LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Send your letters to the Editor, British Dental Journal, 64 Wimpole Street, London, W1G 8YS Email bdj@bda.org. Priority will be given to letters less than 500 words long. Authors must sign the letter, which may be edited for reasons of space. Readers may now comment on letters via the BDJ website (www.bdj.co.uk). A 'Readers' Comments' section appears at the end of the full text of each letter online.

DEFENSIVE DENTISTRY

Not only the young

Sir, I avidly read the editorial *Defensive dentistry* (*BDJ* 2014; 217: 327) but think that the points you raise are not just a concern of young colleagues.

As quite an experienced GDP I have fully embraced the new concept of minimal intervention dentistry in recent years. In some aspects I have had tremendous results and huge improvements in grass-roots standards of oral hygiene and awareness etc. But within the NHS this has effectively been at my own expense. While prevention is certainly a lauded and admirable approach it can undermine livelihood and be selfsacrificing. As you write, altering this defensive position is very difficult to the point that even when treatment is necessary there is considerable resistance to this and lengthy verbal explanation and coaxing compounds the financial situation. One cannot just 'get on with things' easily anymore.

I have given this much thought and been lobbying here in Northern Ireland for many years. There have been recent threatened cuts imposed and the situation is very tricky indeed within the health service. Whilst one can be defensive one can only be spurred on if one's very livelihood is threatened. One just cannot take that lying down.

I always use the argument that the obvious solution is to be paid for prevention but for some reason they don't trust dentists to do this. Teachers are paid good salaries for doing something that cannot be quantified as such on a daily basis and are trusted to do.

I am getting results with my minimal intervention techniques and should be rewarded for this not getting poorer the more time I spend at work, which is what is happening at the moment. I know I am not alone in this as there was a letter in *The Times* recently commenting on the very issue of increased demands placed on dentists on reduced resources.

2

W. P. McLaughlin DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2014.1143

INFECTIOUS DISEASES

Early syphilis

Sir, I would like to present an interesting case recently encountered in the maxillofacial unit, which aims to raise awareness amongst dental professionals of a relevant and remerging infectious disease.

A 23-year-old soldier was referred with a one-month history of 'oral ulceration'. At presentation the patient was complaining of soreness in the palate, tongue and retromolar region, along with a 'sore throat'.

The patient gave a history of a ninemonth period of 'flu-like' symptoms. He was also concerned for an unexplained loss in weight of two stone over a twomonth period.

Intra-oral findings included: nodules in the dorsum of the tongue (Fig. 1), vesicles in the right retromolar region, and a raised erythematous nodule in the palate. Alongside this, there was also bilateral tonsillar enlargement, and left jugulodiagastric lymphadenopathy.



Fig. 1 Patient presenting with nodules in the dorsum of the tongue

The differential diagnoses included: candida infection, secondary syphilis, HIV, herpes simplex infection, tuberculosis, lichenoid reaction, and lichen planus. Blood tests showed a strongly positive result for syphilis total antibody, representing early syphilis (within two years of acquisition).

The patient was urgently referred to the sexual health department, where a confirmatory syphilis screen was carried out, and subsequently treated with one dose of benzathine penicillin G 2.4 million units IM. At this stage contact tracing was carried out by a trained health adviser, to notify all relevant partners of the potential risk of infection.

At a two week follow-up, the patient showed complete resolution of symptoms, and intra-oral lesions were no longer evident.

Further research into this infectious disease demonstrated some alarming statistics. Between 2003-2012, the Health Protection Agency reported a 49% increase in incidence of syphilis in England. As a result, dentists may be faced with patients infected with syphilis yet undiagnosed. Therefore, dentists can be crucial in detecting orofacial manifestations of untreated syphilis. It is important that syphilis is considered in the differential diagnoses by dental professionals for commonly occurring symptoms such as: oral ulceration, cutaneous rashes, lymphadenopathy and un-resolving malaise. Any of these presenting features should raise a high index of suspicion amongst dentists, and consequently the appropriate referral should be made for further testing.

> K. Tidbury By email DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2014.1144

ORTHODONTICS

Fast and furious

Sir, I have read the recent correspondence about short-term orthodontics (STO) with interest. As usual the battle lines are drawn between the specialists and the practitioners of STO who are perhaps most likely to be non-specialists.

There is no scientific evidence that any one appliance is fundamentally faster than another¹ and the reason for this is simple – the maximum speed of orthodontic tooth movement is determined by biological factors, not appliance type. A simple case well selected for use with an effective appliance and resulting in a full correction of the malocclusion could be described as fast. However, it is likely that many 'fast' or 'short-term' cases are actually under-corrected or partial treatments, with a much higher degree