SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

In-plane tunnelling field-effect transistor integrated on Silicon

Ignasi Fina^{1,2,3}, Geanina Apachitei², Daniele Preziosi¹, Hakan Deniz¹, Dominik Kriegner⁴, Xavier Marti⁵ & Marin Alexe²

Received: 15 April 2015

Accepted: 13 August 2015

Published: 25 September 2015

Silicon has persevered as the primary substrate of microelectronics during last decades. During last years, it has been gradually embracing the integration of ferroelectricity and ferromagnetism. The successful incorporation of these two functionalities to silicon has delivered the desired non-volatility via charge-effects and giant magneto-resistance. On the other hand, there has been a numerous demonstrations of the so-called magnetoelectric effect (coupling between ferroelectric and ferromagnetic order) using nearly-perfect heterostructures. However, the scrutiny of the ingredients that lead to magnetoelectric coupling, namely magnetic moment and a conducting channel, does not necessarily require structural perfection. In this work, we circumvent the stringent requirements for epilayers while preserving the magnetoelectric functionality in a silicon-integrated device. Additionally, we have identified an in-plane tunnelling mechanism which responds to a vertical electric field. This genuine electroresistance effect is distinct from known resistive-switching or tunnel electro resistance.

Electric modulation of the magnetic properties of a nanoscale ferromagnetic semiconductor (FM-SC) at room temperature, in a system integrated with Si is a challenge for the scientific community¹. One of the most appealing device architectures to achieve this challenging objective is the ferroelectric field effect transistor (FeFET) where the channel is a FM-SC, which fulfils the major requirements of achieving both magnetic and transport modulation in a reversible way, while operating at modest voltages, low current, and very short time scale². In a classical non-magnetic FeFET device the transport across the semiconductor channel is modulated by the charge accumulated at the ferroelectric gate using the same FET architecture³. In FeFET based on FM-SC, both the magnetic and transport properties are modulated by the depleted and accumulated charge. FeFET based on FM-SC is in fact a multiferroic device presenting ferroelectric and ferromagnetic order. In multiferroic composites (combining ferroelectric and ferromagnetic materials) strain mediated coupling between the electric and the magnetic order (magnetoelectric coupling) is commonly exploited^{4–7}. However, in devices where coupling is mediated by strain, the mechanical clamping issue appears⁸, which would be avoided in a multiferroic FeFET, without requiring nanostructuring^{9–11}.

On one hand, FeFET devices where the semiconductor channel is a FM-SC have been already realized^{12–17} with low ordering temperatures. On the other hand, in the field of oxide electronics the development and the study of FeFET devices, with a strongly correlated material (SCM)¹⁸ acting as channel, is still object of intensive research. Noticeably, it is the work on the rare earth manganites family, using the archetypical $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{MnO}_3$ as a channel material, which showed the largest modulation on the magnetic properties induced by ferroelectric polarization reversal^{19,20}. The observed shift of the Curie temperature (T_c) for the ferroelectrically-gated SCM is commonly much larger than the one measured for a FM-SC

¹Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, Weinberg 2, Halle (Saale), D-06120, Germany. ²Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV 4 7AL, United Kingdom. ³ICN2-Institut Català de Nanociència i Nanotecnologia, Campus Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain. ⁴Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, CZ-121 16 Prague 2, Czech Republic. ⁵Department of Spintronics and Nanoelectronics, Institute of Physics ASCR, v.v.i., Cukrovarnická 10, 162 53 Praha 6, Czech Republic. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to I.F. (email: ignasifinamartinez@gmail.com) or M.A. (email: M.Alexe@warwick.ac.uk)

Figure 1. (a) Sketch of the field effect device and the contact configuration in the transport measurements. (b) Cross-sectional high resolution TEM image revealing the grainy morphology of PZT and LSMO and the polycrystalline nature of LSMO. Dashed white lines mark the grain boundary of the LSMO layer. FFT of the highlighted red and green regions are shown in the insets of the respective colours. (c) Ferroelectric P-V loop recorded for Si/LSMO/PZT.

channel (compare, for instance, refs 15 and 19). Moreover, T_c values characterizing the SCMs are also larger than in FM-SCs. The latter fact is of great interest since a room temperature FM-SC has been predicted²¹, but never been realized, and SCM ferromagnetic at room temperature can be an alternative to them. The major drawback on the studies of SCMs is that the aforementioned effects have been observed only in high-quality epitaxial films, usually grown on top of expensive substrates such as SrTiO_3 with vicinal surfaces, which make them non-suitable for any commercial application. Therefore, there is an important need to study similar magnetoelectric effects on less demanding systems grown on substrates, which are compatible with nowadays microelectronic or MEMS industry such as silicon.

Here we report on a $\text{La}_{0.825}\text{Sr}_{0.175}\text{MnO}_3/\text{Pb}_{0.2}\text{Zr}_{0.8}\text{TiO}_3$ (LSMO/PZT) bilayer directly grown on as-received Si substrate as sketched in Fig. 1a. The Sr content of LSMO has been selected to be equal to 0.175, exactly at the verge between metallic and insulating phases²², behaving in bulk more as a semi-conducting oxide. We show that the modulation of the magnetic and transport properties of LSMO upon PZT ferroelectric switching is large, as revealed by a remarkable change in T_c , as well as a change of absolute resistance and magnetoresistance, despite the polycrystalline nature of the structure. Our experimental data allow us to infer a possible distinct mechanism (not present on similar epitaxial systems) that accounts for the observed transport modulation. The results presented here show the advantages of the use of a SCM as a channel, with large effects and high order temperature, in a structure grown on Si.

Results

Cross-sectional high-resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) image is shown in Fig. 1b, in which the LSMO and PZT layers can be clearly distinguished. From the TEM image a grainy morphology for PZT is inferred. The LSMO layer exhibits grain sizes ranging from 5 to 30 nm (the grainy morphology is confirmed by the AFM characterization shown in Fig. S1 in Supplementary information). Several of these grains are crystalline, as revealed by the FFT (bottom inset) of the marked area (in red) and labelled using pseudo-cubic notation. Other grains show no peaks on the FFT (top inset), because of the non-proper orientation of the crystalline planes with respect to electron beam. Both facts point towards the polycrystalline nature of LSMO (as it is also the case for PZT), as further confirmed by the X-ray characterization (see Fig. S2 in Supplementary information).

As shown in Fig. 1c, the polycrystalline nature of the PZT layer has not hampered obtaining a sizeable remnant polarization ($P_r \approx 25 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$). The P_r value is comparable to that obtained for good crystalline ferroelectric films on buffered Si²³. The visible shift towards positive voltages indicates polarization imprint with P pointing outwards LSMO.

The influence of the polarization of the PZT layer on the electronic transport of the underneath LSMO layer is revealed by the dependence on temperature of the LSMO resistance acquired for three different polar states of PZT. In Fig. 2a, the black line, measured for the PZT as-grown state, shows three distinctive regions. At low and high temperature the slope is negative (semiconductor-like) whereas at intermediate temperatures it is positive (metallic-like). The minimum of the resistance at ≈ 50 K is the so-called up-turn temperature ($T_{\text{up-turn}}$) and its origin is discussed in detail below. The distinctive peak at 216 K, at which LSMO undergoes an insulating to metallic transition (T_{MI} , determined from the curve derivative) marks the onset of the ferromagnetic order²². Using a conductive AFM tip the ferroelectric polarization of PZT has been switched from the as-grown state to P_{down} (with +9 V), all along the LSMO bar. Complementary PFM characterization to crosscheck the polarization switch can be seen in Fig. S3 in Supplementary information. Afterwards, the resistance dependence on temperature (blue line in Fig. 2a) has been measured under the same conditions. Comparing the two curves before and after polarization switching, two main features can be observed: i) the resistance significantly increases, shifting the

Figure 2. (a) Resistance dependence on temperature for as-grown, P_{up} and P_{down} polar states. (b) Resistance values measured at 5 K upon successive ferroelectric switching of the PZT layer. Data in (a) correspond to the as-grown and 1th and 2th states of (b). (c) I-V characteristics of the LSMO bar for the as-grown state, P_{down} and P_{up} at 5 K. (d) Magnetoresistance measurement with $MR = (R(H) - R(50kOe))/R(50kOe)$ for the as-grown state, P_{down} and P_{up} at 5 K.

whole curve, at room temperature; the shift is 35% (in agreement with previous results in ref. 24) and it increases up to almost 75% at low temperature, and ii) the T_{MI} shifts from 216 (P_{up}) to 200 (P_{down}). After switching to P_{up} (−9 V) the measured $R(T)$ curve overlaps to the one obtained in the as-grown state. The latter finding is expected, since P in the as-grown state is mainly P_{up} (Fig. S3 in Supplementary information). Upon successive ferroelectric switching results similar to those shown in Fig. 2a have been recorded, as it can be seen in Fig. 2b. As expected, the resistance states corresponding to P_{down} are more scattered because of the aforementioned presence of internal imprint field; the imprint produces some back-switching towards P_{up} (not controllable in our device architecture), that hinders the possibility to obtain the fully polarized P_{down} state. Note that the characterized bilayer grown on Si allows disregarding strain as the parameter that mediates the found magnetoelectric effect between PZT and LSMO because of the substrate clamping effect that forbids the LSMO lattice modulation.

We now focus on the transport and magnetotransport properties of LSMO at low temperatures, where LSMO behaves as semiconductor and it is ferromagnetic. The current versus voltage (I-V) characteristics recorded for the three polar states at 5 K are depicted in Fig. 2c. It can be readily observed that the switch of polarization state induces significant changes in the I-V characteristics especially in the low voltage range, where a remarkable shift of the onset is observed. Magnetotransport measurements for the three polar states have been also performed and they are shown in Fig. 2d. Significantly, the obtained value for the absolute magnetoresistance ($MR = (R(H) - R(50kOe))/R(50kOe)$) is large (near 50%), and the hysteresis with coercive field at 0.7 kOe is in agreement with the performed magnetic characterization, see Fig. S4 in Supplementary information. The small, but clearly visible difference in the amplitude of the magnetoresistance before and after ferroelectric switching is also worth noting. The mechanisms that account for the observed difference in the electrical resistance (I-V characteristics) and the magnetoresistance upon ferroelectric switching and their implications on the measured resistance change are discussed in the following section.

Discussion

The remarkable change in the metal-insulator transition temperature T_{MI} , and the change in the magnetoresistance amplitude upon ferroelectric switching univocally point to the fact that the magnetism is modulated by the field effect in the studied system. However, the peculiar crystalline character and the rather unexplored LSMO composition make the presented results not really comparable to those obtained in similar epitaxial structures. This raises the question whether the conduction mechanism at low temperature in this system is the same and how the different structural and morphological characteristics of our system can affect the obtained electric field effect as compared to the single-crystalline ones.

Figure 3. (a) $\ln \sigma$ versus $T^{-1/4}$ plot for the as-grown state, P_{down} and P_{up} . (b) Calculated electroresistance

$$ER = \frac{R(P_{\text{down}}) - R(P_{\text{up}})}{R(P_{\text{up}})} \text{ as a function of temperature. (c) Electroresistance as a function of magnetic field}$$

measured at 5 K. (d) Upon ferroelectric polarization switching of PZT the grain boundary generated barrier changes its effective shape resulting in a change of the effective thickness of the tunnelling barrier and, concomitantly, in the observed change of resistance.

It has been already proposed that a phase coexistence of metallic and insulating regions at $T < T_c$ can play an important role, explaining the high resistivity found at $T < T_{\text{up-turn}}$ and the dR/dT change of the sign at $T_{\text{up-turn}}$ ²⁵. In fact, scanning tunnelling microscopy studies in LSMO grainy samples have revealed that less conductive regions at the grain boundaries coexist with more conductive ones in the grains²⁶. It appears natural to propose variable range hopping (VRH, present in epitaxial films) to describe the conduction mechanism²⁷. In Fig. 3a the $\ln \sigma$ vs. $T^{-1/4}$ plot is shown. The data have been fitted to $\sigma = \sigma_0 e^{-(T_0/T)^{1/4}}$ that describes the VRH, where $T_0 = \frac{\beta}{K_B N(E_F) a^3}$ and σ_0 accounts for the conductance at infinite temperature, $N(E_F)$ for the density of states at the Fermi level, a for the radius of the localized states, β is a constant, and K_B is the Boltzmann's constant. T_0 from the linear fit is extracted and a non-significant difference between the P_{down} ($T_0 = 13$ K) and P_{up} ($T_0 = 16$ K) states is obtained. Further analysis shows that these results are at odds with precedent works, where it was concluded that transport is modulated by a change in the number of carriers upon ferroelectric switching¹⁹. Under this scenario, P_{down} sets the electronic state of depletion at LSMO (accumulation for P_{up}) with a subsequent decrease of charge carriers (transport in LSMO is governed by holes); therefore, T_0 must be larger for P_{down} than for P_{up} . In fact, in epitaxial bilayer films of the same LSMO as the one analysed here, the modulation takes place and it is remarkably large (see Fig. S5 in Supplementary information and ref. 28). This points to the fact that: even though VRH participates in the conduction process, the change of conductivity upon polarization switching is not dictated by variations of $N(E_F)$.

The failure to explain the obtained resistance modulation by VRH at low temperature suggests that another transport mechanism can play an important role. The particular influence of the grain boundaries on the conductivity in the $(\text{La}_x\text{A}_{1-x})\text{MnO}_3$ family has been exhaustively studied²⁹ and it has been proposed that the conductivity at $T < T_{\text{up-turn}}$ can be influenced by the tunnelling current between different grains; therefore grain boundaries act as an insulating layer^{30–32}. A tunnelling barrier with several localized states in the insulator follows the relationship $I = V \cdot G(V)$, where $G(V) = G_0 + G_1 + G_2 V^{4/3} + G_3 V^{5/2}$ after Glazman and Matveev³³, and it can be used to describe the obtained transport properties in grainy $(\text{La}_x\text{A}_{1-x})\text{MnO}_3$ films as demonstrated in detail in ref. 30, and more recent works in ref. 34. In Fig. 2c, the data have been fitted by the aforementioned Glazman-Matveev equation (the goodness of the fit and the fitted parameters are presented in Table S6 in Supplementary information). The good quality of the fitting, in agreement with the resemblance of the I - V characteristics shown in ref. 30 for samples

where the tunnelling through grains is predominant, indicates that for P_{down} the contribution of the tunnelling current is smaller than for P_{up} . Interestingly, in the ohmic regime (low V), where $G(V) \approx G_0 + G_1$, the tunnelling resistivity shows a large change from ≈ 3 (for P_{up}) to ≈ 27 (for P_{down}) $\text{k}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ (near 1000%).

Tunnelling is a temperature independent effect; therefore the found modulation must be temperature independent. This is confirmed by the dependence of the electro-resistance $\left(ER = \frac{R(P_{\text{down}}) - R(P_{\text{up}})}{R(P_{\text{up}})}\right)$ on temperature shown in Fig. 3b that displays a plateau at temperatures below $T_{\text{up-turn}}$. Note that the term “electroresistance” does not have the same physical origin as resistive-switching, or tunnel electroresistance based devices. Here it accounts for the modulation of the tunnel magnetoresistance between LSMO grains by electric field. When the temperature is further increased above $T_{\text{up-turn}}$, the electroresistance is no longer limited by tunnelling, which results in a gradual decrease of ER. This is in agreement with the quantum-mechanical origin of the tunnelling current, and with the coexistence of tunnelling and over-barrier current (not tunnelling) as already introduced in ref. 35. The ER plateau not found until 200 K indicates that tunnelling is still present at this very high temperature. In Fig. 3c, it is shown the dependence of the electroresistance on magnetic field. It can be inferred that it reaches a maximum near the magnetic coercive field, indicating that the spin-polarization (which depends on the particular shape of the DOS) changes upon ferroelectric switching, which accounts for the modulation of magnetoresistance.

These results indicate that the observed change is dictated by the variation of effective shape of the tunnelling barrier between grains, instead of by the number of available carriers or localized states upon switching. To sum up, in Fig. 3d, we have sketched the present scenario. In Fig. 3d (left panel), it is depicted a grain boundary in between two LSMO grains (labelled). For P_{up} (Fig. 3d (middle panel)), the current will flow through tunnelling between different LSMO grains. The tunnel barrier shape is defined by its thickness and height, and the tunnelling probability decreases when both thickness and/or height increase. For P_{down} (Fig. 3d (right panel)), the grain boundary would change its properties, and therefore the effective thickness and height of the tunnel barrier are modified. According to the results presented here for P_{down} the tunnelling probability is less, which has been depicted in Fig. 3d by an increase of the tunnelling thickness and/or height. A plausible scenario is that the insulating grain boundaries²⁶ can change their properties by the change in the electrical doping induced by the electric field. This results in a change in the effective insulating thickness, which accounts for a change in the tunnelling probability. Elucidating the particular origin (change in height or in thickness) of the modulation of the tunnelling current is beyond the scope of the present work.

To summarise, the shifting of T_{MI} by 16 K and the change of magnetoresistance upon ferroelectric switching are a clear indications of a large modification of the magnetic properties by an electric field. Remarkably, the T_{MI} shift of 16 K largely exceeds that of any other field-effect device based on FM-SC¹⁵, and it is similar to that found in similar epitaxial heterostructures^{19,28,36}. The analysis of the obtained data allows us to conclude that the change of magnetic properties and the variations of the transport properties of the grain boundary (acting as a tunnelling barrier between grains) account for the observed resistance modulation upon ferroelectric switching. The dependence of ER on temperature indicates that the tunnelling current contribution is still present at least up to ~ 225 K. These results obtained in an integrated Si structure are of great interest for industrial applications. Moreover, the findings presented here claim for more investigation on horizontal tunnelling barriers (artificial or self-assembled and magnetic or electric) modulated by external electric field effect.

Methods

Sample growth. PZT/LSMO bilayers were fabricated by Pulsed Laser Deposition (PLD) on commercial as-received Si(001) wafers in a two steps process, following the same procedure described elsewhere²⁸. Thickness of the samples has been determined by TEM measurements show in Fig. S7 of Supplementary information.

TEM characterization. TEM investigation has been performed by using FEI TITAN 80–300 operated at 300 kV.

Ferroelectric characterization. Macroscopic ferroelectric characterization was performed by measuring the dynamic P-V hysteresis loops at 10 Hz using a TFAalyzer 2000 (aixACCT Systems, Aachen, Germany) using $60 \times 60 \mu\text{m}^2$ Cu top electrodes *ex-situ* evaporated.

Magnetotransport characterization. Transport measurements have been performed by using an electrometer (6517B Keithley Co.). The resistance of LSMO is measured using a two points contact method at 50 V (as sketched in Fig. 1a). Because of the large resistance of the LSMO thin film it can be assumed that the contact resistance does not largely contribute to the total measured resistance and a standard four-points measurements is not required. The fact of covering the LSMO with the PZT layer does not produce any significant effect on the LSMO transport and magnetotransport properties, disregarding the presence of any significant chemical change upon PZT deposition on LSMO, as can be inferred from transport measurement on LSMO sample before PZT deposition (Fig. S8 of Supplementary

information). The sample was introduced into a PPMS device using a special designed insert (Quantum Design Co.) to allow the control of the magnetic field and the temperature. The used temperature rate was fixed to 2 K/min.

References

1. ITRS. *Emerging Research Materials Summary (ERM). The International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors* (2013). Available at: http://www.itrs.net/ITRS%201999-2014%20Mtg,%20Presentations%20&%20Links/2013ITRS/2013Chapters/2013ERM_Summary.pdf. (Accessed: 26th June 2015).
2. Balk, P. Dielectrics for Field Effect Technology. *Adv. Mat.* **7**, 703–710, doi: 10.1002/adma.19950070804 (1995).
3. Wu, S.-Y. Memory retention and switching behavior of metal-ferroelectric-semiconductor transistors. *Ferroelectrics* **11**, 379–383, doi: 10.1080/00150197608236584 (1976).
4. Eerenstein, W., Mathur, N. & Scott, J. Multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials. *Nature* **442**, 759–765, doi: 10.1038/nature05023 (2006).
5. Eerenstein, W., Wiora, M., Prieto, J., Scott, J. & Mathur, N. Giant sharp and persistent converse magnetoelectric effects in multiferroic epitaxial heterostructures. *Nat. Mat.* **6**, 348–351, doi: 10.1038/nmat1886 (2007).
6. Wu, T. *et al.* Observation of magnetoelectric effect in epitaxial ferroelectric film/manganite crystal heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 134416, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.73.134416 (2006).
7. Wan, J. G., Liu, J.-M., Wang, G. H. & Nan, C. W. Electric-field-induced magnetization in Pb(Zr,Ti)O₃/Terfenol-D composite structures. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 2502, doi: 10.1063/1.2199967 (2006).
8. Fina, I. *et al.* The direct magnetoelectric effect in ferroelectric–ferromagnetic epitaxial heterostructures. *Nanoscale* **5**, 8037–8044, doi: 10.1039/C3NR01011B (2013).
9. Lu, X. *et al.* Magnetoelectric Coupling in Ordered Arrays of Multilayered Heteroepitaxial BaTiO₃/CoFe₂O₄ Nanodots. *Nano Letters* **11**, 3202–3206, doi: 10.1021/nl201443h (2011).
10. Lu, X. *et al.* Field dependency of magnetoelectric coupling in multilayered nanocomposite arrays: Possible contribution from surface spins. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **101**, 222902, doi: 10.1063/1.4768290 (2012).
11. Stratulat, S. M. *et al.* Nucleation-Induced Self-Assembly of Multiferroic BiFeO₃–CoFe₂O₄ Nanocomposites. *Nano Letters* **13**, 3884–3889, doi: 10.1021/nl401965z (2013).
12. Ohno, H. *et al.* Electric-field control of ferromagnetism. *Nature* **408**, 944–946 doi: 10.1038/35050040 (2000).
13. Owen, M. *et al.* Low-voltage control of ferromagnetism in a semiconductor p–n junction. *New J. Phys.* **11**, 023008, doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/11/2/023008 (2009).
14. Riester, S. *et al.* Toward a low-voltage multiferroic transistor: Magnetic (Ga, Mn) As under ferroelectric control. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 063504, doi: 10.1063/1.3076107 (2009).
15. Stolichnov, I. *et al.* Enhanced Curie temperature and nonvolatile switching of ferromagnetism in ultrathin (Ga,Mn)As channels. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 115203, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.83.115203 (2011).
16. Stolichnov, I. *et al.* Non-volatile ferroelectric control of ferromagnetism in (Ga, Mn)As. *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 464–467, doi: 10.1038/nmat2185 (2008).
17. Chiba, D., Matsukura, F. & Ohno, H. Electric-field control of ferromagnetism in (Ga, Mn) As. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 162505, doi: 10.1063/1.2362971 (2006).
18. Ahn, C., Triscone, J.-M. & Mannhart, J. Electric field effect in correlated oxide systems. *Nature* **424**, 1015–1018, doi: 10.1038/nature01878 (2003).
19. Molegraaf, H. J. *et al.* Magnetoelectric effects in complex oxides with competing ground states. *Adv. Mat.* **21**, 3470–3474, doi: 10.1002/adma.200900278 (2009).
20. Vaz, C. *et al.* Origin of the magnetoelectric coupling effect in Pb (Zr_{0.2} Ti_{0.8}) O₃/La_{0.8} Sr_{0.2} MnO₃ multiferroic heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 127202, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.127202 (2010).
21. Dietl, T., Ohno, H., Matsukura, F., Cibert, J. & Ferrand, D. Zener model description of ferromagnetism in zinc-blende magnetic semiconductors. *Science* **287**, 1019–1022, doi: 10.1126/science.287.5455.1019 (2000).
22. Urushibara, A. *et al.* Insulator-metal transition and giant magnetoresistance in La_{1-x} Sr_x MnO₃. *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 14103, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.51.14103 (1995).
23. Scigaj, M. *et al.* Ultra-flat BaTiO₃ epitaxial films on Si(001) with large out-of-plane polarization. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 112905, doi: 10.1063/1.4798246 (2013).
24. Zhao, T. *et al.* Colossal magnetoresistive manganite-based ferroelectric field-effect transistor on Si. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **84**, 750–752, doi: 10.1063/1.1644321 (2004).
25. Dagotto, E. Complexity in strongly correlated electronic systems. *Science* **309**, 257–262, doi: 10.1126/science.1107559 (2005).
26. Kar, S., Sarkar, J., Ghosh, B. & Raychaudhuri, A. K. Spatially resolved study of electronic transport through grain boundaries in nanostructured films of La_{0.67} Sr_{0.33} MnO₃. *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 085412, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.085412 (2006).
27. Mott, N. F. & Davis, E. A. *Electronic processes in non-crystalline materials*. (Oxford University Press, 2012).
28. Preziosi, D. *et al.* Tailoring the interfacial magnetic anisotropy in multiferroic field-effect devices. *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 125155, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.125155 (2014).
29. Gupta, A. *et al.* Grain-boundary effects on the magnetoresistance properties of perovskite manganite films. *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, R15629, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.54.R15629 (1996).
30. Gross, R. *et al.* Physics of grain boundaries in the colossal magnetoresistance manganites. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **211**, 150–159, doi: 10.1016/S0304-8853(99)00727-1 (2000).
31. Steenbeck, K., Eick, T., Kirsch, K., Schmidt, H.-G. & Steinbeib, E. Tunneling-like magnetoresistance in bicrystal La 0.8 Sr 0.2 MnO 3-δ thin films. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73**, 2506–2508, doi: 10.1063/1.122497 (1998).
32. Shim, I. B., Oh, Y. J. & Choi, S. Y. Low-field tunnel-type magnetoresistance properties of polycrystalline and epitaxial La 0.67 Sr 0.33 MnO 3 thin films. *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **37**, 425 (2000).
33. Glazman, L. I. & Matveev, K. A. Inelastic tunneling across thin amorphous films, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **94**, 332–343 (1988).
34. Nath, R. & Raychaudhuri, A. Electric double layer gate controlled non-linear transport in a nanostructured functional perovskite oxide film. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 083515, doi: 10.1063/1.4867081 (2014).
35. Goldman, E., Markin, Y. V., Sulzhenko, P. & Zhdan, A. Grain boundary tunneling conductivity of semiconductor poly- and bicrystals. *Phys. Status Solidi A* **80**, 423–429, doi: 10.1002/pssa.2210800203 (1983).
36. Hong, X. *et al.* Effect of electric field doping on the anisotropic magnetoresistance in doped manganites. *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 174406, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.74.174406 (2006).

Acknowledgements

I.F. acknowledges the Beatriu de Pinós postdoctoral scholarship (2011 BP-A 00220 and 2011 BP-A_2 00014) from AGAUR-Generalitat de Catalunya. D.P. thanks the European Community's Seventh Framework

Programme (FP7/2007-2013) for financial support under grant agreement n° NMP3-LA-2010-246102. Work in part supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) via SFB 762. D. Hesse is acknowledged for his critical reading of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

I.F. and M.A. designed the experiment. D.P. and G.A. prepared the samples. I.F. and G.A. carried out the experiments. H.D. carried out the TEM investigations. D.K. carried out the XRD investigations. I.F., D.P., X.M. and M.A. analysed and discussed the results. I.F. prepared the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript preparation.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Fina, I. *et al.* In-plane tunnelling field-effect transistor integrated on Silicon. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14367; doi: 10.1038/srep14367 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>