SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Towards highest peak intensities for ultra-short MeV-range ion bunches

Received: 25 February 2015

Accepted: 24 June 2015

Published: 27 July 2015

Simon Busold^{1,2}, Dennis Schumacher¹, Christian Brabetz¹, Diana Jahn³, Florian Kroll^{4,5}, Oliver Deppert³, Ulrich Schramm^{4,5}, Thomas E. Cowan^{4,5}, Abel Blažević^{1,2}, Vincent Bagnoud^{1,2} & Markus Roth³

A laser-driven, multi-MeV-range ion beamline has been installed at the GSI Helmholtz center for heavy ion research. The high-power laser PHELIX drives the very short (picosecond) ion acceleration on μm scale, with energies ranging up to 28.4 MeV for protons in a continuous spectrum. The necessary beam shaping behind the source is accomplished by applying magnetic ion lenses like solenoids and quadrupoles and a radiofrequency cavity. Based on the unique beam properties from the laser-driven source, high-current single bunches could be produced and characterized in a recent experiment: At a central energy of 7.8 MeV, up to 5×10^8 protons could be re-focused in time to a FWHM bunch length of $\tau = (462 \pm 40)$ ps via phase focusing. The bunches show a moderate energy spread between 10% and 15% ($\Delta E/E_0$ at FWHM) and are available at 6 m distance to the source und thus separated from the harsh laser-matter interaction environment. These successful experiments represent the basis for developing novel laser-driven ion beamlines and accessing highest peak intensities for ultra-short MeV-range ion bunches.

Laser-based ion acceleration as a source for intense, MeV-range ion bunches is discussed for many possible applications: in the context of fusion science¹, the creation of warm dense matter^{2–4} or as diagnostic tool^{5–7} as well as for medical applications^{8,9}. A well understood and widely-used mechanism for laser-based ion acceleration is the TNSA (target normal sheath acceleration^{10,11}). Typically accelerated protons show excellent beam properties with respect to bunch intensity and emittance¹² and also the feasibility of efficiently accelerating heavier ions could be demonstrated experimentally¹³. However, the beam suffers from a large divergence and continuous broad energy spectrum, while for most applications a collimated bunch with defined energy spread is necessary. First promising results in beam shaping could be achieved via the application of pulsed solenoids^{14,15}, permanent magnetic quadrupoles^{16,17} or laser-triggered microlenses¹⁸.

For the also necessary manipulation of the longitudinal bunch dynamics, injection into a synchronous radiofrequency (rf) field yields high potential and a first conceptual demonstration was performed in Japan^{19,17}. As interest in such novel beamline concepts arises^{20,21}, the German national collaboration LIGHT (Laser Ion Generation, Handling and Transport²²), has built a test beamline at the GSI Helmholtz center for heavy ion research as the central part of the collaboration's agenda. This beamline exploits the TNSA mechanism to provide a very compact proton source with energies currently reaching up to 28.4 MeV. The acceleration is driven by GSI's PHELIX laser (Petawatt High Energy Laser for Ion experiments²³), which is focused at a laser intensity of $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ W/cm}^2$ onto a thin metal foil target (typically

¹GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Planckstr. 1, D-64291 Darmstadt, Germany. ²Helmholtz Institut Jena, Helmholtzweg 4, D-07734 Jena, Germany. ³Technische Universität Darmstadt, Institut für Kernphysik, Schloßgartenstraße 9, D-64289 Darmstadt, Germany. ⁴Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstr. 400, D-01328 Dresden, Germany. ⁵Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.B. (email: s.busold@gsi.de) or M.R. (email: markus.roth@physik.tu-darmstadt.de)

Figure 1. Shown are the accessible source parameters via TNSA (energy-dependent proton distribution function and half opening divergence angle) at the used experimental area Z6 at GSI Darmstadt. Also indicated the effect of spectral filtering via chromatic focusing, in this case with the solenoid adjusted to collimate protons with an energy of 7.8 MeV.

5 or 10 μm thin gold or titanium foils). From the continuous and highly divergent source spectrum a specific energy can be selected and collimated via a pulsed high-field solenoid, which can be operated at up to 9T field strength. The obtained source parameters are in the typical range for TNSA experiments within the given laser and target parameters and it is possible to accelerate more than 10^{12} protons above 4 MeV energy in total with about 10^{10} protons in a 1 MeV energy bin around 10 or 8 MeV. Via chromatic focusing with the pulsed solenoid, up to one third of the protons in such an energy bin can be captured and transported through the beamline within a collimated bunch with still relatively large energy spread (about 20% FWHM). Although thus the overall capture efficiency is on the sub-percent level, still large single-bunch particle numbers above 10^9 can be created. However, the beamline is routinely not operated at its limit and also typical shot-to-shot fluctuations of up to a factor of 2 in particle numbers are observed. This first step of the experiment is described in detail in²⁴ and an illustration given in Fig. 1.

The next step has been the longitudinal phase rotation of the bunch via applied electrical fields within a rf cavity, running at 108.4 MHz and providing a total electrical potential of more than ± 1 MV. Injection of the bunch at a synchronous phase of $\Phi_s = -90$ deg leads to a rotation around the central energy in longitudinal phase space and at a certain rf input power to an efficient energy compression of the bunch; less than 3% energy spread could be achieved in a previous experimental run²⁵ for protons at 9.4 MeV energy and particle numbers larger than 10^9 .

With increasing rf power the bunch can also be ‘over-rotated’ in phase space, leading to a situation of a well-ordered energy distribution within the bunch with the slower particles at the front and the faster particles at the back. Along a further propagation length, the faster will catch up with the slower particles and at one specific distance a minimum in the bunch length will be reached. The mechanism is called *phase focusing* and is illustrated in Fig. 2 together with the alternative operation mode for *energy compression*. While the latter was already demonstrated in a previous run in 2013, finally the phase focusing could be experimentally accomplished recently. This completes the initial commissioning phase of this novel laser-driven ion beamline, available now at GSI and representing the focus of this paper.

The comparative simulations are performed with the TraceWin code from cea²⁶ and use beam parameters, that are adapted to the experimental findings to most precisely model the experiment. The specific parameters used here will be discussed later in context with the experimental results.

Setup and Diagnostics

The mechanism of phase rotation for temporal bunch compression essentially relies on the quite large energy spread of the bunch. Therefore the bunch will quickly defocus again in phase behind the specific focal position. Our simulations predict this focal position to be at 3.45 m behind the cavity (6 m to source) for protons of 7.8 MeV energy and a total applied gap voltage of 0.96 MV. This position was chosen as diagnostic position in the performed experiments and the rf power varied to scan the bunch length at this specific position.

The first part of the experimental setup is the same as described in²⁵: The pulsed solenoid is placed 80 mm behind the laser matter interaction point and collimates a specific proton energy via chromatic focusing (the solenoid field strength can be assumed constant for the proton transition time). A drift leads then to the 550 mm long rf cavity, starting at 2 m to the source and consisting of three acceleration gaps. Behind the rf cavity the beamline is extended up to a diagnostic chamber at 6 m distance to the source: Two permanent magnetic quadrupole doublets (QD) keep the beam transversally confined along

Figure 2. Illustration of phase rotation in longitudinal phase space via applied rf. The input beam is shown for reference in (a) and the two operational modes of interest are depicted in (b) and (c): At an injection phase of $\Phi_s = -90$ deg the bunch is rotated around the central energy by an angle φ in dependency on the rf amplitude U_{rf} . (b) represents the *energy compression* mode, see²⁵ and (c) the *phase focusing* mode, which is described in this paper and leads to (d) a focus of the bunch in the time domain after a certain drift length.

Figure 3. The setup of the current LIGHT beamline at GSI. (a) The PHELIX laser drives the acceleration of a broad proton spectrum up to 28.4 MeV energies via the TNSA mechanism. (b) A specific energy can be selected and collimated by a pulsed high-field solenoid, in this case 7.8 MeV protons, and the bunch is (c) rotated in longitudinal phase space within a rf cavity. Two quadrupole doublets, (d) and (e), provide for the beam transport up to (g), a diagnostic chamber at 6 m distance to the source. (f) represents an optionally third quadrupole doublet for a steeper final focusing of the bunch.

the drift. They are placed at 3.2 m and 5 m distance to the source and consist of 50 mm long, 25 T/m strong permanent magnetic quadrupoles in a Hallbach design. Optionally, for a steeper final focusing a third QD can be inserted, consisting of a (80 mm, 85 T/m) and a (45 mm, 105 T/m) permanent magnetic quadrupole in Hallbach design. A schematic of the full beamline is shown in Fig. 3.

Adjustment and online control of source and machine parameters are available: The current through the pulsed solenoid and the phase and relative voltage of the rf wave within the cavity are monitored on-shot as well as the PHELIX laser parameters, including a high-precision relative timing measurement for the synchronization of laser and rf. Concerning the accelerated proton bunch, the beamline has several possible diagnostic ports for characterization: Transverse beam profile, spectral characteristics and proton numbers can be obtained with dosimetry films in stacked configuration (Radiochromic Imaging

Figure 4. Setup of the beam diagnostics. The bunch hits the fast plastic scintillator at 6 m distance to the TNSA proton source. The full transverse profile of the scintillator is recorded with a camera and a horizontal lineout is imaged to a streak camera. Through a free, centered aperture within the scintillator a part of the beam passes towards the diamond detector for a time-of-flight measurement. Optional to the scintillator, a dosimetry measurement is possible with a stack of radiochromic films.

Spectroscopy²⁷), and the temporal bunch profile measurement is performed with two complementary methods:

On the one hand, the bunch hits a large, fast plastic scintillator (BC-422Q from Saint Gobain, 1% benzene quenching, decay time $\tau = 0.7$ ns). Besides picturing the full scintillator area and thus recording the transverse beam profile with a fast dicam pro (from *pco*), a horizontal lineout at the center of the scintillator is recorded with a streak camera (for visible light, from *Hamamatsu*), using a 50 ns streak time and a resulting temporal resolution of $\Delta\tau = \pm 0.2$ ns. On the other hand, the scintillator has a 1.5 mm diameter central hole to let part of the beam pass through and hit a specially designed fast diamond detector, which consists of a $13\ \mu\text{m}$ thin pcCVD (polycrystalline chemical vapor deposition) diamond plate with an active detection area of $0.8\ \text{mm}^2$. An applied field gradient of $2.3\ \text{V}/\mu\text{m}$ is used to quickly drain the free charges, that are created by the protons (electron-hole pairs) while passing the detector. A special impedance matching results in a calculated signal rise time of the detector of only $\tau = RC \approx 40$ ps. This detector has been developed in collaboration with GSI's detector laboratory and reaches the necessary time resolution. It is connected to a 8 GHz oscilloscope using a minimized cable length for signal transport of less than 0.5 m high-frequency compatible SMA cables.

The arrangement in the diagnostic chamber is illustrated in Fig. 4 and includes relevant dimensions and distances. As the dosimetry measurements with radiochromic film (RCF) could not be done in parallel to the other measurements, particle numbers have not been recorded routinely. However, they could be determined to be at a level of 3×10^8 and constant within the typical shot-to-shot fluctuations from the source ($\pm 50\%$) as observed in previous campaigns^{24,25}.

Bunch characterization. For reference purposes the transported proton bunch was first characterized at the diagnostic position at 6 m behind the source without the rf cavity running. The solenoid was always driven at a peak current of 7.8 kA, resulting in an maximum magnetic field of 6.55 T. This leads via energy selection through chromatic focusing to a central bunch energy of $E_0 = 7.8\ \text{MeV}$ protons. The central part of the bunch is well fitted by a Gaussian with $(21 \pm 3)\%$ energy spread ($\Delta E/E_0$ at FWHM), which is also in good agreement with the results from previous campaigns^{24,25}. Due to expected additional losses in the new transport section the measured particle numbers were slightly lower and in the range of 1.5×10^8 to 5×10^8 protons within FWHM. These values are obtained from the dosimetry measurements and served as input parameters for the comparative simulation studies.

Switching on the rf in the cavity, first an absolute calibration of the synchronous phase Φ_s is necessary to synchronize the laser and the rf and being able to inject the bunch at the correct phase of $\Phi_s = -90$ deg. This was done by scanning the rf phase and diagnosing the bunch with the streak camera and optionally a dipole spectrometer (as described in²⁵). After this absolute calibration of the timing system, the synchronous phase can be adjusted in advance to a precision of $\Delta\Phi_s = \pm 12$ deg and measured on-shot with even $\Delta\Phi_s = \pm 2$ deg, which defines the relative uncertainty for all given values for Φ_s in this paper.

Phase focusing. For the phase focusing experiments, the bunch is injected into the rf field at $\Phi_s \approx -90$ deg synchronous phase, thus efficiently rotated in longitudinal phase space as pictured in

Figure 5. Measured FWHM bunch lengths within the diagnostic chamber at an injection phase into the rf cavity of $\Phi_s = -90$ deg and varying rf amplitude $U_{rf,n}$. The streak data gives an upper boundary only due to the long decay time of the scintillator, while the diamond detector provides a much better time resolution. With respect to the general behavior, both detectors are in very good agreement.

Figure 6. Temporal profile of the shortest obtained bunch with $\tau = (462 \pm 40)$ ps (FWHM), measured by the streak and diamond detector in parallel. Both signals are normalized to maximum value and furthermore this maximum value is shifted to time $t = 0$. Also indicated: The convolution of a Gaussian signal (FWHM = 462 ps) with an exponential decay function ($\tau = 700$ ps), which reproduces the scintillator signal perfectly.

Fig. 2. The bunch length is recorded at the detection position at 6 m behind the source with a streak and a diamond detector and the rf amplitude is varied to find the minimum achievable bunch length. The rf amplitude cannot be measured directly, but is determined by the (known) rf input power and the shunt impedance of the cavity. A comparison to the expected values from the simulations will be done later on and instead an (arbitrarily) normalized rf amplitude $U_{rf,n}$ will be given as the experimental observative, which is directly proportional to the real amplitude.

Scanning the rf power around the value of optimum temporal bunch compression for the given setup reveals the expected minimum as shown in Fig. 5. Both, diamond and streak detector show a consistent behavior. A minimum FWHM pulse length of $\tau = (462 \pm 40)$ ps is measured with the diamond detector. The streak camera in this case is only able to set the upper limit to the pulse length, as it suffers from two major error contributions: a symmetric error through the finite entrance slit width ($\Delta\tau = \pm 200$ ps) and an asymmetric error through the still large signal decay time of the scintillator ($\tau_{decay} = 0.7$ ns according to manufacturer's specification). A direct comparison of the response of both detectors is given in Fig. 6, showing the measured signal at the optimum temporal compression parameters. While both show a rapid

signal rise time, the signal decay is dominated for the streak camera by the slow scintillator decay time and the diamond shows an undershoot oscillation due to intrinsic detector characteristics. Calculating the convolution of a Gaussian with a FWHM of 462 ps and the exponential decay function of the scintillator reproduces the measured signal very good and thus the measurement of the diamond detector can be verified with this complementary measurement via the streak camera. (The convolution pictured in Fig. 6 has an additional slight shift upwards to match the non-zero offset of the experimental data.)

Similarly, the undershoot oscillation of the diamond detector can be identified as a detector intrinsic: For once, an in reality occurring second particle peak 1 ns after the main bunch would be visible in the streak detector, too. Moreover, the oscillating behavior could be identified as an artefact of a resonant circuit within the detector electronics.

Discussion

The 7.8 MeV proton bunch could be temporally re-compressed to less than 500 ps length. Still, this is far more than the original bunch length (approximately 1 ps acceleration time). First, a major reason is the difference in the propagation path for all the particles within the bunch. The pulsed solenoid collects particles from a large solid angle (± 100 mrad) and the covered propagation length while passing through the solenoid is quite different for e.g. a proton passing the solenoid straight on axis or a proton entering at 100 mrad, which is then bent back towards the axis on a spiral trajectory. Further contributions of the same kind are added along the beamline within the different elements and results in a temporal broadening for particles with the exact same energy. These effects are included in the comparative simulation studies, which predict a minimum FWHM pulse length for a proton bunch with a central energy of 7.8 MeV of $\Delta\tau_{\Delta S} \approx 70$ ps just due to the difference in propagation paths.

Secondly, the temporal focus is very sensitive to the experimental parameters (rf phase, rf amplitude and detection position). The practically limited adjustment accuracy needs to be taken into account here: the synchronization jitter of ± 12 deg adds to the total error and especially an increased step size for the rf power scan might reveal a slightly shifted position of the minimum. A more detailed scan is planned for future experiments.

Summary and Outlook. In summary, a worldwide unique laser-driven beamline is now available at GSI, providing highest proton currents due to the unique source parameters via phase focusing. In recent experiments, up to 5×10^8 ($\pm 20\%$) protons could be compressed in time to a bunch length of $\tau = (462 \pm 40)$ ps, thus to a peak particle current of 170 mA. The transverse final focusing was not yet optimized and the minimum transverse beam size at the longitudinal focus position was measured to $3 \times 18 \text{ mm}^2$.

As the next steps in the further development of the LIGHT beamline, an upgrade of the final focusing system is planned to minimize the transverse beam profile and thus access highest bunch intensities. Also the ion species will be varied so that acceleration and beam shaping of carbon and fluorine can be explored. The possibility of efficient acceleration of these ion species has already been demonstrated within the TNSA regime¹³. With these foreseen upgrades, the beamline might enter a comparable parameter regime as the proposed NDCX-II machine²⁸.

Finally, the beamline profits from the unique experimental possibilities at its location at GSI: Also available for combined experiments are the conventional ion beam from the UNILAC accelerator and the high energy laser *nhelix*²⁹ as well as multifold ion beam and plasma diagnostics.

References

- Roth, M. *et al.* Fast ignition by intense laser-accelerated proton beams. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 436–439 (2001). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.436>.
- Patel, P. K. *et al.* Isochoric heating of solid-density matter with an ultrafast proton beam. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 125004 (2003). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.125004>.
- Dyer, G. M. *et al.* Equation-of-state measurement of dense plasmas heated with fast protons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 015002 (2008). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.015002>.
- Pelka, A. *et al.* Ultrafast melting of carbon induced by intense proton beams. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 265701 (2010). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.265701>.
- Karsch, S. *et al.* High-intensity laser induced ion acceleration from heavy-water droplets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 015001 (2003). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.015001>.
- Mackinnon, A. J. *et al.* Proton radiography as an electromagnetic field and density perturbation diagnostic (invited). *Review of Scientific Instruments* **75**, 3531–3536 (2004). URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?RSI/75/3531/1>.
- Roth, M. *et al.* Bright laser-driven neutron source based on the relativistic transparency of solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 044802 (2013). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.044802>.
- Nemoto, K. *et al.* Laser-triggered ion acceleration and table top isotope production. *Applied Physics Letters* **78**, 595–597 (2001). URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?APL/78/595/1>.
- Hofmann, I., ter Vehn, J. M., Yan, X. & Al-Omari, H. Chromatic energy filter and characterization of laser-accelerated proton beams for particle therapy. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **681**, 44–54 (2012). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900212003774>.
- Wilks, S. C. *et al.* Energetic proton generation in ultra-intense laser-solid interactions. *Physics of Plasmas* **8**, 542–549 (2001). URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?PHP/8/542/1>.
- Snively, R. A. *et al.* Intense high-energy proton beams from petawatt-laser irradiation of solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 2945–2948 (2000). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.85.2945>.

12. Cowan, T. E. *et al.* Ultralow emittance, multi-mev proton beams from a laser virtual-cathode plasma accelerator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 204801 (2004). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.204801>.
13. Hegelich, M. *et al.* MeV ion jets from short-pulse-laser interaction with thin foils. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 085002 (2002). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.085002>.
14. Harres, K. *et al.* Beam collimation and transport of quasineutral laser-accelerated protons by a solenoid field. *Physics of Plasmas* **17**, 023107 (2010). URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?PHP/17/023107/1>.
15. Burris-Mog, T. *et al.* Laser accelerated protons captured and transported by a pulse power solenoid. *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **14**, 121301 (2011). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.14.121301>.
16. Schollmeier, M. *et al.* Controlled transport and focusing of laser-accelerated protons with miniature magnetic devices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 055004 (2008). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.055004>.
17. Nishiuchi, M. *et al.* Focusing and spectral enhancement of a repetition-rated, laser-driven, divergent multi-mev proton beam using permanent quadrupole magnets. *Applied Physics Letters* **94**, 061107 (2009). URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?APL/94/061107/1>.
18. Toncian, T. *et al.* Ultrafast laser-driven microlens to focus and energy-select mega-electron volt protons. *Science* **312**, 410–413 (2006). URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/312/5772/410.abstract>; <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/312/5772/410.full.pdf>.
19. Ikegami, M. *et al.* Radial focusing and energy compression of a laser-produced proton beam by a synchronous rf field. *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **12**, 063501 (2009). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.12.063501>.
20. Teng, J. *et al.* Beam collimation and energy spectrum compression of laser-accelerated proton beams using solenoid field and {RF} cavity. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **729**, 399–403 (2013). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900213010577>.
21. Agosteo, S. *et al.* The {LILIA} (laser induced light ions acceleration) experiment at {LNF}. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* **331**, 15–19 (2014). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168583X14001207>. 11th European Conference on Accelerators in Applied Research and Technology.
22. Busold, S. *et al.* Shaping laser accelerated ions for future applications-the light collaboration. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **740**, 94–98 (2014). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900213013715>. Proceedings of the first European Advanced Accelerator Concepts Workshop 2013.
23. Bagnoud, V. *et al.* Commissioning and early experiments of the phelix facility. *Applied Physics B: Lasers and Optics* **100**, 137–150 (2010). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00340-009-3855-7>. 10.1007/s00340-009-3855-7.
24. Busold, S. *et al.* Focusing and transport of high-intensity multi-mev proton bunches from a compact laser-driven source. *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **16**, 101302 (2013). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.16.101302>.
25. Busold, S. *et al.* Commissioning of a compact laser-based proton beam line for high intensity bunches around 10 mev. *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **17**, 031302 (2014). URL <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.17.031302>.
26. cea. Tracewin, <http://irfu.cea.fr/sacm/logiciels/index3.php> (2013). URL <http://irfu.cea.fr/Sacm/logiciels/index3.php>.
27. Nürnberg, F. *et al.* Radiochromic film imaging spectroscopy of laser-accelerated proton beams. *Review of Scientific Instruments* **80**, 033301 (2009). URL <http://link.aip.org/link/?RSI/80/033301/1>.
28. Waldron, W. *et al.* The ndcx-ii engineering design. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* **733**, 226–232 (2014). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900213006694>. 19th International Symposium on Heavy Ion Inertial Fusion August 12–17, 2012, Berkeley, California, {USA}.
29. Schaumann, G. *et al.* High energy heavy ion jets emerging from laser plasma generated by long pulse laser beams from the nhelix laser system at gsi. *Laser and Particle Beams* **23**, 503–512 (2005). URL http://journals.cambridge.org/article_S0263034605050688.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to specially thank the PHELIX laser team, the HF group at GSI as well as M. Träger and M. Kiš from GSI's detector laboratory for their work on the realization of the experimental campaign. LIGHT is partly funded by the Helmholtz Association's ARD program (Accelerator Research and Development).

Author Contributions

M.R. is the principle investigator and project leader. S.B. designed and coordinated the experiment and did the data analysis. F.K. designed the pulsed power supply and operated the pulsed high-field solenoid. C.B. did the laser and target handling. D.J. and O.D. operated the streak and diamond detectors as well as the dosimetry films. D.S. operated the radiofrequency cavity. M.R., U.S. and T.C. supervised the work. A.B., V.B. and D.S. provided technical advice and support, and are coordinators of the project.

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Busold, S. *et al.* Towards highest peak intensities for ultra-short MeV-range ion bunches. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 12459; doi: 10.1038/srep12459 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>