

---

## Publisher Correction: The curious case of the test set AUROC

---

Correction to: *Nature Machine Intelligence*  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-024-00817-7>,  
published online 4 April 2024.

---

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-024-00834-6>

---

Published online: 12 April 2024

---

 Check for updates

---

**Michael Roberts** , **Alon Hazan**, **Sören Dittmer** , **James H. F. Rudd**  &  
**Carola-Bibiane Schönlieb**

---

In the version of the article initially published, in the “Single-dataset discrepancy scores” section, the sentence now reading “Samples that have  $y = 1$  will be termed the positive class and those with  $y = 0$  are the negative class” previously read “...with  $y = 1$  are the negative class.” Additionally, in the caption to Fig. 2 the descriptions of panels a and b and callouts in the text were swapped. These errors have now been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.

© Springer Nature Limited 2024