a complex etiology. The pathogenesis of the disease is not well understood. Both environmental and genetic factors have been implicated in disease causation². In a large genome-wide association study (GWAS), 465,434 autosomal single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed in 9772 cases and 17,376 controls of European descent; the strongest association was between HLA-DRB1*1501 and MS (OR = 3.1, $P = 1 \times 10^{-320}$)³. Furthermore, the HLA-DRB1*1501 allele is considered to be a definite, strong risk factor for MS^{4,5}. Carriers of HLA-DRB1*1501 have an up to four-fold increased risk of MS⁶.

Serological data from prospective and retrospective studies suggest that past EBV infection is a prerequisite for MS development^{7–9}. A cohort study of US military personnel has demonstrated that anti-EBNA-1 titers are associated with a 3-fold increased risk of MS¹⁰. In addition, a meta-analysis has revealed a significant OR for sero-positivity to anti-EBNA IgG in MS cases (4.5[95% confidence interval (CI) 3.3 to 6.6, $p < 0.00001$])¹¹.

The presentation of viral or bacterial peptide antigens to CD4⁺ T cell receptors may induce cross-activation with self-antigens¹². HLA-DRB1 may result in self-antigen cross-reactivity with EBV antigens in addition to serve as a co-receptor for EBV entry into B cells^{13–15}. De Jager *et al*¹⁶ have suggested that HLA-DRB1*15 influences the CD4⁺ Th-mediated immune response to EBV infections^{13,17,18}. In individuals with HLA-DRB1*1501, EBV-infected B cells may present CNS self-antigens to CD4⁺ T cell receptors, thereby inducing an autoimmune response¹⁹.

Although the independent effects of EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 on the risk of MS have been established^{6,16}, the possible interaction between these factors is not well characterized. Moreover, data from individual

¹Department of Epidemiology, School of Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China. ²Department of Parasitology, School of Medicine, Jinan University, No.601, Huangpu Avenue West, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. ³Key Laboratory of environmental exposure and health in Guangzhou, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.Y. (email: guangyangphd@gmail.com) or C.J. (email: jcxphd@gmail.com)

Figure 1. Flowchart of the meta-analysis of the interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection on the risk of MS.

studies on the interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection are not entirely consistent. Some studies have report no interaction^{16,20,21}. Whereas other studies have observed an interaction between EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 in the risk of MS^{22,23}. Thus, whether the interaction of two factors increases the risk of MS remains controversial.

To better illuminate the independent and combined effects of EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 in the etiology of MS, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the interaction between these two factors in MS risk.

Results

Result of the literature search. A total of 659 studies were identified from a primary literature search after 131 duplicates were excluded. A total of 648 of the 659 records were excluded: 19 case-reports and commentaries, 92 studies irrelevant to the topic, 19 non-human studies, 120 reviews and meta-analyses, 380 studies including unpublished data; 9 conference reports; and 9 reports for which full text was unavailable. The remaining 11 studies were scanned, and 6 additional studies were excluded for the following reasons: one study was not an indicator of EBV, and five lacked key data. Finally, 5 studies were considered eligible for this meta-analysis (Fig. 1).

The general characteristics of all 5 studies are presented in Table 1. HLA-DRB1*1501 was genotyped in three studies, and in van der Mei *et al.*, rs3135005 was genotyped as a proxy for the HLA-DRB1*1501 allele²⁰. One study only distinguished HLA-DRB1*15 as HLA-DRB1*1501 without specific alleles. IgG antibodies to EBNA-1 were measured in all 5 studies as an indicator of EBV infection. The studies included a total of 2533 participants, including 1069 MS patients. One study was from India, one was from Canada, one was from Australia, and the remaining studies were from Sweden.

Author	Year	Gene locus	EBV infection indicator	Country	STREGA and STROBE checklist (total = 22)	Total No. of Participants	G ⁺ /E ⁺				G ⁺ /E ⁻				G ⁻ /E ⁺				G ⁻ /E ⁻			
							case	(%)	control	(%)	case	(%)	control	(%)	case	(%)	control	(%)	case	(%)	control	(%)
Sundqvist ⁴²	2012	HLA-DRB1* 15	EBNA-1 IgG	Sweden	17	1177	213	38.6	101	16.2	113	20.5	92	14.7	136	24.6	206	33.0	90	16.3	226	36.2
Pandit ²	2013	HLA-DRB1* 1501	EBNA-1 IgG	India	15	280	32	22.4	15	10.9	13	9.1	14	10.2	48	33.6	45	32.8	50	35.0	63	46.0
Sundstrom ⁶	2008	HLA-DRB1* 1501	EBNA-1 IgG	Sweden	13	321	60	55.0	49	23.1	13	11.9	25	11.8	32	29.4	91	42.9	4	3.7	47	22.2
De Jager ¹⁶	2008	rs3135005 replace HLA-DRB1* 1501	EBNA-1 IgG	Canada	18	416	71	50.7	79	28.6	5	3.6	14	5.1	60	42.9	146	52.9	4	2.9	37	13.4
Van der Mei ²⁰	2010	rs3135005 replace HLA-DRB1* 1501	EBNA-1 IgG	Australia	18	339	42	33.6	25	11.7	26	20.8	29	13.6	30	24.0	27	12.6	27	21.6	133	62.1

Table 1. Summary characteristics of the studies selected. EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; EBNA-1, Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen 1; IgG, immunoglobulin; G⁺, HLA-DRB1*1501/HLA-DRB1*15 positive; E⁺, EBV positive; G⁻, HLA-DRB1*1501/HLA-DRB1*15 negative; E⁻, EBV negative; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Author	RERI	95%CI for RERI	P	AP	95%CI for AP	P	S	95%CI for S	P
Sundqvist ⁴²	1.55	-0.01-3.11	0.051	0.29	0.05-0.54	0.019a	1.57	0.99-2.49	0.053
Pandit ²	1.17	-0.78-3.12	0.238	0.44	-0.10-0.97	0.110	3.28	0.26-42.35	0.362
Sundstrom ⁶	5.15	-3.04-13.33	0.218	0.36	-0.04-0.76	0.078	1.62	0.81-3.25	0.171
De Jager ¹⁶	2.21	-2.41-6.83	0.349	0.27	-0.24-0.77	0.301	1.43	0.63-3.29	0.395
Van der Mei ²⁰	-0.61	-6.16-4.94	0.828	-0.07	-0.77-0.62	0.835	0.92	0.44-1.92	0.828
pooled	1.44	0.30-2.58	0.013 ^a	0.29	0.12-0.47	0.001 ^a	1.43	1.05-1.95	0.023 ^a

Table 2. The interaction of risk estimates between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV based on the additive scale. RECI, excess risk due to interaction; AP, attributable proportion due to interaction; S, the synergy index; CI, confidence interval. ^aStatistically significant (P < 0.05).

Figure 2. Logistic regression analyses of EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 on risk of MS. The boxes and lines indicate the odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) on a log scale for each study. The size of the box indicates the relative weight of each estimate.

Meta-analysis of the interaction between EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501. There was significant interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 positivity and EBV infection based on the additive scale (S = 1.43, 95%CI = 1.05-1.95, P = 0.023; AP = 0.29, 95%CI, 0.12-0.47, P = 0.001; RERI = 1.44, 95%CI, 0.30-2.58, P = 0.013) (Table 2). HLA-DRB1*1501-positive individuals infected with the EB virus had a higher risk (OR = 6.11, 95%CI: 3.84-9.74) of MS.

Multiplicative interaction analysis revealed no interaction between EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 (OR = 0.86, 95%CI = 0.59-1.26, P = 0.449) (Supplementary Table S2 online). However, EBV infection alone was significantly associated with MS (OR, 2.60; 95%CI, 1.48-4.59) (Fig. 2a), and HLA-DRB1*1501 significantly increased the risk of MS (OR, 3.06; 95%CI, 2.30-4.08) (Fig. 2b).

Publication bias and heterogeneity. There was no publication bias for the interaction in either the additive model (Supplementary Figure S1 online) or multiplicative model (Supplementary Figure S2 online). The Egger test also did not detect any evidence of publication bias on the additive scale (AP: SE = 0.817, P = 0.581; RERI: SE = 0.590, P = 0.685; S: SE = 0.92, P = 0.807) or multiplicative scale (SE = 1.36, P = 0.526).

The heterogeneity test detected low heterogeneity across the additive scale (AP: $I^2 = 0.000$, $P = 0.833$; RERI: $I^2 = 0.000$, $P = 0.824$; S: $I^2 = 0.000$, $P = 0.723$) and multiplicative scale ($I^2 = 31.758$, $P = 0.210$). Both interaction scales were estimated by a fixed model.

In all 5 studies, the median number of items fulfilled on the STREGA and STROBE checklists was 17 (range 13 to 18). Furthermore, as shown in Supplementary Table S3 online, the criteria for evaluating the quality of this meta-analysis were clearly described for all included studies.

Discussion

The interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV in MS remains unclear because of the conflicting results in existing studies. To address this issue, we conducted a meta-analysis of published studies. We identified a significant additive interaction between EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 in the risk of MS; however, we did not observe an interaction based on the multiplicative scale.

For G^+/E^+ and G^+/E^- exposures, there were more frequencies in the case group than control group (39.5% vs 17.6%, $P = 0.000$, in G^+/E^+ ; 12.4% vs 10.9%, $P = 0.014$, in G^+/E^-). 12.9% of cases and 33.9% controls were in G^-/E^- with statistical difference ($Z = -10.64$, $P = 0.000$). Finally, there was no statistical difference ($Z = -0.76$, $P = 0.445$) for the frequency of G^-/E^+ in between cases (30.4%) and control (33.4%). These results suggested that both HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV-positive contribute the occurrence of MS in the population. Especially, when the individuals carry the susceptible HLA-DRB1*1501 gene, once are infected by EB virus, they have more risk to suffer from MS.

In our meta-analysis, the pooled S was 1.43 (95%CI, 1.05–1.95, $P = 0.023$); RERI was 1.44 (95%CI = 0.30–2.58, $P = 0.013$) and the AP was 0.29 (95%CI = 0.12–0.47, $P = 0.001$). All three indexes indicated that there was indeed a biological interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV²⁴. S also indicated that there was a significant synergistic interaction based on the additive scale.

HLA-DRB1*1501 was associated with a 3-fold elevation in MS risk, and EBV infection was associated with a 2.6-fold elevation in MS risk. Furthermore, our data indicate that the combined effects of HLA-DRB1*1501 positivity and Epstein-Barr virus infection result in an up to six-fold increased risk of MS. These findings showed us the importance of the interaction effects between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection on the occurrence of MS. How the interaction between these two factors contributes to the increased risk of MS remains unclear. One possible mechanism includes HLA class II molecules, which are involved in the processing and presentation of foreign antigens in the immune defense process; this process mainly occurs on the surface of antigen-presenting cells. Therefore, HLA-DRB1*1501 may interfere with this process and prevent the presentation of EBV antigens to $CD4^+$ Th cell receptor, thereby inhibiting immune defense recognition^{9,25}, and leading to EBV accumulation in B cells²⁶. EBV-infected B cells distributed in the CNS can present CNS antigens, the molecular mimicry of EBV^{13,27,28}, to $CD4^+$ T-cell receptors under the influence of the virus and may activate cellular and humoral immune responses^{26,29}. Dysfunctional immune regulation induces the excitation of autoimmune responses. Furthermore, EBV-infected B cells can provide costimulatory survival signals to T cells and protect activated $CD4^+$ T-cell from elimination by immunoregulation, thereby leading to the development of MS¹⁹. In another aspect, in the development of the thymus, particular HLA molecules cannot very well present self-antigen to developing T cells to undergo effective negative selection process, which lead to those auto-reactive T cells persisting even after the individual mature and launching an immune attack against the self-antigen under certain conditions^{30,31}. Therefore, when EBV infection makes B cells presenting self-antigens^{13,27,28}, auto-reactive T cells can recognize these self-antigens, which further accelerate the progression of MS³⁰. So, when individuals carrying special HLA genotype are infected by EBV, those two factors influence and promote each other, which accelerate the progression of MS.

Our meta-analysis was comprehensive because we assessed the interaction based on both additive and multiplicative scales. We chose this approach because both scales are informative, and arguments can be made in favor of each of the two scales³². Distinguishing gene-environment interactions that may reflect biologic processes such as molecular mimicry will contribute to further dissection of the disease mechanisms that culminate in MS onset³³ and provide new insights for the treatment and prevention of MS. Our meta-analysis suggested that the risk of MS would be increased greater when HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV occur together. Moreover, we evaluated the primary effects of HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV in MS by performing an extensive analysis of the expectations of the gene-environment interaction.

This meta-analysis has important clinical implications. Due to hereditary nature of the HLA-DRB1*1501 genotype, effective intervention and prevention measures can be implemented by monitoring EBV infection status. An increasingly large body of evidence has indicated that EBV infection plays an essential role in the development of MS, raising the possibility that MS maybe prevented and potentially cured by controlling EBV infection³⁴. Reducing the maximum exposure to EBV may significantly reduce the risk of MS. Consequently, we suggest that EBV infection should be prevented in healthy individuals (particularly HLA-DRB1*1501 carriers). Vaccination of healthy EBV-seronegative young adults with recombinant gp350 is effective in preventing the development of infectious mononucleosis induced by EBV infection, although it does not prevent asymptomatic EBV infection³⁵. Healthy HLA-DRB1*1501-positive individuals should receive regular screening to measure serum titers of anti-EBNA antibodies³⁶. Furthermore, the development and application of a vaccine against EBV may reduce the risk of MS. Our findings may have significance for the prevention of the occurrence or recurrence of MS. Moreover, the immune response to EBV may be a therapeutic option in MS³⁴. In MS patients infected with EBV, MS may be cured by controlling the immune response to EBV infection. Some evidence supports a beneficial effect of vitamin D3 on reducing antibody titers against EBV in MS patients³⁷. We currently prescribe rituximab to boost immunity to EBV and antiviral drugs to treat EBV infection³⁴.

Some limitations of our study merit further discussion. Exposures other than the study exposures exhibited disequilibrium, particularly smoking. For example, in one study an interaction was observed on the multiplicative scale between EBNA1 IgG and smoking²¹. It is difficult to exclude a potential confounding effect of smoking

because smoking is associated with many populations. Therefore, the so-called population imbalance stratification of other exposures may interfere with the results of this study. The case-control study in the meta-analysis may also introduce selection bias. Another limitation of our meta-analysis is that the method used to calculate the interaction on the additive scale might only apply to two factors at two levels. When the variable factors were multiply variable, 95% confidence intervals of S, AP and RERI were not calculated by the Excel calculation spreadsheet used in this study. The 5 independent studies were from different countries with the different genotyping methods for HLA-DRB1*1501. Although these methods were different, they are in consensus at genotyping HLA-DRB1*1501. Hence, the results would not be influenced by the differences in the genotyping methods for HLA-DRB1*1501. Therefore, results are reliable.

Most of the included studies were Caucasian samples and only one study was India population, which might influenced the results. We failed to identify a significant interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and Epstein-Barr virus on the risk of MS in the population from India. This result may have two explanations. First, compared to the other included studies, the Indian study had a restricted sample size, which may have limited the power to evaluate the interaction. Therefore, large-scale studies are needed to validate the interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and Epstein-Barr virus in MS in Indian populations. Second, ethnic differences may underlie this result. As the prevalence of 3/100,000 in MS was low in India, while the prevalence varies between 60 and 200 per 100,000 in people of north American and northern European origin². It is possible that the interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and Epstein Barr virus in MS is relevant to only Caucasian populations and not other ethnic groups. Further studies are needed to test the interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and Epstein Barr virus in MS among other ethnic groups by recruiting patients with non-Caucasian backgrounds³⁸.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis identified an interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection for the risk of MS on an additive scale; however, we did not observe a significant interaction between these factors on a multiplicative scale. Further study is needed to assess the direct evidence and understand the potential mechanism underlying this finding.

Methods

Study identification. A search of the Pubmed, Web of Science, CNKI and the Wanfang databases was conducted through February 2015 using the search terms HLA, multiple sclerosis, Epstein Barr virus, and interaction.

The retrieved studies fulfilled the following criteria for inclusion in the meta-analysis: (a) multiple sclerosis, (b) EBV infection (EBNA-1 IgG as the index of EBV infection), (c) genotyped HLA-DRB1*1501 status (any method) and (d) the interaction of EBV infection and HLA-DRB1*1501 in MS. Studies were excluded from our analysis if there was an absence of detailed numbers in one of the following four groups: HLA-DRB1*1501-negative subjects without EBV infection; HLA-DRB1*1501-positive subjects with EBV infection; HLA-DRB1*1501-negative subjects with EBV infection; and HLA-DRB1*1501-positive subjects without EBV infection.

Data extraction. Data were independently extracted by two investigators (Di Xiao and Xingguang Ye) who were blinded to each other, using a data recording developed for this purpose. When detailed data were lacking, we attempted to contact the corresponding author to obtain the original data. Studies were excluded if the authors did not provide additional data. Any disagreement between the two data extractors was resolved by consensus. After extraction, the data were also reviewed and compared by Chunxia Jing.

Quality assessment. All included studies were assessed based on the STREGA (Strengthening the Reporting of Genetic Association Studies) and STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) checklists^{39,40}.

The assessment involved six domains, including title and abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion and other information. Each item was classified with “+” or “-”, which represented fulfillment of the checklist criteria or a lack of fulfillment of the criteria, respectively.

Statistical Analysis. The serum level of IgG antibodies against the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA-1) is a strong risk factor for MS^{16,41,42}. Because antibodies to the EBNA-1 antigen have emerged as the most consistent predictor of MS in multiple serological studies^{9,10,43}, the anti-EBNA-1 titer was used as the index of the immune response to EBV infection in our meta-analysis.

In all the included studies, the EBNA-1 antibody titer was used as an index of EBV infection rather than EBNA-2 (another individual component of the EBNA family), the EBV viral capsid antigen (VCA) or the anti-early antigen complex (diffuse [EA-D]).

Our stratification differed from some original studies^{2,6,16}. For example, two studies^{6,16} used three types of EBNA-1 antibody titers (low/medium/high), which were converted into a dichotomous variable in our meta-analysis. The high IgG level used in this meta-analysis was combined with the medium and high IgG titers in the original studies. One study² used an inter-quartile stratification, and we used the value above the 50th percentile of the inter-quartile range as the high IgG level. Low EBNA-1 antibody titers were defined as non-EBV infection, and high EBNA-1 antibody titers were defined as EBV infection.

HLA-DRB1*1501 was also considered a dichotomous variable (positive/negative), consistent with all of the original studies.

The interaction effects were determined by using two models: logistic regression to assess the interaction on the multiplicative scale, and S (the synergy index), RERI (the excess risk due to interaction) and AP (the attributable proportion due to interaction) to assess the interaction on the additive scale.

In additive model, we categorized the study subjects into four groups according to HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection status: HLA-DRB1*1501-negative and EBV-negative (RR₀₀), HLA-DRB1*1501-positive and EBV-negative (RR₁₀), HLA-DRB1*1501-negative and EBV-positive (RR₀₁), and HLA-DRB1*1501-positive and EBV-positive

(RR₁₁). We defined subjects who were unexposed to both risk factors as the reference category (i.e., RR₀₀ = 1). These relative risk estimates can be obtained from a logistic regression model. The corresponding covariance matrix and regression coefficients are also needed to calculate the confidence intervals²⁴. To obtain adequate estimates, the model was established with indicator variables for each of the four different combinations of exposure. For convenience, we structured a new variable C and defined it as three indicator variables: dum01, dum10 and dum11 (see Supplementary Table S1 online). An Excel spreadsheet (www.epinet.se) was used to calculate additive interaction: S, RERI and AP. AP refers to the attributable proportion of disease that is due to interaction among individuals with both exposures. S is the excess risk from both exposures when there is an additive interaction, relative to the risk from both exposures without interaction. RERI > 0, AP > 0, or S > 1 indicates biological interaction^{24,44}. Furthermore, S > 1 for synergetic effects and S < 1 for antagonistic effects^{24,45,46}.

In the multiplicative model, we fit a multiple logistic regression model with the response variable MS (case/control) and independent variables HLA-DRB1*1501(G), EBV infection (E), and their product G × E. The odds ratio of G × E was the index of the multiplicative model interaction between HLA-DRB1*1501 and EBV infection in the risk of MS. A multiplicative interaction existed when the 95% confidence intervals of OR did not contain 1. Otherwise, the result was reversed.

Sensitivity analysis was performed by removing one study at a time to assess whether the meta-estimates were strongly influenced by any individual study. We used the forest plot and Egger's regression intercept to assess publication bias^{47,48}. The following cutoffs were used to evaluate heterogeneity: I² = 0–25%, no heterogeneity; I² = 25–50%, moderate heterogeneity; I² = 50–75%, large heterogeneity; and I² = 75–100%, extreme heterogeneity⁴⁹. Pooled mean differences were estimated by using a fixed-effects model when there was no heterogeneity or moderate heterogeneity (I² < 50%) and a random-effects model when there was moderate, large, or extreme heterogeneity (I² ≥ 50%)⁵⁰. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA), Microsoft Excel2007 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA), and Comprehensive Meta Analysis V2 (Biostat Inc, USA).

References

- Pender, M. P. The essential role of Epstein-Barr virus in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *Neuroscientist* **17**, 351–67 (2011).
- Pandit, L., Malli, C., D'Cunha, A., Shetty, R. & Singhal, B. Association of Epstein-Barr virus infection with multiple sclerosis in India. *J Neurol Sci* **325**, 86–9 (2013).
- Consortium, I.M.S.G. Genetic risk and a primary role for cell-mediated immune mechanisms in multiple sclerosis. *Nature* **476**, 214–9 (2011).
- Hillert, J. Human leukocyte antigen studies in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* **36** Suppl, S15–7 (1994).
- Schmidt, H., Williamson, D. & Ashley-Koch, A. HLA-DR15 haplotype and multiple sclerosis: a HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol* **165**, 1097–109 (2007).
- Sundstrom, P., Nystrom, L., Jidell, E. & Hallmans, G. EBNA-1 reactivity and HLA DRB1*1501 as statistically independent risk factors for multiple sclerosis: a case-control study. *Mult Scler.* **14**, 1120–2 (2008).
- Ascherio, A. *et al.* Epstein-Barr virus antibodies and risk of multiple sclerosis: a prospective study. *JAMA* **286**, 3083–8 (2001).
- Levin, L. I. *et al.* Multiple sclerosis and Epstein-Barr virus. *JAMA* **289**, 1533–6 (2003).
- Sundstrom, P. *et al.* An altered immune response to Epstein-Barr virus in multiple sclerosis: a prospective study. *Neurology* **62**, 2277–82 (2004).
- Levin, L. I. *et al.* Temporal relationship between elevation of Epstein-Barr virus antibody titers and initial onset of neurological symptoms in multiple sclerosis. *JAMA* **293**, 2496–500 (2005).
- Almohamed, Y. H., Avenell, A., Aucott, L. & Vickers, M. A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the sero-epidemiological association between Epstein Barr virus and multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One* **8**, e61110 (2013).
- Klein, J. & Sato, A. The HLA system. First of two parts. *N Engl J Med* **343**, 702–9 (2000).
- Lang, H. L. *et al.* A functional and structural basis for TCR cross-reactivity in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Immunol* **3**, 940–3 (2002).
- Barcellos, L. F. *et al.* Heterogeneity at the HLA-DRB1 locus and risk for multiple sclerosis. *Human Molecular Genetics* **15**, 2813–2824(12) (2006).
- Li, Q. *et al.* Epstein-Barr virus uses HLA class II as a cofactor for infection of B lymphocytes. *Journal of Virology* **71**, 71–4657 (1997).
- De Jager, P. L. *et al.* Integrating risk factors: HLA-DRB1*1501 and Epstein-Barr virus in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* **70**, 1113–8 (2008).
- Lünemann, J. D. Epstein-barr virus: environmental trigger of multiple sclerosis? *Journal of Virology* **81**, 6777–6784. (2007).
- Kruger, S., Schroers, R., Rooney, C. M., Gahn, B. & Chen, S. Y. Identification of a naturally processed HLA-DR-restricted T-helper epitope in Epstein-Barr virus nuclear antigen type 1. *J Immunother* **26**, 212–21 (2003).
- Pender, M. P. Genetically determined failure of activation-induced apoptosis of autoreactive T cells as a cause of multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* **351**, 978–81 (1998).
- van der Mei, I. A. *et al.* Human leukocyte antigen-DR15, low infant sibling exposure and multiple sclerosis: gene-environment interaction. *Ann Neurol* **67**, 261–5 (2010).
- Simon, K. C. *et al.* Combined effects of smoking, anti-EBNA antibodies, and HLA-DRB1*1501 on multiple sclerosis risk. *Neurology* **74**, 1365–71 (2010).
- P, S.m., M, N.m., K, R. & E, L. Antibodies to specific EBNA-1 domains and HLA DRB1*1501 interact as risk factors for multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neuroimmunology* **215**, 102–107 (2009).
- Waubant, E. *et al.* Antibody response to common viruses and human leukocyte antigen-DRB1 in pediatric multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* **19**, 891–5 (2013).
- Andersson, T., Alfredsson, L., Kallberg, H., Zdravkovic, S. & Ahlbom, A. Calculating measures of biological interaction. *Eur J Epidemiol* **20**, 575–9 (2005).
- Sharipo, A., Imreh, M., Leonchiks, A., Imreh, S. & Masucci, M. G. A minimal glycine-alanine repeat prevents the interaction of ubiquitinated I kappaB alpha with the proteasome: a new mechanism for selective inhibition of proteolysis. *Nat Med* **4**, 939–44 (1998).
- Pender, M. P. Infection of autoreactive B lymphocytes with EBV, causing chronic autoimmune diseases. *Trends Immunol* **24**, 584–8 (2003).
- Bray, P. F., Luka, J., Bray, P. F., Culp, K. W. & Schlicht, J. P. Antibodies against Epstein-Barr nuclear antigen (EBNA) in multiple sclerosis CSF, and two pentapeptide sequence identities between EBNA and myelin basic protein. *Neurology* **42**, 1798–804 (1992).
- Wucherpfennig, K. W. & Strominger, J. L. Molecular mimicry in T cell-mediated autoimmunity: viral peptides activate human T cell clones specific for myelin basic protein. *Cell* **80**, 695–705 (1995).
- Lünemann, J. D. *et al.* EBNA1-specific T cells from patients with multiple sclerosis cross react with myelin antigens and co-produce IFN-gamma and IL-2. *J Exp Med* **205**, 1763–73 (2008).

30. Mangalam, A. K., Taneja, V. & David, C. S. HLA class II molecules influence susceptibility versus protection in inflammatory diseases by determining the cytokine profile. *J Immunol* **190**, 513–8 (2013).
31. Siggs, O. M., Makaroff, L. E. & Liston, A. The why and how of thymocyte negative selection. *Curr Opin Immunol* **18**, 175–83 (2006).
32. Tj., V. & MJ., K. A tutorial on interaction. *Epidemiol. Methods* **3**, 33–72 (2014).
33. Waubant, E. *et al.* Common viruses associated with lower pediatric multiple sclerosis risk. *Neurology* **76**, 1989–95 (2011).
34. Pender, M. P. Preventing and curing multiple sclerosis by controlling Epstein-Barr virus infection. *Autoimmun Rev* **8**, 563–8 (2009).
35. Sokal, E. M. *et al.* Recombinant gp350 vaccine for infectious mononucleosis: a phase 2, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of an Epstein-Barr virus vaccine in healthy young adults. *J Infect Dis* **196**, 1749–53 (2007).
36. Munger, K. L. Anti-Epstein-Barr virus antibodies as serological markers of multiple sclerosis: a prospective study among United States military personnel. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* **17**, 1185–1193 (2011).
37. Najafipour, A., Roghanian, R., Zarkesh-Esfahani, S. H., Bouzari, M. & Etemadifar, M. The beneficial effects of vitamin D3 on reducing antibody titers against Epstein-Barr virus in multiple sclerosis patients. *Cell Immunol* **294**, 9–12 (2015).
38. Hosang, G. M., Shiles, C., Tansey, K. E., McGuffin, P. & Uher, R. Interaction between stress and the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism in depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med* **12**, 7 (2014).
39. Little, J. *et al.* Strengthening the REporting of Genetic Association Studies (STREGA)—an extension of the STROBE statement. *Genet Epidemiol* **33**, 581–98 (2009).
40. von Elm, E. *et al.* The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* **370**, 1453–7 (2007).
41. Ascherio, A. & Munger, K. L. Environmental risk factors for multiple sclerosis. Part I: the role of infection. *Ann Neurol* **61**, 288–99 (2007).
42. Sundqvist, E. *et al.* Lack of replication of interaction between EBNA1 IgG and smoking in risk for multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* **79**, 1363–8 (2012).
43. DeLorenze, G. N. *et al.* Epstein-Barr virus and multiple sclerosis: evidence of association from a prospective study with long-term follow-up. *Arch Neurol* **63**, 839–44 (2006).
44. Yang, X. *et al.* Low LDL cholesterol, albuminuria, and statins for the risk of cancer in type 2 diabetes: the Hong Kong diabetes registry. *Diabetes Care* **32**, 1826–32 (2009).
45. Rothman, K. J. The estimation of synergy or antagonism. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **103**, 506–511 (1976).
46. Foraita, R. A conditional synergy index to assess biological interaction. *Eur J Epidemiol* **24**, 485–94 (2009).
47. Dong, F. *et al.* The association of PTPN22 rs2476601 polymorphism and CTLA-4 rs231775 polymorphism with LADA risks: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Diabetol* **51**, 691–703 (2014).
48. Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. & Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **315**, 629–34 (1997).
49. Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J. & Altman, D. G. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* **327**, 557–60 (2003).
50. Peng, Y. G. *et al.* Effects of salt substitutes on blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* **100**, 1448–54 (2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant numbers: 30901249; 81101267; 81541070; 91543132), the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (Grant numbers: 10151063201000036; S2011010002526), Guangdong Province Medical Research Foundation (Grant number: A2014374; A2015310) and Project from Jinan university (Grant number: 21612426, 21615427). We would like to thank Ammarin Thakkinstian from the section for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Thailand; John R. Thompson from the department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, UK.; Sasivimol Rattanasiri from the section for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Thailand; for providing the statistical computing algorithm support. We thank the participants, Jiankun Zang for involving with the study of pathogenesis of MS.

Author Contributions

D.X. and C.X.J. designed the study. D.X., X.G.Y., M.L.O. and C.C.G. collected data. B.H.Z., Y.L., M.W. and G.Y. contributed the statistical analyses and discussion. D.X. drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Xiao, D. *et al.* A meta-analysis of interaction between Epstein-Barr virus and HLA-DRB1*1501 on risk of multiple sclerosis. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 18083; doi: 10.1038/srep18083 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>