

## ARTICLE OPEN



# Interannual fires as a source for subarctic summer decadal climate variability mediated by permafrost thawing

Ji-Eun Kim<sup>1,2</sup>✉, Ryohei Yamaguchi<sup>3</sup>, Keith B. Rodgers<sup>1,2</sup>, Axel Timmermann<sup>1,2</sup>, Sun-Seon Lee<sup>1,2</sup>, Karl Stein<sup>1,2</sup>, Gokhan Danabasoglu<sup>4</sup>, Jean-Francois Lamarque<sup>4</sup>, John T. Fasullo<sup>4</sup>, Clara Deser<sup>4</sup>, Nan Rosenbloom<sup>4</sup>, Jim Edwards<sup>4</sup> and Malte F. Stuecker<sup>5</sup>

Climate model simulations run under the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) use an inhomogeneous biomass burning aerosol (BBA) emission dataset, which exhibits pronounced interannual variability from 1997–2014 due to the infusion of satellite data. Using the Community Earth System Model version 2 Large Ensemble (CESM2-LE) with original and smoothed CMIP6 BBA forcings, we show that the CMIP6 data inhomogeneity causes spurious decadal subarctic land warming. During years with reduced aerosol concentrations, increased solar radiation can trigger abrupt subarctic permafrost thawing, increased soil water drainage, upper soil drying, and subsequent surface warming. This slow process, which is further amplified by nonlinear cloud-aerosol interactions, cannot be completely offset during years of increased aerosol fluxes, thereby reddening surface temperature spectra in response to large-amplitude interannual aerosol forcing. More generally, our CESM2 experiments identify a pathway for generating decadal variability in high latitudes, involving interannual shortwave forcing and slow nonlinear soil responses.

*npj Climate and Atmospheric Science* (2023)6:84; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00415-1>

## INTRODUCTION


The Earth's climate trajectory is determined by a combination of internally-generated fluctuations and external forcings such as greenhouse gases, aerosols, land-use changes, and other factors. Uncertainties in aerosol forcings continue to pose challenges to climate hindcasts and projections<sup>1,2</sup>. To reduce the prevailing aerosol forcing uncertainties, newer generations of climate models have incorporated an increasing number of observational constraints on historical aerosol emissions<sup>3–5</sup>. As part of this effort, global biomass burning aerosol (BBA) emissions used in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6)<sup>3</sup> were updated with a merged dataset of satellite observations<sup>6</sup>, fire proxies, and fire model output. In the merged BBA dataset, the incorporation of the satellite-based data has introduced an abrupt onset of large interannual variability over 1997–2014, with substantially weaker interannual variability before<sup>3,7,8</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Although this approach utilizes best estimates of fire emissions based on available observational products, it implicitly assumes that climate impacts of aerosol forcing will be equivalent as long as the net aerosol fluxes remain the same over an extended period (multiple years), regardless of the magnitude of interannual variability. The invocation of this assumption reflects the fact that very little is known about the impacts of interannual variations in BBA emissions on climate.

The discontinuity in interannual variability of BBA forcing in CMIP6 between the satellite observation-based period (1997–2014) and the periods before and after is especially pronounced over boreal North America and Siberia, where interannual variations of fire occurrences and related aerosol emissions are strong (Fig. 1b). Boreal fires are largely affected by mean climatic conditions that are further modulated by different modes of climate variability such as the El Niño–Southern

Oscillation, the Arctic Oscillation, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, or the Pacific–North American Pattern<sup>9–13</sup>. Human intervention is also important through changes in land use, ignition, fire control, and other perturbations<sup>14–16</sup>. With amplified warming in the northern high latitudes, boreal wildfires have been occurring more frequently and intensely with regional dependencies<sup>17</sup>, and this trend is expected to increase under sustained increases in anthropogenic forcings<sup>18–23</sup>. Earth system models predict that changes in mean climate alter natural variability across a broad range of timescales<sup>24</sup>, which would, in turn, affect the variability of fire occurrences. As such, it is timely to ask whether and how changes in year-to-year fluctuations in boreal BBA emissions influence regional and global climate.

Recent studies have documented a northern high latitude warming response to interannually varying BBA emissions in model simulations<sup>7,25</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1a). These studies have suggested that nonlinearities in aerosol–cloud processes can generate the mean warming response in high BBA variability simulations relative to low BBA variability simulations. However, nonlinearities in atmospheric processes, including aerosol impacts and associated feedbacks, alone cannot account for the sustained warming over decadal timescales (Supplementary Fig. 1c), as the typical lifetime of aerosols from fire emissions is in the order of just a few days. With such a predominantly atmospheric mechanism, atmospheric responses could only fluctuate on interannual timescales and synchronously with the enhanced BBA variability. One may then expect a role of the ocean generating longer timescale responses<sup>25</sup>. Ocean heat storage and release could be considered a viable candidate mechanism for early winter warming over the Arctic Ocean under high BBA variability as the Arctic Ocean warming response maximizes in November (Supplementary Fig. 2) despite near zero BBA emissions

<sup>1</sup>Center for Climate Physics, Institute for Basic Science, Busan, South Korea. <sup>2</sup>Pusan National University, Busan, South Korea. <sup>3</sup>Research Institute for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine–Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Japan. <sup>4</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA. <sup>5</sup>Department of Oceanography and International Pacific Research Center, School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, USA. ✉email: [jieunkim@pusan.ac.kr](mailto:jieunkim@pusan.ac.kr)



**Fig. 1** Prescribed BBA difference and atmospheric responses to reduced BBA emissions. **a** Monthly time series of prescribed BBA emissions anomalies in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth, integrated over 30°N–90°N. Dot colors correspond to the month labeled across the top. **b** The standard deviation of annual BBA differences between BBA\_CMIP6 and BBA\_smooth over 1997–2014. Composites of eight low BBA emission years (1997, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011) minus composites of four high BBA emission years (1998, 2002, 2003, 2012) in BBA\_CMIP6 for **c** aerosol optical depth (AOD), **d** cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), **e** the net shortwave flux at the surface (positive downward), and **f** surface temperature. The eight low and four high emission years in BBA\_CMIP6 are selected based on the BBA emission differences, shown in **(a)**, for the summer months for May to September (MJJAS). The composite difference maps in **(c–f)** are for the same summer months (MJJAS). Stippling denotes non-significant areas (see Methods).


during the cold season (Fig. 1a). This early winter warming is similar to seasonally-delayed Arctic warming through ocean heat uptake during the sea ice melting season and heat release during early winter<sup>26–28</sup>. Interestingly, however, decadal subarctic land warming (50°N–70°N) (Supplementary Fig. 2) peaks in summer, when no Arctic Ocean response is evident. This finding is thereby inconsistent with the idea that Arctic Ocean processes are the main driver for the terrestrial responses.

Here, our main scientific objective is to identify the physical mechanism responsible for the decadal summer subarctic land warming response to enhanced interannually varying BBA emissions simulated by the Community Earth System Model version 2<sup>29</sup> Large Ensemble (CESM2-LE<sup>24</sup>). In CESM2-LE, 50 ensemble members follow the CMIP6 protocols for BBAs (BBA\_CMIP6), and a separate group of 50 members are forced by a temporally smoothed version of the CMIP6 BBAs (BBA\_smooth)<sup>24</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1b). The difference in aerosol emissions between the two ensemble groups only exists for interannual timescales while conserving the net emissions, and variability differences are large only between 1997–2014 (Methods). This design of the CESM2-LE provides not only a means to characterize the effect of interannual fluctuations in fire emissions on the climate system, but also to identify underlying mechanisms for the apparent decadal response. Our principal finding is that soil water processes in permafrost provide memory allowing rectification of interannual variability in aerosol forcing to sustain decadal variability in subarctic surface temperature. Additional targeted simulations with prescribed soil moisture confirm that soil water and ice changes in permafrost can modulate subarctic summer temperature.

## RESULTS

### Regionally and seasonally varying surface temperature response

The long-term annual mean temperature difference (BBA\_CMIP6 – BBA\_smooth) reveals a typical Arctic amplification pattern<sup>30,31</sup> with more warming at higher latitudes (Supplementary Fig. 1a). This annual mean temperature difference, however, is due to regionally distinct seasonal changes. Whereas Arctic (70°N–90°N) temperatures show maximum warming in November, subarctic regions (50°N–70°N) exhibit strongest warming in July (Supplementary Fig. 2). In addition to interannual fluctuations caused by BBA, the high latitude surface temperature response is also characterized by decadal-scale climate shifts (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 1c). Boreal fires typically occur during summer (across Asia largely during March through June, and across North America and Europe largely during July through October<sup>17</sup>), which explains why the summertime BBA emission anomalies between BBA\_CMIP6 and BBA\_smooth also peak in summer (Fig. 1a). Due to the short lifetime (approximately a few days) of aerosols in the atmosphere, the anomalous summertime input of BBAs into the atmosphere in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth quickly disappears and does not remain over the following summer season, as shown in the atmospheric BBA burden difference (Supplementary Fig. 3). This implies that the direct and indirect BBA forcing difference would be active only during the BBA emission season and on interannual timescales. In the two groups of 50-member ensemble simulations, the net time-integrated aerosol differences are negligible on decadal timescales. Nevertheless, a pronounced decadal warming anomaly is apparent (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 1c), which suggests the existence of nonlinear climate rectification processes that translate the interannual forcing into a decadal climate signal.



**Fig. 2 Evolution of soil ice and surface water and temperature in response to BBA fluctuations over 50°N–70°N land.** **a** Monthly time series of BBA flux difference over 30°N–90°N (same as Fig. 1a). Monthly differences of **b** soil ice, **c** soil liquid, and **d** net soil water (ice + liquid) show that melting of ice propagates into deeper soil and that upper layer soil moisture is diminished in BBA\_CMIP6. **e** Monthly time series of differences in surface temperature and **f** upper 10 cm soil moisture shows decadal-scale changes. Dot colors in the time series represent months (same as in Fig. 1a) with enlarged pink dots for July. Unit is  $\text{kg m}^{-2}$  for (b–d) and (f). Stippling in (b–d) denotes non-significant areas whose values are within one standard deviation of an internal variability range (see Methods). All values correspond to differences between the two ensemble groups (BBA\_CMIP6 – BBA\_smooth).

### The trigger: interannual direct and indirect effects of aerosols

We first investigate how summertime BBA emissions affect concomitant interannual atmospheric conditions. To isolate the aerosol impacts while excluding decadal-scale responses, composites of BBA\_CMIP6 fields for 4 summers with high BBA emissions are subtracted from composites of BBA\_CMIP6 fields for eight summers with low BBA emissions (the mean for four low-emission summers minus the mean for four high-emission summers) (Fig. 1c–f and Supplementary Fig. 4). The high and low-emission years in BBA\_CMIP6 are selected based on the BBA differences relative to BBA\_smooth during summer from May through September (the eight lowest and four highest were chosen). These composites represent interannual responses to decreased prescribed BBA fluxes. Aerosols modify the temperature structure of the atmosphere and surface by changing radiation through direct and indirect effects. For decreased BBA conditions, the associated reductions in aerosol optical depth (AOD) and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), whose patterns match the main BBA source regions over Siberia and northern Canada, enhance surface shortwave fluxes, and thereby heat the surface (Fig. 1b–f).


The composites in Supplementary Fig. 4a, b further illustrate that over subarctic land regions, the direct effect by reduced aerosols (clear-sky shortwave flux in Supplementary Fig. 4a) is comparable to the indirect effects due to reduced clouds (shortwave flux change by clouds in Supplementary Fig. 4b). Decreased relative humidity (Supplementary Fig. 4c) suggests that the reduced cloud cover during low BBA emission years is caused not only by reduced CCNs, but also through atmospheric feedbacks. We note that while emissions of light-absorbing aerosols such as black carbon and brown carbon from fires can impose a warming effect<sup>32,33</sup>, it has been estimated by observation-based studies that the net combined direct radiative forcing by all types of BBAs is negative<sup>2,34,35</sup> and that the net forcing is also negative<sup>36–38</sup>, which is consistent with our results.

### The rectifier: nonlinear responses of clouds and soil water

The strong decadal warming over land in summer suggests that soil-related mechanisms may provide the memory and nonlinearity to rectify the interannual aerosol forcing to the decadal warming. We can rule out the role of the ocean for the summer subarctic warming intensification, as the ocean surface rather absorbs heat from the atmosphere (increased heat uptake) in summer in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth (Supplementary Fig. 5), demonstrating that the decadal summer temperature increase over subarctic land is not caused by heat transport from nearby oceans. The time-depth evolution of BBA\_CMIP6 minus BBA\_smooth fields of soil ice, soil liquid, and their sum as net soil moisture over 50°N–70°N (Fig. 2) reveals that thawing of upper soil permafrost, triggered by summers of low aerosol emissions, propagates through the deeper soil layer over decadal timescales. The increased liquid water in deeper soil indicates that water drains easily through more porous soil associated with permafrost thawing<sup>39</sup>, contributing to the decadal drying tendency of the upper soil layer. The decadal decrease in soil moisture and retreat of permafrost in response to interannual summertime BBA fluctuations indicates that the thawing and freezing of soil are not symmetric between negative and positive BBA conditions. Clearly, the upper permafrost thawing and soil moisture loss during low BBA emission years exceed the upper permafrost freezing and soil moisture increase during high-emission years, thereby creating a hysteresis effect, which accumulates in time, generating decadal-scale climate responses.

Several processes are involved in the nonlinear response of soil moisture to changes in BBAs. Similar to the nonlinear relationship between anthropogenic aerosols and their indirect forcing<sup>40</sup>, BBA forcing in our simulations exhibits stronger sensitivity under a cleaner environment (reduced aerosol conditions). Scatter plots of CCN versus BBA reveal negative curvature, indicating that CCN formation is more sensitive to negative BBA anomalies than to positive anomalies (Fig. 3a). The same argument holds for cloud droplet sensitivity to CCN (Fig. 3b) and to the inverse relationship between surface shortwave fluxes and cloud droplet concentration (Fig. 3c). As a consequence of these nonlinearities, negative BBA anomalies can generate a stronger surface shortwave response than positive BBA anomalies (Fig. 3d).

Nonlinearities also exist in other processes related to phase changes of water, such as the sensitivity of soil ice melting/freezing to changes in radiation or temperature, although it may be challenging to identify its isolated effect in our simulations as the phase change processes involving water occur over the full range of surface to deeper soils, with gradual heat and water transfer over multiple seasons (response time lag as a function of depth). The largest soil ice differences between the two ensemble groups are found at a subsurface depth of 0.9–1.9 m (Supplementary Fig. 6a). We have found a lagged relationship between the net surface shortwave radiation to ice changes (tendency) at this subsurface depth of 0.9–1.9 m where the maximum ice



**Fig. 3 Nonlinear sensitivity of BBA-cloud-radiation and BBA-soil ice.** Relationship between anomalies of **a** prescribed BBA flux and CCN, **b** CCN and cloud droplet concentration, **c** cloud droplet concentration and net surface shortwave flux by clouds (positive downward), **d** BBA and net surface shortwave flux, **e** net surface shortwave flux and subsurface (0.9–1.9 m depth) soil ice tendency, and **f** BBA flux and total soil ice tendency. All values are taken from BBA\_CMIP6 – BBA\_smooth over 1997–2014. The BBA flux is integrated over 30°N–90°N, and all other simulated values are averaged over the land domain between 50°N–70°N. In **e**, the ice tendency is calculated as a change in subsurface ice during 2 months following surface shortwave flux for a given month. In **f**, each scatter dot corresponds to June–July–August (JJA) BBA flux versus a soil ice change from a previous year (e.g., the dot for 1998 corresponds to the BBA flux in JJA 1998 versus soil ice for June 1998–February 1999 minus soil ice for June 1997–February 1998). Spring values are excluded in the soil ice calculation, given the ambiguity as to whether spring soil ice changes are influenced by the previous summer or the same year’s spring emissions. The black curve is a least-square second-degree polynomial fit from filled dots. Unfilled dots are considered outliers whose squared error is greater than the three-standard deviation of all squared errors.

differences are found. The correlation between the surface shortwave flux and soil ice melting tendency at this layer is maximized with a time lag of 2 months (correlation coefficient of 0.82). The scatter diagram in Fig. 3e demonstrates that the subsurface soil ice melting is more sensitive to higher surface shortwave fluxes than to lower shortwave fluxes. This is largely because ice melts only at temperatures  $>0^{\circ}\text{C}$ , providing strong nonlinearity.


Albedo feedback involving snow cover changes can also add nonlinearity to the response<sup>41</sup>. This, however, is not the main source of nonlinearity in summer as both ensemble groups become nearly snow-free over most subarctic regions by July (Supplementary Fig. 7). Thus, snow depth differences and albedo differences between the two ensemble groups are mostly not significant except for small areas adjacent to the Arctic (Supplementary Fig. 8a, b). The surface temperature response to shortwave fluxes is almost linear on average over land between 50°N–70°N, although small snow-covered areas still exhibit nonlinear behavior in surface temperature responses to shortwave flux variations in summer (Supplementary Fig. 8c, d).

Overall, the combined effects of nonlinearities from aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions and liquid-ice phase changes in soil result in a nonlinear response of soil ice to BBA emissions (Fig. 3f). This promotes net thawing of permafrost on decadal timescales in BBA\_CMIP6. As a consequence of permafrost thawing, accelerated

drainage of water gradually deprives the upper soil of moisture, providing long-term climate memory (or reddening of the temporal spectrum).

### The climate memory: decadal summer subarctic warming

The hydrological processes occurring in the upper soil layer have several types of impacts on surface temperature. First, the overall drying of the upper soil layer in BBA\_CMIP6 compared to BBA\_smooth (Fig. 4b) lowers the heat capacity of the soil surface, inducing higher surface temperatures and associated positive feedback to near-surface atmospheric warming in summer. The heat capacity of soil is determined by the volumetric fraction of minerals, organic matters, and water content<sup>42–44</sup>. Porous soil with low soil wetness is filled with air, which has a lower heat capacity than liquid or ice water. The lower heat capacity of the drier soil more readily facilitates surface warming in summer. Second, changes in evaporative fluxes modify surface temperature by absorbing or releasing latent heat. The Bowen ratio, defined as the ratio of sensible to latent heat flux, is a good indicator of this effect<sup>45</sup>. For example, a high Bowen ratio indicates that the surface releases heat to the atmosphere more through sensible than latent heat fluxes due to limited surface moisture to evaporate, raising the surface temperature. Although not all areas over the subarctic land have the additional warming effect due to reduced



**Fig. 4** July responses in BBA\_CMIP6 – BBA\_smooth. Difference maps of **a** surface temperature, **b** upper 10 cm soil moisture, **c** net surface shortwave flux (positive downward), **d** low-level (>700 hPa) relative humidity, and **e** low-level cloud fraction in July 2000–2014. Stippling denotes non-significant areas whose values are within one standard deviation of an internal variability range (see Methods).


evaporation, Supplementary Fig. 9h suggests that temperature-damping effects by evaporation are suppressed over the regions where surface moisture is strongly depleted in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth (drier soil moisture in Fig. 4b and higher positive Bowen ratio differences Supplementary Fig. 9e). However, we note that, except for those extremely dry areas, the evaporative effect does not seem to be the dominant contributor to the overall decadal warming (surface temperatures are similar both over areas with positive Bowen ratio changes and with negative changes in Supplementary Fig. 9f, g). This is likely because moisture can still be supplied by thawed surface soil during summer, as inferred by the distributions of sensible and latent heat fluxes in BBA\_smooth and their change in BBA\_CMIP6 (Supplementary Fig. 9a–d). Another impact of the hydrological processes on soil temperatures is through latent heat uptake and release by melting and freezing of soil ice. The decreased upper soil ice content causes less melting from spring to summer (Supplementary Fig. 10), absorbing less latent heat of fusion, and thus sustaining less cooling (or more warming) at the surface. In other words, the presence of smaller amounts of ice through the transition from spring to summer contributes to additional surface heating in July.

The decadal warming in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth mediated by these soil moisture processes is further enhanced by immediate cloud feedback. The atmospheric warming induced by soil interactions over the subarctic land domain is more intensified towards the surface (Supplementary Fig. 11). Although specific humidity also increases due to enhanced surface evaporation, the increase in atmospheric moisture is nevertheless modest relative to the temperature increase, thereby lowering the relative humidity. This, in turn, reduces cloudiness throughout the mid- to lower-troposphere, with more cloud reduction occurring in the lower reaches. Fewer clouds allow more incoming solar radiation to reach the surface, thereby heating the surface and lower atmosphere further (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 11). The presence of this atmospheric feedback is supported by the positive shift in anomalous surface shortwave fluxes even for moderate positive anomalous BBA emissions (see the dotted lines in Fig. 3d).

### Prescribed soil moisture experiment

The soil moisture feedback on summer surface climate is demonstrated by conducting additional two sets of simulations with prescribed soil moisture calculated from CESM2-LE. The purpose of this experiment is to quantify the extent to which surface climate differences in BBA\_CMIP6 and BBA\_smooth can be explained by soil moisture differences triggered largely by permafrost thawing. The two simulation sets were all restarted from the year 2000 and 2010 from the original 40 BBA\_smooth members, and ran for a year under the same forcings, including

### T change by permafrost thawing



**Fig. 5** Surface temperature response from the prescribed soil moisture experiment using CESM2. Color shows the surface temperature difference in July between the two prescribed soil moisture cases of BBA\_CMIP6 and BBA\_smooth. Except for prescribing different daily soil, liquid water, and ice fields, all other simulation conditions have remained the same for the two simulation sets. See simulation details in Results.

BBA emissions. (The choice of the years stems from the availability of restart files being at 10-year intervals.) The only difference between the two sets is that for one set, we prescribed daily mean soil moisture fields (liquid water and ice) obtained from a CESM2-LE climatology calculated across the 50 BBA\_CMIP6 ensemble members and across 15 years (2000–2014), while for the other set an analogous climatology was constructed from the 50 BBA\_smooth members.

The surface temperature difference between the two sets comprising the prescribed soil moisture study (Fig. 5) demonstrates that reduced soil moisture induces substantial subarctic surface warming. As soil moisture is more depleted at higher latitudes in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth (Fig. 4b), the land temperature response to soil moisture changes becomes larger closer to the Arctic (Fig. 5). The contribution of surface warming solely by soil drying (Fig. 5) relative to all contributions (Fig. 4a) is 22% over 50°N–60°N and 43% over 60°N–70°N. The soil drying-induced warming is especially severe over Siberia (Fig. 5). This prescribed soil moisture experiment confirms that the overall surface warming in BBA\_CMIP6 relative to BBA\_smooth in Fig. 4a

is partly due to an asymmetric thermal response to reduced and enhanced BBA fluctuations on interannual timescales, and partly due to permafrost thawing-induced soil moisture drying on decadal timescales.

## DISCUSSION

Climate models are highly sensitive to a variety of aerosol forcings, including those from biomass burning. However, the sensitivity of the climate system to changes in the interannual variance of aerosols has not previously been considered in experimental designs for coordinated simulations such as CMIP6. Given that wildfires vary seasonally and from year-to-year, we have chosen to address this important question over the satellite observation period of fire emissions (1997–2014) using two groups of large ensemble simulations with 50 members each. Our large ensemble approach using a single Earth system model, comprised of 50 members forced by pronounced interannual BBA variations during the satellite era following the CMIP6 protocol, and 50 members in which these interannual BBA variations are smoothed out<sup>24</sup>, enables us to identify the forced response signal that can otherwise be easily obscured by strong internal variability. That is, climate impacts by internal modes such as the Arctic Oscillation can be excluded because the signature of the different phases of a climate mode will be canceled out by averaging across a sufficiently large number of ensemble members. The 100 ensemble members for the historical period spanning 1850–1990 forced with identical BBA fluxes facilitate quantification of residuals from internal variability that are not completely neutralized even after averaging 50 members (Methods), providing higher confidence in identifying a signal above natural variability noise for the time interval of interest.


We found here that there is a strong decadal rectified response to interannual BBA variability over the NH subarctic land regions, with a net summer warming in the presence of elevated interannual variability of biomass burning emissions. This mean state response is mediated through interactions with permafrost thawing and subarctic soil moisture, resulting in distinct summertime footprints over the NH high latitude land at the decadal timescale. Although recent studies<sup>7,8,25</sup> have identified a similar warming response in the annual mean to interannual fluctuations of BBA forcing, their proposed mechanistic pathways for the climate response are less clear and distinct from the rectifier and reddening mechanisms identified here. The earlier studies have hypothesized that asymptotic behaviors of aerosol effects on clouds with skewness in the emissions lead to a net reduction in low cloud amount, which increases incoming shortwave radiation over northern high latitudes<sup>7</sup>. However, their proposed mechanism cannot explain the decadal-scale climate response, as aerosol forcings along with the invoked atmospheric processes cannot sustain longer-term rectified responses (see the schematic time series of the nonlinearity-only response in Fig. 6). Our study elucidates that indirect aerosol effects are only one of the triggers of decadal permafrost thawing, through which the decadal summer land warming is mediated (Fig. 6). With a simple conceptual framework for the combined effects of nonlinearity (by atmospheric processes and soil ice melting) and reddening (by soil wetness serving as climate memory), we can explain a decadal warming response during the high BBA variability period of 1997–2014 and the recovery of the climate system afterward (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Fig. 12).

The rectified responses identified for surface variables such as temperature and soil moisture are largely limited to the time interval of the perturbation (aerosol variance modulations) itself, but longer-term responses do persist for the subsurface and deeper soil layers in permafrost (Fig. 2). The total loss of soil ice in BBA\_CMIP6 is significant, and its impact lasts for decades (Supplementary Fig. 13). Depending on the season, BBA\_CMIP6

loses soil ice by a few percent up to ten percent relative to BBA\_smooth (Supplementary Fig. 14). Such a permafrost perturbation could potentially contribute to the release of methane, promoting additional warming. Wildfires in the CESM2-LE simulations are neither coupled to the atmospheric chemistry nor to the carbon cycle. However, in reality, the permafrost thawing-induced warming and related changes in wildfire activity are likely to further impact carbon and aerosol emissions. We hope that the rectifier mechanism identified in this study motivates improved representations of land surface processes that impact BBA emissions, including through the inclusion of interactive fires in models. This could be done, for example, by adopting full coupling of the wildfire model with the atmospheric chemistry module and the carbon cycle.

While a large number of ensemble members applied in our study elucidate the role of soil moisture in connecting interannual BBA forcing to a decadal response in summer surface climate over NH high latitudes, we also note that the sensitivity of the long-term climate response to interannual variations of BBA emissions may vary with different climate models. Recent studies<sup>8</sup> documented that, in addition to the CESM2, several other CMIP6 models also show enhanced warming with increased downwelling shortwave radiation over the 1997–2014 period. The magnitude of such a climate response would depend on inter-model differences in representations of nonlinearities in aerosol-cloud interactions and surface climate feedback. Another source of uncertainty derives from the model representation of soil hydrology over the NH high latitudes. As can be seen in the comparison in Supplementary Fig. 15, CESM2 represents the large-scale patterns and amplitude of summer surface soil moisture with fidelity, with the exception of continuous permafrost regions (The mean July volumetric soil moisture over the Eurasian continent between 50°N–60°N is  $0.25 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$  for ESA CCI and  $0.26 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$  for CESM2-LE). The discrepancy between the CESM2 and observations close to the Arctic is in part due to model deficiencies, but the evaluation is also complicated due to uncertainties in satellite-derived products over regions characterized by snow cover and the presence of frozen soil<sup>46,47</sup>. Given the result that most land models under similar climate change projections simulate drying of the surface soil due to infiltration of moisture to deeper soil with permafrost thawing<sup>48</sup>, we can conclude that our results of thawing-induced surface drying for the case of BBA-CMIP6 relative to the case of BBA-smooth is consistent with other models' surface drying mechanism over the permafrost. The soil moisture discrepancy in the permafrost regions between the CESM2 and observations in Supplementary Fig. 15 underscores the importance of increased in situ observations of soil moisture for model assessment of both mean and changing permafrost soil hydrology and climate.

Our analyses have revealed that modulations of variance in aerosols and shortwave radiation can be rectified by inherent nonlinearities and slow responses (reddening) in the soil system (Fig. 6). This conceptual framework may have further implications for understanding the generation of long-term climate variability in response for example to year-to-year changes in fires, volcanic activity or even randomly-occurring interannual changes in Arctic and subarctic cloudiness. The soil system serves as a memory for the subarctic summer climate in this study, but a similar conceptual model with Arctic sea ice and/or the ocean as memory may also apply to other components of the climate system. Our results, which demonstrate mechanistically that spurious modulations of variability in aerosol fluxes can lead to biases in the forced response, should be considered in the design of aerosol forcing protocols for the upcoming CMIP7.



**Fig. 6 Schematic of atmosphere-land-permafrost coupling and generation of decadal variability from interannual forcing.** For each given year, reduced (enhanced) aerosol emissions from biomass burning induces net warming (cooling) of the atmosphere and surface through direct and indirect aerosol impacts. As a consequence of nonlinear sensitivities in aerosol-cloud-radiation processes and soil ice melting, interannual changes in aerosols result in a decadal permafrost loss, promoting downward drainage of soil water. The decadal upper soil drying induces decadal warming of the surface and lower-troposphere that is intensified by cloud feedback through changes in relative humidity. The bottom panels illustrate three conceptual response models when (upper left) symmetric interannual fluctuation of aerosols is present, mimicking summertime aerosol emission pulses. (lower left) A response varies at the same interannual timescale as the emission timescale when there is only nonlinearity in atmospheric processes. (upper right) A response fluctuates interannually with a gradual decay of a signal after a symmetric linear response to an aerosol pulse when a climate system has a memory effect. (lower right) A response is rectified, having longer timescales than the emission timescale, when both nonlinearity and memory effects are present. Units are arbitrary.

## METHODS

### CESM2-LE simulations

The 100 ensemble members comprising the CESM2-LE are divided into two subgroups that are distinguished by their respective BBA emissions<sup>24</sup>. The first group of 50 members follows the CMIP6 protocols for BBA and thereby includes strong interannual variations during 1997–2014 due to the use of satellite-based Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED) observations<sup>3,6</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1b). The second group with 50 members has much smaller interannual variability, as the prescribed BBA fields are smoothed by applying 11-year running means at each grid point from the CMIP6 BBAs. The 11-year running mean is performed for each month of the year separately to retain the annual cycle of BBA emissions. These two groups are referred to as BBA\_CMIP6 and BBA\_smooth, respectively, in this study. Net aerosol emissions are nearly conserved between the two groups of ensembles (difference <0.35% over 30°N–90°N and <0.1% globally for 1997–2014), and the aerosol difference only exists at the interannual timescale. Detailed descriptions of the dataset can be found in refs.<sup>24,29</sup>. To focus on responses over the high latitude

NH, the BBA emissions over 30°N–90°N is considered in this study. We note that the strongest contribution is emitted over 50°N–70°N as shown in Fig. 1b, and results are not sensitive to the choice of a BBA emission latitude band between 30°N–90°N and 50°N–70°N.

### Estimating the significance of composites for low-high-emission summers

A bootstrap method is used for estimating uncertainty ranges for the composites of low-emission summers minus high-emission summers in BBA\_CMIP6 in Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 4. A bootstrapped field is calculated by subtracting the mean of BBA\_CMIP6 fields for four randomly selected years from the mean of BBA\_CMIP6 fields for eight randomly selected years. Random years are selected between 1997–2014 without replacement, and all calculations are for May to September (MJJAS). Values within one standard deviation of 1000 bootstrapped cases are stippled in Fig. 1c–f and Supplementary Fig. 4, representing non-significant areas.

## Estimating internal variability

Time series of differences in subarctic land temperatures between the first 50 (BBA\_CMIP6) and second 50 (BBA\_smooth) members exhibit non-zero residuals even during the earlier historical period 1850–1990 (Supplementary Fig. 16). As identical aerosol forcing fields are applied for all 100 members throughout this period, the non-zero differences between the two ensemble groups result from an incomplete cancellation of internal variability, even after averaging across 50 members. This suggests that the difference simulated during ~1990–2020 would not be entirely due to a climate response to the interannual variability of BBAs. The data availability of the same size of ensembles over the longer historical period from 1850–1990 enables us to estimate a range of natural variability. As we are interested in identifying a climate response to BBA fluctuations by comparing the full sets of 50 BBA\_CMIP6 and 50 BBA\_smooth members, we estimate a range of internal variability from differences between a random selection of 50 members and the rest of the 50 members over 1880–1990. The earlier period, 1850–1879, was excluded to avoid potential impacts of initial conditions. Values within one standard deviation of 1000 bootstrapped cases are stippled in Figs. 2b–d, 4 and Supplementary Figs. 1a, 2, 5, 8a, b, 9c–e, 12 representing non-significant areas, and gray-shaded in Supplementary Figs. 10, 11 representing non-significant ranges.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The CESM2-LE model output is available from <https://www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/LENS2/data-sets.html>. The ESA CCI soil moisture dataset can be obtained from <https://esa-soilmoisture-cci.org>.

## CODE AVAILABILITY

Python codes for data analysis are available from [https://github.com/weather2climate/Fire\\_variability\\_permafrost\\_npj2023](https://github.com/weather2climate/Fire_variability_permafrost_npj2023).

Received: 22 December 2022; Accepted: 26 June 2023;

Published online: 10 July 2023

## REFERENCES

- Fyfe, J. C., Khari, V. V., Santer, B. D., Cole, J. N. S. & Gillett, N. P. Significant impact of forcing uncertainty in a large ensemble of climate model simulations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **118**, e2016549118 (2021).
- Brown, H. et al. Biomass burning aerosols in most climate models are too absorbing. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 277 (2021).
- van Marle, M. J. E. et al. Historic global biomass burning emissions for CMIP6 (BB4CMIP) based on merging satellite observations with proxies and fire models (1750–2015). *Geosci. Model Dev.* **10**, 3329–3357 (2017).
- Lamarque, J. F. et al. Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 7017–7039 (2010).
- Hoesly, R. M. et al. Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). *Geosci. Model Dev.* **11**, 369–408 (2018).
- van der Werf, G. R. et al. Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **9**, 697–720 (2017).
- Fasullo, J. T. et al. Spurious late Historical-Era warming in CESM2 driven by prescribed biomass burning emissions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **49**, e2021GL097420 (2022).
- DeRepentigny, P. et al. Enhanced simulated early 21st century Arctic sea ice loss due to CMIP6 biomass burning emissions. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, 42 (2022).
- Kim, J.-S., Kug, J.-S., Jeong, S.-J., Park, H. & Schaepman-Strub, G. Extensive fires in southeastern Siberian permafrost linked to preceding Arctic Oscillation. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaax3308 (2020).
- Shabbar, A., Skinner, W. & Flannigan, M. D. Prediction of seasonal forest fire severity in Canada from large-scale climate patterns. *J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol.* **50**, 785–799 (2011).
- Balster, H. et al. Impact of the Arctic oscillation pattern on interannual forest fire variability in Central Siberia. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **32**, 14 (2005).
- Macias Fauria, M. & Johnson, E. A. Large-scale climatic patterns control large lightning fire occurrence in Canada and Alaska forest regions. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **111**, G04008 (2006).
- Justino, F., Bromwich, D. H., Schumacher, V., daSilva, A. & Wang, S.-H. Arctic Oscillation and Pacific-North American pattern dominated-modulation of fire danger and wildfire occurrence. *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.* **5**, 52 (2022).
- Bowman, D. M. J. S. et al. Fire in the earth system. *Science* **324**, 481–484 (2009).
- Liu, Z., Yang, J., Chang, Y., Weisberg, P. J. & He, H. S. Spatial patterns and drivers of fire occurrence and its future trend under climate change in a boreal forest of Northeast China. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 2041–2056 (2012).
- Flannigan, M. D., Krawchuk, M. A., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, B. M. & Gowman, L. M. Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire. *Int. J. Wildland Fire* **18**, 483–507 (2009).
- Justino, F. et al. Estimates of temporal-spatial variability of wildfire danger across the Pan-Arctic and extra-tropics. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16**, 044060 (2021).
- Kasischke, E. S. & Turetsky, M. R. Recent changes in the fire regime across the North American boreal region—Spatial and temporal patterns of burning across Canada and Alaska. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33**, L09703 (2006).
- Balshi, M. S. et al. Assessing the response of area burned to changing climate in western boreal North America using a multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS) approach. *Glob. Change Biol.* **15**, 578–600 (2009).
- Podur, J., Martell, D. L. & Knight, K. Statistical quality control analysis of forest fire activity in Canada. *Can. J. Res.* **32**, 195–205 (2002).
- Stocks, B. J. et al. Large forest fires in Canada, 1959–1997. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **107**, 8149 (2002).
- de Groot, W. J., Flannigan, M. D. & Cantin, A. S. Climate change impacts on future boreal fire regimes. *Ecol. Manag.* **294**, 35–44 (2013).
- Witze, A. Why Arctic fires are bad news for climate change. *Nature* **585**, 336–337 (2020).
- Rodgers, K. B. et al. Ubiquity of human-induced changes in climate variability. *Earth Syst. Dynam.* **12**, 1393–1411 (2021).
- Heyblom, K. B., Singh, H. A., Rasch, P. J. & DeRepentigny, P. Increased variability of biomass burning emissions in CMIP6 amplifies hydrologic cycle in the CESM2 large ensemble. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **49**, e2021GL096868 (2022).
- Chung, E.-S. et al. Cold-Season Arctic amplification driven by Arctic Ocean-mediated seasonal energy transfer. *Earths Future* **9**, e2020EF001898 (2021).
- Sejas, S. A. et al. Individual feedback contributions to the seasonality of surface warming. *J. Clim.* **27**, 5653–5669 (2014).
- Deser, C., Tomas, R., Alexander, M. & Lawrence, D. The seasonal atmospheric response to projected Arctic sea ice loss in the late twenty-first century. *J. Clim.* **23**, 333–351 (2010).
- Danabasoglu, G. et al. The community earth system model version 2 (CESM2). *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **12**, e2019MS001916 (2020).
- Serreze, M. C. & Francis, J. A. The Arctic amplification debate. *Clim. Change* **76**, 241–264 (2006).
- Screen, J. A. & Simmonds, I. The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent Arctic temperature amplification. *Nature* **464**, 1334–1337 (2010).
- Bond, T. C. et al. Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: a scientific assessment. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **118**, 5380–5552 (2013).
- Feng, Y., Ramanathan, V. & Kotamarthi, V. R. Brown carbon: a significant atmospheric absorber of solar radiation? *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **13**, 8607–8621 (2013).
- Chang, D. Y. et al. Direct radiative forcing of biomass burning aerosols from the extensive Australian wildfires in 2019–2020. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **16**, 044041 (2021).
- Shi, S. et al. Biomass burning aerosol characteristics for different vegetation types in different aging periods. *Environ. Int.* **126**, 504–511 (2019).
- Penner, J. E., Dickinson, R. E. & O'Neill, C. A. Effects of aerosol from biomass burning on the global radiation budget. *Science* **256**, 1432–1434 (1992).
- Ward, D. S. et al. The changing radiative forcing of fires: global model estimates for past, present and future. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 10857–10886 (2012).
- Johnson, B. T. et al. Evaluation of biomass burning aerosols in the HadGEM3 climate model with observations from the SAMBBA field campaign. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 14657–14685 (2016).
- Liljedahl, A. K. et al. Pan-Arctic ice-wedge degradation in warming permafrost and its influence on tundra hydrology. *Nat. Geosci.* **9**, 312–318 (2016).
- Carlsaw, K. S. et al. Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing. *Nature* **503**, 67–71 (2013).
- Alexander, M. A., Tomas, R., Deser, C. & Lawrence, D. M. The atmospheric response to projected terrestrial snow changes in the late twenty-first century. *J. Clim.* **23**, 6430–6437 (2010).
- Lawrence, D. M. et al. The community land model version 5: description of new features, benchmarking, and impact of forcing uncertainty. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **11**, 4245–4287 (2019).
- deVries, D. A. *Thermal Properties of Soils* (North-Holland Publishing Company, 1963).
- Hillel, D. *Environmental Soil Physics* (Academic Press, 1998).



45. Bowen, I. S. The ratio of heat losses by conduction and by evaporation from any water surface. *Phys. Rev.* **27**, 779–787 (1926).
46. Gruber, A., Scanlon, T., van der Schalie, R., Wagner, W. & Dorigo, W. Evolution of the ESA CCI Soil Moisture climate data records and their underlying merging methodology. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **11**, 717–739 (2019).
47. Dorigo, W. et al. ESA CCI soil moisture for improved Earth system understanding: state-of-the art and future directions. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **203**, 185–215 (2017).
48. Andresen, C. G. et al. Soil moisture and hydrology projections of the permafrost region – a model intercomparison. *Cryosphere* **14**, 445–459 (2020).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The CESM2 Large Ensemble (CESM2-LE) project was conducted through a partnership between the IBS Center for Climate Physics (ICCP) in South Korea and the Community Earth System Model (CESM) group at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) in the United States. The authors would like to thank all of the scientists, software engineers, and administrators at both NCAR and the ICCP that contributed to the project. The authors also thank In-Won Kim for helpful discussions about soil moisture. The simulations presented here were carried out on the IBS/ICCP supercomputer “Aleph”, a 1.43 petaflop high-performance Cray XC50-LC Skylake computing system with 18720 processor cores, 9.59 PB of disc storage, and 43 PB of tape archive storage. We also acknowledge the support of KREONET. The work of J.-E.K., R.Y., K.B.R., A.T., S.-S.L., and K.S. was supported by the Institute for Basic Sciences (IBS), Republic of Korea, under IBS-R028-D1. The CESM project is supported primarily by the US National Science Foundation (NSF). NCAR is a major facility sponsored by the US NSF under cooperative agreement 1852977. The efforts of J.T.F. in this work were supported by NASA Awards 80NSSC17K0565 and 80NSSC22K0046, and N.R. is supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) IA 1947282. Also, J.T.F. and N.R. are supported by the Regional and Global Model Analysis (RGMA) component of the Earth and Environmental System Modeling Program of the US Department of Energy’s Office of Biological & Environmental Research (BER) under Award Number DE-SC0022070. M.F.S. was supported by NOAA’s Climate Program Office’s Modeling, Analysis, Predictions, and Projections (MAPP) program grant NA20OAR4310445. This is IPRC publication 1599 and SOEST contribution 11680.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.-E.K., R. Y., K.B.R., A.T., G.D., C.D., and J.-F.L. designed the smoothed biomass burning emissions for the 50 ensemble members of CESM2-LE. J.-E.K., R.Y., K.B.R., A.T., S.-S.L.,

and K.S. initiated the work. J.-E.K. and R.Y. performed scientific analysis and J.-E.K., K.B.R., and A.T. wrote the manuscript. The CESM2-LE simulations were conducted by S.-S.L. with the support of N.R. and J.E. J.-E.K. performed the prescribed soil moisture experiment. All authors discussed the results and reviewed the manuscript.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00415-1>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Ji-Eun Kim.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

**Publisher’s note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023