Following the same debate that was raised in this publication in October 2006 (*BDJ* 2009; 201: 497-499) UKAP has started, but has yet to complete, a review of the evidence as it affects HIV+ dentists; the project will take a little longer since it has now been expanded to consider all healthcare workers and other bloodborne diseases. The BDA stated its position in January 2007; 'with the appropriate drug treatment, physical barriers and universal cross infection control, the BDA recommends that HIV positive dentists be allowed to continue to work as normal in general dentistry'.

It comes as a breath of fresh air to read that the newly configured GDC should have acted on this matter in such a very positive way during their first months of operation. Following a debate by the Registration Committee, the following statement was published (November 2009 - *Live regulatory policy issues*; items 83-92).

Paragraph 92 states,

'With the Beijing declaration in place, the GDC may experience considerable difficulty (if not embarrassment) in seeking to pursue a case alleging impaired fitness to practise against an individual continuing to practise clinically (thus contravening extant Department of Health guidance) whilst being diagnosed with HIV.'

The realisation that, based on the present evidence, it would be discriminatory to perpetuate the present prohibition of HIV+ oral healthcare professionals who want to follow their chosen career has already been accepted by half of Europe, Australia and the USA.

Let us hope that at last we have reached the tipping point here in the UK and that 2010 holds a brighter future for those dentists and future dental students who would otherwise sacrifice their career because of the present inertia within the Department of Health and those who advise it.

D. Croser
London

1. Proceedings of the 6th World Workshop on Oral Health and Disease in AIDS. *J Dent Res* (in press). The statement is available here: http://www.hivdent.org/_International_/2009/BEIJING_DECLARATION_2009.htm

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2010.58

VIOLENCE-FREE

Sir, I recently had a case which was unique to me in 35 years in practice. A colleague was approached by a patient who was concerned about receiving dental treatment. The patient hadn't attended for some years as firstly he felt he had been poorly treated in other practices and secondly he had a history of lashing out at the dentist if he was hurt. As the gentleman in question is 6' 5" tall, 18.5 stones in weight and a close personal support specialist this was a consideration for both sides.

My colleague saw and spoke with the patient and managed to set him somewhat more at his ease and I was asked by both parties to consult with a view to providing sedation during treatment. Treatment was successfully provided under sedation (including large restorations and extraction) with both dentist and patient having a comfortable and violence-free time.

I have to say that this is the first time I have been asked to sedate someone because they were more worried about hurting the dentist than being hurt themselves.

A. J. Caen

By email

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2010.59

OCCUPATIONAL STRESS

Sir, the introduction of the new NHS dental contract in 2006 appears to have had a significant effect upon dentists working within NHS Primary Dental Care. It was widely reported by the media that this resulted in a marked increase in the levels of occupational stress experienced by GPs.

Occupational stress was researched by the BDA in May 2005, before this new contract was introduced, and those

results indicated that a high occupational stress level already existed.

Dentists' Provident is a company that provides support for dentists and their families affected by illness and our analysis of recent claims to them for stress-related illnesses show these have increased overall. For the years 2007 and 2008 the figures are shown in Table 1 (figures for earlier years are not available).

The consequences for those GPs so affected cannot be calculated but there have been anecdotal reports of increasing depressive illness, marriage breakdown, alcohol/drug abuse and suicide. The concurrent credit crisis is likely to have been a contributory factor. For those who try to continue in practice, poor performance and a serious lack of pleasurable job satisfaction is reported.

These preliminary observations suggest that the 2006 new contract may have been associated with increased dissatisfaction, and that provision of NHS Primary Dental Care is in jeopardy. The reduction in claims for the 20-39 age-group may reflect a feature of a small sample population, but does show that occupational stress is seen very early in the years following qualification and then develops progressively.

We are concerned as to attraction of future dental students to the profession unless significant improvements result from the changes that could flow from reviews such as the *NHS Dental Services in England* and recovery of the economy.

R. W. Matthews

M. I. Matthews

C. Scully CBE

By email

DOI: 10.1038/sj.bdj.2010.60

Table 1 Analysis of claims to Dentists' Provident for the years 2007 and 2008

YEAR	Age 20-39	Age 40-59	Age 60+	TOTAL
2007	18	67	13	98
2008	14	74	20	108
% change in claims	-22	+10	+54	+10