OPEN

SUBJECT AREAS:
CANCER SCREENING
PROGNOSTIC MARKERS
LUNG CANCER

Prognostic value of serum cytokeratin 19 fragments (Cyfra 21-1) in patients with non-small cell lung cancer

Youtao Xu^{1,2*}, Lei Xu^{3*}, Mantang Qiu^{1,4*}, Jie Wang¹, Qing Zhou^{1,5}, Lin Xu¹, Jian Wang³ & Rong Yin¹

Received
29 October 2014

Accepted
23 February 2015

Published
22 April 2015

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to L.X. (xulin83cn@gmail.com); J.W. (wangjianjnyy@126.com) or R.Y. (yinhero001@126.com)

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Cancer Hospital, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Molecular and Translational Cancer Research, Cancer Institute of Jiangsu Province, Baiziting 42, Nanjing, P.R. China, 210009, ²The First Clinical College of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, 210000, China, ³Department of Thoracic Surgery, The Affiliated Jiangning Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, No. 168 Dongshan Street Gushan Road, Nanjing 211100, China, ⁴The Fourth Clinical College of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210000, China, ⁵Department of Oncology, Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Cancer Hospital, Baiziting 42, Nanjing, P.R. China, 210009.

The role of serum CYFRA 21-1 level in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) remains to be defined. To re-evaluate the impact of serum CYFRA 21-1 in NSCLC survival, we performed this meta-analysis. Databases were searched to identify relevant studies reported after the publication of a meta-analysis in 2004. Totally, 31 studies with 6394 patients were included in this meta-analysis. The pooled Hazard ratios (HRs) indicated that high CYFRA 21-1 level was associated with poor prognosis on overall survival (OS) in patients with NSCLC (HR = 1.60; 95%CI = 1.36–1.89; $P < 0.001$). The pooled HRs were 2.18 (95%CI = 1.70, 2.80; $P = 0.347$) for patients at stage I–IIIA and 1.47 (95%CI = 1.02, 2.11; $P < 0.001$) for stage IIIB–IV. When stratified by surgical intervention, pooled HRs were 1.94 (95%CI = 1.42–2.67; $P < 0.001$) for studies with surgery and 1.24 (95%CI = 0.79–1.95; $P < 0.001$) for studies without surgery. Significant associations were also found in the patients treated with EGFR-TKIs (HR = 1.83; 95%CI = 1.31–2.58; $P = 0.011$) and platinum-based regimen (HR = 1.53; 95%CI = 1.18–1.99; $P = 0.001$). Meta-analysis of CYFRA 21-1 related to PFS was performed and pooled HR was 1.41 (95%CI = 1.19–1.69; $P < 0.001$). Our results indicate that high level of serum CYFRA 21-1 is a negative prognostic indicator of patients with NSCLC.

Lung cancer remains the most frequent cause of cancer death globally. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 80–85% of lung cancers¹. Surgery is the most promising treatment modality for potential cure. However, even patients with stage I NSCLC suffer a 30% risk of relapse after resection². In addition, a great majority of patients, approximately 80%, are diagnosed in advanced stages³. In spite of the improvements in diagnostic and therapeutic techniques on lung cancer over the past few decades, the prognosis is still poor, with an estimated survival of only 15% at 5 years⁴. In recent years, many independent clinical and biological prognostic factors for lung cancer have been reported, such as stage, performance status (PS), age, K-ras oncogene mutations, Ki-67 expression, p16 promoter hypermethylation and excision repair cross-complementing 1 (ERCC1) polymorphism^{5–8}. Correct identification of molecular prognostic factors may contribute to a better understanding of cancer development, clinical outcome, and eventually facilitate the rational selection of therapeutic strategies.

CYFRA 21-1 is a fragment of cytokeratin (CK) 19 and CKs are the principal structural elements of the cytoskeleton of epithelial cells, including bronchial epithelial cells. CK19 is expressed in the unstratified or pseudostratified epithelium lining the bronchial tree, and been reported to be overexpressed in many lung cancer tissue specimens. The CYFRA 21-1 expression patterns in tissues are well-maintained even during the process of transformation of the tissue from normal to tumor tissue^{9,10}. Many studies demonstrated high expression and diagnostic value of CYFRA 21-1 in NSCLC¹¹. Also, some researches reported that CYFRA 21-1 expression was associated with metastatic lymph nodes, TNM stage, tumor size, and differentiation¹². CYFRA 21-1 has been identified to be a sensitive biomarker in NSCLC. It was reported that the sensitivity of CYFRA 21-1 in diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma was 62%¹³. Following the first identification of high serum CYFRA 21-1 level as a valuable prognostic marker in lung cancer patients in 1993¹⁴, numerous studies have been performed to validate the result. A meta-analysis of the prognostic role of serum CYFRA 21-1 for NSCLC was reported in 2004¹⁵. They analyzed the data from 1993 to 2001, and demonstrated that a high serum CYFRA 21-1 level was correlated with

poor prognosis for NSCLC patients whatever the planned treatment. However, the result of the patients having undergone surgery only showed a trend of statistical significance. Furthermore, this meta-analysis had the following limitations: relatively short follow-ups, including a small number of accrued patients, and not providing detailed treatments for patients who did not undergo surgery.

Subsequently, many studies investigating the role of serum CYFRA 21-1 with more updated therapeutic strategies for NSCLC and larger numbers of accrued patients have been performed^{16–46}. However, these studies yielded conflicting results. Therefore, we conducted an updated meta-analysis using data from these studies to reappraise the effect of serum CYFRA 21-1 on the prognosis in patients with NSCLC.

Results

Characteristics of eligible studies. After deleting the duplications, a total of 570 potentially relevant publications were collected after initial search. Among these, 313 articles related to the prognosis of lung cancer were reserved. Then 89 studies primarily researching the CYFRA 21-1 level were selected in full text for further screening after reviewing the abstracts. 49 studies were excluded for only investigating the clinical characteristics rather than specific OS, involving small cell lung cancer (SCLC), or other out of the scope according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, consequently leaving 40 available for further review. After carefully reading, 31 studies, with a total number of 6394 patients were identified in this meta-analysis (Figure 1). Some studies reported two endpoints, and they were analyzed separately. The main characteristics of the evaluable studies were listed in Table 1.

In the present meta-analysis, statistical calculations for OS were performed in 31 studies. Sample size of studies ranged from 48 to 1202. 17 studies were performed in Asian, 13 studies were in Caucasian, and one study was in mixed populations. 6 studied NSCLC patients at stage I–IIIA, 9 studied patients at stage IIIB–I, and 15 studied the wide range of stage I–IV. 12 were conducted prospectively, 17 were performed retrospectively, and 2 were not available. The numbers of studies reported all of the patients with surgery and without surgery were 9 and 9, respectively. 7 studies including 1061 patients were involved in PFS calculation. The detailed information of them was described in Table 1.

Serum CYFRA 21-1 was dichotomized into high and low levels, and different cut-off value was selected in each study. Most of the studies utilized the manufacturer's instructions, some applied the median or mean levels as cut-off values, and the remaining studied defined the value by themselves or a ROC curve.

Main results. The main results of the meta-analysis were listed in Table 2. Among the 31 trials eligible for assessing the relationship between CYFRA 21-1 and OS, the pooled HR was 1.60 (95%CI = 1.36–1.89; $P < 0.001$) (Figure 2), indicating that high serum CYFRA 21-1 level predicted poor OS for NSCLC. In the subgroup analysis by TNM stage, for patients with NSCLC at stage I–IIIA (resected tumor), the pooled HRs were 2.18 (95%CI = 1.70, 2.80; $P = 0.347$) and for stage IIIB–IV (unresectable disease), the pooled HRs were 1.47 (95%CI = 1.02, 2.11; $P < 0.001$) while for mixed stage I–IV, HRs were 1.52 (95%CI = 1.24, 1.88; $P < 0.001$), indicating a significant association between high serum level of CYFRA 21-1 and poor clinical outcome (Figure 2). Then to evaluate the prognostic roles in surgical intervention, we divided the studies into surgery group and non-surgery group and found a pool HR of 1.94 (95%CI = 1.42, 2.67; $P < 0.001$) for surgery group and 1.24 (95%CI = 0.79–1.95; $P < 0.001$) for non-surgery group (Figure 3).

When stratified by ethnicity, a pooled HR was 1.62 (95%CI = 1.25–2.09; $P < 0.001$) for Asians and 1.60 (95%CI = 1.31–1.95; $P < 0.001$) for Caucasians (Figure 4), favoring the association between high serum CYFRA 21-1 level and poor prognosis. In the

Figure 1 | Flow chart of studies in the analysis.

subgroup analysis according to study design, a significant link was also found in prospective studies 1.58 (95% CI = 1.27–1.96, $P = 0.001$) and retrospective studies 1.75 (95% CI = 1.38–2.22, $P < 0.001$), respectively (Supplementary Fig. S1).

When sub-grouped by the chemotherapeutic regimens, the pooled HRs were 1.83 (95%CI = 1.31–2.58; $P = 0.011$) for studies using EGFR-TKIs, and 1.53 (95%CI = 1.53–1.99; $P = 0.001$) for studies applying platinum-based chemotherapy (Figure 5), again, indicating a relationship between high serum CYFRA 21-1 level and poor outcome for NSCLC.

Meta-analysis of PFS was conducted in 7 studies. The pooled HR was 1.41 (95%CI = 1.19–1.69; $P < 0.001$). Statistically significant effect on PFS was found for serum CYFRA 21-1.

We also performed sensitivity analyses by repeatedly deleting the single studies each time from pooled analysis to examine the stability and reliability of meta-analysis results. In our analysis, the omission of individual studies did not materially alter the results because the recalculated ORs and 95%CIs were not quantitatively changed, suggesting that the results were robust and convincing (Supplementary Fig. S2, S3).

Publication bias. Publication bias was assessed by Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test. Publication bias was detected ($P = 0.144$ for Begg's

Table 1 | Characteristics of studies included in this meta-analysis

Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Surgery	Chemotherapy	TNM	Design	N	Follow-up(month)	Biomarkers	Method	Cutoff	High/low	HR-E	AHR	ALL	AUL
Lin	2012	China	Asian	Yes	PB/A	IB-IIIA	R	169	53(3–66)	OS	ECIA	3.30	63/106	HR	1.70	1.19	2.44
Tanaka	2013	Japan	Asian	NA	TKI/M	IIIB/IV	R	160	32.5(23.3–44.6)	PFS/OS	ECIA	2.00	83/77	HR	1.10	0.85	1.41
Park	2013	Korea	Asian	Yes	NA	I-III	R	298	43.3(0.5–95.6)	PFS/OS	ECIA	1.95	114/184	HR	1.10	1.01	1.20
Trapé	2012	Spain	Caucasian	No	PB/M	IIIA–IV	P	137	NA	OS	ECIA	3.30	91/46	HR	2.21	1.40	2.83
Edelman	2012	USA	Mixed	NA	PB/NA	IIIB/IV	P	88	NA	PFS/OS	ECIA	4.18	NA	SC	1.68	1.33	2.11
Jung	2011	Korea	Asian	NA	TKI/M	IIIB/IV	R	123	NA	PFS/OS	ECIA	3.30	64/59	HR	2.76	1.38	5.53
Cedrés	2011	Spain	Caucasian	NA	PB/A	II–IV	R	183	9.7(1–126)	PFS/OS	IRMA	3.30	119/64	SC	1.52	1.06	2.20
Hanagiri	2011	Japan	Asian	Yes	PB/A	I	R	341	42	OS	ECIA	2.00	145/196	HR	2.57	1.21	5.44
Ma	2011	China	Asian	NA	NA	I	R	153	26(2–121)	OS	ECIA	3.30	41/112	HR	9.81	1.64	58.67
Jin	2010	China	Asian	No	PB/NA	IIIB/IV	R	111	NA	OS	ELISA	3.50	47/64	HR	1.68	1.05	2.69
Takahashi	2010	Japan	Asian	NA	NA	I–IV	R	1202	NA	OS	CLEIA	18.00	NA	HR	2.02	1.14	3.58
Petris	2011	Sweden	Caucasian	NA	NA	I–IV	NA	174	NA	OS	ELISA	6.00	NA	HR	0.80	0.73	1.32
Tomita	2010	Japan	Asian	Yes	NA	I–III	R	291	60.7–141.7	OS	NA	2.40	58/233	HR	1.57	1.29	1.89
Nisman	2009	Israel	Asian	No	NA	IIIA–IV	P	88	NA	OS	ELISA	3.20	60/28	RR	1.80	1.10	2.80
Chen	2010	China	Asian	No	TKI/M	II–IV	R	122	NA	OS	ELISA	3.30	49/73	HR	1.78	1.19	2.65
Chakra	2008	France	Caucasian	NA	NA	I–IV	P	451	NA	OS	IRMA	3.60	224/227	HR	1.50	1.20	1.86
Jacot	2008	France	Caucasian	NA	NA	I–IV	P	289	20.8(2.5–34.1)	OS	IRMA	3.60	92/197	HR	2.30	1.52	3.49
Ishikawa	2008	Japan	Asian	NA	TKI/M	IIIB/IV	R	65	8.3(1.1–37.9)	PFS/OS	ECIA	2.80	48/17	HR	1.97	0.91	4.27
Nisman	2008	Israel	Asian	No	PB/Mix	IIIA–IV	P	60	NA	OS	ELISA	3.20	43/37	RR	0.50	0.30	0.90
Hisashi	2007	Japan	Asian	Yes	NA	I–IV	R	101	73	OS	CLEIA	3.50	6/95	RR	9.79	2.24	42.80
Matsuoka	2007	Japan	Asian	Yes	NA	I–IV	R	256	35.5(3.7–75.5)	OS	ELISA	2.80	35/221	SC	2.92	2.25	6.84
Mizuguchi	2007	Japan	Asian	Yes	NA	I–IV	R	272	NA	OS	CLEIA	2.00	149/123	HR	2.42	1.99	2.86
Barle'si	2005	France	Caucasian	Yes	TKI/NA	IIIB/IV	P	51	NA	OS	ELISA	3.50	13/38	HR	2.45	1.13	5.29
Muley	2004	Germany	Caucasian	Yes	NA	I	R	153	NA	OS	ELISA	3.30	NA	HR	2.16	1.08	4.29
Barlesi	2004	France	Caucasian	Yes	PB/M	IIIB/IV	P	264	9(1–77)	OS	ELISA	3.50	138/126	HR	1.30	1.06	1.78
Trapé	2003	Spain	Caucasian	No	PB/NA	IIIA–IV	P	48	NA	OS	ECIA	3.60	NA	HR	1.75	0.93	3.65
Kulpa	2002	Poland	Caucasian	NA	NA	I–IV	NA	200	NA	OS	ECIA	3.60	127/73	HR	1.31	0.92	1.87
Reinmuth	2002	Germany	Caucasian	Yes	NA	I–IIIA	P	66	42(NA–86)	OS	ELISA	3.57	23/43	SC	2.80	0.98	7.99
Ono	2013	Japan	Asian	No	NA	IIIB/IV	R	284	NA	OS	CLEIA	2.20	134/150	HR	0.43	0.31	0.59
Ondrej F	2014	Czech	Caucasian	NA	TKI/M	IIIB/IV	R	144	NA	OS/PFS	ELISA	2.50	83/61	HR	2.17	1.48	3.19
Monik	2014	NA	Gaussian	Yes	None	I–IV	P	50	72.5(4–108)	OS	ELISA	2.10	0.45	SC	1.00	0.45	2.21

HR-E: HR Estimate; R: retrospective; P: prospective; NA: not available; PB/A: Platinum-based/adjunct chemotherapy; PB/M: Platinum-based/adjunct chemotherapy and metastatic chemotherapy; TKI/Mix: TKI/adjunct and metastatic chemotherapy; SC: survival curve; AHR: adjusted hazard ratio; ALL: adjusted lower limit; AUL: adjusted upper limit; ECIA: electrochemiluminescence immunoassay; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; CLEIA: chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay; IRMA: immunoradiometric assay.

Table 2 | Main results of the meta-analysis

	N.of studies	N. of patients	HR(95%CI)	Q	Heterogeneity test	
					I-squared	P-value
OS						
Overall	31	6394	1.60(1.36,1.89)	204.53	85.30%	<0.001
Stage						
I–IIIA	6	1138	2.18(1.70,2.80)	5.99	16.60%	0.307
IIIB–IV	9	1290	1.47(1.02,2.11)	68.04	88.20%	<0.001
Mix(I–IV)	16	3966	1.52(1.24,1.88)	116.06	87.10%	<0.001
Surgical intervention						
Surgery	10	1997	1.94(1.42,2.67)	85.90	89.50%	<0.001
Non-surgery	8	1114	1.24(0.79,1.95)	70.54	90.10%	<0.001
Chemotherapy						
EGFR-TKI	6	665	1.83(1.31,2.58)	14.84	66.30%	0.011
Platinum-based	8	1218	1.53(1.18,1.99)	24.67	71.60%	0.001
Ethnicity						
Asian	17	4096	1.62(1.25,2.09)	160.26	90.00%	<0.001
Caucasian	13	2210	1.60(1.31,1.95)	36.48	67.10%	<0.001
Sample size						
Small	20	2246	1.66(1.35,2.03)	68.62	72.30%	<0.001
Large	11	4148	1.53(1.16,2.00)	130.45	92.30%	<0.001
Study design						
Prospective	11	1542	1.58(1.27,1.96)	30.18	66.90%	0.001
Retrospective	18	4478	1.75(1.38,2.22)	155.27	89.10%	<0.001
PFS						
Overall	7	1061	1.41(1.19,1.69)	24.54	75.60%	<0.001

OS: overall survival; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; PFS: progression-free survival.

test but $P = 0.03$ for Egger's test) for pooled OS. Thus, a trim and fill method was utilized and pooled ORs were recalculated with hypothetically non-published studies to evaluate the asymmetry in the funnel plot⁴⁷ (Figure 6). The recalculated ORs for OS did not change significantly ($HR = 1.27$; 95% CI = 1.075–1.493; $P < 0.001$), indicating the stability of the results. However, significant publication bias was not discovered among the studies regarding PFS ($P = 0.368$ for Begg's test).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, we demonstrated, for the first time, that high serum CYFRA 21-1 level was an indicator of poor prognosis for NSCLC using updated data. Notably, our meta-analysis included three times more patients than the previously reported one¹⁵, and the studies employed more updated therapeutic regimen and patients with longer follow-ups. As a result, we were able to show a more plausible result.

In this meta-analysis, we identified 31 eligible studies including 6394 patients and concluded that high serum CYFRA 21-1 level was a poor prognostic indicator for OS and PFS. For different pathological TNM stage, high serum CYFRA 21-1 level was associated with poor clinical outcome of NSCLC patients. Thus, high level of serum CYFRA 21-1 might be a negative prognostic biomarker in NSCLC patients with resected tumor or with unresectable disease. This link was observed in surgery subgroups. Notably, we verified the poor prognostic role of high serum CYFRA 21-1 level in the patients who had undergone surgery ($HR = 1.94$; 95%CI = 1.42–2.67; $P < 0.001$), while the previous meta-analysis only indicated a trend towards statistical significance ($HR = 1.41$, 95% CI = 0.99–2.03, $P = 0.055$). However, for patients who did not undergo surgery, the HR was 1.24 (95%CI = 0.79–1.95; $P < 0.001$) while the previous meta-analysis showed high serum CYFRA 21-1 level predicted poor survival ($HR = 1.78$; 95%CI = 1.54–2.07, $P < 0.001$) in the first year of follow-up¹⁵.

We also found that high serum CYFRA 21-1 level was a poor prognostic factor for patients treated with EGFR-TKIs or plat-

inum-based regimen. Platinum-based chemotherapy could improve survival and has become the standard chemotherapy for years^{48,49}, however, the efficacy of platinum-based chemotherapy varies among individuals, with a response rate of 26%–60%⁵⁰. Various tumor markers have been studied in terms of their prognostic or predictive roles in NSCLC patients treated with platinum-based chemotherapy^{8,51–54}. However, to date, no serum marker is currently recommended for routine clinical practice in prognosis of NSCLC patients treated with platinum-based chemotherapy. Based on our findings, serum CYFRA 21-1 might be a promising biomarker. EGFR mutations have been reported to be the most important prediction factor for patients treated with EGFR-TKIs⁵⁵. Unfortunately, it is not feasible to obtain an adequate EGFR mutational analysis. Therefore, it is important to identify easily acquired clinical parameters that can serve as surrogate markers for EGFR mutants. Our results suggested that serum CYFRA 21-1 might play such a role in the prediction and prognosis of advanced NSCLC treated with EGFR-TKIs. Notably, this was the firstly pooled analysis to verify the prognostic role of serum CYFRA 21-1 in the NSCLC patients treated with platinum-based chemotherapy or EGFR-TKIs. In consideration of the relatively small sample size, large scale prospective studies are needed to further confirm the results.

The sub-group analyses by ethnicity and study design yielded the same results, further indicating the poor prognosis role of high serum CYFRA 21-1 level in NSCLC.

Attention must be paid to the relatively large heterogeneity among trials. Meta-regression was conducted by ethnicity, surgical intervention, chemotherapy, detective method, cutoff, study design, and sample size. However, none of them was found to be the sources of heterogeneity. In fact, many other factors may also be the potential source of heterogeneity, such as gender, age, and life styles of patients and so on. Due to lack of detailed data, we had to give up performing a meta-regression utilizing these variables. Publication bias is significant threat to the reliability of the results of a meta-analysis. Unfortunately, we did find publication bias by Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test. Then a trim and fill method was adopted to recal-

Figure 2 | The association between serum CYFRA 21-1 and overall survival of NSCLC stratified by TNM stage.

culate the adjusted ORs and the results did not change significantly, indicating the stability of the statistic analyses⁴⁷.

Several limitations have to be noted in relation to this meta-analysis. First, all our analyses were based on abstracted data and not on individual patient data (IPD). It was commonly acknowledged that an IPD-based meta-analysis would reproduce more reliable estimation compared with one based on abstracted data⁵⁶, while an IPD-based meta-analysis is a time-consuming effort, especially when some studies without high quality⁵⁷. Second, several HRs were obtained based on the survival curves, which might have biased

our results. Third, cutoff values were different among the studies. Finally, the publication bias and heterogeneity in the meta-analysis may partly lessen its statistical power. However, these problems are almost inevitable in a meta-analysis.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis, based on an updated data, found a significant prognostic value of serum CYFRA 21-1. High serum CYFRA 21-1 level is correlated with poor OS and PFS in NSCLC, which might provide a simple and practical method to predict the outcome of NSCLC patients. Interestingly, serum CYFRA 21-1 is also related to the OS in the NSCLC patients treated with EGFR-TKIs or platinum-based

Figure 3 | The association between serum CYFRA 21-1 and overall survival of NSCLC stratified by surgical intervention.

regimen. But the sample size is relatively small, and more investigations are needed to identify its prognostic role in this part of NSCLC patients.

Methods

Search strategy. To update the data, we intended to exclude the studies accrued in the previous meta-analysis published in 2004¹⁵. Therefore, only those studies published after January 1, 2002, were eligible. We conducted a computerized literature search of Embase, Web of Science, PubMed and China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) to identify all the studies that studied the association of serum CYFRA 21-1 level and lung cancer. The combination of the following key words were used as search terms separately and in combination: "CYFRA 21-1", "cytokeratin 19",

"non-small cell lung cancer", "NSCLC", "prognosis", "survival", and "outcome". Last search was updated on January 08, 2015. The references of all publications and reviews were manually searched to identify potentially relevant studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. To be eligible for inclusion in this meta-analysis, studies had to meet the following criteria: (1) trials had to deal with NSCLC; (2) investigating the association between serum CYFRA 21-1 level and prognosis; (3) CYFRA 21-1 was clarified as "high" and "low" value; (4) published as a full paper in English for data extraction; and (5) including enough blood sample. To avoid duplication of data, only the most complete and recent study was included. Titles and abstracts of searching records were screened and full text papers were further evaluated to confirm the eligibility. According to the inclusion criteria, two reviewers

Figure 4 | The association between serum CYFRA 21-1 and overall survival of NSCLC stratified by ethnicity.

(Youtao Xu and Mantang Qiu) extracted eligible studies independently, and disagreement between the two reviewers was settled by discussing with the third reviewer (Lei Xu).

Data extraction. Two reviewers (Xu and Qiu) determined study eligibility independently in order to avoid selection bias in the data abstraction process. The following information was culled from each study: the first author, publication year, country where the research was performed, ethnicity, number of patients, histology, stage, detective method, cutoff, study design, and survival data. The primary endpoint was OS. The studies utilizing PFS were also analyzed.

Statistical analysis. To evaluate the predictive ability of high serum CYFRA 21-1 level on survival of NSCLC, the hazard ratios (HRs) and their 95% confidence intervals

(CIs) of OS and PFS were extracted from eligible studies. If these data were not available, the HRs and CI were calculated according to Tierney's methods⁵⁸. The heterogeneity among studies was evaluated using the Cochran Q and I^2 test: for the Q test, a $P < 0.1$ was considered statistically significant⁵⁹. For I^2 test, a value $> 50\%$ was considered a severe heterogeneity⁶⁰, then the random-effects model (based on DerSimonian-Laird method) was used⁶¹; otherwise, the fixed-effects model (based on Mantel-Haenszel method) was applied. Meta-regression was performed to assess the sources of heterogeneity by ethnicity, surgical intervention, chemotherapy, detective method, cutoff, study design, and sample size (studies with less 200 participants were categorized as "small", and studies with more than 200 participants were categorized as "large"). Between study, variance Tau-squared (τ^2) value was used to evaluate the degree of heterogeneity and describe the extent of heterogeneity explained⁶². Sensitivity analysis was performed to examine the stability of the pooled results.

Figure 5 | The association between serum CYFRA 21-1 and overall survival of NSCLC stratified by chemotherapy regimen.

Figure 6 | Funnel plot for OS, adjusted with trim and fill method Circles stand for included studies; diamonds stand for presumed missing studies.

Publication bias was evaluated by a funnel plot with Egger's test and Begg's test, and a $P < 0.05$ was considered significant⁶³. All statistical analyses were calculated with STATA software (version 12.0; StataCorp, College Station, Texas USA). And all P values were two-side.

- Spira, A. Ettinger DS. Multidisciplinary management of lung cancer. *N Engl J Med* **350**, 379–392 (2004).
- Goldstraw, P. *et al.* The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposals for the revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (seventh) edition of the TNM Classification of malignant tumours. *J Thorac Oncol* **2**, 706–714 (2007).
- Jemal, A. *et al.* Cancer statistics, 2007. *CA Cancer J Clin* **57**, 43–66 (2007).
- Jemal, A. *et al.* Cancer statistics, 2009. *CA Cancer J Clin* **59**, 225–249 (2009).
- Huncharek, M., Muscat, J. & Geschwind, J. F. K-ras oncogene mutation as a prognostic marker in non-small cell lung cancer: a combined analysis of 881 cases. *Carcinogenesis* **20**, 1507–1510 (1999).
- Martin, B. *et al.* Ki-67 expression and patients survival in lung cancer: systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* **91**, 2018–2025 (2004).
- Lou-Qian, Z. *et al.* The prognostic value of epigenetic silencing of p16 gene in NSCLC patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* **8**, e54970 (2013).
- Wei, S. Z. *et al.* Predictive value of ERCC1 and XPD polymorphism in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer receiving platinum-based chemotherapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Oncol* **28**, 315–321 (2011).
- Rastel, D., Ramaioli, A., Cornillie, F. & Thirion, B. CYFRA 21-1, a sensitive and specific new tumour marker for squamous cell lung cancer. Report of the first European multicentre evaluation. CYFRA 21-1 Multicentre Study Group. *Eur J Cancer* **30A**, 601–606 (1994).
- Broers, J. L. *et al.* Cytokeratins in different types of human lung cancer as monitored by chain-specific monoclonal antibodies. *Cancer Res* **48**, 3221–3229 (1988).
- Chu, X. Y. *et al.* Diagnostic values of SCC, CEA, Cyfra21-1 and NSE for lung cancer in patients with suspicious pulmonary masses: a single center analysis. *Cancer Biol Ther* **11**, 995–1000 (2011).
- Cho, S., Song, I. H., Yang, H. C., Kim, K. & Jheon, S. Predictive factors for node metastasis in patients with clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* **96**, 239–245 (2013).
- Stieber, P. *et al.* CYFRA 21-1. A new marker in lung cancer. *Cancer* **72**, 707–713 (1993).
- Pujol, J. L. *et al.* Serum fragment of cytokeratin subunit 19 measured by CYFRA 21-1 immunoradiometric assay as a marker of lung cancer. *Cancer Res* **53**, 61–66 (1993).
- Pujol, J. L. *et al.* CYFRA 21-1 is a prognostic determinant in non-small-cell lung cancer: results of a meta-analysis in 2063 patients. *Br J Cancer* **90**, 2097–2105 (2004).
- Ono, A. *et al.* Prognostic impact of serum CYFRA 21-1 in patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma: a retrospective study. *BMC Cancer* **13**, 354 (2013).
- Tanaka, K. *et al.* Cytokeratin 19 fragment predicts the efficacy of epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor in non-small-cell lung cancer harboring EGFR mutation. *J Thorac Oncol* **8**, 892–898 (2013).
- Park, S. Y. *et al.* Preoperative serum CYFRA 21-1 level as a prognostic factor in surgically treated adenocarcinoma of lung. *Lung Cancer* **79**, 156–160 (2013).
- Trape, J. *et al.* A prognostic score based on clinical factors and biomarkers for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Biol Markers* **27**, e257–262 (2012).
- Edelman, M. J. *et al.* CYFRA 21-1 as a prognostic and predictive marker in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in a prospective trial: CALGB 150304. *J Thorac Oncol* **7**, 649–654 (2012).
- Jung, M. *et al.* Prognostic and predictive value of CEA and CYFRA 21-1 levels in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with gefitinib or erlotinib. *Exp Ther Med* **2**, 685–693 (2011).
- Cedres, S. *et al.* Serum tumor markers CEA, CYFRA21-1, and CA-125 are associated with worse prognosis in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Clin Lung Cancer* **12**, 172–179 (2011).
- Hanagiri, T. *et al.* Preoperative CYFRA 21-1 and CEA as prognostic factors in patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* **74**, 112–117 (2011).
- Ma, S., Shen, L., Qian, N. & Chen, K. The prognostic values of CA125, CA19.9, NSE, AND SCC for stage I NSCLC are limited. *Cancer Biomark* **10**, 155–162 (2011).
- Jin, B., Huang, A. M., Zhong, R. B. & Han, B. H. The value of tumor markers in evaluating chemotherapy response and prognosis in Chinese patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Chemotherapy* **56**, 417–423 (2010).
- Takahashi, H. *et al.* Optimal cutoff points of CYFRA21-1 for survival prediction in non-small cell lung cancer patients based on running statistical analysis. *Anticancer Res* **30**, 3833–3837 (2010).
- De Petris, L. *et al.* Diagnostic and prognostic role of plasma levels of two forms of cytokeratin 18 in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer* **47**, 131–137 (2011).
- Tomita, M., Shimizu, T., Ayabe, T., Yonei, A. & Onitsuka, T. Prognostic significance of tumour marker index based on preoperative CEA and CYFRA 21-1 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Anticancer Res* **30**, 3099–3102 (2010).
- Nisman, B. *et al.* The diagnostic and prognostic value of ProGRP in lung cancer. *Anticancer Res* **29**, 4827–4832 (2009).
- Chen, F., Luo, X., Zhang, J., Lu, Y. & Luo, R. Elevated serum levels of TPS and CYFRA 21-1 predict poor prognosis in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients treated with gefitinib. *Med Oncol* **27**, 950–957 (2010).
- Chakra, M. *et al.* Circulating serum vascular endothelial growth factor is not a prognostic factor of non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* **3**, 1119–1126 (2008).
- Jacot, W. *et al.* Quality of life and comorbidity score as prognostic determinants in non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* **19**, 1458–1464 (2008).
- Ishikawa, N. *et al.* Usefulness of monitoring the circulating Krebs von den Lungen-6 levels to predict the clinical outcome of patients with advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer treated with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Int J Cancer* **122**, 2612–2620 (2008).
- Nisman, B. *et al.* Prognostic role of serum cytokeratin 19 fragments in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: association of marker changes after two chemotherapy cycles with different measures of clinical response and survival. *Br J Cancer* **98**, 77–79 (2008).
- Suzuki, H. *et al.* Preoperative CYFRA 21-1 levels as a prognostic factor in c-stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* **32**, 648–652 (2007).
- Matsuoka, K., Sumitomo, S., Nakashima, N., Nakajima, D. & Misaki, N. Prognostic value of carcinoembryonic antigen and CYFRA21-1 in patients with pathological stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* **32**, 435–439 (2007).
- Mizuguchi, S. *et al.* Clinical value of serum cytokeratin 19 fragment and sialyl-Lewis x in non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Surg* **83**, 216–221 (2007).
- Barlesi, F. *et al.* CYFRA 21-1 level predicts survival in non-small-cell lung cancer patients receiving gefitinib as third-line therapy. *Br J Cancer* **92**, 13–14 (2005).
- Muley, T., Dienemann, H. & Ebert, W. CYFRA 21-1 and CEA are independent prognostic factors in 153 operated stage I NSCLC patients. *Anticancer Res* **24**, 1953–1956 (2004).
- Barlesi, F. *et al.* Prognostic value of combination of Cyfra 21-1, CEA and NSE in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Respir Med* **98**, 357–362 (2004).
- Trape, J., Buxo, J., Perez de Olaguer, J. & Vidal, C. Tumor markers as prognostic factors in treated non-small cell lung cancer. *Anticancer Res* **23**, 4277–4281 (2003).
- Kulpa, J., Wojcik, E., Reinfuss, M. & Kolodziejski, L. Carcinoembryonic antigen, squamous cell carcinoma antigen, CYFRA 21-1, and neuron-specific enolase in squamous cell lung cancer patients. *Clin Chem* **48**, 1931–1937 (2002).
- Reinmuth, N. *et al.* Prognostic impact of Cyfra21-1 and other serum markers in completely resected non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* **36**, 265–270 (2002).
- Lin, X. F., Wang, X. D., Sun, D. Q., Li, Z. & Bai, Y. High Serum CEA and CYFRA21-1 Levels after a Two-Cycle Adjuvant Chemotherapy for NSCLC: Possible Poor Prognostic Factors. *Cancer Biol Med* **9**, 270–273 (2012).
- Fiala, O. *et al.* Predictive role of CEA and CYFRA 21-1 in patients with advanced-stage NSCLC treated with erlotinib. *Anticancer Res* **34**, 3205–3210 (2014).
- Szturmowicz, M. *et al.* Prognostic value of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) and cytokeratin 19 fragments (Cyfra 21-1) but not carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) in surgically treated patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Pneumonol Alergol Pol* **82**, 422–429 (2014).
- Duval, S. & Tweedie, R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics*, **56**, 455–463 (2000).
- Pfister, D. G. *et al.* American Society of Clinical Oncology treatment of unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer guideline: update 2003. *J Clin Oncol*, **22**, 330–353 (2004).
- Ettinger, D. S. *et al.* Non-small cell lung cancer clinical practice guidelines in oncology. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* **4**, 548–582 (2006).
- Bahl, A. & Falk, S. Meta-analysis of single agents in the chemotherapy of NSCLC: what do we want to know? *Br J Cancer* **84**, 1143–1145 (2001).
- Xu, T. P., Shen, H., Liu, L. X. & Shu, Y. Q. Association of ERCC1-C118T and -C8092A polymorphisms with lung cancer risk and survival of advanced-stage non-small cell lung cancer patients receiving platinum-based chemotherapy: A pooled analysis based on 39 reports. *Gene* **526**, 265–274 (2013).
- Tiseo, M. *et al.* ERCC1/BRCA1 expression and gene polymorphisms as prognostic and predictive factors in advanced NSCLC treated with or without cisplatin. *Br J Cancer* **108**, 1695–1703 (2013).
- Peng, J. *et al.* VCP gene variation predicts outcome of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer platinum-based chemotherapy. *Tumour Biol* **34**, 953–961 (2013).
- Wu, J. *et al.* Predictive value of XRCC1 gene polymorphisms on platinum-based chemotherapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**, 3972–3981 (2012).
- Mok, T. S. *et al.* Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med* **361**, 947–957 (2009).
- Stewart, L. A. & Parmar, M. K. Meta-analysis of the literature or of individual patient data: is there a difference? *Lancet* **341**, 418–422 (1993).
- Des Guetz, G. *et al.* Microvessel density and VEGF expression are prognostic factors in colorectal cancer. Meta-analysis of the literature. *Br J Cancer* **94**, 1823–1832 (2006).

58. Tierney, J. F., Stewart, L. A., Ghersi, D., Burdett, S. & Sydes, M. R. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. *Trials* **8**, 16 (2007).
59. Lau, J., Ioannidis, J. P. & Schmid, C. H. Quantitative synthesis in systematic reviews. *Ann Intern Med* **127**, 820–826 (1997).
60. Higgins, J. P. & Thompson, S. G. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med* **21**, 1539–1558 (2002).
61. DerSimonian, R. & Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* **7**, 177–188 (1986).
62. Thompson, S. G. & Higgins, J. P. How should meta-regression analyses be undertaken and interpreted? *Stat Med* **21**, 1559–1573 (2002).
63. Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. & Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **315**, 629–634 (1997).

Acknowledgments

This study is funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (81372321 to L. Xu; 81201830 and 81472200 to R. Yin), Natural Science Foundation for High Education of Jiangsu Province (13KJB320010 to R. Yin), and Jiangsu Provincial Special Program of Medical Science (BL2012030 to L. Xu).

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: Y.T.X., L.X., M.T.Q., R.Y., L.X. and J.W. Performed the experiments: Y.T.X., L.X., J.W., M.T.Q., Q.Z. and R.Y. Analyzed the data: Y. T.X., L.X. and M.T.Q. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: Y.T.X., J.W., M.T.Q., R.Y. and L.X. Wrote the paper: Y.T.X., L.X., M.T.Q., J.W. and R.Y. Access to full-text articles: L.X. and J.W.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/scientificreports>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Xu, Y. *et al.* Prognostic value of serum cytokeratin 19 fragments (Cyfra 21-1) in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 9444; DOI:10.1038/srep09444 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>