communications biology

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04038-9

OPEN

Fragmentation by major dams and implications for the future viability of platypus populations

Jose L. Mijangos $^{1,2 \Join}$, Gilad Bino³, Tahneal Hawke³, Stephen H. Kolomyjec 9 ⁴, Richard T. Kingsford³, Harvinder Sidhu¹, Tom Grant³, Jenna Day⁵, Kimberly N. Dias⁵, Jaime Gongora 9 ⁵ & William B. Sherwin 9 ⁶

The evolutionarily unique platypus (Ornithorhynchus anatinus) has experienced major declines and extinctions from a range of historical and recent interacting human-mediated threats. Although spending most of their time in the water, platypuses can move over land. Nevertheless, uncertainties remain whether dams are barriers to movement, thus limiting gene flow and dispersal, essential to evolution and ecology. Here we examined disruption of gene flow between platypus groups below and above five major dams, matched to four adjacent rivers without major dams. Genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) across dams was 4- to 20-fold higher than along similar stretches of adjacent undammed rivers; F_{ST} across dams was similar to differentiation between adjacent river systems. This indicates that major dams represent major barriers for platypus movements. Furthermore, F_{ST} between groups was correlated with the year in which the dam was built, increasing by 0.011 every generation, reflecting the effects of these barriers on platypus genetics. This study provides evidence of gene flow restriction, which jeopardises the long-term viability of platypus populations when groups are fragmented by major dams. Mitigation strategies, such as building of by-pass structures and translocation between upstream and downstream of the dam, should be considered in conservation and management planning.

Check for updates

¹School of Science, UNSW, Canberra, Australia. ²Centre for Conservation Ecology and Genomics, Institute for Applied Ecology, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australia. ³Centre for Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, UNSW, Sydney, Australia. ⁴College of Science and the Environment, Lake Superior State University, Sault Sainte Marie, USA. ⁵Sydney School of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Science, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ⁶Evolution & Ecology Research Centre, UNSW, Sydney, Australia. ^{Sem}email: luis.mijangos@gmail.com

he semi-aquatic platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*), along with echidnas, belong to the order Monotremata, the most species-poor (n = 5) and most basal branch of mammals, which diverged from marsupials and eutherians 187 Mya¹. Platypuses have a unique combination of features, including oviparity, venomous spurs in males, electroreception used to locate freshwater macroinvertebrates, biofluorescent pelage, and multiple sex chromosomes (five pairs instead of one²⁻⁴). The uniqueness and rarity of platypus features (*sensu* Pavoine et al.⁵) and its evolutionary distinctiveness⁶ make it arguably one of the most irreplaceable mammals existing today.

The platypus is currently listed as 'Near Threatened' by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN⁷), 'Endangered' in South Australia (*National Parks and Wildlife Act 1972*) and 'Vulnerable' in Victoria⁸.

There is increasing evidence of larger numbers of platypuses in historical times⁹ and ongoing declines and extinctions of local populations^{2,10,11}. Declines have been driven by multiple and synergistic threats, including river regulation, loss and modification of habitats, climate change, pollution, by-catch mortality and predation by invasive species^{2,9–11}. Continued declines due to current and future climate change are predicted as a result of increased frequency and severity of droughts^{2,12,13}, as well as elevated temperature conditions which could lead to the loss of more than 30% of suitable habitat by 2070^{12,14}.

Threats to freshwater ecosystems are commonly synergistic and are intensified by the construction of major dams that can have immediate and long-term impacts¹⁵. Nearly half of the world's river discharge is impacted by flow regulation and fragmentation¹⁶. Dams pose a major threat to global freshwater biodiversity¹⁷. Large dams form major barriers for aquatic organisms, limiting critical ecological processes, such as fish migration¹⁸. Water impoundments behind major dams form wind-exposed, deep, and standing (lentic) ecosystems which can offer little resources for flow-dependant species¹⁹. In Australia, dams are one of the more serious threats for platypus conservation, given their potential broad impact on habitat^{2,12,20}. Major dams are widespread across much of the platypus' distribution, where as many as 77% (383 out of 495) of the Australian major dams (wall height >10 m; ancold.org.au) coincide within the regions where platypuses occur (Fig. 1a; see also Bino et al.¹¹). Immediate adverse effects of major dams extend over large areas both upstream and downstream. Below major dams, altered natural flow regimes, including changing of the timing of flows and important reduction in flow volumes have been found to significantly impact platypus abundances and demographics²¹. Conditions below and above major dams represent poor foraging and burrowing habitat for platypuses, given lower productivity of macroinvertebrate prey species^{10,22-25}.

Long-term effects of major dams may include reduction in the ability of platypuses to move between potential habitat areas. This fragmentation has twofold impact; first, it restricts the ability to recolonise available habitat or migrate to areas with more suitable conditions²⁶. Secondly, fragmentation also simultaneously reduces both local population size and gene flow, each of which is expected to lead to increased inbreeding and reduction of the genetic variation necessary for adaptation to changes including threats²⁷. One adverse consequence of small population size is lower survival and lower reproduction output due either to inbreeding depression or to catastrophic stochastic events. Another adverse consequence is reduced variation between individuals, necessary for adaptation to changes such as the threats listed above²⁸. These genetic changes may be prevented by immigration because gene flow replenishes the gene pool of populations, but of course, this will only happen if the small population is not a fragmented isolate^{29,30}.

For platypuses, major dams are predicted to be a barrier for dispersal^{31,32}, with potential long-term ramifications for gene flow, genetic variation, and adaptation to threats. However, both the restriction of dispersal and the genetic consequences remain largely unquantified. When major dams are assumed to pose barriers for movements, population viability analyses demonstrate considerable impacts by major dams, particularly in synergy with lower habitat quality and droughts, which are projected to increase¹¹. In addition, since the introduction of red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) to Australia, overland movements of platypuses carry an increased risk of predation²⁴, effectively increasing the impact of dams as barriers to platypuses. However, the extent to which major dams restrict platypus dispersal remains unclear because landscape connectivity varies due to both the species' life history and landscape features²⁶. Platypuses are known to be able to climb around dams up to 10 m high (Dr Tom Grant & Dr Anne Musser, personal communication, June 23, 2021), although their ability to find their way around higher structures is currently unknown. Their ability to swim across the large deep-water impoundments above the dam is also unclear.

Therefore, our research uses genetic methods to focus on the connectivity of platypus groups above and below major dams. Genetic-based methods used to infer patterns of dispersal and gene flow³³ commonly examine the positive relationship between the amount of genetic differentiation between populations or individuals and the geographic distance separating them³⁴. The presence of a dispersal barrier could be inferred by testing whether populations or individuals, separated by potential barriers, are more genetically differentiated than populations or individuals in landscapes lacking such barriers but separated by a similar distance. Genetic differentiation can increase due to dispersal barriers within one to 15 generations during computer simulations³⁵, but is unlikely to arise if population size is large (>50 individuals³⁶).

To determine whether major dams have reduced dispersal and gene flow between platypus groups, we analysed genetic data from platypuses sampled in nine rivers; five rivers were regulated by major dams, and four were unregulated (Fig. 1). If major dams adversely affected gene flow between platypus groups, we predicted the following: (a) individuals and groups separated by a major dam in a river should be more differentiated than in an unregulated river, and; (b) genetic differentiation across major dams should correlate with the time since the dam was built.

Results

Genetic variation within groups. Mean single nucleotide variation (SNP) genetic variation across all rivers (expected heterozygosity) was He = 0.140. He was significantly different between all groups within one river system (except for Severn above the dam/Severn below the dam; *p*-value >0.05; Table 1). He was also significantly different between regions (except for Snowy Rivers/Upper Murray Rivers; *p*-value >0.05; Table 1). Border Rivers, located in the north, had the lowest He (range: 0.130–0.135), followed by the Snowy Rivers (0.139–0.144) and the Upper Murray Rivers (0.140–0.152), river regions in the south (Fig. 1). Estimates of allelic richness follow the same trend as heterozygosity estimates. Inbreeding estimates (F_{IS}) were close to zero except for the microsatellite dataset (Table 1).

Connectivity between platypus groups—effects of major dams. For unregulated and regulated river comparisons, the river with the dam showed higher genetic differentiation: Mitta-Mitta above versus below dam had $F_{ST} = 0.024$, whereas Ovens above versus below had $F_{ST} = 0.002$; Nepean below versus above dam had $F_{ST} = 0.073$, whereas Wingecarribee above versus below had



Fig. 1 Sampling sites. a Distribution of major dams (>10 m high; red points) within and outside the IUCN platypus distribution (yellow shade), and the focus regions for this study (brown inset). **b** Location of rivers in south-east Australia where platypuses were sampled (orange squares) in rivers that were regulated (with major dams) and unregulated (no major dams). **c** Upper Murray Rivers: Ovens (unregulated) and Mitta-Mitta Rivers (regulated, upstream sections are in the south, confluence with Ovens is out of the frame, in northwest). **d** Snowy Rivers (do not follow the paired experimental design, due to geographic constraints; see methods): Eucumbene (regulated), Thredbo (unregulated), and Snowy River (regulated, Snowy flows downstream to the southeast). **e** Central NSW Rivers: Wingecarribee River (unregulated) and Nepean River (regulated, downstream sections are in the north, there is no confluence with Wingecarribee). **f** Border Rivers: Tenterfield Creek (unregulated) and Severn River (regulated, upstream sections are to the east, confluence with Tenterfield is out of the frame, in northwest). Pink balloons represent the 81 sampling sites; rivers are coloured in light blue, and reservoirs behind major dams are in dark blue. Platypus distribution shapefile was downloaded from The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species⁷⁴. Rivers and dams shapefiles were downloaded from Australia^{75,76}. Map of Australia shapefile was downloaded from Australian Bureau of Statistics⁷⁷. Dams height and GPS coordinates were downloaded from Australia National Committee on Large Dams Incorporated⁷⁸.

 $F_{ST} = 0.016$; and Severn below versus above dam had $F_{ST} = 0.061$, whereas Tenterfield above versus below had $F_{ST} = 0.007$ (Table 2). In each case, the dammed versus undammed F_{ST} values differed by more than two standard errors of the mean; the average F_{ST} for the three dammed rivers (0.053) was about six times higher than the paired undammed rivers (0.008). The relatively high within-locality variation for microsatellites has the potential to lower F_{ST} for microsatellites relative to SNPs³⁷, however, such a trend was not evident—in fact, the opposite trend was seen. Finally, in the more complex Snowy Rivers system (Fig. 1), this simple paired F_{ST} analysis was not easy to interpret, so we relied upon the other analyses presented below. Using Mutual information and Jost's D to assess genetic differentiation with and without major dams gave results that were comparable to those from F_{ST} (Supplementary Tables 3–4 and Supplementary Figure 2).

Over all four river systems, we observed a positive and significant relationship ($R^2 = 0.615$; *p*-value = 0.013) between F_{ST} and the number of platypus generations since the building of the dam (Fig. 2). We note again that potential bias towards lower F_{ST} values in microsatellites than in SNPs, mentioned above, was not evident—the oldest dam was in the river system analysed by microsatellites, and this system showed the highest F_{ST} (Fig. 2).

Spatial differentiation summarised by principal components analysis (PCA) of the Upper Murray Rivers (Mitta-Mitta and Ovens Rivers) did not show complete separation of samples for different locations, but there was noticeable clustering of platypuses into three groups: Ovens river (unregulated); below the dam in the Mitta-Mitta River, and above the dam in the Mitta-Mitta River (Fig. 3a). Snowy Rivers (Snowy, Thredbo and Eucumbene Rivers) did not follow the paired experimental design due to geographic constraints. PCA analyses showed that platypuses from the Snowy River formed a separated cluster to that of the Thredbo and Eucumbene Rivers (Fig. 3b), whereas platypuses from the two latter rivers overlapped somewhat on the PCA plot. Notably, platypuses from the Eucumbene River above the dam were closer to platypuses from Thredbo River than platypuses from the Eucumbene River below the dam. PCA analyses of the central New South Wales Rivers (Nepean and Wingecarribee Rivers) did not show a clear clustering pattern (Fig. 3c) possibly due to the low number of markers used in this analysis (12 microsatellites) compared to the other rivers systems (2641 SNPs). For the Border Rivers (Tenterfield Creek and Severn River), the principal component analysis (PCA) of these rivers indicated three well-separated clusters (Fig. 3d), with platypuses collected below and above the dam in the Severn River, and Tenterfield Creek forming different groups. 3D PCA plots showing the first three principal components are available in Supplementary Data 1-4.

Discussion

Dispersal and gene flow are essential for the viability of natural populations, critical for ecological and evolutionary processes such as recolonisation, dispersal to suitable habitats, increased genetic diversity to avoid inbreeding depression and allow adaptation^{26,29,30}. There is increasing concern about the impacts of dams on aquatic biota and ecological processes^{15,17} given this is a critical global issue for rivers, with at least 2.8 million reservoirs larger than 0.1 ha³⁸. Our analyses suggest that major dams pose barriers to platypus dispersal and gene flow given that genetic differentiation increased proportionally with time after

		þ									
Region	River/Creek	Survey section (km)	Sample size	Proxy of abundance	Allelic richness	Ч	SE	He	SE	F _{IS}	SE
Upper	Ovens	36	19	27	1.375	0.144	0.003	0.145	0.003	0.005	0.004
Murray Rivers	Mitta-Mitta	23	13	19	1.370	0.140	0.003	0.143	0.003	0.013	0.005
	above dam	Q	•		L 00 1	C 1 L O		C11 C		010 0	
	Mitta-Mitta below dam	×	4	4	CY5.1	0.152	0.003	5دًا .0	0.003	-0.019	0.009
Snowy Rivers	Snowy	26	56	46	1.365	0.139	0.002	0.141	0.002	0.010	0.002
	Thredbo	33	19	37	1.365	0.141	0.003	0.141	0.003	-0.003	0.004
	Eucumbene	18	4	36	1.370	0.144	0.003	0.143	0.003	-0.026	0.009
	above dam										
	Eucumbene	20	20	50	1.346	0.137	0.003	0.136	0.003	-0.001	0.004
	below dam	I	:								
Central	Wingecarribee*	7	42	**	4.113	0.703	0.060	0.731	0.044	0.053	0.047
NSW Rivers	Nepean	0.5	11	**	3.942	0.549	0.063	0.646	0.064	0.142	0.051
	above dam*										
	Nepean	4	7	**	4.706	0.589	0.095	0.608	0.059	0.096	0.107
	below dam*										
Border Rivers	Tenterfield	96	39	207	1.353	0.135	0.003	0.138	0.003	0.015	0.003
	Severn	50	23	115	1.335	0.133	0.003	0.133	0.003	-0.003	0.004
	above dam										
	Severn	60	17	83	1.333	0.130	0.003	0.131	0.003	0.005	0.005
	below dam										
The number of samples a	and a proxy of abundance calcula:	ited as (unique number of cap	tures/number of sampling n	ights) × (length of the rive	r surveyed) based on F	ławke et al. ²¹ ; <i>Ho</i> —o	bserved heterozyg	osity; <i>He</i> —expecte	ed Hardy-Weinber	g heterozygosity; F _{IS} -	
Note that small sample : SE standard error. NSW	sizes in Mitta-Mitta below the da New South Wales.	am and Eucumbene above the	dam (both 4 individuals) ar	e likely to result in unreli	able estimates of diver	sity.					
*Microsatellite data. **Comparable estimates	are not available due to different	t survey techniques see Kolon	nyjec et al. ^{31,53,55} .								

ARTICLE

Table 2 Genetic	differentiation	(Fct)	between	rivers in	1 different	connectivit	scenarios.
	annerentiation	V 517	Detheen		- anici ciii	connectivity	30011011031

Region	River 1	River 2	F _{ST}	SE	Connectivity scenario
Border Rivers	Tenterfield	Severn above dam	0.063	0.002	Separated by a river system
	Tenterfield	Severn below dam	0.075	0.002	Separated by a river system
	Severn below dam	Severn above dam	0.061	0.002	Separated by dam for 47 years (Circa 1969)*
	Tenterfield above	Tenterfield below	0.007	0.001	No dam
Upper Murray Rivers	Ovens	Mitta-Mitta above dam	0.052	0.002	Contiguous river systems
	Ovens	Mitta-Mitta below dam	0.035	0.003	Contiguous river systems
	Mitta-Mitta above dam	Mitta-Mitta below dam	0.024	0.003	Separated by dam for 39 years (Circa 1979)
	Ovens above	Ovens below	0.002	0.002	No dam
Snowy Rivers	Snowy	Thredbo	0.024	0.001	Separated by dam for 50 years (Circa 1967)
	Snowy	Eucumbene above dam	0.042	0.002	Separated by dam for 59 years (Circa 1958)
	Snowy	Eucumbene below dam	0.045	0.001	Separated by dam for 50 years (<i>Circa</i> 1967)
	Thredbo	Eucumbene above dam	0.040	0.003	Separated by dam for 59 years (Circa 1958)
	Thredbo	Eucumbene below dam	0.031	0.002	Separated by lake for 50 years (Circa 1967)
	Eucumbene above dam	Eucumbene below dam	0.053	0.003	Separated by dam for 59 years (Circa 1958)
Central NSW Rivers	Wingecarribee**	Nepean above dam	0.060	0.023	Contiguous river systems
	Wingecarribee**	Nepean below dam	0.062	0.013	Contiguous river systems
	Nepean above dam**	Nepean below dam	0.073	0.018	Separated by dam for 74 years (<i>Circa</i> 1935)
	Wingecarribee above**	Wingecarribee below	0.016	0.007	No dam

SE standard error.

*Pindari Dam. The height of the dam wall was doubled from 45 m to 85 m in 1995.

**Microsatellite data



Fig. 2 Genetic differentiation against dam age. Relationship between genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between platypus groups separated by major dams (n = 8 major dams) and the number of platypus generations (7.9 years⁶⁸) since the building of the dam. Genetic differentiation increased at a rate of 0.011 per generation.

the building of a dam and was higher in dammed than undammed rivers.

In relation to whether major dams affect the connectivity between platypus groups, F_{ST} values were higher when there was a dam, and some F_{ST} values between groups separated by a dam were as high as F_{ST} values between groups in different rivers (Table 2). In addition, we found a significant association between F_{ST} and the number of platypus generations since dam construction (Fig. 2), suggesting that F_{ST} increases at a rate of 0.011 by generation. Even though the Nepean dam, built in 1935, was analysed with a different type of molecular marker (microsatellites, not SNPs), recent research indicates that estimates of F_{ST} using SNPs and microsatellites are comparable^{39,40}. If anything, we would expect the microsatellites used in this system to have lower F_{ST} due to the effect of their high within-group variation^{37,41}, but in fact the opposite trend was seen. We noticed that F_{ST} values in the Snowy Rivers were higher between groups separated by the Jindabyne Dam (Eucumbene below dam/Snowy; $F_{ST} = 0.045$) than between groups divided by the Jindabyne reservoir but not a dam (Eucumbene below dam/Thredbo; $F_{ST} = 0.031$). This observation suggests that some limited gene flow might have occurred across the Jindabyne reservoir.

Overall, our results are consistent with the notion that major dams and their associated waterbodies may be considerable barriers for platypuses. Despite platypuses being able to move substantial distances (e.g., male juveniles can move >40 km⁴²⁻⁴⁴), the effect of major dams on genetic differentiation was considerable. Such impacts can be directly related to the dam walls representing a barrier dissuading platypuses from attempting to bypass the wall through overland movements as well as indirectly by increasing predation risk by introduced predators such as foxes, cats, and dogs²⁴.

Major dams represent dispersal barriers for most freshwater species^{45,46}, requiring mitigation strategies to offset negative demographic impacts. For instance, human-mediated relocation of individuals between populations has been implemented successfully to limit the effects of population isolation and small population size⁴⁷. A common rule of thumb in conservation suggests that one dispersing individual per generation would minimise the effects of population isolation, despite some limitations and caveats, is the construction of dam bypass structures that increase dispersal of freshwater species, including fishways^{49–51}, although there are adverse consequences of connectivity, such as disease risks⁵². Such by-pass structures have not yet been considered for the platypus.

We have found that platypus connectivity between groups is adversely affected by major dams, and it is known that reduced connectivity can lead to the adverse long-term conservation outcomes described above^{26–30}. Therefore there will be a need for the management of platypuses to consider ways such as those just described to minimise detrimental effects of river regulation on the platypus (and other species). Some of the long-term effects of major dams might be reduced by rare natural dispersal events between rivers⁵³, but our results indicate that this has not been enough to offset the divisive effect of the major dams, so more active management is required. Firstly, new dams within the



Fig. 3 Principal coordinates analyses. a Upper Murray Rivers: unregulated (no dam) Ovens and regulated (dam) Mitta-Mitta Rivers. **b** Snowy Rivers: regulated (dam) Snowy, unregulated (no dam) Thredbo and regulated (dam) Eucumbene Rivers. These rivers do not follow the paired experimental design due to geographic constraints. **c** Central NSW Rivers: regulated (dam) Nepean and unregulated (no dam) Wingecarribee Rivers. **d** Border Rivers: unregulated (no dam) Tenterfield Creek and regulated (dam) Severn River. Numbers between parentheses in the axis labels show the percentage of variation captured by each axis. Each point represents a platypus individual.

Region	River/Creek	Dam name	Year of completion	Dam height (m)	Dam volume (GL)
Upper Murray Rivers ^c	Ovens	-	-	-	-
	Mitta-Mitta	Dartmouth	1979	180	3856
Snowy Rivers ^d	Snowy	Jindabyne	1967	72	688
	Thredbo	-	-	-	-
	Eucumbene	Eucumbene	1958	116	4798
Central NSW Rivers ^e	Wingecarribee	-	-	-	-
	Nepean	Nepean	1935	85	68
Border Rivers ^f	Tenterfield	-	-	-	-
	Severn	Pindari*	1969	85	312

platypus distribution need to be avoided, for example, by pumping from the river into an off-stream storage without the necessity for a dam on the river itself, as is done for metropolitan water supplies in both the Manning and Hastings Rivers, in New South Wales. Secondly, for existing major dams, it might be possible to devise platypus-specific versions of methods that have been used to ameliorate dam effects in other species, such as human-mediated relocation of individuals or by-pass structures that increase dispersal.

In this study, we compared regulated rivers, with major dams, to adjacent unregulated rivers with no major dams and identified that major dams were barriers to movement of platypuses within a river system, reflected in genetic variation. Major dams restricted dispersal and gene flow between groups and therefore increased the possibility of inbreeding depression, loss of adaptive genetic variation, failure to recolonise areas where local extinctions have occurred and failure to disperse to areas with more suitable conditions. Synergistic with reduced habitat quality, these are all expected to lower the long-term viability of the platypus¹¹. Our analyses reinforce the growing evidence on the negative impacts of major dams on platypus populations. These studies are relevant to inform the decision-making process of conservation managers and could be used in viability analysis and decision analysis⁵⁴ to develop strategies that ensure the long-term persistence of the unique platypus. This study adds to the growing evidence about the impacts of dams on aquatic biota and their viability.

Methods

Study areas and fieldwork. Samples from platypuses were collected from nine different rivers (five regulated by major dams and four unregulated) across four regions in south-east Australia (see Fig. 1 and Table 3), also described in Hawke et al.²¹ and Kolomyjec et al.^{55,56}. River flows upstream of major dams were minimally regulated, contrasting with heavily regulated downstream flows. Throughout their range, the platypus comprises four major geographically defined genetic clusters: North Queensland, central Queensland, New South Wales and Tasmania⁵⁷. The samples used in this study belong to the New South Wales cluster.

ARTICLE

Table	4	SNP	filte	ering
-------	---	-----	-------	-------

Filter	Variation between groups	Variation within groups
Reproducibility (RepAvg)	>100%	>100%
Retain only one SNP per read	Used	Used
Departure from Hardy-Weinberg proportions	<0.05	<0.05
Mapped to chromosome	Used	Used
BLAST alignment E-value	<1e-20	<1e-20
Missing data by site	>90%	>100%
Minor allele count (MAC)	>3	Not used
Linkage disequilibrium (r ²)	<0.2	Not used
Remove sites located within coding regions	Used	Not used
Remove sites located within sex chromosomes	Not used	Used
Total SNPs after filtering	2641	4551

Platypuses were captured across 81 sites (Fig. 1). In this study, we used two different molecular markers: single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) for all samples except Central NSW, and microsatellites for Central NSW^{55,56}. Sampling for microsatellites in Central NSW is described in Kolomyjce et al.^{55,56}. For SNPs at all other sites, we aimed to cover a minimum of 40 km of each unregulated river and 20 km of river above and below major dams on regulated rivers. The procedure of trapping and sampling platypuses, including details of anæsthesia, used in this study have been described elsewhere^{21,58}. Briefly, platypuses were captured using fyke nets or unweighted mesh (gill) nets and implanted with a Passive Integrated Transponder (PIT) tag (Trovan) to identify recaptured individuals. Platypuses were then weighed, measured, sæed, aged, and blood collected (~2 ml) and stored in Qiagen RNAprotect, animal blood tubes (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). For the SNP sampling, our proxy of abundance for each river was the following metric: unique number of captures/number of sampling nights x length of the river surveyed (see Hawke et al.²¹).

Laboratory work. For SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms), genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA quality and concentration were visualised using agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified fluorimetrically with a Qubit 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were genotyped using DArTseqTM (DArT Pty Ltd, Canberra, ACT, Australia). DArT's procedure uses a combination of genome complexity reduction methods using restriction enzymes, implicit fragment size selection and next-generation sequencing to produce thousands of SNPs randomly distributed throughout the genome⁵⁹. Read sequences were processed using pro-prietary DArT analytical pipelines⁵⁹ and mapped to the representative platypus genome (mOrnAna1.p.v1, GenBank assembly accession: GCA_004115215.2; total sequence length of 1.8 Gbps, 305 scaffolds with an N50 of 83 Mbp). Refer to Georges et al.⁶⁰ for details of DArT sequencing, genotyping and filtering processes. DArT's genotyping has various advantages such as limiting the potential for ascertainment bias⁶¹, providing metadata for each locus with various quality and BLAST alignment measures, including the proportion of replicates for which the marker score is consistent (RepAvg) and the average of the polymorphism information content of the reference and SNP allele (AvgPIC).

For microsatellites, genomic DNA was extracted from toe-web biopsies $(2 \times 2 \text{ mm specimens stored in 70\% ethanol)}$ using a proteinase K/salt precipitation method⁶². Twelve published microsatellite sites were amplified and scored according to standard techniques^{55,56}.

SNP filtering. The criterion for SNP filtering used to analyse variation between groups (e.g., F_{ST}) can bias estimates of variation within groups (e.g., heterozygosity⁶³). Therefore, we used different filtering settings for each type of analysis (Table 4). Detailed description of the filtering processes can be found in the Supplementary Information document.

For SNPs, a total of 295 platypuses were captured and blood sampled across four river regions in southeast Australia (Supplementary Table 2). DNA extraction and DArTTM sequencing were successful in 218 blood samples from individuals. Two samples, each collected in a different river (V30 in Ovens and V32 in Mitta-Mitta), showed contrasting genetic patterns relative to samples collected in the same river (Supplementary Figure 1). Relatedness analyses performed in the R package related⁶⁴ revealed these two samples had closer relatives in the opposite river (Supplementary Table 1). In addition, the locations of these two samples were separated by 46 km, steep mountainous terrain, and a river system. Under these conditions, we considered that dispersal events were unlikely and concluded that samples were mislabelled and therefore assigned them to the presumed correct river and site. Relatedness analyses also identified two pairs of samples in which each pair was collected from the same individual (i.e., recaptures; samples T3-T5 and T28-T42; Supplementary Table 1). Consequently, we removed one sample from each pair. In the unlikely event that these were pairs of identical twins, it would still be appropriate to remove one of each pair.

For SNPs, sequencing provider DArTTM (Canberra) successfully genotyped 17,631 single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) sites. After stringent filtering, our dataset for analysing genetic variation between groups comprised 2641 SNPs genotyped in 214 platypus samples (108 females, 106 males). After filtering, our SNP dataset for analysing genetic variation within groups comprised 4551 SNPs genotyped in 214 platypus samples (108 females, 106 males).

Data analyses

Genetic variation within groups. To measure genetic variation within rivers, we calculated observed heterozygosity (*Ho*), expected heterozygosity (*He*) and allelic richness using the R package *Hierfstat*⁶⁵. After identifying that the data did not conform to a normal distribution, using a Shapiro–Wilk test of normality (R function *shapiro.test*), we tested whether *He* was significantly different between groups using a non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test (R function *wilcox.test* with option paired = FALSE). In addition, we calculated the inbreeding coefficient (F_{LS}) of each river group using *Hierfstat*.

Investigating whether major dams affect connectivity between platypus groups. We used multiple approaches to investigate whether major dams affect gene flow between platypus groups. Firstly, to test whether groups separated by major dams are more genetically different than otherwise, we divided the sampling sites of each pair of rivers into comparable upstream and downstream groups. For regulated rivers (Nepean, Severn and Mitta-Mitta), the dam, ignoring the reservoir, was used as reference point for the division. For unregulated rivers (Wingecarribee, Tenterfield and Ovens), the division point was chosen at a comparable position to the dam in the paired regulated river. We then calculated the genetic differentiation using F_{ST} following Nei's method⁶⁶ between the two groups within each river. We tested the significance of the difference of F_{ST} values between dammed and unregulated rivers using a Mann–Whitney U test (R function *wilcox.test* with option paired = FALSE). In addition, we used Mutual Information⁴¹ and Jost's D⁶⁷ two measures that assess between-group differentiation independently of within-group variation.

Secondly, to test whether the number of platypus generations since the building of the dams can predict the genetic differentiation of SNPs and microsatellites between groups (F_{ST}), we used univariate linear regression models (R function lm). We considered one platypus generation to be 7.9 years based on Pacifici et al.⁶⁸, who used information on age at first reproduction and reproductive life span to estimate generation length in platypus.

Thirdly, to visualise the spatial distribution of genetic variation of the sampled individuals, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) using the R package *dartR*⁶⁹ using our two datasets of SNP's and microsatellites. PCA is a statistical method that summarises the variance in the data and projects the top principal components onto a series of orthogonal axes⁷⁰. We chose to use PCA because it has an exact mathematical relationship to the biological coalescent, or genealogy⁷⁰, and provides two-dimensional and three-dimensional displays, which are not available in other methods such as STRUCTURE⁷¹.

Statistics and reproducibility. Sample sizes and statistical parameters used in each analysis are indicated in the relevant 'Methods' and 'Results' sections, as well as in tables when applicable. All statistical analyses were performed in R (v4.0.5)⁷².

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The datasets used for this research work are stored in GitHub: https://github.com/ mijangos81/Platypus and have been archived within the Zenodo repository: https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.7039778⁷³.

ARTICLE

Code availability

The R scripts used for this research work are stored in GitHub: https://github.com/ mijangos81/Platypus and have been archived within the Zenodo repository: https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.7039778⁷³.

Received: 16 March 2022; Accepted: 27 September 2022; Published online: 03 November 2022

References

- 1. Zhou, Y. et al. Platypus and echidna genomes reveal mammalian biology and evolution. *Nature* **592**, 756–762 (2021).
- Bino, G. et al. The platypus: evolutionary history, biology, and an uncertain future. J. Mammal. 100, 308–327 (2019).
- Veyrunes, F. et al. Bird-like sex chromosomes of platypus imply recent origin of mammal sex chromosomes. *Genome Res.* 18, 965–973 (2008).
- Anich, P. S. et al. Biofluorescence in the platypus (Ornithorhynchus anatinus). Mammalia 85, 179–181 (2021).
- Pavoine, S., Ollier, S. & Dufour, A. B. Is the originality of a species measurable? Ecol. Lett. 8, 579–586 (2005).
- Isaac, N. J. B., Turvey, S. T., Collen, B., Waterman, C. & Baillie, J. E. M. Mammals on the EDGE: conservation priorities based on threat and phylogeny. *PLoS ONE* 2, e296 (2007).
- Woinarski, J. & Burbidge, A. In The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e. T40488A21964009 (IUCN, 2016).
- 8. Victoria Government Gazette. Authority of Victorian Government Printer (2021).
- Hawke, T., Bino, G. & Kingsford, R. T. A silent demise: Historical insights into population changes of the iconic platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*). *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 20, e00720 (2019).
- 10. Grant, T. R. & Fanning, D. Platypus (CSIRO PUBLISHING, 2007).
- Bino, G., Kingsford, R. T. & Wintle, B. A. A stitch in time-Synergistic impacts to platypus metapopulation extinction risk. *Biol. Conserv.* 242, 108399 (2020).
- 12. Hawke, T., Bino, G. & Kingsford, R. A National Assessment of the Conservation Status of the Platypus (University of New South Wales, 2021).
- Bino, G., Hawke, T. & Kingsford, R. T. Synergistic effects of a severe drought and fire on platypuses. *Sci. Total Environ.* 777, 146137 (2021).
- Klamt, M., Thompson, R. & Davis, J. Early response of the platypus to climate warming. *Glob. Change Biol.* 17, 3011–3018 (2011).
- Reid, A. J. et al. Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biol. Rev.* 94, 849–873 (2019).
- Grill, G. et al. An index-based framework for assessing patterns and trends in river fragmentation and flow regulation by global dams at multiple scales. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 10, 015001 (2015).
- 17. Winemiller, K. O. et al. Balancing hydropower and biodiversity in the Amazon, Congo, and Mekong. *Science* **351**, 128–129 (2016).
- Dugan, P. J. et al. Fish migration, dams, and loss of ecosystem services in the Mekong basin. *Ambio* 39, 344–348 (2010).
- Timpe, K. & Kaplan, D. The changing hydrology of a dammed Amazon. Sci. Adv. 3, e1700611 (2017).
- Grant, T. R. & Temple-Smith, P. D. Conservation of the platypus, Ornithorhynchus anatinus: threats and challenges. Aquat. Ecosyst. Health Manag. 6, 5–18 (2003).
- Hawke, T., Bino, G. & Kingsford, R. T. Damming insights: variable impacts and implications of river regulation on platypus populations. *Aquat. Conserv.: Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst.* **31**, 504–519 (2021).
- Bethge, P., Munks, S., Otley, H. & Nicol, S. Diving behaviour, dive cycles and aerobic dive limit in the platypus Ornithorhynchus anatinus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A: Mol. Integr. Physiol. 136, 799–809 (2003).
- Grant, T. & Llewellyn, L. C. The Biology and Management of the Platypus (Ornithorhynchus anatinus) in NSW (NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, 1991).
- Grant, T. R. Captures, Capture Mortality, Age and Sex Ratios of Platypuses, Ornithorhynchus Anatinus, during Studies over 30 Years in the Upper Shoalhaven River in New South Wales (Linnean Society of New South Wales, 2004).
- Marchant, R. & Grant, T. The productivity of the macroinvertebrate prey of the platypus in the upper Shoalhaven River, New South Wales. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 66, 1128–1137 (2015).
- Baguette, M., Blanchet, S., Legrand, D., Stevens, V. M. & Turlure, C. Individual dispersal, landscape connectivity and ecological networks. *Biol. Rev.* 88, 310–326 (2013).
- 27. Frankham, R. et al. Genetic Management of Fragmented Animal and Plant Populations (Oxford University Press, 2017).
- Frankham, R. Genetic rescue of small inbred populations: meta-analysis reveals large and consistent benefits of gene flow. *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 2610–2618 (2015).

- Garant, D., Forde, S. E. & Hendry, A. P. The multifarious effects of dispersal and gene flow on contemporary adaptation. *Funct. Ecol.* 21, 434–443 (2007).
- Tigano, A. & Friesen, V. L. Genomics of local adaptation with gene flow. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 2144–2164 (2016).
- Kolomyjec, S. H. The History and Relationships of Northern Platypus (Ornithorhynchus Anatinus) Populations: A Molecular Approach (James Cook University, 2010).
- Furlan, E. M. et al. Dispersal patterns and population structuring among platypuses, Ornithorhynchus anatinus, throughout south-eastern Australia. *Conserv. Genet.* 14, 837–853 (2013).
- Balkenhol, N., Cushman, S., Storfer, A. & Waits, L. Landscape Genetics: Concepts, Methods, Applications (John Wiley & Sons, 2015).
- Ramachandran, S. et al. Support from the relationship of genetic and geographic distance in human populations for a serial founder effect originating in Africa. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 102, 15942–15947 (2005).
- Landguth, E. L. et al. Quantifying the lag time to detect barriers in landscape genetics. *Mol. Ecol.* 19, 4179–4191 (2010).
- Hoffman, J. R., Willoughby, J. R., Swanson, B. J., Pangle, K. L. & Zanatta, D. T. Detection of barriers to dispersal is masked by long lifespans and large population sizes. *Ecol. Evolution* 7, 9613–9623 (2017).
- Meirmans, P. G. & Hedrick, P. W. Assessing population structure: F-ST and related measures. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 11, 5–18 (2011).
- Lehner, B. et al. High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-flow management. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 9, 494–502 (2011).
- Lemopoulos, A. et al. Comparing RADseq and microsatellites for estimating genetic diversity and relatedness—implications for brown trout conservation. *Ecol. Evolution* 9, 2106–2120 (2019).
- Sunde, J., Yıldırım, Y., Tibblin, P. & Forsman, A. Comparing the performance of microsatellites and RADseq in population genetic studies: Analysis of data for pike (Esox lucius) and a synthesis of previous studies. *Front. Genet.* 11, 218 (2020).
- Sherwin, W. B., Chao, A., Jost, L. & Smouse, P. E. Information theory broadens the spectrum of molecular ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 948–963 (2017).
- Serena, M. & Williams, G. Movements and cumulative range size of the platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) inferred from mark-recapture studies. *Aust. J. Zool.* **60**, 352–359 (2013).
- Hawke, T. et al. Fine-scale movements and interactions of platypuses, and the impact of an environmental flushing flow. *Freshw. Biol.* 66, 177–188 (2021).
- 44. Hawke, T. et al. Long-term movements and activity patterns of platypus on regulated rivers. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 1–11 (2021).
- Nislow, K. H., Hudy, M., Letcher, B. H. & Smith, E. P. Variation in local abundance and species richness of stream fishes in relation to dispersal barriers: implications for management and conservation. *Freshw. Biol.* 56, 2135–2144 (2011).
- Søndergaard, M. & Jeppesen, E. Anthropogenic impacts on lake and stream ecosystems, and approaches to restoration. J. Appl. Ecol. 44, 1089–1094 (2007).
- Hoffmann, A. A., Miller, A. D. & Weeks, A. R. Genetic mixing for population management: From genetic rescue to provenancing. *Evol. Appl.* 14, 634–652 (2020).
- Mills, L. S. & Allendorf, F. W. The one-migrant-per-generation rule in conservation and management. *Conserv. Biol.* 10, 1509–1518 (1996).
- Brown, J. J. et al. Fish and hydropower on the US Atlantic coast: failed fisheries policies from half-way technologies. *Conserv. Lett.* 6, 280–286 (2013).
- Silva, A. T. et al. The future of fish passage science, engineering, and practice. *Fish. Fish.* 19, 340–362 (2018).
- Broadhurst, B., Ebner, B., Lintermans, M., Thiem, J. & Clear, R. Jailbreak: a fishway releases the endangered Macquarie perch from confinement below an anthropogenic barrier. *Mar. Freshw. Res.* 64, 900–908 (2013).
- Sainsbury, A. W. & Vaughan-Higgins, R. J. Analyzing disease risks associated with translocations. *Conserv. Biol.* 26, 442–452 (2012).
- Kolomyjec, S. H., Grant, T. R., Johnson, C. N. & Blair, D. Regional population structuring and conservation units in the platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*). *Aust. J. Zool.* **61**, 378–385 (2014).
- Drechsler, M. & Burgman, M. A. Combining population viability analysis with decision analysis. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 13, 115–139 (2004).
- Kolomyjec, S. H. et al. Population genetics of the platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*): a fine-scale look at adjacent river systems. *Aust. J. Zool.* 57, 225–234 (2009).
- Kolomyjec, S. H., Grant, T. R. & Blair, D. Ten polymorphic microsatellite DNA markers for the platypus, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus. Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 8, 1133–1135 (2008).
- 57. Martin, H. C. et al. Insights into platypus population structure and history from whole-genome sequencing. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 1238–1252 (2018).
- Bino, G., Kingsford, R. T., Grant, T., Taylor, M. D. & Vogelnest, L. Use of implanted acoustic tags to assess platypus movement behaviour across spatial and temporal scales. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1–12 (2018).

- Kilian, A. et al. Diversity arrays technology: a generic genome profiling technology on open platforms. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 888, 67–89 (2012).
- Georges, A. et al. Genomewide SNP markers breathe new life into phylogeography and species delimitation for the problematic short-necked turtles (Chelidae: Emydura) of eastern Australia. *Mol. Ecol.* 27, 5195–5213 (2018).
- Steane, D. A. et al. Population genetic analysis and phylogeny reconstruction in Eucalyptus (Myrtaceae) using high-throughput, genome-wide genotyping. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 59, 206–224 (2011).
- Sunnucks, P. & Hales, D. F. Numerous transposed sequences of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I-II in aphids of the genus Sitobion (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13, 510–524 (1996).
- Schmidt, T. L., Jasper, M. E., Weeks, A. R. & Hoffmann, A. A. Unbiased population heterozygosity estimates from genome-wide sequence data. *Methods Ecol. Evolution* 12, 1888–1898 (2021).
- Pew, J., Muir, P. H., Wang, J. & Frasier, T. R. related: an R package for analysing pairwise relatedness from codominant molecular markers. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 15, 557–561 (2015).
- Goudet, J. Hierfstat, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical Fstatistics. Mol. Ecol. Notes 5, 184–186 (2005).
- 66. Nei, M. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (Columbia University Press, 1987).
- 67. Jost, L. GST and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 4015-4026 (2008).
- 68. Pacifici, M. et al. Generation length for mammals. Nat. Conserv. 5, 89 (2013).
- Mijangos, J. L., Gruber, B., Berry, O., Pacioni, C. & Georges, A. dartR v2: an accessible genetic analysis platform for conservation, ecology, and agriculture. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 13, 2150–2158 (2022).
- McVean, G. A genealogical interpretation of principal components analysis. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000686 (2009).
- 71. Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959 (2000).
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project. org/ (2021).
- Mijangos, J. et al. Datasets and R scripts for Fragmentation by major dams and implications for the future viability of platypus populations (2022).
- IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature) 2008. Ornithorhynchus anatinus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2022-1. https://www.iucnredlist.org (2022).
- 75. Crossman, S. & Li, O. Surface Hydrology Lines (National) (2015).
- 76. Crossman, S. & Li, O. Surface Hydrology Polygons (National) (2015).
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (2021). States and Territories 2021 Shapefile [https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/standards/australian-statistical-geographystandard-asgs-edition-3/jul2021-jun2026/access-and-downloads/digitalboundary-files] [Shapefile], Digital boundary files (2022).
- 78. Australian National Committee on Large Dams Incorporated (ANCOLD). Register of Large Dams Australia (2022).

Acknowledgements

J.L.M. was funded by a University College Postgraduate Research Scholarship from UNSW Canberra. This study was funded by ARC Linkage LP150100093, the Taronga Conservation Society, and the Australian Government's Environmental Water Holder. Trapping and handling of platypuses for the SNP samples were carried out in accordance with guidelines and approved by the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (SL101655), NSW Department of Primary Industries (P15/0096-1.0 & OUT15/26392), and UNSW's Animal Care and Ethics Committee (16/14A). Trapping and handling of platypuses for the microsatellite samples from the Nepean and Wingecarribee Rivers was approved under the [then] NSW Department of Environment and Climate Change Scientific Research License number \$10478, NSW Department of Primary Industries (DPI) Scientific Research Permit number F84.1245 and NSW DPI Animal Research Authority - Trim File No. 01/1091. Consent to enter Special Metropolitan [catchment] Areas was provided by the [then] Sydney Catchment Authority (Reference No. 3008/ 07934; 2009). We are grateful to Dr. Clare Holleley, Dr. Melody Serena, Dr. Simon Watt, Jia Zhou and Alex Sentinella for their valuable comments that importantly improved the manuscript.

Author contributions

W.B.S., G.B., R.T.K., J.G. and others conceived the project and acquired the research funds; H.S. and W.B.S. supervised; J.L.M., J.D., K.N.D. and J.G. performed DNA extraction; G.B., T.H. and T.G. carried out fieldwork and collected samples; S.H.K. performed the microsatellite analyses; J.L.M. analysed the data; J.L.M. wrote the manuscript with support from W.B.S. T.G. and S.H.K. first pondered on the possible effect major dams may be having on the genetics of the platypus, carried out the initial research and encouraged others to further investigate this aspect of platypus conservation. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04038-9.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Jose L. Mijangos.

Peer review information *Communications Biology* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary Handling Editors: Michelle Lawing and Luke R. Grinham. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© Crown 2022