SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Spider wrapping silk fibre architecture arising from its modular soluble protein precursor

Received: 26 February 2015

Accepted: 27 May 2015

Published: 26 June 2015

Marie-Laurence Tremblay^{1,*}, Lingling Xu^{2,2,*}, Thierry Lefèvre³, Muzaddid Sarker¹, Kathleen E. Orrell¹, Jérémie Leclerc³, Qing Meng², Michel Pézolet³, Michèle Auger³, Xiang-Qin Liu¹ & Jan K. Rainey^{1,4}

Spiders store spidroins in their silk glands as high concentration aqueous solutions, spinning these dopes into fibres with outstanding mechanical properties. Aciniform (or wrapping) silk is the toughest spider silk and is devoid of the short amino acid sequence motifs characteristic of the other spidroins. Using solution-state NMR spectroscopy, we demonstrate that the 200 amino acid *Argiope trifasciata* AcSp1 repeat unit contrasts with previously characterized spidroins, adopting a globular 5-helix bundle flanked by intrinsically disordered N- and C-terminal tails. Split-intein-mediated segmental NMR-active isotope-enrichment allowed unambiguous demonstration of modular and malleable “beads-on-a-string” concatemeric behaviour. Concatemers form fibres upon manual drawing with silk-like morphology and mechanical properties, alongside secondary structuring and orientation consistent with native AcSp1 fibres. AcSp1 structural stability varies locally, with the fifth helix denaturing most readily. The structural transition of aciniform spidroin from a mostly α -helical dope to a mixed α -helix/ β -sheet-containing fibre can be directly related to spidroin architecture and stability.

Spiders can produce up to seven types of silk that surpass synthetic materials in ultimate tensile strength (i.e., maximum stress, or force per cross-sectional area, withstood before breaking) and toughness (i.e., energy absorbed before breaking) per unit weight^{1–3}. Spider silk proteins, or spidroins, are large (250–500 kDa) and have a general architecture comprising a repetitive domain, accounting for at least 90% of the total protein sequence, flanked by non-repetitive N- and C-terminal domains. Spidroins are highly soluble in the gland and, when needed, efficiently self-assemble into insoluble fibres⁴. The protein secondary structure also changes during this process, typically from a soluble mixture of random-coil, polyproline-II- helices and/or α -helices to a fibre enriched in β -sheet content but still exhibiting significant disorder^{5–7}.

Aciniform silk is the toughest spider silk and is composed of the protein aciniform spidroin 1 (AcSp1)⁸. It is the primary component of wrapping silk, which is used to wrap and immobilize prey. Present knowledge of spider silk structure and function is heavily based on dragline silk, the strongest of the spider silks⁹. During the transition from the soluble state to the fibre form, dragline silk converts from a disordered state¹⁰, likely exhibiting polyproline-II and transient α -helical character^{7,11}, to a β -sheet microcrystal-rich aggregate^{12,13}. AcSp1 from *Nephila clavipes*, conversely, is ~50% α -helical in the aciniform gland and ~24% α -helical and ~30% β -sheet in the solid fibre⁷. Retention of significant

¹Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. ²Institute of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Donghua University, Shanghai, P.R. China. ³Département de Chimie, Regroupement québécois de recherche sur la fonction, la structure et l'ingénierie des protéines (PROTEO), Centre de recherche sur les matériaux avancés (CERMA) Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada. ⁴Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X.L. (email: paul.liu@dal.ca) or J.R. (email: jan.rainey@dal.ca)

α -helical content in the insoluble form is unique to the aciniform and piriform silks, with piriform silk morphology differing in that it functions in disc form rather than as an isolated fibre^{7,14,15}.

A typical hallmark of spidroins is the presence of small (usually ≤ 10 amino acid) primary structural motifs (GGX, GPGXX, A_n, etc.)^{1,16,17}. These motifs have been directly linked to specific mechanical properties, particularly for dragline silk^{4,13,18}. In contrast to this, AcSp1 is composed of concatenated ~ 200 – 400 amino acid repeat units completely lacking these short motifs⁸. AcSp1 primary and secondary structure as well as fibre mechanical properties therefore differ from the other spidroins and the link between these characteristics remains elusive.

To date, only three spidroin repetitive domain structures have been solved^{19,20} alongside several non-repetitive N- and C-terminal domain structures^{20–26}. The reported repetitive domain structures are all highly similar seven-helix bundles^{19,20}. Two of these are of tubuliform spidroin TuSp1 repeat units 1 and 2²⁰ and the third is of a putative AcSp1 repeat unit¹⁹, all recombinant proteins based upon genes annotated from *Nephila antipodiana*. Tubuliform (or cylindric) spidroin is quite divergent from the other spidroin family members, with a particularly low glycine and elevated serine content. Unlike aciniform spidroin, tubuliform spidroin has also been shown to undergo a complete conversion to β -sheet/random-coil in the fibre without retention of α -helical character⁷. The previously reported structural similarity between AcSp1 and TuSp1 is therefore unexpected.

Here, we use solution-state NMR spectroscopy to determine the atomic-level structure and dynamics of recombinant AcSp1 based upon the *Argiope trifasciata* spidroin. In the native form, this AcSp1 protein is a concatemer of a 200 amino acid repeat unit (referred to as the W unit herein) iterated at least 14 times and flanked by non-repetitive C-terminal and, putatively, N-terminal domains^{8,27}. We demonstrate the AcSp1 structure to be unlike the previously determined spidroin repeat unit structures and, in addition, validate and present the AcSp1 repeat domain in the structural context of the concatemer. Fibres may be readily drawn from our concatemer NMR samples, with morphology and secondary structure properties highly similar to native AcSp1 fibres from *Argiope aurantia* and mechanical properties approaching those of native silk.

Results

The Structure of W₁. The soluble form of the W unit (W₁: 199 amino acids, lacking the C-terminal serine of the 200 amino acid repeat) exhibits a well-folded and tightly packed ellipsoidally-shaped helical core over residues 12–149 flanked by unstructured tails (Fig. 1). Heteronuclear ¹H-¹⁵N nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) enhancement factors²⁸ are indicative of a rigid protein core, reflected by positive enhancement factors, and flexible dynamic tails, reflected by negative or near-zero enhancement factors (Figs 1b and 2c), corroborating the localization of folded vs. disordered domains^{29,30}. The disordered tails of W₁ are also evident both from a lack of inter-residue ¹H-¹H NOE restraints³¹ and according to chemical shift-derived TALOS+ dihedral angle assignments³² (Supplementary Figs. S1a and S2). The solution-state W₁ structure therefore comprises a compact, predominantly helical globular core with intrinsically disordered N- and C-terminal tails.

Although chemical shift patterns are indicative of ~ 6 α -helices³³, our structural refinement (Table 1) using ¹H-¹H NOE-based distance restraints with >20 NOE contacts per residue over most of the globular region (Supplementary Fig. S1), coupled with TALOS+³² dihedral angle restraints (Supplementary Figs. S2 and S3) and hydrogen-deuterium exchange-derived hydrogen bond restraints³⁴ (Supplementary Figs. S1b and S3), demonstrates 5 α -helical regions. Despite the lack of a continuous helix in the putative helix 2 of the original topology³³, $\sim 65\%$ of residues in the S40-G60 stretch adopt α -helical ϕ and ψ dihedral angles (Supplementary Fig. S4). The observation of chemical shifts implying α -helical structure is, therefore, not unreasonable. As a whole, this segment of W₁ is well converged and structured, with localized helical, β - and γ -turns located in the core of the protein. Also supporting this non-canonical structuring, the N-terminal region of this segment includes several residues exhibiting chemical shifts outside the statistical norm³³. Further evidence for a five-helix structural topology is clear both on the basis of regions exhibiting expected³¹ α -helical NOE connection patterns (Supplementary Fig. S3) and in direct analysis of the final ensemble of NMR structures. Also of note, the two phenylalanines in the central helix (F90 and F95) are mildly solvent exposed on opposing sides of W₁ while the other 8 aromatic amino acids fall in the top 25% most solvent exposed residues over the NMR ensemble (Supplementary Fig. S5). Exposure of aromatic moieties in this manner may promote protein-protein association during fibre self-assembly.

Effect of Concatenation upon the W Unit. To investigate the effect of concatenation of the W unit upon protein structuring and dynamics by NMR, concatemers of two W units (W₂) were studied. To unambiguously distinguish each W unit, selective enrichment of one of the two W units with NMR-active ¹³C- and/or ¹⁵N-isotopes was carried out using split-intein-mediated *trans*-splicing^{35,36}. This was feasible by separately expressing fusion proteins of one W unit with the appropriate *Ssp* GyrB split-intein³⁷ fragment in *E. coli* and *trans*-splicing the two W units together to produce a concatemer linked by a native peptide bond (Fig. 3).

¹H-¹⁵N HSQC experiments for W₁ and for each W unit in W₂ demonstrate strikingly similar chemical shift patterns (Figs 2a,b and Supplementary Fig. S6). Quantitative backbone chemical shift comparison³⁸ provides further insight, clearly demonstrating that only the residues immediately adjacent to the

Figure 1. Solution-state NMR structure of W1. (a) Overlay of 20 lowest energy members of the NMR ensemble. Each helix in the converged domain is coloured differently, as annotated directly on the figure; the intrinsically disordered portions excluded from r.m.s.d. calculations are in green. (b) Heteronuclear ^1H - ^{15}N NOE enhancement factors represented on the W_1 lowest energy structure (bar graph in Fig. 2c). (c) The lowest energy structure coloured according to the Kyte-Doolittle hydrophobicity scale⁷² shown in ribbon/stick (inset) representations.

covalent link between W units differ between W_1 and W_2 (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. S6a). Echoing this behaviour, W unit independence likely extends to the 3-unit concatemer (W_3), as the 1D ^1H -NMR spectra of W_1 , W_2 and W_3 are practically indistinguishable with exception of intensity, which increases in direct proportion to the number of W units present in the concatemer (Supplementary Fig. S6b).

The steady-state heteronuclear ^1H - ^{15}N NOE enhancement factor provides a position-specific probe³⁹ of intramolecular dynamics because it is highly sensitive to the local effective correlation time^{28,40}. Amide H-N bonds exhibiting a heteronuclear NOE enhancement factor of 0.65 or greater are typically attributed to regions of the protein that experience minimal internal motion faster than the ~5–20 ns rotational correlation time typical of a protein⁴¹, although it should be noted that the exact value of enhancement factor used as a cut-off to identify internal motion depends upon the system in question⁴². Comparison of heteronuclear ^1H - ^{15}N NOE enhancement factors as a function of backbone position in each W unit of W_2 relative to W_1 demonstrates that, in all cases, the helical domain exhibits high enhancement factors typical of tumbling as a globular protein domain while the first 11 and last 50 residues of each W unit display decreased enhancement factors typical of internal motion on the ps-ns time scale (Fig. 2c). Logically, concatenation produces some apparent damping of the dynamics in W_2 at the link between units vs. at the termini. In all cases, the N- and C-terminal portions of the repeat domain retain the elevated dynamics expected of an intrinsically disordered segment³⁰.

Hydrodynamics of AcSp1. To better characterize AcSp1 architecture, translational diffusion coefficient (D_C) values for W_1 , W_2 and W_3 were determined using pulsed field gradient diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY)⁴³. For comparison, dynamic light scattering (DLS) was used to determine the hydrodynamic diameter (d_H) of each W species. The observed D_C of W_1 was in good agreement with the NMR-derived structural ensemble (Supplementary Table S1). No component to the scattering decay curve attributable to a monomeric species was observed for filtered W_1 in acetate buffer by DLS, where the predominant species giving rise to scattering appeared to be nanoparticles of ~100–200 nm

Figure 2. Modularity of the repeat unit of AcSp1. (a) Overlay of ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC experiments for W₁ (green) and W₂ concatemers with first (blue; W₂₋₁) or second (red; W₂₋₂) W unit ¹⁵N-enriched. (b) Combined chemical shift difference between W₂ and W₁ (W₂₋₁ blue; W₂₋₂ red; data are presented in Supplementary Fig. S6). (c) Heteronuclear ¹H-¹⁵N NOE enhancement factors for W₁ (green), W₂₋₁ (blue), and W₂₋₂ (red). (d) Schematic of secondary structure as a function of sequence based upon DSSP⁶³ secondary structure assessment of the 20-member ensemble in Fig. 1.

size consistent with our previous DLS studies of W₁ in phosphate buffer⁴⁴. The source of this discrepancy between NMR (where a 100–200 nm particle would be unobservable by standard solution-state methodology) and DLS (where only the large species is observed) remains elusive. W₂ and W₃, conversely, exhibited clear scattering from monomeric species. The d_H for W₂ agrees outstandingly well with that inferred from the viscosity-corrected⁴⁵ D_C while the d_H of W₃ is inferred to be slightly more compact by DLS than by DOSY (Supplementary Table S1). As a whole, the hydrodynamic properties of W₂ and W₃ are highly consistent between DOSY and DLS, while those of W₁ agree between DOSY and the high-resolution structural ensemble.

Concatemeric Structural Ensembles. DOSY-derived D_C values were used to infer⁴⁶ a radius-of-gyration (R_g) for each AcSp1 species (Supplementary Table S1). Calculation of a W₁ structural ensemble was carried out with addition of an R_g restraint⁴⁷, using restraint weighting appropriate to ensure that other NMR-derived restraints were not violated. The incorporation of R_g as a restraint for W₁ led to no significant change in the averaged hydrodynamic properties of the structural ensemble (Supplementary Table S1). Based upon the outstanding agreement between W₁ and W₂ backbone chemical shifts (Fig. 2), W₂ and W₃ structural ensembles (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table S1) were calculated using concatenated sets of the W₁ NMR restraints (Table 1). Unlike the observation with W₁, the levels of agreement between the measured D_C and that inferred from structural ensembles for W₂ and W₃ improved with

NMR distance and dihedral constraints	
Total NOE distance constraints	5241
Intra-residue	1470
Inter-residue	
Sequential ($ i-j = 1$)	1368
Medium-range ($ i-j < 4$)	908
Long-range ($ i-j > 5$)	846
Ambiguous	649
Hydrogen bond distance constraints	25
Total dihedral angle restraints	201
Phi	107
Psi	94
Structural ensemble statistics	
Violations (mean and s.d.)	
Distance constraints (Å)	0.07 ± 0.05
Dihedral angle constraints (°)	1.22 ± 1.01
Max. dihedral angle violation (°)	4.38 ± 1.20
Max. distance constraint violation (Å)	0.49 ± 0.16
Deviations from idealized geometry	
Bond lengths (Å)	1.026 ± 0.002
Bond angles (°)	0.302 ± 0.007
Impropers (°)	0.461 ± 0.018
Average pairwise r.m.s.d.(Å)	
Heavy	1.32 ± 0.15
Backbone	0.88 ± 0.18

Table 1. NMR and refinement statistics for W_1 . ^aPairwise r.m.s.d. for backbone and heavy atom were obtained for the 20 water refined lowest energy structures superposed over the globular core from residues 12–140.

addition R_g restraints⁴⁷ (Supplementary Table S1) without increased violation of the other NMR-derived restraints. The W_2 and W_3 structural ensembles calculated incorporating R_g showed a general increase in compactness in comparison to those without.

Properties of W_2 Fibres. The functional relevance of the NMR conditions for fibre formation was tested based on our previous demonstration that silk-like fibres can be manually drawn from solutions of concatemers comprising 2 to 4 W units⁴⁸. Fibres with $\sim 1.5 \mu\text{m}$ diameter and a surface morphology of smaller fibrils aligned parallel to the fibre long axis (Fig. 5), consistent with our previous recombinant W fibre characterization, could be readily drawn from NMR samples of W_2 proteins. Interestingly, the strength and toughness of recombinant W fibres appears approximately proportional to concatemer size (Table 2), with W_2 (whether produced intact or intein-spliced) exhibiting values $\sim$ half those of W_4 fibres⁴⁸ and ~ 5 – 10% those of native *A. trifasciata* aciniform silk (W_{14} or larger, plus non-repetitive domain(s)⁸).

Comparison of polarized Raman spectromicroscopy of W_2 fibres and of natural aciniform silk fibres from *Argiope aurantia* demonstrates very close agreement in amino acid composition, secondary structure and molecular orientation (Fig. 6). Curve-fitting of the amide I band of the orientation-insensitive spectra¹¹ demonstrates a significant decrease in α -helicity and appearance of β -sheet character in W_2 fibres relative to the solution-state structure, highly consistent with wrapping silk fibres produced by both *A. aurantia* (decomposition in Supplementary Fig. S7) and *N. clavipes*⁷ (Table 3). Analysis of relative amide I and amide III band peak height in the XX and ZZ polarized Raman spectra demonstrates that the α -helices and β -sheets are predominantly aligned along the fibre axis.

Local Stability Variation Within the W unit. Fibre formation by W_2 is inhibited by the addition of the chaotropic reagents urea and guanidinium chloride (GdmCl) or the zwitterionic detergent dodecylphosphocholine (DPC) above its critical micelle concentration (CMC $\approx 1.1 \text{ mM}$ ⁴⁹). Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy demonstrates complete denaturation of W_1 and W_2 upon titration with both chaotropes (Supplementary Figs. S8a and b), whereas DPC-induced changes are subtle at the global

Figure 3. Segmental labelling of W_2 by split-intein-mediated *trans*-splicing (I_N and I_C refer to N- and C-terminal intein fragments, respectively). In the illustrated splicing scheme, the $W_1 I_N$ fusion protein is enriched with NMR active isotopes (blue), while the $I_C W_1$ fusion protein is at natural abundance (grey). In the illustrated SDS-PAGE gel, splicing products were passed through a Ni-NTA column, allowing the spliced W_2 to be collected in the flow-through while all other proteins were His₆-tagged and thus retained in the column.

level reflected by CD spectroscopy (Supplementary Figs. S8c and d) but drastic at the backbone amide level observed by NMR spectroscopy once the CMC is exceeded (Supplementary Figs. S9 and S10). To rule out effects of ionic strength vs. the chaotrope activity of GdmCl, a control titration was carried out for W_1 using NaCl (Supplementary Fig. S11). Ionic strength-dependent chemical shift perturbation is clearly apparent, but the typical W_1 HSQC spectral pattern is maintained with NaCl, unlike with GdmCl, where a contraction in cross-peak dispersion consistent with denaturation is observed.

In each of the chaotrope and DPC titrations, helix 5 (residues 135–149, yellow in Fig. 1a) and the residues located directly underneath it are the most readily perturbed portions of the W unit (Fig. 7 and Supplementary Fig. S9). Correspondingly, of all helical segments in W_1 , helix 5 was the least protected from H/D exchange in buffer (Supplementary Fig. S1b). In converse to the perturbation at helix 5, even at the DPC endpoint, the W_2 linker region remained unperturbed (Supplementary Fig. S10). The propensity of the fifth helix towards unfolding may be rooted in its primary structure, given that a variety of sequence-based analysis algorithms predict the entire stretch of W_1 over residues 125–199, including helix 5, to be intrinsically disordered (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Discussion

The mechanical properties of spider silks are predominantly linked to the spidroin repetitive domain. Comparison of repetitive domain structuring before and after fibre formation is fundamental to understanding both the exceptional mechanical properties of spider silks and their self-assembly. We present the structure of the repeat unit of the toughest spider silk, aciniform silk, from *A. trifasciata*. The observed globular 5-helix W_1 architecture has no structural resemblance (Supplementary Fig. S12) to the 7-helix bundle previously reported for tubuliform spidroin repetitive unit and for a truncated, putative aciniform spidroin repetitive unit. These latter two spidroin repetitive units were both identified from an expressed sequence tag library from *N. antipodiana* and share only ~27% and 22% pairwise sequence identity with W_1 , respectively¹⁹. W unit structuring generally appears insensitive to conditions with robust refolding following thermal denaturation⁴⁴; therefore, the observed difference in fold between W unit and the other spidroin repetitive units seems unlikely to be caused by differences in experimental conditions. Rather, this appears to be a fundamental architectural difference with as yet unknown source.

In each of the previous studies, only isolated repeats were employed and the impact of repeat unit concatenation was not investigated at the atomic level or discussed. Chemical shift and backbone amide dynamics comparison, alongside hydrodynamics characterization, allowed us to demonstrate that the repeat units in W_1 and W_2 are structurally indistinguishable and that there are no detectable persistent interactions between the two repeat units in W_2 . Modularity of behaviour also extends to W_3 , implying that the W_1 structure is representative of the repeat unit structure in the context of a large, multiple-repeat

Figure 4. Structural ensembles (semi-transparent colouring) underlying the representative structure (solid colouring; R_g closest to that determined by DOSY NMR) of W_2 (a) and W_3 (b). Ensembles (10 members shown) were calculated using concatenated sets of W_1 NMR restraints with an R_g restraint estimated from the observed D_C^{DOSY} .

protein. For the first time, we demonstrate the modularity of a spidroin repetitive domain at the atomic level. As a whole, the W_1 unit is a highly tractable module for study, providing direct insight into much larger proteins otherwise infeasible to study at atomic resolution.

Notably, the conditions under which we have characterized W_2 are clearly directly relevant to fibre formation given that fibres formed by manual pulling, including directly from NMR buffer, have architecture and orientation of structural units consistent with natural wrapping silk from *A. aurantia*. Fibres, conversely, cannot be pulled from solutions of W_1 alone⁴⁸. Structural study of the functional W_2 fibre precursor, consisting of identical W_1 19 kDa subunits (with ~50% of content being Gly, Ala and Ser) concatenated into a repetitive 38 kDa protein was possible only through intein-mediated protein *trans*-splicing. As highlighted in recent literature reviews^{50–52}, such a strategy has strong potential for direct characterization of other comparably challenging and interesting systems. The similarity in both structure and dynamics of W_1 and W_2 implies that W_1 is directly representative of the functional AcSp1 repeat unit in the state where it is primed for fibre formation.

Concatemers larger than W_2 tend to oligomerize in solution, with faster rates observed for larger concatemers, precluding extended solution-state NMR studies. W_4 , for example, oligomerized into a visible precipitate during DOSY experiments, rendering NMR-based hydrodynamics characterization infeasible. Structural studies of tubuliform spidroin TuSp1 domains were performed in DPC micelles, as these conditions stabilized the monomeric forms of a variety of TuSp1 constructs²⁰. We had, therefore, hoped that DPC would act to stabilize large W unit concatemers sufficiently for hydrodynamics characterization. Unexpectedly, however, we observed that DPC specifically inhibited fibre formation of W_2 despite minimal structural perturbation evident by CD spectroscopy. This observation, in light of similar inhibition of fibre-formation behaviour upon addition of urea and GdmCl, spurred our investigation of the effects of DPC titration upon W unit structuring. Fortuitously, the NMR spectroscopic behaviour of the W unit in the presence of DPC allowed for much clearer tracking of individual HSQC-based N-H correlations than in chaotrope solutions, allowing unambiguous demonstration of localized perturbation in both W_1 and W_2 .

The observation of a locally destabilized region of the W unit folded domain implies potential for localized structural modulation during fibre formation. Structural transition could be initiated by application of shear forces, for example, during the manual fibre pulling process. Helix 5, which is positioned on the surface of the helical bundle without extensive inter-helical interactions, normally positions the globular bead-like domain proximally to the linker (Fig. 1). Its denaturation and concomitant extension would therefore allow for structural decompaction through decreased constraint upon the linker. Protein-protein interaction would, in turn, be facilitated through an overall increase in surface area and introduction of a longer and more flexible string-like linker with potential to entangle with proximal molecules. Future testing of both the effects of stabilizing this helix (e.g. through replacement with a

Figure 5. W_2 fibre surface observed by SEM (a) and intermittent-contact AFM (b – colour scale for height image shown; boxed region of amplitude image shown at higher resolution to the right).

	W_2		W_4	Native AcSp1
	Non-spliced	Spliced		
Breaking strength (MPa)	66.7 ± 15.8	67.8 ± 9.8	115 ± 24	687 ± 56
Breaking strain	0.31 ± 0.11	0.27 ± 0.01	0.37 ± 0.11	0.86 ± 0.03
Toughness ($J \cdot cm^{-3}$)	18.4 ± 10.4	16.5 ± 6.92	33.8 ± 13.5	376 ± 39
Young's modulus (GPa)	1.69 ± 0.68	1.48 ± 0.68	2.44 ± 0.54	~ 10

Table 2. Mechanical properties for fibres produced using indicated recombinant AcSp1 W_2 protein relative to those previously reported for W_4 ⁴⁸ and native *A. trifasciata*⁸ fibres.

stabilized helix, as employed probing β_2 -microglobulin amyloid formation⁵³) and of holding the helix in place (e.g., with a disulfide, as employed to trap the SH3 domain transition state⁵⁴) would be ideal to provide a more detailed characterization of the role of localized unfolding in aciniform silk fibre formation.

Notably, the W_2 fibre demonstrates a secondary structural transformation from its soluble precursor very similar to that of native wrapping silk of *N. clavipes*. Although the dope has not been characterized in the *A. aurantia* aciniform gland, the W_2 fibre also exhibits outstanding agreement with *A. aurantia* wrapping silk fibre structural properties. In short, partial α -helix to β -sheet transition is observed in all cases upon conversion from the soluble spidroin to fibrous silk state. Chemical shift-based secondary structure propensity (SSP) analysis⁵⁵ implies that the only regions of W_2 with nascent β -strand propensity in the soluble state are found flanking helix 5 and proximal to the junction between W units (Supplementary Fig. S10c). It is therefore probable that β -Sheet formation is seeded on the helix 5 face of the W unit and within the linker between neighbouring W units. A significant portion of the linker, however, likely retains its disordered state given that the final proportion of disorder in the fibre is $\sim 40\%$. The fact that 6 of 8 prolines are found in a 20-residue stretch of the linker (between residue numbers 172 and 191 of the W unit) provides a further, significant constraint against complete conversion of the disordered linker to β -sheet.

Based upon these findings, fibrillogenesis may be hypothesized to take place as follows. First, localized unfolding of helix 5, encouraged by shear forces, decompacts a given W unit, inducing increased intermolecular interactions. Intermolecular β -sheet formation subsequently occurs, perhaps following alleviation of some mechanical force. The resulting fibre consists of helical domains composed of the stable helix 1–4 core of the bead-like globular portion of AcSp1 alongside β -sheet-rich domains. A significant proportion of disorder, or non-canonical secondary structuring, would arise in the linker and, potentially, the structured but non-helical segment between helices 1 and 2 (residues 40–60 of W_1), providing elasticity to the fibre. The end-result would be a fibre composed of a mixture of discrete α -helical and β -sheet domains embedded in a disordered and elastic protein milieu.

In summary, the soluble form of the repetitive domain of the spider wrapping silk protein AcSp1 is composed of globular domains (“beads”) containing 5 helical segments held together by compact but intrinsically disordered linkers (“strings”). This beads-on-a-string architecture was shown unambiguously by independently and selectively enriching each W unit in the two-unit concatemer (W_2) with NMR-active isotopes using split-intein-mediated *trans*-splicing. Comparison of Raman spectra of fibres drawn directly from recombinantly produced W_2 protein samples and of natural *A. aurantia* aciniform

Figure 6. Comparative secondary structuring and orientation observed by polarized Raman spectromicroscopy for the indicated fibre type.

silk fibres demonstrate strong similarity in amino acid composition, secondary structure and molecular orientation. A fibrous wrapping silk architecture composed of discrete oriented α -helix and β -sheet rich modules in a setting of intrinsically disordered protein would logically arise from the observed locally variable helical stability in the soluble form of the AcSp1 repeat unit. The mixture of α -helical, β -sheet and non-canonical secondary structures making up the outstandingly tough spider wrapping silk fibre thus can be related directly to the modular architecture and properties of its soluble precursor protein.

Methods

Protein Expression and Labelling. SUMO- W_1 and - W_2 fusion proteins were constructed as contiguous genes in a modified pET32 plasmid, expressed, labelled with NMR active isotopes, cleaved using SUMO protease and reverse purified as previously described^{33,48}. For segmental-labelling, two constructs containing the N-precursor (W_1I_N : W_1 + intein N-fragment (I_N) + His₆ tag) and C-precursor (I_CW_1 : His₆ tag + intein C-fragment (I_C) + W_1 ; this fusion protein required addition of urea for solubilisation at all stages from lysis (4M) through to purification (2M)) were constructed for use with the split-intein *Ssp* GyrB³⁷. N- and C-precursors were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. To segmentally-label W_2 proteins through intein *trans*-splicing, excess of the unlabelled N- or C-precursor was mixed with the corresponding isotope-enriched C- or N- precursor, respectively, to make efficient use of isotope enrichment. The splicing reaction was carried out in purification elution buffer (50 mM sodium phos-

	Dope ^a		Fibre ^a		
	W_2	<i>N. clavipes</i>	W_2	<i>A. aurantia</i>	<i>N. clavipes</i>
α -helix (%)	40	49	32	33	24
β -sheet (%)	0	0	28	27	30

Table 3. Comparison of secondary structure for recombinant vs. native AcSp1 dope and fibres.

^aProportions in W_2 in dope are based upon the ensemble of W_1 structures determined in NMR buffer, given that W_2 in NMR buffer is fibre-forming-competent. All other proportions are based upon amide I band orientation-insensitive Raman spectral decomposition. The uncertainty on the values is $\pm 3\%$ based on the reproducibility of the experiments and curve-fitting procedure¹¹. *N. clavipes* results were previously published⁷ and are provided for comparison. Decomposition of W_2 and *A. aurantia* fibres is detailed in Supplementary Fig. S7.

phate, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) with 1 mM DTT at 4°C for > 6 hours. The mixture was then dialyzed against 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5 at 4°C for > 2 hours and reverse purified by passing through Ni-NTA Sepharose. Any remaining unreacted precursors and all intein fragments had His₆ tags and were thus trapped, leaving the tag free W_2 protein to flow through the column. Splicing and purification efficiencies were analysed by SDS-PAGE and visualized by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250.

Figure 7. Site-specific perturbation of W_1 upon denaturation or detergent treatment. (a) Normalized combined chemical shift displacement (CSD)³⁸ as a function of amino acid position in W_1 for titrations with the detergent dodecylphosphocholine (DPC; 20 mM endpoint) or the indicated chaotropic denaturant (2.5 M urea or 0.8 M GdmCl titration points). (b) Representation by thickness and colour of average CSD caused by urea, GdmCl and DPC on a cartoon representation of the lowest-energy member of the W_1 structural ensemble.

NMR Spectroscopy. W_1 (~0.2 mM) NMR experiments in NMR buffer (20 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM 2,2-dimethyl-2-sila-pentane-5-sulfonic acid (DSS), 1 mM NaN_3 ; pH 5) in $\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{D}_2\text{O}$ at 9:1 (v:v) were acquired, processed and assigned as previously described³³ with the addition of an aromatic ^{13}C -edited NOESY-HSQC (mixing time: 85 ms). Backbone NMR experiments were acquired for $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ enriched segmentally-labelled W_2 proteins (~0.2–0.3 mM in NMR buffer) using a 16.4 T Avance III spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm TCI cryoprobe (Bruker, Milton, ON, Canada) at 303.15 K in the same manner as for W_1 . ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC experiments were used to monitor H/D exchange at 0 h (control), 6 h and 40 h time point at 16.4 T with 24 scans, 2048 and 192 points in the ^1H and ^{15}N dimensions respectively, and a recovery delay of 1.5 s. H/D exchange was performed by replacement of the original NMR buffer in 90% H_2O and 10% D_2O with NMR buffer in 100% D_2O using centrifugal 15 mL spin dialysis filters (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). Heteronuclear NOE enhancement factors were measured using the standard Bruker sensitivity enhanced ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC experiment with saturation during the recycle delay performed in an interleaved manner for recording relative NOE enhanced vs. unenhanced signal intensity (hscqnoef3gps3d; d1 of 5 s). Enhancement factors are reported as $I(\text{sat})/I(\text{ref})$, where $I(\text{sat})$ is a given peak height under saturation and $I(\text{ref})$ the corresponding height without. Experimental data were processed with NMRPipe⁵⁶ and assigned via CcpNmr Analysis⁵⁷. Combined chemical shift displacements³⁸ for each residue in each W unit in W_2 relative to W_1 were calculated using the backbone H, N, CA, and CO chemical shifts weighted by gyromagnetic ratio.

W_1 Restraint Refinement and Structure Calculation. NOE-derived distance restraints for W_1 were assigned in CcpNmr Analysis⁵⁷ for the following spectra: a ^{15}N -edited NOESY-HSQC, a ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC-NOESY- ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC, a ^{13}C -edited NOESY-HSQC, and an aromatic ^{13}C -edited NOESY-HSQC. Dihedral angle restraints were produced using TALOS+³². H-bond restraints were generated on the basis of assigned ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC peaks remaining following 6 h of H/D exchange based on the premise that backbone amide peaks resistant to exchange are located in helical regions³⁴. Highly ambiguous NOEs were filtered with ARIA 2.1⁵⁸, using NOE distances and TALOS+ dihedral restraints. In total, 8 iterations were performed in ARIA, folding the structure in torsional space, and employing network anchoring for the first 3 iterations. 40 structures were calculated, saving the 15 lowest energy structures for the next round, with the exception of the final iteration where 100 structures were calculated and the 20 lowest energy structures were imported into Analysis. Automatically assigned ambiguous NOEs were manually checked and ambiguity was reintroduced based on a 20 Å distance cut-off.

Following ARIA refinement, an NOE restraint list was generated for structure calculation with Xplor-NIH 2.32 employing the RAMA multi-dimensional torsion angle database potential term⁵⁹. Dihedral and H-bond restraints were also employed (final restraints summarized in Table 1). During iterative Xplor-NIH restraint refinement, NOE restraints were heavily weighted until the structure converged; the weight of dihedral angle restraints was then increased, followed by H-bonds, until the energies began to increase for each class of restraint. Following ensemble calculation with the final set of restraints, water refinement was performed using Xplor-NIH⁵⁶. The 20 lowest energy structures out of 100 calculated in this manner were retained for the final ensemble and visualized using Chimera⁶⁰ and VMD⁶¹. PROCHECK-NMR⁶², in-house tcl/tk scripts (freely available upon request), and DSSP⁶³ were

used to assess structure quality, restraint violations and structural features, respectively. The average backbone and all-heavy atom r.m.s.d. values were calculated relative to the lowest energy structure using VMD⁵⁹.

DOSY-Based Hydrodynamics Measurements. Translational diffusion coefficient (D_C) values for W_1 , W_2 and W_3 proteins (0.2 mM in NMR buffer, 1 mM DSS and 1 mM NaN_3 at pH 5 ($\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{D}_2\text{O} = 9:1$); 0.06% dioxane as an internal viscosity control⁵⁶) were determined at 303.15 K from ^1H diffusion ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) experiments employing pulsed field gradient (PFG) NMR⁴³ using an 11.7 T Avance NMR spectrometer equipped with a z-axis gradient and a BBFO SmartProbe (Bruker Canada). DOSY (64 scans, sweep width 12 ppm, relaxation delay incorporating presaturation 2 s) employed stimulated echo and longitudinal eddy current delay (LED) with bipolar gradient pulses and two spoil gradients⁶⁴. The envelope of ^1H signals was attenuated by increasing the gradient strength from 2% to 95% in 16 steps. The observed signal intensity as a function of gradient strength was fit using a single component exponential fit of the signal decay and the D_C was determined from the fit using the simfit program within the T_1/T_2 Relaxation module of Bruker Topspin 3.1 using the Stejskal-Tanner formula⁶⁵:

$$I = I(0) \exp[-D_C \times (2\pi\gamma g \delta)^2 \times (\Delta - \delta/3) \times 10^4] \quad (1)$$

where I is the observed signal intensity, $I(0)$ is the back-calculated unattenuated signal intensity, γ is the gyromagnetic ratio of ^1H (4257.7 Hz/G), g is the gradient strength (based on maximum amplitude 53.5 G/cm at 100%), δ is the gradient pulse length (8 ms), and Δ is the diffusion time (100 ms). The radius-of-gyration (R_g , in Å) is calculated as⁴⁶:

$$R_g = 5.78 \times 10^{-8} (T/\eta D_C) \quad (2)$$

where T is the temperature (in K), η is the viscosity (in cP) estimated using the experimentally observed D_C of a dioxane internal standard⁴⁵, and D_C is the observed diffusion coefficient (in cm^2/s). Protein hydrodynamic diameter (d_H) was inferred from the DOSY-based D_C (D_C^{protein}) and corrected for viscosity using the relationship:

$$d_H^{\text{protein}} = (D_C^{\text{dioxane}} / D_C^{\text{protein}}) \times d_H^{\text{dioxane}} \quad (3)$$

where D_C^{dioxane} is the measured DOSY-based D_C of the dioxane internal standard in a given sample and d_H^{dioxane} is the known hydrodynamic diameter of dioxane (0.424 nm)⁴⁵.

DLS-Based Hydrodynamics Measurements. DLS was carried out at an angle of 173° using a 633 nm He-Ne laser using a Zetasizer nano ZS (Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) as described previously⁴⁴. Scattering of filtered (0.45 μm , EMD Millipore) W_1 , W_2 or W_3 at both equimolar ($\sim 20 \mu\text{M}$) and at $\sim$ equivalent W-unit concentrations (56 μM W_1 , 28 μM W_2 and 20 μM W_3) in NMR buffer was measured (in duplicate) in disposable, 10-mm path length, polystyrene cuvettes (Sarstedt, Montréal, QC, Canada) at 30°C . Duplicate measurements were made using an automated attenuator 4.65 mm away from the cuvette wall and the resulting autocorrelation function obtained was analysed using Zetasizer software ver. 7.10 under the Protein Analysis Model to determine the average d_H of the primary species in each W sample.

W_2 and W_3 Structure Calculation. The W_1 NOE, H-bond and dihedral angle restraints from the final round of structure calculations (summarized in Table 1) were propagated over residues 200–400 (W_2 and W_3) and 400–600 (W_3) to generate restraint files for W_2 and W_3 . 100-member structural ensembles were calculated in the same manner as for W_1 without water refinement using Xplor-NIH 2.32⁵⁹ under the final simulating annealing energy weights used for W_1 with visualization in Chimera⁶⁰. Direct comparison of the lowest energy 20 ensemble members produced either in the absence of or with DOSY-derived R_g restraints (the scaling of R_g in the simulated annealing potential⁵⁹, determined iteratively, was the maximum which did not induce a major increase in overall energy) was performed. An in-house python script was used to test for restraint violations. HYDROPRO⁶⁶ was used to calculate the D_C of each ensemble member based upon its coordinate file, allowing direct comparison of DOSY derived D_C to the ensemble-average behaviour.

W_2 Fibre Formation and Mechanical Properties. W_2 fibres were pulled from 20–200 μM W_2 protein dissolved either in 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5) or in NMR buffer, as previously described⁴⁸. Before tensile strength testing, the diameter of each fibre was measured using light microscopy at 400 $\times$ magnification. Three micrographs were employed for each fibre, two taken near each end and one in the $\sim$ middle of the fibre. From each micrograph, three locations were analysed using ImageJ 1.47 v⁶⁷ to determine the diameter of the fibre and the resulting nine diameter estimates for each fibre were averaged. The cross sectional area of each fibre was calculated, assuming that the fibres were circular in cross-section.

Tensile strengths of fibres were measured at $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and $\sim 40\%$ humidity, using an Agilent T150 UTM, following previously reported procedures⁴⁸.

Electron and Atomic Force Microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (S-4700, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) was used to observe fibre surfaces at 3 kV. Fibres were fixed on conductive adhesive tape glued onto an SEM stub and then coated with gold particles by an SC7620 mini sputter coater (Quorum Technologies, East Sussex, UK) before SEM imaging. For atomic force microscopy (AFM), a $\sim 5\mu\text{L}$ drop of a given protein solution in phosphate buffer ($[W_2] \sim 20\mu\text{M}$) or in NMR buffer ($[W_2] \sim 200\mu\text{M}$) was deposited onto a clean glass microscope slide. A $\sim 1\text{ cm}$ long fibre was pulled from the solution and placed back onto the slide, then allowed to air-dry at ambient temperature and pressure. Dry fibres were imaged in intermittent contact mode ($22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, at $47 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity) using an atomic force microscope (NanoWizard II Ultra, JPK, Berlin, Germany) mounted on an inverted optical microscope (Axio Observer A1, Carl Zeiss Canada, Toronto, Canada). Cantilevers with $\sim 300\text{ kHz}$ resonance frequency and force constant of 40 N/m with a tip height of $17\mu\text{m}$ and nominal radius of curvature of $< 10\text{ nm}$ at the tip were employed (Tap 300-G, Budget Sensors, Sofia, Bulgaria). AFM image files were processed using v3.3.32 of the NanoWizard IP software (JPK).

Raman Spectromicroscopy of Fibres. W_2 fibres produced and prepared as described above were fixed onto glass slides by taping two ends and middle section of the fibres for Raman spectromicroscopy. *A. aurantia* spiders (collected in Florida, USA) were farmed in $20 \times 50 \times 60\text{ cm}$ cages at $58 \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) and $24 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, fed four times weekly with small crickets and weekly with 3 droplets of 10% w/v glucose solution. *A. aurantia* wrapping silk fibres were directly reeled by the spiders around small plastic caps for spectromicroscopy. Spectra were obtained at $22.0 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ and $20 \pm 5\%$ RH using a LABRAM 800HR Raman spectrometer (Horiba Jobin Yvon, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France) coupled to a BX30 (Olympus, Richmond Hill, ON, Canada) fixed stage microscope. An Ar^+ laser (514 nm ; 50 mW) was focused through a $100\times$ objective lens onto a given fibre. To obtain information about molecular orientation, four polarized spectra, labelled XX, XZ, ZX and ZZ, were recorded⁶⁸. The first and second letters indicate the polarization of the incident and scattered radiation, respectively, where Z corresponds to the fibre long-axis and X to the perpendicular direction. $2 \times 15\text{ sec}$ acquisitions were collected 3–5 times at 5 positions on 3 W_2 fibres. Reported spectral data are the average of these acquisitions. A similar procedure was applied to natural fibres, with the polarized spectra resulting from the average of ~ 12 acquisitions. Spectral manipulations were performed using GRAMS/AI 7.0 (ThermoGalactic, Salem, NH). The spectra were baseline-corrected using a cubic function, 5-point smoothed, and averaged for each fibre. The orientation-insensitive spectrum was calculated and the amide I band decomposed to estimate the content of α -helices and β -sheets, as described previously^{11,69}.

Titration-Induced Protein Unfolding. To monitor the global folded state, far-UV CD spectra of W_1 and W_2 protein samples ($9\text{--}20\mu\text{M}$ in 20 mM sodium acetate buffer) were recorded at 100 nm/min in 0.1 nm intervals from 260 to 195 nm using a J-810 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Easton, MD, USA) at $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ in 0.1 cm quartz cuvettes (Hellma, Müllheim, Germany). Protein concentration in a given sample was determined by the absorbance at 210 nm (calculated ϵ -values: $\epsilon_{W_1} = 270858\text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$, $\epsilon_{W_2} = 543596\text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$). Samples were analysed in duplicate, blank corrected, averaged, and converted to mean residue ellipticity $[\theta]$. DPC was titrated using a relative molar ratios to W_1 or W_2 (W_n :DPC 1:0, 1:1, 1:10, 1:50, 1:100 and 1:500). Guanidinium chloride (GdmCl) and urea were titrated as a function of concentration from 0 to 5 M for urea and 0 to 4 M for GdmCl. The fraction folded as a function of denaturant concentration (C), $F(C)$, was calculated (similarly to thermal denaturation⁷⁰) as:

$$F(C) = (\theta(C) - \theta_D) / (\theta_N - \theta_D) \quad (4)$$

where $\theta(C)$, θ_N and θ_D are the ellipticities observed at concentration C , in the native ($C=0$) and denatured states (in 5 M urea or 4 M guanidine chloride), respectively.

To monitor localized detergent-induced unfolding by NMR spectroscopy, DPC was added to ^{15}N -enriched W_1 (0.34 mM in NMR buffer) at an increasing molar ratio of W_1 :DPC (10:1, 5:1, 1:1, 1:5, 1:10, 1:50), with ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC experiments (8 scans, 2048×128 points, recovery delay of 1.5 s) acquired at each titration point using a 16.4 T Bruker Avance III spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm indirect detection TCI cryoprobe at 303.15 K . The change in W_1 concentration due to volume increase was negligible. 3D backbone NMR experiments (HNCO, HNcaCO, HNCA, HNcoCA, as previously acquired for W_1 ³³) were acquired at the DPC endpoint (0.34 mM W_1 , 20 mM DPC) to facilitate assignment. Perturbation of W_2 was monitored using an intein-spliced variant with the first W-unit uniformly ^{13}C - and ^{15}N -enriched and the second W-unit only ^{15}N -enriched (0.17 mM in NMR buffer) via the isotopically discriminated (IDIS) ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC experiment⁷¹ at 0 mM and 20 mM DPC (48 scans, $2048 \times 128 \times 2$ points, recovery delay of 1.5 s), allowing simultaneous acquisition of data for both W domains in a single sample. To monitor effects of chaotropes, W_1 samples (0.34 mM in NMR buffer) were titrated with GdmCl ($0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2$, and 2 M) and urea ($0, 0.5, 1, 1.2, 1.4, 2.0, 2.3, 2.5\text{ M}$) and monitored using ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC experiments ($24\text{--}32$ scans, 2048×196 points, recovery delay of 1.5 s) acquired at 11.7 T and 303.15 K on a Bruker Avance spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm BBFO SmartProbe. Concentration variation due to

volume change was accounted for to accurately set denaturant concentration at each titration point. W_1 was diluted over the course of each titration, leading to a final concentration of ~ 0.25 mM at the GdmCl and urea endpoints. All acquired spectra were processed with NMRPipe⁵⁶ and assigned in CcpNmr Analysis⁵⁷. Combined chemical shift displacement (CSD), weighed by gyromagnetic ratio for ^1H and ^{15}N nuclei³⁸, was calculated as a function of amino acid residue between the samples containing no titrant and those containing 20 mM DPC, 0.8 M GdmCl (0.30 mM W_1), or 2.5 M urea. The spectra chosen for analysis of GdmCl and urea perturbation were not the titration endpoints; rather, these were chosen as the most assignable spectra before denaturation made backbone amide chemical shifts indiscriminable. A control titration using NaCl as the titrant was carried out using a series of 40 μL samples (0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, and 1.2 M) though ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC experiments (24 scans, 2048×96 points, recovery delay of 1.5 s) acquired at 303.15 K on a 16.4 T Bruker Avance III spectrometer equipped with a 1.7 mm TCI probe.

References

- Lewis, R. V. Spider silk: ancient ideas for new biomaterials. *Chem. Rev.* **106**, 3762–3774 (2006).
- Vollrath, F. & Knight, D. P. Liquid crystalline spinning of spider silk. *Nature* **410**, 541–548 (2001).
- Omenetto, F. G. & Kaplan, D. L. New opportunities for an ancient material. *Science* **329**, 528–531 (2010).
- Heim, M., Keerl, D. & Scheibel, T. Spider silk: from soluble protein to extraordinary fiber. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **48**, 3584–3596 (2009).
- Hijirida, D. H. *et al.* ^{13}C NMR of *Nephila clavipes* major ampullate silk gland. *Biophys. J.* **71**, 3442–3447 (1996).
- Asakura, T., Suzuki, Y., Nakazawa, Y., Holland, G. P. & Yarger, J. L. Elucidating silk structure using solid-state NMR. *Soft Matter* **9**, 11440–11450 (2013).
- Lefèvre, T., Boudreault, S., Cloutier, C. & Pérolet, M. Diversity of molecular transformations involved in the formation of spider silks. *J. Mol. Biol.* **405**, 238–253 (2011).
- Hayashi, C. Y., Blackledge, T. A. & Lewis, R. V. Molecular and mechanical characterization of aciniform silk: uniformity of iterated sequence modules in a novel member of the spider silk fibroin gene family. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **21**, 1950–1959 (2004).
- Tokareva, O., Jacobsen, M., Buehler, M., Wong, J. & Kaplan, D. L. Structure-function-property-design interplay in biopolymers: spider silk. *Acta Biomater.* **10**, 1612–1626 (2014).
- Hronska, M., van Beek, J. D., Williamson, P. T. F., Vollrath, F. & Meier, B. H. NMR characterization of native liquid spider dragline silk from *Nephila edulis*. *Biomacromolecules* **5**, 834–839 (2004).
- Lefèvre, T., Rousseau, M.-E. & Pérolet, M. Protein secondary structure and orientation in silk as revealed by Raman spectromicroscopy. *Biophys. J.* **92**, 2885–2895 (2007).
- Parkhe, A. D., Seeley, S. K., Gardner, K., Thompson, L. & Lewis, R. V. Structural studies of spider silk proteins in the fiber. *J. Mol. Recognit.* **10**, 1–6 (1997).
- Gosline, J. M., Guerette, P. A., Ortlepp, C. S. & Savage, K. N. The mechanical design of spider silks: from fibroin sequence to mechanical function. *J. Exp. Biol.* **202**, 3295–3303 (1999).
- Geurts, P. *et al.* Synthetic spider silk fibers spun from Pyriform Spidroin 2, a glue silk protein discovered in orb-weaving spider attachment discs. *Biomacromolecules* **11**, 3495–3503 (2010).
- Blasingame, E. *et al.* Pyriform spidroin 1, a novel member of the silk gene family that anchors dragline silk fibers in attachment discs of the black widow spider, *Latrodectus hesperus*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 29097–29108 (2009).
- Heidebrecht, A. & Scheibel, T. Recombinant production of spider silk proteins. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **82**, 115–153 (2013).
- Kluge, J. A., Rabotyagova, O., Leisk, G. G. & Kaplan, D. L. Spider silks and their applications. *Trends Biotech.* **26**, 244–251 (2008).
- Keten, S., Xu, Z., Ihle, B. & Buehler, M. J. Nanoconfinement controls stiffness, strength and mechanical toughness of β -sheet crystals in silk. *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 359–367 (2010).
- Wang, S., Huang, W. & Yang, D. NMR structure note: repetitive domain of aciniform spidroin 1 from *Nephila antipodiana*. *J. Biomol. NMR* **54**, 415–420 (2012).
- Lin, Z., Huang, W., Zhang, J., Fan, J.-S. & Yang, D. Solution structure of eggcase silk protein and its implications for silk fiber formation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 8906–8911 (2009).
- Askarieh, G. *et al.* Self-assembly of spider silk proteins is controlled by a pH-sensitive relay. *Nature* **465**, 236–238 (2010).
- Gao, Z. *et al.* Structural characterization of minor ampullate spidroin domains and their distinct roles in fibroin solubility and fiber formation. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e56142 (2013).
- Hagn, F. *et al.* A conserved spider silk domain acts as a molecular switch that controls fibre assembly. *Nature* **465**, 239–242 (2010).
- Andersson, M. *et al.* Carbonic anhydrase generates CO_2 and H^+ that drive spider silk formation via opposite effects on the terminal domains. *PLoS Biol.* **12**, e1001921 (2014).
- Jaudzems, K. *et al.* pH-dependent dimerization of spider silk N-terminal domain requires relocation of a wedged tryptophan side chain. *J. Mol. Biol.* **422**, 477–487 (2012).
- Wang, S., Huang, W. & Yang, D. Structure and function of C-terminal domain of aciniform spidroin. *Biomacromolecules* **15**, 468–477 (2014).
- Chaw, R. C. *et al.* Intragenic homogenization and multiple copies of prey-wrapping silk genes in *Argiope* garden spiders. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **14**, 31 (2014).
- Gust, D., Moon, R. B. & Roberts, J. D. Applications of natural-abundance nitrogen-15 nuclear magnetic resonance to large biochemically important molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **72**, 4696–4700 (1975).
- Barbato, G., Ikura, M., Kay, L. E., Pastor, R. W. & Bax, A. Backbone dynamics of calmodulin studied by ^{15}N relaxation using inverse detected two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy: the central helix is flexible. *Biochemistry* **31**, 5269–5278 (1992).
- Eliezer, D., Yao, J., Dyson, H. J. & Wright, P. E. Structural and dynamic characterization of partially folded states of apomyoglobin and implications for protein folding. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **5**, 148–155 (1998).
- Wüthrich, K. (1986) *NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- Shen, Y., Delaglio, F., Cornilescu, G. & Bax, A. TALOS+: a hybrid method for predicting protein backbone torsion angles from NMR chemical shifts. *J. Biomol. NMR* **44**, 213–223 (2009).
- Xu, L., Tremblay, M.-L., Meng, Q., Liu, X.-Q. & Rainey, J. K. ^1H , ^{13}C and ^{15}N NMR assignments of the aciniform spidroin (AcSp1) repetitive domain of *Argiope trifasciata* wrapping silk. *Biomol. NMR Assign* **6**, 147–151 (2012).
- Wüthrich, K. & Wagner, G. Nuclear magnetic resonance of labile protons in the basic pancreatic trypsin inhibitor. *J. Mol. Biol.* **130**, 1–18 (1979).
- Wu, H., Hu, Z. & Liu, X. Q. Protein *trans*-splicing by a split intein encoded in a split DnaE gene of *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**, 9226–9231 (1998).
- Southworth, M. W. *et al.* Control of protein splicing by intein fragment reassembly. *EMBO J.* **17**, 918–926 (1998).

37. Appleby, J. H., Zhou, K., Volkmann, G. & Liu, X.-Q. Novel split intein for *trans*-splicing synthetic peptide onto C terminus of protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 6194–6199 (2009).
38. Schumann, F. H. *et al.* Combined chemical shift changes and amino acid specific chemical shift mapping of protein-protein interactions. *J. Biomol. NMR.* **39**, 275–289 (2007).
39. Kay, L. E., Torchia, D. A. & Bax, A. Backbone dynamics of proteins as studied by nitrogen-15 inverse detected heteronuclear NMR spectroscopy: application to staphylococcal nuclease. *Biochemistry* **28**, 8972–8979 (1989).
40. Doddrell, D., Glushko, V. & Allerhand, A. Theory of nuclear overhauser enhancement and ^{13}C - ^1H dipolar relaxation in proton-decoupled carbon-13 NMR spectra of macromolecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **56**, 3683–3689 (1972).
41. Tjandra, N., Feller, S. E., Pastor, R. W. & Bax, A. Rotational diffusion anisotropy of human ubiquitin from ^{15}N NMR relaxation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **117**, 12562–12566 (1995).
42. Pawley, N. H., Wang, C., Koide, S. & Nicholson, L. K. An improved method for distinguishing between anisotropic tumbling and chemical exchange in analysis of ^{15}N relaxation parameters. *J. Biomol. NMR.* **20**, 149–165 (2001).
43. Morris, K. F. & Johnson, C. S., Jr. Diffusion-ordered two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **114**, 3139–3141 (1992).
44. Xu, L. *et al.* Nanoparticle self-assembly by a highly stable recombinant spider wrapping silk protein subunit. *FEBS Lett* **587**, 3273–3280 (2013).
45. Jones, J. A., Wilkins, D. K., Smith, L. J. & Dobson, C. M. Characterisation of protein unfolding by NMR diffusion measurements. *J. Biomol. NMR.* **10**, 199–203 (1997).
46. Tyn, M. T. & Gusek, T. W. Prediction of diffusion coefficients of proteins. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **35**, 327–338 (1990).
47. Kuszewski, J., Gronenborn, A. M. & Clore, G. M. Improving the packing and accuracy of NMR structures with a pseudopotential for the radius of gyration. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **121**, 2337–2338 (1999).
48. Xu, L., Rainey, J. K., Meng, Q. & Liu, X.-Q. Recombinant minimalist spider wrapping silk proteins capable of native-like fiber formation. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e50227 (2012).
49. Palladino, P., Rossi, F. & Ragone, R. Effective critical micellar concentration of a zwitterionic detergent: a fluorimetric study on n-dodecyl phosphocholine. *J. Fluoresc.* **20**, 191–196 (2010).
50. Liu, D., Xu, R. & Cowburn, D. Segmental isotopic labeling of proteins for nuclear magnetic resonance. *Meth. Enzymol.* **462**, 151–175 (2009).
51. Skrisovska, L., Schubert, M. & Allain, F. H.-T. Recent advances in segmental isotope labeling of proteins: NMR applications to large proteins and glycoproteins. *J. Biomol. NMR.* **46**, 51–65 (2010).
52. Volkmann, G. & Iwai, H. Protein *trans*-splicing and its use in structural biology: opportunities and limitations. *Mol Biosyst* **6**, 2110–2121 (2010).
53. Feige, M. J. *et al.* The structure of a folding intermediate provides insight into differences in immunoglobulin amyloidogenicity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 13373–13378 (2008).
54. Grantcharova, V. P., Riddle, D. S. & Baker, D. Long-range order in the src SH3 folding transition state. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97**, 7084–7089 (2000).
55. Marsh, J. A., Singh, V. K., Jia, Z. & Forman-Kay, J. D. Sensitivity of secondary structure propensities to sequence differences between alpha- and gamma-synuclein: implications for fibrillation. *Protein Sci.* **15**, 2795–2804 (2006).
56. Delaglio, F. *et al.* NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. *J. Biomol. NMR.* **6**, 277–293 (1995).
57. Vranken, W. F. *et al.* The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: development of a software pipeline. *Proteins* **59**, 687–696 (2005).
58. Rieping, W. *et al.* ARIA2: automated NOE assignment and data integration in NMR structure calculation. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 381–382 (2007).
59. Schwieters, C. D., Kuszewski, J. J. & Clore, G. M. Using Xplor-NIH for NMR molecular structure determination. *Prog. Nucl. Mag. Res. Sp.* **48**, 47–62 (2006).
60. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1612 (2004).
61. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.* **14**, 33–8–27–8 (1996).
62. Laskowski, R. A., Rullmann, J. A., MacArthur, M. W., Kaptein, R. & Thornton, J. M. AQUA and PROCHECK-NMR: programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by NMR. *J. Biomol. NMR.* **8**, 486 (1996).
63. Kabsch, W. & Sander, C. Dictionary of protein secondary structure: Pattern recognition of hydrogen-bonded and geometrical features. *Biopolymers* **22**, 2577 (1983).
64. Wu, D. H., Chen, A. D. & Johnson, C. S. An improved diffusion-ordered spectroscopy experiment incorporating bipolar-gradient pulses. *J. Mag. Res. Ser. A* **115**, 260–264 (1995).
65. Stejskal, E. O. & Tanner, J. E. Spin diffusion measurements: spin echoes in the presence of a time-dependent field gradient. *J. Chem. Phys.* **42**, 288 (1965).
66. Ortega, A., Amorós, D. & García de la Torre, J. Prediction of hydrodynamic and other solution properties of rigid proteins from atomic- and residue-level models. *Biophys. J.* **101**, 892–898 (2011).
67. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671–675 (2012).
68. Rousseau, M.-E., Lefèvre, T., Beaulieu, L., Asakura, T. & Pézolet, M. Study of protein conformation and orientation in silkworm and spider silk fibers using Raman microspectroscopy. *Biomacromolecules* **5**, 2247–2257 (2004).
69. Rousseau, M.-E. *et al.* Characterization by Raman microspectroscopy of the strain-induced conformational transition in fibroin fibers from the silkworm *Samia cynthia ricini*. *Biomacromolecules* **7**, 2512–2521 (2006).
70. Persikov, A. V., Xu, Y. & Brodsky, B. Equilibrium thermal transitions of collagen model peptides. *Protein Sci.* **13**, 893–902 (2004).
71. Bermel, W., Tkach, E. N., Sobol, A. G. & Golovanov, A. P. Simultaneous measurement of residual dipolar couplings for proteins in complex using the isotopically discriminated NMR approach. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **131**, 8564–8570 (2009).
72. Kyte, J. & Doolittle, R. F. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. *J. Mol. Biol.* **157**, 105–132 (1982).

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Drs. Stephen Bearne and David Waisman for CD spectropolarimeter access; Bruce Stewart for technical assistance; Xudong Dai for fibre mechanical properties testing; Dr. Mike Lumsden for 11.7 T NMR spectrometer support at the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Research Resource Facility (NMR³, Dalhousie University); Ian Burton and Drs. Nadine Merkley and Ray Syvitski for 16.4 T NMR spectrometer support at the National Research Council Biological Magnetic Resonance Facility (NRC-BMRF, Halifax, NS); Dr. Tara Sprules for 11.7 T NMR data acquisition for initial chemical shift assignment at the Québec

Eastern Canada High Field NMR Facility (QANUC), supported by the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), the Groupe de Recherche Axé sur la Structure des Protéines (GRASP), McGill University Faculty of Science and Department of Chemistry and PROTEO; and Dr. John Archibald for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by Discovery and Research Tools and Instruments Grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC; to JKR, XQL, MP and MA); a Leaders Opportunity Fund award from the CFI (to JKR); a Dalhousie Medical Research Foundation Capital Equipment Grant (to JKR and XQL); research grants (to QM) from the National High Technology Research and Development Program 863 (NO 2006AA03Z451), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO 31070698), the Ph.D. Programs Foundation of Ministry of Education of China (No. 20120075110007); and, funds (to MP and MA) from PROTEO and CERMA. The TCI probes for the 16.4 T NMR spectrometer at the NRC-BMRF were provided by Dalhousie University through an Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency Grant. JKR is supported by a Canadian Institutes for Health Research New Investigator Award; MLT by studentships from the Nova Scotia Health Research Foundation and an NSERC Postgraduate Scholarship; and, KEO by NSERC Undergraduate Student Research Awards.

Author Contributions

X-Q.L., Q.M. and J.K.R. conceived the research; M-L.T., L.X., T.L., M.S., K.E.O., J.L. and J.K.R. acquired experimental data; M-L.T., L.X., T.L., M.S., M.A., M.P., X-Q.L. and J.K.R. analysed experimental data; J.K.R., M-L.T. and L.X. wrote the manuscript; all authors edited and commented on the manuscript.

Additional Information

Accession codes. Protein Data Bank (PDB): the atomic coordinates for the 20 lowest energy structures for W_1 described in this manuscript has been deposited with the following accession code: 2MU3 and appended to previously published NMR assignments (BMRB 17899)³³. NMR assignments for W_2 were deposited to the Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank (BMRB) by combining chemical shifts of W_{2-1} and W_{2-2} with accession code BMRB 25197.

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Tremblay, M.-L. *et al.* Spider wrapping silk fibre architecture arising from its modular soluble protein precursor. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11502; doi: 10.1038/srep11502 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>