SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Sonorensin: A new bacteriocin with potential of an anti-biofilm agent and a food biopreservative

Lipsy Chopra, Gurdeep Singh, Kautilya Kumar Jena & Debendra K. Sahoo

Received: 19 March 2015

Accepted: 27 July 2015

Published: 21 August 2015

The emergence of antibiotic resistant bacteria has led to exploration of alternative therapeutic agents such as ribosomally synthesized bacterial peptides known as bacteriocins. Biofilms, which are microbial communities that cause serious chronic infections, form environments that enhance antimicrobial resistance. Bacteria in biofilm can be upto thousand times more resistant to antibiotics than the same bacteria circulating in a planktonic state. In this study, sonorensin, predicted to belong to the heterocycloanthracin subfamily of bacteriocins, was found to be effectively killing active and non-multiplying cells of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Sonorensin showed marked inhibition activity against biofilm of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Fluorescence and electron microscopy suggested that growth inhibition occurred because of increased membrane permeability. Low density polyethylene film coated with sonorensin was found to effectively control the growth of food spoilage bacteria like *Listeria monocytogenes* and *S. aureus*. The biopreservative effect of sonorensin coated film showing growth inhibition of spoilage bacteria in chicken meat and tomato samples demonstrated the potential of sonorensin as an alternative to current antibiotics/preservatives.

Bacteria in nature usually dwell in complex and dynamic surface-associated, sessile microbial communities called biofilms that are encaged in a self-produced extracellular polymeric substance (EPS), and create problems in clinical therapeutics¹. Bacterial cells growing in a biofilm are physiologically diverse from planktonic cells of the same bacteria², and the presence of EPS escalates antibiotic resistance by up to thousand folds³. Biofilms have immense negative impact on the world's economy and pose severe problems to industry, public health and medicine⁴ due to increased rates of genetic exchange, altered biodegradability⁵, increased resistance to antibiotics and chemical biocides and increased production of secondary metabolites^{4–6}. Many bacteria produce bioactive peptides or proteins called bacteriocins. Bacteriocins can be the next generation of antibiotics for combating multi-drug resistant and/or biofilm forming bacterial infections due to their different mechanisms of action, which include membrane-disrupting action, functional inhibition of proteins, binding with DNA, and detoxification of polysaccharides⁷. Some bacteriocins can be transferred in biofilm EPS through pores formed in the lipid component of the EPS, while others can disperse biofilms⁷.

In an infection, multiplying and non-multiplying bacteria exist side by side⁸. Non-multipliers are characterized by their lack of multiplication, survival in the presence of antibiotics and low metabolic activity⁸. It is also known that antibiotics kill multiplying bacteria and are inefficient at killing non-multipliers⁹, leading to slow or partial death of the target population in an infected tissue resulting in requirement of repeated doses of antibiotics. This extends the period of therapy and enhances the emergence of resistance. Targeting non-multiplying bacteria is a new approach to antibacterial therapy intended to swiftly destroy all of the non-multiplying and multiplying bacteria in an infection, thereby

Biochemical Engineering Research and Process Development Centre, CSIR-Institute of Microbial Technology, Sector-39A Chandigarh; 160036, India. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.K.S. (email: debsahoo@imtech.res.in)

shortening antibiotic regimes that would slow the emergence of genetic resistance as mutation cannot occur if there are no live target bacteria⁸.

Numerous food preservation methods such as addition of preservatives (antibiotics, organic compounds such as sorbate, propionate, benzoate, acetate, and lactate), reduction of pH and water activity (acidification, dehydration) and thermal treatment (pasteurization, sterilization, heating) have been used to prevent food poisoning and spoilage¹⁰. Although these methods have been proven to be successful, however, consumers have been consistently concerned about the possible adverse health effects due to the presence of chemical additives in processed foods. This has led to the exploration of new means of preservation involving minimally processed foods with extended shelf-life¹⁰. For more than 50 years nisin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* has been used as a food preservative as it has been proven to be highly effective against microbial agents causing food poisoning and spoilage¹¹.

Amalgamation of bacteriocins into packaging films to prevent food spoilage and to control pathogens has been an area of dynamic research for the last decade. Bioactive packaging film prevents microbial growth on food surface by direct contact of the package with the surface of foods, such as meats and cheese. It prolongs the lag phase and reduces the growth rate of microbes in order to extend shelf life and to maintain product quality¹². There are two methods which have been commonly used to prepare packaging films with bacteriocins¹³. The first method incorporates bacteriocins directly into polymers (e.g., incorporation of nisin into biodegradable films¹⁴) and the other incorporates bacteriocins into packaging films by coating or adsorbing bacteriocins to polymer surfaces (e.g., nisin/methylcellulose coatings for polyethylene films, adsorption of nisin on polyamide, ethylene vinyl acetate, acrylics, polyester and polyvinyl chloride¹³). An *et al.* claimed that a polymer-based solution coating would be the most desirable method in terms of stability and adhesiveness of attaching a bacteriocin to a plastic film¹⁵.

Earlier, we have reported isolation, purification and characterization of sonorensin, a bacteriocin predicted to belong to heterocycloanthracin subfamily of bacteriocins from marine isolate *Bacillus sonorensis* MT93, and optimization of its production^{16,17}. We have also reported the efficacy of sonorensin as a biopreservative in fruit products and as shelf life extender of pasteurised milk¹⁷. In the present study, the effectiveness of this bacteriocin as an anti biofilm agent and a food biopreservative has been demonstrated. We have also evaluated the effect of sonorensin against non-multiplying bacteria and an insight into its probable mode of action. It is the first bacteriocin of this subfamily to be characterized.

Results

Biofilm inhibition by sonorensin. When different concentrations of sonorensin were incubated with *S. aureus* for 4 h at 37°C for adherence to the wells of microtiter plates, it inhibited biofilm attachment in a concentration dependent manner (Fig. 1a). About $1.8 \pm 0.05\%$ attachment of biofilm was observed in the presence of 1X MIC (~50 µg/ml) of sonorensin. Sonorensin showed significant inhibitory activity against *S. aureus* biofilm formation at 24 h, in relation to its concentration (Fig. 1b). When the sonorensin treated biofilms were subjected to 2, 3-Bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulphophenyl)—2H-tetrazolium-5-carbox-anilide (XTT) assay, reduced XTT conversion was observed in wells with higher concentration of sonorensin while the negative control showed the maximum reduction of XTT indicating the effect of sonorensin on the viability of cells in biofilm (Fig. 1c).

Scanning electron micrographs of biofilms of *S. aureus* formed on cover slips and the effect of sonorensin on the preformed biofilm are shown in Fig. 2. For the non-treated controls, a biofilm formed consisted of nearly uniform, thick layer of cells (Fig. 2a), while the biofilm treated with sonorensin (50 µg/ml) was much less dense, and individually formed colonies could be seen (Fig. 2b).

Sonorensin is effective against non-multiplying bacteria. Sonorensin was investigated for its efficacy against bacterial cells in dormant stage. *Escherichia coli* and *S. aureus* were used as indicator strains to produce long duration stationary phase cells of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, respectively. An extended lag phase compared to their vegetative counterparts in a regrowth experiment (Fig. 3a), sensitivity to nisin (Fig. 3b,c) and tolerance to ampicillin (Fig. 3b,c) confirmed their non-multiplying state. Susceptibility of vegetative cells of both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* to ampicillin is shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 online. The revived cultures regained sensitivity to antibiotics signifying that no further antibiotic resistance was attained through any genetic alteration during dormancy. Sonorensin was found to kill non-multiplying cells of both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Fig. 3b,c). On comparing its antimicrobial activity with nisin, it was observed that sonorensin was as effective as nisin in killing non-multiplying cells of *S. aureus* and *E. coli*.

As the MIC of sonorensin against both the vegetative and non-multiplying cells of *E. coli* was higher as compared to that of *S. aureus*, the inhibitory activity of treatment combining sonorensin and the chelating agent EDTA on *E. coli* was investigated. It was found that sonorensin in combination with 20 mM EDTA showed more antimicrobial activity against both multiplying and non-multiplying cells of *E. coli* as compared to sonorensin alone (see Supplementary Fig. S2 online). The controls, EDTA and buffer individually, did not show any activity.

The haemolytic activity (if any) of sonorensin was determined by testing its toxicity against mammalian cells. It was found that sonorensin, at concentrations at which it destroys vegetative and dormant cells of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, had virtually no effect on red blood cells (RBCs) and only $1.7 \pm 0.04\%$ haemolysis was observed at high concentrations of sonorensin (Fig. 3d).

a **b** **c**

Figure 1. Effect of sonorensin on *S. aureus* biofilms. (a) Inhibition of attachment of *S. aureus* cells by sonorensin at various concentrations (b) Inhibition of biofilm formation (c) Viability of *S. aureus* cells biofilm (assayed by XTT). Control bars indicate *S. aureus* cells without any treatment taken as 100% in case of a & c and 0% in case of b. Each well of 96 -well plate contains 4×10^6 CFU *S. aureus* cells and variable concentration of sonorensin in 200 µl of BHI-sucrose. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 h and 24 h for biofilm attachment assay (a) and inhibition of biofilm formation (b), respectively. (a) About $1.8 \pm 0.05\%$ attachment of biofilm was observed in the presence of 1X MIC of sonorensin. (b) Sonorensin showed significant inhibitory activity against *S. aureus* biofilm formation at 24 h, (c) Reduced XTT conversion was observed in wells with higher sonorensin concentrations and the control (without treatment) showed maximum reduction of XTT. The results were presented as mean $\pm$ SD and differences between the control and treated samples were statistically significant ($n = 3$) ($p < 0.005$).

a

b

Figure 2. The scanning electron micrographs of mature (48 h old) biofilm of *S. aureus* cells (a) without sonoresin treatment and (b) after sonoresin treatment (50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). The biofilms without sonoresin treatment consisted of nearly uniform, thick layer of cells embedded within a self produced matrix whereas thinning of mature biofilms was observed after sonoresin treatment.

Mode of bactericidal action: increased cytoplasmic membrane permeability. When the cytoplasmic membrane is permeable, ortho-Nitrophenyl- β -galactoside (ONPG), a non membrane—permeative chromogenic substrate, enters the cytoplasm and is degraded by β -galactosidase, producing O-nitrophenol that shows absorbance at 405 nm¹⁸. As shown in Fig. 4, sonoresin induced an increase in the permeability of *S. aureus* cytoplasmic membrane over time and in case of nisin (at same concentration), which has almost same MIC value against *S. aureus*, produced similar results of permeability. This suggested that sonoresin could permeabilize the cytoplasmic membrane of *S. aureus*.

Figure 3. Effect of sonorensin on non-multiplying bacteria. (a) Comparative growth curves of active (open symbols) and non-multiplying (filled symbols) cells of *E. coli* (squares) and *S. aureus* (triangles). Cell viability was used as a measure of the effect of sonorensin on non-multiplying cells of (b) *E. coli* and (c) *S. aureus*. The CFU count from the untreated sample was taken as 100% in the cell viability calculations. An extended lag phase of non-multiplying cells compared to their vegetative counterparts (a). The sensitivity to nisin and tolerance to ampicillin confirmed non-multiplying state of cells. Sonorensin was effective against non-multiplying cells of both *E. coli* (b) and *S. aureus* (c,d). Sonorensin toxicity to mammalian cells was assayed by measuring its haemolytic activity. Triton X-100 and PBS served as controls. Sonorensin, at concentrations toxic to vegetative and dormant cells of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, had virtually no effect on RBCs and only $1.7 \pm 0.04\%$ haemolysis was observed at higher concentrations of sonorensin. All the experiments were repeated three times in triplicate. The results were presented as mean $\pm$ SD and differences between the control and treated samples were statistically significant ($n = 3$) ($p < 0.005$).

PI is a viability-fluorescent marker that can penetrate impaired cells and intercalate into nucleic acid¹⁸. Sonorensin and nisin induced membrane damage of *S. aureus* cells was determined by staining the cells with PI after treatment with the sonorensin and nisin at 37 °C for 1 h using Flow Cytometer. As shown in Fig. 5, in the absence of any antibacterial agent, 76.5% of untreated control *S. aureus* cells showed no PI fluorescence signal. However, a significant increase in PI fluorescence was observed for the cells treated with sonorensin (70.0% cells stained with PI) and nisin (68.1% cells stained with PI) as depicted in Fig. 5. These results indicated that the membrane integrity of *S. aureus* cells was destroyed by treatment with sonorensin and the effectiveness was comparable to that of nisin.

Figure 4. The cytoplasmic membrane permeabilization of *S. aureus* cells treated with sonoresin (squares) and nisin (circles). The untreated *S. aureus* cells (triangles) were taken as control. When the cytoplasmic membrane was permeable ONPG entered the cytoplasm and degraded by β -galactosidase, producing O-nitrophenol that showed absorbance at 405 nm. Sonoresin induced an increase in the permeability of *S. aureus*. The experiment was carried out three times in triplicate. The results were presented as mean $\pm$ SD and differences between the control and treated samples were statistically significant ($n = 3$) ($p < 0.005$).

To further gain insight into the mode of bactericidal action of the sonoresin, SEM of *S. aureus* treated with lethal dose of sonoresin was performed. When compared to untreated cells, *S. aureus* cells pre-incubated with 50 μ g/ml of purified sonoresin for 4 h displayed major alterations like roughening of the cell surface with accumulation of cell debris and cell lysis (Fig. 6).

Bioactive polyethylene film. The low density polyethylene film (LDPE), coated with sonoresin and nisin, showed inhibitory activity against *S. aureus* (Fig. 7). Untreated film did not show any antimicrobial activity. As shown in Fig. 7, the superficial growth of indicator strain was limited to the area surrounding the activated film that could clearly inhibit the development of the *S. aureus* in contrast, it could grow homogeneously on the surface of the plate and underneath the untreated film used as control.

The sonoresin and nisin coated LDPE films were checked for their efficacy to inhibit the growth of food spoiling bacteria such as *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes*. Fresh meat spiked with these organisms and tomatoes were packed in sonoresin and nisin coated LDPE films and untreated LDPE films (control). The spoilage of both meat and tomatoes was observed in case of untreated packaging films after 4 days and 7 days of incubation at 4 °C respectively (Fig. 8). However, no signs of spoilage were seen in meat and tomatoes packed with sonoresin and nisin coated films (Fig. 8) even after 15 days of storage at refrigerated conditions. Moreover foul/stinky smell that was observed in meat samples packed with untreated films was absent in meat packed with sonoresin and nisin coated films. This suggested that like nisin, sonoresin could also be used as a food bio-preservative.

Discussion

The growth of biofilms is a significant problem within the healthcare and food industries. The characteristic resistance offered by biofilm-associated communities of microorganisms leading to their persistent survival is an important challenge to address. Biofilms have been attributed to food-borne illnesses^{19,20} and can also cause premature biofouling in dairy and other processed foods. Though, many antibiotics are effective against planktonic cells, fewer are active against biofilms²¹. Furthermore, while it is suggested that bacteriocins may inhibit the development of biofilms²², their effect upon microbial cells in a biofilm is not fully understood. When applied on preformed *S. aureus* biofilms, sonoresin was able to significantly reduce biofilm cell viability even at lower concentrations as indicated by XTT assay (Fig. 1c). SEM analysis of the biofilms also indicated that sonoresin not only inhibited biofilm formation but also caused thinning of mature biofilms (Fig. 2a,b).

The fall in the emergence of new antimicrobials in the market during the past two decades is worrying, particularly in view of the rise in bacterial resistance against many of the currently used antibiotics. Shifting the aim of drug development from multiplying to non-multiplying bacteria is expected to generate a new set of prospectives for antibiotic development and could result in the development of the drugs that would shorten the duration of therapy^{8,23}. In addition to possessing a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity, sonoresin also showed promising antimicrobial action against non-multiplying bacteria, which constitute a major cause of recurrence of chronic infections. The activity of sonoresin against non-multiplying cells of *S. aureus* was comparable to nisin. However, in case of non-multiplying cells of *E. coli*, sonoresin was effective at a comparatively higher concentration. The effectiveness of sonoresin against non-multiplying cells of *E. coli* was increased in combination with 20 mM EDTA that indicated

Figure 5. Flow cytometry analysis of effects of sonorensin and nisin on membrane integrity of *S. aureus* cells. Data were displayed as flow cytometric histograms of counted bacterial events (y-axis) associated cell fluorescence (x-axis). Marker M1 is the region that the damaged cells were stained by PI. (a) Unstained *S. aureus* cells (b) Untreated, PI stained *S. aureus* cells (c) Sonorensin treated, PI stained *S. aureus* cells, (d) nisin treated, PI stained *S. aureus* cells. For each sample 10⁴ cells were analysed. The membrane integrity of *S. aureus* cells was destroyed by treatment with sonorensin.

the effects of sonorensin in reducing population being facilitated by the chelation of Mg²⁺ ions, present in the outer membrane of *E. coli*, by EDTA. This is in accordance with the reports that the removal of Mg²⁺ ions from the lipopolysaccharide layer of the outer membrane results in the loss of lipopolysaccharide and an increase in cell permeability²⁴. This increase in outer membrane permeability is proposed to facilitate inactivation of the cell by sonorensin action at the cytoplasmic membrane. Similar results of the effect of EDTA on the activity of nisin against gram negative bacteria *Salmonella* spp. have been reported by Stevens *et al.*²⁵. The activity of sonorensin against non-multiplying cells suggested that the bacterial resistance would not develop against sonorensin as all the bacterial cells (multiplying as well as non-multiplying) would be killed and no bacteria would survive that could evolve and develop resistance. Hu *et al.* reported an antibiotic, called HT61, active against non-multiplying bacteria, including methicillin resistant and sensitive *S. aureus*²³.

The membrane is the main barrier that limits the distribution and entry of antibiotics¹⁸. In addition to antimicrobial activities, bacteriocins serve as an anti-resistance compound to classic antibiotics as they are able to interact with bacterial membranes, create ion permeable channels leading to increased cytoplasmic membrane permeability and hence, bacterial cell death²⁶. In case of sonorensin, it showed permeabilization effect on *S. aureus* membrane and increased the plasma membrane permeability for influx of ONPG into cells. Moreover the investigation of sonorensin treated cells stained with PI also revealed the influx of PI into the cells indicating that the cytoplasmic membrane could be the most probable target of action of sonorensin. In this sense, sonorensin could be the potential candidate for therapeutics as it does not target the cell components like nucleic acids and proteins which are the major targets of most antibiotics, leading to the targeted bacteria developing resistance against such antibiotics.

Antimicrobial packaging is a promising form of active food packaging and an emerging technology. Antimicrobial packaging film prevents microbial growth on food surface by direct contact of the package with the surface of foods. For this reason, the antimicrobial packaging film must be in contact with the surface of the food so that bacteriocins can diffuse to the surface¹³. When the food product is packaged with such films the antimicrobial substance is released slowly onto the surface of the food product thus providing protection for extended periods²⁷.

The results of present study of antimicrobial packaging applications on meat are quite promising. The bioactive packaging film of sonorensin showed its biopreservative effect during the storage of meat for long duration. Similar results of coating of LDPE films with bacteriocins have been previously reported^{15,28,29}. Dawson *et al.* evaluated the effect of lauric acid and nisin impregnated soy based films on the growth of *L. monocytogenes* on turkey Bologna³⁰. Ming *et al.* developed pediocin-coated casings that showed useful in controlling the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in meat and poultry products³¹. Sonorensin active packaged film also showed preservative effect upon storage of vegetables. These results indicated sonorensin to be a promising biopreservative agent and its incorporation in films may control the growth of undesirable bacteria, thereby extending the shelf life and enhancing the microbial safety of food products.

a

b

Figure 6. The scanning electron micrographs of *S. aureus* cells (a) without sonorensin treatment, and (b) after sonorensin treatment (50 µg/ml) for 4 h. The treatment of *S. aureus* with sonorensin displayed roughening of cell surface with cell debris while smooth cell surface was observed in cells without treatment.

Materials and Methods

Reagents and media. Nisin and Histopaque-1077 were procured from Sigma- Aldrich Inc. (St. Louis, MO, USA) and microtitre plates were procured from Nunc (Nalge Nunc International, Denmark). BD vacutainer was obtained from BD biosciences (BD biosciences, CA, USA). All other reagents and media components used were either of analytical grade or of highest purity grade available in India.

Bacterial strains. *Bacillus sonorensis* MT93 (accession number HF944961.1) was isolated from marine soil sample collected from Parangipettai, India as reported previously¹⁶. Indicator strains: *B. subtilis* (MTCC 121), *S. aureus* (MTCC 1430), *E. coli* (MTCC 1610) and *L. monocytogenes* (MTCC 839) were procured from Microbial Type Culture Collection (MTCC), Chandigarh, India.

Figure 7. Inhibitory activity of coated LDPE films against *S. aureus*. (a,c) control; (b) with sonorensin coated LDPE film; (d) with nisin coated LDPE film. The growth of *S. aureus* was inhibited by sonorensin and nisin coated LDPE films whereas *S. aureus* grew homogeneously on the surface of the plate and underneath the untreated LDPE film used as control.

Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC). The MIC of sonorensin against *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *L. monocytogenes* was determined as reported previously¹⁶.

Biofilm formation. The overnight culture of *S. aureus* was diluted to $\sim 2 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml. 200 μ l of this suspension and 1% sucrose was added to wells of 96-well plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The negative control was un-inoculated media processed similarly. After incubation, the spent media was aspirated gently and wells were washed with 250 μ l of PBS to remove planktonic bacteria and air-dried. 200 μ l of 99% (v/v) methanol was added and incubated for 15 min for fixation and aspirated, and plates were allowed to dry. Wells were stained with 200 μ l of 0.1% (v/v) crystal violet for 5 min. Excess stain was gently rinsed off and plates were air-dried. Stain was resolubilized in 200 μ l of 95% (v/v) ethanol and cell concentration was measured at OD_{595 nm}³².

Biofilm attachment assay and inhibition of biofilm formation. Biofilm attachment assay and inhibition of biofilm formation were performed as described previously^{33,34}. The overnight culture of *S. aureus* (diluted to $\sim 2 \times 10^7$ CFU/ml) was added to wells of 96-well plates with different concentrations of sonorensin (in triplicate). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h and 24 h for biofilm attachment assay and inhibition of biofilm formation, respectively. The positive control was *S. aureus* in Brain heart infusion (BHI) -sucrose medium without sonorensin. After the incubation, wells were washed with PBS and the cell concentration was measured at OD_{595 nm}³⁴.

After sonorensin treatment and incubation period of biofilm formation, XTT reduction assay was performed as a measure of metabolic activity in order to estimate viable cells. The wells were washed with PBS, and 20 μ l of XTT (500 mg/ml) was added and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. The color developed was read at 495 nm in a plate reader.

SEM. To examine the anti-biofilm activity of sonorensin by microscopy, *S. aureus* biofilm was developed on poly (L-lysine) coated cover slips. Following addition of sonorensin (50 μ g/ml), cover slips were incubated for 24 h. The samples were then fixed with Karnovsky's fixative³⁵ for 2 h at 4 °C, washed with phosphate buffer, dehydrated with a graded ethanol series and finally by tert- butyl alcohol. Then, the cover slips were dipped in tert- butyl alcohol, kept at –20 °C followed by freeze drying and platinum coating. The samples were observed using Zeiss EVO 40 instrument (Ukraine).

Effectiveness of sonorensin against non-multiplying bacteria. *Non-multiplying cell preparation.* *S. aureus* and *E. coli* cells were grown in 50 ml LB medium at 37 °C and 200 rpm for 7 days²³. The 7-day-old cultures were centrifuged and washed twice with physiological buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2), and following resuspension in same buffer were incubated at 37 °C and 200 rpm for 7 days. Cell viability was checked by counting colony-forming units (CFUs) every 24 h. The culture showed a decrease in CFU/ml in the first 4 days, and remained constant thereafter, at 3.18×10^5 and 4.2×10^6 CFU/ml for

Figure 8. Preservative effect of coated LDPE film during the storage of (a) meat (b) tomatoes. (a) Meat samples were spiked with *L. monocytogenes* (1–3) and *S. aureus* (4–6). Spoilage of meat is visible in meat samples packaged in control LDPE films (1 & 4) whereas no spoilage was observed in samples packaged with sonorensin (2 & 5) and nisin (3 & 6) coated LDPE films. (b) Tomato sample (1) packaged in untreated LDPE films showed signs of spoilage in contrast to no spoilage in case of tomatoes packaged in sonorensin (2) and nisin (3) coated LDPE films.

S. aureus and *E. coli*, respectively. The cells after incubation for 4 days were analysed for dormancy state by overnight treatment with lethal dose of ampicillin (2 mg/ml) and by comparison of regrowth curves with that of vegetative cells and were used as stocks of non-multiplying cells. Regrowth was performed in 200 µl LB medium in 96 well plate and OD_{600 nm} was monitored.

Antimicrobial activity assay against Non-multiplying cells. Different antimicrobial agents (ampicillin, nisin and sonorensin) at concentration range of 50 µg/ml–200 µg/ml were added to 100 µl of non-multiplying cell suspension of *S. aureus* and *E. coli* in 96 well plate. Cells were incubated at 37 °C and 200 rpm overnight, and then washed with PBS to remove excess antimicrobial agents and then plated in triplicate on BHI agar plates for estimation of CFU. An untreated sample, taken as control, was processed in the same way.

Effect of EDTA on sonorensin activity. Effect of EDTA on sonorensin activity against *E. coli* was tested in the presence of 20 mM EDTA, as described previously²⁵ (see Supplementary methods online). Sonorensin, 20 mM EDTA, buffer and sonorensin in combination of 20 mM EDTA were assayed for antimicrobial activity.

Haemolytic activity assay. The haemolytic activity was measured on human red blood cells (RBCs) as reported previously³⁶ and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute. Complete lysis was measured by suspending RBCs in 1% Triton X-100³⁷ (see Supplementary methods online).

Membrane damage of bacterial cells. *Cytoplasmic membrane permeability.* The cytoplasmic membrane permeabilization by sonorensin and nisin was investigated by using ONPG and measuring β -galactosidase activity in cells as described previously³⁸ (see Supplementary methods online). The hydrolysis of ONPG to O-nitrophenol over time was monitored at 405 nm with a microplate reader (Biotek, USA).

Flow cytometry. The experiment was performed according to Joshi *et al.* with some modifications³⁷. *S. aureus* cells were collected in mid exponential phase, washed three times with phosphate buffered saline, and resuspended at a concentration of 1×10^6 CFU/ml in the same buffer. This was followed by addition of 50 μ g/ml of sonorensin and nisin and incubation at 37 °C for 1 h. Then, the mixtures were incubated with PI solution (10 μ g/ml) for 30 min at 4 °C in the dark. PBS was used as negative control. Flow cytometry analysis was conducted using Accuri C6 Flow Cytometer in FL2 channel. For each sample 10^4 cells were analysed. Data was analyzed by C Flow Plus software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

SEM. To examine the bactericidal activity, *S. aureus* cells were grown in NB to an exponential phase and harvested by centrifugation. The pellet obtained was resuspended in fresh NB and aliquots of 5 ml containing about 1×10^6 cells/ml were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h with sonorensin (50 μ g/ml). Samples withdrawn at 4 h were centrifuged and pellets were resuspended in 500 μ l of phosphate buffer. Each sample was spread on a poly (L-lysine)-coated glass slides, fixed and observed with a Zeiss EVO 40 instrument.

Antimicrobial polyethylene films preparation. Sonorensin and nisin were coated on LDPE as described previously³⁹. The antimicrobial coating mixtures were prepared by adding 2 g of methyl cellulose to 10 ml of sonorensin and nisin (500 μ g/ml) separately. The mixtures were then homogenised at 16,000 rpm for 2 min in a homogenizer (Silent crusher M, Heidolph, Germany). Then, 10 ml of ethanol and 4 ml of poly(ethylene glycol) (average Mn400) were added to these mixtures and re-homogenized for another 5 min. A control coating mix (without sonorensin/nisin) was prepared in a similar manner. The LDPE films (15 cm * 10 cm) were fixed on glass plates and 15 ml of the sonorensin and nisin mixtures, separately prepared, were then applied on the prepared film to have sonorensin/nisin at 20 μ g/cm². The control mixture was also casted on LDPE in a similar manner. Plates were then allowed to dry at 37 °C for 2 h. After 2 h, the LDPE was detached from the glass plate and analyzed for its antimicrobial activity by placing it on BHI soft agar (0.8%) previously spread with *S. aureus* as indicator strain. The treated face of the LDPE film was in contact with agar. The control film was also tested similarly. The plates were then incubated at 30 °C overnight and observed for the presence or absence of zone of inhibition around the films in a lawn of bacterial cells.

Antimicrobial activity of sonorensin coated films during the storage of meat products. The developed packaging films were used in challenge tests of control of *L. monocytogenes* and *S. aureus* growth during the storage of meat products. Following superficial spiking of chicken meat pieces with 2 ml suspension of *L. monocytogenes* and *S. aureus* at 1.5×10^6 CFU/ml, the pieces were packed with the active films and stored at 4 °C. Meat pieces packed with untreated films were included in the analysis as controls. After regular intervals of storage, the pieces were observed for the appearance of visible growth of bacteria and obnoxious smell.

In another set of experiments the developed packaging films were used for preventing the spoilage during the storage of vegetables. Fresh tomatoes were packed with the active films and stored at 4 °C. Tomatoes packed with untreated films were included in the analysis as controls. After regular intervals of storage, the tomatoes were observed for the appearance of visible signs of spoilage and rottenness.

Statistical analysis. All experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated in three independent experiments. The results were presented as the mean $\pm$ standard deviations. Statistical significance of difference between the control and the test samples was determined using ANOVA- test and *p* value < 0.005 was considered as significant.

Conclusions

Sonorensin, a bacteriocin from *B. sonorensis* MT93, was found to be effective against non-multiplying cells of *S. aureus* indicating its potential for antimicrobial therapy and it did not show activity against normal mammalian cells. Sonorensin also effected the inhibition of biofilm formation. The mode of action of sonorensin as revealed by flow cytometry and SEM is the damage of bacterial membrane unlike most of the antibiotics that target cellular components and hence more prone to bacterial resistance. Furthermore, sonorensin, predicted to be the first bacteriocin of subfamily of heterocycloanthracin, demonstrated its efficacy as food biopreservative as the packaging films activated with sonorensin showed preservative effect on food products. Thus, sonorensin could prove to be promising antibiofilm agent as well as natural food biopreservative.

References

- Monroe, D. Looking for chinks in the armor of bacterial biofilms. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e307 (2007).
- Hall-Stoodley, L., Costerton, J. W. & Stoodley, P. Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* **2**, 95–108 (2004).
- Stewart, P. S. & Costerton, J. W. Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms. *Lancet* **358**, 135–138 (2001).
- Di Lorenzo, A. *et al.* Characterization and performance of a toluene-degrading biofilm developed on pumice stones. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **4**, 4 (2005).
- Giaouris, E. D. & Nychas, G. J. E. The adherence of *Salmonella enteritidis* PT4 to stainless steel: the importance of the air-liquid interface and nutrient availability. *Food Microbiol.* **23**, 747–752 (2006).
- Meyer, B. Approaches to prevention, removal and killing of biofilms. *Int. Biodeter. Biodegr.* **51**, 249–253 (2003).
- Park, S. C., Park, Y. & Hahm, K. S. The role of antimicrobial peptides in preventing multidrug-resistant bacterial infections and biofilm formation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **12**, 5971–5992 (2011).
- Coates, A. R., Hu, Y., Bax, R. & Page, C. The future challenges facing the development of new antimicrobial drugs. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **1**, 895–910 (2002).
- Coates, A. R. & Hu, Y. New strategies for antibacterial drug design: targeting non-multiplying latent bacteria. *Drugs R D* **7**, 133–51 (2006).
- Deegan, L. H., Cotter, P. D., Colin, H. & Ross, P. Bacteriocins: biological tools for bio-preservation and shelf-life extension. *Internat. Dairy* **16**, 1058–1071 (2006).
- Jack, R. W., Tagg, J. R. & Ray, B. Bacteriocins of gram-positive bacteria. *Microbiol. Rev.* **59**, 171–200 (1995).
- Han, J. H. Antimicrobial food packaging. *Food Technol.* **54**, 56–65 (2000).
- Appendini, P. & Hotchkiss, J. H. Review of antimicrobial food packaging. *Innov. Food Sci. and Emerg. Technol.* **3**, 113–126 (2002).
- Padgett, T., Han, I. Y. & Dawson, P. L. Incorporation of food-grade antimicrobial compounds into biodegradable packaging films. *J. Food Prot.* **61**, 1330–1335 (1998).
- An, D. S., Kim, Y. M., Lee, S. B., Paik, H. D. & Lee, D. S. Antimicrobial low density polyethylene film coated with bacteriocins in binder medium. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* **9**, 14–20 (2000).
- Chopra, L., Singh, G., Choudhary, V. & Sahoo, D. K. Sonorensin: an antimicrobial peptide, belonging to heterocycloanthracin subfamily of bacteriocins, from a new marine isolate *Bacillus sonorensis* MT93. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **80**, 2981–2990 (2014).
- Chopra, L., Singh, G., Jena, K. K., Verma, H. & Sahoo, D. K. Bioprocess development for the production of sonorensin by *Bacillus sonorensis* MT93 and its application as a food preservative. *Biores. Technol.* **175**, 358–366 (2015).
- Li, L., Shi, Y., Cheserek, M. J., Su, G. & Le, G. Antibacterial activity and dual mechanisms of peptide analog derived from cell-penetrating peptide against *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **97**, 1711–1723 (2013).
- Hetrick, E. M. & Schoenfisch, M. H. Reducing implant-related infections: active release strategies. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **35**, 780–789 (2006).
- Kumar, C. G. & Anand, S. K. Significance of microbial biofilms in food industry: a review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **42**, 9–27 (1998).
- Shanks, R. M. Q., Dashiff, A., Alster, J. S. & Kadouri, D. E. Isolation and identification of a bacteriocin with antibacterial and antibiofilm activity from *Citrobacter freundii*. *Arch. Microbiol.* **194**, 575–587 (2012).
- Hancock, V., Dahl, M. & Klemm, P. Probiotic *Escherichia coli* strain Nissle 1917 outcompetes intestinal pathogens during biofilm formation. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **59**, 392–399 (2010).
- Hu, Y., Tousi, A. S., Liu, Y. & Coates, A. A new approach for the discovery of antibiotics by targeting non-multiplying bacteria: A novel topical antibiotic for *Staphylococcal* infections. *PLoS One* **5**, e11818 (2010).
- Nikaido, H. & Vaara, M. Outer membrane. in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella S. typhimurium*: cellular and molecular biology (ed. Neidhardt, F. C.) American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC. **1**, 7–22 (1987).
- Stevens, K. A., Sheldon, B. W., Klapes, N. A. & Klaenhammer, T. R. Nisin treatment for inactivation of *Salmonella* species and other Gram-negative bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **57**, 3613–3615 (1991).
- Yeaman, M. R. & Yount, N. Y. Mechanisms of antimicrobial peptide action and resistance. *Pharmacol. Rev.* **55**, 27–55 (2003).
- Kim, H. J., Lee, N. K., Paik, H. D. & Lee, D. S. Migration of bacteriocin from bacteriocin-coated film and its antimicrobial activity. *Food Sci. and Biotechnol.* **9**, 325–329 (2000).
- Lee, C. H., An, D. S., Lee, S. C., Park, H. J. & Lee, D. S. A coating for use as an antimicrobial and antioxidative packaging material incorporating nisin and α -tocopherol. *J. Food Eng.* **62**, 323–329 (2004).
- Quintavalla, S. & Vicini, L. Antimicrobial food packaging in meat industry. *Meat Sci.* **62**, 373–380 (2002).
- Dawson, P. L., Carl, G. D., Acton, J. C. & Han, I. Y. Effect of lauric acid and nisin impregnated soy-based films on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on turkey bologna. *Poult. Sci.* **81**, 721–726 (2002).
- Ming, X., Weber, G. H., Ayres, J. W. & Sandine, W. E. Bacteriocins applied to food packaging materials to inhibit *Listeria monocytogenes* on meats. *J. Food Sci.* **62**, 413–415 (1997).
- Durham-Colleran, M. W., Verhoeven, A. B. & van Hoek, M. L. *Francisella novicida* forms *in vitro* biofilms mediated by an orphan response regulator. *Microb. Ecol.* **59**, 457–465 (2010).
- Overhage, J. *et al.* Human host defense peptide LL-37 prevents bacterial biofilm formation. *Infect. Immun.* **76**, 4176–4182 (2008).
- Mataraci, E. & Dosler, S. *In vitro* activities of antibiotics and antimicrobial cationic peptides alone and in combination against methicillin resistance *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **56**, 6366–6371 (2012).
- David, G. F., Herbert, J. & Wright, G. D. The ultrastructure of the pineal ganglion in the ferret. *J. Anat.* **115**, 79–97 (1973).
- Mangoni, M. L. *et al.* Structure–activity relationship, conformational and biological studies of temporin L analogues. *J. Med. Chem.* **54**, 1298–1307 (2011).
- Joshi, S. *et al.* Interaction studies of novel cell selective antimicrobial peptides with model membranes and *E. coli* ATCC 11775. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1798**, 1864–1875 (2010).
- Ibrahim, H. R., Sugimoto, Y. & Aoki, T. Ovotransferrin antimicrobial peptide (OTAP-92) kills bacteria through a membrane damage mechanism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1523**, 196–205 (2000).
- Franklin, N. B., Cooksey, K. D. & Getty, K. J. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* on the surface of individually packaged hot dogs with a packaging film coating containing nisin. *J. Food Prot.* **67**, 480–485 (2004).

Acknowledgements

A special thanks to Mr. Anil Theophilus for his help in Electron microscopy. LC and GS acknowledge their fellowships from DST and CSIR, Government of India, respectively.

Author Contributions

D.K.S. conceived and designed the experiments. L.C., G.S. and K.J. carried out the experiments. L.C. and D.K.S. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Chopra, L. *et al.* Sonorensin: A new bacteriocin with potential of an anti-biofilm agent and a food biopreservative. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13412; doi: 10.1038/srep13412 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>