SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

An isomorphous replacement method for efficient *de novo* phasing for serial femtosecond crystallography

Received: 04 March 2015

Accepted: 14 August 2015

Published: 11 September 2015

Keitaro Yamashita¹, Dongqing Pan², Tomohiko Okuda², Michihiro Sugahara¹, Atsushi Kodan³, Tomohiro Yamaguchi², Tomohiro Murai², Keiko Gomi⁴, Naoki Kajiyama⁴, Eiichi Mizohata⁵, Mamoru Suzuki^{1,6}, Eriko Nango¹, Kensuke Tono⁷, Yasumasa Joti⁷, Takashi Kameshima⁷, Jaehyun Park¹, Changyong Song¹, Takaki Hatsui¹, Makina Yabashi¹, So Iwata^{1,8}, Hiroaki Kato^{1,2}, Hideo Ago¹, Masaki Yamamoto¹ & Toru Nakatsu^{1,2}

Serial femtosecond crystallography (SFX) with X-ray free electron lasers (XFELs) holds great potential for structure determination of challenging proteins that are not amenable to producing large well diffracting crystals. Efficient *de novo* phasing methods are highly demanding and as such most SFX structures have been determined by molecular replacement methods. Here we employed single isomorphous replacement with anomalous scattering (SIRAS) for phasing and demonstrate successful application to SFX *de novo* phasing. Only about 20,000 patterns in total were needed for SIRAS phasing while single wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) phasing was unsuccessful with more than 80,000 patterns of derivative crystals. We employed high energy X-rays from SACLA (12.6 keV) to take advantage of the large anomalous enhancement near the L_{III} absorption edge of Hg, which is one of the most widely used heavy atoms for phasing in conventional protein crystallography. Hard XFEL is of benefit for *de novo* phasing in the use of routinely used heavy atoms and high resolution data collection.

Intense femtosecond X-ray pulses from XFELs offer new opportunities for protein crystallography. Serial femtosecond crystallography (SFX) has provided molecular structures from micron-sized protein crystals at ambient temperature^{1–4}. With the increasing enthusiasm to apply this approach to a variety of proteins and complexes, great effort has been focused on the *de novo* structure determination methods^{5–7}. Effective experimental phasing methods for SFX are essential to facilitate the realization of potential for XFEL-based protein crystallography for small protein crystals that are unsuitable for synchrotron beamlines.

Phase determination is the central problem in protein crystallography. Single wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) is now the most commonly used experimental phasing method⁸. After the successful application to macromolecular crystallography (MX) using synchrotron radiation, it has recently been

¹RIKEN SPring-8 Center, Sayo, 679-5148, Japan. ²Department of Structural Biology, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, Sakyo, 606-8501, Japan. ³Institute for Integrated Cell-Material Sciences, Kyoto University, Sakyo, 606-8501, Japan. ⁴Research and Development Division, Kikkoman Corporation, Noda, 278-0037, Japan. ⁵Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, 565-0871, Japan. ⁶Research Center for Structural and Functional Proteomics, Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, Suita, 565-0871, Japan. ⁷Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute, Sayo, 679-5148, Japan. ⁸Department of Cell Biology, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Sakyo, 606-8501, Japan. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.N. (email: nakatsu@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

extended to SFX. Barends *et al.* recently reported *de novo* phasing by the SAD method using SFX data of the tetragonal lysozyme crystals, collected at LCLS (Linac Coherent Light Source, U.S.)⁷. About 60,000 single pulse diffraction patterns were used to obtain an automatically traceable map. Strong anomalous contributions from two Gd ions ($f''=12.5e$ at 8.5 keV) and the high symmetry of the crystals (the point group 422) were beneficial in the study.

For SAD phasing, highly accurate intensity measurements are essential as this method utilizes weak anomalous differences ($\leq 10\%$ contribution to $|F|$). On the other hand, single isomorphous replacement with anomalous scattering (SIRAS) utilizes larger isomorphous differences ($\geq 10\%$ to $|F|$) in addition to the anomalous signals. Although good isomorphism between native and derivative crystals is essential, this combination leads to the improved phasing reliability, which is more tolerant to intensity fluctuations compared with the SAD method. Here we apply SIRAS to SFX to assess its potential as a more efficient *de novo* method for phasing.

Heavy atoms, such as Se, Pt, Au, or Hg with absorption edges near 12.4 keV, have been frequently used to acquire phases using synchrotron radiation (SR). The SPring-8 Angstrom Compact free-electron Laser (SACLA) provides femtosecond X-ray pulses at such high photon energy to allow high resolution *de novo* structure determinations⁹. Whilst Selenomethionine (SeMet) labelling has been frequently used for recombinant proteins, Hg has been the most successful choice for isomorphous replacements¹⁰. Mercury typically binds covalently to cysteine residues and successful derivatization of microcrystals can be established by prescreening dissolving them and analyzing by mass spectrometry. This is beneficial because the beam time at XFEL sources is scarce and the huge amount of material is required to collect high quality data for establishing the presence of heavy atom.

We applied the SIRAS phasing method to obtain the structure of luciferin-regenerating enzyme (LRE)¹¹. The LRE crystals belong to the space group $P2_12_12_1$ and show one Hg site per asymmetric unit, composed of 308 amino acid residues ($(|\Delta F_{\text{ano}}|)/(|F|) \simeq 0.06, 0.03$ at full and half occupancy, respectively). In this SIRAS phasing, we used ~20,000 indexed patterns with 10,000 each for derivative and native crystals. A notable reduction in the number of diffraction patterns required for successful phasing of SFX data by SIRAS is achieved compared to that needed for SAD phasing. SIRAS is thus an efficient *de novo* phasing method for SFX studies.

Results

SIRAS phasing. SFX experiments on the LRE crystals were performed at BL3 of SACLA. For the native crystals, 133,958 images were collected. Of these, 26,238 images (20%) were preselected based on diffraction intensity and 10,792 patterns (41%) were indexed (see Methods). The Hg-derivative crystals were prepared by soaking and diffraction data were collected at a wavelength of 0.984 Å (12.6 keV). Out of 583,291 collected images, we selected 298,061 (51%), from which 85,747 patterns (29%) were indexed.

Diffraction patterns were processed using the CrystFEL software suite¹². For native crystals, Monte-Carlo integration yielded the mean SFX multiplicity of 222 at 1.5 Å resolution, when all indexed patterns were used. For Hg-derivative crystals, the mean SFX (anomalous) multiplicity was 908 at 1.6 Å resolution over all indexed patterns. We tried phasing by SAD method using all Hg-derivative data but this was unsuccessful.

We have tested various combinations of numbers of native and derivative patterns for SIRAS phasing (Fig. 1a). Using 10,792 native patterns, we found around 10,000 derivative patterns are sufficient for SIRAS phase determination with the mean multiplicity of 106. The data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. Discrepancy in the unit cell parameters between the native LRE and the derivative was less than 0.2% and $R_{\text{iso}} = \sum_{hkl} ||F_{\text{deriv}}| - |F_{\text{native}}|| / \sum_{hkl} |F_{\text{native}}| = 23.0\%$ (calculated with Scaleit¹³).

Searches for the heavy atom substructure, phasing calculations and phase improvement were performed with the SHELX C, D, and E programs¹⁴. The position of one Hg atom per asymmetric unit was identified with SHELXD using the combination of isomorphous and anomalous differences with CC_{all} of 11.89% and CC_{weak} of 9.42%. An isomorphous difference Patterson map also showed a significant peak corresponding to the position of the Hg atom at 8.1σ (Fig. 2). Using this heavy atom position, phasing and phase improvement calculations were then carried out with SHELXE. The SHELXE procedure was repeated three times with 20 local cycles of density modification and poly-alanine auto-tracing. When the correct hand was used, the mean FOM of 0.615 was obtained, and 197 residues were modeled with $CC = 27\%$. With the inverted hand, the mean FOM was 0.453 and 45 residues were modeled with $CC = 6\%$. The electron density map for the correct hand was readily interpretable (Fig. 3). Automatic model building was then performed with ARP/wARP¹⁵ with REFMAC5¹⁶. 304 residues out of 308 were modeled with satisfactory accuracy ($R_{\text{work}} = 22.3\%$ and $R_{\text{free}} = 27.6\%$). After a few cycles of manual model rebuilding using Coot¹⁷ and automated refinement using phenix.refine¹⁸, the refinement converged with residuals $R_{\text{work}} = 18.5\%$ and $R_{\text{free}} = 23.2\%$ (Fig. 4). The model was also refined against the derivative data (R_{work} of 20.2% and R_{free} of 23.5%). In the anomalous difference Fourier map, two Hg sites with peak heights of 19.3σ and 3.52σ were identified near the Cys residue with occupancies of 0.66 and 0.16, respectively. The stereochemical qualities of the final refined model were analyzed using MolProbity in PHENIX¹⁹. The refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1.

Figure 1. Phase quality (map CC) as functions of the numbers of the native and the derivative indexed patterns. (a) SIRAS case. (b) SIR case. The success and failure of phasing are represented as circular and triangular symbols, respectively. The CC of electron density maps (defined in the main text) is indicated by colors. Some data points are missing because SHELXE failed to trace map. The figure was prepared using R³⁶ with ggplot2 package³⁷.

Number of indexed patterns and phase quality. To study the relationship between the number of indexed patterns and the quality of *de novo* phasing, we repeated the SIRAS phasing protocol using different numbers of native and derivative patterns (Fig. 1a). As a phase quality indicator, we used the correlation coefficient (CC) between the experimental electron density map given by SHELXE and the F_c map calculated using the final refined structure mentioned above. When the CC value was greater than 0.65, the trial was judged a success. The minimum numbers of diffraction patterns required to locate the Hg site correctly were 1,000 for native and 2,000 for the derivative crystals (SFX multiplicity of 21; Supplementary Fig. 1a). For the phasing calculation, however, more than 5,000 native patterns and 8,000 derivative patterns were required but in total at least 18,000 patterns were needed for successful phasing.

The same protocol was repeated for SIR phasing *i.e.* without the use of the anomalous signals (Fig. 1b). This investigation showed SIR phasing requires more patterns than the SIRAS case, which ascertains that the anomalous signal contributes to the SIRAS phasing. For a successful phasing with SIR, 9,000 or more patterns collected from native crystals and 10,000 or more derivative patterns (at least 20,000 patterns in total) were required. When the anomalous signal was utilized (in the SIRAS case), the required number of native patterns was reduced if more derivative patterns were used. For heavy atom location, SIR resulted in a better correlation between calculated and observed substructure structure amplitudes, but SIRAS achieved a better phase accuracy after phase improvement (Supplementary Fig. 1).

With the indexed patterns of 10,792 native and 10,000 Hg derivative crystals, both SIRAS and SIR method needed higher resolution data than 1.7 or 1.8 Å (Fig. 5). However, SIRAS phasing is more robust to variation in the employed high-resolution cutoff than the SIR case.

Quality of the anomalous signals. SFX data analysis using CrystFEL relies on the Monte-Carlo integration of Bragg reflections that partially intercept the Ewald sphere^{20,21}. This statistical extrapolation process favors a greater number of indexed diffraction patterns for better accuracy in the measurements of reflection intensities. The correlation coefficient (CC_{calc}) between I and $|F_{calc}|^2$ improved as more diffraction patterns were used (Supplementary Fig. 2). We compared the correlation in anomalous difference, CC_{ano} , of two randomly divided data sets by changing the number of diffraction patterns. This provides a measure for the quality of the anomalous signal with respect to the number of diffraction patterns. The analysis showed that the anomalous signals were very weak; CC_{ano} was -0.066 using 10,000

	XFEL (SIRAS)		SR (SAD)
	Native	Hg-derivative	Hg-derivative
Beamline	SACLA BL3		SPring-8 BL26B2
Wavelength (Å)	0.981	0.984	0.9839
Beam energy* or photon flux	30.0 μJ/pulse	86.4 μJ/pulse	2.0 × 10 ¹⁰ phs/s
X-ray detector	MPCCD (short working distance octal)		MX225
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁
Unit cell (<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> ; Å)	48.2, 77.6, 84.8	48.1, 77.5, 84.8	48.11, 77.18, 84.92
Resolution range [†] (Å)	10–1.50 (1.56–1.50)	25–1.60 (1.66–1.60)	40–1.70 (1.80–1.70)
Completeness [‡] (%)	100 (100)	100 (100)	99.8 (99.3)
SFX multiplicity [†]	222.2 (196)	106.2 (44)	n/a
Redundancy [†]	n/a	n/a	3.9 (3.8)
No. crystals	10,792	10,000	1
$R_{\text{split}}^{\ddagger, \S}$	0.2727 (1.671)	0.3727 (5.772)	n/a
$R_{\text{meas}}^{\ddagger, \P}$	n/a	n/a	0.101 (0.728)
$\langle I/\sigma(I) \rangle^{\ddagger, \P}$	2.56 (0.63)	1.82 (0.19)	10.58 (2.15)
$\text{CC}_{1/2}^{\ddagger, \P}$	0.893 (0.1988)	0.835 (0.0279)	0.997 (0.696)
$\text{CC}_{\text{ano}}^{**}$	n/a	−0.066	0.16
Refinement			
R_{work} , R_{free}	0.1845, 0.2318	0.2021, 0.2354	0.1444, 0.1776
No. atoms (mean <i>B</i> -factor)			
protein	2,427 (27.0)	2,403 (27.8)	2,456 (22.6)
water	188 (39.9)	164 (35.6)	158 (35.0)
ligand/ion	9 (32.6)	9 (30.6)	9 (44.6)
Hg	n/a	2 (25.6)	3 (21.8)
r.m.s. deviation from ideal			
bond lengths (Å)	0.011	0.014	0.019
bond angles (°)	1.346	1.452	1.778
Ramachandran plot			
Favored (%)	97.76	95.78	95.25
Allowed (%)	2.24	4.22	4.43
Outlier (%)	0	0	0.32

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics. *XFEL beam energy calculated from the reflectivity or the transmittance of the components between the beam monitor and the sample position (attenuator, KB-mirrors, Be windows, and air path). †Values in parenthesis are for the highest resolution shell. ‡Note that $\sigma(I)$ estimation method is different between CrystFEL and XDS and they cannot be compared.

$\S R_{\text{split}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sum_{hkl} |I_{hkl}^{\text{even_images}} - I_{hkl}^{\text{odd_images}}|}{\sum_{hkl} (I_{hkl}^{\text{even_images}} + I_{hkl}^{\text{odd_images}})} \cdot 12$. $\P R_{\text{meas}} = \frac{\sum_{hkl} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^n |I_{hkl,j} - \langle I_{hkl} \rangle|}{\sum_{hkl} \sum_{j=1}^n I_{hkl,j}}$. $\# \text{CC}_{1/2}$ is a correlation coefficient of intensities between randomly-halved datasets⁴¹. $** \text{CC}_{\text{ano}}$ is a correlation coefficient of anomalous intensity differences between randomly-halved datasets.

patterns and remained relatively low, at 0.038 even with ~85,000 patterns. This explains why SAD phasing was unsuccessful. For more accurate analysis of anomalous signals, we introduced a new correlation coefficient termed $\text{CC}_{\text{anoref}}$ which measures the consistency between $I^{(+)} - I^{(-)}$ and $|F_{\text{calc}}^{(+)}|^2 - |F_{\text{calc}}^{(-)}|^2$. Here $|F_{\text{calc}}|$ is the structure factor calculated from the LRE Hg-bound model. The model was refined against the derivative data of 10,000 patterns with the theoretical anomalous scattering contributions of the Hg atoms incorporated into the refinement. The noted monotonic increase in the $\text{CC}_{\text{anoref}}$ values for the increased number of diffraction patterns confirms the presence and enhancement of the anomalous signals (Fig. 6). This is also confirmed by the increased peak height of the anomalous difference Patterson map (Fig. 7).

Comparison of SFX and SR data. To support the *de novo* SFX phasing using SIRAS, we carried out further experiments on the LRE crystals using synchrotron radiation (SR) at BL26B2 of SPring-8.

Figure 2. Isomorphous difference Patterson map. The $\nu = 1/2$ Harker section of the isomorphous difference ($|F_{\text{deriv}}| - |F_{\text{native}}|$) Patterson map calculated using indexed patterns from 10,792 native and 10,000 Hg derivative LRE crystals. The Patterson map was calculated using CCTBX functionality³⁸. This figure was prepared using R³⁶ with ggplot2 package³⁷.

Figure 3. The SIRAS electron density map produced by SHELXE with a refined model of LRE. 10,000 and 10,792 patterns of derivative and native crystals were used for the calculation. The electron density map is contoured at 1.0σ . The figure was prepared using PyMOL³⁹.

Diffraction patterns were collected up to $1.7\text{ \AA}$ resolution by the oscillation method at room temperature. The SR data show a good agreement with the SFX data; the correlation coefficients for the majority of resolution shells to $2.0\text{ \AA}$ are around 0.95, supporting the good quality of the SFX data collected at SACLA (Supplementary Fig. 3). For the SR data, phases were determined by the SAD method. Model building and refinement were performed following the same protocol used for the SFX SIRAS case. The

Figure 4. The atomic structure of LRE determined by SIRAS method. The model was refined against the SFX native data. The figure was prepared using PyMOL³⁹.

Figure 5. Effect of the high resolution cutoff on SIRAS/SIR phasing. The indexed patterns of 10,792 native and 10,000 Hg derivative LRE crystals were used for this calculation. The color and symbol scheme are the same as in Fig. 1. It should be noted that the phasing was successful with lower resolution data when more patterns were used. The figure was prepared using R³⁶ with ggplot2 package³⁷.

data collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. When the refined SR structure was compared with the SFX structure, the main-chain atoms were superposed with root-mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 0.21 Å. It confirmed that the structure revealed by *de novo* structure determination using SFX data is consistent with that determined by SAD phasing.

Discussion

In this research, we demonstrated that the SIRAS method was successful for SFX *de novo* phasing with the indexed patterns of 10,792 native and 10,000 Hg derivative crystals whereas the SAD method was not

Figure 6. CC_{ano} and CC_{anoref} as a function of pattern numbers used for Monte-Carlo integration. CC_{ano} was calculated using two data sets grouped randomly. CC_{anoref} is defined as in the main text. The figure was prepared using R³⁶ with ggplot2 package³⁷.

Figure 7. Anomalous difference Patterson maps. The $v = 1/2$ Harker section is calculated using different numbers of indexed Hg derivative patterns. When 10,000 patterns are used, a peak of 3.2σ is observed at the Hg position. There are, however, other peaks higher than this in the Harker section. When 20,000 and 30,000 patterns are used, Hg peaks with heights of 5.0σ and 6.9σ , respectively, are observed and they are the highest peaks in the sections. The Patterson map was calculated using the CCTBX functionality³⁸. The figure was prepared using R³⁶ with ggplot2 package³⁷.

successful even with 85,747 derivative crystals. The advantage of SIRAS over SAD phasing, is attained from the presence of large isomorphous differences ($\geq 10\%$ contribution to $|F|$) in addition to anomalous differences. The SAD method is contingent on the accurate measurement of the anomalous differences which are small ($\leq 10\%$ to $|F|$).

For the application of the SIRAS method it is necessary to prepare both native and isomorphous derivative crystals. SIRAS phasing employed here benefited from both good isomorphism between crystals and the availability of high resolution data. Although non-isomorphism could be an issue, there is a reasonable chance of successful phasing by collecting data from both native and derivative crystals. We believe that SIRAS is a practical alternative for *de novo* structure determination by SFX requiring significantly less data and crystals. Use of the heavy atoms with absorption edges in high energy (near 12.4 keV) is beneficial because it enables high resolution data collection with the fixed detector dimensions.

A clear peak for a single Hg atom per asymmetric unit was observed in the anomalous difference Patterson map with 20,000 or more patterns (Fig. 7) and SHELXD was able to locate the Hg atom

correctly. More patterns would be required to obtain accurate phases by SAD for modeling than for just the heavy atom position search⁷.

We expect a better data processing algorithm than the Monte-Carlo integration to facilitate efficient structure determination. Recently new developments that employ the partiality correction and the post-refinement techniques are reported^{22–24}, which reduced the necessary amount of data. Further development in data processing method will enable efficient *de novo* phasing by SFX including SIRAS and SAD.

Methods

Cloning, expression, and purification of LRE. The open reading frame of LRE from *Photinus pyralis* was cloned into pET15b (Novagen) expression vector between *NdeI* and *BamHI* sites to obtain pLRE-pET15, which enabled expression of LRE with a N-terminal cleavable 6His-tag.

Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells transformed with pLRE-pET15 plasmid were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 in 2 L TB medium (1.2% peptone, 2.4% yeast extract, 72 mM K₂HPO₄, 17 mM KH₂PO₄ and 0.4% glycerol) at 37°C with shaking at 180 rpm. The protein expression of 6His-LRE was induced by adding IPTG to the culture to a final concentration of 0.2 mM and the incubation were continued at 18°C for 16 hours with shaking at 160 rpm. A cell pellet of 25 g (wet weight) was obtained from 1 L TB medium at the end of the culture.

Purification of LRE was performed either on ice or at 4°C. The cell pellet (50 g) was suspended in 150 mL buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 10% glycerol) containing 1 mM PMSF and the cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and lysed with an Emulsiflex-C3 high-pressure homogenizer (Avestin) and a Branson 250 sonicator. The clear lysate obtained from centrifugation at 25,000 × *g* for 20 min was incubated with 20 mL cOmplete His-Tag Purification Resin (Roche) for 2 hours with shaking. The resin bound with 6His-LRE was washed on an open column with 300 mL buffer A and 6His-LRE was eluted with buffer A containing 300 mM imidazole. The fractions containing 6His-LRE were pooled and the 6His-tag was cleaved by incubation with Thrombin (20U for 1 mg of 6His-LRE) at 4°C overnight. Tag-cleaved LRE was dialyzed against buffer B (10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 10% glycerol) and concentrated to 27 mg/mL. The yield of LRE was 100 mg per 1 L TB medium.

Crystallization and Hg-derivative preparation. Micro crystals for XFEL data collection were generated by mixing purified LRE solution (27 mg/mL LRE, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 10% glycerol) and precipitant solution (35% PEG3350, 10% MPD, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5, 0.2 M MgCl₂) at ratios between 1:2 and 1:1.6 followed by incubation at 4°C overnight. Micro crystals were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 × *g* for 5 min and stored in the stock solution (31.5% PEG3350, 10% MPD, 5% glycerol, 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5, 0.2 M MgCl₂, 0.1 M NaCl). The microseeding technique was carried out for the Hg-derivative crystals. Micro crystals containing Hg-derivative LRE were obtained by soaking native micro crystals in the stock solution containing 1 mM HgO for 6 days and then back-soaked in the stock solution for 1 hour. The micro crystals were mixed with a grease matrix²⁵ before SFX data collection.

Crystals for the SR data collection were obtained by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method. 1 μL of the purified LRE solution was mixed with an equal volume of reservoir solution (30.6% PEG3350, 10% MPD, 0.1 M MOPS pH 7.0, 0.2 M MgCl₂) to form a sitting drop. The drop was then equilibrated over 600 μL of the reservoir solution at 20°C. Hg-derivative crystals were obtained by soaking the native crystals in the stock solution containing 1 mM HgO for 6 days and then back-soaked in the stock solution for 5 days. A single crystal in the stock solution was mixed with the grease²⁵ using Hampton 18 mm Mounted CryoLoop (Hampton) before data collection.

XFEL experiment. The SFX experiment was performed at BL3 of SACLA. Photon energy was tuned to 12.6 keV (0.984 Å), at which energy Hg shows an anomalous scattering contribution f'' of 9.75e. The pulse duration was <10 fs, and the repetition rate was 30 Hz. The rod-shaped micro crystals with a width of 2–5 μm and a length of 10–30 μm were filtered using a mesh with pore size of 30 μm then mixed with the grease²⁵. The mixture was extruded from the syringe injector system installed in a DAPHNIS chamber in a moist helium environment at room temperature. The flow rate was 0.48–0.50 μL/min and the inner diameter of the needle was 110 μm. The diffraction patterns were collected using the multi-port CCD (MPCCD) detector with the short working distance (SWD) octal sensor arrangement²⁶.

SFX data processing. Prior to data processing by CrystFEL, images were discarded if the maximum value of low resolution area (~3.8 Å) did not exceed 5,000 ADU. Diffraction images were indexed and integrated by CrystFEL version 0.5.3a. Indexing was performed using DirAx version 1.16²⁷, MOSFLM version 7.2.0²⁸, and XDS version Jan 10, 2014²⁹ in that order, and the first successful indexing result was used for integration. The latest feature of MOSFLM, which utilizes prior unit cell information for indexing (prior-cell option), was used and increased the indexing rate. After sample exchange the camera length was refined which resulted in higher indexing rates³⁰. With the optimized camera lengths, the systematic fluctuations of the unit cell dimensions were not observed and the averaged unit cell dimensions were used for downstream analyses. While collecting the Hg-derivative data, we used a low-angle absorber to prevent the high peak intensity from strong reflections surpassing the maximum acceptable value of each

detector pixel (~250,000 ADU). The absorber was made of 300 μm Aluminum (36.36% transmittance at 12.6 keV) and designed to cover reflections with lower resolution than 3.8 Å. Both absorber radius and center position were determined by inspecting diffraction images on the detector. Before Monte-Carlo integration, the integrated intensities and measurement errors of the spots in the absorber region were corrected by the theoretical transmission factor. The angular dependence was not taken into account.

SIRAS phasing and refinement. The initial phases were determined and improved by SHELXC, D, E (versions 2013/2, 2013/1, 2014/2, respectively) using the auto-tracing feature¹⁴. The asymmetric unit was assumed to contain one LRE molecule with a solvent content of 44%. LRE has only one Cys residue and, therefore, one Hg site was expected. Initial model building with iterative refinement by REFMAC version 5.7.0029¹⁶ was performed by ARP/wARP version 7.3 auto_tracing.sh¹⁵. Manual model rebuilding with Coot version 0.8-pre¹⁷ and refinement with TLS parameters using phenix.refine version 1.9¹⁸ were repeated. In the final refinement cycle, the automatic optimization of target function weight to balance the restraint and the X-ray terms was performed. The stereochemical qualities of the final refined model were analyzed with Phenix including MolProbity analysis¹⁹. The model was also refined against the derivative data. Tabulated values of Hg atomic form factors, f' and f'' , were used³¹. The refinement and validation of the Hg derivative structure were performed in the same way as the native structure.

The CC between the electron density map given by SHELXE and the map derived from the refined structure was calculated using phenix.get_cc_mtz_pdb³².

SAD phasing using SR data. The diffraction dataset was collected using MX225 CCD detector (Rayonix, Evanston, IL, USA) on BL26B2, SPring-8 (Harima, Japan) at room temperature. The crystal size was $200 \times 400 \times 50 \mu\text{m}^3$ and the dataset was collected using five irradiation points with step size of 35.7 μm and beam size of $80 \times 90 \mu\text{m}$ (FWHM). The total absorbed dose for each point (36 images) was 58.7 kGy (given by RADDOS version 2^{33,34}). The diffraction data were indexed, integrated, and scaled by XDS²⁹ at 1.7 Å resolution. The test set for R_{free} evaluation was transferred from the SACLA data. Initial phases were determined by SHELXC, D, E using the auto-tracing feature¹⁴. The initial model was automatically built by ARP/wARP¹⁵. A careful manual model rebuilding using Coot¹⁷ following the automated refinement using phenix.refine¹⁸ was repeated. In the final refinement cycles, the automatic weight optimization was performed. The stereochemical qualities of the final model were analyzed with Phenix including MolProbity analysis¹⁹. The superposition to the SFX structure was performed using LSQKAB version 6.3³⁵.

References

- Chapman, H. N. *et al.* Femtosecond X-ray protein nanocrystallography. *Nature* **470**, 73–77 (2011).
- Redecke, L. *et al.* Natively inhibited *Trypanosoma brucei* Cathepsin B structure determined by using an X-ray laser. *Science* **339**, 227–230 (2013).
- Kupitz, C. *et al.* Serial time-resolved crystallography of photosystem II using a femtosecond X-ray laser. *Nature* **513**, 261–265 (2014).
- Tenboer, J. *et al.* Time-resolved serial crystallography captures high-resolution intermediates of photoactive yellow protein. *Science* **346**, 1242–1246 (2014).
- Spence, J. C. H. *et al.* Phasing of coherent femtosecond X-ray diffraction from size-varying nanocrystals. *Opt. Exp.* **19**, 2866–2873 (2011).
- Son, S.-K., Chapman, H. N. & Santra, R. Multiwavelength anomalous diffraction at high X-ray intensity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 218102 (2011).
- Barends, T. R. M. *et al.* De novo protein crystal structure determination from X-ray free-electron laser data. *Nature* **505**, 244–247 (2014).
- Berman, H. M. *et al.* The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, 235–242 (2000).
- Ishikawa, T. *et al.* A compact X-ray free-electron laser emitting in the sub-angstrom region. *Nat. Photo.* **6**, 540–544 (2012).
- Sugahara, M. *et al.* Heavy-atom Database System: a tool for the preparation of heavy-atom derivatives of protein crystals based on amino-acid sequence and crystallization conditions. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **61**, 1302–1305 (2005).
- Gomi, K. & Kajiyama, N. Oxyluciferin, a luminescence product of firefly luciferase, is enzymatically regenerated into luciferin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 36508–36513 (2001).
- White, T. A. *et al.* CrystFEL: a software suite for snapshot serial crystallography. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 335–341 (2012).
- Howell, P. L. & Smith, G. D. Identification of heavy-atom derivatives by normal probability methods. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **25**, 81–86 (1992).
- Sheldrick, G. M. Experimental phasing with SHELXC/D/E: combining chain tracing with density modification. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **66**, 479–485 (2010).
- Langer, G. G., Cohen, S. X., Lamzin, V. S. & Perrakis, A. Automated macromolecular model building for X-ray crystallography using ARP/wARP version 7. *Nat. Protoc.* **3**, 1171–1179 (2008).
- Murshudov, G. N. *et al.* REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **67**, 355–367 (2011).
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
- Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **68**, 352–367 (2012).
- Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **66**, 12–21 (2010).
- Kirian, R. A. *et al.* Femtosecond protein nanocrystallography-data analysis methods. *Opt. Exp.* **18**, 5713–5723 (2010).
- Kirian, R. A. *et al.* Structure-factor analysis of femtosecond microdiffraction patterns from protein nanocrystals. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **67**, 131–140 (2011).
- Kabsch, W. Processing of X-ray snapshots from crystals in random orientations. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **70**, 2204–2216 (2014).

23. Sauter, N. K. XFEL diffraction: developing processing methods to optimize data quality. *J. Synchrotron Rad.* **22**, 239–248 (2015).
24. Uervirojnangkoorn, M. *et al.* Enabling X-ray free electron laser crystallography for challenging biological systems from a limited number of crystals. *eLife* **4** (2015), doi: 10.7554/eLife.05421.
25. Sugahara, M. *et al.* Grease matrix as a versatile carrier of proteins for serial crystallography. *Nat. Meth.* **12**, 61–63 (2015).
26. Kameshima, T. *et al.* Development of an X-ray pixel detector with multi-port charge-coupled device for X-ray free-electron laser experiments. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **85** (2014).
27. Duisenberg, A. J. M. Indexing in single-crystal diffractometry with an obstinate list of reflections. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **25**, 92–96 (1992).
28. Leslie, A. G. W. & Powell, H. R. *Processing diffraction data with mosflm* vol. 245, 41–51 (Springer Netherlands, 2007).
29. Kabsch, W. *XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
30. Hattne, J. *et al.* Accurate macromolecular structures using minimal measurements from X-ray free-electron lasers. *Nat. Meth.* **11**, 545–548 (2014).
31. Sasaki, S. Numerical Tables of Anomalous Scattering Factors Calculated by the Cromer and Liberman Method. *KEK Report* **88-14**, 1–136 (1989).
32. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
33. Paithankar, K. S., Owen, R. L. & Garman, E. F. Absorbed dose calculations for macromolecular crystals: improvements to RADDOSE. *J. Synchrotron Rad.* **16**, 152–162 (2009).
34. Murray, J. W., Garman, E. F. & Ravelli, R. B. G. X-ray absorption by macromolecular crystals: the effects of wavelength and crystal composition on absorbed dose. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **37**, 513–522 (2004).
35. Kabsch, W. A solution for the best rotation to relate two sets of vectors. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **32**, 922–923 (1976).
36. R Development Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (2008).
37. Wickham, H. *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis* (Springer New York, 2009).
38. Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Sauter, N. K., Moriarty, N. W. & Adams, P. D. The *Computational Crystallography Toolbox*: crystallographic algorithms in a reusable software framework. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **35**, 126–136 (2002).
39. Schrödinger, L. L. C. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3r1 (2010).
40. Diederichs, K. & Karplus, P. A. Improved R-factors for diffraction data analysis in macromolecular crystallography. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **4**, 269–275 (1997).
41. Karplus, P. A. & Diederichs, K. Linking Crystallographic Model and Data Quality. *Science* **336**, 1030–1033 (2012).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to T. Nakane, O. Johnson, H. Powell, and A. Leslie for allowing us to use the unreleased version of MOSFLM with prior-cell function, which is now released as version 7.2.0, and to M. Maher and S. Hasnain for careful reading of the manuscript. The XFEL experiments were carried out at the BL3 of SACLA with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI) (proposal nos. 2014A8032 and 2013B8045). The SR experiment was carried out at the BL26B2 of SPring-8 with the approval of JASRI (proposal nos. 2014B1563, 2014A1845, and 2014A1858). This work was supported by the X-ray Free-Electron Laser Priority Strategy Program (MEXT) and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 60006047. The authors thank SACLA and SPring-8 BL26B2 beamline staff for technical assistance.

Author Contributions

H.K. and T.N. conceived the research. K.Y., D.P., H.A., M. Yamamoto, and T.N. designed the experiment. D.P., T.O., A.K., T.Y., T.M., K.G. and N.K. prepared samples. D.P., M. Sugahara, A.K., T.Y., T.M., E.M., M. Suzuki and T.N. performed SFX data collection. K.T. and M. Yabashi developed the DAPHNIS. K.T., C.S., J.P., T.K., T.H., Y.J., E.N. and M. Yabashi developed SFX systems. T.M. and T.N. performed SR data collection. K.Y. processed the data and performed structure analysis. K.Y., C.S., S.I., H.A., M. Yamamoto and T.N. wrote the manuscript. S.I. coordinated the project. All authors discussed and commented on the results and the manuscript.

Additional Information

Accession codes: The coordinates and experimental data have been deposited at the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with codes 5D9B (SFX native), 5D9C (SFX Hg derivative), 5D9D (SPring-8 Hg derivative). The raw diffraction images will be available at CXIDB (<http://cxiidb.org>) with CXIDB ID 31.

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Yamashita, K. *et al.* An isomorphous replacement method for efficient *de novo* phasing for serial femtosecond crystallography. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14017; doi: 10.1038/srep14017 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>