SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 10 February 2015 Accepted: 03 August 2015 Published: 10 September 2015

OPEN Molecular Basis for the Regulation of Transcriptional Coactivator p300 in Myogenic Differentiation

Jihong Chen², Yingjian Wang^{2,†}, Munerah Hamed¹, Natascha Lacroix² & Qiao Li^{1,2}

Skeletal myogenesis is a highly ordered process which specifically depends on the function of transcriptional coactivator p300. Previous studies have established that Akt/protein kinase B (PKB), a positive regulator of p300 in proliferating cells, is also important for proper skeletal muscle development. Nevertheless, it is not clear as to how the p300 is regulated by myogenic signaling events given that both p300 and Akt are involved in many cellular processes. Our studies revealed that the levels of p300 protein are temporally maintained in ligand-enhanced skeletal myocyte development. Interestingly, this maintenance of p300 protein is observed at the stage of myoblast differentiation, which coincides with an increase in Akt phosphorylation. Moreover, regulation of p300 during myoblast differentiation appears to be mediated by Akt signaling. Blunting of p300 impairs myogenic expression and myoblast differentiation. Thus, our data suggests a particular role for Akt in myoblast differentiation through interaction with p300. Our studies also establish the potential of exploiting p300 regulation and Akt activation to decipher the complex signaling cascades involved in skeletal muscle development.

Many diseases and conditions including aging, cancer, AIDS, congestive heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, can lead to muscle wasting disorders that are extremely debilitating¹. Although stem cell-based therapies present great promise to prevent or reverse the lasting effects of muscle atrophy, many challenges remain. Understanding the molecular basis of myogenic differentiation is thus a critical step in developing the best strategy to direct stem cell-based muscle regeneration.

The development of myoblasts from myogenic progenitors, and subsequent cell cycle arrest and differentiation into mature skeletal muscle, are a highly ordered processes controlled by multiple myogenic regulatory factors, including Myf5, MyoD and myogenin^{2,3}. While Myf5 and MyoD initiate the commitment of skeletal muscle lineage and formation of myoblasts, terminal differentiation and fusion of myoblasts into myotubes is governed by myogenin⁴. In addition, genetic evidence from the mouse and ES cell model systems has established that the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity of p300 is essential for the expression of Myf5 and MyoD, and consequently for skeletal muscle development⁵.

Initially identified as an E1A-associated protein^{6,7}, p300 is an important regulator of cell function through its intrinsic HAT activity and its capacity to interact with different transcription factors and coactivators⁶⁻¹⁰. As a result, p300 occupancy is the best chromatin signature of enhancers¹¹⁻¹³. Embryonic development is very sensitive to p300 gene dosage, and the p300 null cells are particularly defective in retinoid acid (RA) signaling¹⁴. While p300 can be a substrate for phosphorylation, ubiquitination and acetylation¹⁵⁻²¹, less is known on how p300 function is specifically regulated in response to the developmental cue of skeletal myogenesis.

Akt/protein kinase B (PKB) is a serine/threonine kinase that is important for signaling in many cellular processes including p300 phosphorylation and regulation²¹⁻²³. There are three isoforms of Akt

¹Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. ²Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. [†]Present Address: Third Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.L. (email: Qiao.Li@uOttawa.ca)



Figure 1. Schematic presentation of cell model systems used for myogenic differentiation. RA together with DMSO enhances the commitment of skeletal muscle lineage through the regulation of Pax3 and Myf5 gene expression in pluripotent stem cells (day 1–4, solid brown arrows). Pathways required for consequent myoblast differentiation and fusion events are denoted with open green arrows.

(Akt1, Akt2 and Akt3) in mammals. Phosphorylation of the conserved serine and threonine residues is necessary for Akt enzymatic activity in all three isoforms²⁴. While Akt1 is the predominant isoform expressed in most tissues, Akt2 is highly expressed in skeletal muscle, the heart, liver and kidneys²⁵. The expression of Akt3 is more limited and found mostly in the testes and brain²⁶. Thus, most studies focus on Akt1, often referred simply as the Akt in the literature. Nevertheless, it is increasingly evident that Akt1 is mainly involved in cellular survival pathways and Akt2 in glucose homeostasis, whereas the function of Akt3 is less clear but has been linked to brain development^{27–32}.

P19 pluripotent stem cells have been used extensively to study the molecular mechanism of stem cell differentiation³³. They form embryo bodies (EBs) readily and respond to various treatment conditions to undergo lineage-specific differentiation³⁴. For example, treatment of the EBs with 1% of DMSO induces the development of a small percentage of skeletal myocytes, while the addition of RA significantly enhances the expression of Pax3 and Myf5 (Fig. 1), hence increases the efficacy of skeletal muscle development^{35,36}. On the other hand, C2C12 is a non-transformed myogenic cell line obtained by continuous passaging of primary myoblasts isolated from mouse limb muscle³⁷. These cells are well characterized and closely resemble proliferating myoblasts that express the Myf5 and MyoD determination factors. As such, they proliferate as committed myoblasts when cultured with growth factors, but differentiate in low mitogen conditions and undergo terminal differentiation and fusion to form multi-nucleated myotubes (Fig. 1). The C2C12 cells are also amenable to genetic manipulation to incorporate and express ectopic genes allowing the selection of stable clones that retain the capacity to differentiate.

Intriguingly, while the p300 appears to be ubiquitously involved in a myriad of cellular processes, its HAT activity is specifically required for skeletal myogenesis *in vivo*⁵. Thus, it is imperative to comprehend on a molecular level how different signaling pathways cross talk to regulate p300 function during skeletal muscle development. In this study, we have examined the impact of signaling-dependent events on p300 and myogenic differentiation. In this regard, our studies reveal a role for lineage specific signaling and p300 regulation, and implicate a specific Akt activity in this process.

Results

Efficacies of RA on myogenic conversion. It is well established that during pluripotent stem cell differentiation, RA affects the efficacy and pattern of stem cell differentiation in a concentration-dependent manner^{38,39}. Consistent with previous reports, we observed that at a low concentration (10 nM), RA in the presence of DMSO significantly enhanced the differentiation of P19 stem cells into skeletal myocytes that also exhibited a more intensive staining of the myosin heavy chain (Fig. 2A,B). However, at a higher concentration (1 μ M), RA completely blocked the myogenic conversion (Fig. 2A,B).

More interestingly, we also observed a significant fluctuation in p300 protein levels during the myogenic conversion. Following DMSO treatment, the level of p300 protein decreased by about 85% on day 9 of differentiation, in comparison to day 4 (Fig. 2C,D). The addition of RA at 10 nM which is an optimal condition for myogenic conversion, significantly halted the reduction of p300 on day 9, as about 85% of p300 protein remained in comparison to day 4 (Fig. 2A–E). In contrast, the addition of RA at 1 μ M that blocks the development of skeletal myocytes had no such effect (Fig. 2A–E). Moreover, Western blotting demonstrated that myogenin protein, a muscle specific factor, was readily detected following the addition







Figure 3. Akt activity during myogenic conversion. (A) P19 cells were treated with RA (10 nM) and DMSO during EB formation and cultured for additional 5 days without treatments. Levels of p300, Akt and phosphorylated Akt were analyzed by Western blotting. The blots were then stripped and reprobed for β -tubulin as loading controls. Shown are the cropped blot images representing indicated proteins. (B) Quantification of the phosphorylated Akt blots is presented as the fold change of day 9 DMSO control normalized to β -tubulin (*p < 0.05, n = 5). (C) The mRNA levels of Akt1, Akt2, and Akt3 were examined by qPCR. Quantification is presented as the fold change relative to respective isoforms in untreated day 4 controls with GAPDH as an internal control (n = 3). (D) Following EB formation, the cells were treated with Akt inhibitor IV (0.5, 1.0 μ M), and stained for myosin heavy chain on day 9 of differentiation. Results from the quantitative microscopy are presented as the percentage of skeletal myocytes relative to control without inhibitor treatment (**p < 0.01, n = 3).

of 10 nM RA, but not the high concentration of $1\mu M$ (Fig. 2F). Thus, this maintenance of p300 protein subsequent to RA treatment appears to be an integral part of skeletal muscle development.

Akt activity in myogenic conversion. Since Akt is a positive p300 regulator in proliferating cells²³, we next examined the link between Akt and p300 in myogenic conversion. As shown in Fig. 3A,B, the maintenance of p300 protein following RA and DMSO treatment during the P19 myogenic conversion coincided with a significant increase of Akt phosphorylation at Ser473, while the relative abundance of total Akt protein and mRNA did not change that much (Fig. 3A,C).



Figure 4. Effects of an Akt inhibitor on p300 and C2C12 myoblast differentiation. (A) C2C12 myoblasts were differentiated for 1–3 days in the presence of Akt inhibitor IV (0.5, 1.0μ M) and stained on day 3 for quantitative microscopy. Differentiation was defined as the percentage of myocyte nuclei relative to the total number of nuclei. Error bars are the standard deviations of five independent experiments (**p < 0.01). (B) Shown are the representative images of myosin heavy chain (red) and nuclei (blue) co-stain. (C) The levels of myogenin protein were examined by Western on day 2 of differentiation. The blots were then stripped and reprobed for β -tubulin as loading controls. Proliferating cells (D0) were also included as control. Shown are the cropped blot images representing indicated protein. (D) Quantification of the myogenin blots is presented as the fold change of untreated differentiating myoblasts normalized to β -tubulin (**p < 0.01, n = 4). (E) Levels of p300, Akt and phosphorylated Akt were analyzed by Western on day1 of differentiation. The blots were then stripped and reprobed for β -tubulin. Shown are the cropped blot images representing indicated proteins. Full-length blots are presented in the Supplementary Figure S1. (F) Quantification of the p300 blots is presented as the fold change of untreated differentiating myoblasts normalized to β -tubulin (**p < 0.01, n = 4). (G) Quantification of the phosphorylated Akt blots is presented as the fold change relative to untreated differentiating myoblasts (*p < 0.05, n = 4). (H) qPCR analysis of the mRNA levels of Akt1, Akt2, and Akt 3 is presented as the fold change relative to its respective isoform in proliferating cells (D0) using GAPDH as internal controls.

To probe for the contribution of Akt to RA-enhanced myogenic conversion, we took an inhibitor approach by using Akt inhibitor IV, a pan-Akt inhibitor⁴⁰. Since the maintenance of p300 is observed subsequent to RA treatment, post EB formation, i.e., at the stages of myoblast differentiation, we treated the pluripotent stem cells with the Akt inhibitor after EB formation, from day 5 to 8 of differentiation (Fig. 3D, bottom panel). As shown Fig. 3D, this Akt inhibitor significantly impaired RA-enhanced skeletal myocyte development. Taken together, our data suggests that the function of Akt isoforms may be important for a p300-dependent myoblast differentiation.

Akt activity in myoblast differentiation. To study the interplay of p300 and Akt activity in myoblast differentiation, we next employed the C2C12 model in our study as the early stages of C2C12 differentiation (day 1–2) correlate well with the late stages of P19 myogenic conversion (day 5–9, Fig. 1), wherein the abundance of p300 protein and phosphorylated Akt positively correlate with the efficacies of myogenic conversion (Figs 2 and 3). In addition, it has been reported that Akt is activated and stabilized during C2C12 differentiation⁴¹.

The C2C12 cells were differentiated in the presence of Akt inhibitor IV for 1–3 days. Quantitative microscopy demonstrated that similar to the P19 myogenic conversion, the pan Akt inhibitor significantly inhibited the formation of skeletal myocytes from the C2C12 myoblasts (Fig. 4A,B). This inhibitory effect of Akt inhibitor on myoblast differentiation was also corroborated by a significant inhibition of myogenin expression as determined by Western blotting (Fig. 4C,D).

Moreover, treatment of the C2C12 cells with Akt inhibitor IV significantly decreased the levels of p300 protein, while inhibiting myoblast differentiation (Fig. 4E,F). Western blotting also revealed that the steady-state levels of total Akt protein were not much affected by the Akt inhibitor (Fig. 4E). However, the levels of Akt phosphorylation at Ser473 decreased significantly following treatment with the Akt inhibitor (Fig. 4E,G).

Interestingly, the mRNA levels of Akt1 decreased moderately during myoblast differentiation, while that of Akt2 and Akt3 showed some increase (Fig. 4H). More importantly, treatment with Akt inhibitor IV did not negatively affect the mRNA levels of Akt isoforms during myoblast differentiation (Fig. 4H). Taken together, our data indicates that during C2C12 differentiation, Akt inhibitor IV indeed acts



Figure 5. Role of p300 in myoblast differentiation. (A) Levels of p300 protein were analyzed using Western blotting following the introduction of p300 shRNA (sh-p300) into the parental C2C12 cells. The blots were then stripped and reprobed for β -tubulin as loading controls. Shown are the cropped blot images representing indicated proteins. A nonsilencing shRNA (sh-Ctl) was used as a negative control. (B) The levels of myogenin protein were analyzed on day1 of differentiation using Western blotting. The blots were then stripped and reprobed for β -tubulin. Proliferating cells and cells infected with the nonsilencing shRNA were used as controls. Shown are the cropped blot images representing indicated proteins. (C) Quantification of the myogenin blots is presented as the fold change relative to the nonsilencing shRNA control normalized to β -tubulin (**p < 0.01, n = 4). (D) The cells were differentiated for 4 days and then stained for quantitative microscopy. Differentiation was defined as the percentage of myocyte nuclei relative to the total number of nuclei. Error bars are the standard deviations of four independent experiments (**p < 0.01). (E) Shown are the representative microscopic images of myosin heavy chain (red) and nuclei (blue) co-stain. (F) The C2C12 cells were differentiated in the presence of curcumin (Cur, 10μ M), and subjected for qPCR analysis of myogenin mRNA on day 1 of differentiation. Quantification is presented as the fold change relative to untreated control using GAPDH as internal controls (**p < 0.01, n = 3). (G) Myogenin protein was examined by Western analysis in parallel. The blots were then stripped and reprobed for β -tubulin as loading controls. Shown are the cropped blot images representing indicated proteins.

.....

through Akt impairment as this inhibitor targets the ATP-binding site of a kinase upstream of Akt, and thus inhibits the phosphorylation/activation of Akt⁴⁰.

Role of p300 in myoblast differentiation. To further determine the role of p300 in myoblast differentiation, we used a shRNA approach to knockdown the endogenous p300 protein for a loss-of-function study. Western blotting showed that introduction of the p300 shRNA into the proliferating myoblasts effectively knocked down the endogenous p300 protein (Fig. 5A). However, infection of a non-silencing control shRNA did not affect the level of endogenous p300 (Fig. 5A). Next, we examined the effect of p300 knockdown on myogenic expression. As shown in Fig. 5B,C, introduction of the p300 shRNA significantly decreased the expression of myogenin protein by about 75%. Moreover, the development of skeletal myocytes was significantly inhibited following p300 knockdown as determined by quantitative microscopic analysis (Fig. 5D,E). Thus the function of p300 is essential for myoblast differentiation.

To examine the role p300 HAT activity in myogenic expression, we also employed curcumin as it inhibits the HAT activity of p300 and consequently myoblast differentiation^{42,43}. The C2C12 cells were treated with curcumin (10 μ M) as previously described⁴³, and then analyzed for myogenin expression. As shown in Fig. 5F, the level of myogenin mRNA was significantly decreased by curcumin treatment. In addition, the level of myogenin protein was also markedly reduced (Fig. 5G). Thus, p300 HAT activity is essential for myogenin gene expression.

Impact of the Akt consensus motif on p300 function. As Akt may regulate p300 function via phosphorylation of Ser1834 at the p300 C-terminus^{21,23}, we thus wished to address if this consensus Akt site is important for control of p300 transcriptional activity. First, we generated a plasmid for mammalian expression of the full length p300 with the Ser1834 mutated to Asp which mimics the negative charge of O-phosphorylation. Immunoflorescence microscopy showed that the Asp1834 mutant was localized to



Figure 6. Role of Ser1834 in p300 stability and transcriptional activity. (A) HeLa cells were transfected with expression plasmids for Flag-tagged p300 wild-type (p-WT) and the Asp1834 mutant (p-D) and stained with an antibody against the Flag-tag for microscopy. The RRRMAS motif shown is the Akt consensus site. (B) Following ³⁵S-methionine labeling and 8–12 hours of chase, equal amounts of whole cell extracts were immunopurified using a flag-tag antibody. Purified wild-type and mutant p300 were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by PhosphorImager. Relative stability of the p300 mutant was presented as the fold change in relation to that of wild-type p300 (**p<0.01, n = 4). (C) The cells were transfected with a Gal4 reporter, Gal4-DBD (pGal4) and Gal4 fusion of p300 wild-type (pG-WT) or the Asp1834 mutant (pG-D). Luciferase activity was normalized to β -Gal activities and presented as the fold change relative to the Gal4-DBD. Error bars are the standard deviations of triplicates. Inset is the transcriptional activity of Asp1834 mutant in fold change relative to that of wild-type Gal4-p300 (**p<0.01, n = 3).

the nucleus just as the wild-type p300 (Fig. 6A). A pulse-chase protocol demonstrated that metabolic stability of the p300 mutant increased by about 2-fold as compared to that of the wild-type p300 (Fig. 6B).

Next, we substituted the Ser1834 with Asp in the context of a Gal4-p300 fusion protein. Interestingly, the transcriptional activity of a Gal4 reporter was significantly enhanced by the Asp mutation (Fig. 6C). In addition, the activity of the reporter (Fig. 6C, inset) correlated consistently with the metabolic stability of the Asp1834 mutant (Fig. 6B). Thus, the Asp mutation may render the p300 more stable and thus more transcriptional active, suggesting that phosphorylation of the Ser1834 by Akt may be a molecular switch for the control of p300 function during myoblast differentiation.

Discussion

We have investigated the molecular mechanism of p300 regulation during myogenic differentiation. Our data revealed that the levels of p300 protein are temporally maintained in RA-enhanced skeletal myocyte development. Most interestingly, this maintenance of p300 is observed post RA-enhanced lineage specification, which coincides with an augmentation of Akt phosphorylation. Furthermore, this regulation of p300 during the stages of myoblast differentiation. Thus, our data suggests a specific role for Akt in p300 regulation and myoblast differentiation. In addition, our study establishes the potential for exploiting p300 regulation and Akt activation as molecular pathways to decipher the complex signaling cascades involved in skeletal muscle development and to ultimately develop treatment strategies for muscle wasting disorders.

The transcriptional coactivator p300 is able to interact with over 200 different proteins and its function appears to be ubiquitously required by a myriad of cellular processes⁸. Nonetheless, depletion of p300 affects mouse development in a tissue specific manner⁵. In contrast to the solid evidence that exists for a p300 specific role in skeletal myogenesis, there is little information as to how p300 is regulated in this process. We found that treatment of pluripotent stem cells with RA at a concentration optimal for enhancing myogenic differentiation during EB formation, sustains the level of p300 protein for the late stages of myocyte development (Fig. 2). This maintenance of p300 by RA is particularly coupled with an increase in Akt phosphorylation (Figs 2 and 3). More importantly, knockdown of endogenous p300 protein or inhibits p300 HAT activity impairs myogenin expression and myoblast differentiation (Fig. 5). Given that p300 HAT activity is essential for skeletal myogenesis *in vivo* our findings suggest that RA enhances skeletal muscle development indirectly through Akt activation and p300 regulation.

Our studies also gained some molecular insights into the role of Akt in p300 regulation (Fig. 6). We have previously found that Akt positively regulates p300 function in proliferating cells²³. Here, we show that the activation of Akt positively correlates with the levels of p300 protein and the efficacy of myogenic expression and myoblast differentiation (Fig. 4). Interpretation of the data obtained with an Akt isoform knockdown or overexpression approach may be confounded by the fact that Akt isoforms are highly homologous and able to compensate for the loss of each other. As a result, it is generally thought that the level of Akt expression rather than the specific activity of individual Akt isoforms determines the rate and extent of skeletal muscle development⁴⁴.

The molecular mechanism underlying Akt specific signaling in skeletal muscle development is not well understood. While distinct tissue distribution of Akt isoforms may plays an important role³², determining the mechanisms by which external stimuli differentially activate Akt will provide biochemical insight into Akt regulation and the molecular basis for developing approaches to control specific Akt functionality. Another interesting question is to what extent a functional linkage between the specific activation of Akt and p300 regulation affects skeletal muscle development. Determining the impact of coactivator regulation on the differentiation and fusion of myoblasts will be important for advancing our knowledge regarding muscle regeneration and thus enable us to design phosphorylation-related tools and approaches to specifically exploit p300 activity or Akt activation in tissue engineering.

Methods

Cell culture and reagents. P19 pluripotent stem cells (ATCC) were maintained in the minimum essential medium α (Invitrogen) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and 5% bovine calf serum at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. After 4 days of EB formation in Petri dishes, the cells were then grown either in tissue culture dishes, or on coverslips coated with 0.1% gelatin for 5 days as previously described³⁵. C2C12 myoblasts (ATCC) were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (D-MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone) and differentiated in D-MEM supplemented with 2% horse serum as previously described⁴³. RA and DMSO were purchased from the Sigma-Aldrich and Akt inhibitor from the Calbiochem.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. Cells were fixed on coverslips as described previously⁴⁵ and incubated with antibody against muscle specific protein myosin heavy chain overnight at 4 °C, followed by the incubation with fluorescent secondary antibody (Molecular Probes) and Hoechst. Microscopic analysis was performed with a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M⁴⁶. Images were captured with an AxioCam HR monochrome camera through fluorescence filters, and processed and merged by the Zeiss AxioVision Rel 4.6 software. For each coverslip of P19 myogenic conversion, about 100 fields of view were analyzed and the efficacies of differentiation were estimated based on the percentage of cells positively stained for myosin heavy chain in relation to the total cell populations as determined by nuclear Hoechst staining³⁶. For each coverslip of C2C12 differentiation, about 5 images were analyzed and differentiation was defined as percentage of myocyte nuclei relative to the total number of nuclei. Antibody against myosin heavy chain was from MF20 hybridoma. Student *t*-tests were used for statistical analysis.

Western and whole cell extracts. Cells were lysed by incubation in the whole cell extract buffer (10% glycerol, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 400 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 1% NP-40) for 30 min at 4 °C for whole cell extraction as previously descrisbed⁴⁷. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford Method (Bio-Rad). The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to the Immun-Blot PVDF membrane which was sequentially probed with the primary and secondary antibodies. Protein bands were quantified using Scion Image (Scion Corporation). Antibodies used were the following: p300 (SC-584, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Akt (#9272, Cell Signaling), phosphorylated Akt (#9271, Cell Signaling), β -actin (A5441, Sigma-Aldrich), β -tubulin (E7 hybridoma), and myogenin (F5D hybridoma).

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Total RNA was isolated using the Total RNA Kit I (Omega), and reverse-transcribed using a high-capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR Green and ROX PCR Master Mix, and HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (Qiagen) as previously described⁴⁸ with an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast real-time PCR system. Quantification of the targets, normalized to the GAPDH endogenous reference and relative to calibrator control, was calculated using the formula $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$.

shRNA knockdown. C2C12 cells were grown in D-MEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum to about 30% confluence and then infected with the p300 specific shRNA Lentiviral particles in the presence of Polybrene (5μ g/ml) according to the manufacturer's protocol (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). A nonsilencing shRNA was used as a negative control. Puromycin (2μ g/ml) was used to select pooled stable clones as manufacturer suggested.

Transfection and luciferase assays. Transfection of the plasmids was achieved by using ExGen 500 as described previously²³. Plasmid for the p300 Asp1834 mutant was generated by using the parental wild-type p300 PCI/CMV plasmid⁴⁹ and the site directed mutagenesis kit (Clontech). Plasmid for the Gal4-p300 fusion mutant was constructed using the Gal-p300 wild-type plasmid⁵⁰ as the parental vector. The Gal-Reporter assays were carried out according to the manufacturer's recommendation (Promega).

Pulse-chase. HeLa cells were cultured for 16 hours after plating. The media was replaced with methionine-free media and the cells were pulsed for 2 hours with [³⁵S]methionine (100 μ Ci/ml), and then chased for 8–12 hours in the regular medium as previously described⁵¹ The cells were then harvested for preparation of whole cell extracts. FLAG[®] antibody conjugates (Sigma-Aldrich) were used to immunoprecipitate the Flag-tagged p300 at 4°C for 2 hours. The immunopurified p300 was then separated by

SDS-PAGE and analyzed using a PhosphoImager. For the immunoprecipitation, NaCl concentration of the whole cell extracts was adjusted to 150 mM and NP-40 to 0.1%.

References

- 1. Fanzani, A., Conraads, V. M., Penna, F. & Martinet, W. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of skeletal muscle atrophy: an update. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **3**, 163–79 (2012).
- 2. Berkes, C. A. & Tapscott, S. J. MyoD and the transcriptional control of myogenesis. Semin Cell Dev Biol 16, 585-95 (2005).
- 3. Francetic, T. & Li, Q. Skeletal myogenesis and Myf5 activation. Transcription 2, 109-14 (2011).
- 4. Tajbakhsh, S., Rocancourt, D., Cossu, G. & Buckingham, M. Redefining the genetic hierarchies controlling skeletal myogenesis: Pax-3 and Myf-5 act upstream of MyoD. *Cell* **89**, 127–38 (1997).
- Roth, J. F. et al. Differential role of p300 and CBP acetyltransferase during myogenesis: p300 acts upstream of MyoD and Myf5. EMBO J 22, 5186–96 (2003).
- 6. Arany, Z., Newsome, D., Oldread, E., Livingston, D. M. & Eckner, R. A family of transcriptional adaptor proteins targeted by the E1A oncoprotein. *Nature* **374**, 81–4 (1995).
- 7. Lundblad, J. R., Kwok, R. P., Laurance, M. E., Harter, M. L. & Goodman, R. H. Adenoviral E1A-associated protein p300 as a functional homologue of the transcriptional co-activator CBP. *Nature* **374**, 85–8 (1995).
- 8. Chen, J. & Li, Q. Life and death of transcriptional co-activator p300. Epigenetics 6, 957-61 (2011).
- 9. Li, Q. et al. Xenopus NF-Y pre-sets chromatin to potentiate p300 and acetylation- responsive transcription from the Xenopus hsp70 promoter in vivo. EMBO J 17, 6300–15 (1998).
- 10. Li, Q., Imhof, A., Collingwood, T. N., Urnov, F. D. & Wolffe, A. P. p300 stimulates transcription instigated by ligand-bound thyroid hormone receptor at a step subsequent to chromatin disruption. *EMBO J* 18, 5634–52 (1999).
- 11. Heintzman, N. D. et al. Distinct and predictive chromatin signatures of transcriptional promoters and enhancers in the human genome. Nat Genet 39, 311-8 (2007).
- 12. Blow, M. J. et al. ChIP-Seq identification of weakly conserved heart enhancers. Nat Genet 42, 806-10 (2010).
- 13. Visel, A. et al. ChIP-seq accurately predicts tissue-specific activity of enhancers. Nature 457, 854–8 (2009).
- 14. Yao, T. P. et al. Gene dosage-dependent embryonic development and proliferation defects in mice lacking the transcriptional integrator p300. Cell 93, 361–372 (1998).
- 15. See, R. H. et al. Stimulation of p300-mediated transcription by the kinase MEKK1. J Biol Chem 276, 16310-7 (2001).
- 16. Yuan, L. W. & Gambee, J. E. Phosphorylation of p300 at serine 89 by protein kinase C. J Biol Chem 275, 40946-51 (2000).
- Li, Q., Su, A., Chen, J., Lefebvre, Y. A. & Hache, R. J. Attenuation of Glucocorticoid Signaling through Targeted Degradation of p300 via the 26S Proteasome Pathway. *Mol Endocrinol* 16, 2819–2827 (2002).
- 18. Avantaggiati, M. L. et al. The SV40 large T antigen and adenovirus E1a oncoproteins interact with distinct isoforms of the transcriptional co-activator, p300. EMBO J 15, 2236–48 (1996).
- 19. Schwartz, C. et al. Recruitment of p300 by C/EBPbeta triggers phosphorylation of p300 and modulates coactivator activity. EMBO J 22, 882-92 (2003).
- 20. Thompson, P. R. et al. Regulation of the p300 HAT domain via a novel activation loop. Nat Struct Mol Biol 11, 308-15 (2004).
- 21. Huang, W. C. & Chen, C. C. Akt phosphorylation of p300 at Ser-1834 is essential for its histone acetyltransferase and transcriptional activity. *Mol Cell Biol* 25, 6592-602 (2005).
- 22. Manning, B. D. & Cantley, L. C. AKT/PKB signaling: navigating downstream. Cell 129, 1261-74 (2007).
- Chen, J., Halappanavar, S. S., St-Germain, J. R., Tsang, B. K. & Li, Q. Role of Akt/protein kinase B in the activity of transcriptional coactivator p300. Cell Mol Life Sci 61, 1675–83 (2004).
- 24. Datta, S. R., Brunet, A. & Greenberg, M. E. Cellular survival: a play in three Akts. Genes Dev 13, 2905-2927 (1999).
- 25. Kaneko, S. *et al.* Positive feedback regulation between Akt2 and MyoD during muscle differentiation. Cloning of Akt2 promoter. *J Biol Chem* 277, 23230–5 (2002).
- 26. Kandel, E. S. & Hay, N. The regulation and activities of the multifunctional serine/threonine kinase Akt/PKB. *Exp Cell Res* 253, 210–29 (1999).
- 27. Cho, H. et al. Insulin resistance and a diabetes mellitus-like syndrome in mice lacking the protein kinase Akt2 (PKB beta). Science 292, 1728-31 (2001).
- 28. Sykes, S. M. *et al.* AKT/FOXO signaling enforces reversible differentiation blockade in myeloid leukemias. *Cell* **146**, 697–708 (2011).
- 29. Garofalo, R. S. *et al.* Severe diabetes, age-dependent loss of adipose tissue, and mild growth deficiency in mice lacking Akt2/PKB beta. *J Clin Invest* **112**, 197–208 (2003).
- 30. Gonzalez, E. & McGraw, T. E. The Akt kinases: isoform specificity in metabolism and cancer. Cell Cycle 8, 2502-8 (2009).
- Schultze, S. M., Jensen, J., Hemmings, B. A., Tschopp, O. & Niessen, M. Promiscuous affairs of PKB/AKT isoforms in metabolism. Arch Physiol Biochem 117, 70–7 (2011).
- 32. Tschopp, O. et al. Essential role of protein kinase B gamma (PKB gamma/Akt3) in postnatal brain development but not in glucose homeostasis. Development 132, 2943–54 (2005).
- 33. Yu, J. & Thomson, J. A. Pluripotent stem cell lines. Genes Dev 22, 1987-97 (2008).
- 34. McBurney, M. W. P19 embryonal carcinoma cells. Int J Dev Biol 37, 135-40 (1993).
- 35. Francetic, T. *et al.* Regulation of Myf5 early enhancer by histone acetyltransferase p300 during stem cell differentiation. *Mol Biol* 1, 103, doi: 10.4172/2168-9547.1000103 (2012).
- 36. Le May, M. *et al.* Contribution of Retinoid X Receptor Signaling to the Specification of Skeletal Muscle Lineage. J Biol Chem 286, 26806–12 (2011).
- Silberstein, L., Webster, S. G., Travis, M. & Blau, H. M. Developmental progression of myosin gene expression in cultured muscle cells. Cell 46, 1075–81 (1986).
- Hescheler, J. et al. Embryonic stem cells: a model to study structural and functional properties in cardiomyogenesis. Cardiovasc Res 36, 149–62 (1997).
- 39. Skerjanc, I. S. Cardiac and skeletal muscle development in P19 embryonal carcinoma cells. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 9, 139–43 (1999).
- 40. Lee, J. et al. Genetic reconstruction of mouse spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal in vitro by Ras-cyclin D2 activation. Cell Stem Cell 5, 76-86 (2009).
- 41. Fujio, Y. *et al.* Cell cycle withdrawal promotes myogenic induction of Akt, a positive modulator of myocyte survival. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**, 5073–82 (1999).
- 42. Balasubramanyam, K. *et al.* Curcumin, a novel p300/CREB-binding protein-specific inhibitor of acetyltransferase, represses the acetylation of histone/nonhistone proteins and histone acetyltransferase-dependent chromatin transcription. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 51163–71 (2004).
- Hamed, M., Khilji, S., Chen, J. & Li, Q. Stepwise acetyltransferase association and histone acetylation at the Myod1 locus during myogenic differentiation. Sci Rep 3, 2390 (2013).

- 44. Gardner, S., Anguiano, M. & Rotwein, P. Defining Akt actions in muscle differentiation. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 303, C1292–300 (2012).
- Chen, J., Halappanavar, S., Th'ng, J. P. & Li, Q. Ubiquitin-dependent distribution of the transcriptional coactivator p300 in cytoplasmic inclusion bodies. *Epigenetics* 2, 92–9 (2007).
- St-Germain, J. R., Chen, J. & Li, Q. Involvement of PML nuclear bodies in CBP degradation through the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *Epigenetics* 3, 342–9 (2008).
- 47. Chen, J., Ghazawi, F. M., Bakkar, W. & Li, Q. Valproic acid and butyrate induce apoptosis in human cancer cells through inhibition of gene expression of Akt/protein kinase B. *Mol Cancer* 5, 71 (2006).
- Chen, J., Ghazawi, F. M. & Li, Q. Interplay of bromodomain and histone acetylation in the regulation of p300-dependent genes. *Epigenetics* 5, 509–15 (2010).
- Ogryzko, V. V., Schiltz, R. L., Russanova, V., Howard, B. H. & Nakatani, Y. The transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. *Cell* 87, 953–9 (1996).
- Yuan, W., Condorelli, G., Caruso, M., Felsani, A. & Giordano, A. Human p300 protein is a coactivator for the transcription factor MyoD. J Biol Chem 271, 9009–13 (1996).
- Chen, J., St-Germain, J. R. & Li, Q. B56 Regulatory Subunit of Protein Phosphatase 2A Mediates Valproic Acid-Induced p300 Degradation. Mol Cell Biol 25, 525–32 (2005).

Acknowledgement

This work was sponsored by an operating grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (to QL). We thank our colleagues for a supportive research environment.

Author Contributions

J.C. conception and designed, data collection and interpretation, manuscript preparation; J.W., M.H. and N.L., data collection and analysis; Q.L. conception and designed, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript preparation and final approve the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Chen, J. *et al.* Molecular Basis for the Regulation of Transcriptional Coactivator p300 in Myogenic Differentiation. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13727; doi: 10.1038/srep13727 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/