ORIGINAL ARTICLE National hospitalization burden associated with spinal cord injuries in the United States

R Mahabaleshwarkar and R Khanna

Objectives: The objective of this study was to determine the national hospitalization burden of spinal cord injuries (SCIs) among adults in the United States. Factors predicting hospitalization outcomes including length of stay (LOS), total charges and discharge disposition of death were identified.

Setting: The study was conducted in the United States.

Methods: The 2009 Health Care Utilization Project Nationwide Inpatient Sample (HCUP–NIS) data were used in this study. Hospitalization outcomes among individuals with SCI were compared with a control group of individuals without SCI. Predictors of LOS, total charges and discharge disposition of death for SCI-related hospitalizations were determined using regression techniques. **Results:** In 2009, there were a total of 11848 hospitalizations because of SCI in the United States. Hospitalizations because of SCIs had 2.5 times higher LOS (12.37 (±0.51) versus 4.93 (±0.09), *P*<0.0001) and 4 times higher average charges (\$142366 (±\$7430.51) versus \$35011 (±\$1048.88), *P*<0.0001) as compared with those for the control group. The total national charge attributable to SCI-related hospitalizations was approximately \$1.69 billion in 2009. Percentage of hospitalizations with discharge disposition of death was significantly higher among individuals with SCI as compared with those without SCI (5.77 versus 2.27%, *P*<0.0001). Different patient and hospital characteristics predicted LOS, total charges and discharge disposition of death for SCI-related hospitalizations.

Conclusions: There is considerable inpatient burden associated with SCI in the United States. Inpatient LOS, charges and percentage of hospitalizations with discharge disposition of death were higher among individuals with SCI as compared with those without SCI.

Spinal Cord (2014) 52, 139-144; doi:10.1038/sc.2013.144; published online 26 November 2013

Keywords: spinal cord injury; hospitalization; charge; burden; length of stay; death

INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a severely debilitating condition that is characterized by mobility limitations, excessive dependence on others and a diminished health-related quality of life.¹ Vehicular accidents, falls and violence are the top three causes of SCIs, and together they account for >80% of injuries.² The incidence of SCIs in the United States has been estimated to be roughly 40 cases per million population or 12 000 cases per year.² Males are almost four times more likely to sustain SCIs than females.² SCIs are associated with a significant economic burden. The total annual costs attributable to SCIs are ~\$9.7 billion in the United States, including \$2.6 billion in lost productivity costs.³

Hospitalization is a critical component of treatment for SCIs. Nearly all patients who incur a SCI and survive the initial trauma undergo hospitalization for stabilization of the injury and prevention of immediate complications.⁴ Rehospitalizations because of complications of SCI are also common among patients with SCI.⁵ Given these facts, it is not surprising that hospitalizations account for a large proportion of the medical costs associated with SCIs.⁵ An understanding of the hospitalization burden is important for the purpose of resource allocation. Some studies in the past have investigated the inpatient utilization associated with SCIs.^{6–10}

When examining the cost of SCI using a population-based registry in the state of Colorado, Johnson *et al.*⁸ found the average initial hospitalization charge following injury to be \$134383.⁸ In their analysis involving patients treated in three Veteran Health Administration SCIy centers, French *et al.*⁹ found the average hospitalization costs associated with SCIs to be between \$19994 and \$52489.⁹ Although these studies provide useful information, all were conducted in specific hospital settings in certain geographical locations and were not nationally representative. Furthermore, most of these studies present data that are more than two decades old^{6–8} and may not be applicable in the current context. Lastly, information concerning the effect of hospital characteristics and important patient characteristics including income and health insurance on SCI-related hospitalization outcomes is currently unavailable.

In this study, we determined the hospitalization burden associated with SCIs in terms of inpatient utilization and charges associated with the condition using nationally representative inpatient discharge data from the Health Care Utilization Project Nationwide Inpatient Sample (HCUP–NIS) database. Patient-, hospital- and discharge-level characteristics of hospitalizations associated with SCI were compared with hospitalizations for causes other than SCI. In addition, patient-, hospital- and discharge-level characteristics predicting length of stay

E-mail: rkhanna@olemiss.edu

Department of Pharmacy Administration, School of Pharmacy, University of Mississippi, University, MS, USA

Correspondence: Dr R Khanna, Department of Pharmacy Administration, School of Pharmacy, Faser Hall Room 236, University of Mississippi, PO Box 1848, University, MS 38677, USA.

Received 14 June 2013; revised 7 October 2013; accepted 27 October 2013; published online 26 November 2013

(LOS), total charges and discharge disposition of death were determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source

The 2009 HCUP–NIS data were used for the purpose of this study. Established as a federal–state–industry partnership and sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), the HCUP–NIS is the largest all-payer inpatient national database in the United States. The 2009 HCUP-NIS database consisted of roughly 8 million discharge records obtained from a 20% stratified sample of 1040 hospitals from 44 states. The NIS is a discharge-level data with each observation representing one hospitalization. The NIS data set does not contain direct patient identifiers. Each observation in the NIS is associated with a stratum-specific discharge weight in order to enable generalization of the findings to the entire nation. The discharge weights are determined as a ratio of the total number of discharges in each stratum (obtained from the American Hospital Association data) to the total number of NIS discharges in that stratum. According to federal regulations, Institutional Review Board approval is not required for research using the HCUP-NIS database, as it is a de-identified publically available data set.¹¹

Study design

The present study used a cross-sectional case–control design. Patients <18 years of age were excluded, as SCIs are not common among children.¹² From the remaining data, we identified records with a primary diagnosis of a SCI (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes 806.xx and 952.xx), and classified them as cases. The control group of hospitalizations consisted of records without any listed diagnosis of SCI. Each SCI-related hospitalization (case) was matched to two control hospitalizations based on age and gender using a greedy match algorithm.

Measures

The patient-level variables compared between cases and controls included age, gender, income and type of insurance. Hospital-level variables such as hospital size, location, region and teaching status were compared between cases and controls. The discharge-level variables compared between cases and controls included discharge disposition, LOS, total hospital charges and number of diagnoses.

Statistical analysis

Considering the complex sampling design of the HCUP-NIS data, PROC SURVEY procedures in SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) were used for all study analyses. PROC SURVEYFREQ was used to compare frequencies and percentages for the categorical variables. PROC SURVEYMEANS and PROC SURVEYREG were used to compare means across continuous variables. Predictors of LOS and total charges were determined using the PROC SURVEYREG procedure, whereas predictors of discharge disposition of death were determined using PROC SURVEYLOGISTIC. All results reported are weighted unless noted otherwise.

RESULTS

The patient-, hospital- and discharge-level characteristics of individuals with and without SCI are reported in Table 1. Most of the individuals hospitalized for SCIs were <60 years of age (38.51%) and males (69.53%). Some of the common comorbid illnesses among individuals with SCI were hypertension, lung disorders and non-dependent abuse of drugs, whereas hypertension, disorders of fluid electrolyte and acid–base balance and nondependent abuse of drugs were the leading diagnoses among individuals without SCI (not mentioned in the table). Hospitalizations for SCIs were significantly more likely to have occurred in small-sized (P<0.0001), urban (P=0.0009) and teaching hospitals (P<0.0001) as compared with hospitalizations without a diagnosis of SCI. Greater proportion of

Table 1 Study sample characteristics

Variable	Hospitalizations with a diagnosis	Hospitalizations without a diagnosis	P-value
	iniurv ^a	of spinal	
	(N = 11.848)	cord iniurv	
	((N = 24.046)	
Age in years, n (%)			
18–29	1998 (16.87)	4061 (16.90)	
30–39 40–49	1345 (11.35) 1789 (15.10)	2773 (11.53)	
50–59	2152 (18.16)	4365 (18.15)	
>60	4563 (38.51)	9186 (38.20)	
Gender, n (%)	2610 (20 47)	7227 (20 51)	
Male	8238 (69.53)	16708 (69.49)	
Median household income, n (%)	1		0.5857
\$0-\$38999	3025 (26.72)	6630 (28.79)	
\$39000-\$47999 \$48000 \$62000	3217 (28.42)	6167 (26.78)	
>\$63,000	2321 (20.49)	4547 (19.75)	
Region of hospital, n (%)			0.7646
Northeast	2408 (20.32)	4981 (20.79)	
Midwest	2630 (22.19)	5630 (23.45)	
South	2516 (21.23)	4437 (18.45)	
Primary payer, n (%)			< 0.0001
Public	5129 (43.29)	12554 (52.32)	
Private insurance Other	4518 (38.13)	7765 (32.30)	
Self-pay	999 (8.43)	1467 (6.10)	
Hospital size, n (%)			< 0.0001
Large	427 (3.73)	2598 (10.98)	
Small	2754 (22.49) 8448 (73.79)	15503 (66.57)	
Location of hospital, n (%)			0.0009
Rural	496 (4.33)	2706 (11.45)	
Urban	19953 (95.67)	20935 (88.55)	
Teaching status of hospital. n (%)			< 0.0001
Nonteaching	2875 (25.11)	12365 (52.3)	
Teaching	8574 (74.89)	11277 (42.7)	
Admission type, n (%)		12261 (50.00)	< 0.0001
Urgent	1181 (9.97)	4258 (17.71)	
Elective	812 (6.86)	4900 (20.38)	
Trauma center Other	2093 (17.67)	126 (0.52)	
Discharge dispessition in (0()	1302 (10.55)	2000 (10.40)	.0.0001
Routine	3257 (27.52)	16924 (70.43)	< 0.0001
Transfer to short-term hospital	830 (7.01)	538 (2.24)	
Transfer to other facility	6447 (54.48)	3187 (13.26)	
Against medical advice	80 (0.68)	407 (1.70)	
Died	682 (5.77)	544 (2.27)	
Discharged alive to unknown destination	25 (0.21)	20 (0.08)	
Length of stay (days),	12.37 (0.51)	4.93 (0.09)	< 0.0001
mean (s.d.) Total charges (\$).	142366	35011	< 0.0001
mean (s.d.)	(7430.51)	(1048.88)	
Number of diagnoses on this record mean (s d)	9.95 (0.21)	8.26 (0.10)	< 0.0001
Number of procedures on this record, mean (s.d.)	4.84 (0.16)	1.66 (0.04)	< 0.0001

^aThe total number of hospitalizations across categories of each variable might not add up to the final total because of missing data.

hospitalizations for SCIs occurred in individuals with private insurance (P < 0.0001). The percentage of hospitalizations occurring in trauma centers was greater in hospitalizations for SCI as compared with hospitalizations for other reasons (P < 0.0001). Greater proportion of hospitalizations for SCI led to transfer to other facilities (P < 0.0001). Hospitalizations for SCIs had nearly 2.5 times longer

 Table 2 Predictors of longer length of stay for spinal cord injuryrelated hospitalizations

Variable	Mean	β	95% CI	P-value
	length			
	of stav			
	(days)			
Ago in yoorg				
Age III years	13 57	7 43	5 14 9 72	< 0.0001
30–39	14.90	9.52	5.63, 13.40	< 0.0001
40–49	13.15	6.22	4.37, 8.08	< 0.0001
50–59	13.80	6.31	3.55, 9.07	< 0.0001
>60	10.11	Reference		
Gender				
Female	10.41	-1.89	-3.09, -0.70	0.0019
Male	13.23	Reference		
Median household income				
\$0-\$38999	13.35	0.82	-1.59, 3.23	0.5034
\$39000-\$47999	12.09	0.10	-1.99, 2.19	0.9266
\$48000−\$62999 <\$63000	12.71	U.00 Reference	-1.32, 2.08	0.5056
>\$05000	11.00	Reference		
Region of hospital	11 70	0.14	0.50.0.00	0.0174
Northeast	11.78	0.14	-2.52, 2.80	0.91/4
West	12.20	-4.05	-7.12, -2.19	0.0001
South	14.16	Reference	-4.55, 2.10	0.4000
Primary payer	12.20	0.00		0 0000
PUDIIC Privata incurance	13.39	0.90		0.6906
Other	10.88	-1.51	-6.16, 2.78	0.3030
Self-pay	12.77	Reference	0110, 2.70	011070
Hospital size				
Large	12.46	3.23	0.58, 5.89	0.0169
Medium	12.01		-1.11, 5.53	0.1933
Small	7.70	Reference		
Location of hospital				
Rural	6.24	-3.41	-5.35, -1.47	0.0006
Urban	12.46	Reference		
Teaching status of hospital				
Nonteaching	9.34	-2.99	-4.77, -1.21	0.0010
leaching	13.14	Reference		
Admission type ^a				
Emergency/urgent	12.00	-1.58	-4.31, 1.15	0.2566
Irauma center	14.78	-1.15	-5.13, 2.83	0.5694
Uther	11.//	-3.80 Reference	-8.16, 0.56	0.0873
LICOLING	10.34	NUICICIUC		
Discharge disposition ^b	11 26	0 42	3 11 1 26	0 0074
Other	11.30 14.62	0.43	-3.41, 4.20 1 75 5 66	0.8274
Routine	7.16	Reference	1.75, 5.00	0.0002
Higher number of	_	1.15	0.96, 1.35	< 0.0001
diagnoses on this record				

Abbreviation: CI. confidence interval.

^aAdmission type was collapsed into four categories: emergency/urgent, trauma center, other and elective. ^bDischarge disposition was collapsed into three categories: died, other and routine. The category 'other' consisted of transfers to short-term hospitals, other facilities, home health care, against medical advice and discharged alive to unknown destination.

LOS, 4 times higher charges and 2.5 times higher percentage of hospitalizations with discharge disposition of death as compared with hospitalizations without a diagnosis of SCI (P<0.0001).

Table 2 reports the results of the multiple regression analysis conducted to determine the predictors of longer LOS associated with hospitalizations for SCI. Patients aged 18–29 years (P < 0.0001), 30–39 years (P < 0.0001), 40–49 years (P < 0.0001) and 50–59 years (P < 0.0001) had significantly longer LOS as compared with individuals aged >60 years. Females had shorter LOS as compared with males (P = 0.0019). Hospitalizations in large-sized hospitals had longer LOS as compared with small-sized hospitals in rural region and hospitals with nonteaching status had shorter LOS as compared with hospitals in urban region (P = 0.0006) and hospitals with teaching status respectively (P = 0.0010). The LOS was longer in patients who were discharged to other health facilities as compared with the number of diagnoses on the record (P < 0.0001).

Table 3 presents the results of the multiple regression analysis conducted to determine the predictors of higher total hospitalization charges for SCIs. Patients aged 18-29 years (P<0.0001), 30-39 years (P < 0.0001), 40–49 years (P < 0.0001) and 50–59 years (P = 0.0057)had significantly higher total charges as compared with patients aged > 60 years. Hospitalizations among individuals with private insurance had higher total charges as compared with those with self-pay (P=0.0015). Hospitalizations in medium- (P=0.0305) and largesized hospitals (P = 0.0237) had higher total charges as compared with small-sized hospitals. Hospitalizations in rural region and hospitals with nonteaching status had lower total hospitalization charges as compared with hospitalizations in urban region (P=0.0138) and hospitals with teaching status respectively (P=0.0455). The total hospitalization charges were higher among patients who were discharged to other health facilities as compared with those who had a routine discharge (P < 0.0001). Hospitalizations with higher number of diagnoses on record were associated with higher total charges (P < 0.0001). Hospitalization charges increased with LOS (P<0.0001).

Table 4 presents the results of the logistic regression analysis conducted to determine the predictors of discharge disposition of death in hospitalizations for SCIs. Patients aged 18–29 years (P < 0.0001), 30–39 years (P = 0.0010), 40–49 years (P = 0.0001) and 50–59 years (P = 0.0002) had lower odds of discharge disposition of death as compared with patients aged > 60 years. Females had 35% lower odds of discharge disposition of death as compared with males (P = 0.0455). Patients with private insurance had lower odds of discharge disposition of death as compared with patients with self-pay' hospitalizations (P = 0.0201). Higher number of diagnoses on record was associated with increased likelihood of discharge disposition of death (P = 0.0211).

DISCUSSION

The current study determined the national inpatient burden associated with SCIs in the United States using a nationally representative database. Predictors of LOS, total hospitalization charges and discharge disposition of death associated with SCI-related hospitalizations were identified. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to present national estimates for hospitalization charges, LOS and other outcomes among patients with SCIs.

A total of 11 848 weighted hospitalizations occurred nationally with a primary diagnosis of SCI in 2009. Most of the hospitalizations for SCIs occurred in individuals >60 years of age. This finding is in

Table 3 Predictors of higher total charges for spinal cord injury-related hospitalizations

Age in years Int - 29 17.30.18 55.372.33 31.007.00, 79.737.66 <0.0001	Variable	Mean total charges (\$)	β	95% CI	P-value	
18-29 173018 55372.33 31007.07937.66 -0.0001 40-49 156597 39637.63 22414.52,5680.07 -0.0001 40-49 156597 39637.63 22414.52,5680.075 -0.0001 40-49 156297 39637.63 22414.52,5680.075 -0.0001 50-59 142803 21718.62 6349.35,37089.89 0.0057 60 117529 Reference -13432.99,4636.88 0.3395 Mate 149495 Reference -13432.99,4636.88 0.3395 Medan household income - - -338.39 -17366.98,17624.37 0.6594 543000-4262999 140.60 -2373.39 -17386.98,1764.019 0.7683 543000-4262999 142526 Reference -0136.98,77829.90 0.331 Moread 132082 33864.64 -0136.98,77829.90 0.331 Metwest 1321317 16034.67 -0135.48,3820.42 0.1860 West 129342 29869.21 1241.52,5849.56 0.331 Metwest	Age in years					
30-30 1 60.696 46 20.57 2515.06, 8235.09 -0.0001 50-30 1 7529 796773 22414.92, 5680.07 -0.0001 50-30 1 7529 Reference -0.001 -0.001 60-60 1 7529 Reference -0.001 -0.001 60-60 1 7529 Reference -0.001 -0.001 60-60 1 7529 Reference -13.4.32.99, 4636.88 0.3395 Male 1 9695 -5185.80 -27995.97, 17.624.37 0.6554 530000 1 45726 Reference -13.85.98, 12.640.19 0.7563 90-338399 1 36.592 -5185.80 -27995.97, 17.624.37 0.6554 53000 1 45726 Reference -13.85.98, 12.640.19 0.7563 90-362599 1 44.258 121.276 -13.85.98, 78.29.90 0.1313 Mideest 1 33.017 16.03.46.77 -6.13.64.38.20.422 0.1560 West 1 34.300 1 24.34.4 925.09 -10.319.08, 28969.25 0.3516 Printer insurance </td <td>18–29</td> <td>173018</td> <td>55372.33</td> <td>31007.00, 79737.66</td> <td>< 0.0001</td>	18–29	173018	55372.33	31007.00, 79737.66	< 0.0001	
40-49 1 56 297 39 63 7.63 22 41.4 52, 56 260.75 <0.0001	30–39	160696	46920.57	25515.05, 68326.09	< 0.0001	
50-50 1 42823 21 719.62 649.35, 37089.89 0.0057 Se0 1 17529 Reference -13 432.99, 4636.88 0.3395 Male 1 49495 Reference -27995.97, 17 624.37 0.6554 S0-338939 1 36592 -5185.80 -27995.97, 17 624.37 0.6554 S0-338059 1 48278 1212.76 -1335.13, 1576.85 0.8702 Northeast 1 31317 16034.67 -6135.48, 3820.482 0.1560 West 1 393.42 29868.21 1241.52, 58.496.89 0.0409 South 1 26505 Reference 0.313 0.719 Prinary pager - - -913.90, 28.969.25 0.3516 Prinary pager - - -910.49, 69.76, 00 0.0237 Medium 1 40233 42.660, 01 4016.26, 61193.76 0.0305 Sal	40–49	1 56 297	39637.63	22414.52, 56860.75	< 0.0001	
> 60 117 529 Reference Gendar	50–59	1 42 823	21719.62	6349.35. 37 089.89	0.0057	
Gender Female 126293 4398.06 -13432.99,4636.88 0.3395 Male 149496 Reference -	>60	1 17 529	Reference			
Female 126293 4389.06 13432.99,4636.88 0.3395 Mailan household income	Gender					
Male 149495 Reference Median household income	Female	1 26 293	-4398.06	-13432.99.4636.88	0.3395	
Median household income 50-383999 136592 -5185.80 -27995.97,17624.37 0.6554 \$39000-\$427999 148258 1212.76 -13351.33,15776.85 0.8702 \$48000-\$62999 148258 1212.76 -13351.33,15776.85 0.8702 Region of hospital Northeast 132082 33846.46 -10136.98,77 829.90 0.1313 Midwest 131317 16034.67 -6135.48,38204.82 0.1560 West 133472 29869.21 1241.52,58496.89 0.0409 South 126505 Reference 0.1560 Primary payer P 1241.52,58496.89 0.0409 Private insurance 148847 22431.84 8629.15,6234.53 0.0015 Other 1343.30 16998.54 -7817.25,41814.33 0.1791 Salf-pay 125104 Reference 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26,81193.76 0.0237 Salf-pay 125104 Reference 0.0237 0.0455 1436123 Reference 0.0237 0.	Male	1 49 495	Reference	,		
So-438 999 136 592 -5185.80 $-27995.97, 17624.37$ 0.6554 \$39 000-\$47 999 141 060 -2373.39 $-17385.98, 12640.19$ 0.7563 \$48000-\$52 999 148258 1212.76 $-1335.13, 15776.85$ 0.8702 > 563 000 145726 Reference $-0136.98, 77829.90$ 0.1313 Mortheast 132 082 33846.46 $-0136.98, 77829.90$ 0.1313 Markest 133 1317 16034.67 $-6135.48, 58204.82$ 0.1560 West 193432 29869.21 1241.52, 58496.89 0.0409 South 126 505 Reference 2431.84 9629.15, 35234.53 0.0150 Private insurance 144343 9325.09 $-10319.08, 28969.25$ 0.3516 Private insurance 144847 22431.84 8629.15, 35234.53 0.0150 Other 134330 16998.54 $-7817.25, 41814.33$ 0.1791 Self-pay 125 104 Reference 0.0235 Small 85359 Reference 0.0305 Icazion of hospital <td>Median household income</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Median household income					
\$39000-\$47 999 141060 -2373.39 -17386.98, 12640.19 0.7563 \$480000-\$62 999 148258 1212.76 -1335.13, 15 776.85 0.8702 >\$63000 145726 Reference -1335.13, 15 776.85 0.8702 Region of hospital Northeast 132082 33846.46 -10136.98, 77 829.90 0.1313 Midwest 133131 16034.67 -6135.48, 38204.82 0.1560 West 193432 29869.21 1241.52, 58496.89 0.0409 South 126505 Reference -1019.08, 28 969.25 0.3516 Private insurance 144847 22431.84 8629.15, 36234.53 0.0019 South 126504 Reference -7817.25, 41814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125104 Reference -7817.25, 41814.33 0.1291 Self-pay 145237 37444.32 5010.63, 69878.00 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81193.76 0.0305 Small 15815 -31755.55 -57009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference -38749.12, -393.	\$0-\$38999	1 36 592	-5185.80	-2799597 1762437	0 6554	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\$39,000_\$47,999	141060	_2373 39	_17386.98 12640.19	0.7563	
apt 000-902 399 146220 1212.00 -13331.331710.035 0.072 > 563000 145726 Reference 0.1331.13770.035 0.072 Region of hospital 132082 33846.46 -10136.98,77829.90 0.1313 Midwest 13317 16034.67 -6135.48,38204.82 0.1560 West 193432 29969.21 1241.52,58496.89 0.0409 South 126505 Reference 0.0409 Public 142334 9325.09 -10319.08,28969.25 0.3516 Primary payer - - 7817.25,41814.33 0.1791 Public 142334 9325.09 -10319.08,28969.25 0.3516 Other 134330 1698.54 -7817.25,41814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125104 Reference - 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26,81193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference - 0.0416.26,81193.76 0.0455 Location of hospital 146123 Reference - 0.0455 Urban 146123 Refer	\$35000-\$47555 \$48,000 \$62,000	1 48 258	1212 76	13 351 33 15 776 85	0.7303	
Region of hospital Northeast 132082 33846.46 -10136.98,77.829.90 0.1313 Midwest 131317 16034.67 -6135.48,382.04.82 0.1560 West 193432 29860.21 1241.52,58496.89 0.0409 South 126505 Reference 0.0500 Private insurance 142334 9325.09 -10319.08,28969.25 0.5516 Private insurance 148847 22431.84 8629.15,36234.53 0.0015 Other 134330 16998.54 -7817.25,41814.33 0.0791 Self-pay 125104 Reference 0.0237 Medium 140823 42 605.01 4016.26,81193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference 0.0162.6,81193.76 0.0305 Location of hospital 121952 -538.70 -29289.39,18521.99 0.6585 Taural under the status of hospital 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39,18521.99 0.6585 Taural center 172144 7436.06 -16339.83,3271.95 0.5722 Chari	>\$63000	145726	Reference	-13331.33, 13770.83	0.8702	
Inspiration Image of the problem Northeast 132 082 33 846.46 -10 136.98, 77 829.90 0.1313 Midwest 133 137 16 034.67 -61 35.48, 38 20 4.82 0.1560 West 193 432 29 966.21 124 1.52, 58 496.89 0.0409 South 126 505 Reference 0.0499 Private insurance 14 23 34 9325.09 -10 319.08, 28 969.25 0.3516 Private insurance 14 48 847 22 431.84 8629.15, 36 234.53 0.0015 Other 134 330 16 999.54 -7817.25, 51 814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125 104 Reference 0.0237 Medium 140 823 42 605.01 4016.26, 81 193.76 0.0305 Small 85 359 Reference 0.0138 0.0138 Urban 146 123 Reference 0.0138 0.0355 Small 51 815 -31 755.55 -57 009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146 123 Reference 0.0001 0.0138 <	Region of hospital					
Induces 12502 5304.00 -100.05, 702.00 0.1500 Midwest 131317 16034.67 -6135.48, 3820.42 0.1560 West 193432 29869.21 1241.52, 58496.89 0.0409 South 126505 Reference 0.0409 Primary payer - - 0.0560 0.01560 Public 142334 9325.09 -10319.08, 28969.25 0.3516 Primary payer - 148847 22431.84 8629.15, 36234.53 0.0015 Private insurance 148847 22431.84 8629.15, 36234.53 0.0015 Other 134330 16'998.54 -7817.25, 41814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125104 Reference - - 0.0305 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81 193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference - 0.0138 Location of hospital - - - - 0.0138 Rural 146123 Reference - 0.0455 - Teaching status of hospital -	Northeast	1 32 082	3384646	10136 98 77 829 90	0 1313	
Initial Initial <t< td=""><td>Midwost</td><td>1 31 317</td><td>16034.67</td><td>6135 48 38 204 82</td><td>0.1510</td></t<>	Midwost	1 31 317	16034.67	6135 48 38 204 82	0.1510	
West 1 53 42 2 5 303.21 1 12 41.32, 36 430.35 0.0409 South 1 26 505 Reference 0.0409 0.0409 Primary payer - - 1 42 334 9 325,09 -10 319.08, 28 969.25 0.3516 Private insurance 1 48 847 2 24 31.84 86 29.15, 36 23 4.53 0.0015 Other 1 34 330 1 6 998.54 -7817.25, 41 814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 1 25 104 Reference -	West	102422	20,960,21		0.1300	
South 125 305 Reference Primary payer -10319.08, 28 969.25 0.3516 Public 142 334 9325.09 -10319.08, 28 969.25 0.3516 Other 134 330 16 998.54 -7817.25, 41 814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125 104 Reference	west	1 95 452	29809.21 Defense	1241.52, 58490.89	0.0409	
Primary pager Public 1 4 234 9325.09 -10319.08,28 969.25 0.3516 Private insurance 1 48847 22 431.84 8629.15,3 6234.53 0.0015 Other 1 34330 16 998.54 -787.25,41 814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 1 25 104 Reference - Hospital size	South	1 26 505	Reference			
Public 142334 9325.09 -10319.08, 28969.25 0.3516 Private insurance 148847 22431.84 8629.15, 36 234.53 0.0015 Other 134330 16998.54 -7817.25, 41 814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125 104 Reference -7817.25, 41 814.33 0.1791 Hospital size	Primary payer					
Private insurance 148.847 22431.84 8629.15, 36234.53 0.0015 Other 134330 16998.54 -7817.25, 41814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125104 Reference 0.0015 0.0015 Hospital size	Public	1 42 334	9325.09	-10319.08, 28969.25	0.3516	
Other 134330 16998.54 -7817.25, 41814.33 0.1791 Self-pay 125104 Reference 125104 Reference 125104 0.1791 Hospital size Large 145237 37444.32 5010.63, 69878.00 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference 0.1791 0.0305 Location of hospital Rural 51815 -31755.55 -57 009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference 0.0455 146123 Reference Teaching status of hospital Reference -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching status of hospital Reference -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Admission type ⁴ Emergencylurgent 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 3271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139985.11 <0.0001	Private insurance	1 48 847	22431.84	8629.15, 36234.53	0.0015	
Self-pay 125104 Reference Hospital size Large 145237 37444.32 5010.63, 69878.00 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference 0.0237 Location of hospital 85359 Reference 0.0305 Location of hospital Rural 51815 -31755.55 -57009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference	Other	1 34 330	16998.54	-7817.25, 41814.33	0.1791	
Hospital size Large 145237 37444.32 5010.63, 69878.00 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference 0.0247 Location of hospital 85359 Reference 0.0305 Location of hospital 146123 Reference 0.0138 Teaching status of hospital 146123 Reference 0.0138 Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching status of hospital Emergency/urgent 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139.985.11 <0.0001	Self-pay	1 25 104	Reference			
Large 145237 37444.32 5010.63, 69878.00 0.0237 Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference 0.0237 Location of hospital 85359 Reference 0.0188 Location of hospital 146123 Reference 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference 0.0138 Teaching status of hospital -31755.55 -57 009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching status of hospital Emergencylurgent 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139.985.11 <0.0001	Hospital size					
Medium 140823 42605.01 4016.26, 81 193.76 0.0305 Small 85359 Reference 0.0305 Location of hospital Rural 51815 -31755.55 -57 009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference 0.0305 0.0138 0.0138 0.0138 0.0138 Teaching status of hospital Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 153292 Reference 0.0455 0.0455 Admission type ³ - - -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139.985.11 <0.0001	<td>Large</td> <td>145237</td> <td>37 444.32</td> <td>5010.63, 69878.00</td> <td>0.0237</td>	Large	145237	37 444.32	5010.63, 69878.00	0.0237
Small 85359 Reference Location of hospital Rural 51815 -31755.55 -57009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference 0.0138 0.0138 0.0138 Teaching status of hospital Reference -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Admission type ³ Emergency/urgent 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139985.11 <0.0001	Medium	1 40 823	42605.01	4016.26, 81 193.76	0.0305	
Location of hospital Rural 51815 -31755.55 -57009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference 0.0138 0.0138 0.0138 0.0138 0.0138 Teaching status of hospital Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 153292 Reference 0.0138 0.0455 Admission type ^a 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139 985.11 <0.0001	Small	85359	Reference			
Rural 51 815 -31 755.55 -57 009.60, -6501.50 0.0138 Urban 146123 Reference 0 Teaching status of hospital 0 0.0138 0.0455 Teaching 108 303 -19 571.44 -38 749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 153 292 Reference 0 0.0138 Admission type ³ 0 0.0455 0.0455 0.0455 Emergency/urgent 121 952 -5383.70 -29 289.39, 18 521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172 144 7436.06 -18 399.83, 33 271.95 0.5722 Other 243 295 95 724.20 51 463.29, 139 985.11 <0.0001 Elective 112 025 Reference Died 148 278 36 046.71 7828.97, 64 264.46 0.0124 Other 170 849 43 827.41 31 380.13, 56 274.69 <0.0001 Routine 73 609 Reference <0.0001 Higher number of diagnoses on this record - 5011.89 3075.27, 694.51 <0.0001 Longer length of stay - 5662.	Location of hospital					
Urban 146123 Reference Teaching status of hospital Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 153292 Reference	Rural	51815	-31755.55	-57009.60, -6501.50	0.0138	
Teaching status of hospital Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 153292 Reference -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Admission type ^a - -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139985.11 <0.0001	Urban	1 46 123	Reference			
Nonteaching 108303 -19571.44 -38749.12, -393.75 0.0455 Teaching 153292 Reference Admission type ^a	Teaching status of hospital					
Teaching 153292 Reference Admission type ^a	Nonteaching	1 08 303	-19571.44	-38749.12, -393.75	0.0455	
Admission type ^a Emergency/urgent 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139.985.11 <0.0001	Teaching	1 53 292	Reference			
Emergency/urgent 121952 -5383.70 -29289.39, 18521.99 0.6585 Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139.985.11 <0.0001	Admission type ^a					
Trauma center 172144 7436.06 -18399.83, 33271.95 0.5722 Other 243295 95724.20 51463.29, 139985.11 <0.0001	Emergency/urgent	1 21 952	-5383.70	-29289.39, 18521.99	0.6585	
Other 243 295 95 724.20 51 463.29, 139 985.11 <0.0001 Elective 112025 Reference 20001	Trauma center	172144	7436.06	-18399.83, 33271.95	0.5722	
Elective 112025 Reference Discharge disposition ^b - - <td>Other</td> <td>2 43 295</td> <td>95724.20</td> <td>51 463.29, 1 39 985.11</td> <td>< 0.0001</td>	Other	2 43 295	95724.20	51 463.29, 1 39 985.11	< 0.0001	
Discharge disposition ^b Died 148278 36046.71 7828.97, 64264.46 0.0124 Other 170849 43827.41 31380.13, 56274.69 <0.0001	Elective	1 1 2 0 2 5	Reference			
Died 148278 36046.71 7828.97, 64264.46 0.0124 Other 170849 43827.41 31380.13, 56274.69 <0.0001	Discharge disposition ^b					
Other 170849 43827.41 31380.13, 56274.69 <0.0001 Routine 73609 Reference	Died	148278	36046.71	7828.97, 64264.46	0.0124	
Routine 73 609 Reference Higher number of diagnoses on this record - 5011.89 3075.27, 6948.51 <0.0001	Other	170849	43827.41	31 380.13, 56 274.69	< 0.0001	
Higher number of diagnoses on this record - 5011.89 3075.27, 6948.51 <0.0001 Longer length of stay - 5662.24 3978.09, 7346.39 <0.0001	Routine	73609	Reference			
Longer length of stay - 5662.24 3978.09, 7346.39 <0.0001	Higher number of diagnoses on this record	_	5011.89	3075.27, 6948.51	< 0.0001	
	Longer length of stay	_	5662.24	3978.09, 7346.39	< 0.0001	

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval. ^aAdmission type was collapsed into four categories: emergency/urgent, trauma center, other and elective. ^bDischarge disposition was collapsed into three categories: died, other and routine. The category 'other' consisted of transfers to short-term hospitals, other facilities, home health care, against medical advice and discharged alive to unknown destination.

contrast with previous studies that have reported that traumatic SCIs are more common in younger individuals.^{13,14} However, recent studies have reported increasing incidence rates of SCIs in the

elderly over the past few decades.^{2,15} It is possible that, in our study, elderly individuals had more number of rehospitalizations post the initial treatment of traumatic SCIs. Significant differences were

Variable	Hospitalizations	Odds	95% CI	P-value
	with discharge	ratio		
	disposition of			
	death, N (%)			
Age in years				
18-29	32 (1.57)	0.150	0.064, 0.352	< 0.0001
30–39 40–49	40 (2.95)	0.264	0.119, 0.584	0.0010
50-59	69 (3.26)	0.300	0.159, 0.569	0.0001
>60	494 (10.82)	Reference		
Gender				
Female	186 (5.15)	0.645	0.419, 0.991	0.0455
ware	497 (6.04)	Reference		
Median household inco	me	1 226	0 670 2 664	0 4107
\$39000-\$47999	190 (5.91)	1.186	0.670, 2.004	0.5585
\$48 000-\$62 999	138 (4.99)	0.851	0.487, 1.657	0.7316
>\$63 000	137 (5.90)	Reference		
Region of hospital				
Northeast	143 (5.95)	1.384	0.737, 2.598	0.3117
West	123 (4.66)	0.855	0.301, 1.454 0.333, 1.737	0.5592
South	265 (6.18)	Reference	,,	
Primary payer				
Public	430 (8.38)	0.639	0.315, 1.296	0.2143
Other	156 (3.45)	0.432	0.213, 0.877	0.0201
Self-pay	49 (4.10)	Reference	0.275, 1.505	0.0404
Hospital size				
Large	436 (5.17)	1.256	0.445, 3.549	0.6665
Medium	184 (7.16)	1.729 Reference	0.580, 5.158	0.3258
Silidii	20 (4.71)	Reference		
Location of hospital	14 (2 97)	0 525	0 174 1 500	0.2545
Urban	626 (5.72)	Reference	0.174, 1.590	0.2345
Traching status of base				
Nonteaching	146 (5.08)	0.693	0.376 1.276	0.2389
Teaching	495 (5.77)	Reference	01070, 11270	012000
Admission type ^a				
Emergency/urgent	424 (5.56)	1.463	0.570, 3.757	0.4292
Trauma center	134 (6.42)	2.344	0.828, 6.634	0.1084
Elective	26 (3.18)	Z.850 Reference	0.001, 9.429	0.0663
Number of	_	1.081	1.032, 1.133	0.0011
diagnoses on this				
record Length of stav	_	0.987	0.954, 1.021	0.4418
			· · · · ·	

Table 4 Predictors of discharge disposition of death during spinal cord injury-related hospitalizations

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

^aAdmission type was collapsed into four categories: emergency/urgent, trauma center, other and elective.

observed in the hospital- and discharge-level characteristics in hospitalizations with and without SCIs. Significantly lower proportion of SCI-related hospitalizations occurred in rural as compared with urban areas. This was a surprising finding, as the incidence rates of traumatic injuries are higher in rural areas.¹⁶ A plausible reason for this finding may be that there is a shortage of well-equipped hospitals and skilled physicians required to meet the complex medical needs of patients with SCIs in rural areas¹⁷ that may make it necessary for some patients with these injuries in rural areas 1/13

to be transferred to urban hospitals for treatment. Greater proportion of patients with SCIs were admitted to teaching hospitals as compared with patients hospitalized for other conditions. This may be explained by the fact that teaching hospitals are better equipped with sophisticated technology needed to treat complex conditions such as SCIs as compared with nonteaching hospitals.¹⁸ Greater proportion of hospitalizations for SCIs occurred in trauma centers as compared with hospitalizations for other reasons. This was an expected finding as trauma centers are specially equipped for the treatment of traumatic injuries. For patients with SCIs, roughly 54% of the discharges resulted in transfer to other facilities and 27% of the discharges were routine, whereas for patients hospitalized for other reasons, more than two-thirds of the discharges were routine. The high percentage of discharges resulting in transfer to other facilities in hospitalizations for SCIs may be because of the fact that hospitalizations for the treatment of traumatic SCIs are usually followed by rehabilitation¹⁹ and hence may involve transfer to a rehabilitation center. In our study, hospitalizations for SCI could have been for the initial treatment of traumatic SCIs or follow-up hospitalizations post the initial treatment of SCIs. The follow-up hospitalizations for the treatment of SCIs could have contributed to the substantial number of routine discharges in SCI-related hospitalizations observed in our study.

The mean LOS in patients with SCI was more than twice as long as the LOS among patients without these injuries. Injuries of spinal cord are extreme medical events that require extensive health-care resource utilization. The longer LOS observed in our study signifies the complexity of stabilizing and/or maintaining the health of these patients. The average LOS for patients with SCI was \sim 12 days, which is consistent with those reported by the National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center (NSCISC).² The mean total charge per hospitalization for a SCI was \$142366, which is more than four times the charge for non-SCI hospitalizations. Several studies have estimated the costs of hospitalization for SCIs in the past.⁶⁻¹⁰ Differences in study settings and methodology make it difficult to present adequate comparisons with prior studies. Nonetheless, some general patterns observed in our study were found to be consistent with earlier such studies. For example, Johnson et al.8 found the initial hospitalization and rehabilitation charges to be \sim \$134000 among patients with SCI.8 The NSCISC estimated the costs of initial hospitalization because of SCI to be around \$140 000.10 Our charge estimates are similar to those reported in these studies. The total national inpatient charge attributable to SCIs in 2009 was \$1.69 billion. All these studies, including ours, reflect the considerable economic burden placed by SCIs on the health-care system.

Regression analyses undertaken to examine the predictors of LOS and charges revealed some interesting results. Patients aged ≥ 60 years had shorter LOS than those in lower age categories. Furthermore, males had longer LOS than females. Younger age and male gender have been found to be risk factors for more severe SCIs,²⁰ which may explain the longer LOS in these two demographic categories as observed in our study. Hospitalizations that took place in urban hospitals had longer LOS and total charges as compared with those that occurred in rural hospitals. The greater availability of highly skilled neurosurgeons, spine surgeons or orthopedic spine surgeons and sophisticated medical equipment in urban hospitals is likely to contribute to these hospitals seeing more severe cases, some of which may have been transferred from rural hospitals. The interplay of injury severity and utilization of resources could be the underlying drivers for longer LOS and higher charges in urban hospitals.

Although there are no direct indicators of injury severity listed in HCUP-NIS data, variables such as discharge disposition and number of diagnoses on record provide useful proxy. The LOS and charges were found to be higher for hospitalizations with discharge disposition listed as 'other', which include transfer to other healthcare facility, as compared with hospitalizations with a routine discharge. Patients who are transferred to other health-care facility are typically those with greater medical needs and are likely to reflect greater severity of SCI. Another proxy measure of patient severity, that is, the number of diagnoses on record, was also positively associated with LOS and total charges.

Age, gender, insurance type and number of diagnoses on the record were found to be significant predictors of discharge disposition of death among patients with SCI. Although LOS was lower among patients aged ≥ 60 years, they had higher odds of discharge disposition of death than those in lower age categories. Females had \sim 35% lower odds of dving during their hospitalization stay associated with SCI as compared with males. These results are consistent with those of previous studies.²¹⁻²³ For example, Varma et al.²¹ found increasing age after 20 years (P < 0.00001) and male gender (P = 0.016) to be associated with in-hospital mortality.²¹ Patients with private insurance were less than half as likely to die during hospitalization as compared with those who paid out of pocket for the hospital stay. This result highlights the health outcome disparity contributed by lack of access to health insurance. As access to health insurance increases with the implementation of Affordable Care Act (2010), it is likely that outcome disparity such as the one observed in this study will alleviate.

This study has a few limitations. The HCUP-NIS is a dischargelevel data, wherein a single patient could be counted twice because of the lack of unique patient identifiers in the data set. The economic inpatient burden of SCIs is presented in the form of charges, the amount charged by the hospital and not in the form of costs, the amount paid by the payer. Hence, it is possible that the numbers presented for inpatient burden may have overestimated the true results. The variables race/ethnicity and admission source were not included in the analysis on account of missing values. The severity of SCI could not be known using the HCUP-NIS. Injury severity is likely to be a key predictor for both resource utilization (LOS and charges) and mortality. Furthermore, we could not determine whether hospitalizations among patients with SCI were for the initial treatment of traumatic SCIs or follow-up hospitalizations post the initial treatment of SCIs. Information about hospitalizations in rehabilitation hospitals was not available in the HCUP-NIS data set. Physician fees incurred during hospitalizations were not included in the calculation of total hospitalization charges in the HCUP-NIS data set. Results of the current study should therefore be interpreted in light of these limitations. Future studies could combine data from SCI registries and administrative claims data to assess the impact of patient demographic and clinical characteristics on hospitalization outcomes in SCI patients.

The study provides important insights concerning the inpatient burden associated with SCIs in the United States. SCI-related hospitalizations were associated with higher LOS (\sim 2.5 times), higher hospitalization charges (\sim 4 times) and greater proportion of hospitalizations with discharge disposition of death (\sim 2.5 times) as compared with hospitalizations for other conditions. Different patient-, hospital- and discharge-level characteristics predicted outcomes of SCI-related hospitalizations. Given the higher proportion of deaths during hospitalizations observed among patients with SCI who paid out of pocket for hospital stay, policy makers should consider designing programmatic solutions with the aim of providing insurance coverage to this patient population.

DATA ARCHIVING

There were no data to deposit.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was presented as a poster at the International Society for Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) 17th Annual International Meeting, 2–6 June 2012, Washington, DC. The study was recognized with the 'Best Student Poster Presentation Award' at the meeting.

- Dijkers MP. Quality of life of individuals with spinal cord injury: a review of conceptualization, measurement, and research findings. J Rehabil Res Dev 2005; 42: 87–110.
- 2 National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center. Spinal cord injury facts and figures at a glance. Available from https://www.nscisc.uab.edu/PublicDocuments/fact_figures_ docs/Facts%202012%20Feb%20Final.pdf. (Accessed 22 February 2013).
- 3 Berkowitz M, O'Leary PK, Berkowitz M, Harvey C. Spinal Cord Injury: An Analysis of Medical and Social Costs. Demos Medical Publishing: New York, 1998, pp 75: 108.
- 4 Buechner JS, Speare MC, Fontes J. Hospitalizations for spinal cord injuries, 1994–1998. Med Health R / 2000; 83: 92–93.
- 5 Krause JS, Saunders L. Risk of hospitalizations after spinal cord injury: relationship with biographic, injury, educational, and behavioural factors. *Spinal Cord* 2009; 47: 692–697.
- 6 Webb SB Jr, Berzin E, Wingardner TS, Lorenzi ME. First year hospitalization costs for the spinal cord injured patient. *Paraplegia* 1978; 15: 311–318.
- 7 Price C, Makintubee S, Herndon W, Istre GR. Epidemiology of traumatic spinal cord injury and acute hospitalization and rehabilitation charges for spinal cord injuries in Oklahoma, 1988–1990. Am J Epidemiol 1994; 139: 37–47.
- 8 Johnson RL, Brooks CA, Whiteneck GG. Cost of traumatic spinal cord injury in a population-based registry. *Spinal Cord* 1996; 34: 470–480.
- 9 French DD, Campbell RR, Sabharwal S, Nelson AL, Palacios PA, Gavin-Dreschnack D. Health care costs for patients with chronic spinal cord injury in the Veterans Health Administration. J Spinal Cord Med 2007; 30: 477–481.
- 10 Lugo LH, Salinas F, Garcia HI. Out-patient rehabilitation programme for spinal cord injured patients: evaluation of the results on motor FIM score. *Disabil Rehabil* 2007; 29: 873–881.
- 11 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. Overview of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample. Available from http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/nisoverview.jsp. (Accessed 12 January 2012).
- 12 Proctor MR. Spinal cord injury. Crit Care Med 2002; 30 (Suppl 11), S489-S499.
- 13 Harvey C, Wilson SE, Greene CG, Berkowitz M, Stripling TE. New estimates of the direct costs of traumatic spinal cord injuries: results of a nationwide survey. *Spinal Cord* 1992; **30**: 834–850.
- 14 De Vivo MJ, Stuart Krause J, Lammertse DP. Recent trends in mortality and causes of death among persons with spinal cord injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1999; 80: 1411–1419.
- 15 Jackson AB, Dijkers M, DeVivo MJ, Poczatek RB. A demographic profile of new traumatic spinal cord injuries: change and stability over 30 years. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004; 85: 1740–1748.
- 16 Peek-Asa C, Zwerling C, Stallones L. Acute traumatic injuries in rural populations. Am J Public health 2004; 94: 1689–1693.
- 17 Hagglund KJ, Clay DL, Acuff M. Community reintegration for persons with spinal cord injury living in rural America. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil* 1998; 4: 28–40.
- 18 Kupersmith J. Quality of care in teaching hospitals: a literature review. Acad Med 2005; 80: 458–466.
- 19 Maynard FM. Spinal cord injury: you do have choices. Available from http:// www.rtcil.org/products/RTCIL%20publications/Health%20Issues/CHOICES.pdf. (Accessed 2 March 2012).
- 20 Lieutaud T, Ndiaye A, Frost F, Chiron M. A 10-year population survey of spinal trauma and spinal cord injuries after road accidents in the Rhone area. *J Neurotrauma* 2010; 27: 1101–1107.
- 21 Varma A, Hill EG, Nicholas J, Selassie A. Predictors of early mortality after traumatic spinal cord injury. *Spine* 2010; **35**: 778–783.
- 22 Bracken MB, Freeman DH, Hellenbrand K. Incidence of acute traumatic hospitalized spinal cord injury in the United States, 1970–77. Am J Epidemiol 1981; 113: 615–622.
- 23 Furlan JC, Bracken MB, Fehlings MG. Is age a key determinant of mortality and neurological outcome after acute traumatic spinal cord injury? *Neurobiol Aging* 2010; 31: 434–446.