SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS: CLINICAL TRIAL DESIGNS CLINICAL TRIALS

> Received 27 November 2014

> > Accepted 23 March 2015

> > > Published 21 April 2015

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.L. (lemon781106@ hotmail.com) or Y.Z. (zhangyqh@fmmu. edu.cn)

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Recombinant Mutated Human TNF in Combination with Chemotherapy for Stage IIIB/IV Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Randomized, Phase III Study

Xiaowen Ma¹*, Yang Song²*, Kuo Zhang¹*, Lei Shang³*, Yuan Gao¹, Wei Zhang¹, Xiaochang Xue¹, Huimin Jia⁴, Jian Geng⁵, Wei Zhou⁶, Yazheng Dang⁷, Enxiao Li⁸, Xinyu Ti⁹, Fulin Fan¹⁰, Yingqi Zhang¹ & Meng Li¹¹

¹State Key Laboratory of Cancer Biology, Biotechnology Center, School of Pharmacy, The Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China, ²Department of Oncology, Tangdu Hospital, The Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China, ³Department of Health Statistics, School of Public Health, The Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China, ⁴The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Xinjiang Medical University, Urumqi, China, ⁵Department of Medical Oncology, General Hospital of Nanjing Military Command, Medical School of Nanjing University, Nanjing, China, ⁶General Hospital of Ningxia Medical University, Yinchuan, China, ⁷Cancer Center, The 323 Hospital of People's Liberation Army, Xi'an, China, ⁸Department of Medical Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Xijing Hospital, The Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China, ¹⁰New Taihe Biopharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China, ¹¹Department of Pharmacogenomics, School of Pharmacy, The Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China, ¹⁰New Taihe Biopharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China, ¹¹Department of Pharmacogenomics, School of Pharmacy, The Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China.

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF), an anti-angiogenic agent in cancer treatment, is limited to isolated limb perfusion due to systemic toxicities. We previously prepared a TNF mutant (rmhTNF) that significantly improved responses in lung cancer patients and exhibited a promising safety profile in phase I and II studies. To further investigate whether rmhTNF with standard chemotherapy provides a survival benefit, 529 patients with stage IIIB/IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) were randomly assigned to receive docetaxel plus carboplatin/cisplatin with rmhTNF (265) or chemotherapy alone (264). After four cycles of treatment, the median overall survival was 13.7 months in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group compared with 10.3 months in the chemotherapy group (hazard ratio (HR) 0.75, P = 0.001). The median progression-free survival in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and the chemotherapy group was 8.6 and 4.5 months (HR 0.76, P = 0.001), respectively, with corresponding response rates of 38.5% and 27.7% (P = 0.008). Increased hyperpyrexia and pulmonary hemorrhage were associated with rmhTNF, but most effects were well tolerated. The results indicated that rmhTNF effectively potentiated chemotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC and was comparable with bevacizumab, an angiogenesis inhibitor approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for NSCLC.

on-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), a leading cause of cancer death, is often diagnosed at advanced stages when few treatment options are available^{1,2}. Although modest progress has been made with the use of platinum-based combination chemotherapy, additional treatment methods are needed^{3,4}. Angiogenesis is a hallmark of cancer⁵. Anti-angiogenic therapy can destroy or 'normalize' excessive and abnormal blood vessels in the tumor, thereby potentiating the effects of chemotherapy by improving the delivery of drugs and oxygen⁶. Bevacizumab (Avastin, Genentech/Roche, San Francisco, CA, US), a monoclonal antibody against vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of stage IIIB/IV non-squamous NSCLC in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in 2006⁷. The approval and the associated pivotal study proved that anti-angiogenic therapy is useful in advanced NSCLC^{8,9}.

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) is a monocyte-derived cytokine that stimulates the acute phase reaction of the immune system. Its abilities to destroy tumor vasculature, induce hemorrhagic necrosis in specific tumor types and synergize with various chemotherapy reagents were established two decades ago^{10–12}. However, as an immune stimulator and endogenous pyrogen, TNF is implicated in septic shock, cachexia and fever^{13,14}. The maximum tolerable dose of TNF in patients is 10-fold lower than the effective antitumor dose^{15–17}. The clinical application of

TNF has been limited to the isolated limb perfusion (ILP) setting for soft tissue sarcoma (STS) and melanoma in-transit metastases confined to the limb. Systemic toxicity is abolished by limb isolation and the resulting extra-corporeal circulation^{18,19}. An approximately 100% response rate acquired by ILP with TNF and melphalan in patients with STS has inspired various strategies to minimize the toxicities of TNF for its application as a systemic anti-cancer drug^{20–22}.

We previously prepared a recombinant mutated human TNF (rmhTNF, NAX[®]) featuring the deletion of the first seven amino acids and substitution of four amino acids (Arg for Pro at position 8, Lys for Ser at position 9, Arg for Asp at position 10, and Phe for Leu at position 157). rmhTNF exhibits 25-fold increased antitumor effects and at least a 50-fold increased LD50 (50% lethal dose) compared with wild type TNF^{23,24}. In previous phase I and II studies, rmhTNF plus chemotherapy achieved a 48.89% response rate compared with 17.78% for chemotherapy alone (P < 0.001) in patients with advanced NSCLC, and most adverse events (AEs) were well tolerated²⁵.

The NCD-ANSCLC (NAX[®] with Carboplatin/Cisplatin and Docetaxel in Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer) phase III trial was conducted to confirm phase II results. The primary objective was to compare overall survival (OS) of the rmhTNF and chemotherapy combination with standard chemotherapy. Progression-free survival (PFS), response rate (RR), survival rates and safety assessments were also reported.

Table 1 Baseline Patient Demographic and Clinical Characteristics						
	Chemotherapy plus rmhTNF (N=265) No. (%)	Chemotherapy (N=264) No. (%)				
Age (years)						
Median	54.2	55.6				
Range	27-69	28-70				
Sex						
Male	170 (64)	164 (62)				
Female	95 (36)	100 (38)				
Smoking status						
Current and Former	140 (53)	143 (54)				
Never	125 (47)	121 (46)				
Stage of disease						
IIIB	175 (66)	174 (66)				
IV	90 (34)	90 (34)				
Histological type						
Adenocarcinoma	125 (47)	126 (48)				
Squamous cell carcinoma Large	140 (53)	138 (52)				
cell carcinoma Others						
ECOG PS						
0	69 (26)	74 (28)				
1	196 (74)	190 (72)				
Chemotherapy regimen						
Docetaxel + Carboplatin	241 (91)	246 (93)				
Docetaxel + Cisplatin	24 (9)	18 (7)				
Time since diagnosis (weeks)						
Median (Range)	10.2 (1–79)	11 (1–146)				
Prior therapy						
Chemotherapy	148 (56)	145 (55)				
Surgery	138 (52)	156 (59)				
Biologic therapy (monoclonal	64 (24)	66 (25)				
antibodies, vaccines)						
Radiotherapy	29 (11)	24 (9)				
Follow-up anticancer therapy	N=260	N=260				
Any therapy	150 (58)	181 (70)				
Chemotherapy	116 (45)	130 (50)				
Radiotherapy	15 (6)	21 (8)				
Biologic therapy	44 (17)	59 (23)				
Surgery	8 (3)	5 (2)				
Unknown	27 (10)	8 (3)				
ECOG PS: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Gro	oup Performance Status.					

Results

An independent data monitoring committee reviewed the data and recommended the release of the study results in March 2012, given that the criteria for significance pre-specified in the protocol had been achieved. The results reported here include follow-up through December 2012 (median follow-up was 15.8 months for the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and 13.6 months for the chemotherapy alone group). The patients lost during follow-up were included in disease progression or death data.

Population Characteristics. Between January 2007 and April 2010. 529 patients were randomly assigned to receive chemotherapy plus rmhTNF (n = 265) or chemotherapy alone (n = 264). Demographic and baseline characteristics were balanced between the treatment arms (Table 1). No significant differences were noted regarding patient characteristics in various strata. In addition, 19 and 32 patients discontinued treatment in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF and chemotherapy groups, respectively, due to disease progression, adverse events, refusal or other reasons (Fig. 1). Five patients in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and 3 patients in the chemotherapy group were lost to follow-up. Only 1 patient in the chemotherapy group was not followed. The most common follow-up therapy administered was chemotherapy (post-study treatment). More patients in the chemotherapy group (70%) received follow-up therapies than in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group (58%).

Efficacy. The primary analysis consisted of all randomly assigned patients (the intention-to-treat population, ITT). The median OS was 13.7 months [95% confidence intervals (CI) 12.34-15.06] for the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group compared with 10.6 months [95% CI 9.27-11.94] for the chemotherapy group (hazard ratio, HR 0.75 [95% CI 0.63–0.89], P = 0.001, Fig. 2A). The median PFS was 8.6 months [95% CI 7.05-10.14] for the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and 4.5 months [95% CI 3.39-5.61] for the chemotherapy group (HR 0.76 [95% CI 0.64-0.90], P = 0.001, Fig. 2B). Secondary end points including RR, response duration and survival rates are presented in Table 2. In total, 102 (38.5%) patients in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and 73 (27.7%) in the chemotherapy group exhibited a CR (complete response) or PR (partial response). The RR of the combination group was significantly increased compared with the chemotherapy group (odd ratio (OR) 1.64 [95% CI 1.14–2.36], P = 0.008). The median



Figure 1 | Enrollment, randomization, and follow-up of study patients. Chemotherapy = docetaxel plus carboplatin or cisplatin; rmhTNF, recombinant mutated human tumor necrosis factor.





Figure 2 | **Kaplan-Meier curves of the pre-specified stratification populations.** (A) Overall survival in the intention-to-treat population. (B) Progression-free survival in the intention-to-treat population. (C) Overall survival in populations whose median survival exhibited significant differences after rmhTNF plus chemotherapy treatment or chemotherapy alone (P < 0.05). (D) Overall survival in the populations whose median survival did not exhibit significant differences after rmhTNF plus chemotherapy treatment or chemotherapy alone (P > 0.05). Chemotherapy = docetaxel plus carboplatin or cisplatin; rmhTNF, recombinant mutated human tumor necrosis factor; CI, confidence intervals.

response duration was 5.8 months (interquartile range (IQR) 3.6–11) for the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and 4.1 months (IQR 2.8–9.8) for the chemotherapy group. At 1 year, 147 (55.5%) patients in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group survived compared with 111

(42%) in the chemotherapy group (OR 1.72 [95% CI 1.22–2.42], P = 0.002). At 4 years, 18 (6.8%) patients in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group survived compared with 1 (0.4%) in the chemotherapy group (OR 19.17 [95% CI 2.54–144.65], P < 0.001).

Table 2 Efficacy Results i	n Intent to Treatment Population (ITT)			
	Chemotherapy plus rmhTNF N=265 No. (%)	Chemotherapy N=264 No. (%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p value
Survival rate				
l year	147 (55.5)	111 (42)	1.717 (1.217-2.422)	0.002
2 years	55 (20.8)	53 (20.1)	1.043 (0.683-1.591)	0.846
3 years	37 (14)	28 (10.6)	1.368 (0.81-2.309)	0.24
4 years	18 (6.8)	1 (0.4)	19.166 (2.54-144.645)	< 0.001
Response				
RR (CR+PR)	102 (38.5)	73 (27.7)	1.637 (1.135-2.361)	0.008
CR	4 (1.5)	Ō	/	0.045
PR	98 (37)	73 (27.7)	1.535 (1.063-2.217)	0.022
SD	105 (39.6)	117 (44.3)	0.825 (0.583-1.165)	0.274
PD	58 (21.9)	74 (28)	0.719 (0.484-1.069)	0.103
Response duration (Month)				
First quartile	3.6	2.8	/	< 0.001
Median	5.8	4.1	/	< 0.001
Third quartile	11	9.8	/	< 0.001
RR: Response Rate, CR: Complete Res	ponse, PR: Partial Response, SD: Stable Disease, PD: Progressiv	e Disease, RR=CR+PR, CI: Confidence Intervo	als.	

No significant differences were observed between the survival rates of the two arms at 2 and 3 years.

Subgroup Analyses. Regarding male patients, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 14.0 months [95% CI 12.53-15.47] compared with 10.9 months [95% CI 8.92-12.88] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.74 [95% CI 0.60-0.92], P = 0.007). For current and former smokers (who stopped smoking less than 5 years ago), the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 14.6 months [95% CI 13.52-15.68] compared with 9.8 months [95% CI 7.61-12.0] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.70 [95% CI 0.55-0.88], P = 0.002). In PS 1 (European Cooperative Oncology Group, ECOG performance status) patients, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 14.5 months [95% CI 9.81-15.19] compared with 10.9 months [95% CI 6.06-11.94] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.70 [95% CI 0.57–0.86], P = 0.001). For patients with stage IIIB disease, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 14.0 months [95% CI 12.19-15.81] compared with 10.7 months [95% CI 9.04-12.36] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.75 [95% CI 0.60–0.93], P = 0.007). In patients with adenocarcinoma, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 13.5 months [95% CI 11.73-15.27] compared with 9.0 months [95% CI 6.29-11.71] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.69 [95% CI 0.54–0.89], P = 0.004). For patients who previously underwent surgery, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 14.8 months [95% CI 12.74-16.86] compared with 9.9 months [95% CI 8.22-11.58] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.72 [95%CI 0.57–0.91], P = 0.005). When carboplatin was administered, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 14.0 months [95% CI 12.43-15.57] compared with 10.7 months [95% CI 9.16-12.24] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.77 [95% CI 0.64-0.92], P = 0.004). When cisplatin was administered, the median OS of the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group was 12.6 months [95% CI 9.85-15.50] compared with 9.0 months [95% CI 1.72-12.72] in the chemotherapy group (HR 0.48 [95% CI 0.25–0.93], P = 0.024). No significant differences were observed between the two arms for females, never smokers (including those who stopped smoking more than 5 years previously), PS 0 patients, patients with stage IV disease, and patients with diseases other than adenocarcinoma. In patients who previously received radiotherapy, chemotherapy and/or biological therapy, no significant differences were observed (Fig. 2C, 2D). However, HR analyses revealed that rmhTNF was beneficial in all the subgroups assessed if CIs were not considered. The HRs of the median OS based on patient stratification are summarized in Figure 3. The most significant benefit was noted in

current and former smokers, patients with adenocarcinoma and patients administered cisplatin.

Safety. All patients who received the study treatment (261 in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group and 259 in the chemotherapy group) were included in the analysis of toxic effects. Table 3 presents the rates of adverse events (AEs) in each treatment group. Most AEs were grade 1 or 2. Incidences of grade 3 and 4 pyrexia (drug-related fever), flu-like symptoms and hematemesis were significantly increased in chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group compared with the chemotherapy group (P < 0.05). These events were considered rmhTNF related. In the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group, 7 patients withdrew due to pyrexia, and 3 withdrew due to neutropenia. In the chemotherapy group, 3 patients withdrew due to most common AEs noted in the two groups.

Fatal AEs were reported in ten patients. Seven were reported with chemotherapy plus rmhTNF, and three were reported with chemotherapy alone. Of the seven fatal AEs in the combination group, 3 were attributed to pulmonary hemorrhage (hematemesis),



Figure 3 | Forest plot of hazard ratios for overall survival assessed by subgroup factors. Horizontal lines represent confidence intervals (CI). ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status.

	Chemotherapy plus rmhTNF (n=261)			Chemotherapy (n=259)		
	All Grade No. (%)	Grade 3 No. (%)	Grade 4 No. (%)	All Grade No. (%)	Grade 3 No. (%)	Grade 4 No. (%)
		AEs Experience	ed by >10% of Patie	ents		
Diarrhea	256 (97)	1 (<1)	0	259 (98)	3 (1.1)	0
Rash	256 (97)	1 (<1)	2 (<1)	264 (100)	1 (<1)	0
Albuminuria	255 (96)	1 (<1)	0	262 (99)	2 (<1)	0
Arrhythmia	254 (96)	Ò	0	262 (99)	Õ	0
Infection (Non-neutropenia)	246 (93)	2 (<1)	0	262 (99)	1 (<1)	0
ALT Increase	246 (93)	5 (2)	0	248 (94)	8 (3)	0
AST Increase	234 (89)	3 (1)	1 (<1)	247 (94)	2 (<1)	1 (<1)
Stomatitis	246 (93)	Ô,	ò	264 (100)	1 (<1)	ò
Thrombocytopenia	207 (78)	8 (3)	5 (2)	182 (69)	13 (5)	11 (4)
Fatigue	185 (70)	5 (2)	Ò	169 (64)	4 (1)	Ò
Myalgia	185 (70)	1 (<1)	0	259 (98)	Ô	0
Flu-like symptoms	170 (64)	10 (4)	1 (<1)	264 (100)	0	0
Pyrexia	162 (61)	16 (6)	8 (3)	248 (94)	0	0
Neutropenia	133 (50)	34 (13)	8 (3)	121 (46)	21 (8)	16 (6)
Leukopenia	122 (46)	29 (11)	13 (5)	66 (25)	24 (9)	13 (5)
Nausea	117 (44)	8 (3)	Ò	63 (24)	24 (9)	3 (1)
Vomiting	115 (43)	5 (2)	0	58 (22)	15 (6)	Ô
Abdominal Pain	87 (33)	Ò	0	74 (28)	Õ	0
Chest Pain	85 (32)	0	0	58 (22)	0	0
		AEs of	Special Interest			
Myalgia	185 (70)	1 (<1)	0	259 (98)	0	0
Flu-like symptoms*	170 (64)	10 (4)	1 (<1)	264 (100)	0	0
Pyrexia*	162 (61)	16 (6)	8 (3)	248 (94)	0	0
Febrile Neutropenia	16 (6)	Ò	Ò	21 (8)	0	0
Hematemesis *	16 (6)	0	3 (1)	Ô	0	0
Hypotension	2 (<1)	2 (<1)	Ô	0	0	0
Pulmonary Embolus	1 (<1)	1 (<1)	0	1 (<1)	1 (<1)	0
Cardiac event	1 (< 1)	1(<1)	0	2(<1)	2(<1)	0

2 to hypotension, 1 to pulmonary embolism and 1 to myocardial infarction. The three fatal AEs in the chemotherapy group included 2 cardiac events and 1 pulmonary embolism. Pulmonary hemorrhage and hypotension were considered rmhTNF related. Cardiac events were considered paclitaxel related. Other fatal AEs were deemed unrelated to the treatment.

Discussion

Unlike normal blood vessels, tumor-associated vasculature is poorly organized and hyper-permeable⁵. As a result, interstitial hypertension exists within solid tumors. Interstitial hypertension and compression from cancer cells greatly compromises the delivery and effectiveness of conventional cytotoxic therapies²⁶. Based on the death domain of the TNF receptor 1, TNF induces excessive apoptosis in endothelial cells and pericytes, which results in pruning of tumor vessels and a decrease in interstitial hypertension²⁷. These effects facilitate the augmented distribution of the drug in the tumor and better exposure of the tumor cells to the cytostatic agent^{18, 28}. The addition of TNF- α improves the accumulation of chemotherapeutic drugs selectively in the tumor up to three- to six-fold in rat models. However, the wide expression of TNF receptors in the immune system and the downstream NF-KB stimulation signals caused uncontrollable hyperpyrexia when TNF- α was used as an anti-angiogenic agent in cancer treatment²⁹. rmhTNF has a specific activity of 1×10^9 unit/mg defined by the standard lysis method (actinomycin D-treated mouse L929 cells), which is at least 50-fold higher than TNF. The dose adopted in our studies (4 \times 10⁶ unit/m²/day) was actually $4 \mu g/m^2/day$ after undergoing calculations based on activity. Moderate side effects of rmhTNF were promised, as 100 to 400 µg/m²/day was considered effective for wild-type TNF^{30,31}. In the present study, most side effects were well tolerated, and only 3 patients discontinued treatment due to fever. Pulmonary hemorrhage was another AE of special concern in our study. Hemorrhage is common in anti-angiogenic treatments. The incidence of life-threatening pulmonary hemorrhage was approximately 2% for bevacizumab in the treatment of patients with advanced, nonsquamous NSCLC⁸. Therefore, hemoptysis was an exclusion criterion in the present study and should be monitored carefully.

Our results indicated that the addition of rmhTNF to a standard platinum-based chemotherapy regimen improved OS (13.7 months vs. 10.6 months, HR 0.75, P = 0.001). In addition, rmhTNF prolonged PFS and improved the RR and one-year survival rate. The benefits of rmhTNF were comparable to bevacizumab, which significantly improved the survival of patients with advanced NSCLC when combined with chemotherapy (12.3 months vs. 10.3 months, HR 0.79, P = 0.003)⁸. Patients in our study received four cycles of therapy, whereas most patients in bevacizumab trials were administered at least five cycles of combination treatment and long-term maintenance with monoclonal antibody. From the perspective of treatment costs, rmhTNF was more acceptable than monoclonal antibody for Chinese patients.

In the present study, OS improvements with rmhTNF were not consistent among all pre-specified stratification groups. Although the median OS was improved with rmhTNF plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone, the differences were not statistically significant in some strata (Fig. 2D). However, HR analyses according to baseline characteristics indicated that rmhTNF was beneficial in all the subgroups assessed if CIs were not considered (Fig. 3). Possible explanations for this flaw include imbalances between the two groups with respect to known or unknown baseline prognostic factors, imbalances in the use of off-study therapies, statistical chance, or a true difference. In conclusion, the addition of rmhTNF to a platinum-based, twoagent chemotherapy regimen conferred significant improvement in OS, PFS, RR and survival rate in NSCLC patients with a good performance status. Increased toxic effects, particularly hyperpyrexia and pulmonary hemorrhage, were associated with the addition of rmhTNF. These risks must be considered and monitored carefully when administering rmhTNF plus docetaxel and carboplatin/cisplatin for the treatment of patients with NSCLC.

Patients and Methods

Study Design and Population. NCD-ANSCLC was a multi-center, randomized, double-blind, phase III study comparing rmhTNF with docetaxel plus carboplatin or cisplatin versus chemotherapy alone in patients with stage IIIB/IV NSCLC. The study was performed in 6 hospitals in China. Eligible patients (\geq 18 years) were required to have histologically or cytologically confirmed stage IIIB/IV NSCLC. Other inclusion criteria were measurable lesions as defined by the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST version 3.0), a European Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) PS of 0 or 1, predicted life expectancy \geq 12 weeks from first study-drug dose, and adequate hematologic, hepatic, cardiac and renal function. A washout period from previous treatments was required (2 to 6 weeks). Exclusion criteria included hemoptysis (1/2 tsp or more per event); central nervous system metastases; pregnancy or lactation; a history of documented hemorrhagic diathesis or coagulopathy; therapeutic anticoagulation; regular use of aspirin (> 325 mg/day), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, or other agents known to inhibit platelet function; a history of hypersensitivity to paclitaxel, polypeptide drugs or biologics; and previous exposure to taxanes.

The study was approved by the ethics committee of each participating center (the Ethics Committee of West China Center of Medical Sciences, Sichuan University; the Ethics Committee of the Fourth Military Medical University; the Ethics Committee of Xinjiang Medical University; the Ethics Committee of Ningxia Medical University; the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Medical College, Xi'an Jiaotong University; the Ethics Committee of the General Hospital of Nanjing Military Command) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All patients provided written informed consent before any study-related procedure.

This trial is registered with Chictr.org (Chinese Clinical Trial Registry; number ChiCTR-IPR-14005500).

Randomization and Masking. Research coordinators at each center randomly assigned eligible patients in a 1:1 ratio by use of a clinical trial randomization system³². The system could minimized imbalance between treatment groups within each stratum and generated a unique number for each patient. These numbers were reported to the hospital staff when patients were assigned. In turn, the hospital staff referred to a manual of unique numbers generated by an independent statistician prior to study activation to determine the study treatment allocated to the randomized patient. Study investigators, research coordinators, attending care teams, the patients and their families were blinded to treatment allocation.

Procedures. Randomly assigned patients received four cycles of docetaxel (75 mg/m² on day 1 of a 3 week cycle; intravenous (IV)) and platinum chemotherapy (carboplatin 5 × area under the curve or cisplatin 75 mg/m² on day 1 of a 3 week cycle, IV) plus rmhTNF (4×10^6 units?m²?day on days 1-7 and days 11-17 of each cycle, intramuscular (IM)) (chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group) or docetaxel and platinum (chemotherapy group). Treatment discontinuation or interruption due to AEs could occur at any time. Dosing could be interrupted for a maximum of 2 weeks if clinically indicated. At disease progression, treatment was unmasked. Patients in the chemotherapy group could continue or receive further treatment at their investigator's discretion. Patients in the chemotherapy plus rmhTNF group were required to discontinue treatment.

Assessments and End Points. All patients were hospitalized for frequent monitoring of clinical signs during treatments. AEs and clinically significant laboratory abnormalities were recorded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 3.0). After baseline evaluation, tumor responses were assessed by use of CT (computed tomography) with RECIST every 6 weeks for the treatment period, every 12 weeks for the first two years of follow-up, and then every 24 weeks until disease progression or death. An independent review committee of clinicians and radiologists masked to patient assignment reviewed all images and determined tumor response and progression status.

The primary efficacy end point was OS (the time from random assignment to death from any cause). Secondary end points included PFS (the time from randomization to documented disease progression or death), RR (CR+PR), response duration and survival rate.

Statistical Analysis. All efficacy analyses were based on a comparison of the assigned treatments. The primary analysis comprised all randomly assigned patients (ITT). The safety population consisted of all patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and were subject to at least one post-baseline safety assessment. Based on an OS of 10.3 months in the control group of the previous study⁸, approximately 382

deaths were required to detect a HR of 0.75 (chemotherapy plus rmhTNF vs. chemotherapy alone) at 80% power with a two-sided log-rank test and an α -level of 5%. Accounting for patient ineligibility and withdrawal (approximately 15%), 529 patients were required.

Event-time distributions were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method. Cox proportional-hazards models, stratified according to the disease stages, tumor histology, smoking status, chemotherapy reagents and prior therapies, were used to estimate HRs and to test for significance of the timing of events. The proportional hazard assumptions of the data were tested before Cox regression analysis. The method and results of the proportional hazard assumption test were shown in the supplementary information. Responses, survival rates, ORs and AEs were analyzed using Fisher's exact tests. Zelen's test for homogeneity of ORs across strata was performed to validate data. All reported P-values are two-sided, and CIs are at the 95% level.

Role of the Funding Source. This trial was funded by New Taihe Biopharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China and was designed and monitored by the Clinical Pharmacology Department of West China Center of Medical Sciences, Sichuan University. Data were gathered, analyzed, and interpreted by New Taihe as well as all authors and investigators. The corresponding author had full access to the study data and took full responsibility for the final decision to submit the report for publication.

- 1. Jemal, A. et al. Global cancer statistics. C.A. Cancer J. Clin. 61, 69-90 (2011).
- Chen, F., Cole, P. & Bina, W. F. Time trend and geographic patterns of lung adenocarcinoma in the United States, 1973-2002. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 16, 2724–2729 (2007).
- Peters, S. *et al.* Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 7, 56–64 (2012).
- Schiller, J. H. et al. Comparison of four chemotherapy regimens for advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 346, 92–98 (2002).
- Carmeliet, P. & Jain, R. K. Angiogenesis in cancer and other diseases. *Nature*. 407, 249–257 (2000).
- 6. Jain, R. K. Normalizing tumor vasculature with anti-angiogenic therapy: a new paradigm for combination therapy. *Nat. Med.* **7**, 987–989 (2001).
- FDA Approved Drug Products, FDA. Drugs Web site, (2006). Available at: http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/SCRIPTS/CDER/DRUGSATFDA/INDEX.CFM. [Access: 8th October 2014]
- Sandler, A. et al. Paclitaxel-carboplatin alone or with bevacizumab for non-smallcell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 355, 2542–2550 (2006).
- Lopez-Chavez, A. et al. Bevacizumab maintenance in patients with advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer, clinical patterns, and outcomes in the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group 4599 Study: results of an exploratory analysis. J. Thorac. Oncol. 7, 1707–1712 (2012).
- Watanabe, N. et al. Toxic effect of tumor necrosis factor on tumor vasculature in mice. Cancer Res. 48, 2179–2183 (1988).
- Folli, S. *et al.* Tumor-necrosis factor can enhance radio-antibody uptake in human colon carcinoma xenografts by increasing vascular permeability. *Int. J. Cancer.* 53, 829–836 (1993).
- 12. Brouckaert, P. *et al.* Tumor necrosis factor-alpha augmented tumor response in B16BL6 melanoma-bearing mice treated with stealth liposomal doxorubicin (Doxil) correlates with altered Doxil pharmacokinetics. *Int. J. Cancer.* **109**, 442–448 (2004).
- Locksley, R. M., Killeen, N. & Lenardo, M. J. The TNF and TNF receptor superfamilies: integrating mammalian biology. *Cell*. 104, 487–501 (2001).
- Kriegler, M., Perez, C., DeFay, K., Albert, I. & Lu, S. D. A novel form of TNF/ cachectin is a cell surface cytotoxic transmembrane protein: ramifications for the complex physiology of TNF. *Cell.* 53, 45–53 (1988).
- Skillings, J. *et al.* A phase II study of recombinant tumor necrosis factor in renal cell carcinoma: a study of the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group. *J. Immunother (1991).* 11, 67–70 (1992).
- Schiller, J. H. et al. Biological and clinical effects of intravenous tumor necrosis factor-alpha administered three times weekly. *Cancer Res.* 51, 1651–1658 (1991).
- Saks, S., Rosenblum, M. Recombinant human TNF-alpha: preclinical studies and results from early clinical trials. *Immunol. Ser.* 56, 567–587 (1992).
- Lejeune, F. J., Liénard, D., Matter, M., Rüegg, C. Efficiency of recombinant human TNF in human cancer therapy. *Cancer Immun.* 6, 6 (2006).
- Deroose, J. P. *et al.* 20 years experience of TNF-based isolated limb perfusion for in-transit melanoma metastases: TNF dose matters. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 19, 627–635 (2012).
- 20. Gregorc, V. *et al.* Phase Ib study of NGR-hTNF, a selective vascular targeting agent, administered at low doses in combination with doxorubicin to patients with advanced solid tumours. *Br. J. Cancer.* **101**, 219–224 (2009).
- Wang, H. et al. Integrin-targeted imaging and therapy with RGD4C-TNF fusion protein. Mol. Cancer Ther. 7, 1044–1053 (2008).
- Varfolomeev, E. *et al.* IAP antagonists induce autoubiquitination of c-IAPs, NF-kappaB activation, and TNFalpha-dependent apoptosis. *Cell.* 131, 669–681 (2007).
- 23. Yan, Z. *et al.* A mutated human tumor necrosis factor-alpha improves the therapeutic index in vitro and in vivo. *Cytotherapy.* **8**, 415–423 (2006).

- Li, M. et al. Safety evaluation and pharmacokinetics of a novel human tumor necrosis factor-alpha exhibited a higher antitumor activity and a lower systemic toxicity. Anticancer Drugs. 21, 243–251 (2010).
- 25. Li, M. et al. Phase II multicenter, randomized, double-blind study of recombinant mutated human tumor necrosis factor-alpha in combination with chemotherapies in cancer patients. *Cancer Sci.* 103, 288–295 (2012).
- Jain, R. K. The next frontier of molecular medicine: delivery of therapeutics. *Nat. Med.* 4, 655–657 (1998).
- Van Horssen, R., Ten Hagen, T. L. & Eggermont, A. M. TNF-alpha in cancer treatment: molecular insights, antitumor effects, and clinical utility. *Oncologist.* 11, 397–408 (2006).
- van der Veen, A. H. *et al.* TNF-alpha augments intratumoural concentrations of doxorubicin in TNF-alpha-based isolated limb perfusion in rat sarcoma models and enhances anti-tumour effects. *Br. J. Cancer.* 82, 973–980 (2000).
- Wajant, H., Pfizenmaier, K. & Scheurich P. Tumor necrosis factor signaling. *Cell Death Differ.* 10, 45–65 (2003).
- Furman, W. L. *et al.* Phase I clinical trial of recombinant human tumor necrosis factor in children with refractory solid tumors: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 11, 2205–2210 (1993).
- 31. Starnes, C. O. Coley's toxins in perspective. Nature. 357, 11-12 (1992).
- 32. Clinical Trail Randomization System. Available at: http://random.302cr.net/ [Access: 4th February 2015]

Author contributions

Y. Z., M. L. and F. F. designed the study and coordinated the distributions of patients and the participant nurses in six hospitals. X. M., K. Z., Y. G., L. S., X. Z., W. Z. and X. X. collected

data, performed the statistical analysis and prepared all tables and figures. X. M. and Y. S. wrote the main manuscript text. Y. S., H. J., J. G., Y. D., E. L., X. T. participated in the assignments of patients and follow up. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ scientificreports

Clinical trial registration: This trial is registered with Chictr.org (Chinese Clinical Trial Registry), number ChiCTR-IPR-14005500.

Competing financial interests: The study was supported by New Taihe Biopharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China. Y. Z. has received research grants and travel accommodations from New Taihe, and was a consultant with New Taihe. Y. S., H. J., J. G., Y. D., E. L., and X. T. received honoraria from New Taihe. F. F. is a paid contractor for New Taihe and owns stocks in the company. Other authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Ma, X. *et al*. Recombinant Mutated Human TNF in Combination with Chemotherapy for Stage IIIB/IV Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Randomized, Phase III Study. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 9918; DOI:10.1038/srep09918 (2014).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN Erratum: Recombinant Mutated Human TNF in Combination with Chemotherapy for Stage IIIB/IV Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Randomized, Phase III Study

Xiaowen Ma, Yang Song, Kuo Zhang, Lei Shang, Yuan Gao, Wei Zhang, Xiaochang Xue, Huimin Jia, Jian Geng, Wei Zhou, Yazheng Dang, Enxiao Li, Xinyu Ti, Fulin Fan, Yinggi Zhang & Meng Li

Correction to: Scientific Reports https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09918; published online 21 April 2015; updated 19 March 2018

The original version of this Article contained a typographical error in the volume number '5' was incorrectly given as '4'. This error has now been corrected in the PDF and HTML versions of the Article.

① This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2018