On raising the tongue, a sub-mucosal swelling was present in the left hand side of the floor of the mouth (Fig. 1) which was also clearly evident when the patient moved their tongue toward the right (Fig. 2). The swelling was firm to touch and there was neither ulceration nor any break of the overlying mucosa. There was no comparable swelling in the right hand side.

The patient was referred to a consultant oral and maxillofacial surgeon for assessment and management following a discussion about the possibility of a saliva gland problem as being the most likely of several provisional diagnoses.

Tests, including a biopsy, were carried out within a few days and a diagnosis of a MALT lymphoma (or MALToma) was made. This form of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma normally involves the 'mucosa-associated lymphoid tissues', most commonly within the stomach and upper gastro-intestinal lining, although virtually any mucosal site can be affected.

The prognosis of such a MALToma is good with early identification and surgical removal (Figs 3 and 4). A PET-scan six months after surgery confirmed that there had been no metastatic spread and, as a result, neither chemotherapy nor radiotherapy was indicated for this patient at this time. Close monitoring over the years ahead will be essential.

This case study reports an unusual presentation and highlights the need for a comprehensive and thorough assessment of all the soft tissues at each appointment to ensure the earliest possible diagnosis and management.

A. Eder, London

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2018.177

OMFS

Severe mandibular bone destruction

Sir, a 39-year-old male was referred to the maxillofacial department by his general dental practitioner (GDP) regarding a persistent extra-oral sinus. The GDP correctly identified an infected lower left second molar (37) and therefore extracted the tooth and prescribed amoxicillin as an adjunct. However to the GDP's surprise, the discharging sinus did not heal and continued to ooze pus for a further three months.

The unsightly appearance of the nodule had become increasingly embarrassing for the patient. His medical history was unremarkable. There was no history of pain, restricted mouth opening or foul taste intra-orally. Follow-up appointments showed uneventful healing of the extraction socket with no sign of intra-oral suppuration.

Extra-oral examination revealed a 1 cm erythematous, smooth nodule present on the left angle of the mandible. Although it was discharging yellow pus, it was not associated with dental pain, paraesthesia, fever or night sweats. The microbiology report from a pus swab showed beta-haemolytic strep sensitive to penicillin.

An OPG not only revealed several carious teeth but also discovered a foreign body of unknown origin present in the anatomical position of the left angle of the mandible (Fig. 1; Fig. 2 shows a 3D reconstruction). Imaging showed severe destruction of alveolar bone from the left angle and ramus of the mandible with close proximity to the inferior dental nerve canal. Although the CT mandible confirmed that the inferior nerve canal was not compromised, it showed a well-defined spicule of dense material measuring approximately 1.2 cm in length. Initial impressions of this spicule were remnants of the extracted tooth along with bony sequestrum. Features of this CT mandible almost certainly represented features of an ongoing chronic infection with the differential diagnoses as follows; retained

Fig. 1 OPG revealing the foreign body in the left angle of the mandible

Fig. 2 Three-dimensional reconstruction of the foreign body's position

dental fragment, osteomyelitis and bony sequestrum.

The sinus tract was removed and the dense spicule retrieved under general anaesthetic. The histopathology from the foreign body showed dead lamella bone with a small amount of slough around its periphery. The bone was of dense lamellar pattern suggestive of an origin from the cortex of the mandible. This concluded that the spicule was bony sequestrum associated with a mandibulo-cutaneous sinus. Dental pathogenesis, osteomyelitis and bony sequestra should therefore be considered as differential diagnoses of extra-oral cutaneous sinus tracts within the head and neck region.¹

P. Kalawadia, B. Gupta, D. Srinivasan, Nottingham

1. McWalter G M, Alexander J B, del Rio C E, Knott J W. Cutaneous sinus tracts of dental etiology. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1988; **66**: 608–614.

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2018.178

Non-traumatic dislocation

Sir, we would like to report a case of chronic bilateral temporomandibular (TMJ) dislocation following placement of two lower implants in an edentulous individual.

A 66-year-old male was seen in the oral and maxillofacial outpatients department following referral by an implant practitioner. The patient came in complaining of discomfort and diffuse swellings over the TMJ area which started a couple of days after the implant was placed nine weeks previously.

On examination, extra-orally the patient had good lip seal however there was palpable deformity and two localised swellings present over the TMJ (Fig. 1). There was a degree of mouth opening; however, the patient couldn't fully close his mouth. An orthopantomogram (Fig. 2) was performed, which alongside clinical examination confirmed bilateral dislocation of the TMJ. The dislocation was attempted to be reduced with considerable effort. However, due to the time that had lapsed since the initial dislocation occurred, reduction was unsuccessful.

The patient was subsequently transferred to the base hospital unit, where reduction was attempted under sedation. After two attempts, this was also unsuccessful most likely due to the spasm of the masseter and pterygoid muscles, and the patient

was admitted for successful reduction of temporomandibular joint dislocation under general anaesthesia. Even under general anaesthesia it was a difficult reduction as there was no positive initial reduction, so table screening was used to ensure the condyles had been repositioned (Fig. 3). Intermaxillary fixation screws with wires were also placed to prevent wide mouth opening and prevent further dislocation, which were removed three weeks later under local anaesthesia.

In this case, diagnosis was delayed which increased the difficulty of relocation. Dislocations generally tend to present with severe pain and an inability to close the mouth, however in this case, the patient could close to a certain extent and had good lip seal, with only mild discomfort. This varied presentation delayed diagnosis at his dentist in this case. The patient may have been able to avoid increased risk of morbidity associated with general anaesthesia and late relocation if diagnosis could have been made earlier.

Complex dental treatments require the patient to open wide for a prolonged amount of time, including implant surgery, and this is commonly when non-traumatic dislocations can occur.¹ This letter aims to encourage dental and implant practitioners to be aware dislocation may present varying especially in patients with edentulous mandibles and to encourage referral acutely to the maxillofacial department if they feel unable to relocate immediately.

*I. Anjari, N. S. Narayan, S. T. Crank,
by email*

1. Mangi Q, Ridgway P F, Ibrahim Z, Evoy D. Dislocation of the mandible. *Surg Endosc* 2004; **18**: 554–556.

DOI: 10.1038/2018.179

Swallowed partial denture

Sir, we wish to share a case of a 63-year-old who accidentally swallowed their partial denture, which replaced the upper left two premolars, whilst taking their morning medications. Despite no initial breathing difficulties or significant pain, the patient attended A&E where they were initially seen by the local oral & maxillofacial surgical

Fig. 1 Clinical representation of patient with bilateral diffuse swellings over TMJ area and partial closure of the mouth

Fig. 2 An orthopantomogram showing position of two mandibular dental implants and confirming bilateral dislocation of the temporomandibular joints

Fig. 3 Table screening whilst patient under general anaesthesia to ensure the condyles had been repositioned successfully

team. CT abdomen and pelvis demonstrated 'Dentures lodged in the distal oesophagus' warranting 'urgent upper GI surgical or gastroenterological opinion'. Emergency oesophagogastrroduodenoscopy by the gastroenterologists failed to identify the denture. After consultation with the general surgeons, an abdominal radiograph demonstrated the dentures' likely position having moved to the small intestines. The patient was managed non-surgically being kept in hospital for monitoring before being discharged home to await natural passage of their denture. The cost of this admission was approximately £1,500.

Reflecting upon this case highlights a number of things. A number of reports have documented such problems with small unilateral RPDs.¹⁻³ In this case an uneventful passage of the denture occurred but more serious complications such as bowel perforation have been documented. Management of such problems usually requires involvement of the MDT within hospital. In such a setting the anatomical location of the prosthesis can be identified and appropriate arrangements can be made to remove the prosthesis either actively or passively depending on its whereabouts.

M. Lawrence, K. Fan, by email

1. Nimmo S S, Nimmo A, Chin G A. Ingestion of a unilateral removable partial denture causing serious complications. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1988; **66**: 24–26.
2. Rizzatti-Barbosa C M, Cunha F L, Bianchini W A, de Albergaria-Barbosa J R, Gomes B P. Accidental impaction of a unilateral removable partial denture: a clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent* 1999; **82**: 270–271.
3. Gallas M, Blanco M, Martinez-Ares D, Rivo E, García-Fontán E, Cañizares M. Unnoticed swallowing of a unilateral removable partial denture. *Gerodontology* 2012; **29**: e1198–200.

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2018.180

FOLLOW US

britishdentaljournal

facebook.com/britishdentaljournal