communications physics

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-023-01271-4

OPEN

Single atom in a superoscillatory optical trap

Hamim Mahmud Rivy¹, Syed A. Aljunid¹, Emmanuel Lassalle^{3,6}, Nikolay I. Zheludev^{2,4} & David Wilkowski^{1,2,5 \lemma}}

Optical tweezers have become essential tools to manipulate atoms or molecules at a single particle level. However, using standard diffraction-limited optical systems, the transverse size of the trap is lower bounded by the optical wavelength, limiting the application range of optical tweezers. Here we report trapping of single ultracold atom in an optical trap that can be continuously tuned from a standard Airy focus to a subwavelength hotspot smaller than the usual Abbe's diffraction limit. The hotspot was generated using the effect of super-oscillations, by the precise interference of multiple free-space coherent waves. We argue that superoscillatory trapping and continuous potential tuning offer not only a way to generate compact and tenable ensembles of trapped atoms for quantum simulators but will also be useful in single molecule quantum chemistry and the study of cooperative atom-photon interactions within subwavelength arrays of quantum emitters.



¹ Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, 117543 Singapore, Singapore, ² Centre for Disruptive Photonic Technologies, The Photonics Institute, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore, ³ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, Institut Fresnel, F-13013 Marseille, France. ⁴ Optoelectronics Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ⁵ MajuLab, International Joint Research Unit IRL 3654, CNRS, Université Côte d'Azur, Sorbonne Université, National University of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, ⁶Present address: Institute of Materials Research and Engineering (IMRE), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), 2 Fusionopolis Way, Innovis #08-03, Singapore 138634, Republic of Singapore. ^{Semanil: david.wilkowski@ntu.edu.sg}

ptical trap is a pivotal instrument of quantum physics that allows the creation of one, two and threedimensional arrays of ultracold atoms^{1,2} or molecules³, to explore cooperative light-matter interactions^{4–8}, topological quantum optics phenomena^{9,10}, optical clocks^{11,12}, quantum chemistry at a single-molecule level^{13,14}, quantum simulators^{15,16} and computers with Rydberg atomic states^{17–20}.

The performances of an optical trap depend on the ability to reduce its transverse size, such that one can optimally control the relative position of the atom and eventually get an inter-traps distance as small and precise as possible. The size of a conventional diffraction-limited trap can be defined by the Abbe radius of its optical hotspot $r_A = \lambda/2$ NA, where λ is the laser wavelength and NA is the numerical aperture of the lens. However, it is possible to reduce the size of the hotspot beyond Abbe's limit using the phenomenon of superoscillations that allows the bandlimited function to locally oscillate faster than its highest Fourier component²¹. Superoscillation fields can show subwavelength spatial variations of the intensity and phase of light, and also contain phase singularities and zones of energy backflow^{22,23}. Recently, superoscillation phenomena were used in superresolution imaging and optical metrology^{24,25}. Here we report the optical trapping of a single atom in a superoscillatory optical trap where the transverse size is subwavelength and below the Abbe's limit by a factor 0.69(3).

Results

Initially, Cs atoms are cooled and trapped in a magneto-optical trap (MOT) operating on the D₂-line, at $\lambda = 852$ nm, as sketched in Fig. 1. The MOT consists of three orthogonal pairs of counter-propagating red-detuned laser (green arrows) crossing at the null position of a magnetic-field quadrupole. The combined effect of resonant light scattering and magnetic-field gradient leads to the cooling and trapping of the atomic gas to the center of the MOT (see "Methods").

An optical trap made of a focused far-off-resonant laser beam at $\lambda = 1064$ nm is located at the center of the MOT (brown focused beam in Fig. 1). The transverse profile of the trapping beam is shaped thanks to reconfigurable amplitude and phase masks, generated by a pair of conjugated spatial light modulators (SLMs)²⁶, see Fig. 2a. A long working distance optical microscope (focal: 20.4 mm) with a numerical aperture NA = 0.43 focuses the beam into the vacuum chamber hosting the MOT (see Supplementary Note 1). The SLMs, together with this focusing lens allow a continuous transformation of the trap potential from a conventional Airy profile to a narrower superoscillatory hotspot. A second optical microscope, confocal with the focusing lens, and a third lens form a ×75 magnification optical system used to image the profile into a CCD camera. Indeed, as the superoscillatory hotspot is formed by free-space waves, it can be imaged by a conventional lens without loss of resolution. Two profiles, whose trapping performances will be later compared, are shown in Fig. 2b, c. The superoscillatory profile is characterized by a subwavelength hotspot with an Abbe radius of $0.85(3) \,\mu\text{m} = 0.80(3) \,\lambda = 0.69(3) \,r_A$, namely below the Abbe's limit (see "Methods"). In contrast, the Airy hotspot has a radius of $1.34(3) \,\mu\text{m} = 1.26(3) \,\lambda = 1.09(3) \,r_A$, just above the Abbe's limit, indicating a good control of the optical-system aberrations. The superoscillatory profile is characterized by a subwavelength hotspot and a more visible diffraction ring than usually obtained with an Airy profile²⁶. The Airy-trap power is 23 mW. Because of the amplitude mask, the total power of the superoscillatory field is reduced to 8 mW. We measure 1.1 mW within the hotspot, corresponding to 14% of the total power. The remaining optical power is spread into the outer rings.



Fig. 1 A superoscillatory trap for a single cold atom. The trap is a combination of a magneto-optical trap consisting of a pair of anti-Helmholtz coils and six circularly polarized beams red-detuned with respect to the Cs D₂-line at 852 nm (green arrows) and a superoscillatory trap beam at the wavelength $\lambda = 1064$ nm having a subwavelength hotspot located at the position of the cloud of cold atoms. The superoscillatory mask profile is generated by spatial light modulators, transported to the pupil entrance of a long focal distance microscope objective located outside the vacuum chamber (see Fig. 2a), and focused on the cloud of cold atoms. The image of the superoscillatory hotspot is obtained using a 75× imaging system (see Fig. 2a). The 852-nm fluorescent photons (wiggling arrows) are collected on a photodetector (see Fig. 2a) and used to analyze the performances of the trap.

Characterizations and diagnostics of the population in the optical trap are undertaken via the dynamics of the fluorescence emission at 852 nm, detected by an avalanche photodiode through the second optical microscope and a dichroic mirror to reject the 1064 nm field (see Fig. 2a and Supplementary Note 1). In the inset of Fig. 3a, we show a typical time dependence of the fluorescence recorded for the Airy hotspot profile indicating the dynamics of the atomic population in the trap, with two well-separated plateaus. It leads to a histogram of the count occurrence with two distinct distributions at a mean count of 30 and 330 per 20 ms integration time corresponding to the noise level of the detector and the presence of a single atom in the trap with a probability of ~30%, respectively (see Fig. 3a). We note that larger atom numbers in the optical trap are suppressed by collisional blockade mechanisms^{27,28}.

We did not observe direct loading in the superoscillatory pattern, including the hotspot and the outer ring from the cold atom cloud. This is likely due to the small trap volume of the hotspot and the weak trapping depth of the outer ring. An abrupt reconfiguration of the trap from the Airy to superoscillatory profile also rarely results in keeping the trapped atom. However, a multi-step quasi-adiabatic reconfiguration of the initial Airy trap allows a transfer to the superoscillatory hotspot. In practice, using two intermediate transverse profiles is enough to obtain a transfer from Airy to superoscillatory trap with a probability close to unity. Since the Airy profile does not overlap with the superoscillatory outer rings, we can safely rule out transfer and trapping outside the super-oscillatory hotspot. Measured by switching off the MOT, the lifetime of the atom in the superoscillatory trap is characterized by a 1/e decay time of $\tau = 1.8(3)$ s (Fig. 3b, blue open circle). With the



Fig. 2 Generation of the superoscillatory optical trap. a Schematic of the optical setup. A 1064 nm laser is focused at the cold-atoms cloud position using a microscope objective. The amplitude and the phase transverse profiles are shaped by two optically conjugated spatial light modulators, labeled SLM_A and SLM_P, respectively. The beam profile is imaged on a CCD camera using a ×75 magnification optical system made of a second microscope objective and a lens. A dichroic mirror (DM) is used to separate the 1064 nm beam from the atomic fluorescence. The latter is sent to an Avalanche Photodiode (APD) in a photon counting mode. **b** Normalized image and size of the airy profile. **c** Normalized image of the superoscillatory profile, and size of the hotspot.

Airy profile, the lifetime of the atom in the hotspot has a similar 1/e decay time of $\tau = 2.1(3)$ s (red open diamonds). In addition, we found that in the Airy profile configuration, the lifetime weakly depends on the trapping-laser power indicating that the loss mechanism is likely dominated by the collision with the thermal background gas. We note that the adiabatic transfer from Airy to superoscillatory profile takes 300 ms, whereas the transfer from superoscillatory to Airy trap takes 90 ms. Taking the total transfer time of 390 ms into account in the lifetime, the 1/e decay extrapolation at the time origin shows a survival probability close to unity for both Airy and superoscillatory hotspot. It indicates that no extra significant losses occur during the transfer from Airy to the superoscillatory trap.

We evaluate the transverse trapping frequency by modulating the light power of the trapping beam. If the frequency of modulation is twice the trapping frequency, the atom gains kinetic energy parametrically, shortening its survival probability. Figure 3c shows a dip of the survival probability at ~100 kHz, thus corresponding to a trapping frequency of the superoscillatory trap of f_{SH} ~50 kHz. A measurement on the Airy hotspot gives a trapping frequency of f_{AH} ~75 kHz. We note that the trapping frequency scales as $f \sim \sqrt{P}/\sigma$, where *P* is the optical power and σ is the trap characteristic transverse cross-section. Thus, we expect f_{AH}/f_{SH} ~1.8, in reasonable agreement with the measurements (see "Methods").

The survival probability was measured by switching off the superoscillatory trap laser for a short time and is presented in Fig. 3d. To evaluate the effective temperature, we matched the survival-probability dependence with a simulation assuming a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of atomic velocities. The inset shows the effective temperature in the Airy and superoscillatory traps as a function of the MOT laser power. At minimal powers, it reaches $6(1) \mu K$ with Airy profile and $1.5(5) \mu K$ with the

superoscillatory profile. The temperature reduction observed in the superoscillatory hotspot is likely due to adiabatic cooling when transferring the atom from the Airy to the superoscillatory trap²⁹ (see "Methods").

Conclusion

In summary, we reported the first realization of a subwavelength single ultracold atom trap with a superoscillatory optical field, which is the smallest trap in the linear regime demonstrated so far. The atom reaches a minimale effective temperature of about 1.5 μ K and a lifetime of about 1.8 s, limited by the residual background pressure.

Our superoscillatory hotspot reduces the area of transverse localization of the atom by a factor of 2.5 in comparison with an Airy profile trap for the same bandwidth of the optical system. Further reduction of the superoscillatory hotspot size could be realized using more detailed engineering of the field transverse profile²⁴ and larger optical power. In addition, nonlinear response on a three-level atomic scheme has been either explored to create subwavelength lattice traps³⁰, and subwavelength lattice spacing³¹ or proposed for optical nanotweezers³². Similar approaches could be also implemented using a superoscillatory optical trap to further reduce the trap size.

We measured a transverse trapping frequency of ~50 kHz for a superoscillatory hotspot with 1.1 mW power. However, since a larger power is available for the Airy hotspot, the trapping frequency remains larger for that configuration, leading to an overall better confinement. Nevertheless, we argue that in many applications the trapping-laser peak intensity is the limiting factor, for example to avoid spontaneous photon emission or multiphotonic absorption induced by the trapping field. In those cases, superoscillatory traps have a clear advantage over the Airy profile traps as they allow smaller trap sizes and thus, similar



Fig. 3 Dynamics of a single atom in a superoscillatory optical trap. a A histogram and a time dependent signal (inset) of the fluorescent photons integrated in 20 ms time intervals. **b** The survival probability of an atom in the optical trap for superoscillatory (blue circles) with a hotspot power of 1.1 mW, and Airy profile (red diamonds) with a hotspot power of 3 mW as function of the switch-off time of the MOT beams. The blue and the red curve are exponential decay fits of the superoscillatory and Airy trap with similar trap depth, respectively. The black vertical dashed line at t = 0.39 s indicates the total time of the transfer sequence from the Airy to superoscillatory profiles and vice versa (see Supplementary Note 2). **c** The survival probability of an atom in the superoscillatory optical trap versus the modulation frequency of the trap beam power indicating a trapping frequency of ~50 kHz. Outside the resonance, the survival probability reads 0.76(7), where the error corresponds to one standard deviation. **d** The survival probability of an atom in the superoscillatory trap after switching off the trap beam for a time *t* (blue circles). The dashed, plain and dashed-dotted red curves show results of the survival probability modeled for an effective atomic temperature of 1.5 μ K, 2.5 μ K, and 3.5 μ K respectively. We then deduce an effective temperature of 2.5(10) μ K here, for an optical power per MOT beam of 130 μ W. The inset shows the effective temperature of the atom in the superoscillatory (blue circles) and Airy hotspot (red diamond) as functions of optical power per MOT beam. The error bars represent one standard deviation of the mean. **b**-**d** Each data point corresponds to the averaged survival probability over 80 runs. The standard deviation is then estimated to be ~0.1.

trapping frequency at lower laser intensity. Superoscillatory traps can find applications when compact and tunable ensembles of trapped atoms are needed for quantum simulation of many-body effects, cooperative quantum metasurfaces, and for singlemolecule quantum chemistry.

Creation of standard optical trap arrays with Gaussian beams is characterised by the absence of outer ring¹. With superoscillatory fields, the presence of the optical field outside the hotspot is unavoidable. So far, superoscillatory fields are generated with super-resolution imaging applications in mind, meaning that the figure of merit, besides having the smallest spot, consists in pushing the outer ring as far as possible from the hotspot, regardless of the resulting spatial distribution of the field³³. For a hotspot array, one might need a new approach where the optical field outside the hotspot shall be spatially spread to reduce the peak energy density in outer rings. For practical realization of a hotspot array, one can envision to create an optical trap array with Gaussian beams, which can be transferred in a hotspot array as discussed in this communication. The latter could then be adiabatically compressed to form a subwavelength array. To avoid spurious interference, one could consider alternatively turning on neighboring sites, in the same spirit as ref. ¹⁶.

Methods

Magneto-optical trap (MOT). The Cs MOT is generated using three quasiorthogonal retro-reflected optical beams. The beams are detuned by -4Γ from the $6S_{1/2}$ (F = 4) $\rightarrow 6P_{3/2}$ (F = 5) D₂-line, where $\Gamma/2\pi = 5.2$ MHz is the transition linewidth. The usual optical power per beam is 130 µW with a beam waist of ~750 µm, leading to an on-resonance free-space saturation parameter of $s_0 \sim 13$. A repumper beam (total optical power 3 mW), tuned on resonance with the $65_{1/2}~(F=3) \rightarrow 6P_{3/2}~(F=4)$ transition, is overlapped with the cooling beams. A pair of coils in an anti-Helmholtz configuration generates a magnetic-field gradient of 3.5 G/cm. The thermal Cs vapor is obtained from a dispenser that we occasionally operate at 2.5 A to maintain the background Cs vapor at a low pressure.

Determination of the optical trap profile. The Airy and superoscillatory profiles are collected on a CCD camera through a ×75 imaging system (see Fig. 2a). The images are fitted with an Airy disk pattern, to extract the Abbe radius. For a diffraction-limited spot, the Abbe radius is $r_A = \lambda/2NA = 1.15 \lambda = 1.24 \mu m$, with $\lambda = 1064 nm$. For the Airy profile, we find $1.34 \mu m = 1.09 r_A$, which is very close to the diffraction limit. For the superoscillatory field, we get a subwavelength spot size of 0.85 $\mu m = 0.69 r_A$, well below the Abbe's limit. See Supplementary Note 1 for more details.

Determination of the temperature. The effective temperature of the atom in the optical trap is estimated using a release and recapture technique²⁹. It consists of measuring the survival probability after switching off the optical trap for a variable time. We compare the experimental data with a release and trap simulation where the trap has an Airy disk shape with a radial form

 $U(r) = -U_0 \left[2r_{Am}J_1(\pi r/r_{Am})/\pi r \right]^2$, where $J_1(x)$ is the Bessel function of the firstkind, r_{Am} is the measured Abbe radius and $U_0 = 500 \, k_B \mu K$ is the expected trap depth for a power of 1.1 mW in the superoscillatory hotspot, k_B being the Boltzmann constant. Since the superoscillatory tri-dimensional (3D) profile has a needle shape along the laser propagation axis³³, we assume that the atom can be lost only through its dynamic into the transverse plane. Here, we reduce the general 3D problem into a 1D problem, considering the transverse radial direction of the trap. Then, we perform a statistically relevant number of trials, and we consider that the atom has left the trap either if $\nu t \ge r_1$ ($r_1 \approx 1.22 \, r_{Am}$ is the radius of the first zero of the Bessel function) or if the classical mechanical energy of the atom after switching on the trap is positive, namely $mv^2/2 + U(vt) > 0$, where t is the switch-off time and v is the initial radial velocity. The Cartesian transverse components of velocity v_i (I = x, y) are random Gaussian variables with $\langle v_i \rangle = 0$, and $\langle v_i^2 \rangle = k_B T/m$, where T and m are the temperature and the atom's mass, respectively. Since $T \ll k_B U_0$, we disregard the initial spatial dispersion of the atom.

Adiabatic cooling. We transfer in a quasi-adiabatic manner the atom from the Airy to the superoscillatory hotspot, and we observe a reduction of the temperature by a factor ranging from two to three (see inset of Fig. 3d). Moreover, it was shown that the reduction of temperature during adiabatic cooling is proportional to the reduction of the trapping frequency²⁹. In our system, we estimate the reduction of the trapping frequency as such. During the transfer, the optical trap transverse size is reduced by a factor of ~1.6, whereas the power is reduced by a factor ~21, with 23 mW in the Airy hotspot and 1.1 mW in the superoscillatory hotspot. Hence, while transferring the atom from Airy to the superoscillatory profile, the transverse trapping frequency is expected to reduce by a factor $\sim \sqrt{21}/1.6^2 \approx 1.8$, whereas a direct measurement of the trapping frequency using the modulation of the trapping beam amplitude technique gives a reduction of ~1.5 in reasonable agreement with the expected value. Longitudinally, we expect a more pronounced reduction of the trapping frequency, because of the needle shape of the superoscillatory hotspot³³. Even if we do not have a precise idea of the reduction of the longitudinal trapping frequency and its impact on adiabatic cooling, we note that the reduction of the averaged trapping frequency is in the same order of magnitude as the temperature reduction. It confirms the dominant role of adiabatic cooling during the transfer from the Airy to the superoscillatory hotspot.

Data availability

Data are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Received: 20 October 2022; Accepted: 17 June 2023; Published online: 29 June 2023

References

- Barredo, D., de Léséleuc, S., Lienhard, V., Lahaye, T. & Browaeys, A. An atomby-atom assembler of defect-free arbitrary two-dimensional atomic arrays, *Science* 354, 1021–1023 (2016).
- Kaufman, A. M. & Ni, K.-K. Quantum science with optical tweezer arrays of ultracold atoms and molecules,. *Nat. Phys.* 17, 1324–1333 (2021).
- Anderegg, L. et al. An optical tweezer array of ultracold molecules. *Science* 365, 1156–1158 (2019).
- Bettles, R. J., Gardiner, S. A. & Adams, C. S. Enhanced optical cross section via collective coupling of atomic dipoles in a 2D array. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116, 103602 (2016).
- Facchinetti, G., Jenkins, S. D. & Ruostekoski, J. Storing light with subradiant correlations in arrays of atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 117, 243601 (2016).
- Shahmoon, E., Wild, D. S., Lukin, M. D. & Yelin, S. F. Cooperative resonances in light scattering from two-dimensional atomic arrays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118, 113601 (2017).
- Ballantine, K. E. & Ruostekoski, J. Optical magnetism and Huygens surfaces in arrays of atoms induced by cooperative responses. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 125, 143604 (2020).
- Ballantine, K. E., Wilkowski, D. & Ruostekoski, J. Optical magnetism and wavefront control by arrays of strontium atoms. *Phys. Rev. Res.* 4, 033242 (2022).
- Perczel, J. et al. Topological quantum optics in two-dimensional atomic arrays. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119, 023603 (2017).
- Bettles, R. J., Minář, J., Adams, C. S., Lesanovsky, I. & Olmos, B. Topological properties of a dense atomic lattice gas. *Phys. Rev. A* 96, 041603 (2017).
- Norcia, M. A. et al. Seconds-scale coherence on an optical clock transition in a tweezer array. *Science* 366, 93–97 (2019).
- 12. Madjarov, I. S. et al. An atomic-array optical clock with single-atom readout. *Phys. Rev. X* 9, 041052 (2019).
- Liu, L. R. et al. Building one molecule from a reservoir of two atoms. *Science* 360, 900–903 (2018).
- 14. Cairncross, W. B. et al. Assembly of a rovibrational ground state molecule in an optical tweezer. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 123402 (2021).
- Kaufman, A. M. et al. Two-particle quantum interference in tunnel-coupled optical tweezers. *Science* 345, 306–309 (2014).
- Spar, B. M., Guardado-Sanchez, E., Chi, S., Yan, Z. Z. & Bakr, W. S. Realization of a Fermi-Hubbard optical tweezer array. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 128, 223202 (2022).

- Saffman, M. Quantum computing with atomic qubits and Rydberg interactions: progress and challenges. J. Phys. B. Mol. Opt. Phys. 49, 202001 (2016).
- Levine, H. et al. High-fidelity control and entanglement of Rydberg-atom qubits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 123603 (2018).
- Jenkins, A., Lis, J. W., Senoo, A., McGrew, W. F. & Kaufman, A. M. Ytterbium nuclear-spin qubits in an optical tweezer array. *Phys. Rev. X* 12, 021027 (2022).
- 20. Ma, S. et al. Universal gate operations on nuclear spin qubits in an optical tweezer array of Yb 171 atoms. *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021028 (2022).
- Berry, M. V. & Popescu, S. Evolution of quantum superoscillations and optical superresolution without evanescent waves. J. Phys. Math. Gen. 39, 6965–6977 (2006).
- Huang, F. M. & Zheludev, N. I. Super-resolution without evanescent waves. Nano Lett. 9, 1249–1254 (2009).
- Yuan, G., Rogers, E. T. F. & Zheludev, N. I. 'Plasmonics' in free space: observation of giant wavevectors, vortices, and energy backflow in superoscillatory optical fields. *Light Sci. Appl.* 8, 2 (2019).
- Zheludev, N. I. & Yuan, G. Optical superoscillation technologies beyond the diffraction limit. Nat. Rev. Phys. 4, 16–32 (2022).
- Liu, T. et al. Picophotonic localization metrology beyond thermal fluctuations. Nat. Mater. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-023-01543-y (2023).
- Rogers, E. T. F. & Zheludev, N. I. Optical super-oscillations: sub-wavelength light focusing and super-resolution imaging. J. Opt. 15, 094008 (2013).
- Schlosser, N., Reymond, G. & Grangier, P. Collisional blockade in microscopic optical dipole traps. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 023005 (2002).
- Fung, Y. H. & Andersen, M. F. Efficient collisional blockade loading of a single atom into a tight microtrap. N. J. Phys. 17, 073011 (2015).
- Tuchendler, C., Lance, A. M., Browaeys, A., Sortais, Y. R. P. & Grangier, P. Energy distribution and cooling of a single atom in an optical tweezer. *Phys. Rev. A* 78, 033425 (2008).
- 30. Wang, Y. et al. Dark state optical lattice with a subwavelength spatial structure. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 083601 (2018).
- Tsui, T.-C., Wang, Y., Subhankar, S., Porto, J. V. & Rolston, S. L. Realization of a stroboscopic optical lattice for cold atoms with subwavelength spacing. *Phys. Rev. A* 101, 041603 (2020).
- 32. Bienias, P. et al. Coherent optical nanotweezers for ultracold atoms. *Phys. Rev.* A **102**, 013306 (2020).
- Rogers, E. T. F. et al. A super-oscillatory lens optical microscope for subwavelength imaging. *Nat. Mater.* 11, 432–435 (2012).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Eng Aik Chan for his early contribution to the experimental setup and Edward T.F. Rogers for his help on implementing the superoscillation optical system. DW thanks Steven Touzard for fruitful discussions. EL thanks Institut Fresnel (via Fonds pour la Science 2018) and Nanyang Technological University for supporting his stay in Singapore. This work was supported by the Center for Quantum Technologies funding Grant No. R-710-002-016271, the Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 3 Grant No. MOE2016-T3-1006(S), the Singapore Ministry of Education Academic Research Fund Tier 1 Grant No. RG160/19(S) and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, UK (grant numbers EP/ T02643X/1).

Author contributions

D.W. and N.I.Z. conceived the idea of a superoscillatory atomic trap and supervised the work. H.R., E.L., and S.A. built up the experiment and collected the experimental data. H.R., S.A., and D.W. analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-023-01271-4.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to David Wilkowski.

Peer review information *Communications Physics* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023