SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 06 July 2015 Accepted: 06 October 2015 Published: 12 November 2015

OPEN Photodamage to the oxygen evolving complex of photosystem II by visible light

Alonso Zavafer, Mun Hon Cheah, Warwick Hillier, Wah Soon Chow & Shunichi Takahashi[†]

Light damages photosynthetic machinery, primarily photosystem II (PSII), and it results in photoinhibition. A new photodamage model, the two-step photodamage model, suggests that photodamage to PSII initially occurs at the oxygen evolving complex (OEC) by light energy absorbed by manganese and that the PSII reaction center is subsequently damaged by light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments due to the limitation of electrons to the PSII reaction center. However, it is still uncertain whether this model is applicable to photodamage to PSII under visible light as manganese absorbs visible light only weakly. In the present study, we identified the initial site of photodamage to PSII upon illumination of visible light using PSII membrane fragments isolated from spinach leaves. When PSII samples were exposed to visible light in the presence of an exogenous electron acceptor, both PSII total activity and the PSII reaction centre activity declined due to photodamage. The supplemental addition of an electron donor to the PSII reaction centre alleviated the decline of the reaction centre activity but not the PSII total activity upon the light exposure. Our results demonstrate that visible light damages OEC prior to photodamage to the PSII reaction center, consistent with two-step photodamage model.

Photosynthetic organisms including plants, algae and cyanobacteria use light energy to drive the oxygenic photosynthesis but paradoxically this process is accompanied by photodamage to photosystem II (PSII). Since photosynthetic electron flow starts from the reduction of plastoquinone by electrons released by oxidation of water at PSII, the accumulation of photodamaged PSII decreases photosynthetic activity. This phenomenon is referred to as photoinhibition^{1,2}. To cope with photoinhibition, photosynthetic organisms have a PSII repair cycle that repairs photodamaged PSII^{2,3}. When the rate of photodamage surpasses the rate of PSII repair, then net photoinhibition ensues. In addition, plants possess diverse photoprotection mechanisms that help avoid photoinhibition⁴. Therefore, photoinhibition happens only under unfavourable environmental conditions, e.g. environmental stress conditions^{5,6}. Photoinhibition potentially happens in all photosynthetic organisms and severe photoinhibition may cause declines of growth and even mortality7.

The process of photodamage to PSII, which can be monitored in the absence of the PSII repair process, can be studied in vitro using isolated PSII complexes and thylakoid membranes and in vivo using antibiotics (chloramphenicol or lincomycin) that inhibit the PSII repair though inhibiting the synthesis of the D1 protein. The rate of photodamage to PSII in the absence of PSII repair is strongly related to the intensity of incident light⁸⁻¹¹ and its wavelengths¹²⁻¹⁶, i.e. the photodamage rate coefficient is directly proportional to the intensity of light (with exceptions) and apparently faster under UV than visible light. It has been shown that the photodamage to PSII that happens under direct sunlight, in plants grown under sunlight, is largely associated with visible light since UV damage to PSII can be suppressed in such plants due to the accumulation of UV screening compounds¹⁷.

Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, 2601 Australia. *Present address: Division of Environmental Photobiology, National Institute for Basic Biology, Nishigonaka 38, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.T. (email: shun@nibb.ac.jp)

There are several hypothetical mechanisms associated with photodamage to PSII^{5,18–21}. They are largely separated into two groups depending on the initial site of photodamage to PSII. In acceptorand donor-side photoinhibition models, the photodamage to PSII initially happens at the site of PSII reaction centre which is associated with PSII electron transport¹⁹. The photodamage to PSII in these models is associated with light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments. In the two-step photodamage model, on the other hand, the photodamage to PSII initially happens at the water splitting site in the oxygen evolving complex (OEC) by light energy absorbed by manganese located in the OEC and secondary damage occurs at the PSII reaction centre because of light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments^{12,13}. It is still uncertain which mechanism is mainly associated with photodamage to PSII in *in vivo* under visible light. Recent studies also suggest that both mechanisms may occur concurrently^{22–25}.

The extent of photoinhibition is enhanced in conditions where the light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments exceeds its utilisation for photosynthesis, e.g., interruption of the Calvin-Benson cycle decreases the energy utilization capacity and accelerates photoinhibition. Therefore, it was widely assumed that excess light energy absorbed by antenna causes acceleration of photodamage to PSII through acceptor- or donor-side photoinhibition²⁶. However, recent studies demonstrated that excess energy is not associated with the process of photodamage to PSII *per se*; excess energy causes photoinhibition through inhibiting the PSII repair^{27,28}. Furthermore, the action spectrum of photodamage to PSII did not match with the light absorption spectrum of chlorophyll^{12,13,16}. Moreover, reactive oxygen species produced under excessive light conditions cause photoinhibition through inhibiting the PSII repair but not through accelerating photodamage to PSII^{10,29–31}. These results suggest that photodamage to PSII might not be associated with acceptor- or donor-side photoinhibition and not even with the light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments^{5,6,20,32}.

In the two-step photodamage model, the initial step of photodamage to PSII is not associated with the excess energy and not even light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments^{12,13}. Furthermore, the light absorption spectrum of model manganese compounds matches the action spectrum of photodamage to PSII³³. Thus, the two-step photodamage model is consistent with recent experimental results. However, since manganese absorbs UV but less of visible light, it is still uncertain whether the two-step photodamage model is applicable to the mechanism of photodamage under visible light.

In this study, we examined the initial site of photodamage to PSII under visible light using PSII membrane fragments isolated from spinach leaves. We measured the PSII total activity (electron transfer from H_2O via functional OEC and reaction center to an artificial electron acceptor) and the PSII reaction centre activity (electron transfer from an artificial electron donor via functional reaction center to an artificial electron acceptor) after PSII samples had been exposed to light in the presence of electron acceptor for PSII with or without electron donor for PSII. Our results demonstrate that an exogenous supply of electron donor for PSII alleviates the decline of PSII reaction center activity, but not PSII total activity, upon the visible light exposure. Our results show that photodamage to the PSII reaction center upon illumination with visible light is a secondary event following photodamage to PSII upon illumination with visible light.

Results

Photodamage to PSII in PSII membrane fragments under visible light. In the present study, we used PSII membrane fragments isolated from spinach leaves to study the mechanisms of photodamage to PSII by visible light. Since the isolated PSII samples are unstable and lose their activity under ambient temperature, light treatments were carried out at a cold temperature (<4 °C). When PSII samples were exposed to visible light (photosynthetically active radiation at 1000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) for 30 min, the PSII activity measured by the production of oxygen declined to 10–20% of the initial activity (Fig. 1). The decline of PSII activity showed an approximately single exponential decay. Importantly, there was no decline of PSII activity in darkness (Fig. 1). These results demonstrate that the decline of PSII activity after the light exposure is solely due to the photodamage to PSII under these experimental conditions.

No significant effect of exogenous electron acceptor on the photodamage to PSII. We next monitored the decline of the PSII total activity upon the light exposure in the presence or absence of electron acceptor phenyl-p-benzoquinone (PPBQ). The PSII total activity was measured by spectrophotometry. When the PSII samples were exposed to light at 1,000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 5 min in the absence of PPBQ, the PSII total activity declined to 38% of initial activity (Fig. 2A). There was no significant effect of PPBQ on the decline of PSII total activity upon the light exposure; the activity declined to 40% of the initial activity. These results suggest that the electron flux at the acceptor side of PSII does not appear to influence the extent of photodamage to PSII.

No significant effect of exogenous electron donor on the decline of PSII total activity. To examine the effect of electron donor on the extent of photodamage to PSII, PSII samples were exposed to light at 1,000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 5 min in the presence of the electron acceptor PPBQ with or without electron donor diphenylcarbazide (DPC). In the absence DPC, the total PSII activity declined to 40% of initial activity after the light exposure (Fig. 2A). There was no effect of DPC on the decline of PSII total activity in such a condition (Fig. 2A). These results demonstrate that the supplemental addition







Figure 2. Declines of PSII total activity and PSII reaction center activity upon the visible light exposure. PSII samples were exposed to visible light at 1000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ with a xenon lamp at 4 °C for 5 min in the presence of electron acceptor PPBQ with or without electron donor DPC, or in their absence. PSII total activity (**A**) and PSII reaction center activity (**B**) were then measured by spectrophotometry. Values are mean of \pm SD of 3 to 4 separate experiments.

of an electron donor does not influence the extent of photodamage to PSII, suggesting that the electron flux at the donor side of PSII does not influence the extent of photodamage to PSII.

Addition of exogenous electron donor alleviates the photodamage to PSII reaction center. When PSII samples were exposed to light at $1,000 \mu$ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 5 min in the absence of electron acceptor and donor, the PSII reaction center activity measured by spectrophotometry declined to 52% of the initial. Supplemental addition of electron acceptor PPBQ did not alleviate the decline of PSII reaction center activity upon the light exposure; the PSII reaction center activity declined to 42% of the initial. We then examined the effect of the electron donor DPC on the decline of the PSII reaction center activity upon the light exposure in condition where the electron acceptor PPBQ was present. Our results show that the decline of PSII reaction center activity upon the light exposure was alleviated by the presence of DPC; the PSII reaction center activity of PSII (Fig. 2A) but significantly alleviated the photodamage to the PSII reaction center (Fig. 2B). This finding indicates that photodamage to the PSII reaction center under visible light, at least partially, happens after photodamage to the oxygen evolving complex due to limitation of electron supply.

Photodamage to the oxygen evolving complex by light of different wavelengths. To examine the effect of an electron donor on the total activity of PSII and PSII reaction center activity, PSII samples were exposed to different wavelength regions of light in the presence of an exogenous electron



A. PSII total activity



Figure 3. Decline of PSII total activity and PSII reaction center activity upon the exposure of different regions of visible light. PSII samples were exposed to different regions of visible light at 1000μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of electron acceptor PPBQ with or without electron donor DPC as follows: blue (400–530 nm; 5 min), green (530–590 nm; 30 min) and red (590–720 nm; 15 min). PSII total activity (**A**) and PSII reaction center activity (**B**) were then measured by spectrophotometry. Values are mean ± SD of four separate experiments.

acceptor PPBQ, with or without an exogenous donor DPC. Then, PSII total activity and reaction centre activity were spectrophotometrically measured. The samples were exposed to light at 1000μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ as follows: blue (400–530 nm; 5 min), green (530–590 nm; 30 min) and red (590–720 nm; 15 min). PSII total activity declined to 39%, 52% and 45% of initial when PSII samples were exposed to blue, green and, red lights, respectively, in the absence of DPC (Fig. 3). The decline of PSII total activity upon green-light and red-light exposure was slightly alleviated by the supplemental addition of DPC. There was no effect of DPC on the decline of PSII total activity upon blue-light exposure. The PSII reaction centre activity declined to 45%, 53%, and 51% of the initial after the exposure of blue, green and, red light, respectively, in the absence of PSII reaction centre activity upon the light exposure was apparently alleviated by the supplemental addition of DPC. There was apparently alleviated by the supplemental addition of DPC. The decline of PSII reaction centre activity declined to 45%, 53%, and 51% of the initial after the exposure of blue, green and, red light, respectively, in the absence of DPC. The decline of PSII reaction centre activity upon the light exposure was apparently alleviated by the supplemental addition of DPC and the activity declined only to 82%, 80%, 75% of the initial after exposure to blue, green, and red lights, respectively. These results demonstrated that any regions of visible light spectrum can cause photodamage to the OEC. Photodamage to the PSII reaction centre is likely due to limitation of electron supply from the OEC.

Discussion

Mechanism of visible light-associated photodamage to PSII. In the present study, we examined the effect of an exogenous electron donor on the extent of loss of total PSII activity and PSII reaction centre activity upon the light exposure. Our results demonstrated that the presence of exogenous electron



Figure 4. Proposed sequence of photodamage to PSII by visible light. Visible light initially damages the oxygen evolving complex (OEC). Then, PSII reaction center (RC) is secondary damaged by visible light due to limitation of electron supply from water to the PSII reaction center. PQ, plastoquinone.

donor during light exposure to PSII alleviated photodamage to the PSII reaction centre (Fig. 2B) but not photodamage to PSII as a whole (Fig. 2A) in the presence of electron acceptor. These results indicate that photodamage to the OEC is the primary event and photodamage to the PSII reaction centre is a secondary event that occurs due to the limitation of electron supply from water to the PSII reaction centre (Fig. 4). Importantly, a similar effect of the exogenous electron donor on the extent of photodamage to PSII and PSII reaction center was shown under different regions of visible light spectrum (blue, green and red) (Fig. 3). This finding indicates that any regions of visible light can cause photodamage to the OEC while photodamage to the PSII reaction center is a secondary event. This finding is consistent with the proposed two-step photodamage model where the primary site of photodamage is at the OEC while damage to the reaction centre is secondary^{12,13}. However, it is noted that addition of an exogenous electron donor did not completely negate photodamage to the PSII reaction centre. Thus, we still cannot completely exclude the possibility of photodamage to PSII as a whole by visible light initially occurring at the PSII reaction centre through other mechanisms.

In the two-step photodamage model, primary photodamage to OEC is hypothesised to be associated with light absorbed by manganese in the OEC. This model is supported by results showing that the light absorption spectrum of model manganese is similar to the action spectrum of photodamage to PSII and that manganese is released from PSII after photodamage to OEC by UV and blue light¹². Since light absorption spectra of model manganese compounds show very low light absorbance at visible light wavelengths¹², it is controversial whether any regions of visible light can damage OEC. However, our results clearly demonstrate that any region of visible light can initially damage the OEC and secondarily the reaction centre (Fig. 4). This result provides a possibility that the manganese in the OEC absorbs less visible light but still in quantities sufficient to damage OEC. However, we must stress that the present data do not allow us to test the validity of the hypothesis that direct absorption of light by manganese in the OEC is the cause of photodamage to the OEC.

Limitation of electron acceptor and donor of PSII does not accelerate photodamage to **PSII.** Previously, photodamage to PSII was proposed to be due to light energy excessively absorbed by photosynthetic pigments, leading to the development of acceptor- and donor- side photoinhibition models. In these models, photodamage to PSII is associated with limitation of electron acceptor of PSII (acceptor-side photoinhibition) or limitation of electron donor to the excited P680 (donor-side photoinhibition) both due to excessive excitation of P680 by light absorbed by photosynthetic pigments^{19,26}. However, recent studies have proposed that excess energy accelerates photoinhibition through inhibition of the PSII repair but not due to acceleration of photodamage to PSII^{27,28}. Furthermore, in our present study, there was no significant effect of electron acceptor or donor on the extent of photodamage to PSII (Fig. 2). This result is incompatible with the acceptor and donor side photoinhibition hypothesis, suggesting that photodamage to PSII is less associated with light energy excessively absorbed by photosynthetic pigments. Consistent with this new hypothesis, impairment of photoprotection mechanisms associated with dissipating excessively absorbed light energy, such as photorespiratory pathway³⁴, thermal energy dissipation³⁵ and ROS scavenging^{10,29}, had no influence on the process of photodamage. All these photoprotection mechanisms have been shown to avoid inhibition of the repair of photodamaged PSII under excessive light conditions⁴.

Is photodamage to PSII related to light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments? In the present study, PSII samples were more sensitive to photodamage under blue and red lights than green light. This is in agreement to an earlier report of action spectrum in visible light¹⁴ and examined in high resolution in the red region^{36,37}. Since chlorophylls have light absorption peaks at blue and

red wavelengths, light energy absorbed by chlorophylls seems likely to be associated with photodamage to PSII. These results supports the mechanism whereby light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments causes photodamage to PSII^{19,26}. However, other reports of action spectra of photodamage to PSII showed a strong peak at UV wavelengths toward blue light and no significant peak in red light regions^{12,15}. Furthermore, under sunlight, PSII seems less sensitive to blue and red than green in intact leaves¹⁶. Therefore, it is still unclear whether photodamage to PSII under visible light is associated with light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments.

Photodamage to PSII under strong sunlight. In plants grown under sunlight, the photodamage to PSII happens under sunlight is largely associated with visible light¹⁷. Previously, photodamage to PSII was assumed to be attributed to light energy excessively absorbed by photosynthetic pigments. Therefore, acceptor- or donor-side photoinhibition model was applied to describe the mechanism of photodamage to PSII under sunlight. However, our results demonstrated that the two-step photodamage model is more applicable to describe the mechanism of photodamage to PSII upon the visible light illumination (Fig. 4). Therefore, photodamage to PSII under the sunlight might be more related light energy absorbed by OEC rather than photosynthetic pigments. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the photodamage to PSII happens under sunlight is mostly related to yellow light, which is less absorbed by photosynthetic pigments, in the visible light regions¹⁶. However, the excessive light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments inhibits the repair of photodamage PSII^{27,28}. Thus, based on the two step photodamage model, photosynthetic organisms exposed to direct (strong) sunlight, e.g., leaves on the upper canopy, are at the risk of photoinhibition due to photodamage to PSII by light absorbed by OEC and inhibition of the PSII repair upon excess light energy absorbed by photosynthetic pigments.

Material and Methods

Sample preparation. PSII enriched membranes were prepared from fresh spinach leaves purchased from the market following a previous method³⁸. Then the sample was solubilized in standard buffer (400 mM sucrose, 25 mM MES-NaOH, 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, pH 6.5), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen (LN₂) and stored at -80 °C until use. Before light exposure, the sample was unfrozen and resuspended in Buffer A (40 mM Mes buffer, pH 6.5, 40 mM sucrose). In order to decrease the sucrose content, the sample was centrifuged at $24000 \times g$ for 5 minutes and suspended in fresh Buffer A. The chlorophyll content was measured³⁹ and fixed to $150 \mu g$ of chlorophyll mL⁻¹. The sample was kept in darkness at 4 °C at all times unless otherwise stated.

Photodamage treatments. PSII samples $(150 \,\mu\text{g} \text{ of chlorophyll mL}^{-1})$ were exposed to light at 4°C in the presence or absence of electron donor DPC $(10 \,\mu\text{M})$ and electron acceptor PPBQ $(0.6 \,\text{mM})$. The thickness for sample illumination was adjusted to 2.5 mm in all cases. A halogen lamp projector was used as a visible light source (for emission spectrum see Fig. S1). A xenon light source (MAX-303, Asahi Spectra Co. Ltd. Japan) with a combination of short and long-pass filters were used as light source in the blue $(400-530 \,\text{nm})$, green $(530-590 \,\text{nm})$ and red $(590-720 \,\text{nm})$ regions of the visible spectra (Fig. S1). All photodamage treatments were carried out at 4°C.

PSII total activity and PSII reaction centre activity assay. After photodamage treatments, PSII samples (150µg chlorophyll mL⁻¹) were collected by centrifugation (16000 × g, 10 min), resuspended in fresh Buffer A and adjusted to 7.5µg of chlorophyll mL⁻¹. PSII reaction center activity and PSII total activity were measured spectrophotometrically in the presence of the artificial electron acceptor 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol (DCPIP) (1 mM) at 25 °C with or without artificial electron donor DPC (100µM), respectively, using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (Cary 300, Varian). The assay was illuminated using 430 nm LED (2400µmol of photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and the activity was monitored by absorption changes at 600 nm due to reduction of DCPIP. The detector was protected by a narrowband filter centred at 600 nm to ensure that the PMT received only the monochromatic light transmitted by the sample.

References

- 1. Kok, B. On the inhibition of photosynthesis by intense light. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 21, 234-244 (1956).
- Aro, E. M., Virgin, I. & Andersson, B. Photoinhibition of photosystem II. Inactivation, protein damage and turnover. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1143, 113–134 (1993).
- Komenda, J., Sobotka, R. & Nixon, P. J. Assembling and maintaining the Photosystem II complex in chloroplasts and cyanobacteria. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 15, 245–251 (2012).
- 4. Takahashi, S. & Badger, M. R. Photoprotection in plants: a new light on photosystem II damage. *Trends Plant Sci.* 16, 53–60 (2011).
- 5. Takahashi, S. & Murata, N. How do environmental stresses accelerate photoinhibition? Trends Plant Sci. 13, 178-182 (2008).
- Murata, N., Takahashi, S., Nishiyama, Y. & Allakhverdiev, S. I. Photoinhibition of photosystem II under environmental stress. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1767, 414–421 (2007).
- Long, S. P., Humphries, S. & Falkowski, P. G. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 45, 633–662 (1994).
- 8. Tyystjärvi, E. & Aro, E. M. The rate constant of photoinhibition, measured in lincomycin-treated leaves, is directly proportional to light intensity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 2213–2218 (1996).

- Mattoo, A. K., Hoffman-Falk, H., Marder, J. B. & Edelman, M. Regulation of protein metabolism: coupling of photosynthetic electron transport to *in vivo* degradation of the rapidly metabolized 32-kilodalton protein of the chloroplast membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81, 1380–1384 (1984).
- 10. Nishiyama, Y. *et al.* Oxidative stress inhibits the repair of photodamage to the photosynthetic machinery. *EMBO J.* **20**, 5587–5594 (2001).
- Allakhverdiev, S. I. & Murata, N. Environmental stress inhibits the synthesis *de novo* of proteins involved in the photodamagerepair cycle of photosystem II in *Synechocystis* sp PCC 6803. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1657, 23–32 (2004).
- Hakala, M., Tuominen, I., Keränen, M., Tyystjärvi, T. & Tyystjärvi, E. Evidence for the role of the oxygen-evolving manganese complex in photoinhibition of Photosystem II. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1706, 68–80 (2005).
- Ohnishi, N. et al. Two-step mechanism of photodamage to photosystem II: step 1 occurs at the oxygen-evolving complex and step 2 occurs at the photochemical reaction center. Biochemistry 44, 8494–8499 (2005).
- 14. Jones, L. W. & Kok, B. Photoinhibition of chloroplast reactions. I. Kinetics and action spectra. *Plant Physiol.* 41, 1037–1043 (1966).
- 15. Jung, J. & Kim, H.-S. The chromophores as endogenous sensitizers involved in the photogeneration of singlet oxygen in spinach thylakoids. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **52**, 1003–1009 (1990).
- 16. Takahashi, S. et al. The solar action spectrum of photosystem II damage. Plant Physiol. 153, 988-993 (2010).
- 17. Hakala-Yatkin, M., Mantysaari, M., Mattila, H. & Tyystjarvi, E. Contributions of Visible and Ultraviolet Parts of Sunlight to Photoinhibition. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **51**, 1745–1753 (2010).
- Antal, T. K., Lo, W., Armstrong, W. H. & Tyystjärvi, E. Illumination with Ultraviolet or Visible Light Induces Chemical Changes in the Water-soluble Manganese Complex, [Mn4O6(bpea)4]Br4. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 85, 663–668 (2009).
- 19. Vass, I. & Cser, K. Janus-faced charge recombinations in photosystem II photoinhibition. *Trends Plant Sci.* 14, 200–205 (2009). 20. Nishiyama, Y., Allakhverdiev, S. I. & Murata, N. A new paradigm for the action of reactive oxygen species in the photoinhibition
- of photosystem II. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1757**, 742–749 (2006). 21. Tyystjärvi, E. Photoinhibition of photosystem II and photodamage of the oxygen evolving manganese cluster. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **252**, 361–376 (2008).
- 22. Oguchi, R., Terashima, I. & Chow, W. S. The Involvement of Dual Mechanisms of Photoinactivation of Photosystem II in Capsicum annuum L. Plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **50**, 1815–1825 (2009).
- 23. Oguchi, R., Terashima, I., Kou, J. & Chow, W. S. Operation of dual mechanisms that both lead to photoinactivation of Photosystem II in leaves by visible light. *Physiol. Plant.* **142**, 47–55 (2011).
- Oguchi, R., Douwstra, P., Fujita, T., Chow, W. S. & Terashima, I. Intra-leaf gradients of photoinhibition induced by different color lights: implications for the dual mechanisms of photoinhibition and for the application of conventional chlorophyll fluorometers. *New Phytol.* **191**, 146–159 (2011).
- Schreiber, U. & Klughammer, C. Wavelength-dependent photodamage to Chlorella investigated with a new type of multi-color PAM chlorophyll fluorometer. *Photosyn. Res.* 114, 165–177 (2013).
- Melis, A. Photosystem II damage and repair cycle in chloroplasts: what modulates the rate of photodamage in vivo? Trends Plant Sci. 4, 130–135 (1999).
- 27. Takahashi, S. & Murata, N. Interruption of the Calvin cycle inhibits the repair of photosystem II from photodamage. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1708**, 352–361 (2005).
- Takahashi, S. & Murata, N. Glycerate-3-phosphate, produced by CO₂ fixation in the Calvin cycle, is critical for the synthesis of the D1 protein of photosystem II. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1757, 198-205 (2006).
- 29. Nishiyama, Y., Allakhverdiev, S. I., Yamamoto, H., Hayashi, H. & Murata, N. Singlet oxygen inhibits the repair of photosystem II by suppressing the translation elongation of the D1 protein in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Biochemistry* **43**, 11321–11330 (2004).
- Inoue, S. et al. Protection by alpha-tocopherol of the repair of photosystem II during photoinhibition in Synechocystis sp PCC 6803. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1807, 236–241 (2011).
- 31. Jimbo, H. et al. Expression of a highly active catalase VktA in the cyanobacterium Synechococcus elongatus PCC 7942 alleviates the photoinhibition of photosystem II. Photosynth. Res. 117, 509–515 (2013).
- Murata, N., Allakhverdiev, S. I. & Nishiyama, Y. The mechanism of photoinhibition *in vivo*: Re-evaluation of the roles of catalase, alpha-tocopherol, non-photochemical quenching, and electron transport. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1817, 1127–1133 (2012).
- 33. Hakala, M., Rantamaki, S., Puputti, E. M., Tyystjärvi, T. & Tyystjärvi, E. Photoinhibition of manganese enzymes: insights into the mechanism of photosystem II photoinhibition. J. Exp. Bot. 57, 1809–1816 (2006).
- 34. Takahashi, S., Bauwe, H. & Badger, M. Impairment of the photorespiratory pathway accelerates photoinhibition of photosystem II by suppression of repair process and not acceleration of damage process in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol.* 144, 487–494 (2007).
- 35. Takahashi, S., Milward, S. E., Fan, D.-Y., W.S., C. & Badger, M. R. How does cyclic electron flow alleviate photoinhibition in Arabidopsis? *Plant Physiol.* 149, 1560–1567 (2009).
- Santabarbara, S., Neverov, K. V., Garlaschi, F. M., Zucchelli, G. & Jennings, R. C. Involvement of uncoupled antenna chlorophylls in photoinhibition in thylakoids. FEBS Lett. 491, 109–113 (2001).
- Santabarbara, S. et al. Photoinhibition in vivo and in vitro Involves Weakly Coupled Chlorophyll–Protein Complexes. Photochem. Photobiol. 75, 613–618 (2002).
- 38. Berthold, D. A., Babcock, G. T. & Yocum, C. F. A Highly resolved, oxygen-evolving photosystem II preparation from spinach thylakoid membranes: EPR and electron-transport properties. *FEBS Lett.* **134**, 231–234 (1981).
- 39. Porra, R. J., Thompson, W. A. & Kriedemann, P. E. Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with four different solvents: verification of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 975, 384–394 (1989).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the PhD scholarships from Mexican National Council for Science and Technology (CONACYT) and the Mexican Secretariat of Public Education (SEP) to A.Z. and by the Australian Research Council Discovery Project (grant no. DP120101360, DP120100872) to W.H., W.S.C. and S.T.

Author Contributions

S.T. and W.H. designed the study. A.Z. and M.H.C. performed whole experiments and analysed data. A.Z., M.H.C., W.S.C. and S.T. wrote the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Zavafer, A. *et al.* Photodamage to the oxygen evolving complex of photosystem II by visible light. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 16363; doi: 10.1038/srep16363 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/