SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Agriculture intensifies soil moisture decline in Northern China

Yaling Liu^{1,2,3}, Zhihua Pan¹, Qianlai Zhuang^{2,4}, Diego G. Miralles^{5,6}, Adriaan J. Teuling⁷, Tonglin Zhang⁸, Pingli An¹, Zhiqiang Dong¹, Jingting Zhang¹, Di He¹, Liwei Wang¹, Xuebiao Pan¹, Wei Bai⁹ & Dev Niyogi^{2,4}

Received: 03 October 2014

Accepted: 29 April 2015

Published: 09 July 2015

Northern China is one of the most densely populated regions in the world. Agricultural activities have intensified since the 1980s to provide food security to the country. However, this intensification has likely contributed to an increasing scarcity in water resources, which may in turn be endangering food security. Based on *in-situ* measurements of soil moisture collected in agricultural plots during 1983–2012, we find that topsoil (0–50 cm) volumetric water content during the growing season has declined significantly ($p < 0.01$), with a trend of -0.011 to $-0.015 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ per decade. Observed discharge declines for the three large river basins are consistent with the effects of agricultural intensification, although other factors (e.g. dam constructions) likely have contributed to these trends. Practices like fertilizer application have favoured biomass growth and increased transpiration rates, thus reducing available soil water. In addition, the rapid proliferation of water-expensive crops (e.g., maize) and the expansion of the area dedicated to food production have also contributed to soil drying. Adoption of alternative agricultural practices that can meet the immediate food demand without compromising future water resources seem critical for the sustainability of the food production system.

Droughts are one of the most costly natural hazards in China due to their unusual severity and persistence¹; they resulted in an average annual loss of \$37.9 billion during 1978–2003². While recent studies indicate that globally droughts are not intensifying on average³, Northern China (NC) is currently facing increasing water scarcity^{1,4,5}. In fact, China is one of thirteen countries considered to be facing extreme water shortages, as the water resources per capita is only one quarter of the world average⁶. Additionally, the distribution of water resources in China does not correspond well with the geospatial distribution of water demands. With only 18% of China's water resources, NC accounts for as much as 65% of the arable land⁶ and 40% of the population⁷. This imbalance leads to a high exploitation of water in NC, which induces severe ecological and environmental problems derived from the degradation of land and freshwater⁸. In the past three decades, droughts affected an average area of 23.9 million ha per year, and 35.6% of agricultural area in NC⁹. Moreover, recent studies indicate that dry extremes are prone to become more frequent and intense in this region^{10,11}.

While the soil dry-out in NC has been well documented^{1,4,5}, the drivers of this dry-out and the role of agricultural intensification remain understudied. Changes in soil water content are affected by

¹College of Resources & Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100193, China. ²Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, 47907, USA. ³Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Joint Global Change Research Institute, College Park, Maryland, 20740, USA. ⁴Department of Agronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, 47907, USA. ⁵Department of Earth Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam 1081 HV, The Netherlands. ⁶Laboratory of Hydrology and Water Management, Ghent University, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium. ⁷Hydrology and Quantitative Water Management Group, Wageningen University, 6708PB Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁸Department of Statistics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, 47907, USA. ⁹China Center for Urban Development, Beijing, 100045, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Z.P. (email: panzhihua@cau.edu.cn) or Q.Z. (email: qzhuang@purdue.edu)

a large number of factors, such as soil properties (e.g., soil texture, structure, organic matter, depth, density and salinity), climate (e.g., precipitation, solar radiation, temperature, etc.), topography and land cover. These factors regulate infiltration, permeability, water holding capacity and moisture loss rates¹². Current agricultural practices, such as crop type selection, agronomic measures, fertilizer application, or irrigation management, are expected to change the water use and the dynamics of soil moisture¹³ due to their impacts on the physical and biogeochemical interactions within ecosystems^{14,15}. Despite recent analyses of meteorological droughts focusing on trends in rainfall^{3–6,10}, and studies of model-simulated or satellite-retrieved soil moisture changes^{1,16}, there is a lack of ground-truth based observational assessments of soil moisture trends. Moreover, the regional impacts of the intensification of agricultural practices remain poorly understood. Consequently, investigating the mechanisms behind the NC dry-out remains crucial for the improvement of regional agricultural and water resource management, and it can provide useful insights that are transferable to other regions experiencing similar water-stress situations^{14,17}.

This study aims at understanding soil moisture trends in NC using robust observational evidence, and unravelling the impacts of regional agricultural practices on these trends. We first use satellite remote sensing, long-term discharge measurements and meteorological observations to assess the regional drying during the past three decades. Then we use unique long-term *in-situ* soil moisture records to evaluate observed soil drying in agricultural plots. The effects of agricultural intensification on soil moisture decline are assessed through multiple linear regression analysis using data for fertilizer usage and information on crop types and crop area. The Xinjiang autonomous region and the Qinghai province are excluded from the analysis, as population density is low⁷, agriculture is not extensive¹⁸, and there is a lack of historical data.

Results

Satellite observations of surface soil moisture and total terrestrial water storage both point to NC as a hot-spot region of declining water availability at the global scale^{16,19} (Fig. 1a,b). Moreover, the growing season river discharges (Q) at the outlets of the Yellow, Haihe and Liaohe river basins all show significant negative trends during 1983–2012 (Fig. 1c). This is consistent with the aforementioned satellite-observed regional drying, although dam construction, water withdrawal and groundwater abstraction have also altered the regional water distribution²⁰. The Yellow river basin exhibits the largest decline, which is consistent with the reports of the frequent dry-out of the lower reach since 1972²¹.

In situ soil moisture measurements across NC reveal a significant soil moisture decline at dryland crop sites. At the 40 agricultural meteorological stations in NC (Fig. 1a), soil moisture was monitored 3–5 times per month using the gravimetric technique during the growing season (generally April–October). These stations cover rain-fed croplands where a variety of different crop types were grown. Across these 40 stations, we find that the average volumetric soil moisture (θ_v) during growing season decreased significantly in the last three decades (Fig. 1d). We find a significant ($p < 0.01$) θ_v trend of -0.011 , -0.012 and $-0.015 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ per decade in the top 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm, and 20–50 cm of soil (i.e., the fitted θ_v in 20–50 cm of soil decreased from $0.157 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in 1983 to $0.112 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in 2012 or by about 29%, see Fig. 1d), respectively. Similar negative trends (-6.2% to -10.4% per decade) are also found in the soil moisture index (SMI, Fig. S1), a measure of soil moisture content as ratio of the total potential storage available to plants²². This analysis thus provides evidence for a notable soil moisture decline at agricultural fields across NC.

The trends in satellite-observed surface soil moisture are generally consistent with the ground-measured top 10 cm soil moisture at the 40 stations (Fig. 1a, correlation coefficient $r = 0.75$). Disagreements in the magnitude of these trends might occur due to the scale-mismatch between the point measurements and the satellite footprint, and also because crops do not necessarily cover the entire area of each pixel¹⁸ (Fig. 1e). Additionally, the satellite sensors usually detect the conditions in the top 0.5–2 cm of soil, rather than the 0–10 cm of soil sampled by the *in-situ* measurements.

The detected soil moisture decline over the last three decades could have multiple drivers, including climate change. In fact, an overall decline of the Palmer Drought Severity Index²³ (PDSI, Fig. 1f) – a widely used metric to monitor meteorological droughts – reflects the simultaneous spatiotemporal increase in air temperature (Fig. 1g, Fig. S2a) and decrease in rainfall (Fig. 1h, Fig. S2b) in the region over this period. The most dramatic decline in PDSI occurs in the northeast part of Inner Mongolia, where a pronounced warming and drying trend is also found. Trends in other drought indices such as Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)²⁴ and Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI)²⁵ further support these results (Fig. S3). The negative trends for SPEI are more prominent than that for SPI, suggesting that the increased atmospheric demand for water has played a role as well²⁵. These results suggest that climate change has contributed to soil drying in NC²⁶.

We disentangle the effects of climate change from those of agricultural practices using a multiple linear regression analysis. Note that soil properties, microclimate and topography intrinsically affect soil moisture content¹² and may vary from county to county due to heterogeneity in the environmental conditions; their effects on soil water content may overshadow the effects induced by agricultural practices^{12,27}. We define the variable “county effect” (C_m) to account for differences in soil moisture level caused by differences in the environmental conditions across different counties/stations. Other than C_m , results show that among all the meteorological and agricultural explanatory variables considered (see

Figure 1. Growing season trends in Northern China (NC) : **a**) Volumetric soil moisture (θ_v) trend during 1983–2010 across NC and at the 40 agricultural meteorological stations (circles on the map) during 1983–2012. The former is derived from CCI-WACMOS soil moisture product¹⁶ and the latter is from *in-situ* measurements of the top 0–10 cm soil. The red polygons delineate the boundaries of the Yellow, Haihe and Liaohe river basins from west to east, respectively. Note that the boundary of Liaohe river basin is only extended to Tieling gauge station. **b**) Terrestrial water storage (TWS) trend during 2003–2013. **c**) Discharge (Q) trends in the three basins during 1980–2012. **d**) Average θ_v trends in 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm and 20–50 cm soil across the 40 agricultural meteorological stations during 1983–2012. **e**) Fraction coverage of cropland in 2010. **f–h**) Palmer Drought Severity Index ($PDSI$), air temperature (T) and Precipitation (P) trend during 1984–2007. The black dots in **f–h**) represent the locations of 307 weather stations. All maps are generated via ArcMap10.2, and their projected coordinate systems are Asia North Albers Equal Area Conical.

Figure 2. Fertilizer use experiment and fertilizer use trend in Northern China : a) water consumption in N0P0–N4P4 fertilizer use treatments between 2008–2010, which is derived from fertilizer use experiment in Wuchuan Field Experiment Station, where N0P0–N4P4 stand for increasing fertilizer use treatments that correspond to Table S1; and b) fertilizer use (F) trend during 1983–2006 across the region. Note that area without fertilizer use data is left vacant. The map in b) is generated via ArcMap10.2, and the projected coordinate system is Asia North Albers Equal Area Conical.

Methods), precipitation (P), fertilizer use rate (F) and crop type (c) are most significant in influencing the soil moisture at the three soil depths considered. Here we take the 20–50 cm soil layer, which comprises a large portion of root zone^{28,29} and is directly affected by transpiration, to illustrate the correlated effects of declining soil moisture. The analysis yields slopes of 4.1×10^{-4} ($\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3} \text{mm}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$), -3.0×10^{-4} ($\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{ha yr}$), -0.013 and -0.026 , for P , F , crop group 2 (i.e., c_2 , wheat) and group 3 (i.e., c_3 , maize and rapeseed), respectively – see model (1) in Methods. So, for instance, while a 100 mm increase in growing season precipitation could lead to an increase of $0.041 \text{ m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ in θ_v , a 100 kg ha^{-1} increase in fertilizer use could lead to an $0.030 \text{ m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ reduction in θ_v over 1983–2006, which is approximately 20% of the mean observed θ_v (Fig. 1d).

The model explains 81% of variation in θ_v , indicating that the changes in soil moisture can be reasonably well captured by the explanatory variables considered. A large fraction (60%) of the total variation explained by the model (81%) is due to C_m ; however, the average variation derived from C_m adjusted by the degree of freedom ($df=39$) is small. In terms of the relative contribution, P , F and c account for 32%, 38% and 30%, respectively, of the the remaining model variation (40% of the 81% explained by the model). The 32% relative contribution of P indicates that climate change induced soil moisture decline is substantial. We do not find T has significant impact on θ_v . This suggests that θ_v is more sensitive to P , and that the impact of water supply overshadows that of atmospheric evaporative demand increase induced by warming.

The positive covariance between P and θ_v is expected, as θ_v responds directly to changes in P . However, the considerable contribution of F and c to θ_v decline is of interest and may be less straightforward. In order to further explore this negative correlation, we examine data gathered at the Wuchuan Field Experiment Station, where the relations between soil moisture and fertilizer loads were monitored from 2008–2010. In this experiment, the effect of fertilizer was monitored in isolation as fertilizer use was the only changing agricultural variable, and other agricultural practices such as tilling method, plant variety and plant density were the same across different treatments and along time. Results show a clear rise in field water consumption with increased fertilizer use, by 4%–54% depending on the specific fertilizer treatment (Table S1, S2, Fig. 2a). In addition, the choice of crop types also leads to significant differences in terms of θ_v . Taking the crop group 1 (i.e., c_1 , soybean and potato) as baseline, regression results indicate that the choice of crop group c_2 , and especially c_3 , has negative effects due to their higher water consumption. This is consistent with the findings from previous field experiments conducted in NC^{30–35} that indicate that crop water consumption during the growing season follows the order: c_1 (326–452 mm) < c_2 (450–500 mm) < c_3 (398–568 mm). These results suggest that the increased fertilizer use (Fig. 2b) and the associated agricultural practices such as crop area expansion (Fig. 3a) in NC^{9,18} – with a disproportionate proliferation of high water-consuming crops (e.g., maize, Fig. 3b) – have contributed to the widespread soil moisture decline in the region.

The factors that impact discharge decline in NC are also assessed for the three river basins based on multiple linear regression models. Results are similar for each of the three basins. In the following, we focus on the Yellow river basin, due to its larger coverage and dense population. Our regression model for the Yellow river (see model (2) in Methods section) explains 82% of the long-term variation in discharge. P has a significant positive effect on Q (slope of $0.12 \text{ km}^3 \text{mm}^{-1}$), whereas F and A_w (area

Figure 3. Changes in crop area and yield per unit area in Northern China during 1980–2011 : a) total crop area in the region; b) planting area for each major crop; and c) yield per unit area for each major crop.

covered by wheat plantations) have significant negative effects ($-0.29 \text{ km}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ ha}$ and $-0.06 \text{ km}^3 10^{-3} \text{ ha}^{-1}$, respectively). The crop area of maize (A_{mz}) is not considered to have significant effects on Q (i.e., $p = 0.97$) despite the high correlation between A_{mz} and Q ($r = -0.76$, $p < 10^{-5}$). This occurs due to the “Simpson Paradox”³⁶, in which two strongly dependent variables like F and A_{mz} ($r = 0.92$) cannot co-exist in the model. Replacing A_w with A_{mz} in the model, we find A_{mz} also has significant negative effect on Q ($p < 0.001$). Overall, the effects of P , F , acreage of maize and wheat on Q are consistent with those on θ_v . This finding suggests that extensive agriculture intensification in the Yellow, Haihe and Liaohe river basins – where 26.6%, 48.2% and 34.1% of the area is covered by cropland in 2010¹⁸ – could partly explain the Q declines.

A pair-wise experiment conducted at the Wuchuan Agricultural Meteorology Observation Station further supports the hypothesis that agricultural intensification accelerates soil moisture decline. For nearly three decades (1983–2009), soil moisture has been monitored at two contiguous sites: a pristine pasture and an agricultural site. Measurements reveal a significant ($p < 0.05$) negative trend of soil moisture in the first 50 cm of soil for the cropland (for all crop types), contrasting with the slightly positive – but statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) – trend observed in the pristine pasture (Fig. 4). In potato, naked oats and spring wheat fields, θ_v decreased by 0.011, 0.011 and $0.006 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ per decade, respectively. For instance, the fitted θ_v decreased from $0.103 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in year 1983 to $0.074 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in 2009 at the potato field. However, in the pristine pasture – where trends reflect larger scale climatic change only – there was an increase of $0.003 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ per decade. Since no significant differences in soil texture, topography and microclimate exist between the cropland and pasture sites, differences in θ_v may be attributed to the agricultural activities.

Discussion

Food sufficiency has been achieved after nearly 50 years of sustained efforts in China³⁷. Since the early 1980s, agriculture has intensified dramatically, and the food production system currently relies on application of chemical fertilizers and implementation of modern farming techniques³⁸. The amount of fertilizer use in China accounts for 31.4% of the total global consumption³⁹; this can be considered as a very inefficient system⁴⁰, with rates of fertilizer application that are far greater than the needs of crops^{37,41–43}. While the yield per hectare for all crops has increased from 1.21 t ha^{-1} to 4.83 t ha^{-1} , the yield per unit chemical fertilizer use has decreased dramatically for the three main crops in China during 1961–1998: 164 to 10 kg kg^{-1} for rice, 44 to 6 kg kg^{-1} for wheat and 93 to 9 kg kg^{-1} for maize⁴⁴.

Although the effects of excessive fertilizer use on agriculture and environment in China have been investigated in recent years^{37,42,43,45}, only a few studies have drawn the attention to the potential effects of fertilizers on transpiration rates, thus on the hydrological cycle^{46,47}. Excessive fertilizer use can increase water consumption and deplete soil moisture as revealed by our results (Fig 2a), while having little impact on crop yield³⁷. In addition, fertilizer use and other agricultural practices may aggravate soil salinity and soil compaction, leading to decrease of infiltration rates and soil water holding capacity¹², which further limits the available soil water.

It is important to note that limitations of this study arise from the scarcity of data on regional agricultural practices and the inability to capture all the interactive factors involved within a statistical framework. The increase in fertilizer use and the corresponding decline in soil moisture should be interpreted more broadly; changes in fertilizer use may be accompanied by the incorporation of new plant varieties, modified phenotypes or changes in agricultural technology, and therefore reflect a broad scenario of

Figure 4. Variation of volumetric soil moisture (θ_v) in topsoil (0–50 cm) of pristine pasture and different crop fields in Wuchuan Agricultural Meteorology Observation Station during 1983–2009.

agricultural intensification. The increase in the yield per unit area for each of the main crops (wheat, maize, soybean, potato and rapeseed, Fig. 3c) is also an integrated effect of wide range of agricultural practices. New crop varieties have been extensively introduced in NC to enhance yields and improve crop quality in recent years⁴⁸. These new varieties have different water and fertilizer use⁴⁸, and thus potentially affect soil moisture. Similarly, irrigation area has drastically expanded^{20,49} and a range of farming techniques (e.g., reduced tillage, mulching) have been widely implemented in recent years across NC⁵⁰. However, lack of historical information about cultivation of the large number of varieties – often exceeding 10,000 for individual crops⁴⁸, irrigation management and implementation of farming techniques across different areas and over different eras make it infeasible to extract their impacts on regional soil moisture at present. Therefore, the results on fertilizer use reflect only the overall intensification of agriculture, whereas local factors that might have contributed to this intensification and to the decline in soil moisture (e.g. pesticide and herbicide application, changes in crop varieties, improvement in on-farm practices and implementation of modern technologies) could not be investigated at this stage.

Water scarcity has become increasingly prominent in NC as agriculture has intensified^{51,52}. Current agricultural practices paired with inappropriate nutrient and irrigation management lead to severe environmental degradation^{43,45} and excessive exploitation of water resources⁵⁰, as well as low nutrient use efficiency and water use efficiency that lag behind global averages⁵¹. As a result, alternative agriculture practices that could help meet food demand without compromising future water resources need to be pursued for sustainable agriculture. Recent years have seen progress in research on agriculture management^{8,37,50–52}, contributing to addressing the conflict between aggravating resources shortage and increasing food demand. The implementation of integrated soil-crop system management (e.g. synchronization of nutrient supply and crop demand)^{8,52}, the dissemination of water-saving technologies (e.g., mulching, drip irrigation), agronomic measures (e.g., reduced till, recycling of organic manures) and agro-climatic decision tools (using crop-climatic relations or data analytics), and the enhancement in crop varieties with resistance to drought and heat seem critical for sustainable agriculture production in NC.

Methods

We analyse the soil moisture trends over NC using long-term measurements from 40 agricultural meteorological stations during 1983–2012. We support this analysis with a study of the discharge (Q) trends from the three outflow river basins in the region. To isolate the long-term impact of precipitation trends

on the soil moisture changes, we examine how meteorological drought has evolved across NC using meteorological variables such as precipitation and air temperature from 307 weather stations. We use a Mann-Kendall test to evaluate the significance of the trends. Multiple linear regression is used to assess the correlated effects for changes in soil moisture and Q . Details of observed data and ancillary data used in this study are provided in the Supplementary Information.

Since the locations of the 40 stations, where the soil moisture measurements are conducted, are different from those of the weather stations, the meteorological data used for each site in the regression is interpolated from observations at four nearest weather stations using the inverse distance weighting method⁵³. Historical records of (chemical) fertilizer use at these 40 stations are not available. To cope with this site-level data scarcity we use county-average rates⁵⁴. Data at these 40 stations is combined into one multiple regression model.

In the regression for the soil moisture changes, we consider the impacts of meteorological variables such as air temperature (T , °C), precipitation (P , mm yr⁻¹), wind speed (u , m s⁻¹), relative humidity (RH) and radiation hours (R , hr), and agricultural activities as reflected by fertilizer use rate (F , kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, calculated as total weight of fertilizer use divided by cropland area) and crop types (c), as well as “county effect” representing differences in soil moisture level across different counties (C_m , the overall effects of county level environmental variables such as topography, soil texture and microclimate). We jointly consider nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers due to the unavailability of historical records of their separate use. These fertilizers we considered are active ingredients as nitrogen, phosphorus pentoxide, and potassium oxide, respectively. Based on multiple experiments on the crop water consumption that have been conducted in NC region^{30–35}, we categorize the main crop types in NC into three groups: (i) soybean and potato ($c1$); (ii) wheat ($c2$); and (iii) maize and rapeseed ($c3$).

We use the ANCOVA model⁵⁵ to investigate the correlated effects between the dependent and explanatory variables. The model can be summarized as:

$$Y_m = \beta_{m,0} + \beta_{m,1}X_1 + \cdots + \beta_{m,i}X_i + \sum_{j=2}^3 \beta_{c,m,j}I(c=j) + C_m + \varepsilon_m \quad (1)$$

where Y_m is the mean growing season θ_v for each year during 1983–2010. $\beta_{m,0}$ is the intercept term, $C_m = \sum_{j=2}^k \beta_{County,m,j}I(County=j)$ represents the county effect, $X_1 \sim X_i$ (i.e., T , P , RH , R , u and F) represent the i th explanatory variable and $\beta_{m,1} \sim \beta_{m,i}$ are the corresponding slopes, $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function, and ε_m is a normally distributed error term. Since we have classified the crops into three groups, we use two parameters ($\beta_{c,m,2}$ for group 2 and $\beta_{c,m,3}$ for group 3) to represent the effects of crop groups. The factor terms do not contain the first level in their expression since we choose the first level of both county effect and crop effects as baselines. Note that the choice of baseline does not affect the regression results. Analysis of variance method⁵⁶ is used to quantify the contribution of each variable to the total variation in the model.

We build separate models for the three river basins to investigate the effects of meteorological and agricultural variables on changes in Q . We use discharge data at the outlets of each river basin as dependent variable. Explanatory variables involve meteorology (i.e., T , P , RH , R and u) and agricultural activities (i.e., F , crop area of each major crop type) aggregated from all counties within each basin. The full model with all explanatory variables is given by:

$$Y_d = \beta_{d,0} + \beta_{d,1}X_1 + \cdots + \beta_{d,i}X_i + \sum_{k=1}^5 \beta_{d,k}A_k + \varepsilon_d \quad (2)$$

where Y_d stands for the growing season mean Q for each year during 1983–2012 (km³ yr⁻¹), $\beta_{d,0}$ is the intercept term, $X_1 \sim X_i$ (i.e., T , P , RH , R , u and F) represent the i th explanatory variable and $\beta_{d,1} \sim \beta_{d,i}$ are corresponding slopes, A_k stands for the planting area of k th crop (i.e., wheat, maize, soybean, potato, rapeseed) and $\beta_{d,k}$ is the corresponding slope, and ε_d is a normally distributed error term. Besides this full model, a few reduced models that assign some of the coefficients equal to zero are also investigated. This is important because of the possible impact of the “Simpson Paradox” on parameter estimates as well as on the significance of effects³⁶.

When we calculate the Palmer Drought Severity Index ($PDSI$)²³ and the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index ($SPEI$)²⁵ we use the FAO56 Penman-Monteith equation⁵⁷ instead of the Thornthwaite equation to estimate potential evapotranspiration (PET)³.

References

- Wang, A., Lettenmaier, D. P. & Sheffield, J. Soil moisture drought in China, 1950–2006. *J Climate* **24**, 3257–3271 (2011).
- Pandey, S. & Bhandari, H. S. [Chapter 1 Introduction] *Economic Costs of Drought and Rice Farmers' Coping Mechanisms: A Cross-Country Comparative Analysis*, [Pandey, S., Bhandari, H. S., Hardy, B. (ed.)] [1–10] (International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines, 2007).
- Sheffield, J., Wood, E. F. & Roderick, M. L. Little change in global drought over the past 60 years. *Nature* **491**, 435–438 (2012).
- Zou, X., Zhai, P. & Zhang, Q. Variations in droughts over China: 1951–2003. *Geophys Res Lett* **32**, doi:10.1029/2004GL021853 (2005).

5. Ma, Z. & Fu, C. Some evidence of drying trend over northern China from 1951 to 2004. *Chinese Science Bulletin* **51**, 2913–2925 (2006).
6. Piao, S. *et al.* The impacts of climate change on water resources and agriculture in China. *Nature* **467**, 43–51 (2010).
7. Population census Office of China. *Main Statistics on Sixth National Population Census in China*. (China Statistics Press, Beijing, 2011).
8. Chen, X. *et al.* Integrated soil-crop system management for food security. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**, 6399–6404 (2011).
9. National Bureau of Statistics of China. *National data*, <<http://data.stats.gov.cn/english/easyquery.htm?cn=E0103>> (2014) Date of access: 01/12/2014
10. Trenberth, K. E. *et al.* Global warming and changes in drought. *Nat Clim Chang* **4**, 17–22 (2014).
11. Elliott, J. *et al.* Constraints and potentials of future irrigation water availability on agricultural production under climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **111**, 3239–3244 (2014).
12. Shaxson, F. & Barber, R. *Optimizing Soil Moisture for Plant Production. The Significance of Soil Porosity*. FAO Soils Bulletin **79** (FAO, Rome, 2003).
13. Fu, B., Wang, J., Chen, L. & Qiu, Y. The effects of land use on soil moisture variation in the Danangou catchment of the Loess Plateau, China. *Catena* **54**, 197–213 (2003).
14. Niyogi, D., Kishtawal, C., Tripathi, S. & Govindaraju, R. S. Observational evidence that agricultural intensification and land use change may be reducing the Indian summer monsoon rainfall. *Water Resour Res* **46**, doi:10.1029/2008WR007082 (2010).
15. Rosenzweig, C. *et al.* Attributing physical and biological impacts to anthropogenic climate change. *Nature* **453**, 353–357 (2008).
16. Dorigo, W. *et al.* Evaluating global trends (1988–2010) in harmonized multi-satellite surface soil moisture. *Geophys Res Lett* **39**, doi:10.1029/2012GL052988 (2012).
17. Nazareno, A. G., & Laurance, W. F. Brazil's drought: beware deforestation. *Science* **347**, 1427 (2015).
18. Liu, J. *et al.* The land use and land cover change database and its relative studies in China. *J Geogra Sci* **12**, 275–282 (2002).
19. Sakumura, C., Bettadpur, S. & Bruinsma, S. Ensemble prediction and intercomparison analysis of GRACE time variable gravity field models. *Geophys Res Lett* **41**, 1389–1397 (2014).
20. Fu, G., Chen, S., Liu, C. & Shepard, D. Hydro-climatic trends of the Yellow River basin for the last 50 years. *Climatic Change* **65**, 149–178 (2004).
21. Chen, J., He, D. & Cui, S. The response of river water quality and quantity to the development of irrigated agriculture in the last 4 decades in the Yellow River Basin, China. *Water Resour Res* **39**, doi:10.1029/2001WR001234 (2003).
22. Seneviratne, S. I. *et al.* Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing climate: A review. *Earth-Sci Rev* **99**, 125–161 (2010).
23. Palmer, W. C. *Meteorological drought*. (US Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau Washington, DC, USA, 1965).
24. McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J. & Kleist, J. *The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales*: 8th Conference on Applied Climatology, Anaheim, California, USA. Boston, Massachusetts: American Meteorological Society (1993, January 17–22).
25. Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S. & López-Moreno, J. I. A multiscalar drought index sensitive to global warming: the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. *J Climate* **23**, 1696–1718 (2010).
26. Tao, F., Yokozawa, M., Hayashi, Y. & Lin, E. Changes in agricultural water demands and soil moisture in China over the last half-century and their effects on agricultural production. *Agr Forest Meteorol* **118**, 251–261 (2003).
27. Jalota, S. *et al.* Soil texture, climate and management effects on plant growth, grain yield and water use by rainfed maize-wheat cropping system: Field and simulation study. *Agr Water Manage* **97**, 83–90 (2010).
28. Liu, R. *et al.* Distribution pattern of winter wheat root system. *Chinese Journal of Ecology* **37**, 2024–2027 (2008) (in Chinese).
29. Liu, J. *et al.* Temporal variation and spatial distribution of the root system of corn in a soil profile. *Chinese Journal of Eco-Agriculture* **17**, 517–521 (2009) (in Chinese).
30. Xie, X. *Crop Water Demand, Consumption and Water Use Efficiency in the Main Agriculture Ecosystem of China*. (China Meteorological Press, Beijing, 1996) (in Chinese).
31. Wu, K., Chen, J. & Xie, X. On the water consumption characteristics of winter wheat and water-saving in agriculture. *Acta Geographica Sinica* **52**, 455–460 (1997) (in Chinese).
32. Ren, H. & Luo, Y. The Experimental Research on the Water-consumption of Winter Wheat and Summer Maize in the Northwest Plain of Shandong Province. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage* **23**, 37–39 (2004) (in Chinese).
33. Liu, Z., Xiao, J. & Yu, X. Effects of different soil moisture treatments on morphological index, water consumption and yield of potatoes. *China Rural Water and Hydropower* **8**, 1–7 (2010) (in Chinese).
34. Zhang, J. *et al.* Effects of different film mulching modes on growth, yield and water use efficiency of winter rapeseed in dryland in Tianshui. *Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas* **31**, 79–80 (2013) (in Chinese).
35. He, J., Bian, X., Fu, Y. & Qin, Y. Research on Water Consumption and Its Law of Main Crops in West Liaohe River Plain. *Water-saving Irrigation* **11**, 1–4 (2012) (in Chinese).
36. Simpson, E. H. The interpretation of interaction in contingency tables. *J Roy Stat Soc. B Met* **13**, 238–241 (1951).
37. Ju, X. *et al.* Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. *P Natl Acad Sci* **106**, 3041–3046 (2009).
38. Guo, J. *et al.* Significant acidification in major Chinese croplands. *Science* **327**, 1008–1010 (2010).
39. Xin, L., Li, X. & Tan, M. Temporal and regional variations of China's fertilizer consumption by crops during 1998–2008. *J Geogra Sci* **22**, 643–652 (2012).
40. Raun, W. R. & Johnson, G. V. Improving nitrogen use efficiency for cereal production. *Agronomy J* **91**, 357–363 (1999).
41. Vitousek, P. M. *et al.* Nutrient imbalances in agricultural development. *Science* **324**, 1519–1520 (2009).
42. Gong, P., Liang, L. & Zhang, Q. China must reduce fertilizer use too. *Nature* **473**, 284–285 (2011).
43. Huang, Y., Sass, R., Sun, W., Zhang, W. & Yu, Y. *Reducing nitrogen fertilizer use to mitigate negative environmental impact in China* (James A. Baker III institute for public policy, Houston, TX, 2010).
44. Tong, C., Hall, C. A. & Wang, H. Land use change in rice, wheat and maize production in China (1961–1998). *Agr Ecosyst Environ* **95**, 523–536 (2003).
45. Kahrl, F. *et al.* Toward Sustainable Use of Nitrogen Fertilizers in China. *ARE Update* **14**, 5–7 (2010).
46. Huang, M., Dang, T., Gallichand, J. & Goulet, M. Effect of increased fertilizer applications to wheat crop on soil-water depletion in the Loess Plateau, China. *Agr Water Manage* **58**, 267–278 (2003).
47. Fan, T. *et al.* Long-term fertilizer and water availability effects on cereal yield and soil chemical properties in northwest China. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* **69**, 842–855 (2005).
48. Papademetriou, M. K. *Crop Diversification in the Asia-Pacific Region*. (FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, 2001).
49. Zhu, X., Li, Y., Li, M., Pan, Y. & Shi, P. Agricultural irrigation in China. *J Soil Water Conserv* **68**, 147A–154A (2013).
50. Wang, F. *et al.* Wheat cropping systems and technologies in China. *Field crop res* **111**, 181–188 (2009).
51. Fan, M. *et al.* Improving crop productivity and resource use efficiency to ensure food security and environmental quality in China. *J exp bot*, 1–12, doi:10.1093/jxb/err248 (2011)
52. Fan, M. *et al.* Integrated nutrient management for improving crop yields and nutrient utilization efficiencies in China. *J Soil Water Conserv* **63**, 126A–128A (2008).

53. Shepard, D. *Two-dimensional interpolation function for irregularly-spaced data*: 23rd ACM national conference, Las Vegas, Nevada, USA. New York: Association for Computing Machinery Press, doi: 10.1145/800186.810616 (1968, August 27–29).
54. Muramatsu, N. County-level income inequality and depression among older Americans. *Health Serv Res* **38**, 1863–1884 (2003).
55. Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., Neter, J. & Li, W. [Chapter 22 Analysis of Covariance] *Applied Linear Statistical Models*, Fifth edition, [917–950] (McGraw-Hill Irwin, New York, 2005).
56. Faraway, J. J. *Extending the Linear Model With R: Generalized Linear, Mixed Effects and Nonparametric Regression Models*. (CRC press, New York, 2005).
57. Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D. & Smith, M. *Crop Evapotranspiration-Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements-FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56*. (FAO, Rome, 1998).

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2012CB956204), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41371232 and 41271110), the National Science and Technology Support Program of China (No. 2012BAD09B02), the National Non-profit Research Foundation for Agriculture of China (201103039), the NASA Land Use and Land Cover Change program (NASA- NNX09AI26G, NN-H-04-Z-YS-005-N, and NNX09AM55G to Q.Z.), the Department of Energy (DE-FG02-08ER64599 to Q.Z.), the National Science Foundation (NSF-1028291 and NSF- 0919331 to Q.Z., NSF-CAREER AGS-0847472 to D.N.), the NSF Carbon and Water in the Earth Program (NSF-0630319 to Q.Z.). We acknowledge Chinese Meteorological Administration, Ministry of Water Resources of China and National Bureau of Statistics of China for provision of data used in this study. D.G.M. acknowledges financial support from Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) through grant 863.14.004, and the Belgian Science Policy Office (BELSPO) in the frame of the STEREO III programme, project SAT-EX (SR/00/306). This research was primarily conducted when the lead author Yaling Liu worked at China Agricultural University and Purdue University and was revised during her ongoing affiliation with Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

Author Contributions

Z.P., Y.L. and Q.Z. initiated this work and collected the data. Y.L., D.G.M., A.J.T., D.N. and Q.Z. designed the study. Y.L. performed the analysis. Y.L., D.G.M., A.J.T., D.N. and Z.P. wrote the manuscript. T.Z. offered statistical support, Z.D., J.Z. and D.H. assisted in the soil moisture analysis. All authors contributed to the discussions and interpretation of results.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Liu, Y. *et al.* Agriculture intensifies soil moisture decline in Northern China. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 11261; doi: 10.1038/srep11261 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>