# nature cancer

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00653-5

# Imetelstat-mediated alterations in fatty acid metabolism to induce ferroptosis as a therapeutic strategy for acute myeloid leukemia

Received: 20 September 2021

Accepted: 14 September 2023

Published online: 30 October 2023

Check for updates

Telomerase enables replicative immortality in most cancers including acute myeloid leukemia (AML). Imetelstat is a first-in-class telomerase inhibitor with clinical efficacy in myelofibrosis and myelodysplastic syndromes. Here, we develop an AML patient-derived xenograft resource and perform integrated genomics, transcriptomics and lipidomics analyses combined with functional genetics to identify key mediators of imetelstat efficacy. In a randomized phase II-like preclinical trial in patient-derived xenografts, imetelstat effectively diminishes AML burden and preferentially targets subgroups containing mutant NRAS and oxidative stress-associated gene expression signatures. Unbiased, genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 editing identifies ferroptosis regulators as key mediators of imetelstat efficacy. Imetelstat promotes the formation of polyunsaturated fatty acid-containing phospholipids, causing excessive levels of lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress. Pharmacological inhibition of ferroptosis diminishes imetelstat efficacy. We leverage these mechanistic insights to develop an optimized therapeutic strategy using oxidative stress-inducing chemotherapy to sensitize patient samples to imetelstat causing substantial disease control in AML.

AML is an aggressive and lethal blood cancer with a 5-year overall survival rate of less than 45% for patients younger than 60 years of age and less than 10% for older patients, predominantly due to disease relapse after chemotherapy or targeted treatments. AML has been extensively classified based on biological features and advances in sequencing technologies have led to a comprehensive genetic classification strategy (European LeukemiaNet, ELN2017)<sup>1,2</sup>. Despite this

improved understanding of the individual disease subtypes, targeted treatment algorithms have resulted in only modest clinical benefits to date<sup>3</sup>. The development of effective therapies to improve remission rates and prevent relapse remains a top priority for patients with AML.

Telomerase is an attractive target as it is highly expressed and reactivated in the majority of AML and absent in most cell types including healthy hematopoietic cells. We have previously shown that genetic

A full list of affiliations appears at the end of the paper. 🖂 e-mail: Claudia.Bruedigam@QIMRBerghofer.edu.au; Steven.Lane@QIMRBerghofer.edu.au





specified. **b**, Key clinical characteristics of patients from whom AML samples were derived including age at diagnosis, sex, ELN2017 prognostic risk group and WHO class of disease. **c**, OncoPrint of the most frequently detected mutations in AMLs by targeted next-generation sequencing of 585 genes associated with hematological malignancies (the MSKCC HemePACT assay)<sup>31</sup>. depletion of telomerase eradicates leukemia stem cells, particularly upon enforced replication<sup>4</sup>. Despite promising preclinical evidence, the development of effective and specific telomerase inhibitors has been challenging. Imetelstat is a first-in-class covalently lipidated 13-mer thiophosphoramidate oligonucleotide that can competitively inhibit telomerase activity by binding to the telomerase RNA component TERC<sup>5</sup>. Imetelstat has shown clinical efficacy in essential thrombocythemia<sup>6</sup>, myelofibrosis<sup>7</sup> and lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes<sup>8</sup>. In myelodysplastic syndromes, clinical benefits are associated with reductions in telomerase activity and TERT expression<sup>8</sup>.

In addition to its canonical role as critical regulator of telomere length maintenance, telomerase fulfills important non-canonical roles contributing to stress elimination, regulation of Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin, NF- $\kappa$ B and p65 signaling, as well as resistance to ionizing radiation<sup>°</sup>. Hence, the clinical activity of imetelstat may be driven by mechanisms independent of telomere shortening and potentially canonical telomerase activity.

Preclinical trials in patient-derived xenografts (PDXs) provide genetically diverse, tractable models to define the efficacy of drugs and to identify biomarkers of response and resistance in AML<sup>10</sup>. PDX-based trials also allow, within the same cohort, the evaluation of new combination therapies with agents that may enhance efficacy and also critically compare their additive value to current, established standard treatments.

In this study, we aimed to assess the preclinical efficacy of imetelstat in a large AML PDX resource that reflects the diversity of genetic abnormalities found in large patient cohorts. We utilized this AML PDX resource to identify biomarkers of resistance and response to imetelstat therapy and to test potentially synergistic combination therapies. To elucidate the mechanism of action of imetelstat in an unbiased manner, we performed genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 editing allowing the identification of gene knockouts that confer resistance to imetelstat therapy. This study reveals that imetelstat is a potent inducer of ferroptosis that effectively diminishes AML burden and delays relapse following oxidative stress-inducing therapy.

# Results

# Generation of a comprehensive AML PDX resource

To generate a representative AML PDX inventory, primary bone marrow or blood samples from 50 patients were tested for engraftment and development of AML in NOD/SCID/IL2gR-/-/hIL3,CSF2,KITLG (NSGS). The overall success rate for primary engraftment in NSGS was 70%, defined by bone marrow, spleen or peripheral blood donor chimerism of at least 20%, splenomegaly (spleen weight >70 mg), anemia (HCT < 35%) or thrombocytopenia (PLT < 400 × 10<sup>6</sup> ml<sup>-1</sup>), microscopically visible AML infiltration into the spleen or liver and peripheral blood blast morphology (Extended Data Fig. 1a–n). Successfully engrafted NSGS recipients developed AML with a median onset of 173 d post-transplant (Extended Data Fig. 1p).

From the individual samples from patients with AML that successfully engrafted in NSGS, 30 were randomly selected and

characterized based on clinical parameters, including patient age, sex, ELN2017 risk, World Health Organization (WHO) disease classification and molecular profiles obtained by transcriptional and mutational sequencing (Fig. 1a–c). All ELN2017 prognostic risk (favorable, intermediate and adverse) and age categories were represented; 17 samples were from female and 13 samples from male AML patient donors (Fig. 1b). Oncogenic mutations were most frequently detected in *NPM1*, *DNMT3A* and *FLT3* loci and overall, this AML PDX resource recapitulated the genetic abnormalities that are observed in large clinical AML cohorts<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 1c).

# A phase II-like preclinical trial of imetelstat in AML PDX

To test the preclinical efficacy of imetelstat in AML, the characterized 30 individual samples from patients with AML were each transplanted into 12 NSGS recipients (n = 360 PDXs in total). Once AML burden was detected, PDXs were randomized and treated with imetelstat or vehicle control (PBS) until disease onset or a survival benefit of at least 30 d was reached. Median survival was significantly prolonged in imetelstat compared to PBS-treated PDXs (155 d versus 100 d after start of treatment, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2a). AML burden measured as peripheral blood donor chimerism per day was significantly lower in imetelstat compared to vehicle-treated recipients (Fig. 2b). Moreover, end point peripheral blood donor chimerism, bone-marrow cellularity and donor chimerism as well as the absolute number of AML patient-derived cells were significantly reduced in recipients treated with imetelstat when compared to vehicle control (Fig. 2c-f). Furthermore, imetelstat treatment significantly reduced splenic AML donor chimerism (Fig. 2g). We next assessed AML surface marker expression associated with leukemia-initiating activity<sup>11-13</sup> (Fig. 2h). Imetelstat significantly diminished the CD34<sup>+</sup>CD38<sup>-</sup> leukemic stem cell-enriched splenic AML cell population (Fig. 2i). In normal human hematopoiesis using two independent CD34-enriched cord blood xenografts in NSG recipients, the effects of imetelstat were predominantly seen in B lymphocytes with relative preservation of the myeloid and stem cell populations (Extended Data Fig. 2a-q).

We next aimed to compare imetelstat responses to those obtained with standard induction chemotherapy (cytarabine plus anthracycline) in AML PDX from 20 individual samples from patients with AML in an independent cohort using NOD.Rag1-/-Il2Rg-/-/ hIL3,CSF2,KITLG (NRGS) recipients<sup>14</sup>. Imetelstat matched the similar benefit conveyed by standard chemotherapy (139 d) comparative to 104 d in the vehicle control group and this was accompanied by significant reductions in peripheral blood AML burden (Extended Data Fig. 3a,b); however, the individual samples from patients with AML could be classified into either preferential imetelstat or preferential chemotherapy responders (Extended Data Fig. 3c). Preferential responses to imetelstat when compared to standard induction chemotherapy were associated with baseline mutations in *NRAS, JAK2* or *GLI1* (Extended Data Fig. 3d).

We next assessed the transcriptional consequences of imetelstat therapy in a cohort of PDX from eight randomly chosen individual samples from patients with AML in vivo (n = 4 sustained (RBWH-37,

**Fig. 2** | **The efficacy of imetelstat in a randomized phase II-like preclinical trial in AML PDX. a**, Two-tailed Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of vehicle control (PBS; n = 180) or imetelstat-treated (n = 180) AML PDX.  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  according to Gehan–Breslow–Wilcoxon. **b**–**g**, Analysis of AML disease parameters. Peripheral blood (PB) donor chimerism area under the curve (AUC) per day (**b**), end point PB donor chimerism (**c**), bone-marrow (BM) cellularity (**d**), BM chimerism (**e**), the number of AML donor-derived cells in PDX BM (**f**) and splenic (SPL) donor chimerism (**g**). **h**, **i**, Flow cytometric analysis of AML surface marker expression CD34, CD38 and GPR56. Gating strategy (**h**). The percentage of CD34<sup>+</sup>CD38<sup>-</sup> viable CD45<sup>+</sup> SPL singlets (**i**). Data are presented as median  $\pm$  95% confidence interval (CI) (**b**–**g**,**i**). Statistical analysis was performed on log-transformed data using an unpaired two-sided *t*-test, considering detection limits at  $1 \times 10^{-3}$ .  $P = 2.21 \times 10^{-10}$  (**b**),  $P = 7.79 \times 10^{-8}$  (**c**),  $P = 1.37 \times 10^{-4}$  (**d**),  $P = 7.32 \times 10^{-3}$  (**e**),  $P = 8.82 \times 10^{-5}$  (**f**),

 $P = 1.83 \times 10^{-3}$  (g),  $P = 7.44 \times 10^{-5}$  (i). Asterisks (\*) denote statistically significant comparisons with  $P < 5 \times 10^{-2}$ , j,k, GSEA on RNA-seq data from sorted viable hCD45<sup>+</sup> cells collected from imetelstat or PBS-treated AML PDXs. n = 16 AML PDXs per treatment group. Cytoscape nodes represent gene sets with a cutoff of q < 0.1(j); GSEA on hallmark signatures with the top five enriched signatures highlighted in color (k). I-n, TERT messenger RNA (mRNA) expression results obtained from RNA-seq analysis described as above (I). FC, fold change. Telomere length in viable CD45<sup>+</sup> SPL cells from imetelstat versus PBS-treated AML PDXs measured by qPCR (m) and confirmed by telomeric restriction fragment analysis (n). Statistical analysis (I,m) was based on paired two-tailed *t*-tests comparing AML PDXs treated with imetelstat (n = 16) or PBS (n = 16).  $P = 9.48 \times 10^{-2}$  (I),  $P > 5 \times 10^{-2}$  (m). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. NS, not significant.



-47, -48 and -36), n = 3 intermediate (RBWH-46, -56 and -42) and n = 1 poor (RBWH-44) responders to imetelstat). Gene expression signatures annotated as interferon signaling, cell cycle, transcriptional regulation by TP53 and MAPK signaling were significantly enriched in AML donor cells from imetelstat-treated compared to vehicle control-treated PDX (Fig. 2j,k). TERT messenger RNA expression levels were trend-wise reduced in AML donor cells derived from imetelstat-treated compared to vehicle-treated PDX spleens (Fig. 2l). Notably, telomere lengths were similar between imetelstat-treated compared to vehicle-treated groups (Fig. 2m,n).

# A CRISPR/Cas9 screen to identify key effectors of imetelstat

To investigate the mechanism of action of imetelstat in AML in an unbiased manner, we applied the Brunello guide RNA (gRNA) library<sup>15</sup> as a positive selection screen to identify gene knockouts that confer resistance to imetelstat. We used NB4 cells as these demonstrated highest sensitivity to imetelstat when compared to 13 other human hematopoietic cell lines (Extended Data Fig. 7a). Half-maximum inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) values strongly depended on cell density, demonstrating the presence of an imetelstat inoculum effect (Extended Data Fig. 4a)<sup>16</sup>. Cas9-expressing NB4 cells transduced with the Brunello library or untransduced controls were cultured in the presence of imetelstat concentrations that resulted in substantial cell death (IC98) of the untransduced control cultures but allowed the enrichment of imetelstat-resistant cells in Brunello-transduced cultures over a time course of 45 d in culture (Extended Data Fig. 4b). Vehicle or mismatch control-treated NB4 cells grew exponentially throughout the course of treatment (Extended Data Fig. 4c). Specific guide RNAs were selectively enriched in Brunello-transduced imetelstat-resistant compared to vehicle-treated and input control cultures (Extended Data Fig. 4d-g). Combined RIGER and STARS gene-ranking algorithms identified seven significant hits: fatty acid desaturase 2 (FADS2), acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 4 (ACSL4), translocase of inner mitochondrial membrane 17A (TIMM17A), late endosomal/lysosomal adaptor, MAPK and MTOR activator 1-3 (LAMTOR1, LAMTOR2, LAMTOR3) and myosin regulatory light-chain interacting protein (MYLIP; Fig. 3a). Ingenuity pathway analysis indicated close functional relationships between the seven hits in regulating lipid metabolism, iron/metal ion binding, mitochondrial matrix and lysosome biogenesis and localization (Fig. 3b).

We next aimed to validate the most significant hits identified (FADS2 and ACSL4) using single guide RNA (sgRNA)-mediated editing in the *NRAS* wild-type expressing NB4 and MV411 and the *NRAS*-mutant KO52 (p.G13R) and TF1 (p.Q61P) AML cell lines. Editing was confirmed by TIDE analysis<sup>17</sup> and reduced protein levels (Extended Data Fig. 4h-j).

We performed competition assays to confirm that loss-of-function editing of *FADS2* or *ACSL4* confers competitive growth advantage under imetelstat pressure in all AML cell lines analyzed (Fig. 3c-f). The observed effects were target-specific as a competitive outgrowth under imetelstat pressure was not observed when CD33 (predicted to have neutral effects on cell functions<sup>18</sup>) knockouts or empty vector controls were used (Fig. 3c-f).

These results demonstrate that loss-of-function editing of *FADS2* or *ACSL4* confers competitive growth advantage under imetelstat pressure, identifying ACSL4 and FADS2 as mediators of imetelstat efficacy in AML.

# Imetelstat is a potent inducer of ferroptosis

ACSL4 and FADS2 encode key enzymes regulating polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA)-containing phospholipid synthesis. FADS2 is a key enzyme in a lipid metabolic pathway that converts the essential fatty acids linoleate (18:2n6) and  $\alpha$ -linolenate (C18:3n3) into long-chain PUFAs<sup>19</sup>. Targeted lipidomics analysis on 593 lipid species and their desaturation levels<sup>20</sup> demonstrated clear effects of imetelstat treatment and *FADS2* editing on the cellular lipidome, with imetelstat-treated empty vector control AML cells showing greatest difference to vehicle-treated empty vector control and *FADS2*-edited cells. (Extended Data Fig. 5a). Moreover, we found a significant enrichment of phospholipids containing fatty acids with three unsaturated bonds in imetelstat-treated compared to vehicle control-treated NB4 cells and this enrichment of lipid desaturation was diminished by *FADS2* editing (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 5b). Moreover, imetelstat increased the levels of phospholipids with triglycerides and reduced the levels of phospholipids containing cholesteryl esters and ceramides when compared to vehicle control in an FADS2-dependent manner (Extended Data Fig. 5c). Taken together, these data demonstrate imetelstat-induced PUFA phospholipid synthesis in an FADS2-dependent manner.

ACSL4 has been previously identified as key regulator of ferroptosis<sup>21</sup>. Ferroptosis is a form of cell death that is driven by an imbalance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) during lipid peroxidation and the antioxidant system and may involve autophagic processes depending on the trigger<sup>22</sup>. A hallmark of ferroptosis is lipid peroxidation, the oxidation of PUFA-containing phospholipids that occurs via a free radical chain reaction mechanism<sup>22</sup>. Cancer therapies can enhance ferroptosis sensitivity via lipid remodeling that increases levels of peroxidation-susceptible PUFA-containing phospholipids<sup>23</sup>.

To test whether imetelstat induces lipid peroxidation, we treated various AML cell lines with C11-BODIPY, a fluorescent fatty acid probe that changes its emission spectrum from red to green upon oxidation. In all four AML cell lines tested, imetelstat treatment resulted in a significant increase in mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the oxidized fatty acid probe, demonstrating that imetelstat induces lipid peroxidation in AML cells in vitro (Fig. 5b). We next assessed whether also ROS levels were affected by imetelstat. Using CellROX Green to measure ROS production, we found that its MFI was increased by imetelstat and this increase was diminished when the lipid ROS scavenger ferrostatin-1 was added during the incubation step with CellROX Green, demonstrating that imetelstat increases predominantly lipid ROS levels in AML cell lines in vitro (Fig. 5a). Both lipid peroxidation and lipid ROS production were significantly diminished in ACSL4 or FADS2 loss-of function edited AML cell lines, demonstrating that imetelstat-induced lipid peroxidation and lipid ROS production are dependent on functional FADS2 and ACSL4 in vitro (Fig. 4b,c). Pharmacological inhibition of ferroptosis using the lipid ROS scavengers ferrostatin-1 and liproxstatin-1 diminished imetelstat efficacy in all AML cell lines tested (Extended Data Fig. 6). Moreover, the iron chelator deferoxamine mesvlate. the 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor zileuton and menadione diminished imetelstat-induced cell death in a substantial proportion of AML cell lines tested (Extended Data Fig. 6).

In AML PDXs in vivo, imetelstat-induced lipid peroxidation was associated with increased ACSL4 expression (Fig. 5c). To investigate whether lipid ROS and lipid peroxidation are essential for imetelstat's mechanism of action in AML PDXs in vivo, we treated AML PDXs with either vehicle control, imetelstat (15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> three times per week), liproxstatin-1 (15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> twice daily) or the combination of both imetelstat and liproxstatin-1 for 2 weeks. Imetelstat-driven lipid peroxidation and ROS production were prevented by liproxstatin treatment (Fig. 5d,e). In vivo liproxstatin treatment diminished imetelstat efficacy in PDXs as measured by peripheral blood AML burden (Fig. 5f).

Taken together, these data provide evidence that imetelstat is a potent inducer of ferroptosis through ACSL4- and FADS2-mediated alterations in PUFA metabolism, excessive lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress.

# Lipophagy precedes imetelstat-induced ferroptosis

By integrating transcriptomics and functional genetics, we aimed to investigate the mechanism by which imetelstat induces ferroptosis. We performed an overlay of the in vivo AML PDX RNA-seq datasets from imetelstat and vehicle-treated mice with the Brunello library CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screen data (cutoff criteria of RNA-seq adjusted



Fig. 3 | Identification of key mediators of imetelstat efficacy using genomewide CRISPR/Cas9 editing. Brunello CRISPR/Cas9 positive enrichment screen in NB4 cells. **a**, gRNA enrichment analysis using STARS and RIGER gene-ranking algorithms in n = 3 independent imetelstat-treated biological replicates. Red circles indicate significantly enriched targets (STARS false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.15 and RIGER score >2.0). **b**, Cytoscape visualization of the ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA)-derived interaction network connecting the identified significantly enriched gRNA targets. **c**-**f**, Competition assays of imetelstat- (red) versus vehicle control (PBS; black)-treated Cas9-expressing NB4 (**c**), MV411 (**d**), KO52 (**e**) and TF1 (**f**) cultures transduced with n = 2 independent sgRNAs targeting *FADS2* (top), n = 4 independent sgRNA targeting *ACSL4* (middle) and n = 2controls (empty vector and gRNA targeting *CD33*). Three technical replicates per condition from two independent experiments were pooled. Asterisks (\*)

denote statistically significant comparisons based on distinct 95% CI on mCherry chimerism AUC between imetelstat and PBS-treated cultures. 95% CI (lower limit, upper limit): NB4 *FADS2* PBS (444.4, 456.7) versus imetelstat (771.9, 795.9); *ACSL4* PBS (320.1, 344.4) versus imetelstat (428.3, 459.6); editing controls PBS (186.0, 197.8) versus imetelstat (185.4, 203.4) (c). MV411 *FADS2* PBS (1,253, 1,302) versus imetelstat (1,421, 1,525); *ACSL4* PBS (847.8, 1,160) versus imetelstat (1,262, 1,564); editing controls PBS (896.2, 1,030) versus imetelstat (943.8, 1,073) (d). KO52 *FADS2* PBS (812.3, 907.0) versus imetelstat (949.8, 1,023); *ACSL4* PBS (648.9, 743.3) versus imetelstat (1,020, 1,095); editing controls PBS (635.3, 727.6) versus imetelstat (654.9, 760) (e). TF1 *FADS2* PBS (1,280, 1,325) versus imetelstat (1,585, 1,721); *ACSL4* PBS (1,381, 1,644) versus imetelstat (2,486, 2,788); editing controls PBS (905.9, 982.8) versus imetelstat (888.2, 993.3) (f).



**Fig. 4** | **Imetelstat is a potent inducer of ferroptosis. a**, Lipid desaturation analysis of *FADS2*-edited (FADS2-sg1 and FADS2-sg2) or non-edited (empty vector control) NB4 cells treated with imetelstat (4  $\mu$ M at a seeding density of 2.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per ml culture) or vehicle control (PBS) for 24 h. The graph depicts the median log<sub>2</sub>FC of the number of total unsaturated bonds in lipid species in the respective comparisons outlined in the legend. Shading represents the 95% Cl. *n* = 3 replicates from distinct cell passages and independent experiments. **b**, **c**, CellROX Green (**b**) and C11-BODIPY (**c**) analysis in *ACSL4*edited (*n* = 4 independent gRNAs), *FADS2*-edited (*n* = 2 independent gRNAs) or non-edited (*n* = 2 independent replicates, Cas9, empty vector) NB4 or MV411 cell lines treated with imetelstat (4  $\mu$ M) or PBS. Time points of analysis were 24 h (NB4) and day 4 (MV411). Three technical replicates per condition were pooled. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used and adjusted for multiple comparisons. NB4, *P* < 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup>

(non-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat),  $P = 2 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat) wersus *FAD2S*-edited + imetelstat);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + PBS versus non-edited + imetelstat);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat) versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *FADS2*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + PBS versus non-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + PBS versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *FADS2*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *FADS2*-edited + imetelstat);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *FADS2*-edited + imetelstat);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *FADS2*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (non-edited + imetelstat versus *ACSL4*-edited + imetelstat)) (c). A repeat experiment was performed that replicated the results.

*P* value < 0.05 and RIGER *P* < 0.05) and identified 11 imetelstat target candidates (Fig. 6a). Two of them, VIM (vimentin) and LMNA (lamin A/C), which are part of a common regulatory module (Fig. 6a), have recently been identified as telomeric G-quadruplex-binding proteins<sup>24</sup>.

Recent independent work demonstrated the capacity of imetelstat to form G-quadruplex structures in vitro and this capacity is attributed to the presence of a triple G-repeat (GGG) in its sequence<sup>25</sup>. These insights prompted us to obtain an additional mismatch control harboring a similar triple G-repeat, but containing enough mismatches to prevent efficient binding to telomerase (Extended Data Fig. 7a). Using an antibody raised against (T4G4)2 intermolecular G-quadruplex DNA structures<sup>26-28</sup>, we found that imetelstat or GGG-containing mismatch but not mismatch 1 significantly interfered with endogenous DNA G-quadruplex structures (Extended Data Fig. 7b). In a panel of 14 human hematopoietic cell lines, GGG-containing mismatch control and imetelstat demonstrated similar efficacies in the majority of AML cell lines tested (Extended Data Fig. 7a). Moreover, GGG-containing mismatch was similarly effective as imetelstat in increasing ROS levels when compared to vehicle control (Extended Data Fig. 7c). Ferrostatinor deferoxamine mesylate-mediated inhibition of ferroptosis rescued

both imetelstat as well as GGG-mismatch-induced cell death (Extended Data Fig. 7d). We next compared the preclinical efficacy of imetelstat with GGG-mismatch and mismatch 1 in an *NRAS/KRAS*-mutant AML PDX model (RCH-11). In this model, GGG-mismatch was also effective in reducing AML burden (Extended Data Fig. 7e).

In addition to binding telomeric G-quadruplexes, vimentin has long been established as structural component of lipid droplets regulating their biogenesis and stability.

Lipid droplets can undergo selective autophagy (lipophagy) that can result in the induction of ferroptosis<sup>29</sup>. We hypothesized that imetelstat-induced PUFA phospholipid synthesis, oxidation and ferroptosis can result from lipophagy. Vimentin was highly expressed at protein level in AML cells in vitro (Fig. 6b) and loss-of-function editing of vimentin resulted in a modest competitive growth advantage of AML cells under imetelstat pressure (Fig. 6c). We next assessed lipophagy using C12-BODIPY, a fluorescent fatty acid probe for lipid droplets, in conjunction with the late endosomal marker LAMP1 (ref. 30). Imaging flow cytometry revealed significantly increased colocalization of lipid droplets with the late endosomal marker LAMP1, indicating increased lipophagy (Fig. 6d). To test whether pharmacological inhibition of



**Fig. 5** | **Lipid ROS scavenging diminishes imetelstat efficacy. a,b**, CellROX Green (**a**) and C11-BODIPY (**b**) flow cytometry on NB4, MV411, KO52 and TF1 treated with imetelstat (4  $\mu$ M) or vehicle control (PBS). *n* = 6 replicates pooled from two experiments. Time points of analysis were 24 h (NB4) and day 4 (MV411), day 8 (KO52) and day 5 (TF1). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. **a**, One-way ANOVA was used and adjusted for multiple comparisons. NB4,  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (NB4 PBS versus imetelstat),  $P = 9 \times 10^{-4}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + ferrostatin); MV411,  $P = 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (PBS versus imetelstat),  $P = 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + ferrostatin); KO52,  $P = 1.84 \times 10^{-2}$  (PBS versus imetelstat),  $P = 1.95 \times 10^{-2}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + ferrostatin); TF1,  $P = 6.2 \times 10^{-3}$  (PBS versus imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + ferrostatin). **b**, An unpaired two-sided *t*-test was used. NB4,  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ; MV411,  $P = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ; KO52,  $P = 9.4 \times 10^{-3}$ ; TF1,  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  **c**, C11-BODIPY and ACSL4 messenger RNA (mRNA) analysis on sorted viable CD45<sup>+</sup> splenic cells from imetelstat- compared to PBStreated PDXs from the preclinical trial presented in Fig. 2. C11-BODIPY data (n = 9 PDXs from three individual AML samples with three PDXs per patient sample) are presented as mean ± s.e.m. ACSL4 mRNA data (n = 6 PDXs from the same three individual AML samples with two PDXs per patient sample) are presented as violin plots. Statistics are based on an unpaired two-sided *t*-test:  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ (MFI C11-BODIPY, top),  $P = 2.145 \times 10^{-1}$  (MFI C11-BODIPY, bottom);  $P = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ (ACSL4, top),  $P = 9.53 \times 10^{-1}$  (ACSL4, bottom). **d**–**f**, AML PDX treated with vehicle, liproxstatin-1, imetelstat or a combination of liproxstatin-1 with imetelstat for 2 weeks. n = 12 PDX per treatment group. C11-BODIPY (**d**) and CellROX (**e**) flow cytometry on splenic CD45<sup>+</sup> singlets. PB chimerism (**f**) at the end of treatment. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. (**d**–**f**). One-way ANOVA was used and adjusted for multiple comparisons.  $P = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$  (vehicle versus imetelstat),  $P = 1 \times 10^{-3}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + liproxstatin-1) (**d**).  $P = 6.4 \times 10^{-3}$  (vehicle versus imetelstat),  $P = 1.934 \times 10^{-1}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + liproxstatin-1) (**e**).  $P = 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$  (vehicle versus imetelstat),  $P = 4.21 \times 10^{-2}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + liproxstatin-1) (**f**). lipophagy can prevent imetelstat-induced ferroptosis, we cultured AML cells in the presence of imetelstat combined with chloroquine, which inhibits lysosomal hydrolases by increasing the pH and thus lipophagy. Notably, in all AML cell lines tested, chloroquine diminished imetelstat-induced cell death (Fig. 6e).

These results provide evidence for a role of lipophagy-induced ferroptosis in imetelstat's mechanism of action in AML via impaired lipid droplet homeostasis due to G-quadruplex mediated interference with the structural components of lipid droplets.

### Oxidative stress signatures distinguish sustained responders

We next aimed to identify biomarkers of imetelstat response and resistance. Improved survival in imetelstat-treated AML PDXs correlated with significantly reduced engraftment and disease burden; however, there were clear differences in the magnitude and duration of individual responses (Extended Data Fig. 8). To understand determinants of imetelstat response, we allocated each individual AML patient sample into either sustained, intermediate or poor imetelstat response categories based on the individual effect of imetelstat on AML burden measured in peripheral blood over time (Extended Data Figs. 9 and 10a). All ELN2017 prognostic risk categories were represented in each imetelstat response group, suggesting that the effects observed were not solely explained by favorable disease (Extended Data Fig. 10b). In addition, cytogenetics, sex, age, *FLT3-ITD* allelic ratio and TERT messenger RNA expression levels at baseline seemed similar among imetelstat response groups (Extended Data Fig. 10c–h).

We next aimed to identify genetic biomarkers of response and resistance to imetelstat therapy by analyzing the data from individual samples from patients with AML at baseline that were generated by genomic sequencing using a comprehensive panel of 585 genes frequently mutated in hematological malignancies<sup>31</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 9c). Oncogenic mutations in genes annotated in signaling or cell adhesion/metabolism were trend-wise more frequently observed in sustained compared to poor responders to imetelstat (Fig. 7a and Extended Data Fig. 9c).

Mutant *NRAS* was associated with enhanced responses to imetelstat therapy. This was evidenced by reduced AML burden and improvement in survival when compared to wild-type *NRAS* containing AML PDXs (Fig. 7b,c). Moreover, variant allelic frequencies of the relevant *NRAS* mutations inversely correlated with AML burden in imetelstat-treated AML PDXs (Extended Data Fig. 10i). Additionally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the RNA-seq data obtained from the individual samples from patients with AML at baseline revealed that sustained responders had a positive enrichment of gene signatures associated with translation/viral infection and negative enrichment for gene signatures associated with cell cycle, antiviral immunity, transmembrane transport and heme scavenging compared to poor responders to imetelstat (Fig. 7d and Extended Data Fig. 9a). Hallmark signatures revealed significant enrichment of gene sets annotated as apoptosis, interferon- $\alpha$  response, DNA repair, TP53 pathway, peroxisome, fatty acid metabolism and ROS pathway in sustained compared to poor responders to imetelstat (Fig. 7e).

We next examined whether baseline telomere length could predict imetelstat response. Telomere length was determined by telomere restriction fragment (TRF) analyses and peak telomere lengths varied between 2.7 and 12 kb among individual AML patient samples (Fig. 7f and Extended Data Fig. 10j). Five out of the 30 AML patient samples contained multiple subclones with distinct telomere lengths (Fig. 7f and Extended Data Fig. 10j). Overall, there was no correlation between baseline telomere length and imetelstat response (Fig. 7f).

These data demonstrate that imetelstat is effective in a large proportion of AML PDXs. Furthermore, sustained responses to imetelstat are independent of baseline telomere length and are associated with marked improvements in survival, mutant *NRAS* and baseline molecular signatures annotated as oxidative stress.

# Oxidative stress induction sensitizes AML PDX to imetelstat

The finding that responses to imetelstat are associated with baseline molecular signatures annotated as oxidative stress and that the mechanism of action of imetelstat features ROS-mediated ferroptosis led to the hypothesis that oxidative stress induction can sensitize to imetelstat therapy.

Standard induction chemotherapy composed of cytarabine and an anthracycline is a potent inducer of ROS<sup>32</sup>. To test whether oxidative stress-inducing therapy can sensitize AML cells to imetelstat treatment, we pretreated AML cell lines with oxidative stress-inducing standard induction chemotherapy (cytarabine in combination with doxorubicin) and subsequently switched to imetelstat treatment. Standard induction chemotherapy significantly increased ROS levels in a dose-dependent manner that led to augmented cell death in AML cell lines (Fig. 8a,b).

In a pilot study using an *NRAS* wild-type AML PDX model (poor responder to imetelstat monotherapy; RBWH-44), a single dose of standard induction chemotherapy followed by a single dose of imetelstat resulted in significantly increased ROS levels in AML patient-derived cells in PDXs in vivo (Fig. 8c). At this early time point, lipid peroxidation was not significantly different between the treatment groups (Fig. 8c); however, after a complete cycle of induction chemotherapy followed by prolonged treatment with imetelstat consolidation therapy, both lipid peroxidation and ROS levels were significantly increased (Fig. 8d).

Finally, as a proof of concept in vivo, we sequentially administered oxidative stress-inducing standard induction chemotherapy before imetelstat in a diverse PDX cohort from 20 distinct AML patient samples

Fig. 6 | Integrative analysis of transcriptomics and functional genetics. a, Integration of RNA-seq and CRISPR screen data using relaxed cutoffs (differential gene expression analysis-derived adjusted P < 0.05 and gRNA enrichment analysis-derived RIGER P < 0.05). Thirteen genes (colored dots) passed these cutoff criteria, of which 11 were annotated in ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) (right). A common regulatory module for VIM, LMNA and RGS18 is highlighted through connecting lines. DEG, differentially expressed gene. b, Confocal microscopy of VIM protein in NB4 cells treated with vehicle control (PBS) or imetelstat for 24 h. Representative images of n = 6 biological replicates. DAPI, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. c, VIM-editing in NB4 using n = 4 independent sgRNAs. Competition assays of mCherry\* VIM-edited cells grown in the presence of mCherry-unedited control NB4 cells, treated with imetelstat (red) or vehicle (PBS) control (black). Plots show data from one representative experiment. Two independent repeats were performed. d, Imaging flow cytometry of lipophagy using C12-BODIPY and LAMP1 in n = 4 independent VIM-edited (VIM-sg1, VIMsg2, VIM-sg3 and VIM-sg4) or n = 4 independent editing-control (native, Cas9, empty vector or CD33-sg2) NB4 cell lines. Recovery examples of cells showing

strong colocalization of C12-BODIPY and LAMP1 indicative of lipophagy activity (top) or cells with weak colocalization indicating insignificant lipophagic flux. Quantification of the percentages of cells with strong colocalization defined as bright detail similarity score >1. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. Statistics are based on a one-way ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons to PBS-treated editing controls. Editing controls + PBS versus editing controls + imetelstat,  $P = 1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ ; editing controls + PBS versus VIM-edited + PBS,  $P = 4.955 \times 10^{-1}$ ; editing controls + PBS versus VIM-edited + imetelstat,  $P = 2.128 \times 10^{-1}$ . Comparisons were considered NS when  $P > 5 \times 10^{-2}$ . Data are from one experiment representative of four independent experiments. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results. e, Chloroquine and imetelstat combination treatments in AML cell lines. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. One-way ANOVA was used and adjusted for multiple comparisons. NB4 (n = 3 replicates),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ; MV411 (n = 3 replicates),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ ; KO52 (n = 3 replicates),  $P = 8 \times 10^{-3}$ ; TF1  $(n = 2 \text{ replicates}), P = 5.1 \times 10^{-3}; \text{MOLM13} (n = 3 \text{ replicates}), P < 1 \times 10^{-4}; \text{HEL} (n = 3)$ replicates),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ . Each experiment was repeated once with similar results.

(Fig. 8e). Combination therapy significantly prolonged survival when compared to imetelstat monotherapy (158 d versus 139 d; P = 0.0328), induction chemotherapy alone (158 d versus 139 d, P = 0.0100) or vehicle control (158 d versus 104 d, P < 0.0001; Fig. 8f). AML burden was significantly reduced in the combination therapy group when compared to either monotherapy or vehicle-treated control groups (Fig. 8g).

These data demonstrate that the rational sequencing of imetelstat and chemotherapy, using standard induction chemotherapy to induce oxidative stress and sensitize AML cells to imetelstat-induced lipid peroxidation and ferroptosis, results in significantly improved disease control of AML (Fig. 8h).

# Discussion

Imetelstat is a first-in class telomerase inhibitor with clinical efficacies in hematological myeloid malignancies, including essential thrombocythemia, myelofibrosis and lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes<sup>6-8</sup>. The efficacy of imetelstat in AML and its mode of action have remained





Fig. 7 | Mutant NRAS and oxidative stress gene expression signatures associate with sustained responses to imetelstat. Segregation of samples from patients with AML into sustained, intermediate and poor imetelstat response groups based on PB AML burden with n = 14 (sustained), n = 8 (intermediate) and n = 8 (poor). **a**, Cytoscape visualization of the frequencies of genes with oncogenic mutations (based on the COSMIC database<sup>79</sup>) in sustained (turquoise), intermediate (light blue) and poor (dark blue) responders to imetelstat. Connecting lines represent co-occurring mutations within the same AML patient sample. b, AML burden in imetelstat-treated normalized to vehicle controltreated PDXs in relation to NRAS mutational status. NRAS wild-type (wt; n = 144 PDXs) and mutant NRAS (mut; n = 36 PDXs). Statistics were conducted according to a two-sided *t*-test on log-transformed data:  $P = 2.86 \times 10^{-2}$ . c, Two-tailed survival analysis of PBS and imetelstat-treated AML PDXs divided into groups based on their NRAS mutation status. Median survival was 94 (PBS-treated NRAS-mut; n = 36 PDXs), 389 (imetelstat-treated NRAS-mut; n = 36 PDXs), 100 (PBS-treated NRAS-wt; n = 144) and 153 (imetelstat-treated NRAS-wt; n = 144) days

from start of treatment.  $P = 2.56 \times 10^{-2}$  comparing imetelstat-treated *NRAS*-mut to imetelstat-treated *NRAS*-wt PDX according to Gehan–Breslow–Wilcoxon. **d**, Cytoscape visualization of GSEA results on RNA-seq data from individual AML patient samples at baseline comparing sustained with poor responders to imetelstat (n = 14 sustained responders; n = 8 poor responders; node cutoff, q < 0.1). Red circles represent gene sets positively enriched in sustained versus poor responders to imetelstat. Blue circles represent negatively enriched gene sets in sustained versus poor responders to imetelstat. **e**, Hallmark GSEA on RNAseq data comparing sustained versus poor responders to imetelstat at baseline. The red dotted line represents the cutoff considered for significant enrichment at FDR = 0.25. **f**, Simple linear regression analysis of baseline telomere length versus imetelstat response in PDXs. n = 30 AML patient samples.  $P = 7.66 \times 10^{-1}$ ;  $F = 8.998 \times 10^{-1}$ ; degrees of freedom numerator, degrees of freedom denominator = 1, 34; slope 95% CI (-2.219 × 10^{-1}, 1.648 × 10^{-1}); y intercept 95% CI (6.023, 8.449); x intercept 95% CI (367.9, +infinity).  $R^2 = 2.639 \times 10^{-3}$ .



Fig. 8 | Oxidative stress induction with standard chemotherapy to sensitize AML cells to imetelstat. a, CellROX flow cytometry on AML cells treated with various concentrations of AraC + Doxo after 3 d in culture. n = 3replicates from a representative experiment that was repeated independently showing similar results. Data are presented as mean MFI ± s.e.m. One-way ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons,  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (HEL);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ (MOLM13);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (NB4). AraC, cytarabine; Doxo, doxorubicin. **b**, Sytox flow cytometry on cultures after switching to imetelstat (4 µM). Heat maps represent viabilities (Sytox cell percentages). Asterisks (\*) denote statistically significant differences ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-2}$ ) between imetelstat-treated cells pretreated with 1.17 nM AraC + 39.06 nM Doxo (n = 3) versus imetelstat-treated controls that were not pretreated (n = 3) according to an unpaired two-sided t-test,  $P = 1.47 \times 10^{-2}$  (HEL);  $P = 8.43 \times 10^{-6}$  (MOLM13);  $P = 1.22 \times 10^{-6}$  (NB4). c,d, C11-BODIPY and CellROX analysis on splenic CD45<sup>+</sup> cells from PDXs (RBWH-44). Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. One-way ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons. c. PDX received one dose of AraC + Doxo on day1 followed by one dose of imetelstat on day 2 and were analyzed on day 3. AraC + Doxo + imetelstat (n = 5) versus vehicle (n = 6),  $P = 2.92 \times 10^{-2}$  (MFI CellROX). **d**, PDX received a 5 + 3 AraC + Doxo cycle followed by imetelstat consolidation and were analyzed after 3 months. AraC + Doxo + imetelstat (n = 5) versus vehicle (n = 4),  $P = 1.117 \times 10^{-2}$  (CellROX),  $P = 2.27 \times 10^{-2}$  (C11-BODIPY). e-g, PDX trial on imetelstat consolidation following induction chemotherapy. Experimental scheme (e), survival (f) and PB AML burden (g). n = 120 PDXs per treatment group. i.v., intravenous; i.p., intraperitoneal; b.w., body weight. f, Two-tailed Kaplan-Meier analysis according to Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon,  $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ (vehicle versus AraC + Doxo);  $P = 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$  (vehicle versus imetelstat),  $P = 1 \times 10^{-2}$  $(AraC + Doxo versus AraC + Doxo + imetelstat): P = 3.28 \times 10^{-2}$  (imetelstat versus imetelstat + AraC + Doxo);  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (vehicle versus AraC + Doxo + imetelstat). g, One-way ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons on log-transformed data. Asterisks (\*) denote statistically significant differences,  $P = 2.3 \times 10^{-2}$  (vehicle versus imetelstat);  $P = 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$  (AraC + Doxo versus chemotherapy + imetelstat);  $P = 4.14 \times 10^{-2}$  (imetelstat versus AraC + Doxo + imetelstat),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (vehicle versus AraC + Doxo + imetelstat). **h**. Model demonstrating the working hypothesis on imetelstat-induced ferroptosis in AML derived from this study.

elusive to date. By developing and utilizing a comprehensive AML PDX resource and human cell lines for genomics, transcriptomics and lipidomics approaches combined with functional genetic and pharmacological validation experiments, we demonstrate that imetelstat is a potent inducer of ferroptosis that effectively diminishes AML burden and delays relapse following chemotherapy.

Ferroptosis is a recently discovered type of non-apoptotic regulatory cell death that relies on the balance of the production of ROS during lipid peroxidation and the antioxidant system and it is generally characterized by three hallmarks: (1) loss of peroxide repair capacity through GPX4; (2) availability of redox-active iron; and (3) oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acid-containing phospholipids<sup>22,33</sup>. The experiments performed in this study have revealed evidence for imetelstat directly affecting the third hallmark of ferroptosis: the increased synthesis and subsequent oxidation of PUFA phospholipids. In AML PDXs in vivo, imetelstat-induced lipid peroxidation is associated with significantly increased ACSL4 expression. In human AML cell lines, imetelstat treatment significantly increased lipid ROS levels that preceded massive cell death. Treatment with the lipid ROS scavengers ferrostatin-1 or liproxstatin-1 rescued imetelstat-induced cell death in all AML cell lines tested. In addition, pharmacological iron chelation using deferoxamine mesylate, 5-lipoxygenase inhibition using zileuton or menadione supplementation were able to prevent imetelstat-induced cell death in a substantial proportion of AML cell lines tested. In contrast to ferrostatin-1 and liproxstatin-1, higher concentrations of deferoxamine mesylate were detrimental for AML cells, suggesting that iron availability is crucial for AML cell survival at a level specific for each cell line. Iron metabolism is altered in AML at the cellular and systemic level and elevated iron levels help to maintain the rapid growth rate of AML cells by activating ribonucleotide reductase that catalyzes DNA synthesis in an iron-dependent manner<sup>34</sup>. Notably, imetelstat has shown efficacy in patients with pathology featuring ringed sideroblasts<sup>7,8,35</sup>, a cellular morphological abnormality that is defined by iron-laden granules in mitochondria surrounding the nucleus, further supporting the role of iron-dependent cell death.

Our functional genetic experiments using Brunello library CRISPR/Cas9 editing have provided further evidence that imetelstat restricts leukemic progression via ferroptosis, revealing a closely related functional network of seven genes. One of the identified targets, ACSL4, has previously been identified as a key regulator of ferroptosis sensitivity through the shaping of the cellular lipid composition<sup>21</sup>. We have functionally validated the most significantly enriched targets. FADS2 and ACSL4. The canonical role of FADS2 in fatty acid metabolism is the catalysis of the desaturation of linoleic and  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid to long-chain PUFAs<sup>36,37</sup>. Lipidomics analysis has revealed increased levels of phospholipids containing triglycerides and also increased levels of phospholipids containing PUFAs with three unsaturated bonds in imetelstat-treated AML cells in an FADS2-dependent manner. Using a fluorescent sensor, we have confirmed that imetelstat stimulates lipid peroxidation. These data demonstrate imetelstat-induced alterations in fatty acid metabolism that promote the formation of substrates for lipid peroxidation. Of note, in some lung cancer cell lines, FADS2 activation is associated with ferroptosis suppression<sup>38</sup>. This dichotomy may be explained by the fact that in some cancer cells, FADS2 enables the desaturation of palmitate to sapienate (cis-6-C16:1) as part of an alternative desaturation pathway, thus potentially reducing the levels of monounsaturated fatty acids and ultimately PUFA-containing phospholipids as substrates for lipid peroxidation<sup>39</sup>.

G-quadruplexes are recognized by and regulate the activity of many proteins involved in telomere maintenance, replication, transcription, translation, mutagenesis and DNA recombination<sup>40</sup>. The recognition of G-quadruplexes can be dictated by R-loops that show a close structural interplay and can modulate responses involving DNA damage induction, telomere maintenance and alterations in gene expression regulation<sup>41</sup>. Notably, G-quadruplex/R-loop hybrid structures were detected in vitro in the human NRAS promoter and at human telomeres<sup>42-45</sup>. R-loop binders and epigenetic R-loop readers have been recently linked to altered fatty acid metabolism and ferroptosis<sup>46-48</sup>. Moreover, constitutively activated RAS/MAPK signaling downstream of mutant NRAS is associated with enhanced sensitivity to ferroptosis<sup>33,49,50</sup>; however, the activity of this pathway alone is unlikely to be the sole determinant of ferroptosis sensitivity<sup>51,52</sup>. Our integrative analysis of transcriptomics with functional genetics data has identified imetelstat target candidates that were recently discovered as G-quadruplex-binding proteins (VIM and LMNA)<sup>29</sup>. Moreover, VIM and LMNA have been characterized as proteins directly interacting with lipid droplets<sup>53,54</sup>. Recent independent work has provided evidence for a role of lipid droplets in ferroptosis. In hepatocytes, the degradation of intracellular lipid droplets via autophagy (lipophagy) promotes RSL3-induced ferroptosis by decreasing lipid storage that subsequently induces lipid peroxidation<sup>29</sup>. Our imaging flow cytometry analysis demonstrates significantly increased colocalization of markers for lipid droplets and late endosomes, proposing imetelstat-induced lipophagy as trigger for ferroptosis in AML.

Using a newly established, comprehensive AML patient-derived xenograft resource that reflects the overall genetic abnormalities found in large clinical cohorts, we demonstrated a proof of concept for the sequential administration of standard induction chemotherapy followed by imetelstat consolidation to induce oxidative stress and sensitize AML patient samples to imetelstat treatment. This approach was able to cause significant delay or prevention of AML relapse. The efficacy of sequential therapy suggests that imetelstat may be particularly useful in preventing relapse after chemotherapy, for example, as a maintenance therapy. Recently, maintenance therapy with oral CC486 has shown a survival benefit in AML; however, there is no survival plateau and therefore, most patients still relapse and die of their disease<sup>55</sup>. A substantial proportion of AML patient samples tested (14 out of 30 samples) were classified as sustained responders to imetelstat monotherapy and are characterized by genetic lesions in genes involved in cell adhesion, metabolism and signaling, with the most striking result obtained for NRAS. NRAS is the fourth most commonly observed gene with driver mutations in adult AML<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, AML cell clones harboring mutant NRAS arise in some patients relapsing on targeted therapies, particularly FLT3 inhibition (crenolanib<sup>56</sup> and gilteritinib<sup>57</sup>) and BCL2 inhibition in some cases (venetoclax<sup>58,59</sup>). The demonstrated sustained responses to imetelstat in NRAS-mutant AML patient samples raise the possibility that imetelstat may be used as salvage therapy or possibly in combination with FLT3 inhibitors or venetoclax to prolong remission and prevent relapse.

In conclusion, imetelstat is a potent inducer of ferroptosis that effectively diminishes AML burden and delays relapse following oxidative stress-inducing chemotherapy.

Clinical trials will address the efficacy of imetelstat in AML and may focus on this compound as a consolidation strategy for preventing relapse or potentially together with targeted therapies to improve outcomes in patients with AML.

# Methods

Our research complies with all relevant ethical regulations, including QIMR Berghofer human research ethics committee protocol P1382 (HREC/14/QRBW/278) and QIMR Berghofer animal research ethics committee protocol A11605M. Animals were monitored daily and immediately killed based on the scoring criteria detailed below.

# Mouse monitoring

Animals were monitored daily and always immediately killed as soon as a cumulative clinical score of 3 or above was reached, based on weight loss (score 1, >10–20%; and score 2, >20% or >15% if maintained for >72 h), posture (score 1, hunching noted only at rest; and score 2, severe hunching), activity (score 1, mild to moderately decreased; and score 2, stationary unless stimulated, hind limb paralysis) and white cell count (score 1,  $10-60 \times 10^6$  ml<sup>-1</sup>; and score 2,  $>60 \times 10^6$  ml<sup>-1</sup>).

# Mouse models

All mouse experiments were approved by the institutional (QIMR Berghofer) ethics committee protocol A11605M. NSG (NOD. Cg-Prkdcscid ll2rgtm1Wjz/SzJ), NSGS (NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid ll2rgtm1Wjl Tg[CMV-IL3,CSF2,KITLG]IEav/MloySzJ) and NRGS (NOD.Cg-Rag1tm-1Mom ll2rgtm1Wjl Tg[CMV-IL3,CSF2,KITLG]IEav/J) were imported from Jackson Laboratories. All mice were kept pathogen-free in the animal facility of QIMR Berghofer. Mice received autoclaved Baytril-treated (100 mg  $I^{-1}$ ; Provet) water until 1–7 d before irradiation and after that, autoclaved Septrin-treated (12 ml  $I^{-1}$  pediatric suspension, 96 mg  $I^{-1}$  trimethoprim and 480 mg  $I^{-1}$  sulfamethoxazole; Arrow Pharmaceuticals) water. Please refer to the Supplementary Note for detailed animal housing and feeding conditions.

# Xenograft transplantation experiments

AML samples were obtained from patients, after informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Ficoll density gradient was used to recover viable mononuclear cells. Viably frozen AML cells were thawed and CD3-depleted with biotinylated anti-human CD3 (SK7) and biotin-binder Dynabeads (Invitrogen) and subsequently injected via the lateral tail vein into 2.8 Gy irradiated (24 h before transplant) female NSGS or NRGS recipients (6–8 weeks old). For normal hemat-opoiesis studies, viable mononuclear cells were isolated from cord blood samples (provided by the Wesley-St Andrew's Research Institute Tissue Bank with appropriate ethics approval) by Ficoll density gradient, CD3-depleted as above and subsequently enriched for CD34<sup>+</sup> cells using the human CD34 MicroBead kit (130-046-702 MACS Miltenyi Biotec). A total of 56,000 cells (donor 1) or 212,500 cells (donor 2) were injected via the lateral tail vein per irradiated female NSG recipient (6–8 weeks old).

# Oligonucleotide sequences of imetelstat and mismatch controls

Imetelstat (GRN163L): 5' R-TAGGGTTAGACAA-NH23'.

Mismatch 1 (GRN140833): 5' R-TAGGTGTAAGCAA-NH2 3'. Mismatch 2 (GRN142865): 5' R-TAGGGATTCAGAA-NH2 3'.

# Drug treatment studies

NSG. NSGS or NRGS mice were treated with 15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> imetelstat (GRN163L), mismatch controls (mismatch 1 also referred to as MM1 or GRN140833; mismatch 2 also referred to as GGG-mismatch, MM2 or GRN142865) or vehicle control (PBS) via the i.p. route for the period of time specified in the respective experiment three times per week, at least every 72 h. For standard induction chemotherapy studies, cytarabine (AraC;1gin10 ml isotonic water; Pfizer) and doxorubicin (Doxo; 50 mg in 25 ml saline; Pfizer) were freshly diluted with saline (sodium chloride 0.9% for irrigation; Baxter) to achieve a final concentration of 50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight AraC or 1.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight Doxo in 200 µl total injection volume per recipient. Both AraC and Doxo were co-delivered i.v. (in the same syringe) on days 1 to 3, followed by i.v. injection of AraC alone on days 4 and 5, each in strict 24-h intervals. For chemotherapy plus imetelstat combination studies, the first imetelstat injection was administrated1d after the standard induction chemotherapy cycle was completed. For in vivo liproxstatin-1 treatment studies, liproxstatin-1 (SEL-S7699; Jomar Life Research) was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (7.9 mg in 400  $\mu$ l) and then diluted with 2.44 ml 0.9% NaCl (saline). Liproxstatin-1 (15 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was administered by i.p. injection via a 27 G insulin needle twice daily for 2 weeks (200 µl per recipient).

# **Blood analysis**

Blood was collected into EDTA-coated tubes and analyzed on a Hemavet 950 (Drew Scientific). PB smears were stained with Wright-Giemsa according to the manufacturer's protocol (BioScientific). Tissues were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Images of histological slides were obtained on a ScanScope FL (Aperio).

# Flow cytometry analysis of AML PDX and cord blood transplants

For monitoring AML engraftment, 25-50 µl of PB were stained after red cell lysis (BD Pharm Lyse, BD Biosciences) with anti-human CD45-AF647 (H130) and anti-mouse CD45.1-PE (A20). For AML phenotyping, cell populations were purified from bone marrow (both femurs and tibiae) or SPL and after red blood cell lysis stained with anti-human CD45-FITC (H130), anti-mouse Cd45.1-PerCP/Cy5.5 (A20), anti-human CD34-PE (581), anti-human CD33-APC (WM53), anti-human CD38-APC/Cv7 (HIT2) and anti-human GPR56-PE/Cy7 (CG4). Flow cytometry analysis of lipid peroxidation was performed using C11-BODIPY 581/591 (Sapphire Bioscience) according to a previously published protocol<sup>60</sup> and ROS were quantified using CellROX Green (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, subsequent to cell surface marker staining. In all analyses, dead cells were discriminated by Sytox Blue (Invitrogen). All antibodies were used as 1:100 dilutions with a maximum concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells per 100 µl. Washes and staining were performed in PBS + 2% FCS + 1 mM EDTA. Centrifugation steps were performed at 300g for 10 min at 4 °C. Flow cytometry analysis was performed on a FACS LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences). Post-acquisition analyses were performed with FlowJo software v.10.9.0 (Becton Dickinson & Company; BD). The Supplementary Note contains a detailed description of the flow cytometry analysis of cord blood transplants.

# Terminal restriction fragment analysis

TRFs were obtained from genomic DNA by complete digestion with the restriction enzymes Hinfl and Rsal. TRFs were separated by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. Gels were dried, denatured and subjected to in-gel hybridization with a  $\gamma$ -[32P]-ATP-labeled (CCCTAA)4 oligonucleotide probe. Gels were washed and the telomeric signal visualized by phosphorimage analysis. TRFs were processed by ImageJ 1.52a analysis software to quantitate mean telomere length.

# Telomere length qPCR

Samples were purified using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (QIAGEN). DNA isolation was performed as described previously<sup>61</sup>, including degassing of buffers and supplementation with 50  $\mu$ M of phenyl-tert-butyl nitrone to minimize oxidative damage. Telomere length was assessed using qPCR<sup>62-64</sup>. The Supplementary Note contains further details of the procedure.

# **Cell lines**

The Supplementary Note contains purchasing details of the human cell lines used in this study. All cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling at an early passage before the first culture experiment, performed by the QIMR Berghofer Analytical Core facility. None of the cell lines used has been known as misidentified cell lines according to v.12 of the cross-contamination database maintained by the International Cell Line Authentication Committee (https://iclac.org/databases/ cross-contaminations/). All cell lines tested negative for *Mycoplasma* during regular monthly testing using the biochemical MycoAlert<sup>™</sup> Mycoplasma Detection kit (Lonza) by QIMR Berghofer core facility.

# Cell culture and in vitro cell growth analysis

AML cell lines were cultured in RPMI with 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM glutamine and 200 U ml<sup>-1</sup> penicillin and 200  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin. All cell culture experiments were performed on low-density pre-cultures, passaged between 12–24 h before seeding at a density of -1 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per ml, with a maximum density of 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells per ml when cells were taken for experimental setup. AML cells were seeded into flat-bottom

96-well plates at a density of 2,500 cells per 100 µl. Every 48–72 h, 25 or 50 µl of the cultures were transferred into a new plate, depending on the density of each cell line in the control condition and supplemented with fresh medium containing imetelstat (GRN163L), mismatch controls or additional drugs of interest (ferrostatin-1 (Sigma-Aldrich), liproxstatin-1 (Sigma-Aldrich), deferoxamine mesylate (Hospira), zileuton (Sigma-Aldrich), menadione (Sigma-Aldrich), (+)-etomoxir sodium salt hydrate (Sigma-Aldrich), 1S,3R-RSL3 (Sigma-Aldrich) or erastin (Sigma-Aldrich)). Cells were analyzed with CellTiter 96 aqueous nonradioactive cell proliferation assay (MTS Systems) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega). End point absorbance at 490 nm was detected using Biotek PowerWave and Gen5 data analysis software. Drug synergy scores were computed using the SynergyFinder v.2.0 algorithm (https://synergyfinder.fimm.fi/).

# Imaging flow cytometry

Lipophagy was detected by assessing colocalization of C12-BODIPY and LAMP1 using a previously published method with modifications<sup>65</sup>. In detail,  $1 \times 10^6$  cells were collected and washed in warm PBS (without FCS). Cells were then resuspended in warm RPMI (without FCS) containing 200 ng C12 FL BODIPY (Thermo Fisher Scientific) per ml and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Cells were then washed in 9 ml wash buffer (PBS + 2% FCS + 1 mM EDTA) and subsequently fixed and permeabilized using a FIX & PERM Cell Permeabilization kit (GAS-004; Invitrogen) and incubated with Alexa Fluor 647 anti-human CD107a (LAMP1; BioLegend; dilution 1:100) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were subsequently washed and resuspended in wash buffer with 0.2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen). The acquisition was performed using an Amnis ImageStream<sup>x</sup> Mark II Imaging Flow and data were analyzed with IDEAS (Image Data Exploration and Analysis Software).

# Flow cytometry analysis of AML cell lines

Before staining,  $2 \times 10^5$  cells were washed with PBS with 2% FCS and 1 mM EDTA. For cell cycle and G-quadruplex analysis, cells were then fixed and permeabilized using a FIX & PERM Cell Permeabilization kit (GAS-004; Invitrogen) and incubated with anti-DNA G-quadruplex (G4) antibody, clone 1H6 (Merck Millipore; cat. MABE1126; dilution 1:100) for 30 min on ice, then washed, blocked for 25 min at room temperature in 1% BSA/2% FCS/PBS and subsequently stained with anti-IgG2b-FITC (1:100 dilution in 1%BSA/2%FCS/PBS) for 30 min on ice. Cells were resuspended in 2% FCS/PBS containing 0.2 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen) before acquisition.

Flow cytometry analysis of lipid peroxidation was performed using C11-BODIPY 581/591 (Sapphire Bioscience) according to a previously published protocol<sup>60</sup> and ROS were quantified using CellROX Green (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For both analyses, Sytox Blue 1.25  $\mu$ M (S34857; Invitrogen) was used to distinguish between viable and dead cells. Flow cytometry analysis was performed on a FACS LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences). Post-acquisition analyses were performed with FlowJo software v.10.9.0 (BD).

# Western blotting

Cells were lysed on ice using m-PER lysis buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor (Cell Signaling, 5872) and protein was quantified using Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). In total,  $20-50 \mu$ g of protein extract was electrophoresed on a 4-15% SDS gradient gel (Mini-PROTEAN TGC Gel, Bio-Rad) and transferred to an activated PVDF membrane for 1 h at 4 °C. Unspecific binding sites were blocked in 5% BSA in Tris-buffered saline with 1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 1 h at 4 °C. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C in constant motion in 5% BSA-TBS-T. The mouse anti-Cas9 (S. pyogenes) antibody (Cell Signaling; cat. 14697, clone 7A9-3A3) and rabbit anti-ACSL4 antibody (Abcam; cat. ab155282; clone EPR8640) were used at 1:1,000 dilution in 5% BSA-TBS-T. The mouse anti-actin Ab-5 antibody (BD Biosciences; cat. 612656; clone

C4/actin (RUO)) was used at 1:3,000 dilution in 5% BSA-TBS-T. The membrane was washed with TBS-T three times for 5 min before incubation with the secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. Polyclonal goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins/HRP (Dako; cat. PO448) and polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse immunoglobulins/HRP (Dako; cat. P0260) were used at 1:4,000 dilution. After subsequent membrane washing, protein was detected using Immobilon chemiluminescent HRP substrate (WBKLS0500, Millipore) and imaged with the iBright CL1500 imaging system.

# **Confocal microscopy**

Cytospins were fixed and permeabilized with methanol:acetone (prechilled) at a ratio of 1:1 for 10 min at room temperature, then washed twice with cold PBS and once with room temperature PBS (5 min each). Cytospins were then incubated with 1% BSA–PBS at room temperature for 1 h, washed three times in PBS for 5 min each and then incubated in primary antibody (anti-human Vimentin XP rabbit monoclonal antibody Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate, Cell Signaling; cat. 9854; clone D21H3) or isotype control (rabbit monoclonal antibody IgG XP Isotype Control Alexa Fluor 488 conjugate, Cell Signaling; cat. 2975; clone DA1E) at a dilution of 1:400 in 1% BSA–PBS for 1 h at room temperature or O/N at 4 °C. Cytospins were then washed three times in PBS for 5 min each. Coverslips were mounted in pro-long DAPI Gold. Images were acquired on a Zeiss 780-NLO confocal microscope.

# CRISPR/Cas9 editing

The Brunello genome-wide gRNA library contains 76,441 gRNAs targeting 19,114 genes and was obtained from Addgene (cat. 73178)<sup>66,67</sup>. *Streptococcus Pyogenes* Cas9 and blasticidin resistance construct expressed from an EFS promoter (pFUGWb) was obtained from Addgene (lentiCas9-Blast, plasmid #52962)<sup>68</sup>. Lentivirus containing the Brunello library was generated and used to transduce NB4 cells. The Supplementary Note contains detailed descriptions of the CRISPR/ Cas9 screen as well as sgRNA-mediated editing approaches.

# **Mutational sequencing**

Genomic alterations were profiled using the HemePACT assay (integrated mutation profiling of actionable cancer targets related to hematological malignancies)<sup>31</sup>. This assay uses solution phase hybridization-based exon capture and massively parallel DNA sequencing to capture all protein-coding exons and select introns of 585 actionable cancer related genes. Samples were molecularly barcoded, to allow optimal cost efficiency during the capture process as well as at the sequencing step. Then, 250 ng of genomic DNA was used for library construction. Pools of 12 samples equimolarly mixed were sequenced at the Genomics Core Laboratory at MSKCC, in one lane of a HiSeq 2500, using the SBS chemistry for paired-end 100/100 reads. The average coverage was greater than 400-fold, with a minimum of 99% of the targeted sequences covered 30-fold. Reads were aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler alignment tool<sup>69</sup>. Local realignment and quality score recalibration were conducted using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) according to GATK best practices<sup>70</sup>. Somatic alterations were identified (single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions (indels) and copy number alterations). Single-nucleotide variants were identified using UnifiedGenotyper and mu Tect<sup>71</sup>. All samples were paired (AML/ healthy) and candidate genomic alteration were reviewed manually in the Integrative Genomics Viewer<sup>72</sup>.

# **RNA** sequencing

RNA was isolated from a maximum of  $0.5 \times 10^6$  cells using the QIAGEN RNeasy Micro kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA (100 µg) was used for next-generation sequencing and prepared according to the NEBNext Ultra II RNA Library Prep kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, NEB; cat. E7770S). The Supplementary Note contains further detailed descriptions of the method for library preparation. Libraries were sequenced using a high-output, single-end, 75 cvcle (v.2) sequencing kit on the Illumina NextSeq 550 platform. Reads were trimmed for adaptor sequences using Cutadapt (v.1.11) and aligned using Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference (STAR) (v.2.5.2a)<sup>73</sup> to the GrCH37 assembly using the gene, transcript and exon features of Ensembl (release 70) gene model. Expression was estimated using RNA-seq by Expectation Maximization (RSEM) (v.1.2.30). Transcripts with zero read counts across all samples were removed before analysis. Normalization of read counts was performed by dividing by 1 million reads mapped to generate counts per million, followed by the trimmed mean of M-values method from the edgeR package  $(v.3.14.0)^{74}$ . For the differential expression analysis, reads were filtered but not normalized, as edgeR performs normalization (library size and RNA composition) internally. For the differential expression (DE) analyses, the glmFit function was used to fit a negative binomial generalized log-linear model to the read counts for each transcript. Using the glmLRT function, we conducted transcript-wise likelihood ratio tests for each genotype comparison. Principal-component analysis was also performed on all DE transcripts with FDR < 0.05. GSEA of transcriptomics data was performed using GSEA (v.4.1.0) from the Broad Institute<sup>75</sup>. P values were generated from 1,000 gene set permutations, excluding gene sets with more than 3,000 genes or fewer than five genes against custom made gene sets and the Broad Institute's Hallmark database.

# Lipidomics

Targeted lipidomics was performed on a 1290 Infinity II UHPLC coupled to a 6470 QQQ mass spectrometer via AJS ESI source (Agilent) in positive ionization mode, using a scheduled multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) method<sup>76</sup>. The MRM transition list contained 20 lipid classes and 593 lipid species (excluding internal standards CUDA and SPLASH Lipidomix). Skyline-daily software was used for lipid species assignment<sup>77</sup>. A lipid set enrichment analysis was performed by ranking fold changes, calculating enrichment scores and estimating the significance of enrichment using a permutation algorithm<sup>78</sup>. The Supplementary Note contains detailed descriptions of the procedure.

# Statistical analyses

Unless otherwise stated, statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism v.9.4.0. Microsoft Excel for Mac v.16.75.2 was used to re-calculate those *P* values < 0.0001 obtained from GraphPad Prism with higher precision. JMP Pro v.17 was used to calculate statistics related to the AML PDX trials, including PB donor chimerism AUC values and testing of normal distributions.

# Statistics and reproducibility

Study design was based on sample sizes that proved to be adequate in previous experiments using similar approaches and thus no statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes for this study<sup>4,10</sup>. The PDX trials were subject to randomization after transplantation by independent technicians without the involvement of the researcher who had performed the transplantations. The investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessments; however, unbiased PDX monitoring and scoring were performed by independent technicians not intellectually involved in the study. For cell culture experiments, samples were allocated equally to ensure that covariates were identical between the compared groups. The investigators performing cell culture experiments were not blinded during allocation and outcome assessment. Data distribution was assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested in each experiment.

#### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

# **Data availability**

RNA-sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession codes GSE176522 and GSE176523. Targeted lipidomics data have been deposited in Panorama Public under a permanent link (https://panoramaweb.org/ImetelstatLipidomics.url). The following publicly available datasets generated by others have been used in this study: genome assembly GRCh37 in GenBank under accession code GCA\_000001405.1 and COSMIC database v.80 (https:// cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic). Source data for Figs. 1–8 and Extended Data Figs. 1–10 have been provided as Source Data files. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.

# References

- 1. Dohner, H. et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. *Blood* **129**, 424–447 (2017).
- Papaemmanuil, E. et al. Genomic classification and prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **374**, 2209–2221 (2016).
- 3. Cucchi, D. G. J. et al. Two decades of targeted therapies in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* **35**, 651–660 (2021).
- 4. Bruedigam, C. et al. Telomerase inhibition effectively targets mouse and human AML stem cells and delays relapse following chemotherapy. *Cell Stem Cell* **15**, 775–790 (2014).
- Herbert, B. S. et al. Lipid modification of GRN163, an N3'->P5' thio-phosphoramidate oligonucleotide, enhances the potency of telomerase inhibition. Oncogene 24, 5262–5268 (2005).
- Baerlocher, G. M. et al. Telomerase inhibitor imetelstat in patients with essential thrombocythemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **373**, 920–928 (2015).
- 7. Tefferi, A. et al. A pilot study of the telomerase inhibitor imetelstat for myelofibrosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **373**, 908–919 (2015).
- 8. Steensma, D. P. et al. Imetelstat achieves meaningful and durable transfusion independence in high transfusion-burden patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes in a phase II study. *J Clin Oncol* **39**, 48–56 (2021).
- Waksal, J. A., Bruedigam, C., Komrokji, R. S., Jamieson, C. H. M. & Mascarenhas, J. O. Telomerase-targeted therapies in myeloid malignancies. *Blood Adv.* 7, 4302–4313 (2023).
- Townsend, E. C. et al. The public repository of xenografts enables discovery and randomized phase II-like trials in mice. *Cancer Cell* 29, 574–586 (2016).
- 11. Ng, S. W. et al. A 17-gene stemness score for rapid determination of risk in acute leukaemia. *Nature* **540**, 433–437 (2016).
- Pabst, C. et al. GPR56 identifies primary human acute myeloid leukemia cells with high repopulating potential in vivo. *Blood* 127, 2018–2027 (2016).
- Huang, B. J. et al. Integrated stem cell signature and cytomolecular risk determination in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 5487 (2022).
- 14. Wunderlich, M. et al. Improved chemotherapy modeling with RAG-based immune deficient mice. *PLoS ONE* **14**, e0225532 (2019).
- Sanson, K. R. et al. Optimized libraries for CRISPR-Cas9 genetic screens with multiple modalities. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5416 (2018).
- Ohnuma, T., Arkin, H. & Holland, J. F. Effects of cell density on drug-induced cell kill kinetics in vitro (inoculum effect). *Br. J. Cancer* 54, 415–421 (1986).
- 17. Brinkman, E. K., Chen, T., Amendola, M. & van Steensel, B. Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, e168 (2014).
- Kim, M. Y. et al. Genetic inactivation of CD33 in hematopoietic stem cells to enable CAR T cell immunotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia. *Cell* 173, 1439–1453 (2018).

# Article

- Ge, L., Gordon, J. S., Hsuan, C., Stenn, K. & Prouty, S. M. Identification of the δ-6 desaturase of human sebaceous glands: expression and enzyme activity. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **120**, 707–714 (2003).
- 20. Mohamed, A. et al. Concurrent lipidomics and proteomics on malignant plasma cells from multiple myeloma patients: probing the lipid metabolome. *PLoS ONE* **15**, e0227455 (2020).
- 21. Doll, S. et al. ACSL4 dictates ferroptosis sensitivity by shaping cellular lipid composition. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **13**, 91–98 (2017).
- 22. Dixon, S. J. et al. Ferroptosis: an iron-dependent form of nonapoptotic cell death. *Cell* **149**, 1060–1072 (2012).
- 23. Tousignant, K. D. et al. Therapy-induced lipid uptake and remodeling underpin ferroptosis hypersensitivity in prostate cancer. *Cancer Metab.* **8**, 11 (2020).
- 24. Gao, Z., Williams, P., Li, L. & Wang, Y. A quantitative proteomic approach for the identification of DNA guanine quadruplex-binding proteins. *J. Proteome Res.* **20**, 4919–4924 (2021).
- 25. Carloni, L. E., Wechselberger, R. & De Vijlder, T. Characterization of in vitro G-quadruplex formation of imetelstat telomerase inhibitor. *Nucleic Acid Ther.* **31**, 341–350 (2021).
- 26. Henderson, A. et al. Detection of G-quadruplex DNA in mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, 860–869 (2014).
- 27. Hoffmann, R. F. et al. Guanine quadruplex structures localize to heterochromatin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, 152–163 (2016).
- Kazemier, H. G., Paeschke, K. & Lansdorp, P. M. Guanine quadruplex monoclonal antibody 1H6 cross-reacts with restrained thymidine-rich single stranded DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 5913–5919 (2017).
- 29. Bai, Y. et al. Lipid storage and lipophagy regulates ferroptosis. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **508**, 997–1003 (2019).
- Singh, R. et al. Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism. *Nature* 458, 1131–1135 (2009).
- Yoshimi, A. et al. Robust patient-derived xenografts of MDS/MPN overlap syndromes capture the unique characteristics of CMML and JMML. *Blood* 130, 397–407 (2017).
- 32. Tadokoro, T. et al. Mitochondria-dependent ferroptosis plays a pivotal role in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity.*JCI Insight*. **5**, e132747 (2020).
- Dolma, S., Lessnick, S. L., Hahn, W. C. & Stockwell, B. R. Identification of genotype-selective antitumor agents using synthetic lethal chemical screening in engineered human tumor cells. *Cancer Cell* 3, 285–296 (2003).
- Wang, F. et al. Iron and leukemia: new insights for future treatments. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 38, 406 (2019).
- Tefferi, A. et al. Imetelstat therapy in refractory anemia with ring sideroblasts with or without thrombocytosis. *Blood Cancer J.* 6, e405 (2016).
- Hanna, V. S. & Hafez, E. A. A. Synopsis of arachidonic acid metabolism: a review. J. Adv. Res. 11, 23–32 (2018).
- Koundouros, N. & Poulogiannis, G. Reprogramming of fatty acid metabolism in cancer. Br. J. Cancer 122, 4–22 (2020).
- Jiang, Y. et al. EGLN1/c-Myc induced lymphoid-specific helicase inhibits ferroptosis through lipid metabolic gene expression changes. *Theranostics* 7, 3293–3305 (2017).
- Vriens, K. et al. Evidence for an alternative fatty acid desaturation pathway increasing cancer plasticity. *Nature* 566, 403–406 (2019).
- 40. Wang, F. et al. Telomere- and telomerase-interacting protein that unfolds telomere G-quadruplex and promotes telomere extension in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 20413–20418 (2012).
- Miglietta, G., Russo, M. & Capranico, G. G-quadruplex-R-loop interactions and the mechanism of anticancer G-quadruplex binders. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, 11942–11957 (2020).

- 42. Zheng, K. W. et al. Co-transcriptional formation of DNA:RNA hybrid G-quadruplex and potential function as constitutional cis element for transcription control. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, 5533–5541 (2013).
- Zhang, J. Y., Zheng, K. W., Xiao, S., Hao, Y. H. & Tan, Z. Mechanism and manipulation of DNA:RNA hybrid G-quadruplex formation in transcription of G-rich DNA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **136**, 1381–1390 (2014).
- 44. Xu, Y. et al. Oligonucleotide models of telomeric DNA and RNA form a hybrid G-quadruplex structure as a potential component of telomeres. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 41787–41796 (2012).
- Bao, H. L. & Xu, Y. Telomeric DNA-RNA-hybrid G-quadruplex exists in environmental conditions of HeLa cells. *Chem. Commun.* 56, 6547–6550 (2020).
- 46. Arab, K. et al. GADD45A binds R-loops and recruits TET1 to CpG island promoters. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 217–223 (2019).
- Beatty, A. et al. Ferroptotic cell death triggered by conjugated linolenic acids is mediated by ACSL1. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 2244 (2021).
- Saint-Germain, E. et al. SOCS1 regulates senescence and ferroptosis by modulating the expression of p53 target genes. *Aging* 9, 2137–2162 (2017).
- 49. Yagoda, N. et al. RAS-RAF-MEK-dependent oxidative cell death involving voltage-dependent anion channels. *Nature* **447**, 864–868 (2007).
- Yang, W. S. & Stockwell, B. R. Synthetic lethal screening identifies compounds activating iron-dependent, nonapoptotic cell death in oncogenic-RAS-harboring cancer cells. *Chem. Biol.* 15, 234–245 (2008).
- 51. Yang, W. S. et al. Regulation of ferroptotic cancer cell death by GPX4. *Cell* **156**, 317–331 (2014).
- 52. Schott, C., Graab, U., Cuvelier, N., Hahn, H. & Fulda, S. Oncogenic RAS mutants confer resistance of RMS13 rhabdomyosarcoma cells to oxidative stress-induced ferroptotic cell death. *Front. Oncol.* **5**, 131 (2015).
- 53. Heid, H. et al. On the formation of lipid droplets in human adipocytes: the organization of the perilipin-vimentin cortex. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e90386 (2014).
- Ding, Y., Wu, Y., Zeng, R. & Liao, K. Proteomic profiling of lipid droplet-associated proteins in primary adipocytes of normal and obese mouse. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin.* 44, 394–406 (2012).
- 55. Wei, A. H. et al. Oral azacitidine maintenance therapy for acute myeloid leukemia in first remission. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **383**, 2526–2537 (2020).
- Zhang, H. et al. Clinical resistance to crenolanib in acute myeloid leukemia due to diverse molecular mechanisms. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 244 (2019).
- 57. McMahon, C. M. et al. Clonal selection with RAS pathway activation mediates secondary clinical resistance to selective FLT3 inhibition in acute myeloid leukemia. *Cancer Discov.* **9**, 1050–1063 (2019).
- 58. DiNardo, C. D. et al. Molecular patterns of response and treatment failure after frontline venetoclax combinations in older patients with AML. *Blood* **135**, 791–803 (2020).
- Stahl, M. et al. Clinical and molecular predictors of response and survival following venetoclax therapy in relapsed/refractory AML. *Blood Adv.* 5, 1552–1564 (2021).
- 60. Martinez, A. M., Kim, A. & Yang, W. S. Detection of ferroptosis by BODIPY 581/591 C11. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2108**, 125–130 (2020).
- 61. O'Callaghan, N. J. & Fenech, M. A quantitative PCR method for measuring absolute telomere length. *Biol. Proced. Online* **13**, 3 (2011).
- 62. Cawthon, R. M. Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, e47 (2002).

# Article

- 63. Jebaraj, B. M. C. et al. Short telomeres are associated with inferior outcome, genomic complexity, and clonal evolution in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* **33**, 2183–2194 (2019).
- Cawthon, R. M. Telomere length measurement by a novel monochrome multiplex quantitative PCR method. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, e21 (2009).
- Karagiannis, F. et al. Lipid-droplet formation drives pathogenic group 2 innate lymphoid cells in airway inflammation. *Immunity* 52, 620–634 (2020).
- Doench, J. G. et al. Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 34, 184–191 (2016).
- Ling, V. Y. et al. Targeting cell cycle and apoptosis to overcome chemotherapy resistance in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 37, 143–153 (2023).
- Sanjana, N. E., Shalem, O. & Zhang, F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR screening. *Nat. Methods* 11, 783 (2014).
- 69. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).
- Braunschweig, A. B., Huo, F. & Mirkin, C. A. Molecular printing. Nat. Chem. 1, 353–358 (2009).
- Cibulskis, K. et al. Sensitive detection of somatic point mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 213–219 (2013).
- Robinson, J. T. et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 24–26 (2011).
- Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29, 15–21 (2013).
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140 (2010).
- Subramanian, A. et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 15545–15550 (2005).
- Huynh, K. et al. High-throughput plasma lipidomics: detailed mapping of the associations with cardiometabolic risk factors. *Cell Chem. Biol.* 26, 71–84 (2019).
- Adams, K. J. et al. Skyline for small molecules: a unifying software package for quantitative metabolomics. *J. Proteome Res.* 19, 1447–1458 (2020).
- Mohamed, A., Molendijk, J. & Hill, M. M. lipidr: a software tool for data mining and analysis of lipidomics datasets. *J. Proteome Res.* 19, 2890–2897 (2020).
- 79. Tate, J. G. et al. COSMIC: the catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D941–D947 (2019).

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge all current and past members of the Gordon and Jessie Gilmour Leukaemia Research Laboratory, particularly E. Cooper, G. Pali, E. Duce, R. Austin, T. Vu, M. Bywater and P. Tavakoli for technical assistance and fruitful discussions; S. Chun-Wei Lee (MSKCC) for help with library preparation for HemePACT sequencing; N. Waddell (QIMR Berghofer) for bioinformatics support particularly sequencing data processing; R. Fieth and J. Riches (Queensland University of Technology) for technical support and proofreading of the paper; L. Wockner and L. Marquardt (QIMR Berghofer) for help with statistical analyses; G. Chojnowski, M. Rist, P. Hall, T. Hong Nguyen and L. Leveque-ElMouttie (QIMR Berghofer) and Y. Ding (Frazer Institute) for help with imaging flow cytometry analysis and cell sorting; the current and past members from the QIMR Berghofer animal house facility, particularly S. Cassidy, J. Mauclair and D. McNeilly; P. Collins (QIMR Berghofer analytical core facility) for technical assistance regarding next-generation sequencing and

routine genetic and functional analyses (STR profiling, Mycoplasma testing); C. Winterford, A. Masel and A. Potadar (QIMR Berghofer histology core facility) for histological analyses; R. Lock (UNSW) and A. Moore (The University of Queensland) for samples from patients with AML: V. Whitehall, W. Shi and H. Ping (QIMR Berghofer), as well as W. Langdon (UWA) and S. Froehling (NCT Heidelberg) for provision of cell lines and reagents; T.M. Schnoeder (University of Greifswald), G. Boyle and B. Day (QIMR Berghofer) and L. Purton (SVI) for fruitful discussions; Geron Corp (F. Huang and K. Eng) and Janssen Research & Development for the provision of imetelstat and its controls and fruitful discussions; all research nurses and patients; NHMRC New Investigator project grant (C.B.; 2019-2022; GNT1157263), Janssen research funding agreement (2016-2018), CSL Centenary fellowship (S.W.L.: 2017-2021), NHMRC Investigator grant (S.W.L.: 2021-2025: GNT1195987) and the Gordon and Jessie Gilmour Leukaemia Research Trust fund (S.W.L.; 2022). The paper was sent to Geron Corp to comply with the conditions of our material transfer agreement before submission and was approved without modifications. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the paper.

# **Author contributions**

C.B., L.J.B., L.B., F.H.H., M.M.H., G.A.K., H.A.P., O.A.W. and S.W.L. were responsible for conceptualization. The methodology was the responsibility of C.B., A.H.P., T.S., J.S., V.L., B.J., G.H. and S.W.L. Software was the responsibility of J.S. and G.H. Validation was carried out by C.B., A.H.P., A.P.S. and G.V.W. Formal analysis was carried out by C.B., A.H.P., G.V.W., T.S., J.S. and G.H. Investigation was conducted by C.B. and S.W.L. Resources were the responsibility of C.B., V.L. and G.A.K. Data curation was the responsibility of C.B., A.H.P., A.S., G.V.W., T.S., L.C., J.S., G.C., V.S.K., A.P.S., Y.J. and R.H. Writing of the original draft was carried out by C.B. and S.W.L. Visualization was carried out by C.B. and T.S. Supervision was conducted by C.B. and S.W.L. Project administration was carried out by C.B. and S.W.L. C.B. and S.W.L. were responsible for funding acquisition.

# **Competing interests**

S.W.L. has received research funding from Janssen related to imetelstat (2016–2018). For research beyond this study, S.W.L. has received funding from Celgene/Bristol Myers Squibb (2019–2022), consultancy from Abbvie (2021–2023) and advisory board fees from Abbvie and Astellas (2020–2021). The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

# **Additional information**

**Extended data** is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00653-5.

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00653-5.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Claudia Bruedigam or Steven W. Lane.

**Peer review information** *Nature Cancer* thanks Andreas Linkermann and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Program, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>2</sup>School of Biomedical Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>3</sup>Telomere Length Regulation Unit, Children's Medical Research Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia. <sup>4</sup>Division of CLL, Department of Internal Medicine III, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany. <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Engineering, School of Mechanical, Medical and Process Engineering, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>6</sup>Department of Hematology, Oncology and Tumor Immunology, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Campus Virchow Klinikum, Berlin, Germany. <sup>7</sup>Hematology, Oncology, Stem Cell Transplantation and Palliative Care, University Medicine Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany. <sup>8</sup>Leibniz Institute on Aging, Jena, Germany. <sup>9</sup>Cancer Care Services, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>10</sup>Human Oncology & Pathogenesis Program, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA. <sup>11</sup>Statistics Unit, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>III</sup> Claudia.Bruedigam@QIMRBerghofer.edu.au; Steven.Lane@QIMRBerghofer.edu.au



**Extended Data Fig. 1** | **Generation of a comprehensive AML PDX Resource. a**, The number of AML patient samples that either successfully (gray) or unsuccessfully (blue) generated AML PDX using NSGS<sup>61</sup> recipients. N = 50 patient samples were tested for engraftment in total. **b**-**n**, AML disease parameters in successfully (n = 196) or unsuccessfully (n = 73) transplanted NSGS. Bone marrow donor chimerism (**b**) and cellularity (×10^6 harvested from both femurs and tibiae; **c**). Histologic analysis of spleen (**d**) and liver (**e**) morphology in all individual AML PDX models (n = 30). Additional images are provided in the supplement. Spleen weight (**f**), spleen cellularity (**g**), and splenic donor chimerism (**h**). Peripheral blood blast morphology analysis at takedown using Wright-Giemsa staining (**i**), donor chimerism area under the curve (AUC) per day (**j**), donor chimerism at takedown (**k**), and white blood cell counts at takedown (**l**). Hematocrit percentage (**m**) and platelets (x10^6/mL; **n**) from peripheral blood at





 $Extended\,Data\,Fig.\,2\,|\,See\,next\,page\,for\,caption.$ 

**Extended Data Fig. 2** | **The effect of imetelstat on normal hematopoiesis.** Humanized *in vivo* models of hematopoiesis were generated by transplanting viable CD34+ mononuclear cells isolated from cord blood samples from two independent donors into NSG recipients (donor 1: 56,000 cells per NSG, n = 5 NSG per treatment group; donor 2: 212,500 cells per NSG, n = 6 NSG per treatment group). Recipients were treated for 10 weeks with imetelstat (15 mg/kg body weight) or vehicle control three times per week starting one month after transplantation. **a-d**, Peripheral blood time course analysis of donor chimerism (**a**), white blood cell counts (WBC; **b**), hematocrit (HCT, **c**), and platelet levels (PLT; **d**). **e-g**, Flow cytometry analysis of peripheral blood for B cell surface marker expression (CD19; **e**), myeloid surface marker expression (CD3; **f**), and T cell surface marker expression (CD3; **g**) at 10 weeks post-start of treatment. **h-k**, Bone marrow analysis of cord blood recipients at 10 weeks post-start of treatment: donor chimerism (**h**), cellularity (**i**), hematopoietic stem cell population percentage (CD34+CD38-%; **j**), and myeloid population percentage (CD33+; **k**). **I-q**, Analysis of cord blood recipient's spleens at 10 weeks post-start of treatment: spleen weight (**l**), cellularity (**m**), donor chimerism (**n**), B cell population (CD19+%; **o**), T cell population (CD3+%; **p**), and myeloid cell population (CD33+%; **q**). **a-q**, Data are presented as mean±SEM. **e-q**, Statistics based on unpaired two-sided t-test comparing imetelstat with PBS-treated groups within each donor:  $P = 2.02 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 1; **e**),  $P = 8.3 \times 10^{-3}$  (donor 1; **h**),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-3}$  (donor 2; **h**),  $P = 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$  (donor 1; **i**),  $P = 5 \times 10^{-4}$  (donor 2; **i**),  $P = 9 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 1; **j**),  $P = 2.02 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 2; **k**),  $P = 1.76 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 1; **i**),  $P = 8.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (donor 2; **i**),  $P = 1.31 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 1; **o**),  $P = 8 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 1; **n**),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ (donor 1; **q**),  $P = 6.67 \times 10^{-2}$  (donor 2; **q**).



**Extended Data Fig. 3** | **Comparative analysis of AML PDX responses to imetelstat versus standard induction chemotherapy. a**, Median survival was 104 (vehicle control (Saline / PBS) – treated PDX; black; n = 120 PDX) versus 139 (chemotherapy-treated PDX; Arac+Doxo; blue;  $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ ; n = 120 PDX) and 139 (imetelstat-treated PDX; Saline / Imetelstat; red;  $P = 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ; n = 120 PDX) according to Gehan–Breslow-Wilcoxon (two-sided Kaplan–Meier analysis). **b**, AML burden quantified as peripheral blood donor chimerism per day. Statistics based on ordinary One-way-ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons.

N = 120 PDX per treatment group.  $P = 1.57 \times 10^{-2}$  (vehicle versus imetelstat). **c**, Imetelstat versus chemotherapy response calculation as log2FC of peripheral blood chimerism area under the curve per day in AML PDX. The red arrow indicates samples defined as preferential imetelstat responders, and conversely, the blue arrow indicates PDX defined as preferential chemotherapy responders. **d**, Cytoscape visualization of genes with mutations identified exclusively in preferential imetelstat responders (red) or chemotherapy responders (blue) at baseline.



Extended Data Fig. 4 | See next page for caption.

**Extended Data Fig. 4** | **Identification of key mediators of imetelstat efficacy using a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen. a**, Identification of an imetelstat inoculum effect by quantification of IC50 values in NB4 cultures seeded at different densities: IC50 = 0.2 microM (25,000 cells per ml); IC50 = 1.5 microM(75,000 cells per ml); IC50 = 7.2 microM (250,000 cells/ml); IC50 = 31.3 microM (1,000,000 cells/ml). Dots represent mean IC50 values ± SEM from n = 2 independent experiments. **b, c**, Viability (**b**) and cell growth analysis (**c**) of Brunello-library-transduced NB4 cells cultured in the presence of vehicle control (PBS), mismatch control (MM1), or imetelstat compared to non-transduced NB4 cells over a time course of 45 days. The respective concentrations are indicated in the panel. N = 3 independent biological replicates per genotype and treatment condition. **d, e**, Next generation sequencing of DNA isolated from Brunello library-transduced NB4 cells harvested at day 45 in culture treated with vehicle control (PBS; black bars), mismatch control (MM1; blue bars), or imetelstat (red bars), and compared to DNA isolated from Brunello library-transduced NB4 cells before treatment as input control (gray bars): **d**, The number of different guide RNAs present (left y-axis) and percentage of guide RNA coverage (right y-axis); **e**, the read counts obtained from each guide RNA. **f**,**g**, Read counts obtained from n = 4 independent *FADS2* (**f**) or n = 4 independent *ACSL4* (**g**) targeting guide RNAs in the input, vehicle (PBS), mismatch (MM1) or imetelstat-treated Brunello-transduced NB4 cultures. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. **h**-**j**, Confirmation of efficient CRISPR/Cas9 - generated knockdowns in human AML cell lines by ACSL4 western blotting (**h**; additional biological replicates are provided as supplement), flow cytometric analysis of intracellular VIM expression (**i**), and *FADS2* gene editing by tracking of indels by decomposition analysis using TIDE analysis tool (http://shinyapps.datacurators.nl/tide/) (**j**).





**Extended Data Fig. 5** | **Lipidomics analysis of imetelstat-treated** *FADS2*-edited or non-edited NB4 cells. Targeted lipidomics analysis on 593 lipid species and their desaturation levels. **a**, PCA plot on normalized peak areas of lipid species in *FADS2*-edited (FADS2-sg1, FADS2-sg2) or non-edited (empty-vector control) NB4 cultures supplemented for 24h with either 4 microM imetelstat or vehicle control. **b**, **c**, Differential enrichment analysis using lipidR tool of unsaturated bonds in all measured lipid species (**b**), and lipid species according to lipid classes (**c**). Red box plots denote significantly different comparisons with  $P < 5 \times 10^{-3}$ . N = 3 independent replicates per condition from distinct cell passages. Lipid classes: Acylcarnitines (AcylCarnitine), Cholesteryl ester (CE), Ceramide (Cer), Desmosterol (DE), Diacylglycerol (DG), Dihydroceramide (dhCer), GM1 ganglioside (GM1), GM3 ganglioside (GM3), Dihexosylceramide (Hex2Cer), Trihexosylcermide (Hex3Cer), Monohexosylceramide (HexCer), Lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), Lysoalkylphosphatidylcholine (LPCO), Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (LPCP), Lysophosphatidylethanolamine (LPE), Lysoalkenylphosphatidylethanolamine (LPE), Lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI), Phosphatidylcholine (PC), Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), Phosphatidylglycerol (PG), Phosphatidylinositol (PI), Phosphatidylserine (PS), Sphingomyelin (SM), Sphingosine (Sph), Sulfatide (Sulfatide), Triacylglycerol (TG), Alkyldiacylglycerol (TGO). NA: Molecules which could not be parsed by lipidr (for example ubiquinone, free cholesterol).



**Extended Data Fig. 6** | **The effect of chemical perturbation of ferroptosis on imetelstat efficacy.** Celltiter-based cell growth analysis in a panel of n = 7imetelstat-sensitive cell lines NB4, MV411, KO52, TF1, MOLM13, HEL or PL21 that were supplemented with different concentrations of imetelstat combined with various pharmacological modulators of ferroptosis (that is ferrostatin-1, liproxstatin-1, DFOM, zileuton, menadione, etomoxir, RSL3, erastin). Synergism



was estimated using the Synergyfinder 2.0 algorithm (http://synergyfinder. fimm.fi) on the viability data pooled from n = 2 independent experiments. BLISS and LOEWE synergy scores are plotted for each drug combination and cell line. Ferroptosis modulators highlighted in blue represent predicted antagonistic combinations with imetelstat with LOEWE *AND* BLISS scores < 10.

#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00653-5



Extended Data Fig. 7 | The effect of imetelstat's triple G-containing mismatch control on AML. a, Oligonucleotide sequences of imetelstat, mismatch 1 (MM1), and mismatch 2 (GGG; MM2). Celltiter analysis of 14 human hematopoietic cell lines treated with different concentrations (0.25 microM, 1 microM, 4 microM) of MM1, MM2, or imetelstat over a 4-week period. Data pooled from three technical replicates per condition from n = 2 independent experiments. Log2 fold changes of cell growth (celltiter-assay) of drug-treated conditions compared to vehicle controls are presented as heatmap. b, Median fluorescent intensities of anti-DNA G-quadruplex antibody (1H6) in editing-control (n = 5 biological replicates, that is native, Cas9, empty vector, CD33-sg1, CD33-sg2) NB4 cell lines treated with PBS, MM1, MM2, or imetelstat, and gated on G1 (left), or S/G2/M cell cycle phases (right). Each dot represents the mean of three technical replicates from one representative out of three independent experiments. c, CellROX measurement of n = 5 editing controls or n = 2 FADS2-edited (FADS2-sg1, FADS2-sg2) NB4 treated with PBS, MM1, MM2, or imetelstat. Each dot represents the mean of three technical replicates per cell line from one representative out of four independent

experiments. **b,c**, One-way-ANOVA analysis adjusted for multiple comparisons:  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (PBS versus MM2 in G1 and S/G2/M; **b**),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (PBS versus imetelstat in G1 and S/G2/M; **b**),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (editing controls+ PBS versus MM1; **c**),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (editing controls + PBS versus imetelstat; **c**),  $P = 2 \times 10^{-4}$  (MM2-treated editing controls versus FADS2-edited cells; **c**),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (imetelstat-treated editing controls versus FADS2-edited cells; **c**), d, Celltiter analysis on NB4 treated with PBS, MM1, MM2 or imetelstat, and in combination with ferrostatin-1 (left) or DFOM (right). N = 3 technical replicates per condition from one representative out of two independent experiments in total. One-way-ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons:  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (PBS versus MM2),  $P < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  (PBS versus imetelstat). **e**, Peripheral blood AML burden in AML PDX (RCH-11) treated with PBS (n = 6), MM1, (n = 5), MM2 (n = 5), or imetelstat (n = 6). One-way-ANOVA adjusted for multiple comparisons on day 17:  $P = 1.83 \times 10^{-2}$  (PBS versus MM2),  $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  (PBS versus imetelstat).



**Extended Data Fig. 8** | **Individual AML PDX responses to imetelstat.** Peripheral blood AML donor chimerism in the thirty individual PDX models. *N* = 6 NSGS per treatment group per individual AML patient sample. Kinetics are presented from each individual replicate.





Extended Data Fig. 9 | The molecular landscapes underlying imetelstat responses in AML PDX. a, Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis on the expression of differentially expressed transcripts in sustained versus poor responders to imetelstat determined using glmFit function (R) with a cutoff of adjusted *P* value < 0.25 among 30 individual AMLs from our PDX repository. **b**, Key clinical characteristics of patients from whom AML samples were derived including age at diagnosis, gender, ELN2017 prognostic risk group, and WHO class of disease. **c**, OncoPrint of differentially detected mutations in AMLs from sutained versus poor responders to imetelstat at baseline by targeted next generation sequencing of 585 genes associated with hematologic malignancies (the MSKCC HemePACT assay)<sup>62</sup>. **d**, Imetelstat response classification: AML burden quantified as area under the curve of peripheral blood donor chimerism per day of imetelstat / vehicle (PBS)-treated AML PDX generated from each individual AML patient sample. N = 30 AML patient samples with n = 6 PDX per treatment group.



Extended Data Fig. 10 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 10 | Imetelstat response classification in AML PDX.

**a**, Imetelstat response classification: AML burden quantified as peripheral blood donor chimerism per day and spleen weights from imetelstat vs. vehicle controltreated PDX by imetelstat response group. N = 84 (sustained responders per treatment group each); n = 48 (intermediate or poor responders per treatment group each). Solid lines represent the median from each group  $\pm 95\%$  confidence interval. Statistics based on unpaired two-sided t-test on log-transformed data:  $P = 1.32 \times 10^{-11}$  (PB AML burden in sustained responders: PBS vs. imetelstat),  $P = 6.68 \times 10^{-3}$  (PB AML burden in intermediate responders: PBS vs. imetelstat),  $P = 8.82 \times 10^{-5}$  (spleen weight in sustained responders: PBS vs. imetelstat),  $P = 1.34 \times 10^{-2}$ (spleen weight in interdediate responders: PBS vs. imetelstat),  $P = 2.8 \times 10^{-2}$ (spleen weight in poor responders: PBS vs. imetelstat).  $b \cdot h$ , Representation of clinical and molecular parameters in AML patient samples either characterized as sustained, intermediate, or poor responders to imetelstat: ELN2017 risk (**b**), cytogenetics (**c**), WHO disease classification (**d**), gender (**e**), AML patient age at sampling (**f**), FLT3-ITD allelic ratio (**g**), and TERT mRNA expression levels at baseline from RNA-seq analysis (**h**). Results were not statistically different (that is according to two-tailed Fisher's exact test for **b-e**; one-way-ANOVA for **f-h**). N = 14 sustained, n = 8 intermediate, n = 8 poor responders to imetelstat. **i**, AML burden in imetelstat-treated normalized to vehicle control-treated PDX in relation to *NRAS* mutational status: *NRAS* wild-type (wt; n = 144), mutant *NRAS* (mut; n = 36). Simple linear regression indicates the slope being significantly different from 0 with  $p = 8.8 \times 10^{-3}$ . **j**, Telomeric restriction fragment analysis of genomic DNA isolated from viable AML patient cells at baseline from all 30 individual AML patient samples included in the preclinical trial of imetelstat in AML PDX.

# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Claudia Bruedigam, Steven W. Lane

Last updated by author(s): Jul 22, 2023

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

# Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	$\boxtimes$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient, AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

# Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

# Data collection Blood analysis: Peripheral blood samples were analyzed on a Hemavet 950 analyzer (Drew Scientific). Histology: Images of histological slides were obtained on a ScanScope FL (Aperio). In vitro cell growth analysis: Cells were analyzed with Celltiter 96 aqueous nonradioactive cell proliferation assay (MTS Systems) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega). Endpoint absorbance at 490 nm was detected using Biotek PowerWave. Flow cytometry: Data were acquired on a FACS LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences). Imaging flow cytometry: Data were acquired using an Amnis® ImageStream®X Mark II Imaging Flow system. Confocal microscopy: Images were acquired on a Zeiss 780-NLO confocal microscope. Terminal Restriction Fragment (TRF) analysis: Telomeric signals were visualized by PhosphorImage analysis (Conomos et al., 2012).

#### Western Blotting:

Protein was detected using Immobilon chemiluminescent HRP substrate (WBKLS0500, Millipore) and imaged with the iBright CL1500 imaging system.

#### Brunello gRNA sequencing:

Samples were sequenced using the NextSeq 550 Illumina platform.

#### RNAseq analysis:

Libraries were sequenced using a High output, single-end, 75 cycle (version 2) sequencing kit on the Illumina Nextseq 550 platform.

#### Mutational sequencing:

Genomic alterations were profiled using the HemePACT assay (Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets related to Hematological malignancies) at the Genomics Core Laboratory at MSKCC using the Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform.

#### Lipidomics:

Data were obtained from an LC/MS platform consisting of a 1290 Infinity II UHPLC coupled to a 6470 QQQ mass spectrometer via AJS ESI source (Agilent, Santa Clara, USA).

Data analysis For all data analyses, either commercial or previously published open source packages were used: Rstudio version 1.0.143; Graphpad Prism version 9.4.0; Microsoft Excel version 16.75.2; Cytoscape version 3.5.1; GSEA version 4.0.3; IPA (Ingenuity pathway analysis software) version 01-19-00; JMP Pro, version 17.

Flow cytometry analysis: Post-acquisition analyses were performed with FlowJo software V10.9.0 (Becton Dickinson & Company; BD).

#### Imaging flow cytometry:

Data were analyzed using IDEAS (Image Data Exploration and Analysis Software).

#### Terminal Restriction Fragment (TRF) analysis:

TRFs were processed by ImageJ 1.52a analysis software to quantitate mean telomere length.

#### Western blotting:

Protein expression was quantified by densitometric analysis using ImageJ 1.52a software.

#### In vitro cell growth and drug synergy analysis:

Absorbance data derived from celltiter assays were analyzed using Gen5 software. Synergism was estimated using the Synergyfinder 2.0 algorithm (http://synergyfinder.fimm.fi).

#### Brunello gRNA sequencing:

The sequenced gRNA insert from each read was mapped to a reference file of each gRNA in the library. The STARS and RIGER CRISPR screen analysis tools were applied to the sequencing results (Doench JG, Fusi N, et al. Nature Biotechnology. 2016;34(2):184-191) with analysis based on log2-transformed numbers of gRNA reads in 'End' samples after drug treatment compared to 'Input' samples.

#### RNAseq analysis:

Reads were trimmed for adapter sequences using Cutadapt (version 1.11) and aligned using Spliced Transcripts Alignment to a Reference (STAR) (version 2.5.2a; Dobin A, Davis CA, et al. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):15-21) to the GrCH37 assembly using the gene, transcript, and exon features of Ensembl (release 70) gene model. Expression was estimated using RNA-Seq by Expectation Maximization (RSEM) (version 1.2.30). Transcripts with zero read counts across all samples were removed prior to analysis. Normalization of read counts was performed by dividing by 1 million reads mapped to generate counts per million (CPM), followed by the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) method from the edgeR package (version 3.14.0; Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, et al. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(1):139-140).

For the differential expression (DE) analyses, the glmFit function was used to fit a negative binomial generalised log-linear model to the read counts for each transcript. Using the glmLRT function, we conducted transcriptwise likelihood ratio tests for each genotype comparison. Principal component analysis (PCA) was also performed on all DE transcripts with FDR <.05. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of transcriptomics data was performed using GSEA (version 4.1.0) from the Broad Institute (Subramanian A, Tamayo P, et al. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2005;102(43):15545-15550). P-values were generated from 1000 gene set permutations, excluding gene sets with more than 3000 genes or less than 5 genes against custom made gene sets and the Broad Institute's Hallmark database. GSEA results were visualized using Cytoscape version 3.5.1 software using a node-cutoff of q<0.1.

#### Mutational sequencing:

Reads were aligned to the reference human genome (hg19) using the Burrows-Wheeler Alignment tool (Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 2009;25:1754–60). Local realignment and quality score recalibration were conducted using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) according to GATK best practices (Braunschweig AB, Huo F, Mirkin CA. Molecular printing. Nat Chem 2009;1:353–8). Somatic alterations were identified (single-nucleotide variants, small insertions/deletions (indels), and copy number alterations). Single-nucleotide variants were identified using UnifiedGenotyper and mu Tect (Cibulskis, K. et al. Sensitive detection of somatic point mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. Nat Biotechnology (2013).doi:10.1038/nbt.2514). All samples were paired (Tumor/Normal) and candidate genomique alteration were reviewed manually in the Integrative Genomics Viewer (Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 2011;29:24–6). Identified mutations were filtered based on the COSMIC database (Tate JG, Bamford S, Jubb HC, Sondka Z, Beare DM, Bindal N, et al. COSMIC: the Catalogue Of Somatic Mutations In Cancer. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47(D1):D941-D7 doi 10.1093/nar/gky1015). The frequencies of genes with oncogenic mutations were highlighted by connecting lines.

Lipidomics:

Skyline-daily software (Adams et al., 2020) was used for lipid species assignment (precursor/product ion pairs and retention time) and chromatographic peak integration based on Huynh et al., 2019. An indexed retention time (iRT) calculator, which was generated using internal standards as well as lipid species assigned from the sample pool run, was employed for a retention time predictor to increase confidence of lipid assignment in subsequent samples. Peak picking was manually inspected using Skyline's retention times - replicate comparison pane and adjusted accordingly by comparing retention time and chromatographic peak profile to the sample pool QC run. Furthermore, all peaks were manually checked for correct integration. The downstream data processing and visualization was carried out with R package lipidr (version 2.14.1) (Mohamed et al., 2020). Skyline transition results and a file with sample annotation and grouping were imported into lipidr (version 2.14.1). Raw data quality was assessed by plotting total lipid intensities of each sample as well as intensity and retention time distributions of internal standards across samples. Log2 transformation and probabilistic quotient normalization (PQN) was performed prior to statistical analysis. Data analysis was carried out using the R package lipidr. Sample variation was investigated by principal component analysis (PCA). A lipid set enrichment analysis was performed by plotting results for enriched lipid classes and lipid unsaturation either as boxplot or trend line. All p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

# Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

RNA-sequencing data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession codes GSE176522 and GSE176523.

Targeted lipidomics data have been deposited in Panorama Public under a permanent link (https://panoramaweb.org/ImetelstatLipidomics.url).

The following publicly available datasets generated by others have been used in this study: Genome assembly GRCh37 in GenBank under accession code GCA 000001405.1, and COSMIC database version 80 (https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic).

Source data for Fig. 1-8 and Extended Data Fig. 1-10 have been provided as Source Data files. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

# Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	Bone marrow samples were collected from both female and male patients with Acute Myeloid Leukaemia. PDX for this study were generated from samples of 13 male, and 17 female patients. Gender/sex is listed in the patient characteristics and is representative of a standard AML cohort. Gender was assigned based on genetic analysis of the tumor and was concordant with self-reported gender in all cases.
Population characteristics	Patient ages ranged between 18 and 88. In addition, one pediatric AML patient sample was included in the PDX trial.
Recruitment	Consecutive patients with AML who presented via the Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital and had bone marrow aspirate were consented for research sample collection and baseline clinical data according to p1382 human research ethics protocol (QIMR Berghofer HREC/14/QRBW/278). Written informed consent was obtained at the time of bone marrow aspiration from consenting patients. There was no predetermined bias, however samples were collected during standard working hours to facilitate optimal processing and viable cell storage.
Ethics oversight	Primary AML samples were obtained from patients with AML, after informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the institutional (QIMR Berghofer) ethics committee protocol P1382 (HREC/14/QRBW/278).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

 $\square$  Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

# Life sciences study design

All studies must dis	close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	Study design was based on sample sizes that proved to be adequate in previous experiments using similar approaches, and thus no statistical methods were used to pre-determine sample sizes for this study (Bruedigam et al., Cell Stem Cell 2014; Townsend et al., Cancer Cell 2016).
Data exclusions	We needed to prematurely terminate and subsequently repeat 6 PDX experiments from the imetelstat AML NSGS trial due to infection of the mice with alpha hemolytic streptococcus viridans. In some cases, PDX needed to be culled immediately without possible subsequent analysis of AML burden in bone marrow and peripheral organs. GPR56 flow cytometry was included in the PDX analysis at a later stage following its first publication as leukaemic stem cell marker. For the cell culture data presented in Fig. 5a, one out of three technical replicates from each control condition were excluded as cellrox green reagent was erroneously not added to the respective wells. No other data were excluded from the analyses.
Replication	The Phase II-like randomized trial of imetelstat in AML NSGS PDX was initially performed and analyzed as two separate cohorts with n = 15 individual AML patient samples each (cohort 1: 2013-2017; cohort 2: 2016-2018). Information in cohort allocation is provided in Extended Data Fig. 9b, demonstrating equal distribution over all imetelstat response groups. Both cohorts demonstrated significant improvement in survival of imetelstat-treated PDX compared to vehicle control-treated PDX (survival cohort 1: 103 days (vehicle) and 152 days (imetelstat) with $p < 0.0001$ ; survival cohort 2: 110 days (vehicle) and 141 days (imetelstat) with $p = 0.0131$ ). PDX from these cohorts were treated, monitored and analyzed by independent research technicians. Moreover, the imetelstat monotherapy trial was also included in the combination treatment NRGS cohort (20 AML patient samples) and thus partially, independently replicated in an additional recipient strain.
	Most cell culture experiments were replicated by independent researchers within the laboratory. Cell culture experiments were repeated at least once independently with cell lines from a different passage with similar results. We would like to point out the observed imetelstat inoculum effect (Extended Data Fig. 4a). All cell culture experiments included in this study were performed on low-density pre-cultures, passaged between 12-24h prior seeding at a density of ~1x10^5 cells per ml, with a maximum density of 5x10^5 cells per ml when cells were taken for experimental setup. We found this procedure to be absolutely essential for the reproducibility of the results presented in this study.
	Detailed information on replication for each Figure:
	Fig.1a-c: demonstrating descriptive baseline data of the AML patient sample resource. The RNAseq analysis (a) was performed simultaneously on all samples; HemePACT analysis was done on the samples split into two cohorts as described above. Cross-checking was carried out successfully between oncogenic mutations in highly expressed genes identified in HemePACT, RNAseq and clinical data where available.
	Fig.2a-i: demonstrating data from the two combined preclinical trials on imetelstat monotherapy in AML PDX that were initially analyzed separately as described above, with similar results in each cohort. The graphs show data from n = 180 PDX per treatment group, with 6 PDX generated from each 30 individual AML patient samples per group.
	Fig. 2j-i: demonstrating data from RNAseq analyses on n = 8 AML PDX models with n = 2 PDX per AML patient sample, and n = 2 PDX per treatment group. GSEA was performed on imetelstat vs. vehicle treated groups in which PDX from different paired AML patient samples were pooled (n = 16 PDX per treatment group). GSEA were also carried out between the treatment groups within individual AML PDX models with similar results.
	Fig. 2m,n: demonstrating data from telomere length analyses using qPCR (m) in the same samples used as in 2j-i. Each dot shows the mean of two technical replicates. Data were calculated based on two distinct housekeeping genes. One sample was randomly chosen and verified using TRF.
	Fig. 3a-b: demonstrating data from the Brunello CRISPR screen in which $n = 3$ replicates per each condition were passaged strictly separately over a timecourse of 45 days, resulting in cultures with specific combinations of enrichments of gRNAs from overlapping biochemical pathways, and distinct growth kinetics as demonstrated in Extended Data Fig. 4b,c, thus generating de-facto biological replicates. The individual hits from this CRISPR screen were validated in separate experiments using single gRNAs in four cell lines (Fig. 3c-d).
	Fig. 3c-f: demonstrating data from competition assays of imetelstat versus vehicle control treated Cas9-expressing NB4, MV411, KO52, and TF1 cultures transduced with n = 2 independent single guide RNAs targeting FADS2 (top panel), n = 4 independent single gRNAs targeting ACSL4 (middle panel), and n = 2 controls (empty vector and gRNA targeting CD33). Three technical replicates per condition from two independent experiments were pooled. Each experiment analyzed separately revealed similar results.
	Fig. 4a: Lipidomics analysis on NB4 cells with n = 3 biological replicates from distinct cell passages and independent experiments.
	Fig. 4b-c: demonstrating data from CellROX-Green and C11-BODIPY analyses in ACSL4-edited (n = 4 independent gRNAs), FADS2-edited (n = 2 independent gRNAs) or non-edited (n = 2 independent replicates, i.e. Cas9, empty vector) NB4 or MV411 cell lines treated with imetelstat or PBS. Three technical replicates per condition were pooled. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. A repeat experiment was performed that replicated the results.
	Fig. 5a,b: demonstrating data from CellROX-Green and C11-BODIPY flow-cytometry on NB4, MV411, KO52 and TF1 treated with imetelstat or vehicle-control with n = 6 replicates pooled from two experiments (with 3 technical replicates per condition). Similar results were obtained when both experiments were analyzed separately.
	Fig. 5c: demonstrating data from C11-BODIPY and TERT-mRNA analyses on sorted viable CD45+ splenic cells from imetelstat- compared to PBS-treated PDX from the preclinical trial presented in Figure 2. C11-BODIPY data (n = 9 PDX from three individual AML samples with three PDX per patient sample) are presented. ACSL4 mRNA data (n = 6 PDX from the same three individual AML samples with two PDX per patient sample) are presented.

Fig. 5d-f: demonstrating data from AML PDX treated with vehicle, liproxstatin-1, imetelstat, or the combination of liproxstatin-1 with imetelstat with n = 12 PDX per treatment group, with six PDX each from two independent AML patient samples (and independent experiments) pooled. Separate analysis of the two experiments revealed similar results.

Fig. 6b: showing representative images from confocal microscopy of VIM protein in NB4 cells with n = 6 independent biological replicates per condition. Images from all replicates are provided as SourceImage file in the Supplemental Information document.

Fig. 6c: demonstrating data on VIM-editing in NB4 using n=4 independent single gRNAs, and thus four independent isogenic cell lines. Plots show data from one representative experiment. Two independent repeats were performed with similar results.

Fig. 6d: showing representative data from imaging flow cytometry of lipophagy in n = 4 independent VIM-edited (VIM-sg1, VIM-sg2, VIM-sg3, and VIM-sg4) or n = 4 independent editing-control (i.e. native, Cas9, empty vector, CD33-sg2) NB4 cell-lines. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results.

Fig. 6e: demonstrating celltiter-based cell growth data in 6 individual AML cell-lines: NB4 (n=3 technical replicates), MV411 (n=3 technical replicates), KO52 (n=3 technical replicates), TF1 (n=2 technical replicates):  $P=5.1\times10-3$ ; MOLM13 (n=3 technical replicates):  $P<1\times10-4$ ; and HEL (n=3 technical replicates). Each experiment was repeated once with similar results.

Fig. 7a: showing data from HemePACT analysis of AML patient samples at baseline (data presented in Fig. 1c), but here segregated into distinct imetelstat response groups with n = 14 sustained, n = 8 intermediate, and n = 8 poor responders to imetelstat. As described above, the identified mutations were cross-checked with data obtained from RNAseq and clinical analyses, and could be confirmed when VAF and read counts were sufficient.

Fig. 7b-c: showing data on AML burden and survival in imetelstat-treated normalized to vehicle control-treated PDX in relation to NRAS mutational status: NRAS wild-type (wt; n = 144 PDX from 24 individual AML patient samples with six PDX each), mutant NRAS (mut; n = 36 PDX from 6 individual AML patient samples with 6 PDX each). This is a descriptive analysis to be verified in future independent studies and clinical trials.

Fig. 7d-e: showing data from RNAseq experiments on AML patient samples baseline (data presented in Fig. 1a) segregated into distinct imetelstat response groups with n = 14 sustained, n = 8 intermediate, and n = 8 poor responders to imetelstat. GSEA was performed comparing RNAseq data from sustained versus poor responders to imetelstat. This is also a descriptive analysis to be verified in future independent work.

Fig. 7f: demonstrating simple linear regression analysis of baseline telomere length vs. imetelstat response in PDX with n = 30 AML patient samples in total. The imetelstat response scores were derived from PDX per individual AML patient sample.

Fig. 8a-b: demonstrating CellROX and sytox flow-cytometry on AML cells with n= 3 replicates from a representative experiment that was repeated independently showing similar results.

Fig. 8c-d: demonstrating data on C11-BODIPY and CellROX analysis on AML PDX (RBWH-44) treated with vehicle, Arac+Doxo, imetelstat, or Arac+Doxo followed by imetelstat. c, n = 5 PDX (vehicle), n = 6 (imetelstat), n = 6 PDX (Arac+Doxo) and n = 6 PDX (Arac+Doxo followed by imetelstat). d, n = 5 PDX (vehicle), n = 6 PDX (imetelstat), n = 5 PDX (Arac+Doxo), and n = 5 PDX (Arac+Doxo followed by imetelstat). The analyses were performed in separate batches on different days of approximately two PDX per treatment group. Separate analysis revealed similar trends for each analysis day.

Fig. 8f,g: showing data on the PDX trial on imetelstat consolidation following induction chemotherapy with n=120 PDX per treatment group, with 20 individual AML patient samples and 6 PDX per patient sample per group. The data from the imetelstat monotherapy arm were compared to the imetelstat monotherapy trial in NSGS, where individual AML patient samples showed similar imetelstat responses.

Extended Data Fig. 1a-p: presenting data on the initial AML PDX engraftment test experiments, transplanting 50 individual AML patient samples into approximately 6 NSGS recipients each. Histological analyses were carried out on AML PDX with confirmed AML donor chimerism from bone marrow, peripheral blood and spleen from n = 30 individual AML patient samples. A comprehensive collection of spleen and liver H&E as well as peripheral blood Wright-Giemsa stainings demonstrating blast morphology in all 30 cases is provided in SourceImage files in the Supplemental Information document. The purpose of data presentation in this figure is to validate the usefulness of our cut-offs used to define the successful generation of AML xenografts and onset of AML in these models.

Extended Data Fig. 2a-q: demonstrating data on humanized in vivo models of hematopoiesis generated by transplanting viable CD34+ mononuclear cells isolated from cord blood samples from two independent donors into NSG recipients (donor 1: n = 5 NSG per treatment group; donor 2: n = 6 NSG per treatment group). These experiments which show similar results were conducted independently over distinct time periods.

Extended Data Fig. 3a-d: showing data on the comparative analysis of AML PDX responses to imetelstat vs. standard induction chemotherapy. The datasets described in Fig. 1c (HemePACT data from AML patient samples at baseline) and preclinical combination trial data from Fig. 8e-g are shown here with the aim to focus on the differences between chemotherapy and imetelstat therapy efficacies, and potential mutational profiles that can predict preferential responses to each group. These are descriptive results to be validated in future work and clinical trials.

Extended Data Fig. 4a: demonstrating pooled IC50 results from n = 2 independent experiments. Both results revealed similar results when analyzed independently.

Extended Data Fig. 4b-g: demonstrating results from the Brunello screen. Please see details provided for Fig. 3a-b above in this reproducibility section.

Extended Data Fig. 4h,j: showing confirmation of single guide RNA mediated editing of ACSL4 by western blot (h) and FADS2 by TIDE analysis in n = 4 independent AML cell lines. Representative images are shown, please refer to SourceImage files in the Supplemental Information document for a compilation of images of all cell lines analyzed.

Extended Data Fig. 4i: showing intracellular flow cytometry on vimentin in editing-control or VIM-edited NB4 cells. Representative data from one experiment are shown. The analysis was repeated from cells of later passage and revealed similar results.

Extended Data Fig. 5a-c: showing results on lipidomics analysis in NB4 cells. Please refer to details provided under Fig. 4a in this reproducibility section above.

Extended Data Fig. 6: demonstrating data from celltiter-based cell growth analyses in a panel of n = 7 independent imetelstat - sensitive AML cell lines. The data were pooled from n = 2 independent experiments to plot BLISS and LOEWE synergy scores. For each hit, antagonistic scores were also obtained when the two independent experiments per drug and cell line were analyzed separately.

Extended Data Fig. 7a: showing celltiter-based growth analyses data on n = 14 human hematopoietic cell lines, of which data were pooled from three technical replicates per condition from n=2 independent experiments. Similar results were obtained when each experiment was analyzed separately.

Extended Data Fig. 7b: demonstrating data on DNA G-quadruplex flow cytometry in n=5 isogenic NB4 cell lines (native, Cas9, empty vector, CD33-sg1, CD33-sg2) per drug treatment group. Data are shown from a representative experiment from three independent experiments in total that revealed similar results.

Extended Data Fig. 7c: showing data from CellROX measurements of n=5 independent editing controls or n=2 independent FADS2-edited NB4 cell lines per treatment group. Each dot represents the mean of three technical replicates per cell line from one representative out of four independent experiments. Each repeat experiment showed similar results.

Extended Data Fig. 7d: demonstrating data on celltiter-based growth analysis with n = 3 technical replicates per condition from each one representative out of each two independent experiments in total. The repeat experiments revealed similar results.

Extended Data Fig. 7e: showing data on peripheral blood AML burden in AML PDX (RCH11) treated with PBS (n=6 PDX), MM1, (n=5 PDX), MM2 (n=5 PDX), or imetelstat (n=6 PDX). Due to limited availability of this particular mismatch control of interest (MM2), this experiment was performed only once but with multiple PDX / biological replicates in each group.

Extended Data Fig. 8: demonstrating peripheral blood donor chimerism timecourse data from the imetelstat monotherapy trial in AML PDX of n = 30 individual AML patient samples with n = 6 PDX per AML patient sample and treatment group. Please see above for details on reproducibility of the imetelstat AML PDX trial (beginning of this section, and also Fig. 2).

Extended Data Fig. 9a-d: Please see details above on the reproducibility of the imetelstat AML PDX trial (beginning of this section, and also Fig. 2).

Extended Data Fig. 10a-j: Please see details above on the reproducibility of the imetelstat AML PDX trial (beginning of this section, and also Fig. 2).

Patient samples were allocated into the cohorts randomly, based on their availability and outcome of prior engraftment testing in NSGS Randomization recipients. PDX recipients were randomized into distinct treatment cages and ear-tagged by an independent research technician after transplantation without involvement of the researcher who had performed the transplantation.

For all other experiments, samples were allocated equally to ensure that covariates were identical between the compared groups.

Blinding Blinding was not strictly imposed due to the high maintenance requirements of the PDX colonies requiring multiple researchers being able to collect and also analyze data, however, unbiased PDX monitoring and scoring was performed by independent animal technicians not intellectually involved in the study.

> The investigators performing cell culture experiments and additional analyses were not blinded during allocation and outcome assessment, however, data collection and analyses were performed by independent researchers in the majority of cases.

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

# Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involved in the study
	X Antibodies
	🔀 Eukaryotic cell lines
$\times$	Palaeontology and archaeology

Animals and other organisms

Clinical data

Dual use research of concern

# Methods

- Involved in the study n/a ChIP-seq 🔀 Flow cytometry
  - MRI-based neuroimaging

# Antibodies

Antibodies used	Antibodies used on western blots: rabbit anti-ACSL4 antibody (abcam; cat. ab155282; clone EPR8640) mouse anti-Cas9 (S. pyogenes) antibody (Cell Signaling; cat. #14697, clone 7A9-3A3) mouse anti-Actin Ab-5 antibody (BD biosciences; cat. 612656; clone C4/actin (RUO)) polyclonal goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins/HRP (Dako; cat. P0260) Antibodies used for confocal microscopy: anti-human VIM (Vimentin (D21H3) XP® Rabbit mAb (Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate) (Cell Signaling; cat. #9854) rabbit (DA1E) mAb IgG XP® Isotype Control (Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate) (Cell Signaling; cat. #2975) Antibodies used in flow cytometry: anti-human CD19 PerCP-Cy5.5 (Biolegend; cat. 302230; clone HIB19) anti-human CD3 APC (Biolegend; cat. 302230; clone HIB19) anti-human CD3 APC (Biolegend; cat. 302534; clone SK7) anti-human CD34 APC (Biolegend; cat. 30458; clone HI72) anti-human CD34 PE (Biolegend; cat. 304534; clone HI72) anti-human CD45 AF647 (Biolegend; cat. 304534; clone H130) anti-human CD45 FFIC (Biolegend; cat. 304018; clone H130) anti-human CD45 FFIC (Biolegend; cat. 304018; clone H130) anti-human CD45 FFIC (Biolegend; cat. 312040; clone H130) anti-human CD45 FFIC (Biolegend; cat. 312040; clone A20) anti-mouse Cd45.1 PE (Biolegend; cat. 110708; clone A20) For G-quadruplex analysis: anti-DNA G-quadruplex (C4) (Merck Millipore; cat. MABE1126; clone 1H6) anti-mouse - [gG2bx FITC (Biolegend; cat. 402208; clone 27-35) Antibody used for imaging flow cytometry: anti-bunde CD47 FITC (Biolegend; cat. 402208; clone 27-35)
	anti-human CD10/a (LAMP-1) AF647 (Biolegend; cat. 328612; clone H4A3)
Validation	Only validated antibodies were used with relevant supportive evidence and citations provided on the respective websites from Cell Signaling Technologies, Biolegend, BD Biosciences, Abcam and Merck Millipore:
	rabbit anti-ACSL4 antibody (abcam; cat. ab155282; clone EPR8640): raised against synthetic peptide within human ACSL4; validated by manufacturer using western blot analysis in HepG2, HeLa, HEK293 whole cell lysates, and human fetal kidney tissue lysate; immunohistochemistry on formalin/PFA-fixed paraffin-embedded sections of human hepatocellular carcinoma tissue comparatively stained with a secondary antibody only.
	mouse anti-Cas9 (S. pyogenes) antibody (Cell Signaling; cat. #14697, clone 7A9-3A3): raised against the amino terminus of Cas9 from Streptococcus pyogenes; validated by manufacturer using Western blot analysis of extracts from 293T cells, mock transfected (-) or transfected with a construct expressing Cas9 (+).
	mouse anti-Actin Ab-5 antibody (BD biosciences; cat. 612656; clone C4/actin (RUO)): raised against Chicken gizzard muscle Actin; verified by manufacturer using Western blot analysis on Jurkat cell lysate and immunofluorescent staining of Hs68 cells.
	anti-human VIM (Vimentin (D21H3) XP® Rabbit mAb (Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate) (Cell Signaling; cat. #9854): raised against synthetic peptide corresponding to residues surrounding Arg45 of human vimentin protein; validated by manufacturer using Flow cytometric analysis of MCF7 and HeLa cells comparatively stained with concentration-matched Rabbit (DA1E) mAb IgG XP® Isotype Control (Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate) #2975, as well as Confocal immunofluorescent analysis of SNB19 cells comparatively stained for Actin filaments using DY-554 phalloidin.
	anti-human CD19 PerCP-Cy5.5 (Biolegend; cat. 302230; clone HIB19): validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood lymphocytes comparatively stained with an isotype control.
	anti-human CD3 PE-Cy7 (Biolegend; cat. 344816; clone SK7): validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on Human peripheral blood lymphocytes.
	anti-human CD33 APC (Biolegend; cat. 983902; clone WM53): raised against human myeloid leukaemia cells; validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood lymphocytes, monocytes, and granulocytes.
	anti-human CD34 PE (Biolegend; cat. 343506; clone 581): validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood mononuclear cells comparatively stained with PE mouse IgG1 isotype control and CD45 (HI30) PerCP (gated on CD14- cell population).
	anti-human CD38 APC-Cy7 (Biolegend; cat. 303534; clone HIT2): validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood lymphocytes comparatively stained with Mouse IgG1, APC/Cyanine7 isotype control.
	anti-human CD45 AF647 (Biolegend; cat. 304018 ; clone H130):

validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood lymphocytes.

anti-human CD45 FITC (Biolegend; cat. 304006; clone H130): validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood lymphocytes, monocytes and granulocytes.

anti-human GPR56 PE-Cy7 (Biolegend; cat. 358204; clone CG4): raised against human GPR56-mouse Fc fusion protein; validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on human peripheral blood lymphocytes comparatively stained with mouse IgG1, κ PE isotype control.

anti-mouse Cd45.1 PE (Biolegend; cat. 110708; clone A20): raised against SJL mouse thymocytes and splenocytes; validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on SJL and C57BL/6 splenocytes stained.

anti-mouse Cd45.1 PerCP-Cy5.5 (Biolegend; cat. 110728; clone A20): raised against SJL mouse thymocytes and splenocytes; validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on SJL mouse splenocytes.

anti-DNA G-quadruplex (G4) Antibody, clone 1H6 (Merck Millipore; cat. MABE1126): raised against KLH-conjugated G-quadruplex DNA derived from oligonucleotides with telomeric repeats; validated by manufacturer using immunocytochemistry in HeLa cells.

anti-human CD107a (LAMP-1) AF647 (Biolegend; cat. 328612; clone H4A3): raised against human adult adherent peripheral blood cells; validated by manufacturer using flow cytometry on thrombin-activated human peripheral blood platelets comparatively stained with mouse IgG1, κ Alexa Fluor® 647.

# Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research</u>				
Cell line source(s)	The following cell lines were purchased from DSMZ: SKM1 (CVCL_0098; cat. ACC 547) Monomac6 (CVCL_1426; cat. ACC 124) MOLM13 (CVCL_2119; cat. ACC 554) HEL (CVCL_0001; cat. ACC 11) N84 (CVCL_0005; cat. ACC 207) PL21 (CVCL_0164; cat. ACC 586). OCIAML3 (CVCL_1844; cat. ACC 582). The following cell lines were purchased from ATCC: K562 (CVCL_0002; cat. CCL-240) U937 (CVCL_0004; cat. CCL-240) U937 (CVCL_0007; cat. CRL-1593.2) MV411 (CVCL_0064; cat. CRL-5951) THP1 (CVCL_0066; cat. TIB-202) TF1 (CVCL_00559; cat. CRL-2003) 3T3 (cat. CRL-1658) HEK 293T (cat. CRL-3216) The following cell line was purchased from Cellbank Australia: K052 (CVCL_CVCL_1321; cat. JCRB0123) Additional human hematopoietic cell lines were kindly donated by Prof. Wallace Langdon (UWA, Perth, Australia): MOLM13 (CVCL_2119) MV411 (CVCL_0064) PL21 (CVCL_0064) PL21 (CVCL_2161) The following cell line was provided by Dr. Stefan. Froehling: MM6 (Monomac6, CVCL_1426)			
Authentication	Each stocks used of all cell lines were authenticated by STR profiling at early passage before the first culture experiment, performed by the QIMR Berghofer Analytical Core facility.			
Mycoplasma contamination	All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma during regular monthly testing using the biochemical MycoAlertTM Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza) by QIMR Berghofer core facility.			
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	None of the cell lines used have been known as misidentified cell lines according to version 12 of the cross-contamination database maintained by the International Cell Line Authentication Committee (https://iclac.org/databases/cross-contaminations/).			

# Animals and other research organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research, and Sex and Gender in Research

Laboratory animals	NSG (i.e., NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rgtm1Wjz /SzJ), NSGS (i.e., NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid Il2rgtm1Wjl Tg[CMV-IL3,CSF2,KITLG]1Eav/MloySzJ), and NRGS mice (i.e., NOD.Cg-Rag1tm1Mom Il2rgtm1Wjl Tg[CMV-IL3,CSF2,KITLG]1Eav/J) were imported from Jackson Laboratories. Six to eight week old mice were used for transplantation experiments in this study.
Wild animals	No wild animals were used in this study.
Reporting on sex	Female mice were used as recipients for all experiments in this study.
Field-collected samples	No field-collected samples were used in this study.
Ethics oversight	All mouse experiments were approved by the institutional (QIMR Berghofer) ethics committee protocol A11605M.
	Animals were monitored daily, and always immediately euthanized as soon as a cumulative clinical score of 3 or above was reached, based on weight loss (score $1: > 10 - 20\%$ ; score $2: > 20\%$ or $> 15\%$ that is maintained for $> 72$ hours), posture (score $1:$ hunching noted only at rest; score $2:$ severe hunching), activity (score $1:$ mild to moderately decreased; score $2:$ stationary unless stimulated, hind limb paralysis), and white cell count (score $1: 10-60\times106/ml$ ; score $2: > 60\times106/ml$ ).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Flow Cytometry

# Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

 $\bigotimes$  A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

# Methodology

Sample preparation	Flow Cytometry Analysis of AML PDX: For live- dead cell discrimination, Sytox blue (Invitrogen) was used. For monitoring human AML cell engraftment, 25-50 ul of PB was stained after red blood cell lysis (BD Pharmlyse, BD Biosciences) with anti-human CD45 (H130) and anti-mouse Cd45.1 (A20). For AML phenotyping, cell populations were purified from BM (both femurs and tibiae) or SPL after red blood cell lysis and stained with anti-human CD45 (H130), anti-mouse Cd45.1 (A20), anti-human CD34 (581), anti-human CD33 (WM53), anti-human CD38 (HIT2), and anti-human GPR56 (CG4). For the analysis of normal hematopoiesis, PB and SPL cells were stained after red blood cell lysis with anti-human CD45 (H130), anti-mouse Cd45.1 (A20), anti-human CD19 (HIB19), anti- human CD33 (WM53), and anti-human CD3 (SK7). BM cells were isolated from both femurs and tibiae, red cell lysed, and stained with anti-human CD45 (H130), anti-mouse Cd45.1 (A20), anti-human CD34 (581), anti-human CD38 (HIT2), and anti- CD33 (WM53). For apoptosis analysis, cells were stained with Annexin V (BD Biosciences) in Annexin V binding buffer according to the manufacturer's recommendations. For cell-cycle and DNA damage analysis, cells were fixed and permeabilized (Fix & Perm, GAS-004; Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and incubated with Ki-67 (B56) and gH2AX (20E3; Cell Signaling Technology). Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen) was used at 20 mg/ml in PBS containing 2% fetal bovine serum.
	Before staining, 2x10^5 cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 2% FCS. For G-quadruplex analysis, cells were then fixed and permeabilized using FIX & PERM Cell Permeabilization Kit (GAS-004; Invitrogen) and incubated with Anti-DNA G-quadruplex structures Antibody, clone BG4 (MABE917; Merck Millipore), and 0.2 mg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen).
Instrument	FACS LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences) for analysis; FACS Aria IIIu (BD Biosciences) for sorting
Software	Flowjo version 10.9.0 (Becton Dickinson & Company (BD)
Cell population abundance	For RNAseq analysis of AML cells from imetelstat or vehicle control-treated PDX, human CD45+ murine Cd45.1- viable singlet populations were between 1-90%, with a confirmed purity of at least 90% after sorting.
	For RNAseq analysis, mutational sequencing and TRF analysis of primary AML patient samples, human CD45+ human CD3- cell populations were between 20-95% with confirmed purity of at least 90% after sorting.
Gating strategy	Peripheral blood donor chimerism flow cytometry: Frequencies of cell populations containing viable singlets (sytox blue-) having stained either positive for CD45 AF647 (patient

donor cells) or Cd45.1 PE (recipient mouse cells) were obtained and used to calculate donor chimerism percentages.

AML phenotyping flow cytometry:

CD45 FITC+ viable singlets were gated on CD33 APC to assess the percentages of myeloid cells, CD34 PE and CD38 APC-Cy7 to assess AML stemness/differentiation, and GPR56 PE-Cy7 as recently described AML stemness marker.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.