REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN (Check for updates Imaging immunity in patients with cancer using positron emission tomography

Fiona Hegi-Johnson (1,2, Stacey Rudd³, Rodney J. Hicks (1,2,4, Dirk De Ruysscher⁵, Joseph A. Trapani^{2,6}, Thomas John^{2,7}, Paul Donnelly (1,3, Benjamin Blyth², Gerard Hanna (1,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴ and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}, Peter Roselt⁴) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,2,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2,3,4, Sarah Everitt^{1,2}) and Michael P. MacManus (1,2

Immune checkpoint inhibitors and related molecules can achieve tumour regression, and even prolonged survival, for a subset of cancer patients with an otherwise dire prognosis. However, it remains unclear why some patients respond to immunotherapy and others do not. PET imaging has the potential to characterise the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of both immunotherapy target molecules and the tumor immune microenvironment, suggesting a tantalising vision of personally-adapted immunomodulatory treatment regimens. Personalised combinations of immunotherapy with local therapies and other systemic therapies, would be informed by immune imaging and subsequently modified in accordance with therapeutically induced immune environmental changes. An ideal PET imaging biomarker would facilitate the choice of initial therapy and would permit sequential imaging in time-frames that could provide actionable information to guide subsequent therapy. Such imaging should provide either prognostic or predictive measures of responsiveness relevant to key immunotherapy types but, most importantly, guide key decisions on initiation, continuation, change or cessation of treatment to reduce the cost and morbidity of treatment while enhancing survival outcomes. We survey the current literature, focusing on clinically relevant immune checkpoint immunotherapies, for which novel PET tracers are being developed, and discuss what steps are needed to make this vision a reality.

npj Precision Oncology (2022)6:24; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-022-00263-x

INTRODUCTION

In an era of rapidly-evolving cancer management paradigms, made possible by our growing understanding of the fundamental drivers of cancer biology, the importance of the tumour microenvironment (TME), especially the contributions of the innate and adaptive immune systems, to cancer initiation, progression and metastasis, has been recognized. As our understanding of the role of the immune system in cancer has grown, highly effective new immunotherapeutic strategies have developed. In parallel with these scientific and therapeutic developments, our capacity to image components of the immune system with novel PET tracers has grown into a very promising area of research and development with the capacity to inform therapeutic decision making.

In this review we focus on the use of immune PET imaging to characterise clinically-relevant molecular targets, including those expressed on tumour cells and in the TME, with an emphasis on the therapeutic use of immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) immunotherapies, the most widely used of the new immunomodulatory therapeutics¹. These agents recruit the patient's own immune system to cause regression of cancer and have yielded meaningful, sometimes dramatic, objective clinical responses in diseases with previously bleak prognoses. Many patients have achieved prolonged disease-free survival and a proportion of these may even have been cured. ICIs, such as durvalumab and atezolizumab, targeting programmed cell death ligand-1 (PD-L1), and nivolumab and pembrolizumab, targeting programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1), are revolutionising the management of common cancers, including lung carcinomas^{2–4}, head and neck⁵ and skin cancers⁶. The PD-1 molecule, also known as CD279, is a cell surface protein that is expressed by all T cells during activation, and typically serves to down-regulate T cell numbers following virus infections. However, PD-1 can also down-regulate immune responses to cancer, by promoting self-tolerance through suppression of T cell activity. It often shows high and sustained expression levels during persistent antigen encounter in chronic infections and in cancer⁷. PD-L1, also known as CD274, is a ligand for the PD-1 receptor and is commonly expressed on malignant cells. Binding of PD-L1 expressed on tumour cells to its receptor on immune cells can help tumour cells evade antitumour immunity. As circulating anti-tumour cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) can be detected in a significant proportion of cancer patients, this is considered to be a major mechanism responsible for cancer development and growth. CTLA-4 (cytotoxic Tlymphocyte-associated protein 4), also known as CD152, is another protein receptor that functions as an immune checkpoint. It downregulates immune responses and, like PD-1 and PD-L1, can be targeted by specific ICIs, leading to increased antigen presentation, lymphocyte priming and lymphocyte migration into tumours⁸. Anti-CTLA-4 ICIs are often given in combination with anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 ICIs.

In the earliest clinical trials of ICIs, these agents were employed as last-ditch therapies after the failure of all conventional therapeutic approaches in advanced disease settings. After their efficacy was demonstrated in patients without any effective alternatives, following successful clinical trials, these agents have gradually become accepted as part of first-line therapy of metastatic disease in many settings and their use is currently



¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ²The Sir Peter MacCallum Department of Oncology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ³Department of Chemistry, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁴Department of Cancer Imaging, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁵Department of Radiation Oncology (Maastro), GROW School for Oncology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands. ⁶Cancer Immunology Program, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁷Department of Medical Oncology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, VIC, Australia. ⁸Memail: michael.macmanus@petermac.org

being explored in the adjuvant setting for high-risk malignancies after definitive local disease control⁹. Despite these successes, many patients do not respond to immunotherapy and a few may even experience acceleration or "hyperprogression" of their disease¹⁰. Furthermore, a significant proportion of patients will ultimately experience either local or generalised disease progression after promising initial responses.

ICIs and many other immunotherapies are currently extremely expensive and are commonly associated with significant toxicities, especially when given in combination and for a long duration. Therefore, there is an enormous, unmet need to rapidly identify markers of resistance and responsiveness to immunotherapies and define indicators of therapeutic resistance, primary and acquired, both at the level of the whole body and between individual tumours in the same patient. Biopsies currently provide the most useful available biological information when considering ICI for cancer. Analysis of a biopsy can identify and guantify the expression of the immunotherapy target in the tumour and also provide information on the TME. The Immunoscore, which characterizes the complement of immune cell populations, both immunostimulatory and immunosuppressive in biopsy specimens is widely used to obtain information on the TME¹¹. However, tumour biopsies provide limited sampling and are impractical to repeat serially. Specifically, they reflect the nature of a tiny part of a single tumour lesion at a single timepoint. Serum biomarkers, including circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA), increase the capacity for defining temporal changes^{12,13}, but these generally rely on tractable mutations of biological relevance to the cancer and provide little or no information regarding the spatial distribution of disease and give limited information regarding overall disease burden¹⁴. They also provide no information on cancer immunity. Conversely, the use of a non-invasive assay of biological targets (be they cancer- or immune-related) using molecular imaging on a whole-body scale with novel positron emission tomography (PET) tracers, has the potential to serially identify heterogeneity of target expression between and within lesions.

Molecular imaging can provide new insights into the spatiotemporal changes that occur in the biological targets of cancer immunotherapies with treatment. Many of these mechanisms remain poorly defined. For example, in vitro studies show that both radiotherapy and chemotherapy can up-regulate PD-L1 expression on tumour cells, potentially converting ICIunresponsive tumours to being responsive, but this phenomenon is poorly documented clinically. Current clinical practice generally relies on the identification, pre-treatment, of an immunotherapy target on cancer cells. However, in the case of PD-L1 expression, for example, this can often be heterogenous¹⁵, transient, and powerfully influenced by other cells in the TME¹⁶. Accordingly, unrepresentative biopsies may lead to the selection of inappropriate therapy. The demonstration of spatial heterogeneity in target expression or variation in that expression over time with molecular imaging provides opportunities to deliver individualised treatment combinations that are based an understanding of the causes of immunotherapy resistance. Indeed, the validity of a radionuclidebased imaging approach to study immunity is already being established in clinical trials¹⁷, which have confirmed the presence of significant heterogeneity in PD-L1 expression in human tumours. Preliminary results also suggest that appropriate imaging may provide a more robust predictive biomarker than the current biopsy-based assessment of immunohistochemistry or RNAsequencing¹⁷. For example, Smit and colleagues found that ⁸⁹Zrdurvalumab (anti PD-L1 antibody) tumour uptake was higher in patients with advanced NSCLC who had a response to durvalumab treatment, but did not correlate with tumour PD-L1 immunohistochemistry¹⁸. Heterogeneity of target expression between different tumours in the same patient is also of particular interest, given our increasing ability to control lesions that escape from systemic therapy, using targeted local therapies such as stereotactic ablative body radiotherapy (SABR)^{19,20}.

Successful immunotherapy depends upon much more than the expression of an appropriate immunotherapy target in a tumour. The cellular componentry, physical architecture and spectrum of bio-active constituents within the TME can all play a vital role in the efficacy of immunotherapy as well as providing additional targets for therapeutic intervention. It is becoming increasingly feasible to image these aspects of the TME and to guide the development of novel therapeutic approaches that will increase the probability of success in the future. Novel PET tracers to image CD8 and other activated T-cells are currently in human clinical trials, and may provide a greater insight into the mechanisms of primary and acquired immunoresistance and hypoprogression²¹⁻²³. A mark of the high level of interest in this area is the rapid development of novel PET tracers to image other components of the immune system²⁴ such as CTLA-4^{25,26} and TIGIT²⁷⁻²⁹, where monoclonal antibodies are already in Phase III trials. Other novel immunotherapy targets such as OX40³⁰ and B7-H3³¹ are likely to emerge as interesting and useful targets for PET tracer development. At the moment, these remain in the preclinical space, but their translation into human clinical trials will significantly increase our ability to non-invasively characterise the human immunophenotype in vivo.

In this review, we discuss molecular imaging as a promising biomarker to predict therapeutic response to and guide treatment with immunotherapy, with an emphasis on ICI therapies and the TME in which they function. We also outline some of the technical advances that make this approach feasible, but also highlight the challenges facing future clinical trials that would be required to make novel immune imaging technologies accessible in routine clinical practice.

THE PATIENT JOURNEY AND IMMUNOTHERAPY

An overview of the current landscape

Lung cancer serves as an illustrative example of the evolving clinical impact of immunotherapy. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide³², so initial data showing improvement in survival of patients with advanced disease were greeted enthusiastically and immunotherapies rapidly were incorporated into standards of care^{33–35}. Within the last decade, effective therapies for NSCLC expanded to include combined chemoimmunotherapy³⁶⁻³⁸ and combined immunotherapies (i.e. concurrent delivery of more than one immunotherapeutic agent) with combination chemotherapy³⁹. ICI was moved earlier in the therapeutic pathway following the landmark PACIFIC randomised trial³, which showed that the rate of distant failure in patients treated with potentially-curable unresectable stage III NSCLC treated with definitive chemoradiation was significantly reduced by adjuvant anti-PDL1 antibody therapy given after completion of locoregional therapy, presumably by eradicating micrometastases beyond the radiotherapy treatment volume. In the PACIFIC study, use of ICI was also associated with a very substantial increase in the overall survival rate. Current trials are exploring the role of preoperative ICI in earlier stage, resectable lung cancers⁴⁰. Immunotherapy therefore has the potential to impact the patient journey across the entire NSCLC spectrum, from early stage, through locoregionallyadvanced to metastatic disease.

Despite the success of immunotherapy in NSCLC, it is still not clear why some patients respond well and others do not. A wide range of approaches have been explored to develop predictive biomarkers for ICIs, including analyses of PD-L1 or PD-1 expression on tumour cells and infiltrating lymphocytes^{41,42}, the pattern of lymphocytic infiltration as reflected in an "immunoscore"¹¹, tumour mutational burden^{11,43}, microbiome⁴⁴ and consideration

of clinical features such as use of steroids and antibiotics. Unfortunately, the mere presence of an ICI target appears insufficient to elicit a successful treatment response. For example, PD-L1 positive tumours that have a "cold" phenotype⁴⁵, do not respond to anti-PD-L1 antibody therapy because blockade of the target, although demonstrably present, does not cause effector immune cells to be recruited to the tumour, or to penetrate its mass to the point that they can engage with individual cancer cells.

Intriguing nuances have emerged in the assessment of lung cancer biomarkers. Although PD-L1 is a useful biomarker in nononcogene-addicted tumours, it fails to predict benefit in patients harbouring EGFR, ALK or ROS1 genomic alterations, even when PD-L1 is strongly positive^{46,47}. Indeed, combinations using tvrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) with immunotherapy failed to improve on the benefits of TKI alone, despite increased toxicity^{48,49}. Accordingly, PD-L1 expression should also be considered in the context both of clinical factors, including tobacco use (associated with higher mutation burden), and molecular status. These correlative findings must have a basis in tumour immunobiology-for example, it may be that oncogene-addicted tumours are not permissive for TIL penetration. Alternatively, the biological effects of PD-L1 expressed on tumour cells or antigen presenting cells (APCs) may be different. Ultimately, imaging approaches that can combine PD-L1, CD8, and an APC marker in a tumour deposit, or, ideally, also in draining lymph nodes, may help to distinguish these possibilities. Even in non-oncogene driven but high PD-L1 expressing tumours, ~70% of patients treated with first line immunotherapy still relapse and die of their disease⁵⁰, underscoring the dynamic role of the tumour microenvironment and the need, not only to better predict the emergence of resistance, but also to develop strategies to abrogate its development. Whilst PD-L1 and CTLA-4 were the first immune checkpoints that were successfully targeted to improve patient outcomes, other molecules are also being explored, either as indicators of resistance such as STK11/TP53 co-mutations⁵¹ or as targets for immune activation⁵².

The tumour microenvironment (TME): a largely untapped source of immunotherapy targets

The TME contains many cellular subpopulations that can modulate the response to immune therapy. The most relevant populations include T-cell subtypes, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, macrophages, cancer-associated fibroblasts and cells of the tumour vasculature. Immunosuppressive physiological factors that are frequently present in tumours include hypoxia and acidification of the TME, which have direct effects on lymphocyte function including release of cytotoxic biomolecules such as perforin and granzyme B⁵³.

Binding of PD-L1 to PD-1 on the surface of T cells inhibits immunity. PD-L1 exerts its immunosuppressive influence via distinct functions within each immune cell type. In CD8+ cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) it stimulates apoptosis and exhaustion; conversely, it instigates proliferation in immunosuppressive regulatory T-cells (Tregs)⁵⁴. As monoclonal antibodies targeting PD-L1 and PD-1 and, to a lesser extent, CTLA-4 are the most commonly-used ICIs, it is unsurprising that radiolabelled PET tracers based on these molecules are amongst the first to commence evaluation in clinical trials. Imaging of immune-axis cells, such as CD8 + CTLs and Tregs, could lead to important insights into resistance mechanisms for immune therapy. Identification of an immunosuppressive TME, from which CD8 + CTLs are excluded, or are present but functionally exhausted, could guide the development of future clinical trials, as well as leading to personalised approaches for individual patients. In principle, all of the important cellular and physicochemical properties of the TME can be serially visualized and quantified with PET-imaging⁵⁵.

Unmet clinical needs

Immune based PET imaging (referred to broadly as Immune-PET in this article rather than immunoPET, which is more widely used in the molecular imaging community to reflect the use of radiolabelled antibodies irrespective of the target) has the potential to fulfil the unmet needs of clinicians for clinicallyrelevant information to guide decision-making for cancer patients. These questions include; "What is the probability that my patient will respond to a particular immunotherapy?", "Would monotherapy or combination therapy be most appropriate?", and "Why has my patient stopped responding to immunotherapy and what can I do about it?". Timely imaging of specific molecules on the surfaces of neoplastic cells or cells comprising the TME could provide valuable insights into the multiple clinical problems that arise during treatment with immune therapies. The sequential imaging of key immune-related molecules with PET can tell us where these molecules are located, provide semi-quantitative data on their concentration in different tissues and show how they change over time. This global, geographic and evolving perspective is simply unavailable from biopsies or circulating biomarkers.

Whether Immune-PET will be a complementary or competing technology for blood-based methods for monitoring response to immunotherapy is open for debate⁵⁶. Advances in nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) of circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) have demonstrated significant capacity to predict the response to immunotherapy in patients with advanced NSCLC^{57,58} and for assessment of minimal residual disease (MRD) burden postsurgery⁵⁹ and immunotherapy⁵⁸. ctDNA is of less utility in early stage NSCLC, with Stage I cancers making up the largest proportion of cancers with undetectable ctDNA⁶⁰⁻⁶², either because they do not shed DNA, or do so at levels below the level of detection of the assay. However, the sensitivity of ctDNA can be improved by use of diagnostic tumour biopsy population databases to generate knowledge of tumour-associated mutations, increasing the ability of ctDNA platforms based on panels of commonly involved genes to detect even Stage I disease⁵⁹.

We believe that immunePET has great potential to provide complementary information to liquid biopsy-based approaches, by capturing, lesion by lesion, clonal and spatial heterogeneity. However, this unique integration of the imaging and molecular biomarkers will require the development of radiological standards to capture the complex imaging changes seen during immunotherapy as well as facing a complex validation and regulatory approval pathway.

Beyond the initial selection of therapy, monitoring of response is similarly problematic and has led to modification of the current radiological standard, RECIST^{63,64} to reflect the differing patterns of response now recognized to occur⁶⁵. In addition to the new scheme of iRECIST (RECIST modified for immunotherapy response assessment) proposed for anatomical imaging using CT or MRI⁶⁶, new schemata have also been developed for molecular imaging with ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) reflecting some of the difficulties posed in monitoring response to ICIs, particularly with respect to early progression and development of new lesions. These include the PET/CT Criteria for Early Prediction of Response to ICI Therapy (PERCRIT)⁶⁷, the Immunotherapy-Modified PERCIST (PERCIMT)⁶⁸ criteria developed in melanoma immunotherapy clinical trials, and the Lymphoma Response to Immunotherapy Criteria (LYRIC) for response assessment in Hodgkin's lymphoma⁶⁹ Despite these revisions, after treatment, inflammatory cell density and perfusion are often increased, and none of these methods reliably differentiates between "cancer" or "no cancer" regardless of the response scale that is applied to a single FDG-PET scan. Follow-up imaging or biopsy is generally required to differentiate pseudoprogression due to augmented inflammatory cell infiltration of tumour sites from true progression. Following

immunotherapy, immunePET scans capable of documenting immune changes could play a clinically relevant role¹⁷.

In addition to suspected early disease progression, another common problem is "stable disease" on immunotherapy, particularly as determined by anatomical imaging. Should therapy be continued or should it be stopped? Anatomically-based imaging cannot inform us whether apparent stability reflects disease control, with replacement of tumour by fibrosis or relatively slow growth of residual tumour. Imaging that reliably distinguishes cancer from non-cancer cells will enable more informed decisionmaking concerning the timely continuation or cessation of immune therapy, with significant potential benefits in reducing toxicity and cost. There is evidence that a complete metabolic response on FDG-PET/CT may be helpful in this setting⁷⁰. However, when FDG-PET/CT remains positive, differentiating between viable tumour and infiltrating T lymphocytes remains problematic. The distribution of FDG uptake in other tissues, including draining lymph nodes of systemic sites of metastasis or evidence of immune activation in secondary lymphoid tissues such as the spleen⁷¹ may provide helpful information. Changes in FDG⁷² nodal uptake after anticancer vaccination may be valuable markers of immune response. Such responses are commonly-seen in axillary nodes after COVID-19 vaccination (Fig. 1) and, because they are non-specific, may cause diagnostic uncertainties. In future, immune PET imaging may be used to characterise CD8 T cell/APC migration, for example between tumour and secondary immune organs (typically the site where professional antigenpresenting dendritic cells interact most productively with T cells), and activation status over time, following an immune intervention such as ICI. Persistent localised tumour deposits that have escaped from ICI could be managed with local ablative therapies, while continuing immunotherapy and prolonging the period of benefit.

As indicated above, another challenging problem is the "pseudo-progression", where lesions increase in size or new lesions appear, consistent with disease progression according to RECIST criteria, but without actual cancer growth⁶⁶. Pseudo-





В

Fig. 1 Immune activation imaged by FDG-PET. FDG-PET/CT images of a patient FDG-avid left axillary lymphadenopathy after COVID-19 vaccination, reflecting glucose metabolism in immune cells. In the maximum intensity projection image (panel **A**), lymph nodes appear as black spots and in the transverse PET/CT image (panel **B**) as light green regions.

progression is caused by the influx of inflammatory cells and oedema in the tumour. It occurs in up to 20% of patients with metastatic malignant melanoma, but in fewer than 2% of patients with non-small cell lung cancer^{73,74}. Metabolic imaging with FDG-PET cannot distinguish true disease progression from pseudoprogression because activated immune cells are FDG-avid⁷⁵. Diffusion-weighted MRI scans also suffer from the same lack of specificity⁷⁶. More sophisticated data-mining approaches using large-scale radiomics of CT and PET scans are unable to accurately differentiate between pseudo progression and real tumour growth⁷⁷. Radiomic approaches have also been unable to usefully prognosis⁷⁸ response to predict or treatment with immunotherapy⁷⁹

The controversial concept of hyper progressive disease has been proposed to describe increased tumour growth and/or spread after the initiation of immune therapy. This is the obverse phenomenon to pseudo progression. Several putative mechanisms have been suggested to explain hyperprogression. In tumours that are abundant in tumour-infiltrating FoxP3^{high}, CD45RA⁻, CD4⁺ T cells [effector Treg (eTreg) cells], PD-1 blockade may actually facilitate the proliferation of these highly suppressive PD-1⁺ eTreg cells, resulting in further inhibition of pre-existing antitumor immunity⁸⁰. An imaging method to detect the presence of actively proliferating PD-1+ eTreg cells in tumours could therefore be a marker for potentially hyperprogressive cancers. In particular, specific imaging of tumour-infiltrating T-cells by PET scanning may more accurately and guickly resolve these important clinical questions⁸¹. Hyperprogression may conceivably reflect a state of irreversible CD8 CTL exhaustion. Such T cells typically express high levels of cytotoxins such as granzyme B, but as terminally differentiated cells, typically co-express multiple checkpoint receptors (TIGIT⁵², LAG-3⁸² and TIM-3⁸³), have lost their proliferative potential and are close to apoptotic death¹⁰. By contrast, an effective response to ICI typically involves "epitope spreading", with recruitment of activated T cells capable of detecting multiple new specificities, as reflected by APC activation in a regional lymph node, and the generation of far greater T cell receptor diversity, both for CD4 and CD8 T cells⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶. In Fig. 2, potential roles for Immune-PET at different points of the patient journey are suggested.

DEVELOPMENT OF PET TRACERS TO IMAGE IMMUNITY IN CANCER

Designing tracers to image immunotherapy targets

The development of a novel immune-PET tracer presents numerous challenges due to the large number of variables to be considered and optimised. The most widely used ICIs are monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that bind to CTLA-4, PD-1 or PD-L1. These large molecules are readily-labelled with positron-emitting radioisotopes and PET imaging with the resulting tracers can image in vivo the antigen to which the mAb binds, providing



Fig. 2 The patient journey: PET in precision medicine. After the diagnosis has been established, PET will help select the optimal treatment to the patient. During the course of the treatment, several questions may arise, which cannot be solved by IRECIST. Here, PET may be indicated to answer specific questions.

information on both the biodistribution of the antibody and its ability to engage with the target. The high molecular weight of IgG antibodies (~150 kDa) combined with the interactions of their Fc portion with Fcy receptors mean that antibodies that have a long circulation time, often taking several days to be cleared from the blood and localise in target tissue. One approach to accommodate the long circulation time required to sufficiently distinguish the target from background is to radiolabel the antibody with a positron-emitting radionuclide with radioactive half-life long enough to allow PET imaging several days after injection of the tracer. Clinically useful, long-lived poitron emitting radionuclides include ⁸⁹Zr ($t_{1/2} = 78.4$ h, $E_{\beta+}$ 396 keV (23%), $E_{\gamma} = 909$ keV) and ¹²⁴I ($t_{1/2} = 4.2$ d, $E_{\beta+}$ 687, keV (23%). The relatively low positron-emission energies of ⁸⁹Zr results in high resolution images and its 909 KeV y-rays do not interfere with the detection of 511 keV photons detected in PET imaging, but limit the administered activity that can be used in patients, particularly if serial studies are planned. In contrast, the higher positronemission energy of iodine-124 can limit the resolution of PET images and the radionuclide also emits a large range of y-rays with energies sufficiently close to 511 keV that add to background signal on PET images.⁸⁹Zr can be produced on biomedical cyclotrons by proton irradiation of "natural" ytrrium-89 (89Y) targets (of 100% abundance) and this coupled with the lower positron emission energy, and lack of interfering y-emissions have contributed to it emerging as the radionuclide of choice for radiolabelling full antibodies. However, a potential disadvantage of ⁸⁹Zr is the relatively high-energy γ -emission (909 KeV) which adds to radiation exposure not only to the patient but also to staff members and requires extra shielding for transportation when compared to ¹⁸F.

Despite the clinical promise of ⁸⁹Zr -labelled full antibodies, the requirement for imaging several days after isotope administration presents some logistical challenges that have the potential to impede their widespread adoption in clinical practice. Nevertheless, initial studies with long-lived tracers, such as ⁸⁹Zr based immune-PET imaging agents, have demonstrated the feasibility and utility of imaging a relevant target and may thereby encourage the subsequent development of alternative tracers based on engineered antibody fragments or receptor avid peptides or small molecules radiolabelled with positron-emitting radionuclides with shorter radioactive half-lives. Within this context, radionuclides of interest include ⁶⁸Ga ($t_{1/2} = 68$ min, $E_{\beta+} = 830 \text{ keV } 89\%$)⁸⁷, ⁶⁴Cu ($t_{1/2} = 12.7$ h, $E_{\beta+} = 278$ keV 19%, $E_{\beta-} = 190$ keV 39%, EC 61%)^{88,89} and ¹⁸F ($t_{1/2} = 110$ min, $E_{\beta+} = 250$ keV)⁹⁰. Such smaller molecule tracers have the potential to rapidly characterise dynamic changes in immunotherapy targets^{87,91}. For example, we know that CTLA-4 expression rises rapidly and transiently after radiotherapy. This expression lasts only a few hours, and is most strongly induced by hypofractionated radiotherapy^{92,93}. Imaging based on a radioisotope with a shorter half-life could visualise short-lived changes in patients resulting from treatment. Shorter half-life isotopes may also be useful for screening patients for mAb-based theranostic treatments with immunotherapy-linked, DNA-damaging radioisotopes⁹⁴. A further advantage of short-lived isotopes is that patients may potentially be screened for the same immune and metabolic parameters on multiple successive occasions, to track the quality and magnitude of the immune response "in real time".

For each combination of radioisotope and targeting molecule selected for development, various chemical methods of bioconjugation are available that can affect performance of the resulting imaging agent. Even small modifications to large proteins can drastically affect their in vivo properties. When optimising the administration of antibody-based tracers, the specific activity and amount of tracer injected are important considerations to reduce off-target uptake by saturating both off-target antigen (e.g., PD-L1) and FcRy receptors⁹⁵. A detailed review of these important

considerations when radiolabelling targeting agents such as antibodies is available⁹⁶.

For clinical use, longer-lived radioisotopes can be manufactured off-site, under conditions of good-manufacturing practice (GMP), and transported to the patient, without undergoing significant decay. They can facilitate multiple test-retest imaging studies per injection. In contrast, short-lived tracers generally require synthesis on-site by an experienced radiochemist and may require an inhouse cyclotron and are more challenging to comply with any GMP requirements that might apply. As suggested above, successful initial studies with long-lived tracers, such as ⁸⁹Zr based immune-PET imaging agents, may encourage the subsequent development of shorter-lived radiotracers, based on radioisotopes such as ¹⁸F that provide more timely images and avoid the radiation protection issues associated with the longer half-life isotopes. With any new tracer, standardisation of image acquisition and assessment parameters is vital to enable accurate interand intra-patient comparisons. Table 1 provides a broad overview of selected immunotherapy targets and relevant methods of radioisotope imaging that have been investigated to date and Table 2 lists selected studies of PET Tracers of CD8, PD-1 and PD-L1 that have entered human clinical trials.

Practical issues in developing tracers to image immunotherapy targets

An ideal imaging tracer for an immunotherapy target would have high specific uptake and retention on, or in the cells that express the target and exhibit rapid blood clearance, providing highresolution images with excellent target to background ratios at convenient time-points following administration. Image resolution is modality dependent (e.g., higher for PET vs SPECT), instrument capabilities and the physical half-life of the isotope used. Many promising positron-emitting radiotracers have been evaluated. Representative examples of some of these radioisotopes are given in Table 3. Whilst the long-lived isotopes (89Zr, 124I, 64Cu) are suitable for the antibody-based imaging agents discussed above, shorter half-life isotopes (⁶⁸Ga, ¹⁸F) are better suited to peptide and other small molecule-based imaging that clear more rapidly from the blood. Binding of metal-based radionuclides to targeting agents is achieved attaching a bifunctional chelator to the antibody, which can bind the metal ion. The selection of chelator is dependent on the radionuclide used and it is essential that this radioactive metal chelate complex is stable in vivo, as leakage of the metal from the antibody-chelate complex leads to increased background signal and dramatically reduces the guality of the PET image. The method of chelator attachment, along with the number of chelators attached to the targeting agent, can greatly influence the stability and in vivo biodistribution of the tracer. This is due to changes in ionic charge and isoelectric point of the tracer, blockage of the targeting agent at the site of antigen binding, and aggregation (the formation of dimers, trimers and oligomers, which can reduce target affinity and cause precipitation). These properties can be challenging to predict and must be optimised during preclinical development. Degradation of peptides in vivo by serum peptidases is also an issue for many peptide-based targeting agents even when high binding ability is demonstrated in cell culture and this can limit clinical translation.

By far the most commonly used PET tracer in oncology is ¹⁸F, (used in ¹⁸F-FDG for metabolic imaging), a cyclotron-generated isotope with a short half-life of 108 min. To enable the use of ¹⁸F for imaging immunotherapy targets, smaller molecules than mAbs have been investigated, including peptides, proteins or antibody alternatives such as nanobodies and affibodies.

"Small-format" antigen ligands have the advantage of rapid clearance, and can potentially be engineered as bispecific agents, or with epitope tags that may serve as signal amplifiers. An illustrative example of a peptide tracer is the cyclic 15-amino acid ____

Immunotherapy Type	Molecular Imaging Target	Tracer Type	Applicable Radionuclide Used (reference in brackets)
Anti-PD-1 Antibody	PD-1 Receptor	mAB	⁸⁹ Zr ^{64,114,115,130} Cu ^{88,113,131-133}
Anti PD-L1 Antibody	PD-1 Ligand	mAb	⁸⁹ Zr ^{17,134–142} ⁶⁴ Cu ^{88,89,132,111,143} In ¹⁴⁴
		Probody	⁸⁹ Zr ¹⁴²
		Peptide	¹⁸ F ¹⁴⁵ ⁶⁸ Ga ¹⁴⁶ ⁶⁴ Cu ^{144,145,147}
		Affibody	¹⁸ F ¹²⁷
		Adnectin	¹⁸ F ^{116,128,148}
		HAC-PD1	⁶⁴ Cu ^{87,131}
		Nanobody	^{99m} Tc ^{68,97} Ga ¹⁴⁹ , ¹⁸ F ¹⁵⁰ ⁶⁴ Cu ¹⁵⁰
		(Camelid VHH)	⁶⁸ Ga ¹⁵¹
		Single Domain antibody	⁸⁹ Zr ^{152,153}
Anti CTLA-4 Antibody	CTLA-4	mAb	⁶⁴ Cu ^{25,26,154}
	CD28, CD80, CD86	F(ab')2 fragment	⁶⁴ Cu ²⁶
TIGIT Antibody	TIGIT protein extracellular domain	mAb	⁶⁴ Cu ²⁹ ⁸⁹ Zr ²⁹
LAG-3 Antibody ^{155,156} Cellular Therapies	LAG-3 protein extracellular domain	Nanobodies	^{99m} Tc ¹⁵⁷
CAB T-Cell Therapy	Direct labelling CAB T-cell	¹⁸ F-FDG	18 _F 158,159
		⁶⁴ Cu-PTSM	⁶⁴ Cu ¹⁶⁰
		SPION- ⁶⁴ Cu	⁶⁴ Cu ^{161*}
		⁸⁹ 7r-Oxine	897r ^{126,162–165}
		⁸⁹ 7r-DBN	⁸⁹ 7r ¹⁶⁶
		⁸⁹ 7r Df-Bz-NCS	⁸⁹ 7r ¹⁶⁷
		Minibody	⁸⁹ 7r ²²
		Bispecific antibody	⁸⁹ Zr ¹⁶⁸
		Antibody Fragments	⁸⁹ Zr ¹⁶⁹
		Sodium lodide Symporter	124
	Reporter Gene Labelling Transporter	Norepinephrine transporter	18 <mark>F170,171</mark>
	Enzyme	Pyruvate Kinase	124
	Cell Surface Receptor	Thymidine Kinase	¹⁸ F ¹⁷³
		Somatostatin receptor	¹⁸ F ¹⁷⁴ ⁶⁸ Ga ¹⁷⁵
	Cell Surface Protein	PSMA	¹⁸ F ¹⁷⁶
mmune Cell Subtype(s)			
Activated CD8+T lymphocytes	dCK, dGK	F-AraG	¹⁸ F ¹⁷⁷
CD3+T Lymphocytes	CD3	mAb	⁸ 9 7 r ^{178,179}
cbs + 1 Lymphocytes		Bispecific antibodies	⁸⁹ 7r ^{180,181}
Cytotoxic T Lymphocytes & NK Cells	Granzyme B	NOTA-G7P	⁶⁸ Ga ^{168,178,182}
Tumour Associated	High density lipoprotein	Phospholipid or ApoA1 HDI	⁸⁹ 7r ¹⁸³
Macrophages (TAMS)	Macrophage mannose receptor (MMR) or CD206	Anti-MMR single domain Ab fragment	⁶⁸ Ga ¹⁸⁴
	TSPO	18 kDa (TSPO) transmembrane domain protein on the outer membrane of mitochondria	¹⁸ F ^{185,186}
	Macrin	Polyglucose nanoparticle	⁶⁴ Cu ¹⁸⁷
	Liposomes	Mannose coated liposomes	⁶⁴ Cu ¹⁸⁸
	CD11	Monoclonal Antibody	⁸⁹ Zr ¹⁸⁹
Myeloid Derived Suppressor Cells	CD11	Monoclonal Antibody	⁸⁹ Zr ¹⁸⁹
Cancer Associated Fibroblasts	Fibroblast activation protein	-	⁶⁸ Ga ¹⁹⁰
	Fibroblast activation protein inhibitor		¹⁸ F ¹⁹¹ ⁶⁸ Ga ¹⁹²

mAB monoclonal antibodies, *HAC* high-affinity consensus, *bsAb* bispecific antibodies, *CAR* Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell, *dGk* Deoxyguanosine kinase, ⁶⁴Cu-*PTSM* ⁶⁴Cu-pyruvaldehyde-bis(N4-methylthiosemi-carbazone), *SPION* super paramagnetic Iron-Oxide nanoparticles, ⁸⁹Zr *r-DBN* ⁸⁹Zr -desferrioxamine-NCS, ⁸⁹Zr *Df-Bz-NCS* ⁸⁹Zr-p-Isothiocyanatobenzyl-desferrioxamine. *Denotes MRI imaging.

WL12, which was selected from a library of peptides shown to specifically bind to PD-L1. Molecular docking experiments suggest that WL12 binds to a region of PD-L1 that overlaps with the binding sites for the PDL1 antibodies atezolizumab, avelumab and

durvalumab. The peptide was conjugated to a macrocyclic chelator, NOTAGA, and radiolabelled with ⁶⁴Cu. The resulting tracer was evaluated in multiple mouse xenograft models using PET imaging. The [⁶⁴Cu]CuWL12 tracer was able to image variable

Table 2.	Selected Studies of PET	Tracers of CD8,	PD-1 and PD-L1	in Human C	linical Trials.
----------	-------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------	-----------------

	Molecule	Trial Details
PD-1		
	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-Nivolumab	13 patient study in advanced NSCLC. Dual tracer study with ¹⁸ F-BMS-986192. IHC PD-1 and PD-L1 staining and SUVpeak for ⁸⁹ Zr-nivolumab and ¹⁸ F-BMS- 986192 is strongly correlated ($Rs = 0.68$, $p < 0.0001$ Spearman rank correlation). Lesions with no PD-1 expression in aggregates have a lower ⁸⁹ Zr-nivolumab SUVpeak; $p = 0.03^{116}$.
	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-Pembrolizumab	12 patients in advanced NSCLC. Tracer seen in 47% of tumour lesions correlated with pembrolizumab response, but did not correlate with PD-L1 or PD1 IHC ¹⁹³ .
PD-L1		
	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-Atezolizumab	FTIH 25 patient study. Pretreatment atezolizumab-PET better correlated with Immunotherapy response than IHC or RNA-seq markers ¹⁷ .
	¹⁸ F-BMS-986192	¹⁸ F-BMS-986192 SUVpeak is higher in patients with ≥50% tumor PD-L1 expression. ($p = 0.018$), SUVpeak of the ¹⁸ F-BMS-986192 tracer is higher in responding lesions as compared to non-responding lesions ($p = 0.02$ Mann–Whitney U-test).
	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-Durvalumab	RaDD Study - currently recruiting ²¹ . ⁸⁹ Zr-durva PET during chemo/RT for DLBCL. Trial Registration ClinicalTrials. gov Identifier: NCT03107663 ¹⁹⁴ . No significant toxicity reported in >18 patients. NKI 13 patient study in patients eligible for 2nd line ICI. ⁸⁹ Zr-durva correlated with disease response but not PD-L1 IHC ¹⁸ .
	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-Sq-Durvalumab	ImmunoPET study – currently recruiting. ⁸⁹ Zr-durva during concurrent chemoRT in Stage III NSCLC. Australian Clinical Trial Registry: ACTRN12621000171819 ¹⁹⁵ .
CD8 T-cells		
	⁸⁹ Zr-DFO-IAB22M2C minibody	FTIH 6 patient study: uptake in tumour lesions peaked at 24 h ²¹ . Ph II (mixed histology) 15 patient study – increased tracer uptake noted in patients 28 days post-immunotherapy ²² . RaDD study in B Cell lymphomas now recruiting ¹⁹⁴ . No significant toxicity yet documented.
Activated T-cells		
	¹⁸ F-AraG (Arabinofuranosylguanine)	FTIH 6 patient study with no significant adverse events seen ^{21,23} . Ongoing studies in 18 patients with no drug related adverse events. (Unpublished data supplied by Cellsight Technologies).

Table 3.	able 3. A selection of available positron emitting radioisotopes with potential for Immune-PET imaging.				
Isotope	Half-life	Production	Advantages	Disadvantages	
¹⁸ F	108 min	Cyclotron	Best for small molecule targeting agents.	Half-life not long enough for most Abs, challenging chemistry for attachment to Abs.	
			Widely available for clinical use.	Half-life too short for production off-site and transport	
⁶⁸ Ga 68 min	68 min	Generator	Isotope production is available from a portable generator available at most large imaging centres.	Half-life too short for production off-site and transport.	
				The ⁶⁸ Ge source for the generators can be scarce.	
			Local generator shortages have occurred.		
⁶⁴ Cu	12 h	Cyclotron	⁶⁷ Cu theranostic pair. Mid-range half-life makes it suitable for a wide variety of targeting agents.	Not widely available for clinical use.	
⁸⁹ Zr	78 h	Cyclotron	Long half-life best for antibody-based imaging agents.	High-energy gamma emissions; radioprotection must be considered. Suitable for centralized production and distribution.	
		Tracers can be produced off-site and transported.	Radiolysis often a problem.		
¹²⁴	4.2 days	Cyclotron	Long half-life best for antibody-based imaging agents.	High-energy emissions leading to low resolution.	
			Tracers can be produced off-site and transported.	Undergoes dehalogenation in vivo.	
				Not widely available for clinical use.	

PD-L1 expression in xenografted tumours. Compared to radiolabelled IgG, the fast clearance of peptide enabled images with high uptake and low background to be obtained only 120 min post injection. Nanobodies (small antigen binding fragments of camelid antibodies, 15 kDa) have been assessed in SPECT imaging after labelling with ^{99m}Tc⁹⁷.

An alternative experimental approach, that enables antibodies to be labelled with short half-life positron emitting isotopes involves "pretargeting", in which the mAbs are chemically modified to contain a functional group that selectively reacts with a specifically-designed radiolabelled reaction partner that is administered after the mAb has had time to become bound to the target^{98–100}. Pretargeting approaches have been used for PET imaging in relapsed medullary thyroid cancer, where high tumour uptake and contrast have been observed using pretargeted anti-CEA immuno-PET¹⁰¹. The short-lived positron emitter, ⁶⁸Ga^{102–104}, is available from a generator rather than a cyclotron, thereby increasing its availability. Like ¹⁸F, its short half-life of only 68 minutes limits it to non mAb-based tracers, unless pretargeting is used. Although not specifically applied to imaging immune targets, an approach using non-radioactive bispecific antibodies followed by clearing of the blood using a hapten approach and then a second radiolabelled agent that binds to the pretargeted antibody has been described¹⁰⁵, aiming to increase target to background contrast and enhance diagnostic sensitivity.

For mAb-based PET imaging, the long-lived positron emitter ¹²⁴I is rarely used due to dehalogenation of mAbs in vivo and therefore, ⁶⁴Cu and ⁸⁹Zr are currently considered the most suitable available choices. As well as facilitating imaging, pre-targeting approaches can also facilitate the identification of theranostic radiotherapy targets, thus providing prospective dosimetry from the imaging tracer for the therapeutic radioimmunoconjugate^{98–100}. Several imaging isotopes have suitable theranostic "pairs". For example, ⁸⁹Zr can be paired with the therapeutic radioisotope, ¹⁷⁷Lu, to both image and therapeutically irradiate targets. ⁶⁴Cu has a shorter half-life than ⁸⁹Zr and the imaging and therapeutic radionuclide pair ⁶⁴Cu/⁶⁷Cu can be used in a true "theranostic" approach¹⁰⁶.

TRANSLATION INTO CLINICAL PRACTICE

Imaging the cellular tumour microenvironment

Tumours that respond to immune checkpoint inhibition typically have a distinctive TME, with a rich infiltrate of T-cells penetrating deep within the tumour (not excluded entirely, or restricted to its periphery) and in the surrounding stroma^{107,108}. These suppressed T-cells are "exhausted" by chronic exposure to cancer-induced immune checkpoints^{109,110}, and are therefore likely to respond well to ICI. By reversing the suppression within this state of adaptive immune resistance, these immunotherapies permit activation of immune cells, including CD8 + CTL's, which play a key role in cancer cytotoxicity. Conversely, immunotherapy resistance can develop if any of these factors are missing¹¹¹.

As we have seen, the mere presence of an immunotherapy target in a tumour does not guarantee a therapeutic response as multiple other factors can influence clinical outcome. For example, radiation-induced pro-immunogenic responses are likely to be dictated by tumour type, tissue niche and TME, and are also influenced by dose and fractionation. In AT-3-OVA mammary tumours, mildly hypo-fractionated radiotherapy could evoke the anti-tumour activity of CD8 + T-cells. However, such responses were not supported by high dose radiotherapy, largely due to the induction of immunosuppressive Treg responses^{93,112}. This complexity makes it prohibitively expensive to define the optimal treatment approach for each tumour type through clinical trials. However, the establishment of a process to test potential imaging biomarkers using rapid preclinical validation in animal models may enable us to streamline the clinical implementation of novel immunotherapy tracers.

Animal studies: opportunities and limitations

Before being tested in humans, candidate Immune-PET tracers are typically evaluated in mice. For example, human xenografts in immunodeficient mice can be used to investigate the ability of new tracers to survive in the circulation and achieve binding to targets on tumour cells in vivo, in preparation for human use (Fig. 3). Limitations of these animal models are encountered both in the use of human xenografts in immunocompromised mice and murine tumours in immunocompetent mice. If the immune response to human cancer is compromised by suppressive mechanisms raised only in an immunocompetent host, the use of immune-compromised mice may be uninformative. Despite the drawbacks of these models, some common trends have been observed that have informed the development of human tracers. For example, hepatic clearance of mAbs results in high liver uptake and retention for all mAb-based tracers, and high expression of PD-1 and PD-L1 in healthy spleen and lymph nodes results in specific binding and high uptake in these regions. Target-specific uptake can be effectively blocked by the administration of a low dose of cold (non-radiolabelled) mAb, a commonly used strategy in when imaging mAbs. Off-target uptake due to expression of the target antigen in other organs or due to interactions between the Fc portion of the mAb with endogenous FCyR may need to be saturated prior to administration of the tracer.

Preclinical work with a ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA labelled *anti* –PD-1 mAb (RMP1-14) and *anti* –PD-L1 mAb ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-10F.9G2 demonstrated that changes in PD-1/PD-L1 expression could be detected following treatment with combined immunoradiotherapy, and that lung tissue exhibited IFN-γ-inducible PD-L1 expression⁸⁸. The RMP1-14 tracer (a hamster mAb raised against mouse PD1 and labelled with ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA)¹¹³, ⁸⁹Zr-Df labelled pembrolizumab¹¹⁴, and ⁸⁹Zr-Df labelled nivolumab¹¹⁵, have all demonstrated similar specific and non-specific biodistributions in the liver, spleen and lymph nodes in preclinical models.

⁸⁹Zr-nivolumab uptake in lymphoid organs was blocked by the administration of (≥1 mg/kg) of cold mAb in cynomolgus monkeys. When translated into human studies, this tracer gave promising results, with tumour SUVpeak values correlating with PD1 tumour expression assessed by IHC of tumour. Tumour uptake also correlated with therapeutic response to nivolumab on post treatment imaging. Optimum imaging was at 160 h post administration, and consistent with preclinical studies spleen and liver uptake were observed. Some lesions had high tracer uptake, despite apparently low expression by IHC, probably a result of tumour heterogeneity. As in the previously noted lung cancer study¹⁸, PET imaging had the potential to predict patient response better than IHC, although this needs further investigation in larger studies¹¹⁶.

In animals, tracers using anti -PD-L1 mAbs have been investigated more comprehensively than those for PD-1 and have shown great promise, with generally consistent biodistribution in preclinical models. Uptake in the liver, spleen, lymph nodes, and brown adipose tissue (due to PDL1 expression on CD45 + leukocytes) are commonly observed, and in some case in lung and thymus^{88,89,91,117-125}. Tumour uptake between each study varies greatly, likely due to the differences in the murine models, tumour types, and mouse/human cross reactivity differences in the antibodies tested. Some notable findings include the detection of significantly higher PDL1 expression in tumourderived 4T1 cells than in cultured cells⁸⁹. ⁶⁴CuDOTAGAatezolizumab was able to delineate PDL1-positive induced lung metastases of a PDL1 expressing MDAMB231 tumour¹²⁶, and ⁸⁹Zr-DF-atezolizumab visualised increased PDL1 expression following external beam radiation, along with increased bone uptake particularly within the radiotherapy field^{122,125}.

In recent years, alternatives to full IgG mAbs have been investigated in animal systems as PD-L1 targeting agents, often in an attempt to reduce the time required between administration and optimal imaging. These include heavy chain camelid antibodies such as ⁸⁹ZrDf-KN035. A high-affinity consensus protein (HAC) was labelled with ⁶⁴CuNOTA, ⁶⁴CuDOTA, ⁶⁸GaNOTA & ⁶⁸GaDOTA, with each demonstrating different distribution profiles and highlighting the importance of radioisotope and chelator selection. This protein allowed imaging at 1 h in pilot mice studies⁸⁷. Another short timepoint protein-based tracer has also reported, a anti -PDL1 affibody (58-amino-acid scaffold protein with PDL1 binder labelled with NOTA-Al¹⁸F which underwent renal clearance and allowed imaging 30-90 min after administration. However, these small antibody-alternatives tend to have hepatic or renal clearance^{45,127}, as well as some uptake in nontarget normal tissues such as salivary glands⁴⁵. They are, despite these drawbacks, the subject of intense development to optimise their pharmacokinetics.

Perhaps the most promising of the alternative antibodybased tracers, with early human data, is the adnectin ¹⁸F-18F-

Xenografts



Human Subject



Fig. 3 Imaging PD-L1 in xenograft models and in a human subject using ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-Sq-Durvalumab PET/CT. The upper panels show ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-Sq -Durvalumab PET/CT imaging of NSG mouse bearing either HCC-827 PD-L1 high tumour (Panel **A**) or a low-to-non PD-L1 expressing A549 tumour (Panel **B**) at 144 h after PET tracer injection. Pseudo-coloured SUV overlays on CT scan maximum projection images are shown. Subcutaneous human tumour xenografts on right flanks are indicated by arrows. The lower panels show the same ⁸⁹Zr-durvalumab, as validated in the xenograft model, used for PET/CT imaging in a human subject with PD-L1 positive (90%) stage IV NSCLC. Over 6 days, sequential images show gradual accumulation of tracer in distant metastases. Axial PET/CT images (upper panels) show increasingly intensification of uptake in a vertebral body metastasis. Maximum intensity projection (MIP) images (lower panels) show blood pool imaging (day 1) and then gradual accumulation in metastatic disease, including lumbar spine and left hip. (Images courtesy of Dr Tim Akhurst, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre).

BMS-986192 (an engineered, target-binding protein with low amino acid similarity, but which folds similarly to an antibody-variable domain, approx. 10 kDa). Tumour uptake is achieved 90 min post administration in mice, and cynomolgus imaging revealed renal clearance made the kidney the dose-limiting

organ. In NSCLC patients, imaging at 1 h post administration visualised PDL1-positive tumours and SUVpeak uptake correlated with expression later confirmed by IHC. The tracer uptake was also correlated with response to nivolumab treatment following the imaging study^{116,128}.



Fig. 4 Development of a putative novel tracer. Novel tracer development of a putative CD8 tracer, based on small-antibody alternatives, which could then be integrated with existing tracers to image activated CD-8 cells, such as ¹⁸F- arabino-furanosylguanine (ARA-G). Combination studies with T-cell tracers and tracers for PD-L1/PD-1 such as adnectin ¹⁸F-18F-BMS-986192 could help determine the causes of immunotherapy resistance in patients on ICI, as well as being used to investigate the mechanisms of therapeutic strategies such as induction of abscopal effects after radiotherapy.

Future clinical trials and therapeutic approaches

Murine studies provide a treasure trove of biological data to help inform the future clinical trials needed to improve prediction or assessment of immunotherapy response. For example, sequential combinations of PET tracers, in suitable animal models, could feasibly image in vivo CD8+T-cell infiltration, CD8+ activation and ICI binding. This could determine whether immunotherapy resistance is due to a hostile immune phenotype (immune-desert where there is little infiltration of CD8+ cells within the tumour; or immune-excluded, where the T cells are confined to the extreme periphery of a tumour mass) or T-cell exhaustion. Such studies would help select promising tracers or combinations of tracers for human trials and could suggest potentially cost-effective imaging schedules.

In Fig. 4, we outline a possible clinical trial where the short-lived radioisotopes ⁶⁸Ga and ¹⁸F are used to image CD8+T-cells and to demonstrate CD8+ activation. Similar tracers are already available for human research (Fig. 5), and increasingly will be clinically accessible (Table 2). Initial studies in mouse models would demonstrate their ability to bind to the relevant targets in vivo. Subsequent immunotherapy imaging clinical trials in humans, informed by data from murine models, would be designed, with full awareness of the limitations of the pre-clinical animal systems, both in terms of biology and of scale. In routine clinical practice, it will be impractical to use multiple tracers because of factors such as cost, radiation dose and regulatory approval. Concentrating research on areas of currently unmet clinical need at critical treatment-decision nexus points would be the most promising approach to developing cost effective and useful immune PET imaging. For example, differentiating pseudoprogression from hyperprogression, or deciding whether ICI could be withdrawn in cases of immune-related adverse events after an abbreviated

course of ICI therapy where this apparent residual disease on anatomical imaging. Head-to-head comparison of competing tracers in such research settings can be ethically and logistically challenging in unwell cancer patients imaged in busy molecular imaging facilities. Sophisticated radiochemistry production capabilities are often needed for novel tracers. Accordingly, parallel studies using single agents paired with more widely available FDG PET/CT may represent the most practical development pathway in humans. An important component of any such trials should be evaluation of the impact and appropriateness of information on decision-making and of the cost implications on "whole-of-care", which includes not only the cost of the imaging paradigm but also the ability to avoid the costs of continuing ineffective therapy or prematurely ceasing treatment in patients with an incomplete response. Should a novel immune imaging agent be found to be indispensable in a clinical setting, upon entering routine clinical practice, costs would rapidly decline due to economies of scale and efficient production and distribution.

As a general template, in clinical trials where it is important to image biomarkers, the "imaging biomarker roadmap" should be followed¹²⁹. A clear strategy will be needed to implement the use of PET imaging of the immune system in clinical practice, in order to bridge the translational gap between pre-clinical and clinical experiments. When a promising imaging tracer has passed preclinical and toxicity evaluations and enters initial clinical trials, it is important to be clear on the purpose of the study. For example, is the tracer likely to deliver greater biological insight or better prognostication for the individual patients? Alternatively, is the aim to select the best treatment or predict of response to a particular agent?

Significant challenges must be overcome before full clinical implementation of immune-PET can be achieved. The therapeutic landscape will continue to evolve rapidly, adding an increasing



Fig. 5 Imaging activated CD8 T cells with ¹⁸**F-AraG.** Baseline imaging a patient with recurrent malignant melanoma, scanned at 60 min after IV injection of approximately 185 MBq of ¹⁸**F-AraG** prior to immune check-point therapy. A Maximum intensity projection (MIP) image demonstrates uptake in inguinal nodal disease (Blue arrows) and in transit nodules (Green arrows). Panel (**A**): Fused PET/CT and coronal PET images demonstrate moderately intense uptake in the pituitary, salivary glands, myocardium, liver and pancreas. All these organs are subject to immune-related adverse events and how this biodistribution will impact diagnostic performance is unclear. Panel (**B**): Increased uptake is observed in two inguinal lymph nodes, with associated nodal enlargement of the upper node. Panel (**C**): The small lower in transit nodule is clearly identified on both PET and correlative CT (Image provided by Dr Diwakar Davar and Dr Shyam Srinivas from University of Pennsylvania Medical Center and Dr Jelena Levi of CellSight).

array of novel individual and combination therapies, all requiring evaluation in multiple clinical trials. To keep pace with this therapeutic pipeline, an equally efficient pipeline for companion diagnostic development is required. Combinations of immunotherapeutic agents with chemotherapy, targeted agents and radiotherapy as well as multiple variations in immunotherapy agents themselves may also impact the predictive value of both generic and specific companion diagnostic agents. In some combinations, the response may primarily be due to nonimmunological mechanisms. The current standard of care for therapeutic response assessment, of anatomical imaging with CT or MRI, increasingly enhanced or supplanted by use of FDG PET/ CT, is well established. Significant incremental benefit must be demonstrated before immune-PET agents could supplant or even replace existing imaging modalities. Therefore, in the first phase of development, demonstration of efficacy of the novel imaging approach as a predictive biomarker of response, particularly in monotherapy or combination ICI without addition of conventional therapies, would be most useful. Concurrent translational biomarker correlations, including lesion and liquid biopsies where available, could both help validate the scan findings and demonstrate any incremental diagnostic utility. Further studies could focus on situations where there is uncertainty with respect to continuing or ceasing ICI therapy based on conventional imaging response assessment.

CONCLUSION: NEW TREATMENT APPROACHES BASED ON IMMUNE-PET

Fully developed immune-PET, able to non-invasively characterise immune responses to cancer and anti-cancer therapies, would provide a powerful tool to identify specific in vivo diagnostic features specifying which mechanisms of immune-evasion are operative in that particular patient. This critical information would then inform the selection and tailoring of single or combination therapies most likely to abrogate these mechanisms and provide the best chance of a therapeutic response.

We are in desperate need of biomarkers that can predict which patients are most likely (or least likely) to respond to immunotherapy. In this article we have focused on established approaches to solid tumour immunotherapy with immune checkpoint blockade, but the need for predictive immune imaging is even more pressing for some of the more novel therapeutic approaches. For example, when chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy is used against a validated target such as CD19, responsiveness in a disease such as diffuse large B cell lymphoma is unpredictable, and failure becomes more likely as the patient's age increases. Thoughtfully designed clinical trials that integrate Immune-PET as an in vivo, real-time biomarker may help fill this critical gap and identify patients most suitable for this revolutionary but highly expensive therapy.

Optimal utilisation of immunotherapies in patients with stable disease is also a key clinical area where the use of Immune-PET

could be enormously helpful. The implementation of therapeutic monitoring with Immune-PET could identify patients with no evidence of active disease or with only minimal residual disease, and could allow them to cease treatment, thus avoiding the clinical toxicity of unnecessary, ongoing immunotherapy. The potential for so-called "financial toxicity" to patients and health care systems is a significant drawback of most currently available ICI agents. In such settings, a single immune PET scan could be highly cost-effective.

As we have shown, immune-PET tracers are clinical tools that may, in the near future, be used to provide valid surrogates of response. For example, Immune PET may demonstrate recruitment of the TILs that will induce a therapeutic response. Conversely the imaging might demonstrate a suppressive cellular response, or show that the recruited T-cells are activated or exhausted, and indeed indicate if any potential targets for immune therapies are present.

Immune-PET has the potential to transport us into an era where we can make assessments about the likely efficacy of ICI soon after treatment commences, enabling early cessation of therapy if required. The capacity of Immune PET to show how critical immune parameters change with therapy and over time could ultimately help transform our understanding of cancer immunobiology. Immune imaging could help make it easier to get the most promising new agents into clinical trials in the most suitable patients and thereby make the regulatory process more rapid, efficient and cost effective.

METHODS

This article contains novel images from several sources. Figure 1 is a deidentified clinical image from the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia, that does not require consent for publication, as per institutional policy. It was not acquired as part of a clinical trial. Figure 3 contains images of PET scans of experimental animals that were acquired as part of a research study that was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre. Figure 3 also contains deidentified images of PET scans from a clinical trial that was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre. For both the animal studies and the human clinical trial, all relevant ethical regulations of the Ethics Committee were complied with. Figure 5 contains deidentified images from a clinical trial conducted at the University of Pennsylvania Medical Center. This study was approved by the hospital Institutional Review Board and all relevant ethical regulations were complied with.

Received: 8 June 2021; Accepted: 24 February 2022; Published online: 07 April 2022

REFERENCES

- 1. Chen, D. S. & Mellman, I. Elements of cancer immunity and the cancer-immune set point. Nature 541, 321-330 (2017).
- 2. Antonia, S. J. et al. Durvalumab after chemoradiotherapy in stage III non-smallcell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 377, 1919-1929 (2017).
- 3. Antonia, S. J. et al. Overall survival with durvalumab after chemoradiotherapy in stage III NSCLC. N. Engl. J. Med. 379, 2342-2350 (2018).
- 4. Brahmer, J. et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non-small-cell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 373, 123-135 (2015).
- 5. Ferris, R. L. et al. Nivolumab vs investigator's choice in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: 2-year long-term survival update of CheckMate 141 with analyses by tumor PD-L1 expression. Oral. Oncol. **81**, 45–51 (2018).
- 6. Wolchok, J. D. et al. Overall survival with combined nivolumab and ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 377, 1345-1356 (2017).
- Sharpe, A. H. & Pauken, K. E. The diverse functions of the PD1 inhibitory path-7. way. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 18, 153 (2018).

- 8. Rowshanravan, B., Halliday, N. & Sansom, D. M. CTLA-4: a moving target in immunotherapy. Blood 131, 58-67 (2018).
- 9. Eggermont, A. M. M. et al. Longer follow-up confirms recurrence-free survival benefit of adjuvant pembrolizumab in high-risk stage III Melanoma: updated results from the EORTC 1325-MG/KEYNOTE-054 Trial. JCO 38, 3925-3936 (2020).
- 10. Champiat, S. et al. Hyperprogressive disease: recognizing a novel pattern to improve patient management, Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 15, 748-762 (2018).
- 11. Bruni, D., Angell, H. K. & Galon, J. The immune contexture and Immunoscore in cancer prognosis and therapeutic efficacy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 20, 662-680 (2020).
- 12. Dawson, S.-J. et al. Analysis of circulating tumor DNA to monitor metastatic breast cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 368, 1199-1209 (2013). 13 Pécuchet, N et al Base-position error rate analysis of next-generation sequen-
- cing applied to circulating tumor DNA in non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective study. PLoS Med. 13, e1002199 (2016).
- 14. Cabel, L. et al. Clinical potential of circulating tumour DNA in patients receiving anticancer immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 15, 639-650 (2018).
- 15. Lantuejoul, S. et al. PD-L1 testing for lung cancer in 2019: perspective from the IASLC pathology committee. J. Thorac. Oncol. 15, 499-519 (2020).
- 16. Wang, M., Wang, S., Trapani, J. & Neeson, P. Challenges of PD-L1 testing in nonsmall cell lung cancer and beyond. J. Thoracic Dis., https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd-2019-itm-010 (2020).
- 17. Bensch, F. et al. ⁸⁹Zr-atezolizumab imaging as a non-invasive approach to assess clinical response to PD-L1 blockade in cancer. Nat. Med. 24, 1852-1858 (2018).
- 18. Smit, J. et al. PD-L1 PET/CT imaging with radiolabeled durvalumab in patients with advanced stage non-small cell lung cancer. J. Nucl. Med. https://doi.org/ 10.2967/jnumed.121.262473 (2021).
- 19. Lehrer, E. J. et al. Safety and Survival Rates Associated with Ablative Stereotactic Radiotherapy for patients with Oligometastatic Cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. 7, 92-106 (2021).
- 20. Palma, D. A. et al. Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy for the Comprehensive Treatment of Oligometastatic Cancers: long-term results of the SABR-COMET Randomized Trial. Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys. 108, S88-S89 (2020).
- 21. Farwell, M. et al. 294 CD8 PET imaging of tumor infiltrating T cells in advanced solid tumors: a phase I first-in-human study of 89Zr-IAB22M2C, a radiolabeled anti-CD8 minibody. (BMJ Specialist Journals, 2020).
- 22. Pandit-Taskar, N. et al. First-in-humans imaging with ⁸⁹Zr-Df-IAB22M2C anti-CD8 minibody in patients with solid malignancies: preliminary pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and lesion targeting. J. Nucl. Med. 61, 512-519 (2020).
- 23. Levi, J. et al. ¹⁸F-FAraG PET for CD8 Profiling of Tumors and Assessment of Immunomodulation by Chemotherapy. J. Nucl. Med. 62, 802-807 (2020).
- 24. Kasten, B. B. et al. Current and future imaging methods for evaluating response to immunotherapy in neuro-oncology. Theranostics 9, 5085 (2019).
- 25. Ehlerding, E. B. et al. ImmunoPET imaging of CTLA-4 expression in mouse models of non-small cell lung cancer. Mol. Pharmaceutics 14, 1782-1789 (2017).
- 26. Ehlerding, E. B. et al. Antibody and fragment-based PET imaging of CTLA-4+ T-cells in humanized mouse models. Am. J. Cancer Res. 9, 53 (2019).
- 27. Li, M. et al. T-cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT) receptor/poliovirus receptor (PVR) ligand engagement suppresses interferon-y production of natural killer cells via β-arrestin 2-mediated negative signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 17647-17657 (2014).
- Kurtulus, S. et al. TIGIT predominantly regulates the immune response via regulatory T cells. J. Clin. Investia. 125, 4053-4062 (2015).
- 29 Schaffer, T., Natarajan, A. & Gambhir, S. S. PET imaging of TIGIT expression on tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. Clin. Cancer Res. 27, 1932-1940 (2021).
- 30. Willoughby, J., Griffiths, J., Tews, I. & Cragg, M. S. J. M. I. OX40: Structure and function-What questions remain? Mol. Immunol. 83, 13-22 (2017).
- 31. Chapoval, A. I. et al. B7-H3: a costimulatory molecule for T cell activation and IFN-y production. Nat. Immunol. 2, 269-274 (2001).
- 32. Ferlay, J. et al. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1. 0, Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11. 2013. (2014).
- 33. Vokes, E. E. et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in previously treated advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 017 and CheckMate 057): 3-year update and outcomes in patients with liver metastases. Ann. Oncol. 29, 959-965 (2018).
- 34. Carbone, D. P. et al. First-line nivolumab in stage IV or recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 376, 2415-2426 (2017).
- 35. Herbst, R. S. et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 387, 1540-1550 (2016).
- 36. Gadgeel, S. et al. Updated analysis from KEYNOTE-189: pembrolizumab or placebo plus pemetrexed and platinum for previously untreated metastatic nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 38, 1505-1517 (2020).
- 37. Paz-Ares, L. et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy for squamous non-smallcell lung cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 379, 2040-2051 (2018).
- 38. Socinski, M. A. et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 2288-2301 (2018).

- Hellmann, M. D. et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in lung cancer with a high tumor mutational burden. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 2093–2104 (2018).
- 40. Liang, W. et al. Expert consensus on neoadjuvant immunotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer. *Transl. Lung Cancer Res.* **9**, 2696 (2020).
- Miller, B. C. et al. Subsets of exhausted CD8+ T cells differentially mediate tumor control and respond to checkpoint blockade. *Nat. Immunol.* 20, 326–336 (2019).
- Siddiqui, I. et al. Intratumoral Tcf1+ PD-1+ CD8+ T cells with stem-like properties promote tumor control in response to vaccination and checkpoint blockade immunotherapy. *Immunity* 50, 195–211. e110 (2019).
- Havel, J. J., Chowell, D. & Chan, T. A. The evolving landscape of biomarkers for checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 19, 133–150 (2019).
- 44. Gopalakrishnan, V. et al. Gut microbiome modulates response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in melanoma patients. *Science* **359**, 97–103 (2018).
- Bonaventura, P. et al. Cold tumors: a therapeutic challenge for immunotherapy. Front. Immunol. 10, https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00168 (2019).
- 46. Gainor, J. F. et al. EGFR mutations and ALK rearrangements are associated with low response rates to PD-1 pathway blockade in non-small cell lung cancer: a retrospective analysis. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 22, 4585–4593 (2016).
- Dong, Z.-Y. et al. EGFR mutation correlates with uninflamed phenotype and weak immunogenicity, causing impaired response to PD-1 blockade in nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Oncoimmunology* 6, e1356145 (2017).
- Spigel, D. R. et al. Phase 1/2 study of the safety and tolerability of nivolumab plus crizotinib for the first-line treatment of anaplastic lymphoma kinase translocation—positive advanced non-small cell lung cancer (CheckMate 370). *J. Thorac. Oncol.* **13**, 682–688 (2018).
- Felip, E. et al. Ceritinib plus nivolumab in patients with advanced ALKrearranged non-small cell lung cancer: results of an open-label, multicenter, phase 1B study. J. Thorac. Oncol. 15, 392–403 (2020).
- Reck, M. et al. Updated analysis of KEYNOTE-024: pembrolizumab versus platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score of 50% or greater. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **37**, 537–556 (2019).
- Skoulidis, F. et al. STK11/LKB1 mutations and PD-1 inhibitor resistance in KRASmutant lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov.* 8, 822–835 (2018).
- 52. Zhang, Q. et al. Blockade of the checkpoint receptor TIGIT prevents NK cell exhaustion and elicits potent anti-tumor immunity. *Nat. Immunol.* **19**, 723–732 (2018).
- Corbet, C. & Feron, O. Tumour acidosis: from the passenger to the driver's seat. Nat. Rev. Cancer 17, 577 (2017).
- 54. Qin, W. et al. The diverse function of PD-1/PD-L pathway beyond cancer. *Front. Immunol.* **10**, 2298 (2019).
- Hoffmann, S. H. L. et al. Visualization and quantification of in vivo homing kinetics of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in primary and metastatic cancer. *Theranostics* 9, 5869 (2019).
- Wong, S. Q., Tothill, R. W., Dawson, S.-J. & Hicks, R. J. Wet or Dry? Do liquid biopsy techniques compete with or complement PET for Disease Monitoring in Oncology? J. Nucl. Med. 58, 869–870 (2017).
- Nabet, B. Y. et al. Noninvasive early identification of therapeutic benefit from immune checkpoint inhibition. *Cell* 183, 363–376. e313 (2020).
- Hellmann, M. D. et al. Circulating tumor DNA analysis to assess risk of progression after long-term response to PD-(L) 1 blockade in NSCLC. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 26, 2849–2858 (2020).
- Chaudhuri, A. A. et al. Early detection of molecular residual disease in localized lung cancer by circulating tumor DNA profiling. *Cancer Discov.* 7, 1394–1403 (2017).
- Phallen, J. et al. Direct detection of early-stage cancers using circulating tumor DNA. Sci. Transl. Med. 9, https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aan2415 (2017).
- Cohen, J. D. et al. Detection and localization of surgically resectable cancers with a multi-analyte blood test. *Science* 359, 926–930 (2018).
- 62. Newman, A. M. et al. An ultrasensitive method for quantitating circulating tumor DNA with broad patient coverage. *Nat. Med.* **20**, 548 (2014).
- Schwartz, L. H. et al. RECIST 1.1—Update and clarification: From the RECIST committee. *Eur. J. Cancer* 62, 132–137 (2016).
- 64. Eisenhauer, E. A. et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur. J. Cancer* **45**, 228–247 (2009).
- Iravani, A. & Hicks, R. J. Imaging the cancer immune environment and its response to pharmacological intervention-Part 1-The role of FDG PET/CT. J. Nucl. Med. 119, 234278 (2020).
- Seymour, L. et al. iRECIST: guidelines for response criteria for use in trials testing immunotherapeutics. *Lancet Oncol.* 18, e143–e152 (2017).
- Cho, S. Y. et al. Prediction of response to immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy using early-time-point 18F-FDG PET/CT imaging in patients with advanced melanoma. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 1421–1428 (2017).
- 68. Anwar, H. et al. Absolute number of new lesions on $^{18}\mbox{F-FDG}$ PET/CT is more predictive of clinical response than SUV changes in metastatic melanoma

patients receiving ipilimumab. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **45**, 376–383 (2018).

- Lee, A. T. J. et al. LyRIC indeterminate response and Immune-mediated pseudoprogression of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma following polatuzumab-based salvage therapy. Br. J. Haematol. 189, e248–e251 (2020).
- Tan, A. C. et al. FDG-PET response and outcome from anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma. Ann. Oncol. 29, 2115–2120 (2018).
- Aide, N. et al. FDG PET/CT for assessing tumour response to immunotherapy: report on the EANM symposium on immune modulation and recent review of the literature. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **46**, 238–250 (2019).
- Aarntzen, E. H. J. G. et al. Early identification of antigen-specific immune responses in vivo by [18F]-labeled 3'-fluoro-3'-deoxy-thymidine ([18F]FLT) PET imaging. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **108**, 18396–18399 (2011).
- Hodi, F. S. et al. Evaluation of immune-related response criteria and RECIST v1. 1 in patients with advanced melanoma treated with pembrolizumab. J. Clin. Oncol. 34, 1510 (2016).
- 74. Liang, H. et al. Patterns of response in metastatic NSCLC during PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitor therapy: Comparison of the RECIST 1.1 and iRECIST criteria. *Thorac. Cancer* **11**, 1068–1075 (2020).
- Aide, N. et al. FDG PET/CT for assessing tumour response to immunotherapy. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 46, 238–250 (2019).
- Schwier, M. et al. Repeatability of multiparametric prostate MRI radiomics features. Sci. Rep. 9, 1–16 (2019).
- 77. Welch, M. L. et al. Vulnerabilities of radiomic signature development: the need for safeguards. *Radiother. Oncol.* **130**, 2–9 (2019).
- Ger, R. B. et al. Radiomics features of the primary tumor fail to improve prediction of overall survival in large cohorts of CT-and PET-imaged head and neck cancer patients. *PloS One* 14, e0222509 (2019).
- 79. Trebeschi, S. et al. Predicting response to cancer immunotherapy using noninvasive radiomic biomarkers. *Ann. Oncol.* **30**, 998–1004 (2019).
- Kamada, T. et al. PD-1+ regulatory T cells amplified by PD-1 blockade promote hyperprogression of cancer. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **116**, 9999–10008 (2019).
- Wei, W., Jiang, D., Ehlerding, E. B., Luo, Q. & Cai, W. Noninvasive PET imaging of T cells. *Trends Cancer* 4, 359–373 (2018).
- Yang, Z.-Z. et al. Expression of LAG-3 defines exhaustion of intratumoral PD-1+ T cells and correlates with poor outcome in follicular lymphoma. *Oncotarget* 8, 61425 (2017).
- Chen, B. J. et al. The immune checkpoint molecules PD-1, PD-L1, TIM-3 and LAG-3 in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Oncotarget* 10, 2030 (2019).
- Menares, E. et al. Tissue-resident memory CD8+ T cells amplify anti-tumor immunity by triggering antigen spreading through dendritic cells. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1–12 (2019).
- Memarnejadian, A. et al. PD-1 blockade promotes epitope spreading in anticancer CD8+ T cell responses by preventing fratricidal death of subdominant clones to relieve immunodomination. J. Immunol. 199, 3348–3359 (2017).
- Lai, J. et al. Adoptive cellular therapy with T cells expressing the dendritic cell growth factor Flt3L drives epitope spreading and antitumor immunity. *Nat. Immunol.* 21, 914–926 (2020).
- Mayer, A. T. et al. Practical immuno-PET radiotracer design considerations for human immune checkpoint imaging. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 538–546 (2017).
- Hettich, M., Braun, F., Bartholomä, M. D., Schirmbeck, R. & Niedermann, G. Highresolution PET imaging with therapeutic antibody-based PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint tracers. *Theranostics* 6, 1629 (2016).
- Lesniak, W. G. et al. PD-L1 detection in tumors using [64Cu] atezolizumab with PET. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 27, 2103–2110 (2016).
- Ingram, J. R. et al. PD-L1 is an activation-independent marker of brown adipocytes. Nat. Commun. 8, 647 (2017).
- Chatterjee, S. et al. Rapid PD-L1 detection in tumors with PET using a highly specific peptide. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 483, 258–263 (2017).
- Vanpouille-Box, C., Formenti, S. C. & Demaria, S. Towards precision radiotherapy for use with immune checkpoint blockers. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 24, 259–265 (2017).
- Formenti, S. C. et al. Radiotherapy induces responses of lung cancer to CTLA-4 blockade. *Nat. Med.* 24, 1845–1851 (2018).
- Bailly, C. et al. Immuno-PET for clinical theranostic approaches. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18, 57 (2017).
- Vivier, D. et al. The impact of FcγRl binding on immuno-PET. J. Nucl. Med. 60, 1174–1182 (2019).
- Bouleau, A., Lebon, V. & Truillet, C. PET imaging of immune checkpoint proteins in oncology. *Pharm. Ther.* 222, 107786 (2021).
- Broos, K. et al. Non-invasive assessment of murine PD-L1 levels in syngeneic tumor models by nuclear imaging with nanobody tracers. *Oncotarget* 8, 41932 (2017).
- Altai, M., Membreno, R., Cook, B., Tolmachev, V. & Zeglis, B. M. Pretargeted imaging and therapy. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 1553–1559 (2017).

- Stéen, E. J. L. et al. Pretargeting in nuclear imaging and radionuclide therapy: improving efficacy of theranostics and nanomedicines. *Biomaterials* 179, 209–245 (2018).
- Rondon, A. & Degoul, F. Antibody pretargeting based on bioorthogonal click chemistry for cancer imaging and targeted radionuclide therapy. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **31**, 159–173 (2019).
- 101. Bodet-Milin, C. et al. Clinical results in medullary thyroid carcinoma suggest high potential of pretargeted immuno-PET for tumor imaging and Theranostic Approaches. Front. Med. (Lausanne) 6, 124 (2019).
- 102. Touchefeu, Y. et al. Promising clinical performance of pretargeted immuno-PET with anti-CEA bispecific antibody and gallium-68-labelled IMP-288 peptide for imaging colorectal cancer metastases: a pilot study. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 48, 874–882 (2021).
- 103. Klivenyi, G., Schuhmacher, J., Patzelt, E. & Hauser, H. Gallium-68 chelate imaging of human colon carcinoma xenografts pretargeted with bispecific anti-CD44V6/ anti-gallium chelate antibodies. J. Nucl. Med. **39**, 1769 (1998).
- 104. Schuhmacher, J. et al. Immunoscintigraphy with Positron Emission Tomography. Gallium-68 Chelate Imaging Breast Cancer Pretargeted Bispecific Anti-MUC1/ Anti-Ga Chelate Antibodies. *Cancer Res.* **61**, 3712–3717 (2001).
- Cheal, S. M. et al. Curative multicycle radioimmunotherapy monitored by quantitative SPECT/CT-based theranostics, using bispecific antibody pretargeting strategy in colorectal cancer. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 1735–1742 (2017).
- Keinänen, O. et al. Harnessing 64Cu/67Cu for a theranostic approach to pretargeted radioimmunotherapy. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 117, 28316–28327 (2020).
- 107. Chen, Y.-P. et al. Genomic analysis of tumor microenvironment immune types across 14 solid cancer types: immunotherapeutic implications. *Theranostics* 7, 3585 (2017).
- Prat, A. et al. Immune-related gene expression profiling after PD-1 blockade in non-small cell lung carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and melanoma. *Cancer Res.* **77**, 3540–3550 (2017).
- Herbst, R. S. et al. Predictive correlates of response to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. *Nature* 515, 563–567 (2014).
- Tumeh, P. C. et al. PD-1 blockade induces responses by inhibiting adaptive immune resistance. *Nature* 515, 568–571 (2014).
- O'Donnell, J. S., Teng, M. W. L. & Smyth, M. J. Cancer immunoediting and resistance to T cell-based immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 16, 151–167 (2019).
- 112. Rudqvist, N.-P. et al. Radiotherapy and CTLA-4 blockade shape the TCR repertoire of tumor-infiltrating T cells. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* **6**, 139–150 (2018).
- Natarajan, A. et al. Novel radiotracer for immunoPET imaging of PD-1 checkpoint expression on tumor infiltrating lymphocytes. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 26, 2062–2069 (2015).
- England, C. G. et al. Preclinical pharmacokinetics and biodistribution studies of 89Zr-labeled pembrolizumab. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 162–168 (2017).
- Cole, E. L. et al. Radiosynthesis and preclinical PET evaluation of 89Zr-nivolumab (BMS-936558) in healthy non-human primates. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 25, 5407–5414 (2017).
- Niemeijer, A. N. et al. Whole body PD-1 and PD-L1 positron emission tomography in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 4664 (2018).
- 117. Kelly, M. et al. Immuno-PET using Zirconium-89 (89Zr) radiolabeled fully human anti-PD-L1 antibody successfully images PD-L1 positive tumors in preclinical mouse models. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 618–618 (2017).
- Nedrow, J. R. et al. Imaging of programmed cell death ligand 1: impact of protein concentration on distribution of anti-PD-L1 SPECT agents in an immunocompetent murine model of melanoma. J. Nucl. Med. 58, 1560–1566 (2017).
- Heskamp, S. et al. Noninvasive imaging of tumor PD-L1 expression using radiolabeled anti–PD-L1 antibodies. *Cancer Res.* **75**, 2928–2936 (2015).
- Chatterjee, S., Lesniak, W. G. & Nimmagadda, S. Noninvasive imaging of immune checkpoint ligand PD-L1 in tumors and metastases for guiding immunotherapy. *Mol. Imaging* 16, 1536012117718459 (2017).
- Li, M. et al. In vivo characterization of PD-L1 expression in breast cancer by immuno-PET with 89Zr-labeled Avelumab. J. Nucl. Med. 60, 1019–1019 (2019).
- Ehlerding, E. et al. Imaging PD-L1 expression in tumors following radiotherapy treatment. J. Nucl. Med. 60, 608–608 (2019).
- 123. McQuade, P. et al. Investigation into Use of Positron Emission Tomography (PET) as an in vivo imaging tool to quantify PD-L1 tumor expression levels. J. Nucl. Med. 57, 529–529 (2016).
- Josefsson, A. et al. Imaging, biodistribution, and dosimetry of radionuclidelabeled PD-L1 antibody in an immunocompetent mouse model of breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* **76**, 472–479 (2016).
- Ehlerding, E. B. et al. Noninvasive imaging and quantification of radiotherapyinduced PD-L1 upregulation with 89Zr–Df–atezolizumab. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 30, 1434–1441 (2019).

- Charoenphun, P. et al. [89 Zr] Oxinate 4 for long-term in vivo cell tracking by positron emission tomography. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 42, 278–287 (2015).
- 127. González, D. E. T. et al. In vivo imaging of the programmed death ligand 1 by 18F PET. J. Nucl. Med.: Off. Publ., Soc. Nucl. Med. 58, 1852–1857 (2017).
- Donnelly, D. J. et al. Synthesis and biologic evaluation of a novel 18F-labeled adnectin as a PET radioligand for imaging PD-L1 expression. J. Nucl. Med. 59, 529–535 (2018).
- 129. O'Connor, J. P. B. et al. Imaging biomarker roadmap for cancer studies. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 14, 169–186 (2017).
- England, C. G. et al. ⁸⁹Zr-labeled nivolumab for imaging of T-cell infiltration in a humanized murine model of lung cancer. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 45, 110–120 (2018).
- Maute, R. L. et al. Engineering high-affinity PD-1 variants for optimized immunotherapy and immuno-PET imaging. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **112**, E6506–E6514 (2015).
- 132. Zhao, J. et al. Concurrent injection of unlabeled antibodies allows positron emission tomography imaging of programmed cell death ligand 1 expression in an Orthotopic Pancreatic Tumor Model. ACS Omega 5, 8474–8482 (2020).
- Du, Y. et al. Nuclear and fluorescent labeled PD-1-Liposome-DOX-64Cu/ IRDye800CW Allows Improved Breast Tumor Targeted Imaging and Therapy. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* 14, 3978–3986 (2017).
- 134. Li, D. et al. Immuno-PET imaging of 89Zr labeled anti-PD-L1 domain antibody. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* **15**, 1674–1681 (2018).
- Jagoda, E. M. et al. Immuno-PET imaging of the programmed cell Death-1 ligand (PD-L1) using a Zirconium-89 labeled therapeutic antibody, avelumab. *Mol. Imaging* 18, 1536012119829986 (2019).
- Vento, J. et al. PD-L1 detection using ⁸⁹Zr-atezolizumab immuno-PET in renal cell carcinoma tumorgrafts from a patient with favorable nivolumab response. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 7, 1–6 (2019).
- 137. Moroz, A. et al. A preclinical assessment of ⁸⁹Zr-atezolizumab identifies a requirement for carrier added formulations not observed with ⁸⁹Zr-C4. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **29**, 3476–3482 (2018).
- Truillet, C. et al. Imaging PD-L1 expression with immunoPET. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 29, 96–103 (2018).
- 139. Christensen, C., Kristensen, L. K., Alfsen, M. Z., Nielsen, C. H. & Kjaer, A. Quantitative PET imaging of PD-L1 expression in xenograft and syngeneic tumour models using a site-specifically labelled PD-L1 antibody. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med Mol. Imaging* 47, 1302–1313 (2020).
- Bridgwater, C. et al. ⁸⁹Zr-Labeled Anti-PD-L1 antibody fragment for evaluating in vivo PD-L1 levels in melanoma mouse model. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharma*. 35, 549–557 (2020).
- 141. Kikuchi, M. et al. Preclinical immunoPET/CT imaging using Zr-89-labeled anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody for assessing radiation-induced PD-L1 upregulation in head and neck cancer and melanoma. *Oncolmmunology* 6, e1329071 (2017).
- 142. Giesen, D. et al. Probody therapeutic design of (89)Zr-CX-072 promotes accumulation in PD-L1-expressing tumors compared to normal murine lymphoid tissue. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 26, 3999–4009 (2020).
- Xu, M. et al. Preclinical Study of a fully human anti-PD-L1 Antibody as aTheranostic Agent for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* 15, 4426–4433 (2018).
- 144. Chatterjee, S. et al. A humanized antibody for imaging immune checkpoint ligand PD-L1 expression in tumors. *Oncotarget* **7**, 10215 (2016).
- 145. Hu, K. et al. Developing native peptide-based radiotracers for PD-L1 PET imaging and improving imaging contrast by pegylation. *Chem. Commun.* 55, 4162–4165 (2019).
- De Silva, R. A. et al. Peptide-Based ⁶⁸Ga-PET radiotracer for imaging PD-L1 expression in Cancer. *Mol. Pharmaceutics* 15, 3946–3952 (2018).
- 147. Kumar, D. et al. Peptide-based PET quantifies target engagement of PD-L1 therapeutics. J. Clin. Investig. **129**, 616–630 (2019).
- Stutvoet, T. S. et al. Molecular imaging of PD-L1 expression and dynamics with the Adnectin-Based PET Tracer 18F-BMS-986192. J. Nucl. Med. 61, 1839 (2020).
- 149. Liu, Q. et al. Immuno-PET imaging of ⁶⁸Ga-labeled nanobody Nb109 for dynamic monitoring the PD-L1 expression in cancers. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* **70**, 1721–1733 (2021).
- Rashidian, M. et al. Predicting the response to CTLA-4 blockade by longitudinal noninvasive monitoring of CD8 T cells. J. Exp. Med. 214, 2243–2255 (2017).
- Lv, G. et al. PET imaging of tumor PD-L1 expression with a highly specific nonblocking single-domain antibody. J. Nucl. Med. 61, 117–122 (2020).
- 152. Burvenich, I. J. G. et al. Radiolabelling and preclinical characterization of ⁸⁹Zr-Dfradiolabelled bispecific anti-PD-L1/TGF-βRII fusion protein bintrafusp alfa. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 1–14 (2021).
- 153. Wang, Y. et al. Dose escalation PET imaging for safety and effective therapy dose optimization of a bispecific antibody. *mAbs* **12**, e1748322 (2020).

14

- Higashikawa, K. et al. ⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-anti-CTLA-4 mAb enabled PET visualization of CTLA-4 on the T-cell infiltrating tumor tissues. *PLoS One* 9, e109866 (2014).
- 155. Perez-Santos, M. et al. LAG-3 antagonists by cancer treatment: a patent review. Expert Opin. Therapeutic Pat. 29, 643–651 (2019).
- 156. Ascierto, P. A. et al. Initial efficacy of anti-lymphocyte activation gene-3 (anti-LAG-3; BMS-986016) in combination with nivolumab (nivo) in pts with melanoma (MEL) previously treated with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 therapy. (American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2017).
- Lecocq, Q. et al. Noninvasive imaging of the immune checkpoint LAG-3 using nanobodies, from development to pre-clinical use. *Biomolecules* 9, 548 (2019).
- Grabner, A. et al. PET with 18F-FDG–labeled T lymphocytes for diagnosis of acute rat renal allograft rejection. J. Nucl. Med. 54, 1147–1153 (2013).
- Ritchie, D. S. et al. In Vivo Tracking of Macrophage Activated Killer Cells to Sites of Metastatic Ovarian Carcinoma. (American Society of Hematology, 2005).
- 160. Adonai, N. et al. Ex vivo cell labeling with 64Cu–pyruvaldehyde-bis (N4methylthiosemicarbazone) for imaging cell trafficking in mice with positronemission tomography. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **99**, 3030–3035 (2002).
- Bhatnagar, P. et al. Tumor lysing genetically engineered T cells loaded with multi-modal imaging agents. Sci. Rep. 4, 1–6 (2014).
- 162. Weist, M. R. et al. PET of adoptively transferred chimeric antigen receptor T cells with ⁸⁹Zr-oxine. J. Nucl. Med. **59**, 1531–1537 (2018).
- 163. Asiedu, K. O., Koyasu, S., Szajek, L. P., Choyke, P. L. & Sato, N. Bone marrow cell trafficking analyzed by 89Zr-oxine positron emission tomography in a murine transplantation model. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 23, 2759–2768 (2017).
- 164. Sato, N. et al. In vivo tracking of adoptively transferred natural killer cells in rhesus macaques using 89Zirconium-oxine cell labeling and PET imaging. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 26, 2573–2581 (2020).
- Sato, N. et al. ⁸⁹Zr-oxine complex PET cell imaging in monitoring cell-based therapies. *Radiology* 275, 490–500 (2015).
- Bansal, A. et al. Novel ⁸⁹Zr cell labeling approach for PET-based cell trafficking studies. *EJNMMI Res.* 5, 1–11 (2015).
- Lee, S. H. et al. Feasibility of real-time in vivo ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-labeled CAR T-cell trafficking using PET imaging. *Plos One* **15**, e0223814 (2020).
- Tavaré, R. et al. An effective immuno-PET imaging method to monitor CD8dependent responses to immunotherapy. *Cancer Res.* 76, 73–82 (2016).
- 169. Zettlitz, K. A. et al. ImmunoPET of malignant and normal B cells with ⁸⁹Zr-and ¹²⁴I-labeled obinutuzumab antibody fragments reveals differential CD20 internalization in vivo. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 23, 7242–7252 (2017).
- Khoshnevisan, A. et al. ¹⁸F-Fluorosulfate for PET Imaging of the Sodium-Iodide Symporter: synthesis and biologic evaluation in vitro and in vivo. *J. Nucl. Med.* 58, 156–161 (2017).
- 171. Jiang, H. et al. Synthesis and evaluation of ¹⁸F-hexafluorophosphate as a novel PET probe for imaging of sodium/iodide symporter in a murine C6-glioma tumor model. *Bioorg. Medicinal Chem.* **26**, 225–231 (2018).
- 172. Moroz, M. A. et al. Imaging hNET reporter gene expression with ¹²⁴I-MIBG. J. Nucl. Med. **48**, 827–836 (2007).
- 173. Haywood, T. et al. Positron emission tomography reporter gene strategy for use in the central nervous system. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **116**, 11402–11407 (2019).
- 174. Keu, K. V. et al. Reporter gene imaging of targeted T cell immunotherapy in recurrent glioma. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **9**, eaag2196 (2017).
- 175. Vedvyas, Y. et al. Longitudinal PET imaging demonstrates biphasic CAR T cell responses in survivors. *JCl insight* **1**, e90064 (2016).
- 176. Minn, I. et al. Imaging CAR T cell therapy with PSMA-targeted positron emission tomography. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaaw5096 (2019).
- 177. Kim, W. et al. [¹⁸F] CFA as a clinically translatable probe for PET imaging of deoxycytidine kinase activity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **113**, 4027–4032 (2016).
- Larimer, B. M., Wehrenberg-Klee, E., Caraballo, A. & Mahmood, U. Quantitative CD3 PET imaging predicts tumor growth response to anti-CTLA-4 therapy. J. Nucl. Med. 57, 1607–1611 (2016).
- 179. Beckford Vera, D. R. et al. Immuno-PET imaging of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes using zirconium-89 radiolabeled anti-CD3 antibody in immune-competent mice bearing syngeneic tumors. *PloS One* **13**, e0193832 (2018).
- Waaijer, S. J. H. et al. Preclinical PET imaging of bispecific antibody ERY974 targeting CD3 and glypican 3 reveals that tumor uptake correlates to T cell infiltrate. J. Immunother. Cancer 8, e000548 (2020).
- Moek, K. L. et al. ⁸⁹Zr-labeled bispecific T-cell engager AMG 211 PET shows AMG 211 accumulation in CD3-rich tissues and clear, heterogeneous tumor uptake. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25, 3517–3527 (2019).
- Larimer, B. M. et al. Granzyme B PET imaging as a predictive biomarker of immunotherapy response. *Cancer Res.* 77, 2318–2327 (2017).
- Pérez-Medina, C. et al. PET Imaging of Tumor-Associated Macrophages with 89Zr-Labeled High-Density Lipoprotein Nanoparticles. J. Nucl. Med 56, 1272–1277 (2015).
- Xavier, C. et al. Clinical translation of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-NOTA-anti-MMR-sdAb for PET/CT imaging of protumorigenic macrophages. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 21, 898–906 (2019).

- Hartimath, S. V. et al. Imaging adipose tissue browning using the TSPO-18kDa tracer [18F]FEPPA. Mol. Metab. 25, 154–158 (2019).
- Goggi, J. L. et al. Examining immunotherapy response using multiple radiotracers. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 22, 993–1002 (2020).
- 187. Kim, H.-Y. et al. Quantitative imaging of tumor-associated macrophages and their response to therapy using ⁶⁴Cu-labeled macrin. ACS Nano 12, 12015–12029 (2018).
- Locke, L. W., Mayo, M. W., Yoo, A. D., Williams, M. B. & Berr, S. S. PET imaging of tumor associated macrophages using mannose coated ⁶⁴Cu liposomes. *Biomaterials* 33, 7785–7793 (2012).
- Nigam, S. et al. Preclinical immunoPET imaging of glioblastoma-infiltrating myeloid cells using zirconium-89 labeled anti-CD11b antibody. *Mol. Imaging Biol.* 22, 685–694 (2020).
- Röhrich, M. et al. FAP-specific PET signaling shows a moderately positive correlation with relative CBV and no correlation with ADC in 13 IDH wildtype glioblastomas. *Eur. J. Radiol.* **127**, 109021 (2020).
- 191. Hicks, R. J., Roselt, P. J., Kallur, K. G., Tothill, R. W. & Mileshkin, L. FAPI PET/CT: Will It End the Hegemony of (18)F-FDG in Oncology? J. Nucl. Med. 62, 296–302 (2021).
- 192. Giesel, F. L. et al. (68)Ga-FAPI PET/CT: biodistribution and preliminary dosimetry estimate of 2 DOTA-containing FAP-targeting agents in patients with various cancers. *J. Nucl. Med.* **60**, 386–392 (2019).
- Niemeijer, A.-L. N. et al. Study of 89Zr-Pembrolizumab PET/CT in Patients With Advanced-Stage Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. J. Nucl. Med. 63, 362–367 (2022).
- 194. Hawkes, E. A. et al. Phase I Dose Escalation Study of Radiotherapy and Durvalumab (MEDI4736) in Relapsed/Refractory Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL): The RaDD Study. *Blood* **134**, 5328 (2019).
- Wichmann, C. et al. Automated radiosynthesis of [⁸⁹Zr]Zr-DFOSq-Durvalumab on the iPHASE MultiSyn module. J.\ Nucl. Med. 62, 1206–1206 (2021).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design of the work: F.H., S.R., R.J.H., J.T., T.J., P.D., M.M. Drafting and revision of the manuscript: F.H, S.R., R.J.H., D.D.R., J.T., T.J., P.D., B.B., G.H., S.E., P.R., M.M. All authors approved the submitted version.

COMPETING INTERESTS

F.H.J.: Clinical Trial Funding, Honoraria and Advisory Boards Astra Zeneca. R.J.H.: Stockholder in Telix Pharmaceuticals. P.S.D.: Received research funding from Clarity Pharmaceuticals and Telix Pharmaceuticals. The University of Melbourne has licensed Intellectual Property where P.S.D. is listed as an inventor to Clarity Pharmaceuticals and Telix Pharmaceuticals. Serves on the Scientific Advisory Board and has a financial interest in Clarity Pharmaceuticals. M.P.M.: Clinical trial funding Varian Medical Systems. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Michael P. MacManus.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022