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Symmetry underpins our understanding of physical law. Open systems, those in contact with their environment, can provide a platform to explore parity-time symmetry. While classical parity-time symmetric systems have received a lot of attention, especially because of the associated advances in the generation and control of light, there is much more to be discovered about their quantum counterparts. Here we provide a quantum theory which describes the non-Hermitian physics of chains of coupled modes, which has applications across optics and photonics. We elucidate the origin of the exceptional points which govern the parity-time symmetry, survey their signatures in quantum transport, study their influence for correlations, and account for long-range interactions. We also find how the locations of the exceptional points evolve as a function of the chain length and chain parity, capturing how an arbitrary oligomer chain transitions from its unbroken to broken symmetric phase. Our general results provide perspectives for the experimental detection of parity-time symmetric phases in one-dimensional arrays of quantum objects, with consequences for light transport and its degree of coherence.

[^0]While the eigenvalues of a Hermitian Hamiltonian are always real, the Hermicity condition is more stringent than is strictly necessary ${ }^{1}$. It was shown by Bender and co-workers that Hamiltonians that obey parity-time ( $\mathcal{P T}$ ) symmetry can both admit real eigenvalues and describe physical systems ${ }^{2,3}$. The condition of combined space and time reflection symmetry has immediate utility for some open systems, where there is balanced loss into and gain from the surrounding environment. The application of the concept of $\mathcal{P T}$ symmetry into both classical and quantum physics has already led to some remarkable advances and unconventional phenomena, which cannot be captured with standard Hermitian Hamiltonians ${ }^{4-8}$.

An important concept within $\mathcal{P}$ I symmetry is that of exceptional points. Let us consider the simplest case of a pair of coupled oscillators, each of resonance frequency $\omega_{0}$ and interacting via the coupling constant $g$. The two resulting eigenfrequencies $\omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{1}$ are given by $\omega_{2,1}=\omega_{0} \pm g$. After including gain at a rate $\kappa$ into the first oscillator and an equivalent loss $\kappa$ out of the second oscillator, the renormalized eigenfrequencies $\omega_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{1}^{\prime}$ of this $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{I}$-symmetric setup become $\omega_{2,1}^{\prime}=\omega_{0} \pm \sqrt{g^{2}-\kappa^{2} / 4}$ [see Supplementary Note 1]. The exceptional point (for this $\mathcal{N}=2$ oscillator system) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{g}{\kappa}\right)_{\mathcal{N}=2}=\frac{1}{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which defines the crossover between the unbroken $\mathcal{P T}$ phase with wholly real $\omega_{2,1}^{\prime}$, and the broken phase with complex $\omega_{2,1}^{\prime}$. Therefore, by modulating the ratio $g / \kappa$ one can induce a plethora of (sometimes unexpected) phenomena intrinsically linked to $\mathcal{P T}$ symmetry, for example in light transport where amplification and attenuation readily arise ${ }^{4-8}$.

Recently, optical and photonic systems have become popular playgrounds to test $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric effects in the laboratory ${ }^{9-11}$. Indeed, recent experiments in the area have seen non-reciprocal light propagation in coupled waveguides ${ }^{12}$, single-mode lasing in microring cavities ${ }^{13}$, extraordinary transmission in microtoroidal whispering-gallery-mode resonators ${ }^{14}$, and the development of hybrid optoelectronic devices ${ }^{15}$. In parallel, there has been much theoretical work on many-mode $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric systems ${ }^{16}$, including considerations of trimers ${ }^{17,18}$, quadrimers ${ }^{19-29}$, and more generally oligomers ${ }^{30-45}$.

Inspired by the pioneering experiments of Hodaei and coworkers with chains of ring-shaped optical resonators ${ }^{46}$, we develop a simple theory of short oligomer chains in an open quantum systems approach. In particular, we study dimer $(\mathcal{N}=2)$, trimer $(\mathcal{N}=3)$ and quadrimer $(\mathcal{N}=4)$ chains in detail [see also Supplementary Notes 1 and 2]. We derive the locations of the exceptional points, and explore the influence of the $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetry phase on both the population dynamics (revealing regions of amplification) and for correlations (showing areas of perfect coherence and incoherence). Our open quantum systems approach follows in the wake of a number of recent theoretical works ${ }^{47-54}$, which employ the concept of $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetry with quantum master equations. We note that a related and pioneering experiment with superconducting qubits has latterly been reported ${ }^{55}$, highlighting the timeliness of quantum $\mathcal{P I}$-symmetry. We also uncover how the exceptional point of Eq. (1) is generalized for an oligomer chain of an arbitrary size $\mathcal{N}$, where there is gain into the first oscillator and an equivalent loss out of the last oscillator, with neutral oscillators in between. Using a transfer matrices approach, we derive an interesting scaling with $\mathcal{N}$ of $(g / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}}$, and we find a feature due to the parity of the oligomer which provides tantalizing opportunities for experimental detection. Finally, we investigate the emergent and rich $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetry phase diagrams when long-range coupling (beyond nearest-neighbor) is taken into account, which crucially
determines whether the exceptional point is of higher order (compared to the dimer case) or not.

## Results and discussion

Trimer chain: model. Here, we look at the simplest nontrivial linear chain of harmonic oscillators: the trimer chain (that is, a $\mathcal{N}=3$ site oligomer). The trimer [which is sketched in Fig. 1a] already displays some interesting phenomena which is common across all odd-sited oligomers, and yet it retains some beauty due to its simplicity. The Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ for this system is (we set $\hbar=1$ throughout this manuscript)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\omega_{0}\left(b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{1}+b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{2}+b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{3}\right)+g\left(b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{2}+b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{3}+\text { h.c. }\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger}$ and $b_{\mathrm{n}}$, which satisfy bosonic commutation relations, are the creation and annihilation operators, respectively for site $n$. All of the oscillators are associated with the identical resonance frequency $\omega_{0}$, while the nearest-neighbor coupling strength between sites is given by $g$. Diagonalization of Eq. (2) leads to the


Fig. 1 The $\mathcal{P} \boldsymbol{T}$-symmetric trimer and its eigenfrequencies. a $A$ cartoon of the three-site chain (colored balls), where each oscillator has the resonance frequency $\omega_{0}$. The left oscillator (green sphere) is subject to gain $\kappa$ (yellow arrow), while the right oscillator (cyan sphere) suffers an equivalent loss $\kappa$ (purple arrow), such that the arrangement fulfills $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$ symmetry. The coupling strength is $g$. $\mathbf{b}$ The real parts of the eigenfrequencies $\omega_{n}^{\prime}$, as a function of $g$ [Eq. (11)]. c The imaginary parts. Dashed lines: exceptional points at the transition between the broken and unbroken $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric phases [Eq. (13)].
three eigenfrequencies $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}$, which read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{3,1}=\omega_{0} \pm \sqrt{2} g  \tag{3a}\\
\omega_{2}=\omega_{0} \tag{3b}
\end{gather*}
$$

This analysis reveals a solitary eigenfrequency $\omega_{2}$, which is unshifted from the bare resonance $\omega_{0}$, while the two other eigenfrequencies $\omega_{3}$ and $\omega_{1}$ exhibit a splitting of $\sqrt{2} g$ from the central resonance. Incoherent processes in the chain are taken into account via a quantum master equation in Lindblad form ${ }^{56-58}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mathrm{t}} \rho=\mathrm{i}[\rho, \hat{H}]+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1,2,3} \frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}}{2} \mathcal{L} b_{\mathrm{n}}+\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1,2,3} \frac{P_{\mathrm{n}}}{2} \mathcal{L}^{\prime} b_{\mathrm{n}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two Lindblad superoperators are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L} b_{\mathrm{n}}=2 b_{\mathrm{n}} \rho b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger}-b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathrm{n}} \rho-\rho b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathrm{n}}  \tag{5a}\\
& \mathcal{L}^{\prime} b_{\mathrm{n}}=2 b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} \rho b_{\mathrm{n}}-b_{\mathrm{n}} b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} \rho-\rho b_{\mathrm{n}} b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} . \tag{5b}
\end{align*}
$$

The unitary evolution is supplied by the commutator term on the right-hand-side of Eq. (4), where the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ is given by Eq. (2). The external environment surrounding the chain allows for energy exchange. Losses are tracked by the first Lindbladian term in Eq. (4), where $\gamma_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ is the damping decay rate of the $n$th oscillator into its heat bath. Incoherent gain processes, where $P_{\mathrm{n}} \geq 0$ is the pumping rate into oscillator $n$, are similarly modeled by the final term in Eq. (4).

In order to probe the mean-field dynamics of the chain, we exploit the property $\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{O} \rho)$ for some operator $\mathcal{O}$. The cyclic properties of the trace operator, along with the quantum master equation introduced Eq. (4), leads to the following Schrödinger-like equation for the first moments $\left\langle b_{n}\right\rangle$ of the chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \partial_{t} \psi=\mathcal{H} \psi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the three-dimensional Bloch vector $\psi$ reads

$$
\psi=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle b_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{7}\\
\left\langle b_{2}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle b_{3}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)
$$

and with the $3 \times 3$ dynamical matrix $\mathcal{H}$, given by

$$
\mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2} & g & 0  \tag{8}\\
g & \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2} & g \\
0 & g & \omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\Gamma_{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In Eq. (8) we have introduced the renormalized damping decay rate $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$ for each oscillator $n$, which is necessary due to the incoherent pumping $P_{\mathrm{n}}$ and the bosonic statistics. Explicitly, this quantity reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}=\gamma_{\mathrm{n}}-P_{\mathrm{n}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider the specific chain configuration where the left oscillator is subject to gain via $P_{1}=\kappa$ (and $\gamma_{1}=0$ ), while the right oscillator is described by the equivalent loss $\gamma_{3}=\kappa$ (and $P_{3}=0$ ). The central oscillator is neutral (since $P_{2}=\gamma_{2}=0$ ). Then the mean-field theory of Eq. (8) implies a $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{\prime}$ may be written down as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}^{\prime}=\left(\omega_{0}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{1}+ & \omega_{0} b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{2}+\left(\omega_{0}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{3}  \tag{10}\\
& +g\left(b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{2}+b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{3}+\text { h.c. }\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (10) is indeed invariant under the necessary combined transformations of space $(\mathcal{P})$ and time $(\mathcal{T})$, essentially because the twin replacements $\mathrm{i} \rightarrow-\mathrm{i}$ and $(1,3) \rightarrow(3,1)$ leave $\hat{H}^{\prime}$
unchanged. This $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric arrangement of the trimer chain is portrayed in Fig. la. Upon diagonalizing Eq. (10), the three eigenfrequencies $\omega_{n}^{\prime}$ are [cf. Eq. (3) for the closed system]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega_{3,1}^{\prime}=\omega_{0} \pm \Omega  \tag{11a}\\
\omega_{2}^{\prime}=\omega_{0} \tag{11b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have introduced the frequency $\Omega$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{8 g^{2}-\kappa^{2}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) reveals the renormalization of the upper and lower eigenfrequencies $\omega_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{1}^{\prime}$, as compared to $\omega_{3}$ and $\omega_{1}$ in the closed system modeled in Eq. (3). This is due to the incoherent processes captured by $\kappa$. In particular, there is now an exceptional point located at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{g}{\kappa}\right)_{\mathcal{N}=3}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \simeq 0.353 \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which marks the border between the regime when the $\mathcal{P T}$ Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{\prime}$ is in its unbroken phase with real eigenvalues, $g \geq \kappa /(2 \sqrt{2})$, and the broken phase with complex eigenvalues, $g<\kappa /(2 \sqrt{2})$ [cf. Eq. (1) for the dimer result, $(g / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}=2}$ ].

We plot the $\mathcal{P I}$-symmetric regime eigenfrequencies $\omega_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ in Fig. 1 using Eq. (11). The real parts are given in panel b, while the imaginary parts are displayed in panel c. The exceptional point of Eq. (13) is marked by the dashed gray line, and makes explicit the broken and unbroken $\mathcal{P I}$-symmetric phases. There are several features of Fig. 1 which are shared amongst all odd-sited oligomers, namely: the purely real resonance frequency $\omega_{0}$ (orange line) is always a valid eigenfrequency; two eigenfrequencies always become complex in the broken $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric phase; and these two aforementioned eigenfrequencies are always the two eigenfrequencies closest to $\omega_{0}$ (neglecting the aforementioned, guaranteed $\omega_{0}$ eigensolution). Under the popular classification where an $n$th order exceptional point refers to when $n$ eigenvalues coalesce at the exceptional point ${ }^{46}$, Fig. 1b, c exposes a higher order exceptional point of the 3rd order (compared to 2nd order for a dimer, see Supplementary Note 1). These remarks are further justified in Supplementary Note 2, where analogous behavior with the quadrimer chain $(\mathcal{N}=4)$ is analyzed in detail, and some features associated with all evensited oligomers are discussed in Supplementary Note 3.

Trimer chain: dynamics. The equation of motion for the second moments $\left\langle b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathrm{m}}\right\rangle$ of the trimer gives access to the mean populations along the chain, $\left\langle b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle$. Similar to the calculation leading to Eq. (6), we obtain the first-order matrix differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{M} \mathbf{u} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the 9-vector of correlators $\mathbf{u}$ and the inhomogenous pumping term $\mathbf{P}$, where

$$
\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{u}_{1}  \tag{15}\\
\mathbf{u}_{2} \\
\mathbf{u}_{2}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{P}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
P_{1} \\
P_{2} \\
P_{3} \\
\mathbf{o}_{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the zero matrix (of $n$-rows and a single column). The sub-vectors of $\mathbf{u}$ read

$$
\mathbf{u}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{16}\\
\left\langle b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{2}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{3}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{u}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{2}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{3}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{1}\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{M}$ of second moments in Eq. (14) is

$$
\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{M}_{11} & \mathbf{M}_{12} & \mathbf{M}_{12}^{*}  \tag{17}\\
\mathbf{M}_{12}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathbf{M}_{22} & \mathbf{M}_{23} \\
\mathbf{M}_{12}^{\dagger} & \mathbf{M}_{23}^{*} & \mathbf{M}_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the on-diagonal sub-matrices comprising $\mathbf{M}$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{11}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}\right)  \tag{18a}\\
\mathbf{M}_{22}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2}}{2}, \frac{\Gamma_{2}+\Gamma_{3}}{2}, \frac{\Gamma_{3}+\Gamma_{1}}{2}\right), \tag{18b}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}$ is defined in Eq. (9), while the two off-diagonal submatrices of $\mathbf{M}$ are defined by

$$
\mathbf{M}_{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{i} g & 0 & 0  \tag{19}\\
-\mathrm{i} g & \mathrm{i} g & 0 \\
0 & -\mathrm{i} g & 0
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{M}_{23}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \mathrm{i} g \\
0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i} g \\
-\mathrm{i} g & \mathrm{i} g & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In Eq. (17), the symbols *, $\dagger$, and T represent taking the conjugate, conjugate transpose, and transpose, respectively.

Let us consider the $\mathcal{P I}$-symmetric arrangement of the trimer, as sketched in Fig. 1a. In this special configuration, the nontrivial eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ in Eq. (17) are $\pm \mathrm{i} \sqrt{8 g^{2}-\kappa^{2}}$ and $\pm \mathrm{i} \sqrt{8 g^{2}-\kappa^{2}} / 2$, recovering the criticality first expounded at the level of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in Eq. (13). Using the frequency $\Omega$ as defined in Eq. (12), we find the following analytic expressions for the populations

Trimer chain: correlations. The temporal coherence can be quantified using the first-order correlation function ${ }^{56}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}(\tau)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\langle b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger}(t) b_{\mathrm{n}}(t+\tau)\right\rangle}{\left\langle b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger}(t) b_{\mathrm{n}}(t)\right\rangle}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the time delay, and where the normalization is taken over a long time scale $t \rightarrow \infty$. This quantity has the property that perfect coherence is associated with $\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}(\tau)\right|=1$ and complete incoherence corresponds to $\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}(\tau)\right|=0$, while intermediate cases specify the degree of partial coherence. The manipulations resulting in Eq. (6), and an application of the quantum regression theorem, lead to an equation for the first desired two-time correlator $\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger}(t) b_{1}(t+\tau)\right\rangle$, via

$$
\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{v}+\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{v}=0, \quad \mathbf{v}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger}(t) b_{1}(t+\tau)\right\rangle  \tag{22}\\
\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger}(t) b_{2}(t+\tau)\right\rangle \\
\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger}(t) b_{3}(t+\tau)\right\rangle
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the $3 \times 3$ regression matrix

$$
\mathbf{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2} & \mathrm{i} g & 0  \tag{23}\\
\mathrm{i} g & \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+\frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2} & \mathrm{i} g \\
0 & \mathrm{i} g & \mathrm{i} \omega_{0}+\frac{\Gamma_{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Similar equations may be derived for $\left\langle b_{2}^{\dagger}(t) b_{2}(t+\tau)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle b_{3}^{\dagger}(t) b_{3}(t+\tau)\right\rangle$. The solution of Eq. (22), along with the defini-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{g^{2}}{2 \Omega^{5}} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right)\left\{8 g^{2} \Omega+4 \kappa \Omega^{2} \sin (\Omega t)+2 \Omega\left(4 g^{2}-\kappa^{2}\right) \cos (\Omega t)\right\} \tag{20b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{4 g^{4}}{\Omega^{4}} \sin \left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right), \tag{20c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}^{5}=2 \Omega\left(8 g^{4}-8 g^{2} \kappa^{2}+\kappa^{4}\right)$. Upon approaching the exceptional point (where $\Omega \rightarrow 0$ ), Eq. (20) reduces to the algebraically divergent $\left\langle b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{1}\right\rangle=(1+\kappa t / 4)^{4},\left\langle b_{2}^{\dagger} b_{2}\right\rangle=(\kappa t / 2)^{2}(1+\kappa t / 4)^{2} / 2$, and $\left\langle b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{3}\right\rangle=(\kappa t / 4)^{4}$. Below the exceptional point, the trigonometric functions in Eq. (20) are superseded by hyperbolic functions, leading to exponential divergencies.

We plot the populations $\left\langle b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle$ of the first, second and third oscillators ( $n=1,2,3$ ) as the thick green, medium orange and thin cyan lines in Fig. 2, using the solutions of Eq. (20). In Fig. 2a, where $g=\kappa$, a high frequency population cycle is observed, which is maintained over time due to the balanced loss and gain in the system. In panels $b$ and $c$, where the coupling strength is reduced to $g=3 \kappa / 4$ and $g=\kappa / 2$, respectively, the frequency of the population cycle is successively reduced, while the maxima of the mean populations are increased due to the closening proximity to the exceptional point [cf. Eq. (13)]. The broken $\mathcal{P I}$ phase is exemplified in panel d , where $g=0.35 \kappa<\kappa /(2 \sqrt{2})$, which displays the characteristically diverging population dynamics associated with breakdown beyond the exceptional point.
tion of Eq. (21), leads to the sought after first-order correlation functions. In the $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric setup of trimer, as drawn in Fig. 1a, one finds the neat expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{(1)}(\tau)=g_{3}^{(1)}(\tau)=\frac{8 g^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega \tau}{2}\right)-\kappa^{2}}{4 \Omega^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau}  \tag{24a}\\
& g_{2}^{(1)}(\tau)=\frac{4 g^{2} \kappa^{2}-\kappa^{4}+32 g^{4} \cos (\Omega \tau)}{4 g^{2} \kappa^{2}-\kappa^{4}+32 g^{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau} \tag{24b}
\end{align*}
$$

which characteristically include the harmonic component $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau}$, representing a monochromatic field centered on $\omega_{0}$, and a prefactor accounting for the specific $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric setup of the trimer. In the limit of $\Omega \rightarrow 0$, that is approaching the exceptional point $g \rightarrow \kappa /(2 \sqrt{2})$, Eq. (24) tends towards the quadratically divergent results $g_{1,3}^{(1)}(\tau) \rightarrow\left\{1-\kappa^{2} \tau^{2} / 16\right\} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau} \quad$ and $g_{2}^{(1)}(\tau) \rightarrow\left\{1-\kappa^{2} \tau^{2} / 24\right\} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau}$. For coupling strengths below the exceptional point the trigonometric functions are replaced with hyperbolic functions, indicating exponentially divergent behavior. We plot the real parts of the coherences $g_{1}^{(1)}(\tau)$ and $g_{2}^{(1)}(\tau)$ of the first and second oscillators as the thick green and thin orange lines in Fig. 3, using the solutions of Eq. (24). In Fig. 3a, well above the exceptional point at $g=\kappa$, the $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$ symmetry ensures an undamped periodic response, with rapid oscillations and a dynamic behavior satisfying $0<\left|g_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}(\tau)\right|<1$. Exactly at $g=\kappa / 2$, where all three coherences are accidentally equal as shown in panel $b$, a well-defined wave envelope develops. In panel $c$, at the exceptional point $g=\kappa /(2 \sqrt{2})$, there is initially regular, high frequency oscillations due to short time behavior being essentially dominated by the zeroth order term $g_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}(\tau) \simeq \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{0} \tau}$. Once the quadratic correction in $\kappa t$ becomes non-negligible, the divergence characteristic of the broken $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetric phase finally emerges.


Fig. 2 Population dynamics. Evolution of the mean populations $\left\langle b_{n}^{\dagger} b_{n}\right\rangle$ along the trimer chain, as a function of time $t$, in units of the inverse loss-gain parameter $\kappa^{-1}$ [Eq. 20]. The coupling constant $g$ reduces from above to below the exceptional point upon descending the column of panels [Eq. (13)]. $\mathbf{a} g=\kappa$. $\mathbf{b} g=3 \kappa / 4$. $\mathbf{c} g=\kappa / 2$. d $g=0.35 \kappa$. The results for the first, second, and third oscillators are denoted by the thick green, medium orange and thin cyan lines respectively [see the legend in (d), which applies to the whole figure].


Fig. 3 Dynamics of the correlations. Evolution of the real part of the firstorder correlation function $g^{(1)}(\tau)$, as a function of the time delay $\tau$, in units of the inverse loss-gain parameter $\kappa^{-1}$ [Eq. (24)]. a-c The coupling constant $g$ is reduced from above $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ to at $\mathbf{c}$ the exceptional point located at $g=\kappa /(2 \sqrt{2})$. The results for the first and second oscillators are denoted by the thick green and thin orange lines respectively [see the legend in c, which applies to the whole figure]. In the figure, $\omega_{0}=20 \kappa$.

Trimer chain: long-range coupling. Let us now consider the effects of going beyond the nearest-neighbor coupling approximation employed in Eq. (2). To do so, we introduce the secondnearest neighbor coupling constant $h$, which connects the first and third oscillators, via the generalized Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{\prime}=\hat{H}+h\left(b_{1}^{\dagger} b_{3}+b_{3}^{\dagger} b_{1}\right)$, where $\hat{H}$ is defined in Eq. (2). This extension leads to a generalization of the eigenfrequencies of Eq. (3) to $\tilde{\omega}_{n}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\omega}_{3,1}=\omega_{0}+\frac{h}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{8 g^{2}+h^{2}}  \tag{25a}\\
\tilde{\omega}_{2}=\omega_{0}-h \tag{25b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The associated $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric setup of trimer chain is sketched in Fig. 4a [cf. Fig. 1a]. The resulting eigenfrequencies $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ are [cf.


Fig. 4 The effect of long-range interactions. a A sketch of the $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric trimer (colored spheres) beyond nearest-neighbor coupling, where the first-neighbor coupling constant is $g$, and the second-neighbor coupling constant is $h$. The first oscillator (green sphere) is subject to gain $\kappa$ (yellow arrow), and the final oscillator (cyan sphere) to loss $\kappa$ (purple arrow). $\mathbf{b}$ The $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{I}$ symmetry phase diagram of the trimer, given by the evolution of the exceptional point $(g / \kappa)_{3}$ with the first and second-neighbor couplings $g$ and $h$, both in units of $\kappa$ [Eq. (26)]. White: unbroken phase. Green: broken phase.

Eq. (11)]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\omega}_{3}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}+\sqrt{\frac{8 g^{2}+4 h^{2}-\kappa^{2}}{3}} \cos \left(\frac{\alpha}{3}\right)  \tag{26a}\\
\tilde{\omega}_{2}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}+\sqrt{\frac{8 g^{2}+4 h^{2}-\kappa^{2}}{3}} \cos \left(\frac{\alpha+4 \pi}{3}\right),  \tag{26b}\\
\tilde{\omega}_{1}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}+\sqrt{\frac{8 g^{2}+4 h^{2}-\kappa^{2}}{3}} \cos \left(\frac{\alpha+2 \pi}{3}\right) \tag{26c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have introduced the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\arccos \left(\frac{24 \sqrt{3} g^{2} h}{\left(8 g^{2}+4 h^{2}-\kappa^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion of second-nearest neighbor coupling $h$ leads to a significantly richer phase diagram than with nearest-neighbor coupling only, as is demonstrated in Fig. 4 (b). Notably, when $h=0$ Eq. (13) is recovered, so that above this threshold strength of $1 /(2 \sqrt{2})$ the system is in its unbroken phase. With increasing $h$, the exceptional point $(g / \kappa)_{3}$ increases in value, up until $h=\kappa / 2$. Above this critical point, the unbroken phase can be explored
either with weak enough $g$, or strong enough $g$, with a region of broken phase in between. This causes a green stripe in the phase diagram of Fig. 4b, which notably contains the equal coupling ( $h=g$ ) ring-like limit. The aforementioned broken-unbroken transitions from above and from below can be explicitly seen in Fig. 5, where the real and imaginary parts of $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ are shown, as a function of $g / \kappa$, in the upper and lower rows respectively. In the first column of Fig. 5, one notices how a nonzero second-nearest neighbor coupling ( $h=\kappa / 4$ ) has led to a larger exceptional point of $(g / \kappa)_{3} \simeq 0.660$, compared to the nearest-neighbor coupling case when $(g / \kappa)_{3} \simeq 0.353$. The middle column, at the critical point of $h=\kappa / 2$, shows the onset of a region of unbroken $\mathcal{P T}$ phase for vanishingly small $g$. This region is even more apparent in the final column of Fig. 5, where $h=3 \kappa / 4$ and the exceptional point is well below the nearest-neighbor value, being $(g / \kappa)_{3} \simeq 0.295$. Across all of these cases, it is most apparent that the higher (3rd order) exceptional point of the trimer with nearest-neighbor coupling only [cf. Fig. 1b, c] has been downgraded to a standard 2nd order exceptional point in Fig. 5. This is due to the long-range interactions perturbing the eigensolution otherwise residing exactly at $\omega_{0}$. Similarly rich features due to long-range interactions are also seen in the quadrimer chain $(\mathcal{N}=4)$, as is demonstrated in Supplementary Note 2.

Oligomer chains. We have seen some fundamental properties of short $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric oligomer chains (specifically for $\mathcal{N}=3$, and for $\mathcal{N}=2$ and $\mathcal{N}=4$ in the Supplementary Notes 1 and 2 ). Let us now consider a general oligomer of arbitrary size $\mathcal{N}$, with nearest-neighbor coupling only. The eigenfrequencies read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}(\mathcal{N})=\omega_{0}+2 g \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{\mathcal{N}+1}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index $n \in[1, \mathcal{N}]$ labels each mode [such that the specific results for $\mathcal{N}=3$ reproduce Eq. (3)]. If we generalize the $\mathcal{P I}$-symmetric arrangement of Fig. 1a, that is, if we allow for gain into the first oscillator and an equivalent loss out of the $\mathcal{N}$ th oscillator in the chain, so that the setup is [gain] $-[\text { neutral }]_{\mathcal{N}-2}$ - [loss], we can find how the exceptional point $(g / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}}$ evolves as a function of $\mathcal{N}$. The result of this diagonalization of a chain of an arbitrary $\mathcal{N}$-oscillator oligomer is derived in Supplementary Note 3, using a transfer matrix method ${ }^{59-61}$. This procedure leads to the rather beautiful expression [cf. Eqs. (1 and 13)]

$$
\left(\frac{g}{\kappa}\right)_{\mathcal{N}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2}, & \mathcal{N}=2,4,6, \ldots  \tag{29}\\
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{\mathcal{N}+1}}, & \mathcal{N}=3,5,7, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

We display graphically the formula of Eq. (29) in Fig. 6. Most notably, for oligomers of even size $\mathcal{N}$ (red circles), the exceptional point is constant at $(g / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}}=1 / 2$, and is of 2nd order. However, oligomers of odd size $\mathcal{N}$ (green circles) are associated with smaller exceptional points than the celebrated dimer result, and are of higher (3rd) order. These exceptional points are bounded by the limiting cases of the trimer result of $(g / \kappa)_{3}=1 /(2 \sqrt{2}) \simeq$ $0.353 \ldots$ and the infinitely long chain result of $(g / \kappa)_{\infty}=1 / 2$, as shown in Fig. 6 for chains up to $\mathcal{N}=20$ oscillators. In particular, the even-odd behavior shown in Fig. 6 is ripe for future experimental detection, as is the trend for increasing large values of the exceptional point with increasingly long odd-numbered chains, following the trend encapsulated by Eq. (29), and its inverselinear asymptotics $(g / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}} \simeq\left(1-\mathcal{N}^{-1}\right) / 2$ for large $\mathcal{N}$. While we do not account for disorder, or for dimerization of the chain (which may be interesting from a topological point of view ${ }^{62}$ ), such extensions can be readily taken care of within this framework.


Fig. 5 The influence of long-range interactions on the exceptional points of the trimer. a-c The real parts of the eigenfrequencies $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$, as a function of the coupling strength $g$ [Eq. (26)]. d-f The imaginary parts, corresponding to the real parts in a-c. Dashed lines: the exceptional points denote the border between broken and unbroken $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric phases. The results for the first, second and third eigenfrequencies $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}$ are denoted by the thin green, medium orange and thick cyan lines respectively [see the legend in $\mathbf{a}$, which applies to the whole figure]. In the first, second, and third columns, the second-nearest neighbor coupling constant $h=\kappa / 4, \kappa / 2$, and $3 \kappa / 4$, respectively.


Fig. 6 Evolution of the exceptional point in arbitrary chains. The exceptional point $(\mathrm{g} / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}}$ as a function of the number of sites $\mathcal{N}$ in the oligomer chain [Eq. (29)]. Results with even (odd) $\mathcal{N}$ are associated with red (green) circles.

The addition of next-nearest neighbor hoppings to oligomers of an arbitrary length allows us to generalize our investigation of long-range interactions in a short trimer chain [cf. Fig. 4b]. Similar to the $\mathcal{N}=3$ case, we can map the phase diagram marking the regions of broken (colored) and unbroken (white) $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetric phase, as is shown in Fig. 7a-d for oligomers of length $\mathcal{N}=\{4,5,6,7\}$. The two relevant parameters are the first and second-neighbor coupling strengths $g$ and $h$, such that the vertical axis ( $h=0$ ) is marked with analytic results from Eq. (29). Away from this point, the influence of nonzero next-nearest neighbor hopping is rather profound: leading to seas (and even enclaves) of unbroken $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{I}$ symmetry in a variety of geometries. Recent advances with so-called programmable interactions in atomic arrays suggest that the experimental exploration of such phase diagrams is increasingly accessible ${ }^{63}$, aside from the demonstrated tunable interaction ranges in trapped atomic ions ${ }^{64,65}$.

## Conclusions

We have considered some fundamental properties of oligomers of an arbitrary size which satisfy $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{I}$ symmetry due to having gain into the first oscillator and an equivalent loss out of the final oscillator. We have unveiled analytically the behavior of the exceptional points as a function of the chain length, which governs the stability of the population dynamics in the system and the presence of amplification. In particular, we have reported an even-odd effect for oligomers of increasing size, derived the bounds on all possible exceptional points, and mapped the relevant phase diagrams when long-range interactions are taken into account.

Focusing on short oligomers, we have provided simple quantum theories locating their exceptional points, and in doing so we found unconventional population dynamics and interesting firstorder coherences near to the unbroken-broken $\mathcal{P T}$-symmetric phases. We have also discussed effects beyond nearest-neighbor coupling, which leads to rich $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetry phase diagrams. In particular, we have shown that reaching the unbroken $\mathcal{P T}$ symmetric phase is no longer purely dependent on going above a threshold value of coupling-to-dissipation strength $g / \kappa$, rather one may also go below a different threshold value, such that the broken phase can live in a sweet-spot in-between.

Our versatile theory is relevant across a number of optical and photonic platforms, including coupled ring resonators ${ }^{66}$, coupled cavities ${ }^{67}$, coupled waveguides ${ }^{68,69}$, and meta-atoms ${ }^{70}$. Our theoretical results provide a route-map for the scaling up of $\mathcal{P I}$-symmetric systems, and paves the way for the observation of cooperative effects in arbitrarily large systems. There are clear perspectives for the experimental detection of our predictions, including finite size effects, even-odd behaviors, unconventional light transport and correlations, and long-range interactions leading to sweet spot regions of $\mathcal{P I}$ symmetry phase breakdown.

## Methods

In this theoretical work, the methods used are quantum master equations (as described in the main text [cf. Eq. (4)] and Supplementary Note 1), and an extended transfer matrices method (as detailed in Supplementary Note 3).


Fig. 7 Phase diagrams of oligomer chains with long-range interactions. a-d $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{T}$ symmetry phase diagrams of oligomer chains of size $\mathcal{N}=\{4,5,6,7\}$, given by the evolution of the exceptional point $(g / \kappa)_{\mathcal{N}}$, as a function of the first and second-neighbor couplings $g$ and $h$, both in units of $\kappa$. White: unbroken phase. Colors: broken phase. The analytic results in the $h \rightarrow 0$ limit are marked on the vertical axis [Eq. (29)]. The $\mathcal{N}=3$ case was already presented in Fig. 4b.
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