communications physics

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-023-01227-8

OPEN

Activating cavity by electrons

Lorenz S. Cederbaum [™] & Jacqueline Fedyk¹

The interaction of atoms and molecules with quantum light as realized in cavities has become a highly topical and fast growing research field. This interaction leads to hybrid light-matter states giving rise to new phenomena and opening up pathways to control and manipulate properties of the matter. Here, we substantially extend the scope of the interaction by allowing free electrons to enter the cavity and merge and unify the two active fields of electron scattering and quantum-light-matter interaction. In the presence of matter, hybrid metastable states are formed at electron energies of choice. The properties of these states depend strongly on the frequency and on the light-matter coupling of the cavity. The incoming electrons can be captured by the matter inside the cavity solely due to the presence of the cavity. The findings are substantiated by an explicit example and general consequences are discussed.



¹Theoretische Chemie, Physikalisch-Chemisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 229, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany. ^{Kale}email: Lorenz. Cederbaum@pci.uni-heidelberg.de

he energy levels of atoms and molecules change dramatically in confined spaces like that inside a cavity due to the coupling of matter excitations with the quantized radiation field. By this coupling hybrid light-matter states are formed opening up new pathways to manipulate and even control static and dynamic properties of the matter. Much work has been published reporting many possibilities to amplify or suppress available mechanisms and even to induce new ones by the presence of the quantized radiation field. Among the many examples reported, we mention here the possibilities to enhance energy transfer^{1,2} and charge transfer^{2–5}, to strongly vary the rate of spontaneous emission⁶, to enhance or to completely suppress interatomic Coulombic decay⁷, to control photochemical reactivity^{8–10}, to control chemical reactions by varying the quantized field^{11–15}, and to induce new molecular non-adiabatic processes not available in free space^{13,16–22}.

Incoming electrons are known to interact strongly with atoms and molecules in particular at kinetic energies at which the electrons temporarily attach to them forming so called resonances or metastable states 23-25. The palette of phenomena due to resonances is very large, has been widely studied and is still an active field of research. The resonances show up in electron scattering experiments as enhancements of the scattering cross section at characteristic energies with widths typical for the lifetime of the resonance in question. In the case of molecules, many kinds of reactions take place of which we would like to mention only a few. May be the most important reaction is by a process called dissociative electron attachment²⁵⁻²⁷, where an incoming electron first forms a resonance state with the molecule leading to the fragmentation of the molecule and the production of an anion as one of the fragments. This mechanism has been shown to play an important role in the atmosphere and in strand breaking of DNA in radiation damage^{28,29}. A recent example is the production of molecular oxygen from carbon dioxide³⁰. In another kind of reactions involving an electron and a molecule in a metastable compound, called bond breaking by a catalytic electron, the formed resonance dissociates into nonradical neutral molecular subunits and a free electron, which plays the role of a catalyst^{31–33}. In the resonance, the activation energy of a chemical reaction can be lowered enabling new reactions. An example is the barrierless path for the cis-trans isomerization of maleonitrile formed on the resonance surface where the electron leaves the system after yielding the desired isomer³⁴.

We shall demonstrate that the two areas of research, matter in quantum light and resonant electron scattering, can be merged and unified giving rise to fundamental and intriguing phenomena. We mention that the term quantum light is also used in different fields of research^{35,36}. As one may anticipate that the resonance phenomena known in the absence of quantum light will be modified by the presence of this light, we concentrate in this first work on the appearance of a to the best of our knowledge new type of resonances which is only present in quantum light.

To easily distinguish between atomic and molecular resonances known in the absence of quantum light and resonances which may appear due to the presence of the quantum light, we would like to call the former *natural resonances* and the latter *quantum light-induced resonances*. Whenever unambiguous, the name *light-induced resonances* is used for brevity.

Results and discussion

Setup and application. We consider an incoming electron of kinetic energy e_p impinging on a target atom or molecule. If this target system does not possess a natural resonance in the vicinity of e_p , the central question arises of how the quantum light can at all induce a resonance. For the ease of discussion we assume,



Fig. 1 Schematic picture of the scenario investigated. An impinging electron of kinetic energy ϵ_p can scatter off a target atom or molecule which is in its ground state of energy E_0^N and possesses *N* electrons. As is the case for most atoms and molecules, the target can bind an electron and become a negative ion (anion) of energy E_0^{N+1} . We shall show that in a cavity of frequency ω_{c_i} the hybrid state formed by the anion and a cavity photon becomes a resonance leading to dramatic features in the scattering cross section of the impinging electron. Moreover, due to the cavity the impinging electron can be captured by the target.

without loss of generality, that the target system is neutral. The scenario we address is depicted schematically in Fig. 1. We shall show below that the hybrid state formed by the anion of the target system and a cavity photon becomes a resonance and mention that nearly all atoms³⁷ and the bulk of molecules^{38,39} possess a stable negative ion. We shall see that impinging electrons of suitable kinetic energy populate this resonance and thus activate the cavity, i.e., induce a cavity photon.

We consider an electronic system of N + 1 electrons in a cavity with a quantized light mode (cavity mode) of frequency ω_c and polarization direction \vec{e}_c . The matter-cavity Hamiltonian reads^{40,41}:

$$H = H_e + \hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + g_0 \vec{e}_c \cdot \vec{d} (\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a}), \tag{1}$$

where H_e is the electronic Hamiltonian of the system, \vec{d} is the dipole operator of the system and g_0 is the coupling strength of the cavity. The quadratic dipole self-energy term is neglected as it is only of relevance for very strong coupling. One should be aware that the eigenstates of H_e include both bound and electron scattering states.

Initially, the target atom or molecule is in its ground state Φ_0^N of energy E_0^N , the incoming electron of momentum p has the kinetic energy $\epsilon_p = p^2/2m$, and the cavity is at rest, i.e., it has zero photons. We shall denote this initial state as $|I, p, 0_c\rangle =$ $|\Phi^{N+1}(p),0_c\rangle$ and its energy is $E_I = E_0^N + \epsilon_p$. The situation is schematically depicted on the left hand side of Fig. 1. Other scattering states are analogously denoted by $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$. In the above Hamiltonian, the matter-cavity interaction term $H_{mc} =$ $g_0 \vec{e}_c \cdot \vec{d}(\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a})$ couples states of the same total number of electrons, but with a different number of cavity photons. As a result, a scattering state $|\Phi^{N+1}(k),0_c
angle$ can couple to a completely different type of state where the N+1 electrons form a bound state Φ_0^{N+1} of energy E_0^{N+1} and the cavity has one photon. This state is denoted by $|\Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c\rangle$ and its energy is $E_0^{N+1} + \hbar\omega_c$. The quantity $E_0^N - E_0^{N+1}$ is the electron affinity of the target. See the right hand side of Fig. 1.

To describe the scattering process and the formation of the matter-cavity hybrid resonance, it is convenient to compute the matrix elements of the so-called T-matrix⁴². In our situation the T-matrix reads

$$T = H_{mc} + H_{mc} (E_I - H_e - \hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + i0^+)^{-1} T, \qquad (2)$$

where 0^+ is a positive infinitesimal. In the space of the scattering states $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$ and the bound hybrid state $|\Phi^{N+1}_0, 1_c\rangle$, the T-matrix for the resonant scattering can be computed in closed form as shown in the methods section (see The resonant T-matrix and the total scattering state). Relevant are two kinds of matrix elements of the T-matrix. The first is between the initial state $|I, p, 0_c\rangle$ introduced above and the final state $|F, p', 0_c\rangle = |\Phi^{N+1}(p'), 0_c\rangle$ of the scattering process and determines the resonant scattering cross section for an incoming electron of momentum p and an outgoing electron of momentum p'. The second is between the initial state $|\Phi^{N+1}_0, 1_c\rangle$ and is related to the processes of capturing the electron by the target. We first concentrate on the scattering and return later to the capture.

Following the derivation in the methods section (see The resonant T-matrix and the total scattering state), the relevant result for resonant scattering takes on the appearance:

$$|\langle F, p', 0_c | T | I, p, 0_c \rangle|^2 = |\gamma_{p'0}|^2 \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - F(\epsilon_p)} \right|^2 |\gamma_{p0}|^2.$$
(3)

This appearance reminds of that of a discrete electronic state of energy ϵ_c embedded in the continuum and interacting with it²⁵. In a cavity, the discrete state's energy is explicitly $\epsilon_c = E_0^{N+1} - E_0^N + \hbar \omega_c$ and describes the electron affinity of the target shifted into the continuum by a $\hbar \omega_c$ photon of the cavity, see Fig. 1. The interaction with the continuum is via the the matter-cavity interaction term H_{mc} and turns the discrete state into a hybrid matter-cavity resonance with a finite lifetime. This interaction gives rise to a complex and energy dependent level shift

$$F(\epsilon_p) = \Delta(\epsilon_p) - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma(\epsilon_p) = \sum_k \frac{|\gamma_{k0}|^2}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k + i0^+}.$$
 (4)

The quantity Δ quantifies the energy shift caused by the interaction matrix elements $\gamma_{k0} = \langle \Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c | H_{mc} | \Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c \rangle$ and Γ determines the lifetime $\tau = \hbar/\Gamma$ of the created resonance. We mention that the real shift function Δ follows from the knowledge of the width function Γ , see ref. ²⁵ and the methods section (The computational model). If the dependence of Γ on the energy can be neglected, the above expression (3) takes on the well known form of a Breit-Wigner cross section^{42,43}.

Show-case example. Although the true potential of the idea proposed here is for molecules, we would like in this first work to accompany the general theory by an explicit and transparent show-case example to illustrate and quantify the findings. We chose the H atom as the target. One-electron potentials are often used to describe the electron attaching to it and forming the H⁻ anion, see ref. ⁴⁴ and references therein. We adopt here the three dimensional potential (in atomic units) employed to compute the photodetachment of H⁻ by strong high-frequency linearly polarized laser pulses⁴⁴

$$V(r) = -V_0 e^{-r^2/r_0^2},$$
(5)

where $V_0 = 0.3831087$ and $r_0 = 2.5026$. This potential supports a single bound state and reproduces its energy and s-wave scattering length in full agreement with accurate variational results. Using this potential, the bound state of s symmetry and



Fig. 2 The shift and width functions Δ and Γ for resonant electron scattering from the H atom in a cavity. The model potential in Eq. (17) has been applied. e_p is the kinetic energy of the impinging electron. Δ and Γ account for the energy shift and decay rate the discrete state depicted on the r.h.s. of Fig. 1 experiences due to its interaction with the continuum. Note that the plots show the quantities Δ/g_0^2 and Γ/g_0^2 which are independent of the coupling strength g_0 of the cavity.

continuum states of p symmetry have been computed numerically within radial boxes of varying size *L*, and with them the coupling elements $\gamma_{k0} = \langle \Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c | H_{mc} | \Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c \rangle$ have been determined. These elements then served to calculate the shift and width functions Δ and Γ . The convergence with respect to the size of the box has been checked. The calculations are described in the methods section (see The computational model).

The computed shift and width functions Δ and Γ are shown in Fig. 2. Inspection of Eq. (16) shows that these quantities characterizing the impact of the continuum on the discrete state, depend quadratically on the coupling strength g_0 of the cavity. While Γ is, except at threshold $\epsilon_p = 0$, a positive function, Δ can take on positive as well as negative values. This implies that the energy ϵ_c of the discrete state can be shifted to higher or lower energies by its interaction with the continuum depending on the value of the photon energy $\hbar \omega_c$, see the denominator in Eq. (3) and text below.

It is common to define the energy of the resonance, E_{res} , at the energetic position where the real part of the denominator of the T-matrix vanishes²⁵. In our case of a quantum light-induced resonance, this definition leads to finding the kinetic energy where $\epsilon_p = \epsilon_c + \Delta(\epsilon_p)$ is fulfilled. In the specific example of the H atom, $\Delta(\epsilon_p)$ is seen in Fig. 2 to vanish at about $\epsilon_p = 0.73$ eV where it changes its sign from being negative at lower and positive at higher values of the energy. Accordingly, the resonance is shifted to lower energies than ϵ_c if $\hbar \omega_c$ is chosen to be smaller than (0.75 + 0.73) = 1.48 eV and to higher energies otherwise. An interesting situation arises if $\hbar\omega_c < 1.48$ eV and the cavity coupling constant g_0 is large. Then, the discrete state can be shifted by the continuum below threshold and become a bound state of an anion and a cavity photon. For more details, see Supplementary Note 2, in particular Fig. S4. We remind that all of these is controlled by the cavity in contrast to the situation in natural resonances where the resonance is an entity given by nature.

Cross sections. To obtain the integral cross section for resonant scattering, one has to integrate the expression (3) over the final angles of the outgoing electron and average over the molecular



Fig. 3 Illustrative examples of the electron scattering cross section for H atom in a cavity. The employed width and shift functions are depicted in Fig. 2. Shown are cross sections for several values of the coupling strength g_0 and photon energy $\hbar \omega_c$ of the cavity. The cross sections are seen to strongly depend on these quantities. $e_c = E_0^{N+1} - E_0^N + \hbar \omega_c$ is the energy of the discrete state above the threshold of the continuum, see Fig. 1. This energy is indicated by a broken vertical line and allows one to better see the impact of the interaction of the discrete state with the continuum turning it into a resonance. The electron affinity of the H atom is 0.754 eV.

orientations. In case a single outgoing wave dominates the scattering, the cross section takes on the simple $appearance^{45}$

$$\sigma = \frac{2\pi}{\epsilon_p} \Gamma(\epsilon_{p'}) \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - F(\epsilon_p)} \right|^2 \Gamma(\epsilon_p).$$
(6)

In our explicit example of resonant scattering from H, the scattering is elastic, i.e., $\epsilon_{p'} = \epsilon_p$. We have kept $\Gamma(\epsilon_{p'})$ for later purposes.

Using the shift and width functions shown in Fig. 2, the respective resonant cross sections are depicted in Fig. 3 for three values of the cavity coupling strength g_0 and two values of the cavity frequency, which lie in the characteristic range of available cavities^{46–49}. Due to the dependence of the shift and width functions on the energy, the cross sections are seen to strongly differ from the typical Lorentzian Breit-Wigner form.

The cross sections are sensitive to the cavity coupling strength as well as to the cavity frequency. Even for the weakest coupling strength, the structure of the cross section is asymmetric for $\hbar\omega_c = 1.5 \text{ eV}$, and becomes Breit-Wigner-like only at higher cavity frequency. For the intermediate and larger values of the coupling strength, it is eye catching that the cross section is not localized energetically around a specific energy, but is rather spread over a broad energy interval. For $\hbar\omega_c = 1.5 \text{ eV}$, at which the shift function nearly vanishes (see text above and Fig. 2), the cross sections are seen to peak close to threshold and much less at the energy of the expected resonance at which the cross section for the weakest coupling strength peaks. The situation is even more pronounced for the larger cavity frequency $\hbar\omega_c = 2.5 \text{ eV}$, where a clear double-peaked cross section is found, one peak at the energy expected for the resonance on the ground of the weak coupling case, and one peak closer to threshold making the cross section to extend over a large energy range.

The found peculiar behavior of the cross section can be understood by examining expression (6) for resonant scattering. The denominator is $[(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - \Delta(\epsilon_p)]^2 + [\Gamma(\epsilon_p)/2]^2$. For small coupling strength g_0 , the cross section is seen to peak around the resonance position E_{res} , i.e., where $E_{res} = \epsilon_c + \Delta(E_{res})$ is fulfilled. The shift and width functions grow quadratically with g_0 and, therefore, as the coupling strength grows, $[(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - \Delta(\epsilon_p)]^2$ can become small at several and even for a range of values of kinetic energies ϵ_p . See Figs. S2 and S3 and the accompanying text in the Supplementary Note 1. Depending on the structure of the width function, the resonant cross section can become substantial also at these values of kinetic energies.

Electron capture probabilities. We now turn to electron capture. Without a cavity an isolated atom can only capture an electron by emitting the excess energy as a photon, a process called photo-recombination widely studied in media with low atomic densities^{50,51}. The capture cross section by photo-recombination is rather small. The capture cross section has been shown to be substantially enhanced by the presence of neighboring atoms and molecules via a process called interatomic Coulombic electron capture^{52,53}. Can the presence of a cavity make electron capture by a single isolated atom or molecule an efficient process?

To answer this basic question we turn to the total scattering state $|\Psi_p^+\rangle$. This state fulfills the well known Lippmann-Schwinger equation^{43,54} which is closely related to the T-matrix equation (11). When calculating the T-matrix, one also obtains the total scattering state. While the projection of the final scattering states $|F, p', 0_c\rangle$ introduced above on the total scattering state $|\Psi_p^+\rangle$, i.e., $|\langle F, p', 0_c | \Psi_p^+ \rangle|^2$, describes the scattering process, the projection of the discrete state $|\Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c\rangle$ on the total scattering state, i.e., $|\langle \Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c | \Psi_p^+ \rangle|^2$, describes the capture of the impinging electron by the target. This quantity is calculated in the methods section (see The computational model). To assess the importance of the probability to capture an electron with kinetic energies in a small energy interval δ_{e_p} around e_p . As shown in the methods section, the capture probability takes on the appearance

$$P_{capture} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_p) \delta_{\epsilon_p}}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - \Delta(\epsilon_p))^2 + (\Gamma(\epsilon_p) + \Gamma_{phot})^2/4}.$$
 (7)

The new quantity Γ_{phot} will be discussed below.

The capture process needs some further discussion. The discrete state is, of course, not an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian and it decays into the continuum as discussed above. However, its projection on the total scattering state $|\Psi_p^+\rangle$ has to be understood as an average value of the occupation of this discrete state and will be found if measurements are made. But there is more to it. The discrete state is a hybrid cavity-matter state and it can also decay by emitting the cavity photon and become a truly bound anion which cannot decay anymore. Indeed, quantum light as realized in nowadays cavities is subject to the finite lifetime of the cavity photon, see, e.g., ref. ⁴⁶. This decay of the cavity photon can be taken into account⁵⁵ by employing Lindblad master equations or, equivalently, by solving the Schrödinger equation for the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian obtained by augmenting the cavity Hamiltonian $\hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \rightarrow \hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} - i \Gamma_{phot}/2$. Inspection of the T-matrix (11), shows how the cavity photon decay rate Γ_{phot} enters the denominator, giving rise to the overall width $(\Gamma(\epsilon_p) +$ Γ_{phot}) of the resonance.

To illustrate the capture of the impinging electron by the H atom, the capture probability $P_{capture}$ is shown in Fig. 4 as a



Fig. 4 Illustrative examples of the electron capture probability by the H atom in a cavity. Shown are capture probabilities for several values of the coupling strength g_0 and photon energy $\hbar\omega_c$ of the cavity. The corresponding scattering cross sections are depicted in Fig. 3. The lifetime of the cavity has been assumed to be 20 fs, i.e., $\Gamma_{photon} = 33$ meV, and the probability relates to electrons in a kinetic energy interval of $\delta_{e_p} = 0.01$ eV. The energetic position of the discrete state embedded in and interacting with the continuum is drawn as a broken vertical line.

function of energy for the same values of the cavity frequencies and cavity coupling strengths as used for the scattering cross sections in Fig. 3. The photon decay rate $\Gamma_{phot} = 33$ meV used corresponds to a cavity photon lifetime of 20 fs discussed for a microcavity⁴⁶. The cavity photon lifetimes differ according to the experimental setup. The most common cavity types are the optical microcavities (Fabry-Pérot cavities), whose lifetimes are in the order of picoseconds⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ (high-Q Fabry-Pérot cavities) or tens of femtoseconds^{46,59,60} depending on the material of the light-trapping mirrors⁶¹, and plasmonic nanocavities with characteristic lifetimes under 10 fs^{47–49,62,63}. The impact of the lifetime is discussed in the Supplementary Note 3. The capture probability is seen to vary strongly with the cavity frequency and with the coupling strength. As explained above, the energy shift $\Delta(\epsilon_p)$ due to the coupling of the discrete state to the continuum mediated by the cavity increases quadratically with the coupling strength g_0 . If the coupling strength is small, ϵ_c will be close to the resonance energy E_{res} and consequently, the electron capture probability will peak close to ϵ_c as can be seen in Fig. 4 (broken vertical line). Unexpectedly to us, the capture is most efficient for the weaker coupling strength. This is related to the fact that the decay width of the resonance $\Gamma(\epsilon_p)$ scales quadratically with the coupling strength, see also Fig. 2. Consequently, the decay of the discrete state into the electron continuum can be made slow for weak coupling and this, in turn, gives rise to a longer lifetime of the resonance and with it to a higher capture probability.

Future prospects. Normally, hybrid states are populated by external light by addressing a polariton. Recently, the possibility to pump the cavity mode with a laser pulse has also been explored computationally^{64,65}. In this work we have shown that the cavity can be activated by electrons. This opens the door to a completely to the best of our knowledge new kind of physics and chemistry.

A hybrid resonance state consisting of an electron attached to the target and a cavity photon is formed and its decaying properties are discussed. This light-induced resonance can decay into the electron continuum and also by loss of a cavity photon thus creating a stable atom or molecule with an extra electron. As the cavity frequency and coupling strength determine the energetic position and decay properties of the light-induced resonance, one can have full control of the resonance and its properties in stark contrast to free atoms and molecules where the resonances and their properties are given by nature.

There is a vast number of applications and phenomena to be expected. A few directions are briefly discussed in the following. Cavities of different kinds are available, like optical cavities and plasmonic nanocavities, and over the last decade, there has been a tremendous progress of quantum cavity technologies, for a review, see, e.g., ref. 66. Depending on the properties of the cavities one can choose suitable scenarios to investigate. For instance, if the cavity frequency available is small, systems with small electron affinities in combination with low electron kinetic energies can be investigated. In general, there are highly interesting phenomena near threshold if a low lying resonance is encountered²⁵, and in cavity we are rather flexible to place the resonance at will. Let us return to the example of the H atom and choose the cavity frequency such that for a given coupling strength the position of the emerging resonance is close to threshold. The calculated cross sections are collected in Fig. 5 for three values of the coupling strength. A resonance close to threshold leads to a high and peaked cross section. In the upper panel the photon energy $\hbar\omega_c = 0.9$ eV creates a light-induced resonance close to threshold for the weakest coupling strength. As seen in the figure, the respective cross section strongly dominates those for the stronger coupling values. It is illuminating to notice that the resonance position follows from the equation $E_{res} = \epsilon_c + \Delta(E_{res})$ which for $\hbar \omega_c = 0.9 \text{ eV}$ has solutions at negative energies for the stronger coupling strengths, i.e., instead of a resonance, a bound hybrid state appears below threshold.

The impact of these bound states on the respective cross sections is much weaker than that of a resonance close to threshold in spite of the larger coupling strengths. The other panels of Fig. 5 demonstrate that one can selectively enhance the cross section for a desired coupling strength. The visualization of the latter equation, a figure showing the respective capture probabilities and additional cross sections are collected in the Supplementary Note 3 (see Figs. S9–S12). A comparison of Fig. 5 which is in the absence of cavity losses and of Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Note 2 which takes account of losses, clearly underlines that the measured cross sections sensitively reflect the cavity photon lifetime for resonances at threshold. This important observation may provide a tool to investigate details of cavity losses.

Anions of most atoms and of many molecules exist only in their electronic ground state, but there are interesting systems, like fullerenes, which possess several excited bound anionic states, see, e.g., ref. ⁶⁷. Accordingly, there can be several light-induced hybrid resonances when in cavity.

These resonances may be close by each other and even overlap within their decay width functions giving rise to interference effects in the cross sections and in the capture probabilities. If they do not overlap, one can in principle populate only one of them selectively and when the cavity photon decays, one is left in a single selected excited state of the anion.

Of course, the atom or molecule in the cavity can also be a positive ion which is neutralized by the electron capture in the cavity. As the neutral system possess infinitely many Rydberg states close to the ionization threshold, there is an infinite



Fig. 5 Illustrative examples of cross sections peaking close to threshold by controlling the cavity frequency for different coupling strengths. The energetic position of the discrete state embedded in and interacting with the continuum is drawn as a broken vertical line. Its energy depends linearly on the cavity frequency. This discrete state is shifted downwards in energy due to its interaction with the continuum and becomes a resonance due to its coupling to the continuum. As the shift depends quadratically on the coupling strength g_0 , one can by choosing the photon energy $\hbar\omega_c$ control the location of the resonance. A resonance close to threshold leads to a high and peaked cross section. The photon energy $\hbar\omega_c = 0.9 \text{ eV}$ brings the resonance for $g_0 = 0.001$ au close to threshold where it dominates the cross sections of the larger coupling strengths. In analogy, the other values of the photon energy shown are chosen to bring the resonance close to threshold for the other values of the coupling strength. See also Fig. S5 in Supplementary Note 2, demonstrating the sensitivity to the cavity photon lifetime for resonances at threshold.

manifold of discrete hybrid states in quantum light made of a Rydberg state and a cavity photon. All these states interact with the electron continuum giving rise to a complex light-induced resonance structure which makes its calculation rather intricate. The resulting electron capture process should take place already at very small cavity photon energies as the Rydberg series converges toward the ionization threshold. Due to the dense Rydberg manifold, we expect the capture to be efficient.

An important issue is the interplay of natural and lightinduced resonances. If the energies of these two kinds of resonances are within their width functions, they will interact and severe interference effects are to be expected. As one can vary the photon energy, it is possible in many cases to bring the lightinduced resonance to the energetic vicinity of the natural resonance and in this way have an impact on the effect of the latter. For example, as discussed in the introduction, molecular resonances can lead to the dissociation of the molecule by dissociative electron attachment, which is a highly important process triggered by a resonance. By creating a light-induced resonance in the vicinity of the natural resonance, one can, in principle, impede the dissociation. The interplay between the resonances will depend on the cavity photon energy and the cavity coupling strength which can be varied to better control the outcome.

Molecules possess internal degrees of freedom, in particular vibrations. The resonant scattering in free molecules leads to severe vibrational excitations and this is expected to also be the case in cavity with the light-induced resonance. The formalism employed here for cavity can be extended to take account of vibrations following the same line as done for free molecules by replacing ϵ_p in the complex shift function $F(\epsilon_p)$ by $\epsilon_p - H_{\nu}$, where H_{ν} is the vibrational Hamiltonian of the target^{25,68}. As a consequence, this basic function becomes an operator in nuclear coordinate space playing the role of an energy dependent and nonlocal potential for the nuclear motion in the resonance which has an impact on observations even far from threshold⁴⁵. Close to threshold there is a plethora of strong effects due to the nonadiabaticity imposed by this operator in particular for molecules with a permanent dipole moment^{25,68-70}. We expect that similar findings will be present for the light-induced resonances, but in contrast to the situation found for the natural resonances, the findings for the latter are controllable.

Last but not least, we mention the arising overwhelming possibilities due to several atoms or molecules in the cavity. Superposition states result which exhibit a wide range of coupling scenarios to the continuum (see the Supplementary Note 4).

Methods

The resonant T-matrix and the total scattering state. The T-matrix describes a scattering process and can be used to calculate the respective cross section as well as the total scattering state^{42,54,71}. For Hamiltonians which can be written as $H = H_0 + H_1$, where H_0 describes the incoming and outgoing scattering states and H_1 the interaction which gives rise to the scattering process, the T-matrix satisfies the following equation

$$T = H_1 + H_1 (E_I - H_0 + i0^+)^{-1} T, (8)$$

where E_I is the initial energy of the scattering process and 0^+ is a positive infinitesimal. The T-matrix can be expressed via the expansion

$$T = H_1 + H_1(E_I - H_0 + i0^+)^{-1}H_1 + \dots,$$
(9)

which can be utilized to compute its elements. In our case of an atom or molecule exposed to quantum light, the Hamiltonian reads^{40,41,72}

$$H = H_e + \hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + g_0 \vec{e}_c \cdot \vec{d} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}), \tag{10}$$

where the various terms are defined in the main text. Identifying the unperturbed Hamiltonian as the electronic part and the cavity photons of the set up with $H_0 = H_e + \hbar \omega_e \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}$, and the interaction between them with $H_1 = H_{mc} = g_0 \vec{e}_c \cdot \vec{d}(\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a})$, leads to

$$\Gamma = H_{mc} + H_{mc} (E_I - H_e - \hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + i0^{+})^{-1} T.$$
(11)

As discussed in the main text, initially, the target atom or molecule is in its ground electronic state Φ_0^N of energy E_0^N , the incoming electron of momentum p has the kinetic energy $\epsilon_p = p^2/2m$, and the cavity is at rest and has zero photons. This initial state is denoted as $|I, p, 0_c\rangle = |\Phi^{N+1}(p), 0_c\rangle$ and its energy is $E_I = E_0^N + \epsilon_p$. Other scattering states, where the electron has the energy ϵ_k are analogously denoted by $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$. The matter-cavity interaction H_{mc} couples states of the same total number of electrons, but with a different number of cavity photons. As a result, a scattering state $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$ can couple to a completely different type of state where the N+1 electrons form a bound state Φ_0^{N+1} of energy E_0^{N+1} and the cavity has one photon. This state is denoted by $|\Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c\rangle$ and its energy is $E_0^{N+1} + \hbar \omega_c$. The quantity $E_0^N - E_0^{N+1}$ is nothing but the electron affinity of the target. As discussed in the main text, the coupling between the two kinds of states, the scattering states $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$ and the bound state $|\Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c\rangle$, can turn the latter state into a resonance which has a finite lifetime and decays into the electronic continuum. This resonance is a hybrid matter-light resonance and can be born only due to the presence of the quantum light. In the main text it has been called quantum light-induced resonance or briefly light-induced resonance and as

nowadays quantum light is realized in a cavity, we may also call it cavity-induced resonance.

In this work we are interested in the impact of this to the best of our knowledge new kind of resonances on scattering and electron capture process and investigate the T-matrix for resonant scattering. We assume that the target atom or molecule itself does not possess intrinsic resonances (called natural resonances) in the relevant energy range in order to demonstrate this impact clearly. If the target does have natural resonances in the energy range investigated, the situation is particularly noteworthy as there is a controlled interplay or competition between the induced and natural resonance. Controlled, because in contrast to the natural resonance by varying the quantum light frequency ω_c and coupling strength g_0 . The interplay between the induced and natural resonant is addressed in the main text but goes beyond the present context. To calculate the resonant scattering process, we utilize the expansion (9) in the space of $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$ and $|\Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c\rangle$ which are eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 .

We introduce the interaction matrix elements

$$\gamma_{k0} = \langle \Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c | H_{mc} | \Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c \rangle,$$

$$= g_0 d_{10},$$
(12)

and mention that they are linear functions of the coupling strength and the dipole transition moment d_{k0} between the anionic state Φ_0^{N+1} and a continuum state $\Phi^{N+1}(k)$ with *k* being parallel to the polarization direction \vec{e}_c of the cavity mode. Applying the T-matrix to the initial state $|I, p, 0_c\rangle = |\Phi^{N+1}(p), 0_c\rangle$ also provides the total scattering state $|\Psi_n^+\rangle$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\Psi_{p}^{+}\right\rangle &= \left|I, p, 0_{c}\right\rangle + \left(E_{I} - H_{e} - \hbar\omega_{c}\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + i0^{+}\right)^{-1}H_{mc}|\Psi_{p}^{+}\rangle, \\ &= \left|I, p, 0_{c}\right\rangle + \left(E_{I} - H_{e} - \hbar\omega_{c}\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + i0^{+}\right)^{-1}T|I, p, 0_{c}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$
(13)

and note that the expansion (9) actually also provides the total scattering state. Employing the expansion one finds

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma|I, p, 0_{c}\rangle &= \left|\Phi_{0}^{N+1}, 1_{c}\rangle\gamma_{0p} + \sum_{k} \left|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_{c}\rangle\gamma_{k0}(\epsilon_{p} - \epsilon_{c} + i0^{+})^{-1}\gamma_{0p} + ..., \right. \\ \left. |\Psi_{p}^{+}\rangle &= \left|I, p, 0_{c}\rangle + \left|\Phi_{0}^{N+1}, 1_{c}\rangle(\epsilon_{p} - \epsilon_{c} + i0^{+})^{-1}\gamma_{0p} + \\ &+ \sum_{k} \left|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_{c}\rangle(\epsilon_{p} - \epsilon_{k} + i0^{+})^{-1}\gamma_{k0}(\epsilon_{p} - \epsilon_{c} + i0^{+})^{-1}\gamma_{0p} + ..., \right. \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(14)$$

where $\epsilon_c = E_0^{N+1} - E_0^N + \hbar \omega_c$ and $E_0^N - E_0^{N+1}$ is the electron affinity of the target. As shown in refs. ^{25,73}, these series can be summed up exactly. The result reads

$$T | I, p, 0_c \rangle = \sum_k | \Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c \rangle \gamma_{k0} (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - F(\epsilon_p))^{-1} \gamma_{0p} + + | \Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c \rangle F(\epsilon_p) (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - F(\epsilon_p))^{-1} \gamma_{0p} | \Psi_p^+ \rangle - | I, p, 0_c \rangle = | \Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c \rangle (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - F(\epsilon_p))^{-1} \gamma_{0p} + + \sum_k | \Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c \rangle (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k + i0^+)^{-1} \gamma_{k0} (\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - F(\epsilon_p))^{-1} \gamma_{0p},$$
(15)

where the complex shift function reads

$$F(\epsilon_p) = \Delta(\epsilon_p) - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma(\epsilon_p) = \sum_k \frac{|\gamma_{k0}|^2}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k + i0^+},$$
(16)

and will be evaluated numerically for an example in the next section where also the general relationship between the real and imaginary parts of the complex shift function is given.

Multiplying $T|I, p, 0_c\rangle$ from the left by the final state $\langle F, p', 0_c \rangle = \langle \phi^{N+1}(p'), 0_c \rangle$ and making use of the fact that $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$ and $|\Phi^{N+1}_0, 1_c\rangle$ are eigenstates of the unperturbed Hamiltonian, one sees that only the term for p' = k contributes and one obtains the final result for resonant scattering shown in Eq. (3) of the main text.

The computational model. In the illustrative example chosen in the man text, the Hydrogen atom is the target for the impinging electron. We adopt the three dimensional potential (in atomic units) employed to compute the photodetachment of H⁻ by strong high-frequency linearly polarized laser pulses⁴⁴

$$V(r) = -V_0 e^{-r^2/r_0^2},$$
(17)

where $V_0 = 0.3831087$ and $r_0 = 2.5026$. The anion possesses a single bound state. The radial Schrödinger equation is solved numerically for both the angular momenta l = 0 and l = 1 in a radial box of length *L* for a number of box radii by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of the radial Schrödinger equation numerically to get the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The Hamiltonian matrix is written in a discrete variable representation (DVR), which is a pseudo-spectral method^{7,4}. As basis functions we choose the eigenfunctions of the quantum mechanical 1D "Particle in a box" problem, which are sine functions. Their boundary conditions define the behavior of our computed eigenvectors, which are

consequently zero at the boundaries. In the sine-DVR, the grid points, the weights, the matrix of the unitary transformation between the sine function basis and the grid basis, as well as the grid basis can be calculated analytically. This allows one to directly built the potential energy matrix. The evaluation of the effective potential at the calculated grid points, provides the elements of the diagonal matrix. Getting the kinetic energy matrix is more tricky. After computing the matrix in the basis of the sine functions, the before analytically calculated unitary transformation matrix is applied to finally get the kinetic energy matrix in the grid basis.

Complex level shift function. To determine the complex level shift function $F(\epsilon_p)$, we first calculate the transition dipoles between the ground state $\psi_0(l=0) =$

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi}}R(r)$ and all the computed states $\psi_k(l=1) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}\cos(9)R_k(r)$ in the radial box, which we enumerate by k, along the polarization direction which is chosen to be the z axis

$$\langle \psi_0(l=0)|z|\psi_k(l=1)\rangle = d_{0k},$$
 (18)

$$d_{0k}$$
. (19)

We remind that the coupling elements between the continuum and the discrete states are provided by $\gamma_{0k} = d_{0k}g_0$ (see Eq. (12)), where g_0 is the coupling strength of the cavity. Here, $|\Phi^{N+1}(k), 0_c\rangle$ and $|\Phi_0^{N+1}, 1_c\rangle$ are given by $|\psi_k(l=1)\rangle$ and $|\psi_0(l=0)\rangle$, respectively.

 $d_{k0}^{*} =$

To evaluate the complex level shift function in Eq. (16), we first make use of the relation 75

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k + i0^+} = P \frac{1}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k} - i\pi\delta(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k),$$
(20)

where P stands for the Cauchy principal value, and transform the sum into an integral 76

$$\sum_{k} \to \frac{L}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk, \tag{21}$$

for the radial momenta. Due to the appearance of the δ -function, the integration to obtain the imaginary level shift can be made explicitly, giving

$$\Gamma(\epsilon_p) = \pi \, \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}} \, L \, \frac{|\gamma_{p0}|^2}{\sqrt{\epsilon_p}}.$$
(22)

The real level shift function can be obtained from the imaginary one, by employing the relationship $^{\rm 25}$

$$\Delta(\epsilon_p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} P \int_0^\infty d\epsilon_k \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_k)}{\epsilon_p - \epsilon_k},\tag{23}$$

and has to be evaluated numerically.

and

To demonstrate the convergence achieved with respect to the box size L used, we show in Fig. 6 the distribution of the absolute squares of the dipole transition moments computed for several box sizes. When multiplied by L, these distributions converge with growing box size to within the line width used to draw the curves. In all the results shown in the main text and below, the largest box size L = 80 au is used.



Fig. 6 Demonstration of convergence achieved with respect to the box size *L* used. The complex level shift function explicitly depends on the distribution of the absolute squares of the dipole transition moments multiplied by *L*, see Eqs. (22), (23), (12). Shown are these distributions computed for several values of *L*.

Electron scattering cross section and electron capture probability. Once the complex level shift function is calculated, the total resonant electron scattering cross section $\sigma(\epsilon_p)$ can be computed using⁴⁵

$$\sigma(\epsilon_p) = \frac{2\pi}{\epsilon_p} \frac{\Gamma^2(\epsilon_p)}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - \Delta(\epsilon_p))^2 + (\Gamma(\epsilon_p)/2)^2},$$
(24)

where the energy of the discrete state interacting with the continuum to become a resonance is, for electron-H scattering:

$$\epsilon_c = E_0^{N+1} - E_0^N + \hbar\omega_c = -0.754 \, eV + \hbar\omega_c. \tag{25}$$

We now turn to the probability to capture an electron due to the presence of the cavity. For that purpose we inspect the weight of the hybrid captured electron state $|\Phi_p^{N+1}, \mathbf{1}_c\rangle$ in the total scattering state $|\Psi_p^+\rangle$. Using the exact expression for the latter in Eq. (15), one immediately finds

$$\left|\left\langle \Phi_{0}^{N+1}, \mathbf{1}_{c} \middle| \Psi_{p}^{+} \right\rangle\right|^{2} = \frac{|\gamma_{p0}|^{2}}{(\epsilon_{p} - \epsilon_{c} - \Delta(\epsilon_{p}))^{2} + (\Gamma(\epsilon_{p}))^{2}/4}.$$
(26)

There is no meaning to probability at a point, and we have to sum over the states in a small energy interval δ_{e_p} around e_p . Within this interval the value of the complex level shift function does not change significantly, and we are left with a sum over $|\gamma_{p0}|^2$ only. Using the mapping (21) and the relation (22) between the coupling elements and the width function $\Gamma(e_p)$, we find

$$\sum_{p} |\gamma_{p0}|^2 \to \frac{1}{2\pi} \Gamma(\epsilon_p) \delta_{\epsilon_p}, \qquad (27)$$

where the sum is over states in the small energy interval δ_{ϵ_n} .

$$P_{capture} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\epsilon_p) \delta_{\epsilon_p}}{(\epsilon_p - \epsilon_c - \Delta(\epsilon_p))^2 + (\Gamma(\epsilon_p))^2/4}.$$
(28)

It accounts for the probability to capture an electron impinging within a small energy interval δ_{e_n} around $e_{p}.$

As discussed in the main text, cavities are subject to losses which lead to a finite lifetime of the cavity photon, see, e.g., ref. ⁴⁶. This decay of the cavity photon can be taken into account⁵⁵ by augmenting the cavity Hamiltonian $\hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \rightarrow \hbar \omega_c \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} - i\Gamma_{phot}/2$. Inspection of the T-matrix and total scattering state (15), shows how the cavity photon decay rate Γ_{phot} enters the denominator in the cross section and in the capture probability, giving rise to the overall width ($\Gamma(\epsilon_p) + \Gamma_{phot}$) of the resonance.

Data availability

The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within this paper and Supplementary Information. It can be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Code availability

The code that supports the findings of this study can be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 13 October 2022; Accepted: 27 April 2023; Published online: 22 May 2023

References

- Du, M. et al. Theory for polariton-assisted remote energy transfer. *Chem. Sci.* 9, 6659–6669 (2018).
- Schäfer, C., Ruggenthaler, M. & Rubio, A. Modification of excitation and charge transfer in cavity quantum electrodynamical chemistry. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 116, 4883–4892 (2019).
- Herrera, F. & Spano, F. C. Cavity-controlled chemistry in molecular ensembles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116, 238301 (2016).
- Semenov, A. & Nitzan, A. Electron transfer in confined electromagnetic fields. J. Chem. Phys. 150, 174122 (2019).
- Mandal, A., Krauss, T. D. & Huo, P. Polariton-mediated electron transfer via cavity quantum electrodynamics. J. Phys. Chem. B 124, 6321–6340 (2020).
- Yoshie, T. et al. Vacuum Rabi splitting with a single quantum dot in a photonic crystal nanocavity. *Nature* 432, 200–203 (2004).
- Cederbaum, L. S. & Kuleff, A. I. Impact of cavity on interatomic Coulombic decay. Nat. Comm. 12, 4083 (2021).
- Schwartz, T., Hutchison, J. A., Genet, C. & Ebbesen, T. W. Reversible switching of ultrastrong light-molecule coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106, 196405 (2011).

- Stranius, K., Hertzog, M. & Börjesson, K. Selective manipulation of electronically excited states through strong light-matter interactions. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 2273 (2018).
- 10. Galego, J., Garcia-Vidal, F. J. & Feist, J. Suppressing photochemical reactions with quantized light fields. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13841 (2016).
- Ebbesen, T. W. Hybrid light-matter states in a molecular and material science perspective. Acc. Chem. Res. 49, 2403 (2016).
- Kowalewski, M. & Mukamel, S. Manipulating molecules with quantum light. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 114, 3278–3280 (2017).
- Feist, J., Galego, J. & Garcia-Vidal, F. J. Polaritonic chemistry with organic molecules. ACS Photon. 5, 205–216 (2018).
- Thomas, A. et al. Tilting a ground state reactivity landscape by vibrational strong coupling. Science 363, 615–619 (2019).
- Galego, J., Climent, C., Garcia-Vidal, F. J. & Feist, J. Cavity Casimir-Polder forces and their effects in ground-state chemical reactivity. *Phys. Rev. X* 9, 021057 (2019).
- Kowalewski, M., Bennett, K. & Mukamel, S. Non-adiabatic dynamics of molecules in optical cavities. J. Chem. Phys. 144, 054309 (2016).
- Bennett, K., Kowalewski, M. & Mukamel, S. Novel photochemistry of molecular polaritons in optical cavities. *Faraday Discuss.* 194, 259–282 (2016).
- Szidarovszky, T., Halasz, G. J., Csaszar, A. G., Cederbaum, L. S. & Vibok, A. Conical intersections induced by quantum light: Field-dressed spectra from the weak to the ultrastrong coupling regimes. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 9, 6215–6223 (2018).
- Gu, B. & Mukamel, S. Manipulating nonadiabatic conical intersection dynamics by optical cavities. *Chem. Sci.* 11, 1290–1298 (2020).
- Fabri, C., Halasz, G. J., Cederbaum, L. S. & Vibok, A. Born-Oppenheimer approximation in optical cavities: from success to breakdown. *Chem. Sci.* 12, 1251–1258 (2021).
- Farag, M. H., Mandal, A. & Huo, P. Polariton induced conical intersection and berry phase. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 23, 16868 (2021).
- Vendrell, O. Collective Jahn-Teller interactions through light-matter coupling in a cavity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 253001 (2018).
- Schulz, G. J. Resonances in electron impact on atoms. Rev. Mod. Phys. 45, 378–422 (1973).
- Schulz, G. J. Resonances in electron impact on diatomic molecules. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 45, 423–486 (1973).
- Domcke, W. Theory of resonance and threshold effects in electron-molecule collisions: The projection-operator approach. *Phys. Rep.* 208, 97–188 (1991).
- Truhlar, D. G. editor. Resonances in Electron-Molecule Scattering, Van der Waals Complexes and Reactive Chemical Dynamics. (American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1984).
- 27. Simons, J. Molecular anions. J. Phys. Chem. A 112, 6401-6511 (2008).
- Boudaiffa, B., Cloutier, P., Hunting, D., Huels, M. A. & Sanche, L. Resonant formation of DNA strand breaks by low-energy (3 to 20 eV) electrons. *Science* 287, 1658–1660 (2000).
- Sanche, L. Low energy electron-driven damage in biomolecules. *Eur. Phys. J.* D. 35, 367–390 (2005).
- Wang, X.-D., Gao, X.-F., Xuan, C.-J. & Tian, S. X. Dissociative electron attachment to CO2 produces molecular oxygen. *Nat. Chem.* 8, 258–263 (2016).
- Davis, D., Vysotskiy, V. P., Sajeev, Y. & Cederbaum, L. S. Electron impact catalytic dissociation: Two-bond breaking by a low- energy catalytic electron. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 50, 4119–4122 (2011).
- Davis, D., Kundu, S., Prabhudesai, V. S., Sajeev, Y. & Krishnakumar, E. Formation of CO2 from formic acid through catalytic electron channel. *J. Chem. Phys.* 149, 064308 (2018).
- Leifert, D. & Studer, A. 9-Silafluorenes via base-promoted homolytic aromatic substitution (BHAS)-The electron as a catalyst. Org. Lett. 17, 386–389 (2015).
- Klaiman, S. & Cederbaum, L. S. Barrierless single-electron-induced cis-trans isomerization. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 1–5 (2015).
- 35. Moreau, P.-A., Toninelli, E., Gregory, T. & Padgett, M. J. Imaging with quantum states of light. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 367–380 (2019).
- Marcus, A. H. & Raymer, M. G. Jcp special topic on quantum light in physical chemistry and molecular spectroscopy. J. Chem. Phys. 157, 150401 (2022).
- Hotop, H. & Lineberger, W. C. Binding Energies in Atomic Negative Ions: II. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 14, 731–750 (1985).
- Huber, K. P. and Herzberg, G. Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules. (Van Nostrand, New York, 1979).
- Scheller, M. K., Compton, R. N. & Cederbaum, L. S. Gas-Phase Multiply Charged Anions. Science 270, 1160–1166 (1995).
- Cohen Tannoudji, C., Dupont-Roc, J., and Grynberg, G. Atom-Photon Interactions: Basic Processes and Applications. (Wiley, Weinheim, 2004).
- Galego, J., Garcia-Vidal, F. J. & Feist, J. Cavity-induced modifications of molecular structure in the strong-coupling regime. *Phys. Rev. X* 5, 041022 (2015).
- 42. Davydov, A. S. Quantum Mechanics. (Pergamon, New York, 1976).
- 43. Taylor, J. P. Scattering theory. (Dover, New York, 2006).

- 44. Tolstikhin, O. I. Siegert-state expansion for nonstationary systems. iv. threedimensional case. *Phys. Rev. A* 77, 032712 (2008).
- Berman, M., Estrada, H., Cederbaum, L. S. & Domcke, W. Nuclear dynamics in resonant electron-molecule scattering beyond the local approximation: The 2.3-ev shape resonance of N₂. *Phys. Rev. A* 28, 1363–1381 (1983).
- Liu, B., Menon, V. M. & Sfeir, M. Y. The role of long-lived excitons in the dynamics of strongly coupled molecular polaritons. ACS Photon. 7, 2292–2301 (2020).
- Benz, F. et al. Single-molecule optomechanics in "picocavities". Science 354, 726–729 (2016).
- Zengin, Gülis et al. Realizing strong light-matter interactions between singlenanoparticle plasmons and molecular excitons at ambient conditions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114, 157401 (2015).
- Chikkaraddy, R. et al. Single-molecule strong coupling at room temperature in plasmonic nanocavities. *Nature* 535, 127–130 (2016).
- Sobel'man, I. I. Introduction to the theory of atomic spectra. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1972).
- 51. Müller, A. Electron-ion collisions: Fundamental processes in the focus of applied research. Adv. Mol. Opt. Phys. 55, 293-417 (2008).
- Gokhberg, K. & Cederbaum, L. S. Environment assisted electron capture. J. Phys. B 42, 231001 (2009).
- Sisourat, N., Miteva, T., Gorfinkiel, J. D., Gokhberg, K. & Cederbaum, L. S. Interatomic coulombic electron capture from first principles. *Phys. Rev. A* 98, 020701(R) (2018).
- Gottfried, K. & Yan, T-M. Quantum Mechanics: Fundamentals. (Springer, New York, 2003).
- Felicetti, S. et al. Photoprotecting uracil by coupling with lossy nanocavities. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 11, 8810–8818 (2020).
- Velha, P. et al. Ultra-high q/v fabry-perot microcavity on soi substrate. Opt. Express 15, 16090–16096 (2007).
- Akahane, Y., Asano, T., Song, B.-S. & Noda, S. High-q photonic nanocavity in a two-dimensional photonic crystal. *Nature* 425, 944–947 (2003).
- Foresi, J. S. et al. Photonic-bandgap microcavities in optical waveguides. *Nature* 390, 143–145 (1997).
- Lidzey, D. G. et al. Strong exciton-photon coupling in an organic semiconductor microcavity. *Nature* 395, 53–55 (1998).
- Schwartz, T., Hutchison, J. A., Genet, C. & Ebbesen, T. W. Reversible switching of ultrastrong light-molecule coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106, 196405 (2011).
- Fregoni, J., Garcia-Vidal, F. J. & Feist, J. Theoretical challenges in polaritonic chemistry. ACS Photon. 9, 1096–1107 (2022).
- 62. May, M. A. et al. Nano-cavity qed with tunable nano-tip interaction. Adv. Quant. Technol. 3, 1900087 (2020).
- Chen, X. et al. Mode modification of plasmonic gap resonances induced by strong coupling with molecular excitons. *Nano Lett.* 17, 3246–3251 (2017).
- Silva, R., Pino, J., Garcia-Vidal, F. & Feist, J. Polaritonic molecular clock for all-optical ultrafast imaging of wavepacket dynamics without probe pulses. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1423 (2020).
- Fabri, C., Halasz, G. J. & Vibok, A. Probing light-induced conical intersections by monitoring multidimensional polaritonic surfaces. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 13, 1172–1179 (2022).
- Kockum, A. F., Miranowicz, A., De Liberato, S., Savasta, S. & Nori, F. Ultrastrong coupling between light and matter. *Nat. Rev. Phys.* 1, 19–40 (2019).
- Yang, Y.-F., Klaiman, S., Gromov, E. V. & Cederbaum, L. S. Bound electronic states of the smallest fullerene C₂0 anion. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 20, 17434–17441 (2018).
- Cederbaum, L. S. & Domcke, W. Local against non-local complex potential in resonant electron-molecule scattering, J. Phys. B 14, 4665–4689 (1981).
- Zawadzki, M. et al. Resonances and dissociative electron attachment in HNCO. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121, 143402 (2018).
- 70. Kreckel, H. et al. Experimental results for H_2 formation from H^- and H and implications for first star formation. *Science* **329**, 69–71 (2010).
- 71. Weinberg, S. *Quantum Theory of Fields. Volume 1.* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002).

- Vendrell, O. Coherent dynamics in cavity femtochemistry: Application of themulti-configuration time-dependent Hartree method. *Chem. Phys.* 509, 55–65 (2018).
- Domcke, W. & Cederbaum, L. S. Theory of the vibrational structure of resonances in electron-molecule scattering. *Phys. Rev. A* 16, 1465–1482 (1977).
- 74. Light, J. C., Hamilton, I. P. & Lill, J. V. Generalized discrete variable
- approximation in quantum mechanics. J. Chem. Phys. 82, 1400–1409 (1985).
 75. Dennery, P. & Krzywicki, A. Mathematics for Physicists. (Dover, New York, 1996).
- 76. Kelly, H. P. Correlation effects in atoms. Phys. Rev. 131, 684-699 (1963).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank A. I. Kuleff and H.-D. Meyer for valuable contributions. Financial support by the European Research Council (ERC) (Advanced Investigator Grant No. 692657) is gratefully acknowledged. For the publication fee we acknowledge financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft within the funding programme "Open Access Publikationskosten" as well as by Heidelberg University.

Author contributions

L.S.C. conceived the idea, developed the theory, and wrote the paper. J.F. carried out the numerical computations.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-023-01227-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Lorenz S. Cederbaum.

Peer review information *Communications Physics* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023